Arabidopsis EPSIN1 Plays an Important Role in Vacuolar Trafficking of Soluble Cargo Proteins in Plant Cells via Interactions with Clathrin, AP-1, VTI11, and VSR1[™]

Jinhee Song, Myoung Hui Lee, Gil-Je Lee, Cheol Min Yoo, and Inhwan Hwang1

Division of Molecular and Life Sciences and Center for Plant Intracellular Trafficking, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 790-784, Korea

Epsin and related proteins play important roles in various steps of protein trafficking in animal and yeast cells. Many epsin homologs have been identified in plant cells from analysis of genome sequences. However, their roles have not been elucidated. Here, we investigate the expression, localization, and biological role in protein trafficking of an epsin homolog, Arabidopsis thaliana EPSIN1, which is expressed in most tissues we examined. In the cell, one pool of EPSIN1 is associated with actin filaments, producing a network pattern, and a second pool localizes primarily to the Golgi complex with a minor portion to the prevacuolar compartment, producing a punctate staining pattern. Protein pull-down and coimmunoprecipitation experiments reveal that Arabidopsis EPSIN1 interacts with clathrin, VTI11, γ -adaptin-related protein (γ -ADR), and vacuolar sorting receptor1 (VSR1). In addition, EPSIN1 colocalizes with clathrin and VTI11. The epsin1 mutant, which has a T-DNA insertion in EPSIN1, displays a defect in the vacuolar trafficking of sporamin:green fluorescent protein (GFP), but not in the secretion of invertase:GFP into the medium. Stably expressed HA:EPSIN1 complements this trafficking defect. Based on these data, we propose that EPSIN1 plays an important role in the vacuolar trafficking of soluble proteins at the trans-Golgi network via its interaction with γ -ADR, VTI11, VSR1, and clathrin.

INTRODUCTION

After translation in eukaryotic cells, a large number of proteins are transported to subcellular compartments by a variety of different mechanisms. Newly synthesized vacuolar proteins that are delivered to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) by the cotranslational translocation mechanism are transported to the vacuole from the ER by a process called intracellular trafficking. Trafficking of a protein to the vacuole from the ER occurs through two organelles, the Golgi complex and the prevacuolar compartment (PVC) (Rothman, 1994; Hawes et al., 1999; Bassham and Raikhel, 2000; Griffiths, 2000). Transport of a protein from the ER to the Golgi complex is performed by coat protein complex II vesicles. Transport from the *trans*-Golgi network (TGN) to the PVC occurs via clathrin-coated vesicles (CCVs) (Robinson et al., 1998; Tang et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2005).

Transport of a protein from the ER to the vacuole/lysosome requires a large number of proteins, including components of vesicles, factors involved in vesicle generation and fusion, regulators of intracellular trafficking, adaptors for the cargo proteins, and other accessory proteins (Robinson and Kreis, 1992; Bennett, 1995; Schekman and Orci, 1996; da Silva Conceição et al., 1997;

MOnline version contains Web-only data.

www.plantcell.org/cgi/doi/10.1105/tpc.105.039123

Kirchhausen, 1999; Sever et al., 1999; Bassham and Raikhel, 2000; Griffiths, 2000; Jin et al., 2001; Robinson and Bonifacino, 2001). Most of these proteins are found in all eukaryotic cells from yeast, animals, and plants, suggesting that protein trafficking mechanisms from the ER to the vacuole/lysosome may be highly conserved in all eukaryotic cells.

Of the large number of proteins involved in intracellular trafficking, a group of proteins that have the highly conserved epsin N-terminal homology (ENTH) domain have been identified as playing a critical role at various trafficking steps in animal and yeast cells (Chen et al., 1998; De Camilli et al., 2002; Wendland, 2002; Overstreet et al., 2003; Legendre-Guillemin et al., 2004). The ENTH domain binds to phosphatidylinositols (PtdIns), although the lipid binding specificity differs with individual members of the epsin family. For example, epsin1 binds to PtdIns(4,5) P_2 , whereas EpsinR and Ent3p bind to PtdIns(4)P and PdtIns(3,5) P_2 , respectively (Itoh et al., 2001). The ENTH domain is thought to be responsible for targeting these proteins to specific compartments and also for introducing curvature to the bound membranes to assist in the generation of CCVs (Legendre-Guillemin et al., 2004). However, the exact steps of intracellular trafficking in which ENTH-containing proteins play a role are complex. Epsin homologs can be divided into two groups based on the pathway in which they play a role. One group, which includes epsin1 in animal cells and Ent1p and Ent2p in yeast cells, is involved in endocytosis from the plasma membrane (Chen et al., 1998; De Camilli et al., 2002; Wendland, 2002). The other group, which includes EpsinR/clint/enthoprotin in animal cells and Ent3p and Ent4p in yeast cells, is involved in protein trafficking from the TGN to the lysosome/vacuole as well as

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail ihhwang@ postech.ac.kr; fax 82-54-279-8159.

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantcell.org) is: Inhwan Hwang (ihhwang@postech.ac.kr).

retrograde trafficking from the early endosomes to the TGN (Kalthoff et al., 2002; Wasiak et al., 2002; Hirst et al., 2003; Chidambaram et al., 2004; Eugster et al., 2004; Saint-Pol et al., 2004).

