

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Am J Epidemiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2006 July 17.

Published in final edited form as: *Am J Epidemiol*. 2006 June 15; 163(12): 1118–1128.

Vapor, Dust and Smoke Exposure in relation to adult-onset asthma and chronic respiratory symptoms: The Singapore Chinese Health Study

Tricia D. LeVan 1, Woon-Puay Koh 2, Hin-Peng Lee 2, David Koh 2, Mimi C. Yu 3, and Stephanie J. London 4

1 Arizona Respiratory Center, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ;

2 Department of Community, Occupational and Family Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore;

3 University of Minnesota Cancer Center, Minneapolis, MN;

4 Epidemiology Branch, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, Research Triangle Park, NC.

Abstract

Occupational factors contribute to a significant fraction of respiratory disease and symptoms. We evaluated the role of occupational exposures on asthma, chronic bronchitis, and respiratory symptoms in a population-based cohort, the Singapore Chinese Health Study. History of occupations, occupational exposures, and respiratory conditions were collected by interviews with 52,325 Singaporeans born 1918–1953. Exposure to dusts, from cotton, wood, metal, mineral and/or asbestos, was associated with non-chronic cough and/or phlegm (OR = 1.19, 95% CI = 1.08, 1.30), chronic bronchitis (OR = 1.26, 95% CI = 1.01, 1.57) and adult-onset asthma (OR = 1.14, 95% CI = 1.00, 1.30). Cotton dust was the major component contributing to respiratory symptoms. Vapor exposure, from chemical solvents, dyes, cooling oils, paints, wood preservatives and/or pesticides, was associated with non-chronic cough or phlegm (OR = 1.14, 95% CI = 1.03, 1.27), chronic dry cough (OR = 1.55, 95% CI = 1.19, 2.01) and adult-onset asthma (OR = 1.34, 95% CI = 1.15, 1.56). Chemical solvents, cooling oils and pesticides were the major sources contributing to respiratory symptoms. These data support the role of occupational exposures in the etiology of respiratory symptoms.

Keywords

Asthma; Chronic Bronchitis; Occupational Disease; Occupational exposure; Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Abbreviations

OR - odds ratio; CI - confidence interval; COPD - chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

There is growing recognition that occupational exposures make a substantive contribution to adult-onset asthma, chronic bronchitis and other respiratory symptoms [1,2]. The American Thoracic Society recently estimated that 15% of these conditions are work related [3]. More

Corresponding Author: Stephanie J. London, M.D., Dr. P.H., National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, P.O. Box 12233 Mail Drop A3-05, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 Tel: 919.541.5772 Fax: 919.541.2511, E-mail: london2@niehs.nih.gov.

than 300 different workplace exposures have been associated with occupational asthma or chronic bronchitis [4–6]. Exposures can be classified according to the possible pathogenic mechanisms. Sensitizing substances cause asthma by inducing specific IgE antibodies [1,7]. These are mostly high-molecular weight allergens of animal or vegetable origin. Others are low-molecular weight compounds, such as organic and inorganic compounds that act as haptens interacting with a protein to form a complete antigen. Notably, an IgE mechanism has not been demonstrated consistently for exposure to agents such as diisocyanates, western red cedar and acrylates. The reaction between the antigen and specific IgE antibodies initiates a cascade of events that result in an allergic inflammatory reaction in the airways. Sensitizing agents induce respiratory symptoms after a latent interval of several months- occasionally weeks or years following exposure [8]. Agents that contribute to adverse respiratory symptoms by non-immunological mechanisms, mostly irritant vapors such as chlorine, sulfur dioxide, combustion products and ammonia, may lead to bronchial epithelial cell damage, release of inflammatory mediators and airway remodeling [9,10]. An irritant-induced respiratory symptom typically develops within hours of an acute inhalation, although irritants can lead to chronic respiratory impairment [8].

Much of the data relating occupational exposure and respiratory disease and symptoms come from cross-sectional studies conducted in industrial groups with high levels of exposure [11, 12]. However, these studies may suffer from the healthy worker effect, selection bias due to affected workers leaving highly exposed jobs and this tends to result in underestimation of the true risk [13,14]. Because workers who have changed employment remain in the general population, this bias may be minimized by sampling subjects from the general population [15]. Community-based studies have supported a role for occupational exposures in respiratory disease but nearly all of these studies have been conducted in Western populations [16]. We examined the relationship between self-reported exposure to occupational dust (cotton, wood, metal, mineral), smoke (welding, burning coal, burning wood) or vapor (chemical solvents, dyes, cooling oils, paints, wood preservatives, pesticides) and adult-onset doctor-diagnosed asthma and symptoms of cough and phlegm in a large population-based cohort of adult Singaporeans of Chinese ethnicity, the Singapore Chinese Health Study.

Materials and Methods

Study population

The design of the Singapore Chinese Health Study has been described [17]. Briefly, the cohort was recruited between 1993 and 1998, drawn from permanent residents or citizens of Singapore who resided in government-built housing (86% of the Singapore population reside in such facilities). Men and women of Chinese ethnicity (Cantonese or Hokkien dialect), aged 45 to 74 years were eligible. A total of 63,257 individuals (~ 85% of eligible subjects) were enrolled. A baseline questionnaire was administered in-person by trained interviewers to collect data on smoking history, diet, medical history and occupations. A follow-up telephone interview was completed between 1999 and 2004 for 52,325 cohort members. The average time between the baseline and follow-up interviews was 5.8 years. The follow-up interview included detailed information on environmental tobacco smoke exposure, medical history including, doctor diagnosis of hay fever, allergic rhinitis, sinusitis or eczema, and items on asthma, cough and phlegm from the American Thoracic Society questionnaire (ATS-DLD-78-A). These items were not obtained on the baseline questionnaire and items on occupation were not obtained on the follow-up questionnaire. The Institutional Review Boards at the University of Southern California (Los Angeles, CA), the National University of Singapore and the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (Research Triangle Park, NC) have approved this study. Informed written consent was obtained from all participants. As of December 31, 2004, there were 7,722 deaths in the overall cohort.

We categorized the 52,316 individuals with complete respiratory outcome data (nine individuals had missing data on duration of symptoms) into mutually exclusive categories consisting of doctor-diagnosed adult-onset asthma (n = 1,426) (defined as onset of symptoms after age 18), doctor-diagnosed childhood asthma (878), chronic dry cough (364), chronic phlegm (1,475), chronic cough plus chronic phlegm (chronic bronchitis, 417), or non-chronic cough and/or phlegm (2,652). The referent group consisted of subjects who did not report any of the following - cough, phlegm or asthma (whether childhood or adult onset) (45,104). We do not present data for the 878 childhood-onset asthmatics because the illness would likely have begun prior to occupational exposures. Chronic was defined as occurring on most days for at least three months of the year and lasting more than two years in a row. We first classified subjects according to doctor-diagnosed asthma and then classified those without this report according to the presence of chronic cough and/or phlegm. Thus, subjects with asthma were classified as asthmatic, even if they also had cough and/or phlegm. The use of mutually exclusive categories allows all subjects to be compared to a common reference category of subjects free from asthma, cough or phlegm and thus better answers the question of whether occupational exposures are related to respiratory outcomes in general.

