

Structure of UDP-*N*-acetylglucosamine acyltransferase with a bound antibacterial pentadecapeptide

Allison H. Williams*, Robert M. Immormino*, Daniel T. Gewirth*†, and Christian R. H. Raetz**

*Department of Biochemistry, Duke University Medical Center, Box 3711 DUMC, Durham, NC 27710; and †Hauptman-Woodward Medical Research Institute, 700 Ellicott Street, Buffalo, NY 14203

Contributed by Christian R. H. Raetz, May 31, 2006

UDP-GlcNAc acyltransferase (LpxA) catalyzes the first step of lipid A biosynthesis, the transfer of the *R*-3-hydroxyacyl chain from *R*-3-hydroxyacyl acyl carrier protein (ACP) to the glucosamine 3-OH group of UDP-GlcNAc. LpxA is essential for the growth of *Escherichia coli* and related Gram-negative bacteria. The crystal structure of the *E. coli* LpxA homotrimer, determined previously at 2.6 Å in the absence of substrates or inhibitors, revealed that LpxA contains an unusual, left-handed parallel β -helix fold. We now present the crystal structure at 1.8 Å resolution of *E. coli* LpxA in a complex with a pentadecapeptide, peptide 920. Three peptides, each of which adopts a β -hairpin conformation, are bound per LpxA trimer. The peptides are located at the interfaces of adjacent subunits in the vicinity of the three active sites. Each peptide interacts with residues from both adjacent subunits. Peptide 920 is a potent inhibitor of *E. coli* LpxA ($K_i = 50$ nM). It is competitive with respect to acyl-ACP but not UDP-GlcNAc. The compact β -turn structure of peptide 920 bound to LpxA may open previously uncharacterized approaches to the rational design of LpxA inhibitors with antibiotic activity.

antibiotic | crystal structure | *Escherichia coli* | inhibitor | outer membrane

Lipopolysaccharide constitutes the outer monolayer of the outer membrane in Gram-negative bacteria (1–3). Lipopolysaccharide maintains the integrity of the outer membrane, which functions as a barrier to molecules >500 Da (4). Lipid A (endotoxin) is the hydrophobic moiety that anchors lipopolysaccharide into the outer membrane (1, 2). It is a potent activator of the human innate immune system via Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) (5–7). The minimal lipopolysaccharide required for growth usually consists of two 3-deoxy-*D*-manno-octulosonic acid (Kdo) residues attached to lipid A (Fig. 1; ref. 2). Because lipid A biosynthetic enzymes have no mammalian counterparts, they are attractive targets for the design of new antibiotics (10, 11).

Kdo₂-lipid A is synthesized by a conserved pathway consisting of nine enzymes (1, 2). LpxA catalyzes the first step (Fig. 1), the thermodynamically unfavorable 3-*O*-acylation of UDP-GlcNAc ($K_{eq} = 0.01$) (12–14). The crystal structure of *Escherichia coli* LpxA, previously determined at 2.6 Å (15), revealed that the enzyme is a homotrimer. It adopts a distinctive, left-handed parallel β -helix fold (Fig. 2*A* and *B*), which is specified by the presence of 24 complete and six partial hexad repeats (15). Three contiguous hexad repeats (18-aa residues) fold into one coil of the β -helix (Fig. 2*A* and *B*). Many other bacterial acyl and acetyl transferases later were shown to possess this fold (17–22).

Structures of LpxA have not been solved in the presence of substrates or inhibitors. Mutagenesis suggests that the active site is located in a large cleft between adjacent subunits (8, 23). This region contains several conserved basic residues (K76, H122, H125, H144, H160, and R204) (23). H125 (Fig. 2*C* and *D*) may be the catalytic base (Fig. 1*B*), because, of the conserved residues in the cleft, only the H125A substitution abolishes LpxA activity completely (23). The structure of *Helicobacter pylori* LpxA, solved with a bound 1-*S*-octyl- β -*D*-thiogluconide molecule (24), is consistent with a catalytic role for H125, because N- δ 1 of H121 (equivalent to

E. coli H125) is in close proximity to the 1-*S*-octyl- β -*D*-thiogluconide 3-OH group.

Recently, a pentadecapeptide (termed peptide 920) with high affinity for LpxA was discovered by using phage display (25). When expressed in *E. coli* as a fusion protein with GST, bacterial growth was inhibited. Specificity for LpxA was inferred from the resistance of cells overexpressing LpxA to killing by peptide 920 (25). Inhibition of LpxA *in vitro* was not investigated. We now present the 1.8-Å crystal structure of *E. coli* LpxA with bound peptide 920, which adopts a compact β -turn conformation. The peptide occupies part of the proposed active site region inferred from mutagenesis studies (8, 23). Inhibition by peptide 920 is competitive with respect to *R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl-ACP ($K_i = 50$ nM) but not UDP-GlcNAc, suggesting that peptide 920 contacts LpxA in a region that overlaps or occludes the *R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl-ACP-binding site.