Another common feature of epsin-related proteins is that they play a role in CCV-mediated protein trafficking at both the TGN and the plasma membrane. These proteins can bind directly to clathrin through their multiple clathrin binding motifs; thus, they may recruit clathrin to the plasma membrane or the TGN to generate CCVs (Rosenthal et al., 1999; Wendland et al., 1999; Drake et al., 2000). In addition, these proteins interact with many other proteins, such as heterotetrameric clathrin adaptor complexes (APs), monomeric adaptor Golgi-localized, γ -earcontaining Arf binding proteins (GGAs), and soluble NSF attachment protein receptors (SNAREs). Epsin1 interacts with AP-2, Epsin15, and intersectin (Chen et al., 1998; Legendre-Guillemin et al., 2004), whereas EpsinR/enthoprotin/clint and Ent3p interact with SNAREs such as vti1b and vti1p, respectively (Chidambaram et al., 2004) and with adaptor proteins such as GGAs and AP-1 (Duncan et al., 2003; Mills et al., 2003). In addition, epsin homologs have ubiquitin-interacting motifs and are ubiquitinated (Oldham et al., 2002; Shih et al., 2002). Protein ubiquitination acts as a signal for endocytosis from the plasma membrane and trafficking from the TGN through the endosome/ PVC to the lysosome/vacuole (Polo et al., 2002; Horak, 2003; Raiborg et al., 2003; Scott et al., 2004). The binding of epsin homologs to ubiquitin raises the possibility that epsin homologs may bind directly to cargo proteins that are destined for the vacuole/lysosome from either the plasma membrane or the TGN (Chen and De Camilli, 2005; Sigismund et al., 2005).

In plant cells, sequence analysis of the entire *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome reveals several proteins with the highly conserved ENTH domains (Holstein and Oliviusson, 2005). However, their biological roles have not been addressed. In this study, we investigate the functional role of EPSIN1, an *Arabidopsis* epsin homolog, at the molecular level. In particular, we focus on its possible role in protein trafficking in plant cells. We demonstrate that EPSIN1 interacts with clathrin, AP-1, VSR1, and VTI11 and plays an important role in the vacuolar trafficking of a soluble protein from the Golgi complex to the central vacuole.

RESULTS

EPSIN1, a Member of the Epsin Family, Is Ubiquitously Expressed in Arabidopsis

The *Arabidopsis* genome encodes three highly similar epsinrelated proteins, EPSIN1, EPSIN2, and EPSIN3 (Holstein and Oliviusson, 2005). In this study, we investigated the biological role of EPSIN1. EPSIN1 has the highly conserved ENTH domain at the N terminus. However, the rest of the molecule is less similar to other epsin-related proteins, although it has motifs, such as LIDL and DPF, that may function as clathrin and AP-1 binding motifs, respectively.

To understand the biological role of EPSIN1, its expression in various plant tissues was examined. An antibody was raised against the middle domain of EPSIN1 (amino acid residues 153 to 337). The antibody recognized a protein band at 90 kD, which was much larger than the expected size, 60 kD, of EPSIN1 (Figure 1A). It was shown previously that epsin-related proteins migrate slower than expected in SDS-PAGE (Chen et al., 1998). The control serum did not recognize any protein bands. This result suggested that the antibody specifically recognized EPSIN1. To confirm this, protoplasts were transformed with *EPSIN1* tagged with *HA* at the N terminus (*HA:EPSIN1*) and protein extracts from the transformed protoplasts were analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-HA and anti-EPSIN1 antibodies. The anti-HA antibody specifically recognized a protein band from the transformed protoplasts, but not from the untransformed protoplasts, at 90 kD (Figure 1B). In addition, the 90-kD protein species was recognized by the anti-EPSIN1 antibody, confirming that the 90-kD band was EPSIN1. The expression of EPSIN1 in various tissues was examined using the anti-EPSIN1 antibody. Protein extracts were prepared from various tissues at different stages of plant growth and used for protein gel blot analysis. EPSIN1 was expressed in all of the tissues examined, with the highest expression in cotyledons and flowers (Figure 1C).

EPSIN1 Produces Both Network and Punctate Staining Patterns

To examine the subcellular distribution of EPSIN1, total protein extracts from leaf tissues were separated into soluble and membrane fractions and analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-EPSIN1 antibody. EPSIN1 was detected in both membrane (pellet) and soluble fractions (Figure 2A). As controls for the fractionation,*Arabidopsis* aleurain-like protease (AALP) and*Arabidopsis* vacuolar sorting receptor (VSR) were detected with anti-AALP and anti-VSR antibodies, respectively (Sohn et al., 2003). AALP is a soluble protein present in the vacuolar lumen, and VSR is a membrane protein that is localized primarily to the PVC with a minor portion to the Golgi complex (da Silva Conceição et al., 1997; Ahmed et al., 2000). As expected, AALP and VSR were detected in the supernatant and pellet fractions, respectively. These results indicated that EPSIN1 localized to multiple locations, consistent with the behavior of other epsin-related proteins (Legendre-Guillemin et al., 2004).

Next, we defined the subcellular localization of EPSIN1. Our initial attempts to localize the endogenous EPSIN1 with the anti-EPSIN1 antibody failed. Thus, we determined the localization of EPSIN1 protein transiently expressed in protoplasts. EPSIN1 was tagged with the HA epitope, green fluorescent protein (GFP), or red fluorescent protein (RFP). The amount of total EPSIN1 protein was determined using various amounts of *HA:EPSIN1* plasmid DNA by protein gel blot analysis with anti-EPSIN1 antibody and was found to be proportional to the amount of plasmid used (Figure 2B). For the localization, we used a minimal amount (5 to 10 μ g) of *EPSIN1* plasmid DNAs. Protoplasts were transformed with *HA:EPSIN1*, and localization of EPSIN1 was determined by immunostaining with anti-HA antibody. HA: EPSIN1 produced primarily a punctate staining pattern (Figure 2Ca). In addition to punctate stains, we occasionally observed weakly stained strings that connected punctate stains (Figure 2Cc, arrowheads). By contrast, the nontransformed controls did not produce any patterns (Figure 2Ce). In protoplasts transformed with *EPSIN1:GFP* and *EPSIN1:RFP*, both EPSIN1 fusion

Figure 1. EPSIN1 Is Expressed in Various *Arabidopsis* Tissues.

(A) Generation of anti-EPSIN1 antibody. The middle domain, corresponding to amino acid residues 153 to 337, was expressed as the Hisx6-tagged form in *E. coli* and used to raise antibody in a rabbit. Control serum was obtained from the rabbit before immunization. Total protein extracts were obtained from leaf tissues and used to test the anti-EPSIN1 antibody.