Occupation was assessed by 45 questions on the baseline questionnaire regarding current or previous jobs and exposures plus corresponding questions on duration of exposure. Subjects were asked if they worked in any of the following industries for one year or longer manufacture and repair of boots, shoes or other leather goods, manufacture of furniture and cabinets, cotton textile, electrical and electronic industry, lumber and sawmill, carpentry or joinery, rubber and tire manufacturing, manufacture of dyes or dyestuffs, manufacture of paints, manufacture of plastics, petroleum, metal production or processing, construction work, urea formaldehyde manufacturing. With respect to specific job categories, subjects were asked if they had a job lasting one year or longer as a welder, textile machine mechanic, other mechanic, cotton spinner or weaver, painter, textile dyer, machinist, printer, tailor or seamstress, janitor or cleaner, vocational driver or food hawker, cook or other kitchen worker. Subjects were also asked if they were exposed on the job (lasting for one year or longer) to any of the following substances: cotton dust, wood dust, wood preservatives, metal dust or fumes, rock or mineral dust, smoke (all types), smoke from welding, smoke from burning coal or coke, smoke from burning wood, other smoke, pesticides, asbestos, coal tar, soot, pitch, acid or alkali solutions, chemical solvents, dyes or dyestuffs, cutting, cooling or lubricating oils, paints, or formaldehyde. For each question, duration was also asked in categories of 1-4 years, 5-9 years, 10-14 years, 15-19 years, 20+ years. Dust exposure included cotton dust, wood dust, metal dust or mineral dust (including asbestos) in a job lasting one year or longer. Smoke derived from welding, burning coal, burning wood, or "other" sources was used as the composite smoke variable. Vapor exposure included wood preservatives, pesticides, chemical solvents, dyes, cutting, cooling or lubricating oils, or paints. We did not ask information on the year in which exposure began or ended.

Statistical analyses

We examined exposures at baseline, in relation to the outcome of respiratory symptoms reported on the follow-up questionnaire. Adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated from polytomous logistic regression models using PROC CATMOD [18] (version 9.1; SAS Institute, Cary, NC), except that when the expected cell number was \leq 5, ORs were not calculated. We adjusted all models for age, gender and dialect, although they did not necessarily alter the exposure-outcome associations. For most of the respiratory outcomes, individuals were more likely to be current smokers and to smoke more than those in the referent group; therefore, we carefully controlled for potential confounding by including terms for smoking status (never, current, past), and among smokers, age at starting to smoke and amount smoked in adjusted models [19]. The inclusion of current age and age at

starting to smoke captures smoking duration. Individuals were categorized as never smokers if they had never smoked at least one cigarette per day for 1 year or longer. We created a variable for atopy based on yes or no responses to questions on ever diagnosis of allergic rhinitis, sinusitis, hay fever or eczema. Inclusion of terms for education, exposure to environmental tobacco smoke as a child or adult (at work and/or at home) or for atopy did not alter the odds ratios (change of less than 10%) and thus were not included in the final models.

Results

Study demographics

At follow-up, 2.7% of the cohort reported adult-onset asthma diagnosis, 0.7% reported chronic dry cough, 2.8% reported chronic phlegm, 0.8% reported the combination of chronic cough and phlegm (the definition of chronic bronchitis), and 5.1% reported cough and/or phlegm that did not meet the definition of chronic (Table 1). Compared with individuals without asthma, cough or phlegm, individuals in all outcome categories were more likely to be current smokers, to have started smoking before the age of 20 years, and to smoke more than subjects without asthma or symptoms (Table 1). Level of education differed modestly by case status. Not surprisingly, symptomatic and asthmatic individuals were more likely to be atopic, although the prevalence of atopy was low, consistent with other studies in Chinese populations [20].

Association between exposure and respiratory symptoms

Exposure to dust, a composite variable combined from reported exposure to cotton, wood, metal, mineral and/or asbestos, was associated with non-chronic cough and/or phlegm (OR = 1.19, 95% CI = 1.08, 1.30), chronic bronchitis (OR = 1.26, 95% CI = 1.01, 1.57) and adult-onset asthma (OR = 1.14, 95% CI = 1.00, 1.30) (Table 2). Individuals exposed to smoke from welding, coal burning, wood burning or other sources had an increased odds for non-chronic cough or phlegm compared to controls (OR = 1.12, 95% CI = 1.01, 1.25) (Table 2). Vapor exposure, derived from chemical solvents, dyes, cutting, cooling or lubricating oils, paints, formaldehyde, wood preservatives and/or pesticides, was associated with non-chronic cough and/or phlegm (OR = 1.14, 95% CI = 1.03, 1.27), chronic dry cough (OR = 1.55, 95% CI = 1.19, 2.01) and adult-onset asthma (OR = 1.34, 95% CI = 1.15, 1.56) (Table 2). No differences were observed when individuals reporting "never worked" were excluded from the analyses (data not shown).

We examined possible effect modification of the association between dust, smoke and vapor exposure and respiratory symptoms by gender, smoking (ever, never), atopy and fiber intake, a protective factor for chronic bronchitis symptoms in this cohort [21]. No appreciable differences were found for gender or fiber intake (data not shown). Given the low prevalence of atopy, power to detect differences by atopic status was limited, and none were observed (data not shown) [20]. Smoking status (never vs. ever) significantly modified the association between exposure to dust, smoke or vapors and chronic dry cough (Table 3). For chronic dry cough, the effects of all three broad exposure groups were greater in nonsmokers than in smokers, with p-values for interaction all less than 0.04.

Although numbers become small, we looked at individual sources of dust and found the strongest association for the cotton dust variable (Table 4). Cotton dust exposure was associated with non-chronic cough or phlegm (OR = 1.40, 95% CI = 1.19, 1.64), chronic phlegm (OR = 1.36, 95% CI = 1.09, 1.69) and chronic bronchitis (OR = 1.71, 95% CI = 1.13, 2.60). The duration of exposure was associated with a significant trend for all three outcomes (p < 0.03). Individuals reporting exposure to cotton dust more often indicated work in the cotton textile industry (14%) or as a tailor or seamstress (71%) than the other industries or occupations on our questionnaire. There was an association between being a tailor or seamstress and chronic

bronchitis (OR = 1.35, 95% CI = 0.88, 2.06), chronic phlegm (OR = 1.39, 95% CI = 1.14, 1.70) or chronic dry cough (OR = 1.32, 95% CI = 0.88, 1.99).

Although we did not find any statistically significant relationship between the individual smoke components and respiratory symptoms (Table 5), chronic phlegm was associated with coal burning (OR = 1.45, CI = 0.95, 2.20) and adult-onset asthma was associated with wood burning (OR = 1.48, CI = 0.92, 2.40). Of note, individuals reporting exposure to smoke from coal or wood were frequently employed as food hawkers (41% and 26%, respectively) who cook food in covered outdoor or indoor stalls.

