Evidence for a differential expression of the $Fc \in RI\gamma$ chain in dendritic cells of atopic and nonatopic donors

Natalija Novak,¹ Carmen Tepel,² Susanne Koch,¹ Klaudia Brix,² Thomas Bieber,¹ and Stefan Kraft^{1,3}

¹Department of Dermatology, and

²Institute of Cell Biology and Bonner Forum Biomedizin, Friedrich-Wilhelms University, Bonn, Germany

³Department of Pathology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

While mast cells and basophils constitutively express the high-affinity IgE receptor (FcERI), it is absent or weakly expressed on APCs from normal donors. FcERI is strongly upregulated on APCs from atopic donors and involved in the pathophysiology of atopic diseases. Despite its clinical relevance, data about FcERI regulation on APCs are scarce. We show that in all donors intracellular α chain of the FcERI (FcERI α) accumulates during DC differentiation from monocytes. However, expression of γ chains of the FcERI (FcERI γ), mandatory for surface expression, is downregulated. It is low or negative in DCs from normal donors lacking surface FcERI (FcERI^{neg} DCs). In contrast, DCs from atopics express surface FcERI (FcERI α) and show significant FcERI γ expression, which can be coprecipitated with FcERI α . In FcERI^{neg} DCs lacking FcERI γ , immature and core glycosylated FcERI α accumulates in the endoplasmic reticulum. In FcERI^{pos} DCs expressing FcERI γ , an additional mature form of FcERI α exhibiting complex glycosylation colocalizes with FcERI γ in the Golgi compartment. IgE binding sustains surface-expressed FcERI on DCs from atopic donors dependent on baseline protein synthesis and transport and enhances their IgE-dependent APC function. We propose that enhanced FcERI on DCs from atopic donors is driven by enhanced expression of otherwise limiting amounts of FcERI γ and is preserved by increased IgE levels.

J. Clin. Invest. 111:1047-1056 (2003). doi:10.1172/JCI200315932.

Introduction

Ligation of the high-affinity IgE receptor (FcERI) on effector cells of anaphylaxis such as mast cells and basophils induces cell activation and immediate release of allergic mediators. FcERI on these cells shows a tetrameric structure of a heavily glycosylated α chain of the FcERI (FcERI α), two γ chains (FcERI γ) containing phosphoacceptors for signaling proteins, and a β chain (FcERI β), which enhances FcERI surface expression and signaling (1). In addition, a trimeric form of FcERI lacking FcERI β is found on human dedicated APCs such as DCs, including epidermal Langerhans' cells (LCs),

Received for publication May 14, 2002, and accepted in revised form December 17, 2002.

Address correspondence to: Thomas Bieber, Department of Dermatology, Friedrich-Wilhelms University, Sigmund-Freud Strasse 25, 53105 Bonn, Germany. Phone: 49-228-287-4388; Fax: 49-228-287-4881; E-mail: Thomas.Bieber@ukb.uni-bonn.de. Conflict of interest: The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

Nonstandard abbreviations used: high-affinity IgE receptor (Fc&RI); α chain of the Fc&RI (Fc&RI α); γ chains of the Fc&RI (Fc&RI γ); β chain of the Fc&RI (Fc&RI β); Langerhans' cell (LC); inflammatory dendritic epidermal cell (IDEC); atopic dermatitis (AD); phycoerythrin (PE); protein disulfide isomerase (PDI); peroxidase (POD); monocyte-derived dendritic cell (MoDC); endoglycosidase H (Endo H); anti-4-hydroxy-3-iodo-5nitrophenyl-acetyl (NIP); NIP-haptenized tetanus toxoid (NIP-TT); relative stimulation index (rSI); negligible Fc&RI surface expression on DC (Fc&RI^{neg} DC); significant Fc&RI surface expression on DC (Fc&RI^{neg} DC); brefeldin A (BFA); cycloheximide (CHX); chimeric IgE (cIgE). blood DCs, and monocytes (2–7). APCs bearing trimeric Fc&RI can efficiently present IgE-bound antigens to T cells in an IgE-mediated delayed-type hypersensitivity reaction (6, 8, 9), putatively playing an important role in the pathophysiology of atopic diseases (10, 11).

The mechanisms regulating FcERI expression on APCs are of particular interest because, in contrast to constitutive expression on effector cells of anaphylaxis, FcERI surface expression is associated with the atopic status of the donors. Healthy donors often show low or no surface FcERI on APCs, depending on the cell type, whereas atopic donors display high levels (5, 7, 12, 13). Only FcERI expressed in significant amounts, i.e., on APCs of atopic donors, may mediate sufficient signaling and effector functions (11). A role of FcERI in atopic diseases can be undermined by in vivo observations, such as the emergence of inflammatory dendritic epidermal cells (IDECs), which are present in inflammatory skin, and in atopic dermatitis (AD) show very high FcERI levels (14). The mechanisms guiding such in vivo phenomena are unknown. Studies about basic mechanisms of FcERI regulation have been done using in vitro reconstitution systems and effector cells of anaphylaxis. In rodents a tetrameric structure of FcERI is obligatory, whereas FcERI expressed in humans requires a minimal trimeric structure without Fc ϵ RI β (15, 16). FcERI γ is mandatory for in vitro $\alpha \gamma_2$ and $\alpha \beta \gamma_2$ receptor surface expression (16, 17). Regarding FcERI assembly and maturation (18-21), folding and core glycosylation of immature $Fc \in RI\alpha$ in the ER are followed by trimming of terminal glucose residues. The export of immature FcERI α from the ER to the Golgi compartment is controlled by correct trimming and association with the FcERI γ chains. Then terminal glycosylation with complex sugars follows, and mature FcERI is transported to the cell surface. FcERI β enhances this process, leading to increased surface expression of FcERI.

In APCs, IgE and IL-4 can enhance FcERI expression on monocytes and THP-1 cells (7, 13, 22). Human LCs are immature DCs forming sentinels of the immune system in the skin and express an intracellular FcERIa pool irrespective of the atopic status. Increased FcERI surface levels are associated with upregulation of FcERIy (23). However, detailed analyses of FcERI subunit regulation in LCs are limited because of insufficient availability. In addition, LCs show spontaneous differentiation into mature DCs, which is accompanied by the irreversible loss of $Fc \in RI\alpha$ expression. To study FcERI regulation on DCs in detail, alternative systems have become available. DCs can be generated from peripheral monocytes with GM-CSF and IL-4 (24), and the differentiation stages can be controlled more easily. Using this system, we analyzed trimeric FcERI subunit regulation, localization, and its biochemical status in DCs with regard to the atopic status of donors.

