

Rewiring the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) transcription circuit: Engineering a recombination-resistant genome

Boyd Yount*, Rhonda S. Roberts*, Lisa Lindesmith*, and Ralph S. Baric*^{†‡§}

*Department of Epidemiology, Program in Infectious Diseases, School of Public Health, [†]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, School of Medicine, and [‡]Carolina Vaccine Center, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599

Communicated by Peter Palese, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY, June 29, 2006 (received for review May 15, 2006)

Live virus vaccines provide significant protection against many detrimental human and animal diseases, but reversion to virulence by mutation and recombination has reduced appeal. Using severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus as a model, we engineered a different transcription regulatory circuit and isolated recombinant viruses. The transcription network allowed for efficient expression of the viral transcripts and proteins, and the recombinant viruses replicated to WT levels. Recombinant genomes were then constructed that contained mixtures of the WT and mutant regulatory circuits, reflecting recombinant viruses that might occur in nature. Although viable viruses could readily be isolated from WT and recombinant genomes containing homogeneous transcription circuits, chimeras that contained mixed regulatory networks were invariably lethal, because viable chimeric viruses were not isolated. Mechanistically, mixed regulatory circuits promoted inefficient subgenomic transcription from inappropriate start sites, resulting in truncated ORFs and effectively minimize viral structural protein expression. Engineering regulatory transcription circuits of intercommunicating alleles successfully introduces genetic traps into a viral genome that are lethal in RNA recombinant progeny viruses.

regulation | systems biology | vaccine design

Live virus vaccines represent a crucial intervention strategy that has been documented to improve the overall health of populations. Concerns regarding reversion to virulence by mutation and recombination, coupled with the associated challenges in developing these vaccines commercially, have diminished the appeal of live virus vaccines (1, 2). The dichotomy between the well known protective efficacy and the costs and risks of developing live virus vaccines has been recognized as a Grand Challenge in Global Health by the National Foundation for Infectious Diseases, which has called for new methods to prevent reversion or recombination repair.

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) emerged suddenly and spread worldwide in 2003, causing ≈ 800 deaths (3). Zoonotic SARS-CoV strains, common in farm animals and bats, dictate a need for continued surveillance and the development of efficacious vaccines (4, 5). SARS-CoV is a tractable system for innovative live virus vaccine design because the pathogen is highly virulent and replicates efficiently in animal models, and a robust reverse genetic system is available. Importantly, CoVs undergo RNA recombination events at high frequency, and recombination-mediated vaccine failures in animals are a problem (6).

SARS-CoV contains a positive, single-stranded, $\approx 29,700$ -nt RNA genome bound by the nucleocapsid protein (N) and an envelope containing the S, ORF3a, E, and M structural proteins. The SARS-CoV genome contains nine ORFs, and ORF1 encodes the viral replicase proteins that are required for subgenomic and genome-length RNA synthesis (7). Downstream of ORF1 and interspaced among the structural genes are the unique SARS-CoV group-specific genes (ORF3a/b, ORF6,

ORF7a/b, ORF8a/b, and ORF9b) that are not necessary for replication in cell culture (8). ORFs 2–8 are encoded in subgenomic mRNAs (mRNAs 2–9) synthesized as a nested set of 3' coterminal molecules. Leader RNA sequences, encoded at the 5' end of the genome, are joined to body sequences at distinct transcription regulatory sequences (TRSs) that contain the core sequence ACGAAC (7, 9). SARS-CoV likely uses transcription attenuation to synthesize both full- and subgenomic-length negative strand RNAs containing antileader sequences, which then function as the templates for the synthesis of like-sized mRNAs (10, 11). Transcription attenuation is regulated by interactions between a leader TRS (TRS-L) and body TRS (TRS-B) circuit (12). Expression of each subgenomic mRNA requires extensive communication by means of base pairing between the 5' end TRS-L sequence and the appropriate TRS-B sequence. Most studies support a strong role for a core consensus motif of six to eight nucleotides that guide base pairing and duplex formation between nascent negative strands and the TRS-L site at the 5' end of the genome. The interaction is assisted to a lesser extent by surrounding (mostly downstream) sequences (12, 13).