(B) Specificity of the anti-EPSIN1 antibody. Protein extracts were obtained from protoplasts expressing *EPSIN1* tagged with the HA epitope at the N terminus and used for protein gel blot analysis using anti-HA and anti-EPSIN1 antibodies.

(C) Expression of *EPSIN1* in various tissues. Total protein extracts from the indicated tissues were analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-EPSIN1 antibody. Leaf tissues were harvested 11 and 20 d after germination. Cotyledons were obtained from 5-d-old plants. The membranes were stained with Coomassie blue to control for protein loading. RbcL, large subunit of the ribulose-1,5-bis-phosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) complex.

proteins produced a network pattern with punctate stains (Figures 2Cg and 2Ch), whereas GFP and RFP alone produced diffuse patterns (Figures 2Dh and 2Di), indicating that EPSIN1 produces the network pattern with punctate stains. These results were further confirmed by cotransforming the protoplasts with either *EPSIN1:GFP* and *HA: EPSIN1* or *EPSIN1:GFP* and *EPSIN1: RFP*. The punctate staining pattern of EPSIN1:GFP closely overlapped that of HA:EPSIN1 (Figures 2Da to 2Dc). In addition, the network and punctate staining patterns of EPSIN1:GFP closely overlapped those of EPSIN1:RFP (Figures 2De to 2Dg). However, the fine networks revealed by EPSIN1:GFP in the live protoplasts were nearly absent in the fixed protoplasts. Thus, the differences in the staining patterns between fixed and live protoplasts may be attributable to the fact that the network pattern of live protoplasts are not well preserved under the fixing conditions used. In addition, the strings occasionally observed in the fixed protoplasts may represent the remnants of the network pattern revealed by HA:EPSIN1. These results strongly suggest that EPSIN1 is responsible for the network pattern as well as the punctate stains.

The network pattern was reminiscent of the ER or actin pattern in plant cells (Boevink et al., 1998; Jin et al., 2001; Kim et al., 2005), whereas the punctate staining pattern suggested that EPSIN1 may localize to the Golgi complex or endosomes, as observed previously with epsin homologs in animal and yeast cells (Wasiak et al., 2002; Chidambaram et al., 2004; Saint-Pol et al., 2004). Therefore, protoplasts were cotransformed with *EPSIN1:RFP* and *GFP:talin*, a marker for actin filaments consisting of GFP and the actin binding domain of mouse talin (Kost et al., 1998; Kim et al., 2005). As expected, GFP:talin produced the network pattern (Figure 3A) (Kost et al., 1998; Kim et al., 2005). Furthermore, the red fluorescent network pattern of EPSIN1:RFP closely overlapped the green fluorescent network pattern of GFP:talin (Figure 3A), raising the possibility that EPSIN1:GFP bound to the actin filaments rather than to the ER. To confirm this, the EPSIN1:RFP pattern was examined after treatment with latrunculin B (Lat B), a chemical agent known to disrupt actin filaments (Spector et al., 1983). Lat B–treated protoplasts produced the diffuse green fluorescent pattern of GFP:talin (Figure 3A), an indication of solubilized actin filaments, as observed previously (Kim et al., 2005). In addition, the Lat B–treated protoplasts displayed a diffuse red fluorescent pattern of EPSIN1:RFP (Figure 3A), indicating that EPSIN1 is associated with actin filaments but not with the ER. Furthermore, the punctate staining pattern of EPSIN1:RFP also was not observed in the presence of Lat B, indicating that actin filaments played a role in yielding the punctate staining pattern of EPSIN1. In the same conditions, BiP:GFP, an ER marker (Lee et al., 2002), produced a network pattern, indicating that Lat B does not disrupt the ER network patterns (Figure 3Ai).

To identify the organelle responsible for the punctate staining pattern of EPSIN1, its localization was compared with that of ST:GFP and PEP12p/SYP21. ST:GFP, a chimeric protein

Figure 2. EPSIN1 Produces Both Network and Punctate Staining Patterns.

(A) Subcellular fractionation of EPSIN1. Total (T) protein extracts of leaf tissues were separated into soluble (S) and pellet (P) fractions and analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-EPSIN1, anti-AALP, and anti-VSR antibodies.

(B) Expression level of EPSIN1 in transformed protoplasts. Protoplasts were transformed with various amounts of *HA:EPSIN1* DNA, and the level of EPSIN1 was determined by protein gel blotting with anti-EPSIN1 antibody. Protein extracts from untransformed protoplasts were used as a control. The membrane was also stained with Coomassie blue to control for loading.

(C) Localization of EPSIN1. Protoplasts were transformed with the indicated constructs (5 to 10 μg), and the localization of EPSIN1 was examined either by immunostaining with anti-HA antibody or by direct detection of the GFP or RFP signal. Untransformed protoplasts were immunostained with anti-HA antibody as a control. Bars = 20 μ m.

(D) Colocalization of EPSIN1 proteins. The localization of EPSIN1 protein was examined in protoplasts transformed with *HA:EPSIN1* and *EPSIN1:GFP* or with *EPSIN1:GFP* and *EPSIN1:RFP*. As controls, *GFP* and *RFP* alone were transformed into protoplasts. Bars = 20 μm.