Methods

Reagents. Phycoerythrin-labeled (PE-labeled) T6/RD1 mAb (Beckman Coulter GmbH, Krefeld, Germany) recognizes CD1a. The mAb's 22E7 (a kind gift from J. Kochan, Hoffman-La Roche Diagnostics, Nutley, New Jersey, USA) and 3G6 (Upstate Biotechnology Inc., Lake Placid, New York, USA) detect FcERIa (25, 26). RAB1 is a kind gift from T. Bjerke (Institute of Anatomy, University of Aarhus, Aarhus, Denmark) and is a polyclonal rabbit Ab against human FcεRIα. Polyclonal rabbit antiserum against FcERIy was from Upstate Biotechnology Inc. and mAb 4D8 was kindly provided by J. Kochan (see above). Rabbit polyclonal Ab's for organelle labeling were directed against protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) in the ER (StressGen Biotechnologies Corp., Victoria, British Columbia, Canada) and giantin in the Golgi compartment (a kind gift of Y. Misumi and Y. Ikehara, Fukuoka University, Fukuoka, Japan). The mAb against FcyRIII/CD16 (3G8) was from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories Inc. (West Grove, Pennsylvania, USA). The mAb against FcyRII/CD32 (IV.3) was from Medarex (Lebanon, New Hampshire, USA), and the mAb against FcyRI/CD64 (mAb 10.1) was purchased from PharMingen (San Diego, California, USA). Human myeloma IgE was obtained from Calbiochem-Novabiochem GmbH (Bad Soden, Germany). FITC-, Cy2-, and Cy3-labeled F(ab')2 fragments of goat anti-mouse Fc Ab as well as Cy2- and Cy3-labeled goat anti-rabbit Ab were purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories Inc. Alexa Fluor 488-nm goat anti-mouse F(ab')2 fragments were from Molecular Probes Inc. (Eugene, Oregon, USA). PE-labeled anti-CD14 Ab was from Becton Dickinson

Immunocytometry Systems (San Jose, California, USA). Peroxidase-conjugated (POD-conjugated) goat anti-mouse Ig Ab was obtained from Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc. (Richmond, California, USA). POD-conjugated goat anti-rabbit Ig Ab, poly-L-lysine, digitonin, and saponin were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, Missouri, USA).

Monocyte isolation and generation of monocyte-derived dendritic cells. Atopic donors were showing a clinical history of atopic dermatitis, and/or allergic asthma, and/or allergic rhinoconjunctivitis, and elevated serum IgE (>100 kU/l) and were not subject to therapy. Nonatopic donors exhibited none of these parameters. They were selected in accordance with the local ethics committee and gave written informed consent. Monocytes were isolated from peripheral blood with a modified density-gradient protocol using Nycoprep (Nycomed, Oslo, Norway). Briefly, red blood cells were separated from plasma by sedimentation from EDTA blood with one-tenth (wt/vol) 6% dextran 500 in 0.9% NaCl. Plasma was layered over Nycoprep and centrifuged for 20 min at 600 g. After separation, the interphase and upper part of the Nycoprep were collected and washed four times with 0.9% NaCl plus 0.13% EDTA plus 1% BSA. Then, CD14 expression was assessed, and isolated monocytes were cultured for up to 8 days with 500 U/ml GM-CSF (Genzyme Pharmaceuticals, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA) and 500 U/ml IL-4 (Life Technologies GmbH, Eggenstein, Germany) to yield immature monocyte-derived dendritic cells (MoDCs). For analysis of effects on FcERI regulation, myeloma IgE was initially added at $1 \mu g/ml$ at day 0 and 0.5 $\mu g/ml$ at days 2 and 4. To assess the mechanism of FcERI upregulation, IgE was added at 1 μ g/ml at day 4 ± cycloheximide (Sigma-Aldrich) or ± brefeldin A (GolgiPlug; PharMingen), both at 1 μ g/ml. These inhibitors did not affect cell viability determined by 7-aminoactinomycin-D and apoptosis staining. Stimulation of immature MoDCs with TNF- α (100 U/ml) (Genzyme Pharmaceuticals) was performed for 2 days to achieve final maturation. Contamination of monocyte and DC preparations with mast cells or basophils was excluded by staining with anti-CD117 (Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems) and anti-CD203c (Immunotech, Marseille, France) mAb.

Flow-cytometric analysis. Double-staining experiments with saponin or digitonin for the detection of surface or intracellular Fc ϵ RI chains were performed as described (3, 14, 27). Saponin permeabilization was used for detection of intracellular Fc ϵ RI α , whereas digitonin was used for detection of Fc ϵ RI γ expression as reported (23). Cells were acquired using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems). For quantitative evaluation, the CD1a^{pos} or CD14^{pos} population was gated manually, and the percentage of Fc ϵ RI α - or Fc ϵ RI γ -positive was determined using CellQuest software (Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems).

Confocal laser scan microscopy. For staining of FcERI chains and organelle labeling, 3×10^5 cells were washed with PBS and adhered to coverslips coated with poly-Llysine solution. Cells were incubated in PBS plus 0.2% BSA, fixed with PBS plus 8% paraformaldehyde, then incubated with PBS plus 0.1 M glycine, separated by washes with PBS. Permeabilization was performed for 30 min with saponin buffer (PBS plus 0.5% BSA plus 0.1% saponin), followed by blocking with 0.5 mg/ml human IgG Fc for 30 min. Incubation with primary Ab was performed overnight at 4°C. After four washes with saponin buffer, the coverslips were incubated with FITC-, Cy2-, or Cy3-labeled secondary Ab for 60 min at room temperature. Then, four washes were performed again. Additional blocking and staining steps as described above were performed with another set of non-crossreactive primary and secondary Ab's to achieve labeling of a second epitope. After staining, the samples were washed with saponin buffer and then PBS. Finally, the coverslips were sealed and analyzed on a Zeiss LSM510 microscope (Carl Zeiss Jena GmbH, Jena, Germany) using Zeiss LSM 510 Image Browser/Examiner software.

Immunoprecipitation of $Fc \in RI\alpha$, endoglycosidase H treatment, and Western blotting analysis. For immunoprecipitation of $Fc \in RI\alpha$, cells were lysed in 100 mM boric acid with 80 mM NaCl, 0.5% Triton X-100 (1% digitonin for coimmunoprecipitation of $Fc \in RI\gamma$), and protease inhibitors. After preclearing with protein G agarose (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, California, USA), anti-Fc $eRI\alpha$ mAb 3G6 was added for overnight incubation. Then, protein G agarose was added for 2 h. After three washing steps, proteins were eluted with 2x Laemmli buffer. Treatment of $Fc \in RI\alpha$ with endoglycosidase H (Endo H) (New England Biolabs Inc., Beverly, Massachusetts, USA) was done according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Immunoprecipitates or cell lysates were subject to 10% or 18% SDS-PAGE and blotted to nitrocellulose membranes. After blocking, proteins were identified using the Ab RAB1 for FcεRIα and 4D8 for FcεRIγ (both 1:1,000) or appropriate controls. The bands were visualized with POD-conjugated Ab followed by the ECL system (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA).

