

# Family interventions for mental disorders: efficacy and effectiveness

IAN R.H. FALLOON

Department of Psychiatry, University of Auckland, New Zealand

The physician Henry Richardson described the role of family care in the recovery from physical and mental health problems in 1948 (1). His landmark book entitled "Patients have families" was read by a group of psychiatrists and social anthropologists at the Palo Alto Research Institute in California and became the basis of the systemic approach to family interventions (see 2 for details of this historical perspective). Unlike Richardson, these psychoanalytically trained professionals postulated that family influence was an etiological factor in serious mental disorders, rather than a key factor on the road to recovery. For many years the family system was thought to be the root of all evil and families were accused of inadvertently abusing their offspring through a variety of subtle communication strategies, such as the double-bind or communication deviance. However, these pioneers of family treatment spent considerable time with families and attempted to help them correct these defects. A special unit was opened at National Institutes of Health (NIH) in Bethesda where entire families lived for up to two years, with regular meetings to study their communication styles when faced with day to day life problems. The mere fact of convening family meetings on a regular basis, where families were encouraged to speak openly about their stresses and to attempt to find solutions to their most pressing problems, often appeared to have substantial therapeutic impact.

Around the same time, a team of British sociologists and social psychiatrists began to study the outcome of relocating long-term mental hospital residents into community settings (3).

Led by George Brown and John Wing, they noted that one of the predictors of successful resettlement was the interpersonal environment of the households where patients resided (4,5). The worst outcomes were in hostels where little warmth and support was provided. But the next worst situation was households where patients lived with close relatives, such as parents or spouses. This unexpected finding was explored in a series of studies using more and more sophisticated interviewing techniques to try to flesh out the specific features associated with success or failure of community care (6). The 1976 doctoral dissertation of Christine Vaughn compared the effects of family attitudes on the short-term rates of recurrence of major episodes of depression or psychosis in outpatients who had shown good recovery after acute hospital treatment. This study was summarised in a classic paper that established family factors as a key variable in achieving stable recovery from severe mental disorders. In her classic paper (7), co-authored by Julian Leff, Vaughn emphasised the value of the negative attitudes of emotive criticism and intrusiveness as predictors of a relapsing clinical course. However, in her unpublished thesis, greater emphasis was placed on the better clinical outcome associated with supportive comments and emotional warmth expressed by relatives towards the patient (8). Unfortunately the term 'expressed emotion' became synonymous with negative aspects of family care. Alternative hostels and residences were developed, despite the fact that the earlier studies had shown that they were associated with the highest rate of failure of community care.

Fortunately not all those who studied this literature concluded that the best way forward was to seek alternatives to family care for people with serious mental disorders. A small group led by Robert Liberman set out on a different direction that aimed to help those families who were so burdened and stressed by the care of their relatives, that they were unable to demonstrate the positive caring behaviours that appeared to enhance the prognosis of patients. Detailed education about the nature of mental disorders and their optimal treatment was followed by practical problem solving about how to manage the everyday difficulties they encountered with patients' residual symptoms and interpersonal difficulties (9). Relatives and patients were encouraged to use effective communication skills to express their emotions in a manner not dissimilar to the methods developed by earlier family systems therapists. The focus was on increasing the expression of positive comments for efforts patients made, no matter how trivial they might seem, and on reducing nagging and hostile criticism, replacing this with attempts to clarify key problem issues in a way that would enhance patients' efforts to solve them. In simplistic terms, this psychoeducational approach aimed to convert harmful high expressed emotion to helpful low expressed emotion, or to teach family members some of the core skills of effective nursing and rehabilitation strategies. From these early beginnings in the mid 1970s, a series of random controlled trials was instigated, initially with schizophrenic disorders, but later with an increasing range of mental health problems. In the remainder

of this paper we will review the results of this body of work in terms of evidence for the efficacy and the effectiveness of family interventions in adult mental health.

## **FAMILY INTERVENTIONS FOR SCHIZOPHRENIC DISORDERS**

Optimal drug therapy remains the cornerstone of the clinical management of psychotic disorders, at least in the periods after major psychotic episodes. However, substantial additional benefits have been reported when optimal pharmacotherapy has been integrated with family-based treatments (10-15). The educational family strategies attempt to reduce the impact of environmental stresses on the biologically vulnerable individual whilst promoting social functioning. Two major strategies have been developed. The first, carer-based stress management, derived from cognitive behavioural therapy, seeks to enhance the problem solving efficiency of the patient and his or her social support system and to actively promote the achievement of personal life goals (2). The second educates caregivers in stress reduction strategies and to increase acceptance of behaviour associated with both positive and negative symptoms (6,16).

Fifty controlled studies with adequate research methodology have been published since 1980. Fifteen were of brief duration and could not be considered an adequate trial of integrated biomedical and psychosocial treatment for serious mental disorders. Most of these studies were mental health education only (17-23). Two early pioneering studies of brief family intervention were also excluded (24,25). Eight other studies had serious methodological flaws and were excluded from the detailed analysis. They were mainly studies of the benefits of applying family approaches in clinical practice (26-33). One excellent study was excluded on the basis that the experimental 'relapse prevention program' investigated consisted of a complex blend of

individual, group and family strategies (34), while another compared brief and long-term family education (35).

The remaining 25 studies were generally of a high quality. One major deficit, common to all psychosocial research, is the inability to deliver psychosocial treatments in a manner that was 'blind' to the patients and associates, including the clinicians and independent assessors. Relatively few studies controlled for non-specific variables, such as therapist contact, skills and enthusiasm, or the ancillary treatment strategies used in the case management.

The studies varied considerably in the specific intervention strategies examined. The most basic merely provided several sessions giving information about drug treatments (36,37). Others extended for several years, with continued education, stress management strategies, social skills training, vocational training, specific cognitive behavioural strategies and home-based crisis management when necessary (38-46). It is important to realise that not all family interventions are the same, and for that reason the benefits may be expected to differ.