Figure 3. Localization of EPSIN1 in Protoplasts.

between rat sialyltransferase and GFP, localizes to the Golgi complex, and PEP12p, a t-SNARE, localizes to the PVC (da Silva Conceição et al., 1997; Boevink et al., 1998; Jin et al., 2001). Protoplasts were cotransformed with *HA:EPSIN1* and *ST:GFP*. The localization of these proteins was examined after staining with anti-HA antibody. ST:GFP was observed directly with the green fluorescent signals. A major portion of the HA:EPSIN1 positive punctate stains closely overlapped with those of ST:GFP (Figures 3Ba to 3Bc). To further confirm the Golgi localization of HA:EPSIN1, protoplasts transformed with *HA:EPSIN1* were treated with brefeldin A (BFA), a chemical known to disrupt the Golgi complex (Driouich et al., 1993), and the localization of HA:EPSIN1 was examined. In the presence of BFA, HA:EPSIN1 yielded a largely diffuse pattern with aggregates, but not the punctate staining pattern, indicating that BFA affects EPSIN1 localization (Figure 3Be). In the same conditions, ST:GFP produced a network pattern with large aggregates (Figure 3Bg), confirming that the Golgi complex was disrupted. These results support the notion that EPSIN1 localizes to the Golgi complex. Next, we examined the possibility of EPSIN1 localizing to the PVC. Protoplasts were cotransformed with *EPSIN1:GFP* and *PEP12p:HA*. The localization of PEP12p:HA was examined after staining with anti-HA antibody. EPSIN1:GFP was observed directly with the green fluorescent signals. Only a minor portion of the EPSIN1:GFP-positive punctate stains overlapped with the PEP12p:HA-positive punctate stains (Figures 3Bi to 3Bk, arrows). These results indicated that EPSIN1 localized primarily to the Golgi complex with a minor portion to the PVC.

To obtain independent evidence for the localization, we examined the colocalization of EPSIN1 with VTI11, a v-SNARE that is distributed equally to both the TGN and the PVC (Zheng et al., 1999; Bassham et al., 2000; Kim et al., 2005). Protoplasts were cotransformed with *EPSIN1:GFP* and *VTI11:HA*, and the localization of these proteins was examined by immunostaining with anti-HA antibody. EPSIN1-positive punctate stains largely colocalized with those of VTI11:HA (Figures 3Bm to 3Bo), confirming that EPSIN1 localizes to both the Golgi complex and the PVC.

EPSIN1 Binds to and Colocalizes with Clathrin

The members of the epsin family have two clathrin binding motifs (Rosenthal et al., 1999; Wendland et al., 1999; Drake et al., 2000). Sequence analysis indicated that EPSIN1 has a potential clathrin binding motif. To explore the possibility that EPSIN1 binds to clathrin, glutathione *S*-transferase–fused *EPSIN1* (*GST:EPSIN1*) was constructed for a protein pull-down assay (Figure 4A). GST: EPSIN1 was expressed in *Escherichia coli* and purified from *E. coli* extracts (Figure 4B). The purified GST:EPSIN1 was mixed with protein extracts obtained from leaf tissues. Proteins pelleted with glutathione–agarose were analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-clathrin antibody. GST:EPSIN1, but not GST alone, precipitated from the plant extracts a 180-kD protein species that was recognized by anti-clathrin antibody (Figure 4C), indicating that EPSIN1 bound to clathrin.

To further examine its binding to clathrin, EPSIN1 was divided into two regions, the ENTH and the remainder of the molecule (EPSIN1ΔN) (Figure 4A). These regions were expressed in *E. coli* as GST fusion proteins, GST:ENTH and GST:EPSIN1 Δ N, respectively (Figure 4B). Protein pull-down experiments using leaf cell extracts were performed with purified GST:ENTH and GST: EPSIN1AN. GST:EPSIN1AN, but not GST:ENTH, precipitated clathrin from the plant extracts (Figure 4C). To identify the clathrin binding motif, the C-terminal region containing the putative clathrin binding motif, LIDL (Lafer, 2002), as well as GST:RIDL, which contained an Arg substitution of the first Leu residue in the motif, were expressed as GST fusion proteins in *E. coli* (Figures 4A and 4B). GST:LIDL, but not GST:RIDL, precipitated clathrin from protein extracts (Figure 4C), indicating that the LIDL motif functioned as a clathrin binding motif.

The in vitro binding of EPSIN1 with clathrin strongly suggested that EPSIN1 was likely to colocalize with clathrin. Therefore, immunohistochemistry for the localization of EPSIN1 and clathrin was performed. Protoplasts were transformed with *HA:EPSIN1*, and the localization of HA:EPSIN1 and clathrin was examined by staining with anti-HA and anti-clathrin antibodies, respectively. The anti-clathrin antibody produced a punctate staining pattern (Figure 4D). A majority (60 to 70%) of the HA:EPSIN1-positive punctate stains closely overlapped with a pool (40 to 50%) of clathrin-positive punctate stains (Figure 4D), consistent with an interaction between EPSIN1 and clathrin. There was also a pool of clathrin-positive punctate stains that lacked the HA:EPSIN1 signal, suggesting that clathrin also was involved in an EPSIN1 independent process.

To further characterize the interaction between EPSIN1 and clathrin, we examined whether or not EPSIN1 is permanently associated with CCVs. Protein extracts from leaf tissues were first separated into soluble and pellet fractions by ultracentrifugation. The pellet fraction was treated with Triton X-100 and further fractionated by gel filtration, and the fractions were analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-clathrin, anti-EPSIN, and anti-VSR antibodies. Clathrin was detected in a peak between 443 and 669 kD (see Supplemental Figure 1 online). Interestingly, VSR, the vacuolar cargo receptor, was eluted at the same position with clathrin. By contrast, EPSIN1 was eluted at 90 kD. These results suggest that EPSIN1 is not permanently associated with CCVs.

Figure 3. (continued).

(A) Colocalization of EPSIN1 with actin filaments. Protoplasts were transformed with the indicated constructs, and the localization of these proteins was examined in the presence (+ Lat B) and absence (- Lat B) of Lat B (10 μ M). Bars = 20 μ m.

(B) Localization of EPSIN1 to the Golgi complex and the PVC. Protoplasts were transformed with the indicated constructs, and localization of the proteins was examined after immunostaining with anti-HA. The GFP signals were observed directly in the fixed protoplasts. For BFA treatment, BFA (30 μg/mL) was added to the transformed protoplasts at 24 h after transformation and incubated for 3 h. Arrows indicate the overlap between EPSIN1:GFP and PEP12p: HA. Bars $= 20 \mu m$.