Amplification of mRNA and analysis of FcERI transcripts. MoDCs at day 4 of culture were further incubated with or without the addition of 1 µg/ml human myeloma IgE. After 24 and 48 h, total RNA was extracted from highly purified MoDCs using Trizol (Life Technologies GmbH) following the manufacturer's instructions. RT reactions were performed using 1 µg of RNA. Denaturation at 94°C for 40 s was followed by primer annealing at 55°C for 30 s and extension at 72°C for 30 s. A final extension phase of 5 min was added. Specific primer sequences for each gene were as follows: human β -actin, sense, 5'-GAG CGG GAA ATC GTG CGT GAC ATT-3'; antisense, 5'-GAT GGA GTT GAA GGT AGT TTC GTG-3', yielding a 240-bp fragment; human FcɛRI α , sense, 5'-CTG TTC TTC GCT CCA GAT GGC GT-3'; antisense, 5'-TAC AGT AAT GTT GAG GGG CTC AG-3' (536-bp fragment), and human FcERIy, sense, 5'-CCA GCA GTG GTC TTG CTC TTA C-3' and antisense, 5'-GCA TGC AGG CAT ATG TGA TGC C-3'(338-bp fragment). Amplification was performed on a Perkin-Elmer Gene Amp PCR System 9600 thermocycler (Applied Biosystems GmbH, Weiterstadt, Germany). The PCR cycle numbers for the amplification of the respective cDNAs were 25 for β -actin and 30 for FcERI α and FcERI γ . Specific PCR fragments were separated on a 1% agarose gel and visualized using ethidium bromide staining. The PCR products were evaluated semiquantitatively by comparing the ratio of the specific products versus the β -actin band by digital image analysis using the Win-Cam system (Cybertech, Berlin, Germany).

FcERI-mediated antigen uptake and T-cell proliferation assays. For T cell proliferation assays of FcERI-mediated antigen presentation to autologous T cells, MoDCs were generated from the same donors and assayed under identical conditions. Proliferation assays were performed in a total volume of 200 μ l in 96-well round-bottom plastic culture plates using autologous T cells. T cells were isolated from PBMCs using a nylon-wool column (>85% purity was assessed by anti-CD3 staining).

MoDCs from atopic and nonatopic donors (three each) were cultured as described above $\pm 1 \mu g/ml$ chimeric human anti-4-hydroxy-3-iodo-5-nitrophenyl-acetyl (NIP) IgE (cIgE) (JW8/1; Serotec, Oxford, United Kingdom) until day 6 of culture. On day 6, FcERI expression was quantified using flowcytometric staining. MoDCs were washed three times and incubated with $1 \mu g/ml cIgE$ for 1 h. After washing, the cells were loaded with NIP-haptenized tetanus toxoid (NIP-TT) (28). As a control, MoDCs were loaded with cIgE, or NIP-TT alone, or left unloaded. Then MoDCs were irradiated (3 Gy) and seeded with autologous T cells at 2×10^4 (1:10 or 1:100 MoDC/T cells) cells/well in 96-well culture plates at 37°C for 96 h. Each condition was done in triplicate. Twenty microliters of ³H-thymidin (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) was added to each well and incubated for another 16 h. Culture plates were harvested, and the incorporated radioactivity was measured in a liquid scintillation counter. Relative stimulation indices (rSI's) were calculated as follows: rSI = (cpm (SMLR) cpm (T cell)/cpm (T cell).

Statistical analysis. For statistical evaluation, Wilcoxon or Mann-Whitney U tests were performed with SPSS 10.0 for Windows. Results are given in mean percentage of positive cells ± SEM. A P value less than 0.05 was considered to be significant.

Results

Differentiation of monocytes toward DCs results in intracellular accumulation of $Fc \in RI \alpha$ and downregulation of $Fc \in RI \gamma$. Fc $\in RI$ surface expression on monocytes is upregulated in atopic donors (5, 13), although in another study no direct correlation to the serum IgE level was found (29).



FccRI surface and intracellular expression in monocytes and DCs from nonatopic and atopic donors. (**a**) For surface staining, cells were subject to double immunolabeling using anti-FccRI α mAb 22E7, anti-CD14 or anti-CD1a mAb, and 7-aminoactinomycin-D for dead cell discrimination. For intracellular staining, fixation with 4% formaldehyde and permeabilization with 0.5% saponin was done before staining. Acquisition was performed on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer. Shown are histograms from CD14^{pos} or CD1a^{pos} cells (day 8), which represent typical data for at least ten experiments for each donor group. (**b**) Data were obtained as described for (**a**). Shown are at least three experiments for each parameter (mean of percentage of positive cells ± SEM).

In our previous work on FcERI in epidermal LCs, the paradigmatic immature DCs, high FcERIa levels were observed intracellularly, irrespective of FcERI surface expression, which depends on the atopic status of the donors (14, 23). To analyze the expression of FcERIa during differentiation of monocytes toward DCs, we performed a detailed analysis of surface and intracellular expression of $FceRI\alpha$ with regard to the atopic status of donors. Figure 1a shows typical data from donors representative for ten experiments for each group. Whereas normal donors showed negligible FcERI surface expression on monocytes and DCs (FceRIneg DC) at day 8, monocytes and DCs from atopic donors showed significant FcERI surface expression (FcERIpos DC). Interestingly, monocytes from both groups showed very low intracellular expression of FcERIQ, whereas DCs generated from these cells consistently showed high levels of intracellular Fc ϵ RI α both in DCs from atopics and nonatopics (Figure 1b). To compare expression of FcERIa and FcERIy subunits during differentiation, we performed immunoblot analyses with FcERIpos monocytes and MoDCs from atopic donors. Again, we found a strong upregulation of Fc ϵ RI α represented by two bands at 50-60 and 60-70 kDa in MoDCs compared with monocytes (Figure 2a). In contrast, FcERIy was found to be strongly expressed in monocytes, whereas in MoDCs only low amounts were detected (Figure 2b). Since FcyRI/CD64 and FcyRIII/CD16 can share y chains with

FcεRIα (30, 31), we monitored their expression during differentiation. Figure 2c shows a rapid downregulation of IgG receptors, i.e., FcγRI/CD64, FcγRII/CD32, and FcγRII/CD16, during differentiation into DCs. Since FcγRI/CD64 and FcγRIII/CD16 were absent or showed negligible levels of surface expression in DCs at day 8, an association of FcεRIγ with these structures is unlikely. In LCs we observed a rapid downregulation of FcεRI after spontaneous in vitro differentiation (23). Similarly, Figure 2d shows that maturation of immature MoDCs into CD83⁺ DCs for 2 more days of culture with TNF-α significantly downregulates FcεRI expression on DCs from both atopic and nonatopic individuals (n = 6; P < 0.05).