In this report, we introduce a TRS circuit that regulates efficient expression of the SARS-CoV subgenomic mRNAs. Importantly, recombination events with WT virus trigger lethal incompatibilities in the TRS circuitry, restricting the number of viable recombinant viruses. This study provides an example of a successful redesign of the regulatory circuit of a mammalian virus.

Results

TRS Function in SARS-CoV Transcription. To remodel the SARS-CoV TRS circuit, we replaced the nonessential ORF7a/b domain with the *Renilla* luciferase gene under the control of the ORF7a/b TRS-B (icSARS-CoV Luc). We then engineered double (icSARS-CoV Luc1) and triple (icSARS-CoV Luc2) mutations that should specifically disrupt the ORF7a/b TRS-B circuit, theoretically blocking efficient mRNA 7 transcription. The WT SARS-CoV TRS-B (ACGAAC) was replaced with double (TRS-1, ACGGAT) and triple (TRS-2, CCGGAT) mutations, the latter not being encoded elsewhere in the Urbani genome (Fig. 1A). In fact, the remodeled TRS-2 sequence is unique among CoVs.

Consistent with reports describing a SARS Δ ORF7a/b GFP replacement virus (14), icSARS-CoV Luc replicated like WT virus, achieving titers of $>2 \times 10^7$ pfu/ml within 20 h after

Conflict of interest statement: No conflicts declared.

Freely available online through the PNAS open access option.

Abbreviations: SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus; TRS, transcription regulatory sequence; TRS-L, leader TRS; TRS-B, body TRS.

[§]To whom correspondence should be addressed at: Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of North Carolina, 3304 Hooker Research Building, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7435. E-mail: rbaric@email.unc.edu.

© 2006 by The National Academy of Sciences of the USA

proximal locale, but at reduced rates. The exact nature of this transcription network remains largely unexplored.

Chimeric genomes were crippled because incompatible TRS circuitry was less efficient, reducing mRNA synthesis. In the icSARS-CoV Rec no. 2 chimera, nearby noncanonical sites were preferentially engaged in subgenomic transcription. These non-canonical sites were defined by sequences that provided limited base pair duplex formation between negative strands and TRS-L. Among mRNA 5 transcripts, 75% encoded for M glycoproteins with N-terminal $\Delta 32$ or $\Delta 83$ amino acid deletions. No E transcripts were noted. Both M glycoprotein and E protein are essential for efficient maturation and release (29, 30). Inevitably, the fatal phenotype likely resulted from both inefficient production and mutation of essential structural proteins such as E and M (31, 32). Excess truncated M glycoprotein may also establish a dominant-negative phenotype that further impedes assembly and release. Because the N protein functions as an enhancer of subgenomic transcription and genome replication and infectivity, limited N protein production would also function to suppress expression of subgenomic ORFs (33, 34). It is intriguing that noncanonical sites were most readily detected in chimeras containing the renetworked TRS-2-L site (CCGGAT) linked with WT TRS-B sites, because many fewer leader-containing transcripts were detected in chimeras with WT TRS-L (icSARS-CoV Rec1.3). Evolutionary pressure may have minimized the number of cryptic noncanonical TRS interactions located within the WT genome, pressures that were never applied to the icSARS-CoV CRG genome. Mapping noncanonical starts may allow for the efficient design of quiescent TRS sites in the icSARS CRG backbone that are calculated to express subgenomic mRNAs encoding N-terminal deletions in critical structural proteins, but only after recombination events with WT.