Results

Inhibition of LpxA by Peptide 920. Peptide 920 (NH₂-SSGWMLD-PIAGKWSR-COOH) is a potent inhibitor of *E. coli* LpxA with an IC₅₀ of 60 ± 9 nM when assayed with 1 μM UDP-GlcNAc and 1 μM *R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl-ACP (Fig. 3*A*). Competitive inhibitors often are substrate analogues, but peptide 920 displays no similarity to either ACP or UDP-GlcNAc. To test whether peptide 920 competes with *R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl-ACP, the UDP-GlcNAc concentration was held at 1 μM, and the *R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl-ACP was varied (1, 25, 50, or 100 μM). The IC₅₀ of peptide 920 was determined under each condition. Increasing the acyl-ACP concentration from 1 to 100 μM shifted the IC₅₀ from 60 ± 9 nM to 730 ± 107 nM (Fig. 3*A*), consistent with Eq. 3, which predicts a 12-fold shift. At 25 and 50 μM *R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl-ACP, the IC₅₀ values were 390 ± 18 nM and 536 ± 87 nM, respectively. The average calculated K_i was 50 ± 13 nM with K_m set at 5 μM for acyl-ACP.

To test whether peptide 920 competes with UDP-GlcNAc ($K_m = 0.8$ mM) (23), the *R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl-ACP was held at 50 μM, and the IC₅₀ for peptide 920 was determined at 5 mM, 500 μM, or 1 μM UDP-GlcNAc. The IC₅₀ was similar at all three concentrations (923 ± 230 nM, 760 ± 220 nM, and 700 ± 65 nM, respectively) (Fig. 3*B*). If peptide 920 were competing with UDP-GlcNAc, a 7-fold shift in the IC₅₀ would be expected. The combined data suggest that peptide 920 contacts LpxA in a region that overlaps mainly with the *R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl-ACP substrate-binding site.

Crystal Structure of the Peptide 920–LpxA Complex. No crystal structures of LpxA with bound acyl-ACP or UDP-GlcNAc have been reported. To determine how peptide 920 inhibits LpxA, LpxA was crystallized in the presence of a 25-fold molar excess of peptide. Crystals of the complex diffracted to 1.8-Å resolution and were solved by molecular replacement by using the previously determined structure of free LpxA (PDB ID code 1LXA) (15) as the

Conflict of interest statement: No conflicts declared.

Data deposition: The atomic coordinates have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank, www.pdb.org (PDB ID code 2AQ9).

†To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: raetz@biochem.duke.edu.

© 2006 by The National Academy of Sciences of the USA

substrates, inhibitors, or solvent molecules. The more recent *Helicobacter pylori* LpxA structure (24) was similar in its overall fold to *E. coli* LpxA.

We now have cocrystallized *E. coli* LpxA and the antibacterial inhibitor, peptide 920, and have solved its structure to 1.8 Å resolution. Our structure includes 297 water, 22 DMSO, and 5 phosphate molecules per monomer (data not shown) that could not be seen in the previous analysis of *E. coli* LpxA at 2.6 Å. The overall LpxA/peptide 920 complex is remarkably similar to free LpxA (15). Peptide 920 binds to LpxA in the general region of the proposed active site cleft (Fig. 5), which was anticipated by mutagenesis of conserved residues (23). Because inhibition by peptide 920 is competitive with respect to acyl-ACP, it is likely that peptide 920 overlaps or occludes at least a portion of the *R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl-ACP binding region of LpxA, blocking productive interaction and catalysis. Some of the conserved residues implicated in substrate binding (H160, R204) (16, 23) and acyl chain-length selectivity (G173) (8) interact directly with peptide 920 (Figs. 4 and 5). Interestingly, the residual activity of the H160A LpxA variant is two orders of magnitude less sensitive to inhibition by peptide 920 than wild-type LpxA (data not shown), consistent with the fact that H160 hydrogen bonds K12 of the peptide (Fig. 4*B* and *C*). The fact that peptide 920 interacts directly with G173, the acyl chain ruler (Fig. 4*B* and *C*), further supports the idea that peptide 920 binds to same region of the LpxA active site that engages the *R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl chain.