Immature $Fc \in RI\alpha$ represent the majority of preformed intracellular $Fc \in RI\alpha$ found in MoDCs, but $Fc \in RI^{pos}$ DCs from atopics also exhibit mature $Fc \in RI\alpha$. In models using transfected cells, it has been shown that export of $Fc \in RI\alpha$ from the ER is dependent upon association with $Fc \in RI\gamma$ and a glycosylation-dependent quality control mechanism (18–21). To assess (a) the maturity state of $Fc \in RI\alpha$ accumulated in DCs and to investigate (b) whether the different $Fc \in RI$ surface levels observed between $Fc \in RI^{pos}$ DCs from atopics and $Fc \in RI^{neg}$ DCs from nonatopics may be associated with different maturity states, we precipitated $Fc \in RI\alpha$ from DCs. $Fc \in RI\alpha$ was then treated with Endo H, which deglycosylates immature ER glycoproteins but not mature glycoproteins having undergone complex glycosylation in



Figure 2

DCs generated from monocytes accumulate FcɛRI α , whereas FcɛRI γ and Fc γ receptors are downregulated during differentiation. (**a** and **b**) Lysates from monocytes or MoDCs (> 90% purity), as well as RBL 4-48 cells stably expressing human FcɛRI α , A431 epithelial cells, and PBMCs used as controls, were fractionated on SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with Ab for FcɛRI α or FcɛRI γ . (**c**) Expression of the Fc γ receptors CD16, CD32, and CD64 was determined by flow cytometry as described for **a**. (**d**) Mature DCs were generated by addition of TNF- α to MoDC cultures. Flow cytometric analysis of FcɛRI expression of six donors was done as described for Figure 1a.



Immature FcɛRI α is found both in FcɛRI^{pos} from atopics and FcɛRI^{neg} DCs from nonatopics, whereas mature FcɛRI α is found only in FcɛRI^{pos} DCs. Lysates from FcɛRI^{neg} and FcɛRI^{pos} DCs were subject to immunoprecipitation using 3G6 anti-FcɛRI α Ab. Precipitated FcɛRI α was treated with Endo H, fractionated by SDS-PAGE, and then immunoblotted using RAB1 anti-FcɛRI α Ab to detect immature (Endo H-sensitive) or mature (Endo H-resistant) forms. Nil, without Endo H treatment.

the Golgi compartment (18, 19). As shown in Figure 3, a major proportion of the Fc ϵ RI α protein precipitated both from Fc ϵ RI^{pos} and Fc ϵ RI^{neg} DCs consists of a gly-coprotein of 50–60 kDa, which is sensitive to Endo H treatment, yielding a 25–30 kDa core protein band. Thus, this 50–60 kDa protein may represent ER-resident immature Fc ϵ RI α . In addition, Fc ϵ RI^{pos} DCs from atopics exhibited a band at 60–70 kDa resistant to Endo H, presumably representing a mature form from the Golgi compartment or the cell surface.

The subcellular localization of $Fc \in RI$ subunits in $Fc \in RI^{pos}$ and $Fc \in RI^{neg}$ DCs show a different distribution. The biochemical analyses shown above suggest that most of FcεRIα accumulated during DC differentiation is ER localized. In addition, mature FcERIa detected in lysates from FcERIpos DCs should also be detectable in the Golgi compartment. To further confirm these observations, the distribution of the FcERI subunits was analyzed by confocal laser scan microscopy (see Figure 4). CD14 or CD1a positivity of FcERI-labeled cells was confirmed using double immunolabeling (data not shown). In contrast to normal donors, freshly isolated monocytes from atopic donors exhibited membrane-localized FcεRIα colocalizing with FcεRIγ subunits. Some few, FcεRIγ-positive but FcεRIα-negative, monocytes were also present in these preparations. After differentiation toward DCs, a strong

Figure 4

Monocytes, FcɛRI^{pos}, and FcɛRI^{neg} DCs show a different localization of FcɛRI subunits. Cells were adhered to coverslips, fixed, and permeabilized using 0.1% saponin. After blocking using human IgG Fc, sequential indirect immunolabeling with Ab against FcɛRI subunits and organelle markers was performed. After mounting, the samples were analyzed by confocal laser scanning microscopy. intracellular FcεRIα expression was observed, while FcERI surface expression was weaker under these experimental conditions, presumably due to its downregulation and to changes in the plasma membrane architecture. In nonpermeabilized cells, however, it could be detected better (data not shown). While the majority of FcERIneg DCs from nonatopics showed a strong intracellular expression of FceRIa localized in the ER (colocalized with anti-PDI), they lacked FcERIY expression or showed a discrete staining pattern. In contrast, FcERIpos DCs from atopics showed colocalization of FcERIa and FcERIy subunits together, mainly in the Golgi compartment (colocalized with antigiantin) in addition to the ER localization also observed in FcERIneg DCs. Taken together, these data confirm that DCs acquire an intracellular pool of Fc ϵ RI α localized in the ER in FcERIneg DCs. In FcERIpos DCs from atopics, which coexpress the γ chain, it is allowed to reach the Golgi compartment and, subsequently, the cell surface.

Fc $\epsilon RI\gamma$ controls the surface expression of Fc $\epsilon RI\alpha$ in DCs. In in vitro reconstitution models and in mast cells, surface expression of Fc $\epsilon RI\alpha$ is critically dependent on Fc $\epsilon RI\gamma$ expression (16, 17, 32). We reported a correlation between Fc $\epsilon RI\alpha$ surface levels and Fc $\epsilon RI\gamma$ expression at mRNA and protein levels in human LCs (23). Since our data show abundant Fc $\epsilon RI\alpha$ in MoDCs with no or little regard to the atopic status of donors, differential Fc $\epsilon RI\gamma$ expression in DCs from normal and atopic individuals could explain the dichotomy observed in Fc ϵRI





Significant FccRI γ expression and association of FccRI α with FccRI γ can be detected only in FccRI^{pos} DCs from atopic donors. (**a**) FccRI γ expression was determined by double immunolabeling of MoDCs using anti-FccRI γ and anti-CD1a after a mild permeabilization with digitonin to preserve surface-expressed FccRI complexes. Determination of FccRI surface expression and flow-cytometric analysis was done as described for Figure 1. (**b**) FccRI^{neg} (4% positive cells) and FccRI^{pos} (86% positive cells) DCs were subject to mild lysis with a buffer containing 1% digitonin followed by immunoprecipitation using 3G6 anti-FccRI α Ab and SDS-PAGE. FccRI γ coprecipitated with FccRI α was detected by immunoblotting using 4D8 Ab. PBMC lysates were used as positive controls.

surface expression and FcERIy protein levels in 6-dayold MoDCs from donors of atopic (FcERIPOS DCs) and normal backgrounds (Fc ϵ RI^{neg} DCs) (*n* = 9). Figure 5a shows a highly significant correlation between the expression levels of these two structures (r = 0.952; P < 0.0001). To investigate whether FcERI γ is indeed associated in DCs positive for surface FcERI, we precipitated FcERI from FcERIneg and FcERIpos DCs under mild lysis conditions using 1% digitonin (19). Figure 5b shows that $Fc \in RI\gamma$ was coprecipitated with $Fc \in RI\alpha$ in FcERIpos DCs from atopics, but not in FcERIneg DCs from nonatopics (despite strong intracellular FcERIa expression in both groups, data not shown). Thus, we conclude that remaining, moderate FcERIy expression in DCs from atopics - as opposed to low or absent FcERIY expression in DCs from nonatopics – is sufficient to keep significant FcERI surface levels on these cells.