Given the association with vapor exposure, we examined the individual sources of vapors (Table 6). Exposure to chemical solvents was associated with an increased odds for non-chronic cough or phlegm (OR = 1.20, 95% CI = 1.05, 1.37), chronic dry cough (OR = 1.63, 95% CI = 1.17, 2.27) and adult-onset asthma (OR = 1.44, 95% CI = 1.19, 1.74). The duration of exposure was associated with a significant trend in all cases (p < 0.03). Subjects reporting exposure to vapors were often in the construction (9%), metal processing (7%) and electronic (9%) industry and/or had occupations such as a mechanic (18%), vocational driver (9%) or painter (7%). In addition, being a cleaner or janitor, an occupation often exposed to chemical solvents, was significantly associated with adult onset asthma (OR = 1.24, 95% CI = 1.03, 1.50).

Another source of vapors –cutting, cooling or lubricating oils- was associated with chronic dry cough (OR = 1.46, 95% CI = 1.02, 2.08; trend, p = 0.05). Of those exposed to cutting, cooling or lubricating oils, 12% reported working as a machinist and an additional 9% had worked in the metal processing industries.

Occupational pesticide exposure was limited in this general population cohort, yet we observed a moderate association with adult-onset asthma (OR = 1.69, 95% CI = 1.13, 2.52). Among individuals reporting pesticide exposure, the most commonly reported types of work were construction (22%), vocational drivers (10%) and/or janitor/cleaner (9%). We observed an increased odds, albeit of borderline statistical significance, between exposure to dyes/dyestuffs and non-chronic cough or phlegm (OR = 1.46, 95% CI = 0.97, 2.20), chronic phlegm (OR = 1.57, 95% CI = 0.94, 2.61) and adult-onset asthma (OR = 1.61, 95% CI = 0.92, 2.82). Individuals with exposure to dyes were most often printers (16%).

Discussion

These data from the Singapore Chinese Health Study support the role of occupational exposures in the etiology of doctor-diagnosed adult-onset asthma, symptoms of chronic bronchitis, chronic dry cough or phlegm as well as less than chronic cough and/or phlegm. Exposure to dust and vapors were especially important. Population-based studies from Westernized countries have consistently demonstrated an increased relative risk for respiratory symptoms associated with occupational exposure to dusts, gases and/or fumes [22–31]; there are few studies in Asian populations [32].

Cotton dust exposure was the primary source of the association with dusts in this cohort. Work as a tailor/seamstress was much more common than work in the textile industry among subjects reporting exposure to cotton dust. Extensive literature links exposure to cotton dust, from work in the textile industry, to chronic respiratory symptoms and illness [33]. Our study extends these observations to include an increased risk for chronic bronchitis, chronic phlegm and chronic dry cough among individuals exposed primarily as tailors and seamstresses who would be expected to have much lower levels of exposure than workers in the textile industry.

Although sensitizations to wood dust, metal dust or mineral dust are well-recognized causes of respiratory symptoms, our population included few exposed subjects and thus we were not

well powered to explore these associations. It is also possible that exposures may be lower in this population than others, the component exposures may differ and/or our questions on occupational history may have lacked specificity to capture these exposures.

In our Singapore cohort, we found an association between chemical solvent exposure and nonchronic cough or phlegm, chronic dry cough and adult-onset asthma. We did not ask about the type of solvent used; therefore we are limited in our ability to identify the potential etiologic agents. However, chemical solvents are known respiratory irritants affecting the mucosa [34, 35]. Chemical solvents have a wide variety of industrial applications, including the manufacture of paints, inks, cleaning products, adhesives and petrochemicals. Of note, we found that individuals having an occupation as a janitor or cleaner had higher odds of adultonset asthma compared to those without that job history. A modest increased risk from working as a janitor or cleaner is supported in other population-based studies [36] from the European Community Respiratory Health Survey (ECRHS) study [37], the US [38] and Finland [11]. While asthma was not related to occupation as a cleaner in a community-based study involving seven French cities, exposure to industrial cleaning agents was [15]. Our results add to the growing body of evidence for a role of cleaning agents in asthma.

Exposure to cooling, lubricating and cutting oil aerosols occurs at highest levels in the automotive industry and has been found to be associated with a variety of respiratory symptoms including cross-shift changes in pulmonary function, asthma, cough and phlegm [39–41]. Our self-reported exposure category no doubt was subject to a high level of misclassification. Nonetheless, in our Singapore cohort, individuals reporting exposure to cutting, cooling or lubricating oils reported an increased prevalence of symptoms of non-chronic cough or phlegm, chronic dry cough and doctor-diagnosed adult-onset asthma. Microbial growth in the predominant aqueous component of cooling and lubricating oils may drive the association with respiratory illness [39,42].

Pesticide exposure in this cohort was associated with adult-onset asthma. We did not have information regarding the use of specific pesticides; however various pesticides, including organophosphates, carbamates, fungicides, fumigants and paraquat, can produce adverse respiratory effects [43]. Pesticide exposure contributes to wheeze in agricultural workers [44] and other outdoor workers [45]. Agriculture is not a major industry in the small city state of Singapore: individuals exposed to pesticides most commonly worked in the construction industry or as a janitor/cleaner. Exposure to pesticides in the construction industry may be a result of chemical pesticide treatment to wood for outdoor construction projects [45]. We suggest that construction workers and cleaners may be exposed through pesticide treatment of homes or buildings.

This study enhances the existing literature because there are few data on occupational exposure in general population studies in Asia. Singapore provides a unique opportunity within Asia because ambient air pollution levels are lower than most Asian cities and comparable to US cities [46,47]. Smoking is illegal in the workplace in Singapore [48] and there is a relatively high proportion (72%) of never smokers. In addition, since Singapore is tropical, our cohort was not exposed to coal or wood burning in the home for heating, unlike in China. Thus, confounding by these exposures is minimized.

The magnitude of the effects we observed were modest and there is always the theoretical concern that unknown biases may contribute to modest associations. Nonetheless, the large size of this dataset gave us excellent power to detect associations of the modest size that we would expect between occupational exposure and chronic respiratory symptoms.

We lacked information on the precise timing of exposure in relation to the start of respiratory symptoms; therefore we are unable to address the impact of exposures on work-aggravated

asthma, which is a significant component of the effects of occupation [49]. In addition, we are unable to address whether individuals may have selected "cleaner" occupations because of the early presence of respiratory symptoms. If such a bias were operating, the impact would be that we underestimated the associations.

Although we lacked data on precise timing of exposures, occupational exposures were assessed on the baseline questionnaire and respiratory symptoms were assessed five years later at followup thereby reducing biased recall of occupational exposure contingent on respiratory symptoms or vice-versa. Differential misclassification of self-reported exposures may occur by asthma diagnosis [50]. However, we found associations for symptoms not closely related to asthma in this cohort, rather than simply asthma diagnosis.

