www.neoplasia.com

STRAP Is a Strong Predictive Marker of Adjuvant Chemotherapy Benefit in Colorectal Cancer¹

Martin Buess*^{,†}, Luigi Terracciano[‡], Jurgen Reuter[†], Pierluigi Ballabeni[§], Jean-Louis Boulay[†], Urban Laffer[¶], Urs Metzger[#], Richard Herrmann* and Christoph Rochlitz*^{,†}

Departments of *Oncology, [†]Research, [‡]Pathology, University Hospital of Basel, Basel CH-4031, Switzerland; § Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research (SAKK), a member of the Swiss Institute for Applied Cancer Research Some Diverpress University Changes (Chang), and the Control Diversity of Spital Library Computer Changes (SIAK), Bern CH-3008, Switzerland; # Department of Surgery, Stadtspital Triemli, Zurich CH-8063, Switzerland

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Molecular predictors for the effectiveness of adjuvant chemotherapy in colorectal cancer are of considerable clinical interest. To this aim, we analyzed the serine threonine receptor–associated protein (STRAP), an inhibitor of TGF- β signaling, with regard to prognosis and prediction of adjuvant 5-FU chemotherapy benefit. METHODS: The gene copy status of STRAP was determined using quantitative realtime polymerase chain reaction in 166 colorectal tumor biopsies, which had been collected from a randomized multicenter trial of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU)/mitomycin C (MMC) adjuvant chemotherapy of the Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research (SAKK). RESULTS: Amplification of STRAP was found in 22.8% of the tumors. When left without adjuvant chemotherapy, patients bearing tumors with a STRAP amplification had a significantly better prognosis (hazard ratio for death: 0.26 ; $P = .004$). Interestingly, these patients, when receiving adjuvant treatment, had a worse survival (hazard ratio for death: 3.48; $P = .019$) than without chemotherapy, whereas patients carrying tumors with diploidy or deletion of STRAP benefited from the treatment (hazard ratio for death: 0.44; $P = .052$). This suggests the amplification of STRAP as a strong predictor of an unfavorable effect of 5-FU-based adjuvant chemotherapy. CON-CLUSION: If confirmed, the STRAP gene copy status might provide a parameter to decide about the use of 5-FU–based adjuvant chemotherapy.

Neoplasia (2004) 6, 813–820

Keywords: TGF- β , SMAD, STRAP, colorectal cancer, predictive, prognostic markers.

Introduction

The benefit of adjuvant chemotherapy in colorectal cancer has been assessed in large phase III trials for which patients had been selected with regard to tumor stage as the strongest indicator of prognosis. In stage III carcinoma of the colon, adjuvant chemotherapy with 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and leucovorin has become a standard treatment because a significant increase of time to relapse and a 10% to 12%

absolute improvement in overall survival (OS) have been shown. The benefit of adjuvant chemotherapy in stage II patients who have a better prognosis is less clear and remains controversial [1]. However, even in patients with stage III disease, approximately 40% can be cured by surgery alone, and around 50% will die within 5 years despite adjuvant treatment. Therefore, molecular markers predicting the benefit of adjuvant chemotherapy in an individual patient are urgently needed.

Generally, predictive markers are molecules involved in the metabolism of cytotoxic agents or in signaling pathways leading to cell proliferation, growth control, and apoptosis. As potential targets of 5-FU–containing regimens, thymidilate synthase (TS), dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD), and thymidilate phosphorylase (TP), as well as p53, BCL-2 (B-cell CLL/lymphoma 2), and microsatellite instability (MSI) have been analyzed [2]. High expression levels of TS [3], DPD [4], and TP [5] mRNA were associated with resistance to 5-FU treatment in some—but not all—studies and, similarly, the role of p53 as a predictive marker in the clinical situation is still unclear [6]. High expression of BCL-2, an inhibitor of apoptosis, correlates with improved survival, and early reports suggested that BCL-2 expression is a predictive marker for a 5-FU response [7]. However, confirmatory studies are needed before BCL-2 expression can be used to guide decisions on adjuvant chemotherapy of colorectal cancer. Finally, MSI, a marker of functional deficiency of mismatch repair genes, has been associated with an increased likelihood of 5-FU benefit in one report [8], whereas others [9] did not confirm this finding. In summary, none of the potential predictive molecular markers is sufficiently evaluated to be used in the decision for or against adjuvant chemotherapy of colorectal cancer.

