

Errata

In: Waitzman NJ, Smith KR. Phantom of the area: poverty-area residence and mortality in the United States. *Am J Public Health.* 1998;88:973-976.

In a reference on page 973 to tables 1 and 2, a phrase was misplaced during editing. The sentence should have read as follows (change in italics): "The regression results (Tables 1 and 2 [*last column*]) and crude rate ratios (Table 3) reflect both the incorporation of sample weights and the adjustment for the complex, multistage design effect of the NHANES survey, which was performed with SUDAAN, Version 6.4 (Research Triangle Institute, Research Triangle Park, NC)."

Likewise, Table 2's note and footnote b erroneously referred to Table 3. The references should have been to Table 1.

In: Wang CC, Vittinghoff E, Lu SH, Wang HY, Zhou MR. Reducing pregnancy and induced abortion rates in China: family planning with husband participation. *Am J Public Health.* 1998;88:646-648.

The last 3 authors' names were cited incorrectly in the table of contents. Those names should have read as follows: *S. H. Lu, H. Y. Wang, and M. R. Zhou.*

In: Dolian G, Lüdicke F, Katchatrian N, Campana A, Morabia A. Contraception and induced abortion in Armenia: a critical need for family planning programs in Eastern Europe. *Am J Public Health.* 1998;88:803-805.

Aldo Campana, MD, was inadvertently omitted by the authors from the author list, which should have read as follows: Gayane Dolian, MD, Frank Lüdicke, MD, Naira Katchatrian, MD, Aldo Campana, MD, and Alfredo Morabia, MD, PhD.

In: Glantz SA, Smith LRA. The effect of ordinances requiring smokefree restaurants and bars on revenues: a follow-up. *Am J Public Health.* 1997;87:1687-1693.

Author's Note. There were 2 errors in the data used for the analysis in this paper. (1) The effective dates for the Anderson County, Redding County, and Shasta County smoke-free bar ordinances were stated to be February 1993. A tobacco industry-inspired referendum delayed the effective dates of these ordinances until July 1993. (2) The Santa Clara County and Shasta County ordinances apply to the unincorporated areas of these counties; the data used in the original paper were for the entire counties, not just the unincorporated areas. (The data for the unincorporated areas are available from 1991 through 1996.) The revised Table 4, which follows, presents the results of the analysis after correction for these errors. The paper's conclusion that smoke-free bar ordinances do not affect bar revenues is unchanged.

In: Glantz SA, Smith LRA. The effect of ordinances requiring smokefree restaurants and bars on revenues: a follow-up. *Am J Public Health.* 1997;87:1687-1693.

TABLE 4—Effects of Smoke-Free Bar Ordinances on Total Bar Sales, California

| City | Mean | Effect of Ordinance | | Model | |
|--|-------|---------------------|------|-------|-------------------|
| | | Change, b_L | P | R^2 | P |
| Fraction of total retail sales, % | | | | | |
| Anderson | 3.1 | -0.7 ± 0.7 | .275 | .071 | .543 ^a |
| | | -0.8 ± 0.4 | .084 | .671 | .000 |
| Davis | 3.3 | 0.1 ± 0.8 | .752 | .658 | .000 |
| | | 0.0 ± 0.2 | .943 | .718 | .000 |
| Redding | 2.6 | 0.1 ± 0.2 | .598 | .082 | .481 |
| | | 0.1 ± 0.2 | .558 | .142 | .472 |
| San Luis Obispo | 3.8 | 0.0 ± 0.3 | .907 | .052 | .374 |
| | | 0.0 ± 0.3 | .945 | .053 | .574 |
| Santa Clara County | 9.2 | 0.3 ± 0.9 | .753 | .048 | .099 |
| | | 0.3 ± 0.9 | .777 | .054 | .769 |
| Shasta County | 2.2 | 0.5 ± 0.3 | .109 | .236 | .060 |
| | | 0.3 ± 0.3 | .359 | .374 | .022 |
| Tiburon | 41.3 | 0.6 ± 5.1 | .904 | .103 | .396 |
| | | 0.3 ± 0.6 | .964 | .105 | .610 |
| All combined | 8.5 | 0.3 ± 0.6 | .686 | .977 | .000 |
| | | 0.3 ± 0.7 | .680 | .977 | .000 |
| Ratio between sales in smoke-free city and sales in comparison city | | | | | |
| Anderson | .49 | -.18 ± .11 | .128 | .195 | .158 |
| | | -.18 ± .11 | .111 | .317 | .098 |
| Davis | .42 | -.08 ± .04 | .073 | .696 | .000 |
| | | -.10 ± .04 | .021 | .767 | .000 |
| Redding | 18.05 | -4.68 ± 4.97 | .360 | .070 | .538 ^a |
| | | -5.54 ± 3.47 | .130 | .573 | .003 |
| San Luis Obispo | .31 | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Santa Clara County | .92 | -.02 ± .11 | .861 | .039 | .662 |
| | | -.03 ± .10 | .779 | .165 | .295 |
| Shasta County | 1.64 | .10 ± .26 | .697 | .697 | .037 |
| | | -.09 ± .25 | .726 | .726 | .014 |
| Tiburon | 0.70 | -.10 ± .06 | .134 | .411 | .011 |
| | | -.09 ± .07 | .205 | .413 | .032 |
| All combined | 3.12 | -.81 ± .77 | .295 | .898 | .000 |
| | | -1.03 ± .75 | .173 | .905 | .000 |
| Fraction of total restaurant sales, % | | | | | |
| Anderson | 19.9 | -4.9 ± 5.2 | .353 | .051 | .638 ^a |
| | | -4.9 ± 2.8 | .092 | .746 | .000 |
| Davis | 17.9 | -1.5 ± 1.1 | .194 | .739 | .000 |
| | | -1.6 ± 1.1 | .147 | .753 | .000 |
| Redding | 26.6 | -1.2 ± 0.9 | .182 | .560 | .001 |
| | | -1.2 ± 0.9 | .195 | .560 | .004 |
| San Luis Obispo | 29.3 | 0.0 ± 0.3 | .907 | .052 | .374 |
| | | 1.0 ± 2.6 | .704 | .197 | .046 |
| Santa Clara County | 51.5 | 1.1 ± 0.5 | .805 | .034 | .698 |
| | | 0.3 ± 3.8 | .729 | .342 | .036 |
| Shasta County | 20.7 | 4.5 ± 2.1 | .047 | .427 | .003 |
| | | 2.8 ± 2.1 | .193 | .546 | .001 |
| Tiburon | 76.6 | -1.6 ± 3.1 | .603 | .036 | .732 |
| | | -1.7 ± 3.4 | .623 | .036 | .894 |
| All combined | 34.0 | -0.1 ± 1.2 | .924 | .965 | .000 |
| | | 0.0 ± 1.3 | .986 | .965 | .000 |

Note. For each city, the first row of data shows results of the linear time model; the second row shows results of the quadratic time model.

^aSignificant positive serial correlation of residuals.