An "atopic environment" provided by IgE sustains Fc ϵ RI surface expression in DCs. While as yet unknown factors that induce γ -chain expression may provide DCs from atopics with higher baseline Fc ϵ RI surface expression, the maximal levels, e.g., seen during active AD, may be regulated by additional factors. In effector cells of anaphylaxis, IgE levels positively regulate Fc ϵ RI surface expression (33-35), but it is unclear whether the ligand may influence the level of FcERI on DCs. We therefore tested the effect of human myeloma IgE on FcERI expression added every 2 days during DC differentiation. Preliminary experiments showed that an initial dose of $1 \,\mu g/ml$ of IgE was optimal and did not affect the phenotype and apoptosis rate. While addition of IgE failed to induce any FcERI surface expression at different time points on FcERIneg DCs from nonatopic donors (data not shown), DCs from atopic individuals exhibited significantly higher FcERI levels with IgE than DCs generated under control conditions (Figure 6a). We performed a number of additional experiments to investigate the mechanism of IgE-mediated effect: to determine whether IgE induces the transcription of FcERI chains, we performed semiquantitative RT-PCR with DCs that were incubated with $1 \mu g/ml$ IgE for up to 48 h. The experiments showed no significant upregulation of either Fc ϵ RI α or Fc ϵ RI γ transcripts after addition of IgE in five donors (Figure 6b shows a representative PCR experiment from an atopic donor). Upregulation of surface FcERI by IgE on MoDCs from three atopic donors was confirmed by flow cytometry $(59.5\% \pm 4.01\%$ FcERI surface expression with IgE incubation and 14.9% ± 8.7% FcERI surface expression without IgE incubation), whereas MoDCs from two nonatopic donors showed no upregulation.

In addition, we detected that MoDCs that were incubated with IgE until day 4 display enhanced FcERIY protein levels on day 6 of culture (Figure 6c shows one representative experiment of three). To investigate the role of forward intracellular protein transport in the IgE-mediated effect on surface FcERI, IgE was added at day 4 with or without brefeldin A (BFA) and FcERI surface expression was analyzed every 24 h. For those experiments, FcERIpos DCs showing strong downregulation during DC differentiation were selected. As shown in Figure 6d, IgE addition at day 4 of culture restored FcERI levels almost completely to levels comparable to monocytes at the beginning of culture. BFA abolished this process as early as 24 h after its addition, demonstrating that both basal and IgE-mediated sustained FcERI surface expression are heavily dependent on forward protein transport. The protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX) also had a strong effect on basal and IgE-mediated sustained FcERI surface expression (Figure 6e). However, in the first 24 h, IgE was able to counteract the CHX-mediated downregulation of surface FcERI to a limited extent. The differential effects of BFA and CHX in this early phase suggest that the IgE-mediated upregulation is initially dependent on forward transport (i.e., ER to Golgi compartment) of preformed and/or recycling FcERI. To differentiate between these two possibilities, we incubated MoDCs for 12 h both with CHX and BFA to inhibit both protein synthesis and forward transport and analyzed total Fc ϵ RI α protein by immunoblot. If receptor recycling occurred to a major extent, we would expect total FcεRIα protein not to change much; if forward

transport and processing of intracellular FcεRIα played a major role, we would expect total $Fc \in RI\alpha$ to go down significantly. These experiments showed $Fc \in RI\alpha$ protein was massively downregulated, no matter if IgE was added or not (data not shown). In parallel, the Fc ϵ RI α surface expression of MoDCs incubated with CHX and BFA was downregulated within 3.5 hours of culture (data not shown). In addition, we excluded that this loss of surface FcERIa resulted from CHX/BFAinduced apoptosis and necrosis of the cells (data not shown). This argues against a major role of receptor recycling in these processes, although we cannot exclude the possibility that FcERI is internalized for recycling, but is degraded intracellularly. Taken together, IgE-mediated enhancement of FcERI surface expression on MoDCs seems to be an accumulation process. It is dependent on continuous basal protein synthesis as well as processing and transport of intracellular FcERI protein more likely using an intracellular FcERI pool than recycling FcERI protein.

IgE-mediated $Fc \in RI$ upregulation of DCs from atopic donors enhances their capacity to induce proliferation of autologous T cells in IgE-dependent antigen-presentation assays. Fc RImediated antigen uptake, processing, and presentation is believed to be a highly efficient mechanism and putatively plays an important role in the pathophysiology of atopic diseases (10, 11). We speculated that IgEinduced preservation of surface Fc er I on DCs from atopics might enhance these IgE-mediated functions. To test this hypothesis, we generated MoDCs from either atopic or nonatopic donors that were incubated with and without 1 µg/ml NIP-specific chimeric IgE (cIgE) during differentiation. To measure IgE-dependent DC antigen-presenting function, these were freshly loaded with NIP-specific IgE and then cocultured with autologous T cells as well as NIP-coupled tetanus toxoid. T cell proliferation was assessed by ³H-thymidine incorporation after 96 h. Figure 7 shows one representative experiment out of three for each donor group. When DCs from nonatopic donors were used, an IgE-induced enhancement of antigen-presenting capacity was hardly detectable, even with IgE preincubation (left panel). In contrast, DCs from atopics targeted by specific IgE and antigen were able to induce higher T cell proliferation (right panel). Stimulatory capacity was even stronger when DCs generated under the influence of IgE and thus expressing higher FcERI levels, were used. This demonstrates that IgE-mediated sustained surface FcERI expression can lead to biologically important changes in DC function.