The strategy described herein provides a rational approach for minimizing viability of progeny RNA recombinant CoVs; however, double intragenomic recombinants, especially within the ≈ 20 -kb SARS replicase ORF, would not be affected by the redesigned TRS circuitry. A fundamental theme in postgenomic research and systems biology will be to elucidate the complex interactions that regulate virus gene expression, polyprotein processing, transcription and replication, genome packaging, and assembly and release. In addition to the nidovirus TRS circuit paradigm, many positive-strand RNA viruses encode proteases that cleave large polyproteins at select sites. Remodeling protease cleavage site specificity might establish a genetic trap that is triggered by recombination events that scrambled different polyprotein processing networks (35, 36). Experimental phage evolution suggests an alternative approach (37, 38). Compensatory mutations occur when fitness loss caused by one mutation is remedied by its epistatic interactions with a second mutation in a different genome location. Often, compensatory mutations are extragenic and independently deleterious in the parent genome (38). Vaccine chassis that contain one or more sets of compensatory mutations will encode genetic traps that are triggered by recombination events that disrupt epistatic interactions. Complex interaction networks in genome encapsidation and virion formation might also be exploited in the design of recombination-resistant viruses as well (32, 39). Live virus vaccine platforms that encode new regulatory circuits provide a means toward enhancing safety and stabilizing attenuating mutations, especially against recombination repair.

Methods

Viruses and Cells. The Urbani and icSARS strains of SARS-CoV (AY278741), icSARS-CoV Luc, icSARS-CoV Luc1, icSARS-CoV Luc2, and the icSARS CRG and PRG recombinant viruses were propagated on Vero E6 cells as described in ref. 8. Cultures of Vero E6 cells were infected at a multiplicity of infection of 0.1

pfu for 1 h, and the samples were titered by plaque assay. All virus work was performed in a biological safety cabinet in a biosafety level 3 laboratory containing redundant exhaust fans as described in ref. 8.

Construction of Renilla Luciferase Encoding SARS-CoV Recombinant Clones. Plasmid DNA was amplified in One Shot Top 10 chemically competent cells (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and purified with the Qiaprep miniprep kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). DNA fragments were isolated from 1.0% agarose gels with the Qiaquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen) and visualized by using Dark Reader technology (Clare Chemical Research, Denver, CO). The six subgenomic cDNA clones (A–F) span the SARS-CoV genome (9). ORF 7a/b, located within SARS F (nt 27273–27772), was replaced with the *Renilla* luciferase gene as described with GFP in ref. 14.

To introduce mutations into the ORF7 TRS site, a forward primer (Ppum3: 5'-GCTGTGACATTAAGGACCTGC-CAAAAG-3') was used concurrently with reverse primers (3MUT3: 5'-AGGTGCACCTGCAGCCATTTTAATT-TATCCGGTTTATGGATA-3' or 2MUT3: 5'-AGGTGCACCTGCAGCCATTTTAATTTATCCGGTTTATGGATA-3'). Amplicon 1 (TRS-2) contained three mutations (CCGGAT), whereas amplicon 2 (TRS-1) had two mutations (ACGGAT) in the TRS site flanked by AarI and PpumI sites. A third amplicon (AMP3), flanked by AarI and PacI sites, was amplified with forward primer (3MUT5: 5'-GGTGCACCTGCAAATAAATGGCTTCCA-3') and reverse primer (PacI3: 5'-TAAAGTGAGCTCTTAATTAATTACTGCTCG-3'). After digestion, AMP1 and AMP2 were ligated separately to AMP3, and the 1.34-kb cDNA was cloned into pTOPO PCR-XL (Invitrogen). The mutated TRS sites were inserted into the icSARS WT luciferase (icSARS-CoV Luc) cDNA F construct and verified by sequence.

Construction of SARS Plasmids Containing Redesigned TRS Circuits. To create the TRS-L CCGGAT sequence, the SARS A plasmid was amplified with primer set M13R3 (5'-CAGGAAACAGCTATGAC-3') and MuL1– (5'-AAAATCCGGTTAGAGAACA-GATCTACAAGAG-3') or MuL1+ (5'-CTAACCGGATTTTAAATCTGTGTAGCTGTC-3') and SARS 453– (5'-ATAGGGCTGTTCAAGCTGGGG-3'). After overlapping PCR, the resulting ≈ 620 -bp product was cloned and sequenced. The insert was digested with MluI and AvrII and inserted into the SARS A plasmid. To mutate the spike (S) gene TRS, the SARS E fragment was PCR-amplified with primer sets SARS no. 37 (5'-TGCTGGCTCTGATAAAGGAG-3') and MuSgene– (5'-NNNCACCTGCACATATCCGGTTAGTTGTTAACAAGAATATCAC-3') or MuSgene+ (5'-NNNCACCTGCACACCGGATATGTT-TATTTCTTATTATTCTTACTCTC-3') and no. 10 AgeI– (5'-CATCAAGCGAAAAGGCATCAG-3'). These fragments were digested with restriction enzyme AarI, ligated, and subcloned. The mutated amplicon was digested with BsmBI and AgeI and inserted into the SARS E plasmid.