Sporadic colorectal cancer develops as a result of an accumulation of genetic alterations [10], including the inactivation

Received 27 April 2004; Revised 14 July 2004; Accepted 19 July 2004.

Abbreviations: DFS, disease-free survival; OS, overall survival; STRAP, serine threonine receptor – associated protein; 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; MMC, mitomycin C

Address all correspondence to: Prof. Dr. Med. Christoph Rochlitz, Onkologie Kantonsspital Basel, Petersgraben 4, Basel CH-4031, Switzerland. E-mail: crochlitz@uhbs.ch

¹This work was sponsored by grants from the Swiss Cancer League and Krebsliga Beider Basel.

Copyright © 2004 Neoplasia Press, Inc. All rights reserved 1522-8002/04/\$25.00 DOI 10.1593/neo.04307

of the adenomatous polyposis coli $(APC)/\beta$ -catenin pathway, mutation of the k-RAS gene, deletion of chromosome 17p (the genetic locus of the $p53$ gene), and loss of chromosome 18q harboring deleted in colorectal cancer (DCC) and the $TGF- β signal–transformal theory molecules SMAD2 [mothers]$ against decapentaplegic (MAD), Drosophila, homolog 2], SMAD4, and SMAD7 [11]. The importance of the TGF- β pathway in colorectal tumorigenesis is underlined by the finding of inactivating mutations of the TGF- β receptor II, SMAD2, SMAD4, and TGF- β itself [12–14]. It therefore has been speculated that most colorectal cancers carry an alteration in at least one component of the $TGF- β signaling$ pathway [15].

The serine threonine receptor–associated protein $(STRAP)$ is a TGF- β pathway inhibitor interacting at the receptor level. It associates with the TGF- β I and II receptors [16], and overexpression has been shown to inhibit $TGF- β –$ mediated activation of transcription. Specifically, STRAP synergizes with SMAD7, an antagonistic SMAD, in the inhibition of $TGF- β signaling by preventing the access of$ SMAD2 and SMAD3 to the receptor [17].

To test whether *STRAP* is of prognostic value or predictive for chemotherapy benefit, we analyzed a collection of tumor specimens from a randomized trial of the Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research (SAKK 40/81) evaluating the effect of 5-FU–based adjuvant chemotherapy in stage II and stage III colorectal cancer [18]. The gene dosage of STRAP in the tumors was determined by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and the gene copy status was correlated with survival data.

Patients and Methods

Patients

Paired primary tumor and normal tissue biopsies were obtained from patients involved in a randomized trial on the benefit of 5-FU/MMC adjuvant chemotherapy versus surgery alone (SAKK study 40/81) [18]. The adjuvant treatment consisted of an immediate postoperative infusion with 5-FU (500 mg/m² per day) for 7 days and one single dose of mitomycin C (MMC; 10 mg/m²) on day 1. The SAKK 40/81 trial population comprised 533 patients younger than 75 years with a median of 62 years. About 63.4% of the patients had colonic carcinomas and 36.6% had rectal carcinomas. A total of 62.4% were node-negative (stage II) and 31.1% were node-positive (stage III); in 6.5%, the nodal status was not assessed. Details of the trial have been described previously [18]. The subpopulation of which tumor specimens were successfully analysed for STRAP gene copy status (Table 1, first column) was very similar to the whole SAKK 40/81 trial population with respect to age, stage, and tumor location. The study was approved by the local ethics committees of the participating institutions.

Methods

Genomic DNA was extracted from formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tumor and normal tissue biopsies derived from the same patients using the Nucleospin C+T kit (Macherey-Nagel, Dürren, Germany), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

The gene copy status of *STRAP* was established by gene dosage with real-time quantitative PCR using the TaqMan system on an ABI Prism 7700 sequence detector (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA), as described previously [19]. The protocol for gene dosage is given in Boulay et al. [19]. To identify the human STRAP gene (AB 024327: MAWD, Homo sapiens pt-wd mRNA for WD-40 repeat protein), the following primers were selected: STRAPf, cgcgacccgtggttga; STRAPr, aagcgctgattaagaaatacccata; probe: STRAP, ttggccttcagtggcatcacgc.

