

Corrections

NEUROSCIENCE. For the article “Neural correlates of perceptual learning: A functional MRI study of visual texture discrimination,” by Sophie Schwartz, Pierre Maquet, and Chris Frith, which appeared in number 26, December 24, 2002, of *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* (**99**, 17137–17142; First Published November 21, 2002; 10.1073/pnas.242414599), on page 17138, the last sentence beginning at the bottom of the right column:

“Axons from the temporal retina cross in the optic chiasm and project to ocular dominance columns in the contralateral hemisphere, whereas axons from the nasal retina do not cross and project to ocular dominance columns in the ipsilateral hemisphere (21).”

should read:

“Axons from the nasal retina cross in the optic chiasm and project to ocular dominance columns in the hemisphere contralateral to the eye, whereas axons from the temporal retina do not cross and project to ocular dominance columns in the hemisphere ipsilateral to the eye (21).”

The conclusions of the article remain unchanged.

www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1030820100

ECOLOGY. For the article “Surface gas-exchange processes of snow algae,” by William E. Williams, Holly L. Gorton, and Thomas C. Vogelmann, which appeared in number 2, January 21, 2003, of *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* (**100**, 562–566; First Published January 7, 2003; 10.1073/pnas.0235560100), on page 565, right column, second paragraph, in the sentences beginning on the 11th line, the personal communication was presented incorrectly. The sentences reading “Recent reanalysis of their data suggests that the number should be closer to 300 cells ml⁻¹ (T. H. Painter, personal communication). Their assumption that all of the cells are in the top 10 cm of snow would give an average areal density of 3×10^7 cells m⁻²” should be replaced by “They assumed that all of the cells were in the top 10 cm of snow but now believe it is more appropriate to assume they are in the top 2 cm (T. H. Painter, personal communication). Using this assumption gives an average areal density about 3×10^7 cells m⁻².” This correction does not affect the conclusions of the article.

www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1031189100