Food related, exercise induced anaphylaxis

Carlo Caffarelli, Vittorio Terzi, Francesca Perrone, Giovanni Cavagni

Abstract

Four children under 12 years of age with food dependent, exercise induced anaphylaxis (EIAn) were investigated. These children and five controls performed exercise challenges when fasting and one hour after a meal without food suspected to predispose to the reaction. Patients then performed exercise tests after intake of each suspected food. Three out of 15 food-exercise combination challenges were positive, but no reactions were provoked after exercise without prior intake of suspected foods. Patients underwent skin prick tests to foods and serum total and specific IgE antibodies were measured. Skin prick test results were positive and RAST results were positive in two of three instances. In case 3, foodexercise combination challenges did not provoke any clinical reaction. The diagnosis of food dependent EIAn should be considered in young children with EIAn of unknown origin.

(Arch Dis Child 1996;75:141-144)

Keywords: food dependent exercise induced anaphylaxis, exercise challenge, preadolescents.

Exercise induced anaphylaxis (EIAn) is a recently described syndrome, characterised by erythema, urticaria, upper respiratory tract obstruction, and/or collapse in association with exercise.¹ In a few cases, symptoms occur only when exercise is preceded by eating.² Two subsets of food dependent EIAn have been described.³ Several patients have EIAn only when they ingest a meal before exercise (non-specific food dependent EIAn).³⁴ In other patients, EIAn occurs only after eating a particular food (specific food dependent EIAn).² Foods incriminated include celery,³ shellfish,²⁴⁻⁶ wheat,⁵⁸⁻¹² grapes,⁵ nuts,¹³ peach,¹³ egg,⁷¹⁴ orange,¹⁵ apple,¹⁶ hazelnut,¹⁷ cheese,¹⁸ and cabbage.¹⁹

Food dependent EIAn has been described previously only in adults and adolescents.²⁻¹⁸ We present characteristic features of four children with food dependent EIAn.

All children were evaluated at the department

of paediatrics, University Hospital of Parma,

Italy, where prospective studies of children

with food dependent EIAn were in progress. Of

seven patients with food dependent EIAn seen

from January 1992 to December 1994, four

were children under 12 years of age. Clinical

Department of Paediatrics, University of Parma, Italy C Caffarelli V Terzi F Perrone

Department of Paediatrics, University of Brescia, Italy G Cavagni Methods

PATIENT POPULATION

Correspondence to: Dr Carlo Caffarelli, Clinica Pediatrica, Università di Parma, Via Gramsci 14, 43100 Parma, Italy.

Accepted 24 April 1996

and laboratory data were recorded prospectively in all patients from the time of admission to the study.

Patients were diagnosed with EIAn according to the following features^{1 5 19-21}: (1) episodes of upper respiratory tract obstruction or collapse with rash or urticaria precipitated by exercise, or both; (2) anaphylaxis was not experienced in response to warm baths, showers, fever, or other events increasing core body temperature.

IMMUNOLOGICAL STUDIES

Patients underwent skin prick tests with a 1:20 (wt:vol) concentration of food extracts (Bayropharm, Milan, Italy). In patient 3, fresh food skin prick tests were done by a prick + prick technique²² with garlic and pine nut, which were not commercially available. The size of the skin test weal was compared with histamine, 1 mg/ml, and the diluent. The test was considered positive when antigens elicited a weal greater than 3 mm, after subtracting the diameter of the negative control weal and at least half the area of the histamine standard.^{23 24}

Blood was taken to measure both specific IgE antibodies to foods by RAST (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden) and total IgE levels by PRIST (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden).

EXERCISE CHALLENGES

Patients followed a 15 day elimination diet before the exercise challenge when food suspected of inducing EIAn was avoided. On the first day of the study, patients underwent a control exercise test after 12 hours of fasting. An exercise test was then performed one hour after a meal which did not contain suspected foods and one hour after eating each suspected food. As a control, five healthy children performed the exercise challenge both fasting and one hour after a meal. Exercise challenge tests were performed on separate days.