Almost all studies involved patients with a diagnosis of schizophreniform, schizophrenic or schizoaffective psychoses. Treatment was usually initiated after crisis management had produced a remission of the acute symptoms of a major psychotic episode. The methods of outcome assessment varied substantially. Most studies focused on prevention of major exacerbations of psychotic symptoms, using clinical judgments of 'relapse' that were not always well standardized (47). Some studies also used standardized rating scales to measure clinical, social, family and economic benefits, so it is possible to examine a broader range of relevant outcomes. Differential dropouts from the treatment approaches were rarely evaluated. However, we have endeavored to use the 'intention-to-treat' approach to analyzing the benefits. Furthermore, in our consideration of clinical efficacy, we have devised an index of outcome that combines not

merely major psychotic episodes, but major episodes of any psychiatric symptoms, such as suicidal ideation/attempts or affective disturbances, hospital admissions for any reason, and withdrawal from the allocated treatment for clinical reasons. This provides a highly conservative portrayal of the benefits that might be expected in clinical practice.

## **Clinical benefits**

Eighteen trials compared individualized case management and maintenance medication with or without the addition of a family-based stress management strategy. Of these, 14 showed a significant advantage for the stress management approaches (36-38,40, 41,44,46,48-54), two no significant differences (55,56), and two showed greater advantages for individual case management (39,40).

The proportion of cases maintained in treatment for one year without any major exacerbations of any form of psychopathology showed a 25% advantage for the stress management strategies: 62% had a successful outcome during the 12-month period, compared to 37% of those not receiving carer-based stress management. These results are highly significant both from a statistical as well as a clinical viewpoint (58).

## **Remission of residual symptoms**

The absence of major episodes is not the only goal of long-term treatment. Most patients experience continuing psychotic and deficit symptoms for some time after a major psychotic episode (59). The benefits of family strategies in reducing this residual psychopathology, and thereby enhancing the trend towards full remission of schizophrenia, was assessed in 13 studies (39,40,44-46, 48,49,51,52,54,55,60, 61). These studies compared ratings of psychopathology at the beginning of the study with those obtained up to a year later. In 9 of these studies an overall trend towards recovery was observed, both with

experimental and control treatments. Zhang et al (54) noted this trend only for those patients receiving the stress management who did not have any symptom exacerbations. One study that used a blind assessor to conduct standardised interviews of psychopathology before treatment, and again at 9 and 24 months, showed that 65% of cases receiving the family-based approach achieved full remissions of both psychotic and deficit schizophrenic symptoms at two years, in contrast to 15% associated with individual assertive case management (40).

### **Social outcome**

Full social recovery from mental disorders may be more difficult to achieve than clinical remission. Thirteen studies employed standardized assessments of social functioning, although three employed methods that lacked adequate scientific rigor, and one proved too complex to include (39). Five of the 9 remaining studies showed significantly greater benefits for stress management strategies (26,37,40,46,52,53), one a clear trend (44) and three showed no significant benefits when compared with drug treatment and case management (36,51,55). Despite the difficulties of measuring gains on inventories that include a broad range of social goals, many of which are not personally relevant to every patient, advantages for the family-based approaches were evident. One study that examined this issue carefully with blind ratings showed that 40% of patients in the family treated group had no signs of social disability after two years of comprehensive treatment that also integrated social skills training and individualized vocational rehabilitation within the treatment program (40). This contrasted with 6% of cases that had received individual assertive case management of similar intensity.

### **Family benefits**

An important goal of family stress management strategies is to enhance

family functioning and reduce stresses, particularly those associated with caring for the patient. A mean reduction in the stress of caregiving of 34% was reported in four studies that examined this outcome (40,44,45,53). This was contrasted with a reduction of 9% in the drugs and case management conditions. Five of the six studies that compared standardized family stress ratings associated with stress management vs. drugs and case management showed significant advantages for the stress management approach (37,40,46,52, 53). The self-help multiple-family group approach of Buchkremer et al (55) showed no change in a measure of family problems associated with the patients' illness, but was associated with increased warmth and reduced hostility towards the patients.

### **Economic benefits**

Improvements in clinical, social and family functioning would be expected to reduce the need for intensive medical and social care and thereby produce economic benefits for service providers. Six studies reported such benefits, albeit in relatively unsophisticated assessments of costs (29,40,42, 45,52,62). It is important to note that no study showed that the addition of family approaches costs more to the services. In most instances the cost savings to the services of integrating family assistance in this way were substantial. Further, the additional cost to the family was usually minimal, particularly as most treatment sessions were arranged flexibly to minimize loss of earnings or the cost of transport.

### **Enduring benefits**

The duration over which programmes were applied varied from 6 months to four years, with most providing this treatment for 9-12 months. It was apparent that benefits endured, and trends towards clinical and social recovery continued, when the treatment approach was continued without major modifications throughout the study period (38,40,

42-44,63). Where treatment ceased at the end of the study period, it was noted that the stress of impending termination of a successful treatment program may have contributed to an excess of episodes at this period (38). However, withdrawal of intensive training in stress management was not usually associated with an immediate cessation of apparent clinical benefits. The studies that examined clinical benefits over at least two years showed a 23% advantage for stress management in minimizing major clinical episodes (36,38,40,42-44,63-65).

All four studies that followed up cases for at least 4 years have shown long-term evidence of clinical benefits (42,66-68). However, the methodology of long-term follow-up studies is less than optimal, and it is clear that for individual cases the benefits tend to diminish once active treatment is stopped. As with all major health problems, comprehensive treatment needs to be continued until all residual impairments, disabilities and handicaps have been resolved, and then followed by monitoring of early signs of recurrences and the provision of booster treatment when this is indicated (39,67). Studies of long-term optimal programmes of this nature are essential (69).

### **Effectiveness of family treatment in routine clinical practice**

One major concern raised by many observers has been the ability to replicate the benefits of controlled trials in clinical practice. In this field there has been a tendency to dilute the methods, using merely part of the intervention program, usually only the mental health education component (17,23, 35,70-92). Some of these studies have shown limited benefits, particularly improved adherence to medication (12). However, substantial clinical and social benefits are generally less than those associated with more comprehensive programmes applied over longer periods.