For each individual, the C_t value obtained for the autosomal reference gene 36B4 (calculated by the built-in software) on normal tissue was subtracted from that of the tumor tissue, thus defining ΔC_t 36B4. An analogous calculation was made for STRAP. The gene copy status is indicated by

the ΔC_t value (ΔC_t 36B4 – ΔC_t STRAP) as follows: -0.45 < ΔC_t < 0.45: normal diploidy; ΔC_t < -0.55: deletion; ΔC_t > 0.55: amplification. Molecular analysis was performed blinded to the clinical data.

Statistical Analysis

Two multiple Cox regression models were performed to test the prognostic and predictive values of STRAP status separately on disease-free survival (DFS) and OS. Main effects of STRAP status were represented by two covariates: amplification and deletion, with no change at the reference level. Interaction effects between STRAP status and treatment were represented by two interaction terms: treatment \times amplification and treatment \times deletion. In addition to covariates of STRAP and treatment indicator, five known prognostic factors (i.e., patients' age at trial entry, sex, lymph node status, tumor size, and tumor location) were also included in the regression analysis as confounding variables to control for their influence. The Cox regressions were performed on 159 of 166 STRAP-informative patients because the clinical data were incomplete for seven of them (Table 1).

The test for prognostic effects was based on the statistical significance of the coefficients for the terms gene amplification and gene deletion, and the test of predictive effects on the treatment \times amplification or treatment \times deletion interaction terms. A significant treatment \times amplification interaction would mean that the risk for patients with amplification relative to patients without change at the STRAP locus differs between untreated and treated patients. Analogously, it also would mean that the relative risk of treated versus untreated patients differs between patients with STRAP amplification and those with no change. The same logic applies for the treatment \times deletion interaction. Survival curves were constructed with the Kaplan-Meier method.

Following regression, diagnostics was employed to check the quality of our Cox models [20]. For each covariate, we graphically checked the scaled Schoenfeld residuals and ran a test to check proportional hazard assumption that underlies the use of Cox regressions; deviance residuals were plotted to discover patients who are poorly predicted by our statistical models; score residuals were plotted to discover individual patients who have a large influence on the models.

Results

To determine the prevalence of STRAP amplifications and STRAP deletions in early colorectal cancer, we analyzed 182 paired tumor and non-neoplastic DNA specimens collected from patients of the SAKK 40/81 trial. Of the 182 specimens, 166 (91%) were informative for the STRAP gene copy status. Complete or partial allelic loss of STRAP was found in 75 (45.2%), normal diploidy in 53 (32%), and gene amplification in 38 (22.8%) tumors, respectively. We did not find any statistically significant association between STRAP gene copy status (amplification, deletion, and normal diploidy) and either gender, tumor size, lymph node status, tumor location, or received treatment (Table 1). These clinical characteristics were similar for all three subgroups. A summary table with these clinical characteristics of individual patients further illustrates the absence of an association of STRAP amplification with any specific combination of them (Table 2).

In patients who did not receive adjuvant treatment (control arm of the SAKK 40/81 trial), the STRAP gene copy status was prognostic for DFS and OS. Compared to patients with normal diploidy at the STRAP locus, amplification of STRAP significantly reduced the relative risk of recurrence ($P = .005$) and the relative risk of death ($P = .004$) (Table 3). Patients with STRAP amplification in their tumors showed a much better DFS and OS than patients harboring tumors with normal diploidy of STRAP (Figure 1A). Contrary to STRAP amplification, STRAP deletion did not have any statistically significant association with either DFS or OS (Table 3). Because there was no significant association of STRAP deletion and survival, we pooled patients bearing tumors with normal diploidy or deletion of STRAP ("no amplification'') and compared them to patients with tumors harboring a STRAP amplification. This analysis, including all patients who did not receive adjuvant chemotherapy, allows testing whether STRAP amplification separates a specific prognostic subgroup from the rest of the patients. In fact, a significant prognostic effect of STRAP amplification was confirmed with respect to DFS ($P = .011$) and OS ($P = .020$) (Table 3 and Figure 1B). Because our multivariable Cox regressions contain sex, tumor size, lymph node stage, and tumor location as covariates, the hazard ratio for STRAP amplification is adjusted for these variables (i.e., the results for STRAP amplification are independent of any effects of sex, size, lymph node stage, and tumor location). These findings suggest a role of *STRAP* amplification as an independent favorable prognostic marker in early colorectal cancer.