(A) Constructs. GST was fused to the N terminus. ENTH, the epsin N-terminal homology domain. DLF and DPF motifs are similar to AP-1 and AP-3 binding motifs, respectively. Q11 indicates a stretch of 11 Glu residues. The clathrin binding motif (LIDL) and the Leu-to-Arg substitution in the clathrin binding motif (RIDL) are shown in the C-terminal region. The numbers indicate amino acid positions.

(B) Expression of GST-fused EPSIN1 proteins. Constructs were introduced into *E. coli*, and their expression was induced by isopropylthiob-galactoside.GST fusion proteins were purified from *E. coli* extracts with glutathione–agarose beads. Purified proteins were stained with Coomassie blue.

(C) Interaction of EPSIN1 with clathrin. GST-fused EPSIN1 proteins were mixed with protein extracts from leaf tissues. EPSIN1 binding proteins were precipitated using glutathione–agarose beads and analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-clathrin antibody. Supernatants also were included in the protein gel blot analysis. Subsequently, the membranes were stained with Coomassie blue. Bead, glutathione–agarose beads alone; P, pellet; S, supernatant (10% of total).

(D) Colocalization of EPSIN1 with clathrin. Protoplasts transformed with *HA:EPSIN1* were fixed with paraglutaraldehyde, and the localization of HA:EPSIN1 and clathrin was examined by immunostaining with anti-HA and anti-clathrin antibodies, respectively. Bar = 20 μ m.

EPSIN1 Interacts with VTI11

Epsin-related proteins in animal and yeast cells are involved in either endocytosis or vacuolar/lysosomal protein trafficking (Chen et al., 1998; De Camilli et al., 2002; Wendland, 2002; Overstreet et al., 2003; Legendre-Guillemin et al., 2004). To elucidate the pathway of EPSIN1 involvement, binding partners of EPSIN1 were examined. In animal and yeast cells, epsin-like proteins have been shown to interact with SNAREs (Chen et al., 1998; Chidambaram et al., 2004). Because EPSIN1 localized to the Golgi complex and the PVC, EPSIN1 interactions with *Arabidopsis* VTI11 and VTI12 (formerly At VTI1a and At VTI1b, respectively) were examined. VTI11 is a v-SNARE that localizes to the TGN and travels to the PVC (Zheng et al., 1999; Bassham et al., 2000). *VTI11* and *VTI12* were tagged with *HA* at the C terminus and introduced into protoplasts. The expression of *VTI11:HA* and *VTI12:HA* in protoplasts was confirmed by protein gel blot analysis using anti-HA antibody. The anti-HA antibody detected protein bands at 33 and 35 kD (Figure 5A), the expected positions of VTI11:HA and VTI12:HA, respectively. Purified GST:EPSIN1 from *E. coli* extracts was mixed with plant extracts from the *VTI11:HA*- or *VTI12:HA*-transformed protoplasts, and GST: EPSIN1-bound proteins were precipitated from the mixture using glutathione–agarose beads. The pellet fraction was analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-HA antibody. VTI11:HA, but not VTI12:HA, was detected from the pellet (Figure 5A). GST alone did not precipitate VTI11:HA from the plant extracts. These results indicated that although VTI11 and VTI12 are highly similar to each other, EPSIN1 specifically binds to VTI11:HA. To further confirm this interaction, we performed a reciprocal protein pulldown experiment (i.e., pull-down of EPSIN1 with VTI11) using protein extracts obtained from protoplasts transformed with *VTI11:HA* and *EPSIN1:GFP*. VTI11:HA-bound proteins were immunoprecipitated with anti-HA antibody, and the immunoprecipitates were analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-HA, anti-GFP, and anti-calreticulin antibodies. Anti-calreticulin antibody was used as a negative control. In addition to VTI11:HA, EPSIN1:GFP was detected in the immunoprecipitates (Figure 5B). However, calreticulin was not detected in the pellet. These results further confirm the interaction between VTI11 and EPSIN1. To determine the VTI11 binding domain of EPSIN1, protein pull-down experiments were performed using GST:ENTH and GST:EPSIN1 Δ N. GST:ENTH, but not GST:EPSIN1 Δ N, precipitated VTI11:HA from the plant extracts (Figure 5C), indicating that the ENTH domain contained the VTI11 binding motif. Similarly, in animal and yeast cells, EpsinR and Ent3p have been shown to bind to vti1b and vti1p, respectively (Chidambaram et al., 2004).

EPSIN1 Binds to the Arabidopsis Homolog of γ -Adaptin of AP-1

Epsin homologs bind to adaptor proteins (APs) (Duncan et al., 2003; Mills et al., 2003). In animal cells, EPSIN1 binds to the α -adaptin of AP-2 via the D Φ F/W (where Φ indicates a hydrophobic amino acid) and FXDXF motifs (Figure 4A) (Brett et al., 2002). *Arabidopsis* EPSIN1 has three DPF motifs to which α -adaptin of AP-2 could bind. In addition, EPSIN1 has two regions with motifs similar to the acidic Phe motif for binding

Figure 5. EPSIN1 Binds to VTI11.

(A) Protein extracts were prepared from *VTI11:HA*- and *VTI12:HA*transformed protoplasts and mixed with GST alone or GST:EPSIN1. EPSIN1-bound proteins were precipitated from the mixture with glutathione–agarose beads and analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-HA antibody.

(B) Coimmunoprecipitation of EPSIN1:GFP with VTI11:HA. Protein extracts from protoplasts cotransformed with *VTI11:HA* and *EPSIN1:GFP* were used for immunoprecipitation with anti-HA antibody. The immunoprecipitates were analyzed by protein gel blotting with anti-HA, anti-GFP, and anti-calreticulin antibodies. P, immunoprecipitate; S, supernatant; T, total protein extracts (5% of the input).

(C) For binding experiments, protein extracts from protoplasts transformed with *VTI11:HA* were mixed with GST alone, GST:ENTH, and GST:EPSIN1 Δ N. Proteins were precipitated with glutathione-agarose beads and analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-HA antibody. The amount of the input proteins is indicated.