A total of 5,339 subjects died prior to the follow-up interview creating the possibility for bias. However, a strength of this study is that we had cause of death data on decedents and found that among subjects who died without follow-up, exposure to dust, fumes or vapors was not related to death from respiratory disease as opposed to other causes, suggesting that we do not have significant nonresponse bias due to death.

We first categorized subjects based on asthma and then secondarily on cough and phlegm. Thus there will be a slight loss of power for analyses of cough and phlegm. However, the overlap was minimal; among the asthmatics, 6% reported chronic bronchitis, 2% reported chronic dry cough and 6% reported chronic phlegm.

A self-report of doctor-diagnosed asthma was used to classify subjects as asthmatic. It has been found that questions on "reported asthma" and "doctor-diagnosed" asthma had good positive predictive value and specificity in predicting current asthma [51]. We re-interviewed 331 of 406 individuals who reported incident asthma on the follow-up questionnaire (82%) and among these, 313 (95%) reconfirmed asthma diagnosis or asthma symptoms.

There is growing evidence from large population-based studies that a sizable proportion of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease may be attributable to workplace exposures [52]. Although we did not investigate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) *per se* because of the unreliability of self-report of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in the general population [53], we included chronic bronchitis, a symptom complex of COPD defined by questionnaire responses alone. However, some people with COPD may not have symptoms, thus were included in the referent group of "no symptoms". Inclusion of people with COPD in the referent group would tend to attenuate associations.

In this cohort of Chinese Singaporeans, we found significant associations, independent of tobacco smoking or environmental tobacco smoke exposure, between occupational exposures and adult-onset asthma, chronic bronchitis, chronic cough and/or phlegm and non-chronic cough/and/or phlegm. Specific exposures included cotton dust, chemical solvents, cooling and lubricating oils and pesticides. Given that a substantial proportion (42.5%) of our subjects were over the common Singaporean retirement age of 62, our data suggest that occupational exposures can have long-lasting effects on respiratory symptoms.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the National Institutes of Health (NCI RO1 CA80205, NIEHS Z01 ES43012 and NIEHS KO1 ES00386). We thank Siew-Hong Low of the National University of Singapore for supervising the fieldwork of the Singapore Chinese Health Study, Kazuko Arakawa of the University of Southern California for the development and management of the cohort study database, and Marsha Shepherd of Westat, Inc. for programming.

References

- Mapp C, et al. Occupational Asthma. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 2005;172(3):280–305. [PubMed: 15860754]
- Trupin L, et al. The occupational burden of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Eur Respir J 2003;22 (3):462–9. [PubMed: 14516136]
- 3. Balmes J, et al. American Thoracic Society Statement: Occupational contribution to the burden of airway disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2003;167(5):787–97. [PubMed: 12598220]
- Viegi G, Di Pede C. Chronic obstructive lung diseases and occupational exposure. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol 2002;2(2):115–21. [PubMed: 11964759]
- 5. Chan-Yeung, M. and J. Malo, Tables of major inducers of occupational asthma, in Asthma in the workplace, I. Bernstein, et al., Editors. 1999, Marcel Dekker: New York. p. 683–720.
- Kennedy, S., Agents causing chronic airflow obstruction, in Occupational and environmental respiratory disorders, P. Harber, M. Schenker, and J. Balmes, Editors. 1996, Mosby: St. Louis. p. 443– 449.
- Venables KM, Chan-Yeung M. Occupational asthma. Lancet 1997;349(9063):1465–9. [PubMed: 9164332]
- Newman Taylor AJ, et al. BOHRF guidelines for occupational asthma. Thorax 2005;60(5):364–6. [PubMed: 15860710]
- 9. Gautrin D, et al. Is reactive airways dysfunction syndrome a variant of occupational asthma? J Allergy Clin Immunol 1994;93(1 Pt 1):12–22. [PubMed: 8308178]
- Lemiere C, Malo JL, Boutet M. Reactive airways dysfunction syndrome due to chlorine: sequential bronchial biopsies and functional assessment. Eur Respir J 1997;10(1):241–4. [PubMed: 9032521]
- Jaakkola JJ, Piipari R, Jaakkola MS. Occupation and asthma: a population-based incident case-control study. Am J Epidemiol 2003;158(10):981–7. [PubMed: 14607806]
- 12. Zock JP, et al. Evaluation of specific occupational asthma risks in a community-based study with special reference to single and multiple exposures. Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology 2004;14(5):397–403. [PubMed: 15361899]
- Petsonk EL, et al. Airway responsiveness and job selection: a study in coal miners and non-mining controls. Occup Environ Med 1995;52(11):745–9. [PubMed: 8535494]
- 14. Eisen EA, et al. A strategy to reduce healthy worker effect in a cross-sectional study of asthma and metalworking fluids. Am J Ind Med 1997;31(6):671–7. [PubMed: 9131220]
- Le Moual N, Kennedy SM, Kauffmann F. Occupational exposures and asthma in 14,000 adults from the general population. Am J Epidemiol 2004;160(11):1108–16. [PubMed: 15561990]
- Balmes JR. Occupational contribution to the burden of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. J Occup Environ Med 2005;47(2):154–60. [PubMed: 15706175]
- 17. Hankin JH, et al. Singapore Chinese Health Study: development, validation, and calibration of the quantitative food frequency questionnaire. Nutr Cancer 2001;39(2):187–95. [PubMed: 11759279]
- 18. Allison, P.D., Logistic Regression Using the SAS System. 1999, Cary, NC: SAS Insitute, Inc.
- Leffondre K, et al. Modeling smoking history: a comparison of different approaches. Am J Epidemiol 2002;156(9):813–23. [PubMed: 12396999]
- 20. The International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) Steering Committee. Worldwide variation in prevalence of symptoms of asthma, allergic rhinoconjunctivitis, and atopic eczema: ISAAC. Lancet 1998;351(9111):1225–32. [PubMed: 9643741]
- 21. Butler LM, et al. Dietary fiber and reduced cough with phlegm: a cohort study in Singapore. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2004;170(3):279–87. [PubMed: 15117740]
- Krzyzanowski M, Kauffmann F. The relation of respiratory symptoms and ventilatory function to moderate occupational exposure in a general population. Results from the French PAARC study of 16,000 adults. Int J Epidemiol 1988;17(2):397–406. [PubMed: 3403137]
- 23. Korn RJ, et al. Occupational exposures and chronic respiratory symptoms. A population-based study. Am Rev Respir Dis 1987;136(2):298–304. [PubMed: 3497594]
- 24. Bakke P, et al. Occupational dust or gas exposure and prevalences of respiratory symptoms and asthma in a general population. Eur Respir J 1991;4(3):273–8. [PubMed: 1864342]