Discussion

The differential FcERI surface expression on monocytes observed between healthy and atopic donors is in line with previous reports (5, 13), whereas, to our knowledge, no data are available for MoDCs. Another group found no correlation between surface FcERI and serum-IgE levels on monocytes (29). The donors analyzed in this study contained not only healthy donors and donors with atopic diseases, but also those with hypereosinophilic syndromes, hyper-IgE syndrome, helminth infestation, and IgE myeloma, which may

Figure 6

Addition of IgE leads to sustained FcERI surface expression in DCs from atopic donors in a BFAand CHX-sensitive process without affecting de novo synthesis of Fc ϵ RI α and Fc ϵ RI γ chains. (a) IgE was added from day 0 of DC culture (atopic donors) with GM-CSF and IL-4. Immunolabeling and flow-cytometric analysis of $Fc \epsilon RI$ surface expression was performed as described for Figure 1. Percentage of positive cells shown under **a** are the result of six independent experiments. (b) MoDCs (day 4) were incubated for 24 and 48 h with or without the addition of 1 μ g/ml human myeloma IgE. After RNA isolation from highly purified MoDCs and reverse transcription, FceRIa and FcERIy expression was analyzed by semiguantitative PCR using β -actin as a control. Shown is a representative experiment from an atopic donor of five total experiments. In parallel, FcERIy protein levels of one representative experiment of MoDC on day 6 of culture incubated with (+ IgE) and without IgE (- IgE) until day 4 of culture are shown (c). (d and e) MoDCs were generated with GM-CSF and IL-4 until day 4 of culture. Then IgE, CHX, and BFA (all 1 µg/ml) were added as indicated. Flowcytometric analyses of FcERI expression were done on days indicated. Mean FcERI expression ± SEM (n = 7) is shown as percentage of FcERI expression of monocytes at day 0.





IgE addition during DC differentiation enhances IgE-dependent stimulatory functions of DCs from atopic donors. MoDCs from nonatopic (left panel) and atopic donors (right panel) were cultured for 6 days with GM-CSF and IL-4 with or without NIP-specific cIgE added at days 0, 2, and 4 (1 μ g/ml). IgE-mediated surface FccRI upregulation in atopic donors was controlled by flow cytometry. Then, cells were loaded with 1 μ g/ml NIP-specific cIgE. After washing and 96 h of coculture with autologous T cells in a 1:10 or 1:100 ratio of DCs/T cells plus the addition of NIP-TT, T cell proliferation was assessed by ³H-thymidine incorporation and rSI values were calculated from triplicate samples. Negative controls were either IgE or NIP-TT alone or neither, as well as T-cell culture without DCs. Shown are representative data of three experiments for each donor group.

affect Fc ϵ RI expression and serum-IgE levels by other mechanisms. They detected, however, significant Fc ϵ RI levels on monocytes from some allergic donors, but not from healthy subjects.

The impressive formation of an intracellular Fc ϵ RI α pool in immature DCs supports our previous observations, showing constitutive intracellular FcεRIα expression in freshly isolated LCs and a modest induction in DCs generated from CD34⁺ progenitors, but no surface expression (23, 36). Thus, we propose that preformed intracellular FcεRIα, in contrast to monocytes, is characteristic for immature DCs. However, in the previous studies a detailed analysis of FcERI subunit regulation, maturity state, and localization was precluded by the limited availability and purity of cells, making it impossible to purify $Fc \in RI\alpha$ and analyze it by Endo H treatment (23). Intracellular Fc ϵ RI α in LC-bound IgE, so we concluded that it may represent mature $Fc \in RI\alpha$. While we cannot exclude that LCs may be different from MoDCs at that point, this conclusion may be contrary to the detailed biochemical analyses performed in the present study. However, using an in vitro reconstitution system, immature $Fc \in RI\alpha$ meanwhile has been shown to bind IgE as well (18).

In FcERI assembly and maturation (18-21), it is believed that in the ER folding and N-linked core

glycosylation, producing the G₃ form of immature Fc ϵ RI α that bears three terminal glucose residues on high-mannose chains, are followed by trimming of these residues, resulting in G_{0/1} forms of immature Fc ϵ RI α that bear one or no glucose residues. The export of immature $Fc \in RI\alpha$ from the ER to the Golgi compartment is dependent on correct glucosidase trimming and association with FcERIy chains. In the Golgi compartment, terminal glycosylation with complex sugars takes part, and mature FcERI can then be transported to the cell surface. We detected no Endo H-resistant band at approximately 64 kDa in DCs (Figure 3), which would represent untrimmed G₃ forms of immature $Fc \in RI\alpha$ (18). Thus, we conclude that the 50to 60-kDa band represents the correctly trimmed $G_{0/1}$ form of immature $FceRI\alpha$, and a glucosidase defect is not responsible for the lacking FcERI surface expression in FcERIneg DCs. The Endo H-resistant 60- to 70kDa band observed in FcERIpos DCs was considered as mature $Fc \in RI\alpha$, in accordance with reports observing two separate maturity forms of $FceRI\alpha$ with distinct molecular weight (18, 19, 37).

While intracellular $Fc \in RI\alpha$ protein was present in high amounts, we found a significant correlation of FcERIY expression with Fc ϵ RI surface levels on DCs. Fc ϵ RI γ is strongly downregulated during DC differentiation and only found to be associated with FcERIa in FcERIpos DCs from atopic donors. These data were confirmed by immunolabeling and laser scan microscopy, which preferentially showed Fc ϵ RI γ /Fc ϵ RI α colocalization in the Golgi compartment in FcERIpos DCs. Thus, we conclude that during DC differentiation, FcERIy becomes the limiting factor for surface expression of the whole complex. This limitation may lead to the accumulation of immature, correctly folded, $Fc \in RI\alpha$ in the ER. Intracellular Fc ϵ RI α only in the presence of Fc ϵ RI γ is able to leave the ER and acquire full maturity upon terminal glycosylation in the Golgi compartment, thus leading to surface expression of the complex.

Using an in vitro reconstitution system, Albrecht et al. (18) detected immature $Fc \in RI\alpha$ in the ER in the absence of $Fc \in RI\gamma$, a distribution more similar than that we observed in FcERIneg DCs. In contrast, monocytes showed very low levels of intracellular FcεRIα but high levels of Fc ϵ RI γ , which we think may prevent Fc ϵ RI α accumulation while leading to surface expression of the FcERI complex. However, these cells also express FcyRI/CD64 and FcyRIII/CD16, both known to associate with FcERIy. Due to these additional factors, investigations designed to establish a role of FcERIy in the regulation of FcERI surface expression may not be feasible in this system. As shown in Figure 2c, MoDCs lack these molecules, therefore a possible competition between Fc γ R and Fc ϵ RI α for binding to Fc ϵ RI γ is unlikely in MoDCs. The mechanisms driving association of FcERIY with selected antigen receptors are unclear, however.

To mechanically prove a role of $Fc \in RI\gamma$ in the regulation of $Fc \in RI$ surface levels, it would be desirable to transfect $Fc \in RI\gamma$ into $Fc \in RI^{neg}$ DCs lacking $Fc \in RI\gamma$ to reconstitute FcERI surface expression. The only efficient transfection methods for DCs so far, however, involve adenoviral vectors, which have been reported to induce DC maturation (38). Since maturation leads to downregulation of antigen receptors and to rapid loss of FcERI α transcripts and protein (2, 23), this approach is not desirable.