A series of comprehensive field tri-

als have been completed, with almost all reporting successful replication of the controlled trial results (26-34, 93-101).

### **Comparative benefits of stress management approaches**

The strategies used in stress management approaches include:

- comprehensive assessment of biomedical and psychosocial needs
- case management
- optimal drug treatment
- education of patients and key carers about mental disorders and the treatment
- training in effective problem solving of current and anticipated stresses
- specific strategies to manage problems of compliance
- social and work skills training
- specific strategies for residual psychotic and deficit symptoms
- specific strategies for residual affective and anxiety symptoms
- early detection of exacerbations
- assertive crisis intervention.

At present there have been few studies that have attempted to compare different combinations of strategies. Whereas it is clear that health education alone has limited overall benefits (12), it is not clear which combination of ingredients or setting of treatment is most effective and efficient (34). The effect sizes of clinical benefits of the key combinations of interventions suggest that long-term educational or systemic approaches may be less efficacious than those using problem solving and cognitive behavioural methods (58). Although a carer-based approach has been strongly advocated, there is also strong support for long-term individual approaches that use similar stress management methods. In one study that compared individual and family-based approaches, 38% of patients receiving family treatment had a major episode of psychosis or affective disorder, or had withdrawn from treatment by 24 months, compared to 28% of those allocated to supportive case management, and only 13% of

those receiving intensive individual stress management training (39). These advantages continued to the end of the third year. Reduction of residual symptoms was greatest with the family approach, but social functioning benefits occurred mainly in the first year, whereas those associated with the more intensive individual approach continued to increase throughout the 3 years (39). In this study, patients expressed low satisfaction with the family treatment, and were highly satisfied with the individual approach, which had 73% more sessions (2.4 per month over the 36 months vs. 1.4). Unlike earlier studies, Hogarty's Pittsburgh group did not find any added benefits from combining family and individual strategies.

A less complex study of a cohort of patients who were receiving assertive community treatment found that, although the addition of crisis family treatment could prevent major episodes as effectively as continuous multifamily treatment, it was less successful in achieving social benefits, particularly in the field of employment (44). Further complex studies that compare the ingredients of comprehensive treatment programmes are essential to refine these approaches.

### **Single family versus multi-family groups**

A series of 8 studies that compared stress management conducted predominantly in multi-family groups with that conducted mainly in individual sessions showed a mean advantage of only 3% greater clinical success for the single family approach (37% vs. 34%) in the first year of treatment (36,42-44,63,102-105). Two further studies have compared a multiple family group with a medication and case management control (48,55). The first study of self-help relatives' groups did not involve the patients and showed a higher rate of hospital admissions than the control condition (55), while the second showed reduction in service use,

including hospital admissions, associated with multi-family treatment (48). McFarlane et al (42) have shown that there may be advantages for the multi-family approach when it is used as a long-term maintenance strategy, but this work has not yet been replicated fully, although two other studies used multi-family approaches in the second year of the programs with good maintenance of clinical benefits (40,106). The complex methodology of these comparative studies prevents any clear conclusions about the relative merits of these approaches, particularly when the psychosocial strategies used have differed in the single and multiple family settings. A current multi-centered study nearing completion in Italy has contrasted identical methods in single and multi-family settings. The early results seem to support the findings that similar clinical benefits are achieved in both settings (104). However, this study has again highlighted a somewhat greater rate of withdrawal from the multi-family groups (42-44,101,102). Although multi-family settings may appear more cost-effective, it is important that all costs are considered, not merely the time spent conducting the treatment itself, before concluding that this strategy should be the method of choice for services. It is unlikely that any one training format will meet the needs of all cases, and a comprehensive service will include a range of efficacious family and individual approaches, tailored to the needs of individual cases at different stages in their clinical and social recovery.

### **Integration with social and work skills training strategies**

The addition of social skills training strategies to assist patients to cope more effectively with stresses in community settings outside the family appears to confer an added benefit to those methods that focus more on stresses within the patient's immediate social network. Six studies that combined social skills training strate-

gies with carer-based stress management appear to have achieved the best clinical outcomes (38,40,41,46, 106). Only 19% of patients receiving this integrated approach had poor outcomes during the first year of treatment. The precise manner in which these strategies are integrated has not been studied. In some programs the social and work skills training has been an integral part of the family problem solving sessions (40, 41,46), in others the two approaches are conducted in separate sessions (38,39,105). It is noted that the benefits of conducting social skills training without the collaborative support of key caregivers appears to have limited long-term benefits in the well-controlled studies that have been completed (38).

### **Integration of other psychosocial strategies for residual symptoms**

Several groups have used a cognitive behavioural approach that includes specific strategies for residual psychotic, deficit, affective and anxiety symptoms, all of which are common in functional psychotic disorders (40,41). These strategies have been demonstrated as highly efficacious when studied in non-schizophrenic populations (107). To date there have been no controlled studies that have compared family programs that include such strategies when indicated, with those that use only the generic problem solving methods. One study that employed a wide range of cognitive behavioural strategies showed an improvement in the rates of affective and anxiety episodes in the second year of treatment (40).

### **Does family-based stress management reduce the level of medication needed to prevent recurrences?**

Attempts to substantially lower dosages of drugs below those deemed clinically optimal have proven relatively unsuccessful (45,105). However, in these studies the dose of drugs was rapidly and substantially lowered,

rather than gradually reduced in the manner recommended in clinical practice. Hahlweg et al (45) showed a relatively low rate of major episodes with a targeted dose strategy throughout the period that regular stress management sessions were conducted. Schooler's collaborative study did not replicate this finding, but did support the hypothesis that family-based strategies may enable lower doses of medication to be used without increasing the risk of major episodes (105).

### **FAMILY INTERVENTIONS FOR AFFECTIVE DISORDERS**

Family education and stress management is frequently used in treatment programmes for major affective disorders, but relatively few studies have been conducted to assess the benefits of these approaches. Controlled studies of bipolar disorders that have involved families in the treatment process have shown added benefits, similar to those obtained in the studies on schizophrenic disorders (108-113). Such benefits in a condition where pharmacotherapy is often unsatisfactory suggests that carer-based approaches should be more widely available (114).