The SARS F plasmid containing the remaining TRS sites was PCR-amplified with the following primer sets: SARS no. 44 (5'-TGATCCTCTGCAACCTGAGC-3') and MuEgene– (5'-NNNCACCTGCATAAATCCGGACTCACTTTCTTGTGCTTAC-3'); MuEgene+ (5'-NNNCACCTGCGTCCGGATTTATGTACTCATTCGTTTCGG-3') and MuMgene– (5'-NNNCACCTGCAATAGTTAATCCGGTTAGACCAGAAGAT-CAGGAAC-3'); and MuMgene+ (5'-NNNCACCTGCGGATTAAC-TATTATT-ATTATTCTGTTTGG-3') and 28033– (5'-TACCAACACCTAGCTATAAGC-3'). The three amplicons were digested with AarI, directionally ligated, and subcloned. A clone containing the new consensus sequence CCGGAT for the E and M genes was digested with SmaI and NdeI and inserted into the SARS F plasmid (SARS F muE/M). The SARS N gene TRS was

constructed with MuGene1 (5'-GCTGCATTTAGAGACG-TACTTGTGTTTAAATAACCGGATAAAT-TAAAAT-GTCTGATAATGG-3') and SARS 3' Ng (5'-TTAATTAAT-TATGCCTGAGTTGAATCAGCAG-3'). The product was digested with BsmBI and inserted into plasmid SARS F muE/M (SARS F muE/M/N). To alter the ORF 3a TRS, amplicons were isolated with primer sets [SARS no. 44 and SARSX1- (5'-CGT-CTCATGTGTAATGTAATTTGACACCC-3') or SARSX1+ (5'-CGTCTCACA-CATAACCGGATTTATGGATTTGTT-TATGAGATTTTTTAC-3') and 28033-] and joined by ligation at the flanking BsmBI sites. This product was inserted into SARS F muE/M/N by using SmaI-NdeI sites (SARS F mu3a/E/M/N). Primer sets [SARS no. 47 (5'-GTGCTTGCTGTTGTCTACAG-3') and SARSX3- (5'-CGTCTCCGTCGG-GGATGTAGCCA-CAGTGATCTC-3'), SARSX3+ (5'-CGTCTCCGGACGCTT-TCTT-ATTACAAATTAGGAG-3') and SARSX4- (5'-CGT-CTCATATCCGGTTTATGGATAA-TCTAACCCTCATAG-3'), and SARSX4+ (5'-CGTCTCATATGAAAATTATTCTCT-TCTG-AC-3') and 28033-] were used to generate three PCR fragments that were digested with BsmBI, ligated with T4 DNA ligase, and subcloned. A clone containing only the required changes in TRS sites regulating subgenomic transcription of ORF6 and 7 was digested with AvrII and inserted into plasmid SARS Fmu 3a/E/M/N (SARS Fmu 3a/E/M/6/7/N). Finally, primer set SARS no. 48 (GGACTTTCAGGATTGCTATTTG) and SARSX5- (CGTCTCATCCGGT-TAGACTTTGGTACAAG-GTTC) and set SARSX5+ (CGTCTCCCGGATATGAAACT-TCTCATGTTTTGAC) and SARSX5 (NNNTAATTAAT-TAATTT-GTTCGTTTATTAAACAACA) created PCR products that were similarly joined by using BsmBI and T4 DNA ligase. This product was introduced into plasmid SARS Fmu 3a/E/M/6/7/N by using the NdeI-BstEII restriction sites. This final construct (SARS F CRG) was verified by sequence.