No crystal structures of LpxA with bound acyl-ACP or UDP-GlcNAc have been reported. Modeling studies, by using the coordinates from the butyryl-ACP crystal structure (37) in conjunction with residual dipolar couplings and chemical shift perturbations of ¹⁵N-labeled ACP in the presence of *E. coli* LpxA (36), suggest that three acyl-ACPs can bind to the LpxA homotrimer. Each acyl-ACP is thought to dock in the vicinity of the basic cleft located between adjacent LpxA subunits (36), near R204 (Fig. 2*D*). This residue, which is essential for activity (23), contacts W13 of peptide 920 (Fig. 4*B* and *C*). It is not far removed from H125 (the catalytic base) (Fig. 5*A*) and other conserved active-site residues implicated in LpxA function by site-directed mutagenesis (23). With the exception of R204, there is apparently no overlap between the LpxA-binding sites for peptide 920 and ACP (Figs. 2*D*, 4*B* and *C*, and 5*B*; ref. 16). However, the location of the acyl-phosphopantetheine moiety, which is covalently linked to S36 of ACP, was not included in the docking model (Fig. 2*D*; ref. 16). The docking model therefore supports the idea that peptide 920 and, specifically, the acyl-phosphopantetheine portion of *R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl-ACP might be competing for the same or overlapping sites on LpxA.

Acyl-ACPs participate in diverse biochemical pathways, including fatty acid (38), phospholipid (39), polyketide (40, 41), and nonribosomal peptide biosynthesis (42). Structures of long-chain acyl-ACPs have resisted structure determination, and many of the enzymes that use these acyl-ACPs as substrates are either membrane-bound or large modular proteins with multiple active sites. Despite many unsuccessful attempts in the past, a crystal structure of LpxA with bound *R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl-ACP remains an important objective, because it would provide significant insights into the LpxA mechanism and the acyl chain-length selection process. Furthermore, crystallization of LpxA with the product UDP-3-*O*-*R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl-GlcNAc has not been investigated. Because LpxA efficiently catalyzes the reverse reaction (Fig. 1; refs. 14 and 23), the crystal structure of LpxA with bound UDP-3-*O*-*R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl-GlcNAc would be especially informative.

Based on genetic evidence (43) and the toxicity associated with peptide 920 expression in living cells (25), *E. coli* LpxA is a validated antibiotic target. Peptide inhibitors are often poor drug candidates because they do not cross membranes and are subject to proteolysis. The peptide 920-LpxA complex nevertheless provides an interesting starting point for further inhibitor development. It should be possible to design more potent, cyclic analogues of peptide 920 (44)

or modified polyketides (40, 42) that would not be susceptible to proteolysis and could cross membranes.

Materials and Methods

Sample Preparation and Crystallization. *E. coli* LpxA was overexpressed as described in refs. 8 and 23. The purification scheme consists of Green19 agarose (Sigma) affinity chromatography (pH 7.4), followed by Source Q ion-exchange chromatography (pH 8) and Superdex 200 gel filtration chromatography in 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7) containing 250 mM NaCl (8, 23). Purity was assessed by using SDS/PAGE, LpxA activity assays (8, 23), and electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (45). Peptide 920 (NH₂-SSGWMLDPIAGKWSR-COOH), a pentadecapeptide (25), was prepared at the University of North Carolina Peptide Synthesis Facility (Chapel Hill). Before crystallization, peptide 920 was added to a concentrated LpxA solution (20 mg/ml) at a 25-fold molar excess (12.5 mM) and incubated overnight at 4°C. Crystals of the LpxA-peptide 920 complex were grown at 18°C by using the hanging drop vapor diffusion method (46). Droplets contained 2 μl of the LpxA-peptide 920 mixture and 2 μl of 0.8–1.8 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.3–6.9) obtained by mixing concentrated stocks of NaH₂PO₄ and K₂HPO₄, and 30–35% DMSO. Crystalline cubes appeared after 48 h and grew to ≈0.6 mm after 2 weeks.