Among patients who received adjuvant 5-FU/MMC chemotherapy, we also found a significant association of the STRAP gene copy status with prognosis. But surprisingly, chemotherapy-treated patients bearing tumors with STRAP amplification had a significantly shorter DFS [HR for relapse 2.61 (1.05–6.48), $P = .039$] and a trend toward worse OS [HR for death 2.05 (0.79–5.37), $P = .140$] than patients bearing tumors with normal diploidy at the STRAP locus. Thus, the effect of the STRAP gene copy status differed between the treatment groups.

Because the patients from whom tumor specimens were collected were randomized to receive perioperative adjuvant 5-FU/MMC chemotherapy or no adjuvant treatment [18], we were able to test whether the gene copy status of STRAP was correlated with the treatment effect. With regard to DFS, the significant interaction of STRAP amplification and treatment (treatment \times amplification interaction term: hazard ratio for relapse = 9.58 ; $P = .001$) (Table 4) showed that the STRAP status can predict the effect of treatment. Specifically, among patients with tumors having normal diploidy of STRAP, those receiving adjuvant treatment had a relative risk of relapse of .42 ($P = .041$) compared with those without adjuvant therapy (i.e., they derive a significant benefit from the treatment) (Table 5). On the other hand, among patients bearing tumors with an amplification of STRAP,

NA = not assessed.

those receiving adjuvant treatment had a 4.07 times higher relative risk of relapse ($P = .006$) than those without adjuvant therapy (Table 5). These results are illustrated by Figure 2, A and B, which shows a better DFS for treated patients in the group with normal diploidy of STRAP, but a worse DFS for treated patients in the group with STRAP amplification. Similarly, there was a significant interaction between treatment and $STRAP$ amplification with regard to OS ($P = .003$).

Table 3. Prognostic Relevance of STRAP Gene Copy Status in Patients Without Adjuvant Chemotherapy.

Markers	Relative Risk of Recurrence $(95% \text{ Cl})$	P	Relative Risk of Death (95% CI)	P
Amplification ($n = 20$) versus normal diploidy $(n = 27)$			0.27 (0.11 - 0.68) .005 0.26 (0.10 - 0.70)	.004
Deletion ($n = 48$) versus normal diploidy ($n = 27$)			0.71 (0.35 - 1.36) .280 0.60 (0.25 - 1.05) .130	
Amplification ($n = 20$) versus no amplification $(n = 75)$			0.34 (0.15 - 0.79) .011 0.37 (0.16 - 0.85)	.020

The relative risk has been calculated using a multivariate Cox proportional hazard model. The relative risk of patients with normal diploidy of STRAP is 1. Treatment with 5-FU/MMC reduced the relative risk of death to .44 ($P = .052$) in patients bearing tumors with normal diploidy of STRAP, whereas it increased the relative risk of death 3.48-fold ($P = .019$) in patients carrying a tumor with STRAP amplification.

Contrary to $STRAP$ treatment \times amplification interaction, $STRAP$ treatment \times deletion interaction did not show a statistically significant association with either DFS or OS (DFS: treatment \times deletion: HR = 0.38, P = .190; OS: treatment \times deletion: HR = 0.28, P = .140). This allows us to compare patients with tumors harboring a STRAP amplification to pooled patients with normal diploidy or deletion of STRAP ("no amplification"). The results of this analysis were highly significant ($P < .001$), confirming the strong predictive relevance of STRAP amplification (Table 4). The survival curves of all patients without amplification of STRAP (''no amplification'' group) illustrate the high benefit they derive from 5-FU/MMC adjuvant therapy (Figure 2C) similar to the patients with tumors containing a normal diploidy of STRAP (Figure 2A).