The exercise test consisted of free running back and forth along a 45 m straight corridor for six minutes, increasing heart rate to at least 170 beats/min.²⁵ Lung function was measured with a computerised spirometer (Microloop-Markos). Measurements were performed before running and at 3 min, 6 min, 10 min, and 15 min intervals after beginning to exercise.²⁶ The exercise test was performed if both the baseline forced expiratory volume during the first second of expiration (FEV_1) and peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) were greater than 90% of mean predicted value.²⁷ Blood pressure was monitored before and after exercise challenge and during reactions. β_2 Agonists and inhaled corticosteroids were withheld for

 Table 1
 Clinical features of food dependent exercise induced anaphylaxis

	Patient						
	1	2	3	4			
Age (years)	7	9	9	11			
Sex	М	Μ	М	М			
Age of onset (years)	6	6	8	11			
No of attacks	2	15	4	2			
Suspected foods eaten before exercise	Tomato, chestnut	Tomato, peas, rice, egg, beans	Wheat, peas, potato, tomato, spinach, garlic, lettuce, basil, pine nut	Tomato			
Type of exercise	Running	Running	Soccer	Gymnastic			
Length of exercise	5'	5'	10'	10' 30'			
Distance food-exercise	30'	45'	60'				
Symptoms	Urticaria, angio-oedema, vomiting, dyspnoea with the feeling of 'lump in the throat'	Pruritus, urticaria, angio-oedema, sneezing, rhinorrhea, lacrymation, conjunctival injection	Urticaria, angio-oedema, difficult breathing with feeling of throat closure	Urticaria, angio-oedema, dyspnoea, hoarseness			
Personal atopic history	Negative	Oculorhinitis due to artemisia	Negative	Oculorhinitis, asthma due to artemisia and grasses			
Family atopic history	Negative	Brother: oculorhinitis due to grasses, urticaria	Brother: urticaria, paternal grandfather: asthma due to grasses and mites	Maternal grandmother: asthma due to mites			

Table 2 Results of skin prick tests, circulating IgE, and food-exercise combination challenges to suspected foods

Case Foo					Food-exercise combination challenges				
	Foods	Skin prick test	RAST score	Total IgE (U/ml)	Prodromal symptoms	Complete symptoms	Max % FEV ₁ fall	Max % PEFR fall	
1	Tomato	+	3	167	Neg	Neg	2	4	
	Chestnut	+	3		Generalised pruritus	Wheezing, urticaria	24.8	46	
2	Tomato	_	1	6	Neg	Neg	4	8	
	Egg	-	0		Neg	Neg	0	0	
	Beans	+	0		Neg	Neg	0.4	0.7	
	Peas	+	0		Neg	Neg	0.5	0.7	
	Rice	+	0		Neg	Oculorhinitis, pruritis, wheezing, angio-oedema	21	18	
3	Garlic	+	2	287	Neg	Neg	0	1.7	
	Tomato	+	3		Neg	Neg	0	- 0.9	
	Soy	+	2		Neg	Neg	0	- 1.4	
	Basil	_	nd		Neg	Neg	0	- 3.9	
	Pine nut	+	nd		Neg	Neg	5.2	- 2.2	
	Rice	+	2		Neg	Neg	- 5.2	- 3.3	
	Meal with above foods				Neg	Neg	- 5.2	0.3	
4	Tomato	+	3	95	Neg	Urticaria, angio-oedema, dyspnoea, hoarseness	25.9	10.8	

nd = not done; neg = negative.

at least 12 hours before each testing session and oral steroids and antihistamines for eight days.

All exercise challenges were conducted in the same conditions of temperature (20-22°C) and humidity, at the same time of day.

Baseline values of PEFR and FEV₁ were expressed in absolute terms and as percentage of predicted values.²⁷ Postexercise values of PEFR and FEV₁ were expressed as percentage changes from baseline at individual time points. Maximum percentage drop in PEFR as well as in FEV₁ was calculated as follows: (100 \times (pre-exercise value – lowest value after exercise) / pre-exercise value). The exercise test was considered positive for bronchospasm if it caused a fall of PEFR of > 12% or of FEV₁ of > 10%.²⁸

Results

PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS

These are summarised in table 1.

Before exercising, all patients had eaten specific foods which were suspected to trigger the reaction. Intake of specific foods not followed by exercise provoked no adverse reaction. Similar exercise never resulted in symptoms without ingestion of suspected foods. No child was reported as having episodes at rest. No prodromal manifestation was reported by children or parents.

No patient experienced anaphylactic reactions following warm baths, fever, or other events increasing core body temperature, drug intake, cold temperature, weather conditions, or exposure to dental amalgam.

The interval between clinical onset and diagnosis varied from three years, in patient 2, to two months in patient 4.

EXERCISE CHALLENGE

In the patients, no reactions were provoked by exercise tests performed on fasting or after taking a meal without suspected foods.

Three out of 15 food-exercise combination challenges were positive (table 2). In three patients a particular food triggered the reaction. Reactions always developed immediately after running. In one child there was prodromal diffuse pruritus on test challenge. Symptoms elicited by test challenges were urticaria in two cases, angio-oedema in two, oculorhinitis in one, wheezing in two, and dyspnoea and hoarseness in one. Airway obstruction on lung function testing was found after all positive exercise tests. After challenges, blood pressure did not fall below resting values. Patients were treated with antihistamines, corticosteroids, adrenaline, and inhaled salbutamol to resolve the episodes.