Surface-expressed FcERI has also been reported on eosinophils from hypereosinophilic patients, where it mediates defense against parasites such as Schistosoma mansoni larvae (39). Interestingly, normal human eosinophils contain intracellular Fc ϵ RI α with low or negative surface levels (40, 41). Seminario et al. (40) found FcERIy protein expression in these cells, so they concluded that deficient FcERIy expression was not responsible for that observation. Since eosinophils express all FcERI subunits, at least at the transcriptional level, regulatory mechanisms different from that in APCs bearing trimeric FcERI may be in place (40, 41). Fc \in RI γ detected in eosinophils, however, has not been shown to be associated with $FceRI\alpha$. It may also be associated with other structures such as Ig α R/CD89 expressed on eosinophils (42, 43). Fc ϵ RI α on eosinophils has also been proposed to be secreted, so an association with FcERIy would not be required (40). For DCs, $Fc \in RI\alpha$ secretion is unlikely, since there are no splice variants lacking transmembrane domains both in LCs (23) and MoDCs (S. Kraft, unpublished observation).

In our experiments, IgE sustained FcERI surface levels during DC differentiation. This effect is known from mast cells and basophils (33–35). To our knowledge, the only study documenting an enhancing effect of IgE on FcERI surface expression on primary APCs used monocytes (7). In these experiments, CHX and BFA were unable to inhibit the IgE effect, whereas in our experiments inhibition by both of them was observed, suggesting a rapid turnover of FcERI molecules present at the cell surface. This discrepancy may be due to the different cell systems used. Monocytes quickly undergo apoptosis in the absence of stimuli, so concomitantly de novo synthesis and transport of FcERI chains may be shut down early. In addition, in our experiments IgE incubation did not change the immunophenotype of DCs, so generation of DCs under an "atopic environment" showing high IgE levels may provide a valuable tool for the analysis of FcERI function and signaling in DCs. In our experiments, we could not detect increased synthesis of FcεRIα or FcεRIγ upon IgE addition. The IgE effect, however, was observed only in FcERIpos DCs, suggesting that preexisting surface FcERI and baseline synthesis of both $Fc \in RI\alpha$ and $Fc \in RI\gamma$ are necessary for that effect. So in our view, increased FcERIy-mediated surface expression in DCs from atopic donors needs to be a prerequisite for IgE in order to have an effect. Recently, supporting our data on DCs, Borkowski et al. (44) used FcERI-transfected U937 cells to show that the IgE-mediated effect does not depend on the

presence of Fc ϵ RI β or increased protein synthesis. They demonstrated that IgE exerts its action by stabilizing surface Fc ϵ RI, using a preformed Fc ϵ RI pool as well as baseline Fc ϵ RI protein synthesis.

The FcERI downregulation observed after terminal maturation of DCs under TNF- α fits to the different functions of immature and mature DCs (2). Immature DCs reside as outposts of the immune system in peripheral tissues and are equipped with receptors facilitating antigen uptake. Upon antigen uptake and additional signals, they migrate to peripheral lymphoid organs, where they present antigens to T cells. This migration is accompanied by a maturation process: it is reflected in a changing immunophenotype with downregulation of antigen receptors and upregulation of surface molecules involved in antigen presentation, such as costimulatory and adhesion molecules.

Atopic diseases such as AD are characterized by strong upregulation of surface FcERI on DCs, such as epidermal LCs (12). In addition, IDECs are present in inflammatory skin diseases and, in AD, are characterized by very high FcERI surface expression (14). While it is not clear whether these cells primarily express high $Fc \in RI$ levels and then migrate into inflamed skin, or whether they acquire it due to signals they receive during or after migration, the question remains, what enables these cells to upregulate surface FcERI? Upregulation of FcERIy synthesis and high IgE levels during AD may be candidate mechanisms. In contrast to Fc ϵ RI α , the small γ chain (7–9 kDa) is not subject to extensive posttranslational modification, and so its synthesis presumably is a shorter procedure. The expression of preexisting surface-localized FcERI could then be further enhanced by IgE binding. The presence of both factors together might lead to enhanced IgE-mediated DC functions further supporting the development of atopic inflammatory reactions, as suggested by our in vitro studies showing enhanced T cell stimulatory capacity of IgEincubated DCs from atopics (Figure 7).

More information about regulatory factors, however, such as transcription factors determining FcERIγ expression in APCs, is needed. In addition to established anti-IgE strategies (45), modulation of FcERIγ expression in DCs may represent a potential target for the management of atopic diseases.

Acknowledgments

We thank J.-P. Kinet (Department of Pathology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center) for critical review of this manuscript. We are grateful to the following persons for gifts of reagents: T. Bjerke, J. Kochan, Y. Misumi, and Y. Ikehara. The authors thank Mariela Becker from our laboratory for excellent experimental support in investigating IgE-mediated regulation of FceRI. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 284/C8), BONFOR, and Bonner Forum Biomedizin.