The analysis of Schoenfeld residuals did not show any evidence of departure from the proportional hazards assumption. Deviance residuals did not identify any patients

Figure 1. Prognostic effect of STRAP amplification on DFS and OS in the untreated patient group. (A) Kaplan-Meier curves for DFS and OS of patients without adjuvant chemotherapy who are harboring tumors with STRAP amplification versus tumors with normal diploidy of STRAP. In the absence of adjuvant chemotherapy, the patients with tumors displaying STRAP amplification had a significantly longer DFS and higher OS [relative risk of recurrence: 0.27 (95% confidence interval: 0.11-0.68, P = .005) and relative risk of death: 0.26 (95% confidence interval: 0.10-0.70, P = .004)]. (B) Kaplan-Meier curves for DFS and OS of all patients without adjuvant chemotherapy. Patients harboring tumors with STRAP amplification versus pooled patients with tumors containing diploidy or deletion of STRAP ("no amplification").

who were poorly predicted by our models. No single observations showed a large influence on the multivariate models. Because all our multivariable Cox regressions contain gender, age at study entry, site, and stage of disease as covariates, the hazard ratios for treatment, amplification, and their interaction are adjusted for these confounding parameters. Thus, our findings strongly support a role of STRAP amplification as an independent negative predictive marker for 5-FU–based chemotherapy benefit in early colorectal cancer patients.

Table 4. Predictive Value of STRAP Amplification.

The amplification \times treatment interaction in the multivariate proportional hazard model is highly significant for DFS and OS in comparison to either patients with diploidy of strap in their tumors, or to pooled patients with tumors containing diploidy or deletion of strap (no amplification).

Discussion

Adjuvant chemotherapy of colorectal cancer is impaired by at least two major obstacles: the lack of efficacy of current standard treatments, and our inability to predict which patients will derive benefit from this frequently toxic intervention. Response rates to modern chemotherapy regimens in advanced disease, containing eloxatin and/or CPT-11 [21,22], have given rise to the hope that improved survival might be achievable in patients with early colorectal cancer, but results of phase III trials comparing these regimens to standard 5-FU–based adjuvant treatments are pending.

We have determined the prevalence of STRAP deletions and amplifications in a large number ($n = 166$) of patients with colorectal cancer. Amplification of STRAP in 22% of the tumors is in line with the published amplification frequency of chromosome 12p12, where STRAP is located, which has been found in 9 of 30 primary colon carcinomas using comparative genomic hybridization [23]. Interestingly, chromosome 12p12 has also been described to be amplified in pancreatic, gastric, oral squamous cell, and testicular germ cell cancers, suggesting a broader role for this molecular alteration in tumorigenesis [24]. In addition to amplification, we have also observed the frequent deletion of the STRAP locus, again in line with findings in several other tumor entities, such as ovarian cancer, childhood acute lymphatic leukemia, and prostate carcinoma [25].

Patients in the control arm of the 40/81 study who did not receive adjuvant therapy allowed us to determine the true survival advantage of patients whose tumors had a STRAP amplification. Although amplified in only around 22% of the

tumors, STRAP turned out to be an independent prognostic marker for better DFS ($P = .0098$) as well as OS ($P = .0054$) (Table 3). It is tempting to speculate that the positive prognosis associated with amplification of STRAP is explained by the increased formation of the STRAP/SMAD7 complex, one of the physiological pathways of inhibition of $TGF- β signaling,$ and thus tumor cell proliferation. In a recent study, amplification of STRAP was uniformly associated with overexpression of its protein product in the cytoplasm of 21 of 46 breast cancer tissue specimens compared with matched normal breast tissues [26]. Assuming that in colorectal cancer STRAP amplification also leads to protein overexpression, it is conceivable that the TGF- β pathway is inhibited more efficiently by STRAP in tumors carrying an amplification of this gene. Because in late tumor stages $TGF- β signaling has$ been shown to enhance tumor progression [27], amplification of a TGF- β pathway inhibitor should be protective and lead to a more favorable prognosis. Results of preliminary in vitro experiments in our laboratory showing an inhibition of proliferation of tumor cell lines when STRAP is overexpressed (data not shown) are well in line with this hypothesis. Our data are also in accordance with the observation of Markowitz et al. [12], who found a better prognosis of hereditary nonpolyposis colon cancer patients carrying inactivating mutations of the $TGF- β II receptor.$