Patient 3 had neither clinical reactions nor changes in lung function following foodexercise combination challenges (table 2) despite there being a previous history that food intake before exercise was a predisposing factor. Moreover, parents denied any previous episode in association with exercise not preceded by a meal. An exercise test performed after a meal containing all suspected foods was negative.

In controls, neither symptoms nor significant changes in lung function were provoked by exercise challenges.

In table 2, total IgE values, skin prick tests and RAST results of suspected foods are listed. Eleven of 14 skin prick test results and four of 12 RAST results to suspected foods were positive. Skin prick test results to foods predisposing the reaction were positive; RAST results were positive in two of three instances.

Discussion

Food dependent EIAn has not been reported previously in children less than 12 years old,²⁻¹⁸ either because it was unrecognised or is uncommon in this age group. The first hypothesis seems to be likely since, in our population, case ascertainment occurred some years after the first attack when there was heightened awareness of this syndrome because of local publicity about our research. The latter hypothesis is speculative because the prevalence of the disease is unknown.

Although the sample size was far too small for any definitive conclusion, these children's symptoms differed from those seen in adolescent and adult onset patients.²⁻¹⁸ For example, no child had collapse, and wheezing was more common in childhood onset patients.

EIAn must be distinguished from cholinergic urticaria and exercise induced asthma. In our study, we concluded that no child had cholinergic urticaria because cutaneous lesions did not occur after warm baths, fever, or emotional distress.^{20 21} Furthermore, wheezing occurred after food-exercise combination challenges, but not after control exercise tests, so it was not due to exercise induced asthma.

Precipitating foods have been identified by exercise tests performed after intake of each food.⁵⁹¹⁰¹⁵⁻¹⁸ Such challenges failed to provoke a clinical reaction in patient 3. Because an episode does not necessarily occur each time a child exercises,² negative exercise challenge results do not rule out EIAn.15 We offer two explanations: first, exercise conditions in a laboratory setting may be insufficiently severe to induce reactions.⁵ Second, other factors could be associated with the development of symptoms, but we took care to exclude such provoking factors as drug intake,1519 cold temperature,¹⁶ dental amalgam,²⁹ or weather conditions.^{19 20}

We found the parents' history helpful in determining suspect foods. However, parents were able to identify a relation between a particular food intake and anaphylactic reaction only if the food was uncommon in the children's diet.

Consistent with previous reports,²⁴⁶⁹¹⁵¹⁶ we found that skin prick test results to foods had excellent sensitivity but poor specificity.

In this small series, RAST results were less accurate than skin prick test results to foods. Therefore the food-exercise combination challenge seems to be a more reliable diagnostic test in food dependent EIAn.

The mechanism of food dependent EIAn is not clear. It is probable that a food dependent IgE mediated reaction may lower the threshold for mediator release from mast cells induced by exercise.3 This is indicated by the following observations: (1) exercise without food ingestion increased plasma histamine concentration in some patients both with food dependent EIAn⁵⁷ or with EIAn^{21 30 31}; (2) in a few cases, histamine concentration was higher after the food-exercise combination challenge than after the exercise challenge alone⁵⁷; (3) our data showed that patients had atopic backgrounds and an in vivo and in vitro immediate hypersensitivity reaction to the foods which predisposed the attacks.

We conclude that unexplained EIAn should prompt consideration of the precipitating role of foods in preadolescents. Early diagnosis in this age group may avoid unnecessary reduction of physical exertion and long periods of restricted diet, and prevent serious reactions.