- Fishwick D, et al. Chronic bronchitis, shortness of breath, and airway obstruction by occupation in New Zealand. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1997;156(5):1440–6. [PubMed: 9372658]
- Viegi G, et al. Respiratory effects of occupational exposure in a general population sample in north Italy. Am Rev Respir Dis 1991;143(3):510–5. [PubMed: 2001059]
- Post WK, et al. Occupational exposures estimated by a population specific job exposure matrix and 25 year incidence rate of chronic nonspecific lung disease (CNSLD): the Zutphen Study. Eur Respir J 1994;7(6):1048–55. [PubMed: 7925872]
- Humerfelt S, et al. Decline in FEV1 and airflow limitation related to occupational exposures in men of an urban community. Eur Respir J 1993;6(8):1095–103. [PubMed: 8224123]
- Krzyzanowski M, Jedrychowski W, Wysocki M. Factors associated with the change in ventilatory function and the development of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in a 13-year follow-up of the Cracow Study. Risk of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am Rev Respir Dis 1986;134(5): 1011–9. [PubMed: 3777663]
- Sunyer J, et al. Pulmonary ventilatory defects and occupational exposures in a population-based study in Spain. Spanish Group of the European Community Respiratory Health Survey. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1998;157(2):512–7. [PubMed: 9476866]
- Lebowitz MD. Occupational exposures in relation to symptomatology and lung function in a community population. Environ Res 1977;14(1):59–67. [PubMed: 891505]
- 32. Xu X, et al. Exposure-response relationships between occupational exposures and chronic respiratory illness: a community-based study. Am Rev Respir Dis 1992;146(2):413–8. [PubMed: 1489133]
- 33. Wang XR, et al. Respiratory symptoms and cotton dust exposure; results of a 15 year follow up observation. Occup Environ Med 2005;60(12):935–41. [PubMed: 14634185]
- 34. Kaukiainen A, et al. Solvent-related health effects among construction painters with decreasing exposure. Am J Ind Med 2004;46(6):627–36. [PubMed: 15551367]
- LaDou J, Rohm T. The international electronics industry. Int J Occup Environ Health 1998;4(1):1– 18. [PubMed: 10026464]
- 36. Ng TP, et al. Risks of asthma associated with occupations in a community-based case-control study. Am J Ind Med 1994;25(5):709–18. [PubMed: 8030641]
- Kogevinas M, et al. Occupational asthma in Europe and other industrialised areas: a population-based study. European Community Respiratory Health Survey Study Group. Lancet 1999;353(9166):1750– 4. [PubMed: 10347988]
- Rosenman KD, et al. Cleaning products and work-related asthma. J Occup Environ Med 2003;45(5): 556–63. [PubMed: 12762081]
- Kreiss K, Cox-Ganser J. Metalworking fluid-associated hypersensitivity pneumonitis: a workshop summary. Am J Ind Med 1997;32(4):423–32. [PubMed: 9258399]
- 40. Zeka A, et al. Role of underlying pulmonary obstruction in short-term airway response to metal working fluid exposure: a reanalysis. Am J Ind Med 2003;43(3):286–90. [PubMed: 12594775]
- 41. Robins T, et al. Acute respiratory effects on workers exposed to metalworking fluid aerosols in an automotive transmission plant. Am J Ind Med 1997;31(5):510–24. [PubMed: 9099352]
- Fishwick D, et al. Respiratory symptoms, immunology and organism identification in contaminated metalworking fluid workers. What you see is not what you get. Occup Med (Lond) 2005;55(3):238– 41. [PubMed: 15857900]
- 43. Hoppin JA, et al. Chemical predictors of wheeze among farmer pesticide applicators in the Agricultural Health Study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2002;165(5):683–9. [PubMed: 11874814]
- 44. Beard J, et al. Health impacts of pesticide exposure in a cohort of outdoor workers. Environ Health Perspect 2003;111(5):724–30. [PubMed: 12727601]
- 45. Decker P, et al. Exposure to wood dust and heavy metals in workers using CCA pressure-treated wood. AIHA J (Fairfax, Va) 2002;63(2):166–71.
- Chew FT, et al. Association of ambient air-pollution levels with acute asthma exacerbation among children in Singapore. Allergy 1999;54(4):320–9. [PubMed: 10371090]
- 47. Samet JM, et al. Fine particulate air pollution and mortality in 20 U.S. cities, 1987–1994. N Engl J Med 2000;343(24):1742–9. [PubMed: 11114312]

- 48. Tan AS, et al. Overview of legislation and tobacco control in Singapore. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2000;4 (11):1002–8. [PubMed: 11092711]
- 49. Henneberger PK, et al. Workplace exacerbation of asthma symptoms: findings from a populationbased study in Maine. Archives of Environmental Health 2003;58(12):781–8. [PubMed: 15859513]
- 50. de Vocht F, et al. Comparison of self-reported occupational exposure with a job exposure matrix in an international community-based study on asthma. Am J Ind Med 2005;47(5):434–42. [PubMed: 15828067]
- Kilpelainen M, et al. Validation of a new questionnaire on asthma, allergic rhinitis, and conjunctivitis in young adults. Allergy 2001;56(5):377–84. [PubMed: 11350300]
- 52. Meldrum M, et al. The role of occupation in the development of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Occup Environ Med 2005;62(4):212–4. [PubMed: 15778251]
- Mannino DM, et al. Obstructive lung disease and low lung function in adults in the United States: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988–1994. Arch Intern Med 2000;160(11):1683–9. [PubMed: 10847262]

TABLE 1

Characteristics of participants in the Singapore Chinese Health Study by respiratory symptom status

	No Symptor 45104		Non-Chro Cough o	or	Chronic Phl = 1475			nic Dry n = 364	Chronic Bro n = 41'		Adult- C Asthma [§] n	
	No.	%	Phlegm [#] n = No.	= 2652 %	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Age, mean yr (
Baseline *	55.7 (7.7)		57.1 (7.9)		55.8 (8.1)		55.9	(7.4)	57.5 (8.2)		57.4 (8.0)	
Sex, Male Dialect	18319	41	1528	58	921	62	215	59	282	68	626	44
	21659	48	1526	58	773	52	144	40	168	40	652	46
Cantonese	23445	52	1126	42	702	48	220	60	249	60	774	54
Hokkien Education												
	11989	27	519	20	244	17	85	23	87	21	386	27
≤ grade 6	19972	44	1290	49	702	48	169	46	214	51	651	46
grade 7– 10												
	13143	29	843	32	529	36	110	30	116	28	389	27
≥ grade 11 Smoking												
never	33335	74	1440	54	780	53	212	58	170	41	962	67
past	4591	10	323	12	202	14	36	10	54	13	175	12
current	7178	16	889	34	493	33	116	32	193	46	289	20
Age started sm	oking ‡											
$\leq 14 \text{ yr}$	1264	18	173	19	94	19	34	29	53	27	70	24
15-19	2598	36	343	39	214	43	42	36	68	35	105	36
$\geq 20 \text{ yr}$	3316	46	373	42	185	38	40	34	72	37	114	39
Cigarettes smo	ked per dav [‡]											
≤12	3105	43	308	35	163	33	36	31	55	28	120	42
13-22	2897	40	402	45	219	44	51	44	103	53	108	37
≥23	1176	16	179	20	111	23	29	25	35	18	61	2
ETS												
Child <	31327	69	2035	77	1176	80	303	83	343	82	1050	74
18 yr												
$Adult \ge$	36476	81	2333	88	1326	90	324	89	388	93	1232	86
18 yr _.												
Atopy [†]	3493	8	337	13	232	16	63	17	63	15	260	18

Definition of abbreviation: SD = standard deviation, ETS = environmental tobacco smoke

Baseline questionnaire administered 1993–1998

 † Atopy as self-reported hay fever, allergic rhinitis, sinusitis or eczema.