Data Collection. Crystals of the LpxA-peptide 920 complex were cryoprotected in 1.4 M Na/K phosphate, pH 8.2/45% DMSO and then were flash cooled in liquid nitrogen. Diffraction data were collected on an R-Axis IV image plate detector. Typical crystals diffract to 1.8 Å and belong to space group P2₁3 ($a = b = c = 96.74$ Å). The asymmetric unit contains one LpxA monomer in complex with one peptide 920, and the biologically functional trimer lies on the crystallographic 3-fold axis.

Structure Determination and Refinement. Diffraction data were reduced and scaled by using HKL2000 (47). Initial phases were obtained by molecular replacement with the program MOLREP (48). The search model consisted of a single LpxA monomer from the earlier structure determination at 2.6 Å (PDB ID code 1LXA) (15). Model building was performed iteratively in O (49) with rounds of simulated annealing, energy minimization, and B factor refinement in CNS (50). The programs REDUCE and PROBE (51) were used to highlight steric clashes in the model for correction. Identification of nonwater solvent molecules derived from the crystallization medium (phosphate ions or DMSO) was aided by the use of anomalous difference maps. The quality of the final model was assessed by using MOLPROBITY and PROCHECK (26, 52). The figures were drawn by using PYMOL (DeLano Scientific, San Carlos, CA). Data collection and refinement statistics are presented in Table 1. Atomic coordinates for the complex have been deposited with the Protein Data Bank (ID code 2AQ9).

Inhibition of LpxA Activity by Peptide 920. LpxA assay conditions were similar to those published in ref. 14 with minor modifications. The LpxA reaction monitors the conversion of [α -³²P]UDP-GlcNAc to [α -³²P]UDP-3-*O*-(*R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl)-GlcNAc. The assay components are 40 mM Hepes (pH 8), 1 mg/ml BSA, 1–100 μM *R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl-ACP, and 1–5,000 μM [α -³²P]UDP-GlcNAc (2×10^6 cpm/nmol) (53), as indicated. Peptide 920 was dissolved in DMSO and preincubated with the reaction mixture at 30°C for 3 min in the absence of enzyme at concentrations ranging from 1 nM to 10,000 nM. For all *in vitro* assays, the final concentration of DMSO was adjusted to 10% to match the peptide solvent.

The reactions were initiated by the addition of LpxA to 1 nM and incubated at 30°C for various times up to 10 min. Reactions were terminated by spotting 1-μl portions onto a silica TLC plate (53). The spots were air dried for 10 min before developing in chloroform/methanol/water/acetic acid (25:15:4:2, vol/vol). The plates

were exposed to PhosphorImager screens overnight, and the data were evaluated with Molecular Dynamics PhosphorImager equipped with IMAGEQUANT software.

Inhibition of LpxA by peptide 920 was analyzed by plotting the initial velocities as a function of the inhibitor concentration. To determine the IC₅₀ at 30°C, the data were fit to the following equations,

$$v_i/v_c = IC_{50}/(I + IC_{50}) \quad [1]$$

or

$$\% \text{ activity} = 100/(1 + I/IC_{50}), \quad [2]$$

where *I* is the inhibitor concentration, *v_i* represents the initial rate at given concentrations of inhibitor, and *v_c* represents the initial velocity of the control reaction without inhibitor.

To determine whether peptide 920 was competing with acyl-ACP, *R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl-ACP (*K_m* set to 5 μM) was varied from 1 to 100 μM, whereas UDP-GlcNAc was held constant at 1 μM.

Similarly, to test the competition with the other substrate, UDP-GlcNAc (*K_m* = 0.8 mM) (23) was varied from 1 μM to 5 mM, whereas *R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl-ACP was held constant at 50 μM. The higher concentration of *R*-3-hydroxymyristoyl-ACP was needed to ensure sensitivity in product detection, because the *K_M* for UDP-GlcNAc is relatively high (23). Competition experiments were performed by using the assay conditions described in refs. 23 and 53. The IC₅₀ values were determined by using Eqs. 1 and 2. The apparent *K_i* for the inhibition of LpxA was estimated based on:

$$IC_{50} = K_i \left(1 + \frac{S}{K_M} \right). \quad [3]$$

We thank Karen Soldano and Dr. James C. Phillips for technical assistance and Dr. David Six for help with the preparation of this manuscript. This research was supported by National Institutes of Health Grants GM-51310 (to C.R.H.R.) and CA-95130 (to D.T.G.). A.H.W. was supported by Duke University Cell and Molecular Biology Training Program Grant GM-07184 for part of this project.