Despite substantial evidence for the association between family and marital factors and the onset and course of major depressive disorders (115), most psychosocial strategies have focused on stress and vulnerability from the individual perspective. There is limited evidence that family or marital strategies achieve somewhat greater benefits than the individual cognitive behavioural or interpersonal approaches, particularly where marital conflict is an ongoing major stressor (116-124).

Early intervention using a family-oriented approach when depressive or manic symptoms first emerge may prove highly efficacious in preventing major affective episodes, associated social morbidity and potential suicide risk (125,126). While offering considerable promise, further carefully controlled studies are essential to enable

carer-based approaches to be targeted with greater precision to the specific problems associated with affective disorders.

### **FAMILY INTERVENTIONS FOR ANXIETY AND OBSESSIVE-COMPULSIVE DISORDERS**

The education and assistance of family members and friends in the application of specific cognitive behavioural strategies for anxiety and obsessive-compulsive disorders is common practice (127-133). However, we are not aware of any controlled studies of the specific benefits associated with carer involvement.

One controlled study of chronic post-traumatic stress disorder showed no benefits from adding cognitive behavioural family strategies to a programme of graduated exposure (134).

### **FAMILY INTERVENTIONS FOR EATING DISORDERS**

Family involvement in the treatment of anorexia nervosa is common to most programmes (135). However, few controlled studies have been conducted (136-138). The results do not show any consistent benefits for family therapies when they have been compared to various individual psychotherapeutic approaches. The family treatment strategies have varied considerably and there is no evidence to support the superiority of any one approach (139,140).

### **FAMILY INTERVENTIONS FOR ALCOHOL AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE**

Evidence for the benefits of family strategies is accumulating in the treatment of alcohol and substance abuse. This includes the engagement of unmotivated subjects (141), and the treatment of substance use in patients with schizophrenic disorders (142).

### **CONCLUSIONS**

There is sufficient scientific evidence to conclude that strategies that

enhance the caregiving capacity of family members and other people involved in the day to day care for people with mental disorders have a clinically significant impact on the course of major mental disorders. This evidence is strongest for schizophrenic and bipolar affective disorders. The best results appear to be associated with comprehensive methods that integrate carers into the therapeutic team through education and training in stress management strategies, with continued professional support and supervision over a period of at least two years. Although education about mental disorders and their biomedical and psychosocial treatment is a valuable component of these approaches, and may improve engagement and adherence to treatment programmes, it does not seem sufficient to reduce the risk of major episodes or to promote clinical and social recovery.

There is growing evidence for the benefits of carer-based methods for depressive and eating disorders. However, it is not clear which cases benefit more from family or individual approaches, or how best to combine the two formats of treatment. Finally, although family members are almost always involved in programmes for anxiety disorders and substance abuse, research is needed to clarify the merits of this involvement.

In addition to the benefits in terms of improved prognosis, there is evidence that social morbidity is reduced, particularly when treatment continues for at least two years and integrates personal goal setting and aspects of social and work skills training. Despite evidence that the benefits of family work are not well sustained once the intensive training phases have been completed, there is a lack of research into how improvements can be maintained. Multi-family group formats offer promise as a long-term strategy for parental families. But carers who are spouses, partners, siblings, children and close friends may prefer other formats.

Benefits from family approaches

are also evident for the carers themselves, with reduced stress associated with their caregiving roles. However, even when evidence-based family programmes are applied, the stress associated with continued family care of chronic cases remains considerable and alternative supportive caregiving arrangements are essential (143). Efforts to develop and evaluate similar therapeutic programmes in residential services must be given a high priority.

Despite the clear evidence of efficacy and efficiency, few services have incorporated these carer-based strategies into their routine practice (144). This problem is shared with most non-commercial advances in clinical practice. In addition to adequate training in educational and psychological strategies, assertive management of services is needed to ensure that the efforts of key caregivers of all patients are fully integrated into clinical programmes at all times. Almost all patients have somebody who cares for them, or at least somebody who cares about them. With improved understanding and straightforward training in problem solving approaches caregivers can provide a substantial additional resource to the therapeutic team, a resource that promises to contribute to long-term clinical and social recovery from major disorders.

## References

1. Richardson HB. Patients have families. New York: Commonwealth Fund, 1948.
2. Falloon IRH, Boyd JL, McGill CW. Family care of schizophrenia. New York: Guilford Press, 1984.
3. Brown GW, Bone M, Dalison B et al. Schizophrenia and social care. London: Oxford University Press, 1966.
4. Brown GW, Carstairs GM, Topping GG. Post-hospital adjustment of chronic mental patients. *Lancet* 1958;i:685-9.
5. Brown GW, Birley JLT, Wing JK. Influence of family life on the course of schizophrenic disorders. A replication. *Br J Psychiatry* 1972;121:241-58.
6. Leff J, Vaughn C. Expressed emotion in families. New York: Guilford Press, 1985.
7. Vaughn C, Leff J. The influence of family and social factors on the course of psy-

chiatric illness. A comparison of schizophrenic and depressed neurotic patients. *Br J Psychiatry* 1976;129:125-37.