By far, the most interesting finding of our study is the deleterious effect of adjuvant chemotherapy on patients with a STRAP-amplified carcinoma. These patients had a nearly three-fold better chance to be alive and free of disease if left untreated compared to the same molecularly defined group of patients after treatment with adjuvant chemotherapy (Tables 4 and 5, Figure 2). Obviously, this leaves patients without *STRAP* amplification with a correspondingly higher benefit of adjuvant treatment compared with an unselected group of patients with colorectal cancer.

Our data on STRAP amplification as a predictive factor for chemotherapy benefit might help to better select patients for future adjuvant chemotherapies in colorectal cancer. However, this analysis of a molecular marker for chemotherapy benefit is limited by the inherent problem of many similar analyses i.e., that a treatment regimen for which mature clinical follow-up data are available has frequently been replaced by a more modern standard when the molecular analyses are performed. A further limitation of our study relates to the fact that we cannot formally separate the

Table 5. Influence of STRAP Gene Copy Status on Treatment Effect.

The relative risk of patients who did not receive adjuvant chemotherapy is 1.

Figure 2. Predictive effect of STRAP amplification. (A) Effect of 5-FU/MMC adjuvant treatment on DFS in patients with tumors bearing an amplification at STRAP locus (amplification) compared with patients with diploidy of STRAP. Patients with diploidy of STRAP in their tumors who had received adjuvant treatment had a relative risk of relapse of 0.42 (P = .041) compared with those without adjuvant therapy. (B) However, among patients bearing tumors with an amplification of STRAP, those receiving adjuvant treatment had a 4.07 times higher relative risk of relapse (P = .006) compared with those without therapy. (C) Pooled patients (no amplification) having tumors with either normal diploidy of STRAP or deletion of STRAP have a relative risk of relapse of 0.32 when they receive 5-FU/MMC treatment compared to patients without adjuvant treatment.

potential effects of the two drugs within this regimen. Although the perioperative 5-FU/MMC treatment regimen used in the SAKK 40/81 trial is not today's clinical standard, it was clearly shown to be an effective treatment in this population [18]. Taking these limitations into consideration, it seems plausible that the predictive value of STRAP amplification we have shown for short-term adjuvant 5-FU/MMC might also be present when the current standard regimen of 6 months of 5-FU/leucovorin or future eloxatin and/or CPT-11–containing regimens is analyzed. This hypothesis, however, needs to be tested in independent large, randomized trials.

How can this provocative finding be explained? Because patients harboring tumors with an amplification of STRAP have a higher relapse and death rate with than without adjuvant chemotherapy, 5-FU resistance cannot explain this finding. It must result from a direct unfavorable effect of 5-FU on tumor biology in this situation. On a purely descriptive level, 5-FU seems to abolish the positive prognostic effect of STRAP amplification because the survival of patients harboring tumors with a STRAP amplification after adjuvant chemotherapy is very similar to the survival of patients with a normal diploidy of STRAP in their tumors when left without adjuvant chemotherapy (Figure 2, A and B).

We have reported that deletion of another member of the TGF- β signaling pathway, SMAD4, was also associated with reduced benefit of 5-FU/MMC adjuvant chemotherapy in the same collection of tumor specimens [28]. Because SMAD4 is an agonist and $STRAP$ is an antagonist in the TGF- β pathway, it is consistent that deletion of the first and amplification of the latter have the same effect on chemotherapy efficacy. Certainly, these two studies suggest that the effect of 5-FU–based chemotherapy might be dependent on TGF- β signaling. In vitro experiments, which demonstrate that 5-FU inhibits TGF- β –induced expression of collagen type I in fibroblasts through JNK/AP-1 activation [29], support this hypothesis of an interference between 5-FU and TGF- β signaling. In the context of STRAP amplification 5-FU might lead to a severe imbalance in the TGF- β signaling pathway, which provokes an activation of undetectable residual neoplastic cells after removal of the primary tumor.