AP-1 and GGAs (Duncan et al., 2003). Therefore, the interactions of EPSIN1 with AP complexes were examined. We isolated the *Arabidopsis* proteins γ -adaptin related protein (γ -ADR), α -ADR, and δ -ADR, which were most closely related to γ -adaptin, α -adaptin, and δ -adaptin of AP-1, AP-2, and AP-3, respectively. These *Arabidopsis* proteins were tagged with GFP and expressed transiently in protoplasts. Protein extracts from the transformed protoplasts were mixed with purified GST:EPSIN1, and the GST:EPSIN1-bound proteins were precipitated. The pellet was analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-GFP antibody. GFP: γ -ADR, but not α -ADR:GFP or δ -ADR:GFP, was detected in the pellet (Figure 6A). The control for the protein pulldown assay, GST alone, did not precipitate any of these proteins. These results strongly suggested that EPSIN1 interacts with γ -ADR specifically. To further confirm the interaction between EPSIN1 and γ -ADR, we performed a reciprocal protein pulldown experiment (i.e., pull down of EPSIN1 proteins with

Figure 6. EPSIN1 Binds to γ -ADR.

(A) *GFP*-fused g*-ADR*, a*-ADR*, and d*-ADR* were constructed and transiently expressed in protoplasts. Protein extracts were prepared from the transformed protoplasts and used for binding assays with GST:EPSIN1. The EPSIN1 binding proteins were precipitated from the reaction mixture and analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-GFP antibody. P, pellet; S, supernatant (20% of total).

(B) Pull-down of EPSIN1 proteins with MBP: γ -ADR(ear). MBP: γ -ADR(ear) was mixed with protein extracts from protoplasts transformed with the indicated constructs. MBP:_Y-ADR(ear) binding proteins were precipitated with amylose resin, and the pellets were analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-HA antibody.

(C) The ear domains of g-ADR and a-ADR were expressed as MBP fusion proteins in *E. coli* and used for binding experiments. Proteins were detected by protein gel blotting using anti-MBP antibody.

(D) GST:EPSIN1 was mixed with MBP alone, MBP:g-ADR(ear), or MBP:a-ADR(ear). The EPSIN1 binding proteins were precipitated from the reaction mixture and analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-MBP antibody.

 γ -ADR). The ear domain of γ -ADR was expressed as a maltose binding protein (MBP) fusion protein, MBP:g-ADR(ear), in *E. coli*. Purified MBP: γ -ADR(ear) was mixed with protein extracts obtained from protoplasts transformed with full-length *EPSIN1:HA* or its deletion mutants, and MBP: γ -ADR(ear)-bound proteins were precipitated with amylose resin. The precipitates were analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-HA antibody. Fulllength HA:EPSIN1 and HA:EPSIN1AN, but not HA:ENTH, were detected in the pellets (Figure 6B), further confirming the interaction between γ -ADR and EPSIN1. Furthermore, these results indicated that the C-terminal region of EPSIN1 was responsible for the interaction with γ -ADR.

To confirm the interaction between EPSIN1 and γ -ADR and that EPSIN1 binds to γ -ADR directly, the binding of EPSIN1 was examined with MBP-fused ear domains of γ -ADR or α -ADR expressed in *E. coli*, respectively (Figure 6C). GST:EPSIN1 was incubated with MBP: γ -ADR(ear), MBP: α -ADR(ear), or MBP alone. GST:EPSIN1-bound proteins were precipitated from the reaction mixture and analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-MBP antibody. MBP:y-ADR(ear) bound strongly to GST:EPSIN1 (Figure 6D). Interestingly, MBP: α -ADR(ear) also bound weakly to GST:EPSIN1, possibly because of the three DPF motifs in the middle domain of EPSIN1. DPF or DPW motifs are known to bind to the α -ear domain of AP-2 (Owen et al., 1999; Brett et al., 2002). However, the binding affinity of EPSIN1 for the γ -ADR ear domain was >10-fold higher than that for the α -ADR ear domain. These results strongly suggest that g-ADR and a-ADR are *Arabidopsis* γ -adaptin of AP-1 and α -adaptin of AP-2, respectively, and that EPSIN1 binds primarily to γ -ADR. It is not clear whether the α -ADR binding of EPSIN1 has a physiological role in vivo.

EPSIN1 Interacts with VSR1

To further characterize the binding partners of EPSIN1, EPSIN1 binding to VSR—the *Arabidopsis* vacuolar sorting receptor that localizes primarily to the PVC but travels to the TGN for cargo binding—was examined (Ahmed et al., 1997, 2000; Tse et al., 2004). VSR1/ATELP1 tagged with HA at the C terminus was expressed transiently in protoplasts. We showed previously that transiently expressed VSR1:HA localized primarily to the PVC with a minor portion to the Golgi complex (Lee et al., 2004). Protein extracts were prepared from the transformed protoplasts and incubated with GST:EPSIN1. As negative and positive controls, protein extracts were prepared from protoplasts expressing PEP12p: HA and VTI11: HA, respectively (da Silva Conceição et al., 1997). EPSIN1-bound proteins were precipitated from the reaction mixture and analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-HA antibody. VSR1:HA, but not PEP12p:HA, was detected in the pellet (Figure 7A), indicating that EPSIN1 bound specifically to VSR1. To further confirm the interaction, we performed a protein pull-down experiment of EPSIN1:GFP with VSR1:HA from the extracts of protoplasts cotransformed with *EPSIN1:GFP* and *VSR1:HA*. VSR1:HA was immunoprecipitated with anti-HA antibody, and the immunoprecipitates were analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-HA, anti-GFP, and anti-PEP12p antibodies. Anti-PEP12p antibody was used as a negative control. In addition to VSR1:HA, EPSIN1:GFP was detected in the immunoprecipitates (Figure 7B). However, PEP12p was not detected in the pellet. These results further confirm the interaction between EPSIN1 and VSR1. To define the VSR1 binding region of EPSIN1, GST:ENTH and GST:EPSIN1 Δ N were used in protein pull-down experiments. GST:ENTH, but not GST:EPSIN1 Δ N, brought down VSR1:HA from the reaction mixture (Figure 7C), indicating that the ENTH domain contained the VSR1 binding domain.