8. Falloon IRH. Expressed emotion: current status. *Psychol Med* 1988;18:269-74.
9. Falloon IRH, Liberman RP, Lillie FJ et al. Family therapy for schizophrenics with a high risk of relapse. *Fam Proc* 1981; 20:211-21.
10. Dixon LB, Lehman AF. Family interventions for schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 1995;21:631-43.
11. Huxley NA, Rendall M, Sederer L. Psychosocial treatments in schizophrenia: a review of the past 20 years. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2000;88:187-201.
12. Pekkala E, Merinder L. Psychoeducation for schizophrenia (Cochrane Review). In: *The Cochrane Library, Issue 3*. Oxford: Update Software, 2001.
13. Penn DL, Mueser KT. Research update on the psychosocial treatment of schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 1996;153:607-17.
14. Pharoah FM, Mari JJ, Streiner D. Family intervention for schizophrenia. In: *The Cochrane Library, Issue 2*. Oxford: Update Software, 2000.
15. Falloon IRH, Roncone R, Held T et al. An international overview of family interventions: developing effective treatment strategies and measuring their benefits to patients, carers, and communities. In: Leffley HP, Johnson DL (eds). *Family interventions in mental illness: international perspectives*. Westport: Greenwood, 2001:3-23.
16. Anderson CM, Reiss DJ, Hogarty GE. Schizophrenia and the family. New York: Guilford Press, 1986.
17. Atkinson JM, Coia DA, Gilmour WH et al. The impact of education groups for people with schizophrenia on social functioning and quality of life. *Br J Psychiatry* 1996;168:199-204.
18. Bäuml J, Kissling W, Pitschel-Walz G. Psychoedukative Gruppen für schizophrene Patienten: Einfluss auf Wissenstand und Compliance. *Nervenheilkunde* 1996;15:145-50.
19. Ehlert U. Psychologische Intervention bei den Angehörigen schizophrener Patienten. Frankfurt: Peter Lang, 1989.
20. MacCarthy B, Kuipers L, Hurry J et al. Counselling relatives of the long-term adult mentally ill. I. Evaluation of the impact on relatives and patients. *Br J Psychiatry* 1989;154:768-75.
21. Macpherson R, Jerrom B, Hughes A. A controlled study of education about drug treatment of schizophrenia. *Br J Psychiatry* 1996;168:709-17.
22. Posner CM, Wilson KG, Krai MJ et al. Family psychoeducational support groups in schizophrenia. *Am J Orthopsychiatry* 1992;62:206-18.

23. Vaughan K, Doyle M, McConaghy N et al. The Sydney intervention trial: a controlled trial of relatives' counselling to reduce schizophrenic relapse. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 1992;27:16-21.
24. Goldstein MJ, Rodnick EH, Evans JE et al. Drug and family therapy in the aftercare of acute schizophrenics. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1978;35:1169-77.
25. Glick ID, Clarkin JF, Haas GL et al. Clinical significance of inpatient family intervention: conclusions from a clinical trial. *Hosp Commun Psychiatry* 1993;44:869-73.
26. Barrowclough C, TARRIER N, Lewis S et al. Randomised controlled effectiveness trial of a needs-based psychosocial intervention service for carers of people with schizophrenia. *Br J Psychiatry* 1999;174:505-11.
27. Berglund N. How early intervention in psychiatric long-term illness patients and their families influences relapse, medication and family burden. Unpublished manuscript, 1996.
28. Bertrando P, Bressi C, Clerici M et al. Terapia familiare sistemica ed emotività espressa nella schizofrenia cronica. Uno studio preliminare. *Attraverso lo Specchio* 1989;25:511-62.
29. Held T. Schizophreniebehandlung in der Familie. Frankfurt: Peter Lang, 1995.
30. Levene JE, Newman F, Jeffries JJ. Focal family therapy outcome study I: patient and family functioning. *Can J Psychiatry* 1989;34:641-7.
31. Kottgen C, Sonnichsen I, Mollenhauer K et al. Group therapy with families of schizophrenia patients: results of the Hamburg Camberwell Family Interview Study. III. *Int J Fam Psychiatry* 1984;5:84-94.
32. Xiang M, Ran M, Li S. A controlled evaluation of psychoeducational family intervention in a rural Chinese community. *Br J Psychiatry* 1994;165:544-8.
33. Rund BR, Moe L, Sollien T et al. The Psychosis Project: outcome and cost-effectiveness of a psychoeducational programme for schizophrenic adolescents. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1994;89:211-8.
34. Herz MI, Lamberti JS, Minz J et al. A program for relapse prevention in schizophrenia: a controlled study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2000;57:277-83.
35. Shimodera S, Inoue S, Mino Y et al. Expressed emotion and psychoeducational intervention for relatives of patients with schizophrenia: a randomized controlled study in Japan. *Psychiatry Res* 2000;96:141-8.
36. Hornung WP, Holle R, Schulze-Mönking H et al. Psychoedukativ-psychotherapeutische Behandlung von schizophrenen Patienten und ihren Bezugspersonen. *Ergebnisse einer 1-jahres-Katamnese. Nervenarzt* 1995;66:828-34.