In conclusion, amplification of STRAP defines a subgroup of colorectal cancer patients with a favorable prognosis, who, according to current clinical standards, would receive adjuvant chemotherapy with a deleterious effect. Limiting treatment to those patients who are likely to benefit might spare a substantial minority of patients the side effects of a useless or even harmful chemotherapy, and thus decrease the ineffective use of resources and, perhaps, improve longterm results. If confirmed, determination of the STRAP gene copy status might be a helpful parameter in the management of patients with stage II and stage III colorectal cancer.

References

- [1] Wils J, O'Dwyer P, and Labianca R (2001). Adjuvant treatment of colorectal cancer at the turn of the century: European and US perspectives. Ann Oncol **12,** 13–22
- [2] Adlard JW, Richman SD, Seymour MT, and Quirke P (2002). Prediction

of the response of colorectal cancer to systemic therapy. Lancet Oncol $3, 75 - 82.$

- [3] Leichman CG, Lenz HJ, Leichman L, Danenberg K, Baranda J, Groshen S, Boswell W, Metzger R, Tan M, and Danenberg PV (1997). Quantitation of intratumoral thymidylate synthase expression predicts for disseminated colorectal cancer response and resistance to protracted-infusion fluorouracil and weekly leucovorin. J Clin Oncol 15, 3223 – 3229.
- [4] Salonga D, Danenberg KD, Johnson M, Metzger R, Groshen S, Tsao-Wei DD, Lenz HJ, Leichman CG, Leichman L, Diasio RB, et al. (2000). Colorectal tumors responding to 5-fluorouracil have low gene expression levels of dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase, thymidylate synthase, and thymidine phosphorylase. Clin Cancer Res 6, 1322-1327.
- [5] Metzger R, Danenberg K, Leichman CG, Salonga D, Schwartz EL, Wadler S, Lenz HJ, Groshen S, Leichman L, and Danenberg PV (1998). High basal level gene expression of thymidine phosphorylase (platelet-derived endothelial cell growth factor) in colorectal tumors is associated with nonresponse to 5-fluorouracil. Clin Cancer Res 4, 2371 – 2376.
- [6] Soong R, Powell B, Elsaleh H, Gnanasampanthan G, Smith DR, Goh HS, Joseph D, and Iacopetta B (2000). Prognostic significance of TP53 gene mutation in 995 cases of colorectal carcinoma. Influence of tumour site, stage, adjuvant chemotherapy and type of mutation. Eur J Cancer 36, 2053 – 2060.
- [7] McKay JA, Lloret C, Murray GI, Johnston PG, Bicknell R, Ahmed FY, Cassidy J, and McLeod HL (2000). Application of the enrichment approach to identify putative markers of response to 5-fluorouracil therapy in advanced colorectal carcinomas. Int J Oncol 17, 153-158.
- [8] Elsaleh H (2001). The microsatellite instability phenotype in human colorectal carcinoma: relationship to sex, age, and tumor site. Gastroenterology 121, 230 – 231.
- [9] Watanabe T, Wu TT, Catalano PJ, Ueki T, Satriano R, Haller DG, Benson AB III and Hamilton SR (2001). Molecular predictors of survival after adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. N Engl J Med 344, 1196 – 1206.
- [10] Fearon ER and Vogelstein B (1990). A genetic model for colorectal tumorigenesis. Cell 61, 759-767.
- [11] Chung DC (2000). The genetic basis of colorectal cancer: insights into critical pathways of tumorigenesis. Gastroenterology 119, 854– 865.
- [12] Markowitz S, Wang J, Myeroff L, Parsons R, Sun L, Lutterbaugh J, Fan RS, Zborowska E, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, et al. (1995). Inactivation of the type II TGF-beta receptor in colon cancer cells with microsatellite instability. Science 268, 1336 – 1338.
- [13] Hahn SA, Schutte M, Hoque AT, Moskaluk CA, da Costa LT, Rozenblum E, Weinstein CL, Fischer A, Yeo CJ, Hruban RH, et al. (1996). DPC4, a candidate tumor suppressor gene at human chromosome 18q21.1. Science 271, 350 –353.
- [14] Tang B, Bottinger EP, Jakowlew SB, Bagnall KM, Mariano J, Anver MR, Letterio JJ, and Wakefield LM (1998). Transforming growth factor-beta1 is a new form of tumor suppressor with true haploid insufficiency. Nat Med 4, 802 – 807.
- [15] Grady WM, Myeroff LL, Swinler SE, Rajput A, Thiagalingam S, Lutterbaugh JD, Neumann A, Brattain MG, Chang J, Kim SJ, et al.