Percent of current smokers

\$878 subjects with childhood-onset as thma were not reported

[#]Answered yes to at least one of the following questions: Do you usually cough when you get up in the morning? Do you usually cough at all during the rest of the day or at night? Do you usually bring up phlegm from your chest when you get up in the morning? Do you usually bring up phlegm from your chest during the rest of the day or at night?

	NIH-PA Author Manuscript	uthor Ma	I-PA A	N		script	NIH-PA Author Manuscript	A Auth	NIH-P/		Ť	uscrip	NIH-PA Author Manuscript	-PA Au	NIH	
Odds ra	TABLE 2 Odds ratios by exposure to dust, smoke or vapors in relation to respiratory symptoms: The Singapore Chinese Health Study	to dust, si	noke or	vapors in rel	ation to r	- espirator	TABLE 2 y symptoms	s: The S	ingapore	Chinese H	ealth St	udy				
	No Symptoms		Non-Chronic Cough or Phlegm	: Cough or em		Chronic Phlegm	llegm	5	Chronic Dry Cough	Cough		Chronic Bronchitis	onchitis	Ρq	Adult-Onset Asthma	Asthma
	ц	=	OR*	95% CI	ц	\mathbf{OR}^*	95% CI	=	\mathbf{OR}^*	95% CI	и	$\mathbf{0R}^{*}$	95% CI	и	OR*	95% CI
Dust	st † 35967 9137	67 1922 37 730	$1.0 \\ 1.19 \\ \#$	1.08, 1.30	1082 393	1.0 1.08	0.95, 1.22	271 93	$1.0 \\ 1.15$	0.90, 1.46	296 121	1.0 1.26	1.01, 1.57	1110 316	$1.0 \\ 1.14$	1.00, 1.30
Śm	\sum_{f}^{S} moke 39368	68 2164	1.0		1212	1.0		305	1.0		338	1.0		1220	1.0	
	736 Vapors 39184 8	36 488 84 2134	$1.12 \\ 1.0$	1.01, 1.25	263 1196	1.01 1.0	0.87, 1.16	59 282	$1.00 \\ 1.0$	0.75, 1.34	79 345	$1.07 \\ 1.0$	0.83, 1.38	206 1189	$1.13 \\ 1.0$	0.97, 1.33
	5920	20 518	1.15	1.03, 1.27	279	1.03	0.89, 1.18	82	1.55	1.19, 2.01	72	0.93	0.71, 1.22	237	1.34	1.15, 1.56
demiol. A	<i>Definition of abbreviations:</i> CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio.	dions: CI = co	nfidence in	terval; OR = odd	ls ratio.			-				-		ć		
uthor m	Models are adjusted for age, gender, dialect, smoking staus (nev f Dust = cotton, wood, metal, mineral, asbestos	10r age, gende , metal, miner	rr, dialect, s al, asbestos	moking status (r	lever, Iormei	, current), a	ige at starting to	smoke (≥	,61-c1 ,02 ;	i ≤ 14 years), ar	id cigarett	ss per day (/er, former, current), age at starting to smoke (≥ 20, 13–19, ≤ 14 years), and cigarettes per day (≤ 12, 13–22, ≥ 23).	<i>3</i>).		
nanuscr	t_{s}^{\star} Smoke = welding, coal burning, wood burning, other	oal burning, w	ood burning	g, other												
ipt; av	$\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\rm V}$ apors = chemical solvents, dyes, cutting, cooling or lubricating	olvents, dyes,	cutting, coc	oling or lubricati		s, formalde	oils, paints, formaldehyde, wood preservatives, pesticides	servatives	, pesticides							
ailable	$^{\#}$ Odds ratio relative to the reference category equal to OR 1.0 for	the reference	category ea	qual to OR 1.0 f	or unexposed	unexposed individuals	S									

LeVan et al.

~
~
- 1 C
<u> </u>
τ
~
1
\rightarrow
-
=
_
Author
0
_
_
<
-
<u></u>
=
_
0
~
0
Manuscri
0
-

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Odds ratios by exposure to dust, smoke or vapors in relation to respiratory symptoms: stratification by smoking **TABLE 3**

	No Symptoms	Non	Non-Chronic Cough or Phlegm	Cough or n		Chronic Phlegm	hlegm	0	Chronic Dry Cough	r Cough		Chronic Bronchitis	nchitis	Ā	Adult-Onset Asthma	t Asthma
	u	=	0R*	95% CI	u	$\mathbf{0R}^{*}$	95% CI	u	OR*	95% CI	u	OR*	95% CI	u	\mathbf{OR}^{*}	95% CI
Dust $\dot{\tau}$ never smoker	27719 5616	1123 317	$1.0 \\ 1.30 \\ \#$	1.14, 1.48	621 159	1.0 1.11	0.93, 1.33	160 52	$1.0 \\ 1.57$	1.13, 2.16	137 33	1.0 1.15	0.78, 1.70	791 171	$1.0 \\ 1.11$	0.93, 1.32
ever smoker	8248 3521	799 413	1.0 1.08	0.95, 1.23	461 234	$1.0 \\ 1.04$	0.88, 1.22	111 41	$1.0 \\ 0.82$	0.57, 1.19	159 88	$1.0 \\ 1.31$	1.00, 1.72	319 145	$1.0 \\ 1.20$	0.97, 1.47
noke * vver smoker 'er smoker &	30309 3026 9059 2710	1272 168 892 320	$1.0 \\ 1.20 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.09$	1.01, 1.42 0.95, 1.25	696 84 516 179	$1.0 \\ 0.97 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.02$	0.77, 1.23 0.85, 1.22	182 30 123 29	$1.0 \\ 1.41 \\ 1.0 \\ 0.75$	0.94, 2.12 0.50, 1.14	154 16 184 63	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.0 \\ 0.86 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.15 \end{array} $	0.51, 1.47 0.85, 1.54	870 92 350 114	$1.0 \\ 1.07 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.21 \\ 1.21$	0.85, 1.34 0.97, 1.51
apors ° ver smoker 'er smoker	30186 3149 8998 2771	1265 175 869 343	$1.0 \\ 1.15 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.14$	0.96, 1.36 0.99, 1.31	681 99 515 180	$1.0 \\ 1.09 \\ 1.0 \\ 0.98 $	0.87, 1.36 0.82, 1.17	168 44 38	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.0 \\ 2.33 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.07 \end{array} $	1.62, 3.35 0.73, 1.57	152 18 193 54	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 0.94\\ 1.0\\ 0.92\end{array}$	0.56, 1.57 0.67, 1.26	842 120 347 117	$1.0 \\ 1.43 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.25 $	1.16, 1.76 1.00, 1.57
Definition of a Models are a	<i>Definition of abbreviations</i> : CI = confidence interval; OR = odds rati * Models are adjusted for age, gender, dialect, smoking status (never,	confidence der, dialec	e interval; C :t, smoking)R = odds ratio. status (never, fo	rmer, curr	rent), age at	o. former, current), age at starting to smoke (≥ 20 , 15–19, ≤ 14 years), and cigarettes per day (≤ 12 , 13–22, ≥ 23).	ke (≥ 20,	15–19, ≤ 1	t years), and cig	garettes pe	rt day (≤ 12	13-22, ≥ 23).			
$f_{\text{Dust}} = \text{cotto}$	f Dust = cotton, wood, metal, mineral, asbestos f	leral, asbes	stos													
Smoke = we $^{\$}$ V apors = cht $^{\#}$ Odds ratio re	Shnoke = welding, coal burning, wood burning, other $^{\$}$ Vapors = chemical solvent, dyes, cutting, cooling or lubricating oils, paints, formal $^{\#}$ Odds ratio relative to the reference category equal to 1.0 for unexposed individuals	, wood burn i, cutting, c	ning, other ooling or lu y equal to 1	abricating oils, p .0 for unexposed	aints, forn 1 individu	maldehyde, ıals	paints, formaldehyde, wood preservatives, pesticides ed individuals	ives, pest	icides							