37. Zhang M, He Y, Gittelman M et al. Group psychoeducation of relatives of schizophrenic patients: two-year experiences. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 1998;52(Suppl.):S344-7.
38. Hogarty GE, Anderson CM, Reiss DJ et al. Family psycho-education, social skills training and maintenance chemotherapy in the aftercare treatment of schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1986;43:633-42.
39. Hogarty GE, Kornblith JJ, Greenwald D et al. Three-year trials of personal therapy among schizophrenic patients living with or independent of family, II: Effects on adjustment of patients. *Am J Psychiatry* 1997;154:1514-24.
40. Falloon IRH and Associates. Family management of schizophrenia: a study of clinical, social and economic benefits. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1985.
41. TARRIER N, Barrowclough C, Vaughn C et al. The community management of schizophrenia: a controlled trial of a behavioural intervention with families to reduce relapse. *Br J Psychiatry* 1988;153:532-42.
42. McFarlane WR, Link B, Dushay R et al. Psychoeducational multiple family groups: four-year relapse outcome in schizophrenia. *Fam Proc* 1995;34:127-44.
43. McFarlane WR, Lukens E, Link B et al. Multiple-family groups and psychoeducation in the treatment of schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1995;52:679-87.
44. McFarlane WR, Dushay RA, Stastny P et al. A comparison of two levels of family-aided assertive community treatment. *Psychiatr Serv* 1996;47:744-50.
45. Hahlweg K, Durr H, Müller U. Familienbetreuung schizophrener Patienten. Weinheim: Psychologie Verlags Union, 1995.
46. Veltro F, Magliano L, Falloon IRH et al. Behavioural family therapy for patients with schizophrenia: a randomised controlled trial. Presented at the Congress of the World Association for Psychosocial Rehabilitation, Rotterdam, May 1996.
47. Falloon IRH, Marshall GN, Boyd JL et al. Relapse in schizophrenia: a review of the concept and its definitions. *Psychol Med* 1983;13:469-77.
48. Dyck DG, Hendryx MS, Short RA et al. Service use among patients with schizophrenia in psychoeducational multiple-family group treatment. *Psychiatr Serv* 2002;53:749-54.
49. Grawe RW, Widen JH, Falloon IRH. Early intervention for schizophrenic disorders: implementing optimal treatment strategies in clinical services. In: Kashima H, Falloon IRH, Mizuno M et al (eds.). *Comprehensive treatment of schizophrenia*. Tokyo: Springer-Verlag, 2002:290-7.
50. Leff J, Kuipers L, Berkowitz R et al. A controlled trial of social intervention in the families of schizophrenic patients. *Br J Psychiatry* 1982;141:121-34.
51. Randolph ET, Eth S, Glynn SM et al. Behavioural family management in schizophrenia: outcome of a clinic-based intervention. *Br J Psychiatry* 1994;164:501-6.
52. Xiong W, Phillips MR, Hu X et al. Family-based intervention for schizophrenic patients in China. A randomised controlled trial. *Br J Psychiatry* 1994;165:239-47.
53. Zhang M, Yan H and Co-authors. Effectiveness of psychoeducation of schizophrenic patients: a prospective cohort study in five cities of China. *Int J Ment Health* 1993;22:47-59.
54. Zhang M, Wang M, Li J et al. Randomised-control trial of family intervention for 78 first-episode male schizophrenic patients: an 18-month study in Suzhou, Jiangsu. *Br J Psychiatry* 1994;165(Suppl. 24):96-102.
55. Buchkremer G, Scultze-Moenking H, Holle R et al. The impact of therapeutic relatives' groups on the course of illness of schizophrenic patients. *Eur Psychiatry* 1995;10:17-27.
56. Linszen D, Dingemans P, van der Does JW et al. Treatment, expressed emotion and relapse in recent onset schizophrenic disorders. *Psychol Med* 1996;26:333-42.
57. Telles C, Karno M, Mintz J et al. Immigrant families coping with schizophrenia: behavioural family intervention v. case management with a low-income Spanish-speaking population. *Br J Psychiatry* 1995;167:473-9.
58. Falloon IRH, Held T, Coverdale JH et al. Family interventions of schizophrenia: a review of long-term benefits of international studies. *Psychiatr Rehab Skills* 1999;3:268-90.
59. Shepherd M, Watt D, Falloon I et al. The natural history of schizophrenia. *Psychol Med Monograph* n.16. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989.
60. Montero I, Asencio A, Hernandez I et al. Two strategies for family intervention in schizophrenia: a randomised trial in a Mediterranean environment. *Schizophr Bull* 2001;27:661-70.
61. Zastowny TR, Lehman AF, Cole RE et al. Family management of schizophrenia: a comparison of behavioral and supportive family treatment. *Psychiatr Quarterly* 1992;63:159-86.
62. TARRIER N, Lowson K, Barrowclough C. Some aspects of family interventions in schizophrenia. II: Financial considerations. *Br J Psychiatry* 1991;159:481-4.