(1999). Mutational inactivation of transforming growth factor beta receptor type II in microsatellite stable colon cancers. Cancer Res 59, 320 – 324.

- [16] Datta PK, Chytil A, Gorska AE, and Moses HL (1998). Identification of STRAP, a novel WD domain protein in transforming growth factor-beta signaling. J Biol Chem 273, 34671-34674.
- [17] Datta PK and Moses HL (2000). *STRAP* and Smad7 synergize in the inhibition of transforming growth factor beta signaling. Mol Cell Biol 20, 3157 – 3167.
- [18] Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research (SAKK) (1995). Long-term results of single course of adjuvant intraportal chemotherapy for colorectal cancer. Lancet 345, 349 – 353.
- [19] Boulay JL, Reuter J, Ritschard R, Terracciano L, Herrmann R and Rochlitz C (1999). Gene dosage by quantitative real-time PCR. Biotechniques 27, 228 –230, 232.
- [20] Therneau TaG PM (2000). In: Springer, PM (Ed.), Modeling Survival Data Springer, New York.
- [21] Giacchetti S, Perpoint B, Zidani R, Le Bail N, Faggiuolo R, Focan C, Chollet P, Llory JF, Letourneau Y, Coudert B, et al. (2000). Phase III multicenter randomized trial of oxaliplatin added to chronomodulated fluorouracil – leucovorin as first-line treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 18, 136-147.
- [22] Saltz LB, Cox JV, Blanke C, Rosen LS, Fehrenbacher L, Moore MJ, Maroun JA, Ackland SP, Locker PK, Pirotta N, et al. (2000). Irinotecan plus fluorouracil and leucovorin for metastatic colorectal cancer. Irinotecan Study Group. N Engl J Med 343, 905-914.
- [23] Aragane H, Sakakura C, Nakanishi M, Yasuoka R, Fujita Y, Taniguchi H, Hagiwara A, Yamaguchi T, Abe T, Inazawa J, et al. (2001). Chromosomal aberrations in colorectal cancers and liver metastases analyzed by comparative genomic hybridization. Int J Cancer 94, 623 – 629.
- [24] Henegariu O, Vance GH, Heiber D, Pera M, and Heerema NA (1998). Triple-color FISH analysis of 12p amplification in testicular germ-cell tumors using 12p band–specific painting probes. J Mol Med 76, 648–655.
- [25] Dong JT (2001). Chromosomal deletions and tumor suppressor genes in prostate cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev 20, 173-193.
- [26] Matsuda S, Katsumata R, Okuda T, Yamamoto T, Miyazaki K, Senga T, Machida K, Thant AA, Nakatsugawa S, and Hamaguchi M (2000). Molecular cloning and characterization of human MAWD, a novel protein containing WD-40 repeats frequently overexpressed in breast cancer. Cancer Res 60, 13-17.
- [27] Cui W, Fowlis DJ, Bryson S, Duffie E, Ireland H, Balmain A, and Akhurst RJ (1996). TGFbeta1 inhibits the formation of benign skin tumors, but enhances progression to invasive spindle carcinomas in transgenic mice. Cell 86, 531-542.
- [28] Boulay JL, Mild G, Lowy A, Reuter J, Lagrange M, Terracciano L, Laffer U, Herrmann R, and Rochlitz C (2002). SMAD4 is a predictive marker for 5-fluorouracil – based chemotherapy in patients with colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer 87, 630 – 634.
- [29] Wendling J, Marchand A, Mauviel A, and Verrecchia F (2003). 5-Fluorouracil blocks transforming growth factor-beta –induced alpha 2 type I collagen gene (COL1A2) expression in human fibroblasts via c-Jun NH₂-terminal kinase/activator protein-1 activation. Mol Pharmacol 64, 707 – 713.