1. Raetz, C. R. H. (1990) *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **59**, 129–170.
2. Raetz, C. R. H. & Whitfield, C. (2002) *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **71**, 635–700.
3. Brade, H., Opal, S. M., Vogel, S. N. & Morrison, D. C. (1999) (Dekker, New York), pp. 950.
4. Nikaido, H. (2003) *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **67**, 593–656.
5. Poltorak, A., He, X., Smirnova, I., Liu, M. Y., Huffel, C. V., Du, X., Birdwell, D., Alejos, E., Silva, M., Galanos, C., et al. (1998) *Science* **282**, 2085–2088.
6. Hoshino, K., Takeuchi, O., Kawai, T., Sanjo, H., Ogawa, T., Takeda, Y., Takeda, K. & Akira, S. (1999) *J. Immunol.* **162**, 3749–3752.
7. Akira, S. & Takeda, K. (2004) *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **4**, 499–511.
8. Wyckoff, T. J. O., Lin, S., Cotter, R. J., Dotson, G. D. & Raetz, C. R. H. (1998) *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 32369–32372.
9. Brabetz, W., Muller-Loennies, S., Holst, O. & Brade, H. (1997) *Eur. J. Biochem.* **247**, 716–724.
10. Onishi, H. R., Pelak, B. A., Gerckens, L. S., Silver, L. L., Kahan, F. M., Chen, M. H., Patchett, A. A., Galloway, S. M., Hyland, S. A., Anderson, M. S. & Raetz, C. R. H. (1996) *Science* **274**, 980–982.
11. Jackman, J. E., Fierke, C. A., Tumej, L. N., Pirrung, M., Uchiyama, T., Tahir, S. H., Hindsgaul, O. & Raetz, C. R. H. (2000) *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 11002–11009.
12. Anderson, M. S., Bulawa, C. E. & Raetz, C. R. H. (1985) *J. Biol. Chem.* **260**, 15536–15541.
13. Anderson, M. S. & Raetz, C. R. H. (1987) *J. Biol. Chem.* **262**, 5159–5169.
14. Anderson, M. S., Bull, H. S., Galloway, S. M., Kelly, T. M., Mohan, S., Radika, K. & Raetz, C. R. H. (1993) *J. Biol. Chem.* **268**, 19858–19865.
15. Raetz, C. R. H. & Roderick, S. L. (1995) *Science* **270**, 997–1000.
16. Jain, N. U., Wyckoff, T. J., Raetz, C. R. H. & Prestegard, J. H. (2004) *J. Mol. Biol.* **343**, 1379–1389.
17. Kisker, C., Schindelin, H., Alber, B. E., Ferry, J. G. & Rees, D. C. (1996) *EMBO J.* **15**, 2323–2330.
18. Beaman, T. W., Binder, D. A., Blanchard, J. S. & Roderick, S. L. (1997) *Biochemistry* **36**, 489–494.
19. Olsen, L. R. & Roderick, S. L. (2001) *Biochemistry* **40**, 1913–1921.
20. Wang, X. G., Olsen, L. R. & Roderick, S. L. (2002) *Structure (London)* **10**, 581–588.
21. Beaman, T. W., Blanchard, J. S. & Roderick, S. L. (1998) *Biochemistry* **37**, 10363–10369.
22. Beaman, T. W., Sugantino, M. & Roderick, S. L. (1998) *Biochemistry* **37**, 6689–6696.
23. Wyckoff, T. J. & Raetz, C. R. H. (1999) *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 27047–27055.
24. Lee, B. I. & Suh, S. W. (2003) *Proteins* **53**, 772–774.
25. Benson, R. E., Gottlin, E. B., Christensen, D. J. & Hamilton, P. T. (2003) *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **47**, 2875–2881.
26. Davis, I. W., Murray, L. W., Richardson, J. S. & Richardson, D. C. (2004) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32**, W615–W619.
27. Neu, H. C. (1992) *Science* **257**, 1064–1073.
28. Walsh, C. T. (2003) *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **1**, 65–70.
29. Conway, S. P., Brownlee, K. G., Denton, M. & Peckham, D. G. (2003) *Am. J. Respir. Med.* **2**, 321–332.
30. Garau, J. & Gomez, L. (2003) *Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis.* **16**, 135–143.