63. Falloon IRH, McGill CW, Matthews SM et al. Family treatment for schizophrenia: the design and research application of therapist training models. *J Psychother Pract Res* 1996;5:45-56.
64. Leff J, Kuipers L, Berkowitz R et al. A controlled study of social intervention in the families of schizophrenic patients: two-year follow-up. *Br J Psychiatry* 1985;146:594-600.
65. Tarrier N, Barrowclough C, Vaughn C et al. Community management of schizophrenia. A two-year follow-up of a behavioural intervention with families. *Br J Psychiatry* 1989;154:625-8.
66. Hornung WP, Feldman R, Klingberg S et al. Long-term effects of a psychoeducational psychotherapeutic intervention for schizophrenic outpatients and their key-persons - results of a five-year follow-up. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 1999;249:162-7.
67. Linszen D, Dingemans P, Lenior M. Early intervention and a five-year follow up in young adults with a short duration of untreated psychosis: ethical implications. *Schizophr Res* 2001;51:55-61.
68. Tarrier N, Barrowclough C, Porceddu K et al. The Salford family intervention project: relapse rates of schizophrenia at five and eight years. *Br J Psychiatry* 1994;165:829-32.
69. Falloon IRH and The Optimal Treatment Project Collaborators. Optimal treatment for psychosis in an International Multisite Demonstration Project. *Psychiatr Serv* 1999;50:615-8.
70. Abramowitz IA, Coursey RD. Impact of an educational support group of family participants who take care of their schizophrenic relatives. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1989;57:232-6.
71. Birchwood M, Smith J, Cochrane R. Specific and non-specific effects of educational intervention for families living with schizophrenia: a comparison of three methods. *Br J Psychiatry* 1992;160:806-14.
72. Cazzullo CL, Bertrando P, Clerici C et al. The efficacy of an information group intervention on relatives of schizophrenics. *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 1989;35:313-23.
73. Cozolino LJ, Goldstein MJ. Family education as a component of extended family-orientated treatment programmes for schizophrenia. In: Goldstein MJ, Hand I, Hahlweg K (eds). *Treatment of schizophrenia: family assessment and intervention*. Berlin: Springer Verlag, 1986.
74. Dixon L, Stewart B, Burland J et al. Pilot study of the effectiveness of the Family-To-Family education program. *Psychiatr Serv* 2001;52:965-7.
75. Goldman CR, Quinn FL. Effects of a patient education programme in the treatment of schizophrenia. *Hosp Commun Psychiatry* 1988;39:282-6.
76. Greenberg L, Fine SB, Cohen C et al. An interdisciplinary psychoeducation program for schizophrenia patients and their families in an acute care setting. *Hosp Commun Psychiatry* 1988;39:277-81.
77. Hill D, Balk D. The effect of an education program for the families of the chronically mentally ill on stress and anxiety. *Psychosoc Rehabil J* 1987;10:25-40.
78. Ito J, Oshima I, Tsukada K et al. Family psychoeducation with schizophrenic patients and their families from the viewpoint of empowerment. In: Kashima H, Falloon IRH, Mizuno M et al (eds). *Comprehensive treatment of schizophrenia*. Tokyo: Springer-Verlag, 2002:100-6.
79. Kelly GR, Scott JE. Medication compliance and health education among outpatients with chronic mental disorders. *Med Care* 1990;28:1181-97.
80. Lebrun LJ, Lelander-Singh M, Luke A. Schizophrenia outpatient education. *Can Nurse* 1991;87:25-7.
81. Leung GM, Rastogi SC, Woods J. Relative support group of long-stay psychiatric patients. *Psychiatr Bull* 1989;13:417-9.
82. McCreadie RG, Phillips K, Harvey JA et al. The Nithsdale schizophrenia surveys VIII. Do relatives want family intervention - and does it help? *Br J Psychiatry* 1991;158:110-3.
83. Merinder LB, Viuff AG, Laugesen HD et al. Patient and relative education in community psychiatry: a randomised controlled trial regarding its effectiveness. *Social Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 1999;34:287-94.
84. Michielin P, Leoni S. Educazione all'uso dei farmaci e compliance: uno studio preliminare. *Riv Riabil Psichiatr Psicosoc* 1992;1:43-6.
85. Pilsecker C. Hospital classes educate schizophrenics about their illness. *Hosp Commun Psychiatry* 1981;32:60-1.
86. Roncone R, Bolino F. Valutazione dell'intervento psicoeducazionale nelle famiglie di pazienti schizofrenici. *Riv Sper Fren* 1988;57:1214-38.
87. Seltzer A, Roncari I, Garfinkel P. Effect of patient education on medication compliance. *Can J Psychiatry* 1980;25:638-45.
88. Spiegel D, Wissler T. Using family consultation as psychiatric aftercare for schizophrenic patients. *Hosp Commun Psychiatry* 1987;38:1096-9.
89. Williams CA. Patient education for people with schizophrenia. *Perspect Psychiatr Care* 1989;25:14-21.
90. Pereira Miragal J. Aplicación de la terapia familiar y del modelo psicoeducativo en una unidad de internamiento breve. *Psiquis* 1994;15:33-7.
91. Szmukler GI, Herrman H, Colusa S et al. A controlled trial of a counselling intervention for relatives with schizophrenia. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 1996;31:149-55.
92. Solomon P, Draine J, Mannion E et al. Impact of brief family psychoeducation on self-efficacy. *Schizophr Bull* 1996;22:41-50.
93. Brooker C, Falloon I, Butterworth A et al. The outcome of training community psychiatric nurses to deliver psychosocial intervention. *Br J Psychiatry* 1994;165:222-30.
94. Brooker C, Tarrier N, Barrowclough C et al. Training community psychiatric nurses to undertake psychosocial intervention: report of a pilot study. *Br J Psychiatry* 1992;160:836-44.
95. Curran J. Social skills training and behavioural family therapy for schizophrenia: a field trial. Presented at the World Congress of Behaviour Therapy, Edinburgh, September 1988.
96. Falloon IRH, Fadden G. *Integrated mental health care*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993.
97. Kavanagh DJ, Piatkowska O, Clark D et al. Application of a cognitive-behavioural family intervention for schizophrenia in multi-disciplinary teams: What can the matter be? *Aust Psychol* 1993;28:181-8.
98. McGorry PD, Edwards J, Mihalopoulos C et al. EPPIC: an evolving system of early detection and optimal management. *Schizophr Bull* 1996;22:305-26.
99. Whitfield W, Taylor C, Virgo N. Family care of schizophrenia. *J Roy Soc Health* 1988;1:1-4.
100. Mak KY, Wong MC, Ma LK et al. A cost-effectiveness study of a community-based family management rehabilitation programme for schizophrenic outpatients in Hong Kong: a six-month report. *Hong Kong J Psychiatry* 1997; 7:26-35.
101. Amenson CS, Liberman RP. Dissemination of educational classes for families of adults with schizophrenia. *Psychiatr Serv* 2001;52:589-92.
102. Leff J, Berkowitz R, Shavit N et al. A trial of family therapy v. a relatives group for schizophrenia. *Br J Psychiatry* 1989;154:58-66.
103. Montero I, Asencio AP, Ruiz I et al. Family interventions in schizophrenia: an analysis of non-adherence. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1999;100:136-41.
104. Roncone R, Morosini PL, Falloon IRH et al. Family interventions in schizophrenia in Italian mental health services. In: Kashima H, Falloon IRH, Mizuno M et al (eds). *Comprehensive treatment of schizophrenia*. Tokyo: Springer-Verlag, 2002:284-9.
105. Schooler NR, Keith SJ, Severe JB et al. Relapse and rehospitalisation during