EPSIN1 Is Involved in Vacuolar Trafficking of Soluble Cargo Proteins

The protein–protein interaction data of EPSIN1 strongly suggested that EPSIN1 was involved in trafficking soluble proteins to the lytic (central) vacuole. To examine the role of EPSIN1, the T-DNA insertion mutant, SALK 049204, from the SALK T-DNA insertion mutant library was used. PCR was used to confirm that this mutant, *epsin1*, had a T-DNA insertion in the promoter region of *EPSIN1* (Figures 8A and 8B). Homozygote mutant plants were screened by PCR, because the *epsin1* mutant did not display any morphological alterations. Because the *epsin1* mutant had a T-DNA insertion in the promoter region of *EPSIN1*, protein gel blot analysis with anti-EPSIN1 antibody was used to determine the EPSIN1 level. In the homozygote *epsin1* plants, the EPSIN1 level was reduced to 10 to 20% of the wild-type level (Figure 8C).

The mutant plants were examined for defects in protein trafficking. Previously, we showed that sporamin:GFP (Spo: GFP), a chimeric protein consisting of GFP and the N-terminal region of sporamin, was targeted to the central vacuole in protoplasts (Kim et al., 2001). It had been shown previously that when

Figure 7. EPSIN1 Binds to VSR1 via the ENTH Domain.

(A) Protein extracts were prepared from protoplasts transformed with the indicated constructs and incubated with GST-fused EPSIN1. EPSIN1 bound proteins were precipitated with glutathione–agarose beads, and the pelleted proteins were analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-HA antibody. P, pellet; S, supernatant (10% of total).

(B) Protein extracts were prepared from protoplasts cotransformed with *VSR1:HA* and *EPSIN1:GFP* and used for immunoprecipitation with anti-HA antibody. The immunoprecipitates were analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-HA, anti-GFP, and anti-PEP12 antibodies. P, immunoprecipitate; S, supernatant; T, total protein extracts (10% of input).

(C) Protein extracts from protoplasts transformed with *VSR1:HA* were incubated with GST alone or GST-fused EPSIN1 proteins. EPSIN1 binding proteins were precipitated with glutathione–agarose beads, and the pelleted proteins were analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-HA antibody.

Spo:GFP was transported to the central vacuole in protoplasts, it was processed from a 40-kD precursor to a 30-kD form (Sohn et al., 2003). Therefore, the amount of the processed form could be used to measure trafficking efficiency. Protoplasts obtained from the *epsin1* mutant were transformed with *Spo:GFP*, and trafficking of the reporter protein to the central vacuole was examined by protein gel blot analysis using anti-GFP antibody (Figure 8D). Protein extracts were obtained from protoplasts at various time points after transformation. With wild-type protoplasts at 36 and 48 h after transformation, \sim 45 and 70% of the Spo:GFP, respectively, was in the processed form (Figure 8D).

Figure 8. EPSIN1 Is Necessary for the Efficient Vacuolar Trafficking of Spo:GFP.

(A) Screen of an *epsin1* mutant. T-DNA insertion in *EPSIN1* was confirmed by PCR. HE, heterozygote; HO, homozygote; LB, left border primer; LP, left primer; M, size marker; RP, right primer.

(B) Scheme of the *epsin1* mutant. The position of the T-DNA insertion site is indicated. The numbers indicate nucleotide positions. Shaded boxes, exons; dark boxes, promoters or 3' untranslated regions.

(C) Total proteins were prepared from wild-type plants and heterozygotes and homozygotes of *epsin1* plants and analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-EPSIN1 antibody. The large subunit of the Rubisco complex (RbcL) stained with Coomassie blue was used as a loading control.

(D) Trafficking assay of Spo:GFP in *epsin1* protoplasts. Protoplasts from wild-type and *epsin1* plants were transformed with *Spo:GFP*. Protein extracts prepared from protoplasts at various time points after transformation were analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-GFP antibody.

(E) Protein gel blot analysis of *epsin1* mutants harboring HA:EPSIN1. Protein extracts were prepared from the T2 generation of epsin1 transgenic plants harboring *HA:EPSIN1* and examined by protein gel blot analysis using anti-HA, anti-EPSIN1, and anti-BiP antibodies. C, *epsin1* mutant; T1 to T3, independent transgenic plants harboring *HA:EPSIN1* on the *epsin1* background.

(F) and (G) Protoplasts obtained from wild-type, *epsin1*, and *epsin1* complemented with *HA:EPSIN1* (EPSIN1/epsin1; T3) plants were transformed with *Spo:GFP*.

(F) Protein extracts were obtained from protoplasts and analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-GFP antibody.

(G) Trafficking efficiency was determined as the ratio of the amount of the processed form to the total amount of Spo:GFP (processed plus precursor forms). Error bars indicate SD $(n = 3)$.

(H) Invertase:GFP secretion was not inhibited. Protoplasts obtained from *epsin1* plants were transformed with *invertase:GFP*, and the secretion of invertase:GFP was examined at various time points after transformation. Protein extracts were prepared from the protoplasts, as well as from the media, and analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-GFP antibody.