31. Clements, J. M., Coignard, F., Johnson, I., Chandler, S., Palan, S., Waller, A., Wijkman, J. & Hunter, M. G. (2002) *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **46**, 1793–1799.
32. Kline, T., Andersen, N. H., Harwood, E. A., Bowman, J., Malanda, A., Endsley, S., Erwin, A. L., Doyle, M., Fong, S., Harris, A. L., et al. (2002) *J. Med. Chem.* **45**, 3112–3129.
33. Coggins, B. E., Li, X., McClerren, A. L., Hindsgaul, O., Raetz, C. R. H. & Zhou, P. (2003) *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **10**, 645–651.
34. Pirrung, M. C., Tumej, L. N., McClerren, A. L. & Raetz, C. R. H. (2003) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **125**, 1575–1586.
35. Whittington, D. A., Rusche, K. M., Shin, H., Fierke, C. A. & Christianson, D. W. (2003) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **100**, 8146–8150.
36. Williamson, J. M., Anderson, M. S. & Raetz, C. R. H. (1991) *J. Bacteriol.* **173**, 3591–3596.
37. Roujeinikova, A., Baldock, C., Simon, W. J., Gilroy, J., Baker, P. J., Stuitje, A. R., Rice, D. W., Slabas, A. R. & Rafferty, J. B. (2002) *Structure (London)* **10**, 825–835.
38. White, S. W., Zheng, J., Zhang, Y. M. & Rock, C. O. (2005) *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **74**, 791–831.
39. Cronan, J. E. (2003) *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **57**, 203–224.
40. Khosla, C., Gokhale, R. S., Jacobsen, J. R. & Cane, D. E. (1999) *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **68**, 219–253.
41. Liou, G. F. & Khosla, C. (2003) *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **7**, 279–284.
42. Walsh, C. T. (2004) *Science* **303**, 1805–1810.
43. Galloway, S. M. & Raetz, C. R. H. (1990) *J. Biol. Chem.* **265**, 6394–6402.
44. Fernandez-Lopez, S., Kim, H. S., Choi, E. C., Delgado, M., Granja, J. R., Khasanov, A., Kraehenbuehl, K., Long, G., Weinberger, D. A., Wilcoxon, K. M. & Ghadiri, M. R. (2001) *Nature* **412**, 452–455.
45. McClerren, A. L., Zhou, P., Guan, Z., Raetz, C. R. H. & Rudolph, J. (2005) *Biochemistry* **44**, 1106–1113.
46. Pfitzner, U., Raetz, C. R. H. & Roderick, S. L. (1995) *Proteins Struct. Funct. Genet.* **22**, 191–192.
47. Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997) *Methods Enzymol.* **276**, 307–326.
48. Vagin, A. & Teplyakov, A. (1997) *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **30**, 1022–1025.
49. Jones, T. A. & Kjeldgaard, M. (1994) in *From First Map to Final Model*, eds. Bailey, S., Hubbard, R. & Waller, D. (Sci. Eng. Res. Council. Daresbury Lab., Warrington, U.K.) pp. 1–13.
50. Brunger, A. T., Adams, P. D., Clore, G. M., DeLano, W. L., Gros, P., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Jiang, J. S., Kuszewski, J., Nilges, M., Pannu, N. S., et al. (1998) *Acta Crystallogr. D* **54**, 905–921.
51. Word, J. M., Lovell, S. C., LaBean, T. H., Taylor, H. C., Zalis, M. E., Presley, B. K., Richardson, J. S. & Richardson, D. C. (1999) *J. Mol. Biol.* **285**, 1711–1733.
52. Lovell, S. C., Davis, I. W., Arendall, W. B., III, de Bakker, P. I., Word, J. M., Prisant, M. G., Richardson, J. S. & Richardson, D. C. (2003) *Proteins* **50**, 437–450.
53. Odegaard, T. J., Kaltashov, I. A., Cotter, R. J., Steeghs, L., van der Ley, P., Khan, S., Maskell, D. J. & Raetz, C. R. H. (1997) *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**, 19688–19696.