- maintenance treatment of schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1997;54:453-63.
106. Wallace CJ, Liberman RP. Social skills training for patients with schizophrenia: a controlled clinical trial. *Psychiatry Res* 1985;15:239-47.
  107. Andrews G. Talk that works: the rise of cognitive behaviour therapy. *Br Med J* 1996;313:1501-2.
  108. Clarkin JF, Carpenter D, Hull J et al. Effects of psychoeducational intervention for married patients with bipolar disorder and their spouses. *Psychiatr Serv* 1998;49:531-3.
  109. Glick ID, Clarkin JF, Haas GL et al. Clinical significance of inpatient family intervention: conclusions from a clinical trial. *Hosp Commun Psychiatry* 1993; 44:869-73.
  110. Miklowitz DJ, Goldstein MJ. Behavioral family treatment for patients with bipolar affective disorder. *Behav Modif* 1990;14:457-89.
  111. Honig A, Hofman A, Rozendaal N et al. Psycho-education in bipolar disorder: effect on expressed emotion. *Psychiatry Res* 1997;72:17-22.
  112. Miklowitz DJ, Simoneau TL, George EA et al. Family-focused treatment of bipolar disorder: one-year effects of a psychoeducational program in conjunction with pharmacotherapy. *Biol Psychiatry* 2000;48:582-92.
  113. Wang X, Wang F, Ma A et al. A controlled study of family education for bipolar disorders. *Chinese Ment Health J* 2000;14:399-401.
  114. Huxley NA, Parikh SV, Baldessarini RJ. Effectiveness of psychosocial treatments for bipolar disorder: state of the evidence. *Harv Rev Psychiatry* 2000;8: 126-40.
  115. Keitner GI, Miller IW. Family functioning and major depression: an overview. *Am J Psychiatry* 1990;147:1128-37.
  116. Beach SR, O'Leary KD. Treating depression in the context of marital discord: outcome and predictors of response of marital therapy versus cognitive therapy. *Behav Ther* 1992;23:507-28.
  117. Emanuels-Zuurveen L, Emmelkamp PM. Spouse-aided therapy with depressed patients. *Behav Modif* 1997;21:62-77.
  118. Friedman AS. Interaction of drug therapy with marital therapy in depressive patients. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1975; 32:619-37.
  119. Jacobson NS, Dobson K, Fruzzetti AE et al. Marital therapy as treatment for depression. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1991; 51:547-57.
  120. Jacobson NS, Fruzzetti AE, Dobson K et al. Couple therapy as a treatment for depression: II. The effects of relationship quality and therapy on depressive relapse. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1993; 61:516-9.
  121. Leff J, Vearnals S, Brewin CR et al. The London Depression Intervention Trial. Randomised controlled trial of antidepressants v. couple therapy in the treatment and maintenance of people with depression living with a partner: clinical outcome and costs. *Br J Psychiatry* 2000;177:95-100.
  122. Teichman Y, Bar-El Z, Shor H et al. A comparison of two modalities of cognitive therapy (individual and marital) in treating depression. *Psychiatry Interpers Biol Proc* 1995; 58:136-48.
  123. Waring EM, Chamberlaine CH, McCrank EW et al. Dysthymia: a randomised study of cognitive marital therapy and antidepressants. *Can J Psychiatry* 1988; 33:96-8.
  124. Waring EM, Chamberlaine CH, Carver CM et al. A pilot study of marital therapy as a treatment for depression. *Am J Fam Ther* 1995;23:3-10.
  125. Falloon IRH, Shanahan W, Laporta M. Prevention of major depressive episodes: early intervention with family-based stress management. *J Ment Health* 1992;1:53-60.
  126. Perry A, Tarrier N, Morriss R et al. Randomised controlled trial of efficacy of teaching patients with bipolar disorder to identify early symptoms of relapse and obtain treatment. *Br Med J* 1999; 318:149-53.
  127. Craske MG, Burton T, Barlow DH. Relationships among measures of communication, marital satisfaction and exposure during couples treatment of agoraphobia. *Behav Res Ther* 1989;27: 131-40.
  128. Daiuto AD, Baucom DH, Epstein N et al. The application of behavioral couples therapy to the assessment and treatment of agoraphobia: implications of empirical research. *Clin Psychol Rev* 1998;18:663-87.
  129. Falloon IRH, Lloyd GG, Harpin RE. The treatment of social phobia: real-life rehearsal and non-professional therapists. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 1981;169:180-4.
  130. Hafner RJ. Marriage and mental illness. New York: Guilford Press, 1986.
  131. Laidlaw TM, Falloon IRH, Barnfather D et al. The stress of caring for people with obsessive compulsive disorders. *Commun Ment Health J* 1999;35:443-50.
  132. Mathews AM, Gelder MG, Johnson DW. Agoraphobia: nature and treatment. New York: Guilford Press, 1981.
  133. Van Noppen B, Steketee G, McCorkle BH et al. Group and multifamily behavioral treatment for obsessive compulsive disorder: a pilot study. *J Anxiety Disord* 1997;11:431-6.
  134. Glynn SM, Eth S, Randolph ET et al. A test of behavioral family therapy to augment exposure for combat-related post-traumatic stress disorder. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1999;67:243-51.
  135. Crisp AH, Norton K, Gowers S et al. A controlled study of the effect of therapies aimed at adolescent and family psychopathology in anorexia nervosa. *Br J Psychiatry* 1991;159:325-33.
  136. Dare C, Eisler I, Russell G et al. Psychological therapies for adults with anorexia nervosa: randomized controlled trial of out-patient treatments. *Br J Psychiatry* 2001;178:216-21.
  137. Robin AL, Siegel PT, Moye AW et al. A controlled comparison of family versus individual therapy for adolescents with anorexia nervosa. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 1999;38:1482-9.
  138. Russell GF, Szmukler GI, Dare C et al. An evaluation of family therapy in anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1987;44:1047-56.
  139. Eisler I, Dare C, Hodes M et al. Family therapy for adolescent anorexia nervosa: the results of a controlled comparison of two family interventions. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2000;41:727-36.
  140. Geist R, Heinmaa M, Stephens D et al. Comparison of family therapy and family group psychoeducation in adolescents with anorexia nervosa. *Can J Psychiatry* 2000;45:173-8.
  141. Miller WR, Meyers RJ, Tonigan JS. Engaging the unmotivated in treatment for alcohol problems: a comparison of three strategies for intervention through family members. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1999;67:688-97.
  142. Barrowclough C, Haddock G, Tarrier N et al. Randomized controlled trial of motivational interviewing, cognitive behavior therapy, and family intervention for patients with comorbid schizophrenia and substance use disorders. *Am J Psychiatry* 2001;158:1706-13.
  143. Falloon IRH, Graham-Hole V, Woodroffe R. Stress and health of informal carers of people with chronic mental disorders. *J Ment Health* 1993;2:165-73.
  144. Dixon L, McFarlane WR, Lefley H et al. Evidence-based practices for services to families of people with psychiatric disabilities. *Psychiatr Serv* 2001;52:903-10.