- Sheffer AL, Austen KF. Exercise-induced anaphylaxis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1980;66:311-6.
 Maulitz RM, Pratt DS, Schocket AL. Exercise-induced ana-phylactic reaction to shellfish. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1979;63:433-4.
- 3 Kidd JM, Cohen SH, Fink JN. Food-dependent exerciseinduced anaphylaxis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1983;71:407-
- 4 Novey HS, Fairshter RD, Salness K, Simon RA, Curd JG. Postprandial exercise-induced anaphylaxis. J Allergy Cin Immunol 1983;71:498-504.
- 5 Dohi M, Suko M, Sugiyama H, et al. Food-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis: a study on 11 Japanese cases. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1991;87:34-40.
- 6 McNeil D, Strauss R. Exercise-induced anaphylaxis related to food intake. Ann Allergy 1988; 61:440-2.
- 7 Fukutomi O, Kondo N, Agata H, Shinoda S, Shinbara M, Orii T. Abnormal responses of the autonomic nervous system in food-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis. Ann Allergy 1992;68:438-45.
 8 Aoki T, Kushimoto H. Masked type I wheat allergy. Arch Dermatol 1985;121:355-7.
- 9 Armentia A, Martin-Santos JM, Blanco M, Carretero L, Puyo M, Barber D. Exercise-induced anaphylactic reaction to grain flours. Ann Allergy 1990;64:149-51. 10 Juji F, Suko M. Effectiveness of disodium cromoglycate in
- food-dependent, exercise-induced anaphylaxis: a case report. Ann Allergy 1994;72:452-4.
- 11 Okazaki M, Kitani H, Mifune T, et al. Food-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis. Intern Med 1992: 31:1052-5
- 12 Akutsu I, Motojima S, Ikeda Y, Fukuda T, Ikemori R, Makino S. Three cases of food-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis. Arerugi 1988;38:277-84.
- Buchbinder EM, Bloch KJ, Moss J, Guiney TE. Food-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis. JAMA 1983; TE. Fo 250:2973-4
- 14 Longley S, Panush RS. Familial exercise-induced anaphylaxis. Ann Allergy 1987;58:257-9. 15 Debavelaere C, De Blic J, Bodemer C, Teillac D, Paupe J
- Scheimann P. Syndrome d' anaphylaxie induite par l' exercice. Arch Fr Pediatr 1989;46:281-3.
- Afiliarro B, Dominguez C, Diaz JM, Martin MF, Garcia-Ara MC. Apple-dependent exercise-induced ana-phylaxis. Allergy 1994;49:481-2.
 Martin Munoz F, Lopez Cazana JM, Villas F, Coutreras JF, Direct M, Oli AMP, Statistical Martinetic Actional Actional Control of the C
- Martin Munoz F, Lopez Cazana JM, Villas F, Coutreras JF, Diaz JM, Ojeda JA. Exercise-induced anaphylactic reac-tion to hazelnut. Allergy 1994;49:314-6.
 Tilles S, Schocket A, Milgrom H. Exercise-induced anaphy-laxis related to specific foods. J Pediatr 1995;127:587-9.
 Wade JP, Liang MH, Sheffer AL. Exercise-induced anaphy-laxis: epidemiologic observations. In: Tauber AL, Win-troub BU, Stolper-Simon AS, eds. Progress in clinical and

- biological research: biochemistry of acute allergic reactions. New York: Alan R Liss, 1989:175-82.
 20 Sheffer AL, Soter A, McFadden ER, Austen FK. Exercise-induced anaphylaxis: a distinct form of physical allergy. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1983;71:311-6.
 21 Casale TB, Keahey TM, Kaliner M. Exercise-induced ana-phylaxis syndromes. JAMA 1986;255:2049-53.
 22 Dreborg S, Foucard T. Allergy to apple, carrot and potato in children with birch-pollen allergy. Allergy 1983;38:167-71.
 23 Sampson HA, Albergo R. Comparison of results of skin tests, RAST, and double-blind, placebo-controlled food challenges in children with atopic dermatitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1984;74:26-33.
 24 Aas K. Some variables in skin prick testing. Allergy 1980;35:250-3.
 25 Shapiro GG, Pearson WE, Furukawa CT, Bierman CW. A
- 25 Shapiro GG, Pearson WE, Furukawa CT, Bierman CW. A comparison of the effectiveness of free-running and tread-mill exercise for assessing exercise-induced bronchospasm in clinical practice. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1979;64:609–11.
- 26 Cropp GJA. The exercise bronchoprovocation test: stand-ardization of procedures and evaluation of response. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1979;64:627-33.
- Auergy Can Immunol 1979;64:627-33.
 27 Knudson RJ, Slatin RC, Lebowitz MD, et al. The maximal expiratory flow-volume curve: normal standards, variability, and effects of age. Am Rev Respir Dis 1976;113:587-600.
- 28 Kattan M, Keens TG, Mellis CM, Levison H. The response to exercise in normal and asthmatic children. *J Pediatr* 1978;92:718-23.
- 29 Katsunuma T, Iikura Y, Nagakura T, et al. Exercise-induced anaphylaxis: improvement after removal of amalgam in dental caries. Ann Allergy 1990;64:472-5.
- 30 Lewis J, Lieberman P, Erffmeyer J. Exercise-induced urticaria, angioedema and anaphylactoid episodes. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1981;68:432-7.
- 31 Sheffer AL. Other factors in upper airway disease: anaphylaxis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1988;81:1048-50.