LeVan et al.

<u> </u>
ਰੁੱ
\leq
—
_
_
_
NIH-PA

0
~
~
$\mathbf{\Sigma}$
<
–
_
-
0
-
· ·
~
\leq
5
L L
_
1
Author Manuscrip
10
0
0
<u> </u>
<u> </u>
0
Ť.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscri

 TABLE 4
 TABLE 4
 Odds ratios by exposure to sources of dust in relation to respiratory symptoms: The Singapore Chinese Health Study

Cotton dust Wood dust	n 42330		Phleom	Non-Chronic Cough or Phleom	C	Chronic Phlegm	legm	C	Chronic Dry Cough	v Cough	C	Chronic Bronchitis	onchitis	AG	Adult-Onset Asthma	Asthma
Cotton dust Wood dust Metal dust	42330	=	OR*	95% CI	u	OR*	95% CI	п	OR*	95% CI	u	OR*	95% CI	u	\mathbf{OR}^{*}	95% CI
Wood dust Metal dust	2774	2472 180	$1.0 \\ 1.40 \\ s$	1.19, 1.64	1383 92	$ \frac{1.0}{1.36} $	1.09, 1.69	342 22	$1.0 \\ 1.39$	0.89, 2.16	392 25	$1.0 \\ 1.71$	1.13, 2.60	1345 81	$1.0 \\ 1.05$	0.84, 1.33
Wineral dust	43004 2100 42089 3015 41969	2470 182 2379 273 2371	% 1.06 1.09 1.09	0.91, 1.25 0.95, 1.25	1373 102 1314 161 1307	0.1 1.0.1 1.1.1 0.1	0.82, 1.25 0.93, 1.32	339 25 332 330 330	1.0 1.11 1.0 1.03 1.03	0.74, 1.69	381 36 376 41 375	1.0 1.25 1.0 1.04 1.04	0.88, 1.78 0.74, 1.45	1351 75 1317 109 1312	$1.0 \\ 1.09 \\ 1.15 \\ 1.15 \\ 1.0$	0.85, 1.38 0.94, 1.42
LEpide	3135	281	1.08	0.94, 1.23	168	1.11	0.93, 1.32	34	1.06	0.73, 1.53	42	1.02	0.73, 1.42	114	1.16	0.95, 1.43
\mathcal{P} Definition of \mathcal{P} Definition of \mathcal{P} where \mathcal{P} are \mathcal{P} where \mathcal{P} are \mathcal{P} where \mathcal{P} are \mathcal{P} where \mathcal{P} are \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P} are \mathcal{P} are \mathcal{P} are \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P} are \mathcal{P} are \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P} are P	<i>Definition of abbreviations:</i> CI = confidence interval; UK = odds ratio. * Models are adjusted for age, gender, dialect, smoking status (never, former, current), age at starting to smoke (≥ 20, 15–19, ≤ 14 years), and cigarettes per day (≤ 12, 13–22, ≥ 23).	conridenci ider, dialec	e merval; C x, smoking	JK = odds ratio. status (never, fo	rmer, curre	ent), age at	starting to smol	ke (≥ 20, 1	l5−19, ≤ 14	t years), and cig	arettes per	- day (≤ 12,	13−22, ≥ 23).			
Signal and a second sec	^{Soldds ratio relative to the reference category equal to OR 1.0 for unexposed individuals unexposed individuals to the reference category equal to OR 1.0 for unexposed individuals and the reference category equal to OR 1.0 for unexposed individuals are category equal to OR 1.0 for une}	ice categoi	ry equal to C	JR 1.0 for unexF	oosed indiv	iduals										

Ζ
亖
는
Ū
Σ
5
7
₹
5
A Author N
2
0
lan
C.
ISCL
≚.
D

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

LeVan et al.

 TABLE 5
 TABLE 5

 Odds ratios by exposure to sources of smoke in relation to respiratory symptoms: The Singapore Chinese Health Study