Isolation of Recombinant Viruses. The SARS full-length cDNA was assembled, and full-length transcripts were synthesized and

mixed with polyadenylated N gene transcripts and then electroporated into cells (9, 40). Viruses were plaque-purified in Vero E6 cells, and stock was grown in 75-cm² flasks.

Northern Blot Analysis. Intracellular RNA was isolated by using RiboPure reagents (Ambion, Austin, TX) at 12 h after infection. The mRNA was isolated by using Qiagen's Oligotex mRNA spin-column reagents, treated with glyoxal, and separated on agarose gels by using NorthernMax-Gly (Ambion). The RNA was transferred to BrightStar-Plus membrane (Ambion) for 4–5 h, cross-linked by UV light, prehybridized, and probed with an N gene-specific oligodeoxynucleotide probe (5'-cttgactgcgc-ctctgct^{tb}ccct^{ct}gc^b-3'; biotinylated nucleotides are designated with a superscript "b"). Blots were hybridized overnight and washed with low- and high-stringency buffers, and the filters were incubated with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated streptavidin. The filters were incubated with the chemiluminescent substrate CDP-STAR, overlaid with film, and developed.

Western Blot Analysis. Twelve hours after infection, cells were washed in 1× PBS, lysed in buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6), 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% deoxycholine, 1% Nonidet P-40, and 0.1% SDS, and postnuclear supernatants were added to an equal volume of 5 mM EDTA/0.9% SDS. Samples were then heat-inactivated for 30 min at 90°C, loaded onto 4–20% Criterion gradient gels (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), and transferred to PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad). Blots were probed with polyclonal mouse sera against the SARS-CoV ORF3a, S, or N proteins diluted 1:200 and developed by using ECL chemiluminescence reagents (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ). *Renilla* luciferase expression was verified by using commercial antibodies (Chemicon International, Temecula, CA).

We thank the University of North Carolina Vaccine, Sequencing, and Oligonucleotide Centers. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grants AI23946-S1, AI059136, and AI059443 (to R.S.B.).