- Kinet, J.P. 1999. The high-affinity IgE receptor (FcERI): from physiology to pathology. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 17:931–972.
- 2. Banchereau, J., and Steinman, R.M. 1998. Dendritic cells and the control of immunity. *Nature*. **392**:245–252.
- Bieber, T., et al. 1992. Human epidermal Langerhans cells express the high affinity receptor for immunoglobulin E (FcERI). J. Exp. Med. 175:1285-1290.
- 4. Wang, B., et al. 1992. Epidermal Langerhans cells from normal human skin bind monomeric IgE via FceRI. J. Exp. Med. **175**:1353–1365.
- Maurer, D., et al. 1994. Expression of functional high affinity immunoglobulin E receptors (FceRI) on monocytes of atopic individuals. J. Exp. Med. 179:745–750.
- Maurer, D., et al. 1996. Peripheral blood dendritic cells express FcεRI as a complex composed of FcεRI α- and FcεRI γ-chains and can use this receptor for IgE-mediated allergen presentation. J. Immunol. 157:607–616.
- Reischl, I.G., et al. 1996. Function and regulation of FctRI expression on monocytes from non-atopic donors. *Clin. Exp. Allergy.* 26:630–641.
- Mudde, G.C., et al. 1990. Allergen presentation by epidermal Langerhans' cells from patients with atopic dermatitis is mediated by IgE. *Immunology*. 69:335–341.
- Maurer, D., et al. 1995. The high affinity IgE receptor (FcERI) mediates IgE-dependent allergen presentation. J. Immunol. 154:6285-6290.
- Bieber, T. 1996. FcERI on antigen-presenting cells. Curr. Opin. Immunol. 8:773–777.
- Bieber, T. 1997. FceRI-expressing antigen-presenting cells: new players in the atopic game. *Immunol. Today.* 18:311–313.
- Jürgens, M., Wollenberg, A., Hanau, D., de la Salle, H., and Bieber, T. 1995. Activation of human epidermal Langerhans cells by engagement of the high affinity receptor for IgE, Fc&RI. J. Immunol. 155:5184–5189.
- Gosset, P., et al. 2001. Modulation of high-affinity IgE receptor expression in blood monocytes: opposite effect of IL-4 and glucocorticoids. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 107:114–122.
- 14. Wollenberg, A., Kraft, S., Hanau, D., and Bieber, T. 1996. Immunomorphological and ultrastructural characterization of Langerhans cells and a novel, inflammatory dendritic epidermal cell (IDEC) population in lesional skin of atopic eczema. J. Invest. Dermatol. 106:446–453.
- Miller, L., Blank, U., Metzger, H., and Kinet, J.P. 1989. Expression of highaffinity binding of human immunoglobulin E by transfected cells. *Science.* 244:334–337.
- 16. Küster, H., Thompson, H., and Kinet, J.P. 1990. Characterization and expression of the gene for the human Fc receptor γ subunit. Definition of a new gene family. *J. Biol. Chem.* **265**:6448–6452.
- Ryan, J.J., Kinzer, C.A., and Paul, W.E. 1995. Mast cells lacking the high affinity immunoglobulin E receptor are deficient in FcεRI γ messenger RNA. J. Exp. Med. 182:567–574.
- Albrecht, B., Woisetschlager, M., and Robertson, M.W. 2000. Export of the high affinity IgE receptor from the endoplasmic reticulum depends on a glycosylation-mediated quality control mechanism. *J. Immunol.* 165:5686–5694.
- Donnadieu, E., Jouvin, M.H., and Kinet, J.P. 2000. A second amplifier function for the allergy-associated FcεRI-β subunit. *Immunity*. 12:515–523.
- Letourneur, O., Sechi, S., Willettebrown, J., Robertson, M.W., and Kinet, J.P. 1995. Glycosylation of human truncated FcεRI α chain is necessary for efficient folding in the endoplasmic reticulum. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270:8249–8256.
- Letourneur, F., Hennecke, S., Demolliere, C., and Cosson, P. 1995. Steric masking of a dilysine endoplasmic reticulum retention motif during assembly of the human high affinity receptor for immunoglobulin E. J. Cell Biol. 129:971–978.
- Reischl, I.G., et al. 2000. Regulation of FcεRI expression on human monocytic cells by ligand and IL-4. *Clin. Exp. Allergy.* 30:1033–1040.
- Kraft, S., Wessendorf, J.H., Hanau, D., and Bieber, T. 1998. Regulation of the high affinity receptor for IgE on human epidermal Langerhans cells. *J. Immunol.* 161:1000–1006.

- 24. Sallusto, F., and Lanzavecchia, A. 1994. Efficient presentation of soluble antigen by cultured human dendritic cells is maintained by granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor plus interleukin 4 and downregulated by tumor necrosis factor alpha. J. Exp. Med. 179:1109-1118.
- Riske, F., et al. 1991. High affinity human IgE receptor (FcεRI). Analysis of functional domains of the α-subunit with monoclonal antibodies. *J. Biol. Chem.* 266:11245–11251.
- Schöneich, J.T., Wilkinson, V.L., Kado-Fong, H., Presky, D.H., and Kochan, J.P. 1992. Association of the human FcεRI γ subunit with novel cell surface polypeptides. J. Immunol. 148:2181–2185.
- Vivier, E., et al. 1991. Expression and tyrosine phosphorylation of the T cell receptor ζ-subunit in human thymocytes. J. Immunol. 146:1142–1148.
- Pirron, U., Schlunck, T., Prinz, J.C., and Rieber, E.P. 1990. IgE-dependent antigen-focusing by human B lymphocytes is mediated by the low-affinitiy receptor for IgE. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 20:1547–1551.
- 29. Saini, S.S., et al. 2000. The relationship between serum IgE and surface levels of FcER on human leukocytes in various diseases: correlation of expression with FcERI on basophils but not on monocytes or eosinophils. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 106:514–520.
- Ra, C., Jouvin, M.H., Blank, U., and Kinet, J.P. 1989. A macrophage Fcγ receptor and the mast cell receptor for IgE share an identical subunit. *Nature*. 341:752–754.
- Ernst, L.K., Duchemin, A.M., and Anderson, C.L. 1993. Association of the high-affinity receptor for IgG (FcγRI) with the γ-subunit of the IgE receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 90:6023–6027.
- Ra, C., Jouvin, M.H., and Kinet, J.P. 1989. Complete structure of the mouse mast cell receptor for IgE (FccRI) and surface expression of chimeric receptors (rat-mouse-human) on transfected cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 264:15323–15327.
- MacGlashan, D., et al. 1998. In vitro regulation of FceRIα expression on human basophils by IgE antibody. *Blood.* 91:1633–1643.
- Lantz, C.S., et al. 1997. IgE regulates mouse basophil FceRI expression in vivo. J. Immunol. 158:2517–2521.
- 35. Yamaguchi, M., et al. 1997. IgE enhances mouse mast cell FcERI expression in vitro and in vivo: evidence for a novel amplification mechanism in IgE-dependent reactions. J. Exp. Med. 185:663–672.
- 36. Geiger, E., et al. 2000. IL-4 induces the intracellular expression of the α-chain of the high-affinity receptor for IgE in in vitro-generated dendritic cells. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 105:150–156.
- 37. Saini, S.S., et al. 2001. Expression and modulation of FcεRIα and FcεRIβ in human blood basophils. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 107:832–841.
- Morelli, A.E., et al. 2000. Recombinant adenovirus induces maturation of dendritic cells via an NF-κB-dependent pathway. J. Virol. 74:9617-9628.
- Gounni, A.S., et al. 1994. High-affinity IgE receptor on eosinophils is involved in defence against parasites. *Nature*. 367:183–186.
- Seminario, M.C., Saini, S.S., MacGlashan, D.W., and Bochner, B.S. 1999. Intracellular expression and release of FcεRIα by human eosinophils. J. Immunol. 162:6893–6900.
- 41. Smith, S.J., et al. 2000. Blood eosinophils from atopic donors express messenger RNA for the α-, β-, and γ-subunits of the high-affinity IgE receptor (FcERI) and intracellular, but not cell surface, α-subunit protein. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 105:309–317.
- Morton, H.C., van Egmond, M., and van de Winkel, J.G. 1996. Structure and function of human IgA Fc receptors (FcαR). Crit. Rev. Immunol. 16:423–440.
- 43. Pfefferkorn, L.C., and Yeaman, G.R. 1994. Association of IgA-Fc receptors (FcαR) with FcεRIγ2 subunits in U937 cells. Aggregation induces the tyrosine phosphorylation of γ2. J. Immunol. 153:3228–3236.
- Borkowski, T.A., Jouvin, M.H., Lin, S.Y., and Kinet, J.P. 2001. Minimal requirements for IgE-mediated regulation of surface FceRI. J. Immunol. 167:1290–1296.
- Milgrom, H., et al. 1999. Treatment of allergic asthma with monoclonal anti-IgE antibody. rhuMAb-E25 Study Group. N. Engl. J. Med. 341:1966–1973.