Welding Coal Burning Wood Burning Orber	n 42829 2275 44728 44745 359 41474 3630 41474 3630	n 2427 225 2618 34 2618 30 30 2359 293 293	OR* 0R* ↓ 1.0 1.13 1.13 1.14 1.0 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.12 1.02 1.02 1.12 smoking to the second sec	95% CI 95% CI 0.97, 1.32 0.80, 1.64 0.70, 1.50 0.98, 1.27 0.98, 1.27 0.98, 1.27 atus (never, former	n 1361 114 1451 24 165 16 1312 163 163 163	OR * 1.0 0.94 1.45 1.0 0.96 1.06 1.06 1.06 32.0	95% CI 0.76, 1.15 0.95, 2.20 0.58, 1.60 0.89, 1.25 0.89, 1.25	n 341 23 360 4 4 360 4 40 (≥ 20, 15–	OR * 1.0 0.95 7 7 7 1.09 1.09	95% CI 0.61, 1.48 0.78, 1.52 2ars), and ciga	n 386 31 31 41 4 4 41 6 6 53 53 53	OR * 1.0 1.03 \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 1.10 1.13 1.13 lay ($\leq 12, 1$	95% CI 0.70, 1.51 0.84, 1.52 3-22, ≥ 23).	n 1345 81 1411 15 1408 18 18 18 130 130	OR * 1.0 1.17 1.10 1.48 1.48 1.09	95% CI 0.92, 1.48 0.73, 2.07 0.92, 2.40 0.90, 1.31
Welding Coal Burning We od Burning Other	42829 2275 2275 44728 4766 44745 359 41474 359 41474 3630	2427 225 225 2618 34 2618 34 2622 2359 293 293 293 293 293 293 293 293 293 29	1.0 1.13 [↑] [†] 1.0 1.14 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.12 1.12 1.12 interval; OR	0.97, 1.32 0.80, 1.64 0.70, 1.50 0.98, 1.27 . = odds ratio.	1361 114 1451 24 1459 16 1312 163 1312 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163	1.0 0.94 0.94 1.45 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.06 1.06 1.06 3ge at starf	0.76, 1.15 0.95, 2.20 0.58, 1.60 0.89, 1.25 0.89, 1.25	341 23 360 4 360 360 4 4 40 (≥ 20, 15–	1.0 0.95 7 7 7 7 1.0 1.09 1.09 1.09	0.61, 1.48 0.78, 1.52 cars), and ciga	386 31 4 413 411 6 6 364 53 53	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 1.03\\ \uparrow\\ \uparrow\\ \uparrow\\ 1.13\\ 1.1$	0.70, 1.51 0.84, 1.52 3-22, ≥ 23).	1345 81 81 1411 15 1408 18 1296 130 130	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 \\ 1.17 \\ 1.23 \\ 1.23 \\ 1.23 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.09 \\ 1.09 \end{array}$	0.92, 1.48 0.73, 2.07 0.92, 2.40 0.90, 1.31
Coal Burning Wood Burning Other Fr	44728 376 44745 359 41474 3630 3630	2618 242 2622 30 2359 293 293 293 ter dialect	* 1.0 1.14 1.14 1.02 1.02 1.12 1.12 interval; OR smoking sta	0.80, 1.64 0.70, 1.50 0.98, 1.27 . = odds ratio. atus (never, forme	1451 24 1459 16 1312 163 163	1.0 1.45 1.0 0.96 1.0 1.06 1.06 age at start	0.95, 2.20 0.58, 1.60 0.89, 1.25 ting to smoke	360 4 360 4 324 40 (≥ 20, 15–	+ + 1.0 1.09 -19,≤14 ye	0.78, 1.52	413 4 411 6 53 53 53	+ + 1.0 1.13 1.13	0.84, 1.52 3-22, ≥ 23).	1411 15 1408 18 1296 130	1.0 1.23 1.48 1.48 1.09	0.73, 2.07 0.92, 2.40 0.90, 1.31
sunna Poo America Marto Marto	4745 359 41474 3630 3630	2622 30 3359 293 confidence in	1.0 1.02 1.02 1.12 interval; OR smoking sta	0.70, 1.50 0.98, 1.27 = odds ratio. atus (never, forme	1459 16 1312 163 163 163	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.06 1.06 age at start	0.58, 1.60 0.89, 1.25 ting to smoke	360 4 324 40 (≥ 20, 15-	≁ 1.09 -19, ≤ 14 γε	0.78, 1.52	411 6 53 53 53 urettes per	↑ 1.0 1.13 lay (≤ 12, 1	0.84, 1.52 3-22, ≥ 23).	1408 18 1296 130	1.09 1.09 1.09	0.92, 2.40
me Epide	41474 3630 <i>d abbreviations:</i> CI = c	2359 293 confidence in ther dialect	1.0 1.12 interval; OR smoking sta	0.98, 1.27 = odds ratio. atus (never, forme	1312 163 163	1.0 1.06 age at star	0.89, 1.25 ting to smoke	324 40 (≥ 20, 15-	1.0 1.09	0.78, 1.52 ars), and ciga	364 53 rettes per d	1.0 1.13 lay (≤ 12, 1	0.84, 1.52 3-22, ≥ 23).	1296 130	1.09	0.90, 1.31
id	f abbreviations: $CI = c$	onfidence in ter dialect	nterval; OR smoking sta	= odds ratio. atus (never, forme	r, current),	age at start	ting to smoke	(≥ 20, 15–	.19, ≤ 14 y€	ars), and ciga	rettes per e	lay (≤ 12, 1	3-22, ≥ 23).			
uothn uoth OR was no	e adjusted for age, gend of calculated	101, um/cc,														
official spo condiscript; available in PMC 2006 Jul	Additional to OR 1.0 for unexposed individuals of the reference category equal to OR 1.0 for unexposed individuals and its cript; available in PMC 2006 Jul	e category .	equal to OR	t 1.0 for unexpose	sd individus	ş										

-
5
_
—
_
\sim
0
-
_
_
-
~
^
u
_
_
_
~
(n
•••
0
U
_
_
_
()

Odds ratios by exposure to sources of vapors in relation to respiratory symptoms: The Singapore Chinese Health Study

NIH-PA Author Manuscript	
NIH-PA Author I	TABLE 6

	No Symptoms)-uoN	Non-Chronic Cou Phleym	Cough or m	Ch	Chronic Phiegm	ui:	Chr	Chrome Dry Cougn	uguo	CII	Chronic bronchius	chitis	Adult	Adult-Onset Asthma	thma
	u	=	OR*	95% CI	п	OR*	95% CI	ц	OR*	95% CI	=	OR*	95% CI	ч	OR^*	95% CI
Chemical	42093	2384	1.0		1333	1.0		321	1.0		382	1.0		1298	1.0	
Solvents	3011	268	1.20^{\neq}	1.05,	142	1.08	0.90,	43	1.63	1.17,	35	1.02	0.71,	128	1.44	1.19,
Dyes	44827 277	2626 26	$1.0 \\ 1.46$	0.97,	1459 16	$1.0 \\ 1.57$	0.94,	359 5	*	17.7	416 1	4	C+.I	1413 13	$1.0 \\ 1.61$	0.92, 0.92
Cooling Oils	42532 2572	2403 249	$1.0 \\ 1.12$	2.20 0.97, 1.30	1336 139	$1.0 \\ 1.07$	2.61 0.89, 1.29	326 38	$1.0 \\ 1.46$	1.02, 2.08	387 30	$1.0 \\ 0.81$	0.55,	1331 95	$1.0 \\ 1.15$	2.82 0.92, 1.43
Paints	43163 1941	2459 193	$1.0 \\ 1.16$	0.99,	1385 90	$1.0 \\ 0.88$	0.71,	336 28	$1.0 \\ 1.34$	0.90,	386 31	1.0 1.12	0.76,	1352 74	$1.0 \\ 1.19$	0.93,
Wood Preservatives	44724	2621	1.0	1.36	1452	1.0	1.10	357	٩	2.00	412	4	1.63	1410	1.0	1.52
Pesticides	380 44647 457	31 2622 30	0.97 1.0 0.98	0.67, 1.41 0.67,	23 1461 14	1.24 1.0 0.78	0.81, 1.90 0.46,	7 358 6	~ *		5 5 5	~ *		16 1400 26	$1.30 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.69$	0.78, 2.15 1.13,
				1.42			1.34									2.52

* Models are adjusted for age, gender, dialect, smoking status (never, former, current), age at starting to smoke ($\geq 20, 15-19, \leq 14$ years), and cigarettes per day ($\leq 12, 13-22, \geq 23$). f_{OR} was not calculated

 \sharp Odds ratio relative to the reference category equal to OR 1.0 for unexposed individuals