- Kew, O. M., Sutter, R. W., de Gourville, E. M., Dowdle, W. R. & Pallansch, M. A. (2005) *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **59**, 587–635.
- Seligman, S. J. & Gould, E. A. (2004) *Lancet* **363**, 2073–2075.
- Poon, L. L., Guan, Y., Nicholls, J. M., Yuen, K. Y. & Peiris, J. S. M. (2004) *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **4**, 663–671.
- Guan, Y., Zheng, B. J., He, Y. Q., Liu, X. L., Xhuan, Z. X., Cheung, C. L., Luo, S. W., Li, P. H., Zhang, L. J., Guan, Y. J., et al. (2003) *Science* **302**, 276–278.
- Li, W., Shi, Z., Yu, M., Ren, W., Smith, C., Epstein, J. H., Wang, H., Crameri, G., Hu, Z., Zhang, H., et al. (2005) *Science* **310**, 676–679.
- Wang, L., Junker, D. & Collisson, E. W. (1993) *Virology* **192**, 710–716.
- Rota, P. A., Oberste, M. S., Monroe, S. S., Nix, W. A., Campagnoli, R., Icenogle, J. P., Penaranda, S., Bankamp, B., Maher, K., Chen, M. H., et al. (2003) *Science* **300**, 1394–1399.
- Yount, B., Roberts, R. S., Sims, A. C., Deming, D., Frieman, M. B., Sparks, J., Denison, M. R., Davis, N. & Baric, R. S. (2005) *J. Virol.* **79**, 14909–14922.
- Yount, B., Curtis, K., Fritz, E., Hensley, L., Jahrling, P., Prentice, E., Denison, M., Geisbert, T. & Baric, R. (2003) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **100**, 12995–13000.
- Sawicki, S. G. & Sawicki, D. L. (1990) *J. Virol.* **64**, 1050–1056.
- Schaad, M. C. & Baric, R. S. (1994) *J. Virol.* **68**, 8169–8179.
- Zuniga, S., Sola, I., Alonso, S. & Enjuanes, L. (2004) *J. Virol.* **78**, 980–994.
- Sola, I., Moreno, J. L., Zuniga, S., Alonso, S. & Enjuanes, L. (2005) *J. Virol.* **79**, 2506–2516.
- Sims, A. C., Baric, R. S., Yount, B., Burkett, S. E., Collins, P. L. & Pickles, R. J. (2005) *J. Virol.* **79**, 15511–15524.
- Keck, J. G., Soe, L. H., Makino, S., Stohlman, S. A. & Lai, M. M. (1988) *J. Virol.* **62**, 1989–1998.
- Smati, R., Silim, A., Guertin, C., Henrichon, M., Marandi, M., Arella, M. & Merzouki, A. (2002) *Virus Genes* **25**, 85–93.
- Thiry, E., Meurens, F., Muyllkens, B., McVoy, M., Gogev, S., Thiry, J., Vanderplasschen, A., Epstein, A., Keil, G. & Schynts, F. (2005) *Rev. Med. Virol.* **15**, 89–103.
- Cheng, C.-P., Serviène, E. & Nagy, P. D. (2006) *J. Virol.* **80**, 2631–2640.
- Figlerowicz, M., Nagy, P. D. & Bujarski, J. J. (1997) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **94**, 2073–2078.
- Nagy, P. D., Pogany, J. & Simon, A. E. (1999) *EMBO J.* **18**, 5653–5665.
- Nagy, P. D. & Simon, A. E. (1997) *Virology* **235**, 1–9.
- Goebel, S. J., Taylor, J. & Masters, P. S. (2004) *J. Virol.* **78**, 7846–7851.
- Martin, D. P., van der Walt, E., Posada, D. & Rybicki, E. P. (2005) *PLoS Genet.* **4**, 475–479.
- Goebel, S. J., Taylor, J. & Masters, P. S. (2004) *J. Virol.* **78**, 669–682.
- Atsumi, S. & Little, J. W. (2004) *Genes Dev.* **18**, 5621–5629.
- Atsumi, S. & Little, J. W. (2006) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 4558–4563.
- Endy, D., You, L., Yin, J. & Molineux, I. J. (2000) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **97**, 5375–5380.
- Wertz, G., Perepelitsa, V. P. & Ball, L. A. (1998) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **95**, 3501–3506.
- Curtis, K. M., Yount, B. & Baric, R. S. (2002) *J. Virol.* **76**, 1422–1434.
- Kuo, L. & Masters, P. S. (2003) *J. Virol.* **77**, 4597–4608.
- deHaan, C. A. M., Kuo, L., Masters, P. S., Vennema, H. & Rottier, P. J. (1998) *J. Virol.* **72**, 6838–6850.
- Vennema, H., Godeke, G. J., Rossen, J. W., Voorhout, W. F., Horzinek, M. C., Opstelten, D. J. & Rottier, P. J. (1996) *EMBO J.* **15**, 2020–2028.
- Almazan, F., Galan, C. & Enjuanes, L. (2004) *J. Virol.* **78**, 12683–12688.
- Yount, B., Curtis, C. & Baric, R. S. (2000) *J. Virol.* **74**, 10600–10611.
- Denison, M. R., Yount, B., Brockway, S. M., Graham, R. L., Sims, A. C., Lu, X. & Baric, R. S. (2004) *J. Virol.* **78**, 5957–5965.
- Snijder, E. J., Bredenbeek, P. J., Dobe, J. C., Thiel, V., Ziebuhr, J., Poon, L. L., Guan, Y., Rozanov, M., Spaan, W. J. & Gorbalenya, A. E. (2003) *J. Mol. Biol.* **331**, 991–1004.
- Bacher, J. M., Bull, J. & Ellington, A. D. (2003) *BMC Evol. Biol.* **3**, 24–35.
- Poon, A. & Chao, L. (2005) *Genetics* **170**, 989–999.
- Escors, D., Izeta, A., Capiscol, C. & Enjuanes, L. (2003) *J. Virol.* **77**, 7890–7902.
- Yount, B., Denison, M. R., Weiss, S. R. & Baric, R. S. (2002) *J. Virol.* **76**, 11065–11078.