However, in protoplasts from *epsin1* mutants, the amount of 30-kD processed protein was reduced significantly: at 36 and 48 h after transformation, the amount of the processed form was \sim 20 and 40%, respectively (Figure 8D). These results clearly indicated that EPSIN1 was involved in the vacuolar trafficking of Spo:GFP. To confirm that the inhibition of vacuolar trafficking was attributable to the mutation, transgenic plants were generated with *HA:EPSIN1* on the *epsin1* background. The expression of HA:EPSIN1 in transgenic plants (EPSIN1/epsin1) was examined by protein gel blot analysis using protein extracts obtained from three independent lines of the T2 generation. The anti-HA antibody detected a specific band from all three independent lines (Figure 8E). Furthermore, the levels of EPSIN1 proteins were significantly increased in the transgenic plants compared with *epsin1* plants (Figure 8E). Next, protoplasts were obtained from the leaf tissues of wild-type epsin1 plants and EPSIN1/epsin1 plants and transformed with *Spo:GFP*. The trafficking efficiency was recovered to the wild-type level in the EPSIN1/epsin1 plants (Figures 8F and 8G), confirming that the defect in the vacuolar trafficking in *epsin1* mutant plants is attributable to the mutation in *EPSIN1*.

To examine the specificity of EPSIN1 in vacuolar trafficking, the secretion into the medium of invertase:GFP, a chimeric protein consisting of secretory invertase and GFP (Kim et al., 2005), was examined. Protoplasts obtained from *epsin1* and wild-type plants were transformed with *invertase:GFP*. Protein extracts were prepared from the transformed protoplasts and from the incubation medium and analyzed by protein gel blotting using anti-GFP antibody. As expected, wild-type protoplasts efficiently secreted invertase:GFP into the medium. Nor was the secretion of invertase:GFP affected in protoplasts from the *epsin1* mutants (Figure 8I), indicating that EPSIN1 is not involved in the secretion of the protein.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrate that EPSIN1 is involved in the trafficking of soluble proteins to the central (lytic) vacuole. This conclusion is based on three lines of evidence. First, EPSIN1 localizes primarily to the Golgi complex (possibly to the TGN) with a minor portion to the PVC. Second, EPSIN1 interacts specifically with VTI11, VSR1, clathrin, and γ -ADR, all of which play important roles in vacuolar trafficking from the TGN (Ahmed et al., 1997, 2000; Bassham et al., 2000; Surpin et al., 2003). Finally, the vacuolar trafficking of Spo:GFP is inhibited in *epsin1* mutant protoplasts, and this defect can be complemented by stably expressed HA:EPSIN1.

Localization of EPSIN1 in Arabidopsis

A large number of epsin homologs have been identified (Chen et al., 1998; De Camilli et al., 2002; Wendland, 2002; Overstreet et al., 2003; Legendre-Guillemin et al., 2004). One group of these proteins, which includes epsin1, has been shown to be involved in endocytosis (Chen et al., 1998; De Camilli et al., 2002; Wendland, 2002). The other group, which includes EpsinR, has been shown to play an important role in lysosomal/vacuolar trafficking in animal and yeast cells (Kalthoff et al., 2002; Wasiak et al., 2002; Hirst et al., 2003; Chidambaram et al., 2004; Eugster et al., 2004; Saint-Pol et al., 2004). Thus, amino acid sequence homology between EPSIN1 and other epsin homologs cannot be used to define the pathways in which EPSIN1 plays a role. Therefore, we examined the localization of transiently expressed HA-, GFP-, and RFP-tagged EPSIN1s. Regardless of the tag, EPSIN1 displays both network and punctate staining patterns. The network pattern results from EPSIN1's association with actin filaments. The punctate staining pattern is attributable to the protein's localization largely to the Golgi complex with a minor portion to the PVC. This was demonstrated by colocalization of EPSIN1 with ST:GFP, PEP12p, and VTI11. At present, EPSIN1's interaction with actin filaments is not understood clearly. Actin filaments recently were shown to play a critical role in vacuolar trafficking from the Golgi complex in plant cells (Kim et al., 2005). Thus, it is possible that actin filaments guide EPSIN1 to the TGN and the PVC. Consistent with this notion is the observation that in the presence of Lat B the punctate staining pattern of EPSIN1 was also not observed. Its localization suggests that EPSIN1 is more closely related functionally to EpsinR in animal cells. EpsinR has been shown to localize to the TGN as well as endosomes (Kalthoff et al., 2002; Saint-Pol et al., 2004).

Inhibition of the Vacuolar Trafficking of Spo:GFP in epsin1 Mutants

One of the most compelling pieces of evidence for the role of EPSIN1 is the result of trafficking assays in protoplasts from *epsin1* mutants. An *epsin1* mutant with a T-DNA insertion in the promoter region has greatly reduced EPSIN1 protein levels, although the mutants display no visible phenotype. It is possible that the low level of EPSIN1 in the mutants is enough to support vacuolar trafficking. Another possibility is that AP-1 can support vacuolar trafficking at low levels of EPSIN1. However, despite the lack of a visible phenotype, trafficking of Spo:GFP to the central vacuole in protoplasts is inhibited significantly. The defects in vacuolar trafficking in *epsin1* mutants are rescued by HA:EPSIN1 expressed stably in transgenic plants with the *epsin1* background, further supporting the hypothesis that the trafficking inhibition is attributable to the mutation in *EPSIN1*. In *epsin1* mutants, the secretion into the medium of the reporter protein invertase:GFP (Kim et al., 2005) is normal, indicating that the effects of the mutations are specific for the vacuolar trafficking.

EPSIN1 Interaction Partners

A large numbers of proteins are involved in lysosomal/vacuolar trafficking in eukaryotic cells. Clathrin is believed to function as a coat protein for vesicles derived from the TGN in *Arabidopsis* (Hohl et al., 1996; Ahmed et al., 1997; Robinson et al., 1998), as has been observed in other eukaryotic cells (Dahms et al., 1989; Pryer et al., 1992). EPSIN1 strongly interacts with clathrin. It has a typical clathrin binding motif, LIDL (Lafer, 2002), and colocalizes with a pool of clathrin. As with most epsin homologs (Rosenthal et al., 1999; Wendland et al., 1999; Drake et al., 2000), EPSIN1 appears to have at least two different clathrin binding motifs, and this study demonstrates that LIDL is one of them. However, at the moment, the other motif is not apparent and does not show any sequence homology with known clathrin binding motifs. The fact that EPSIN1 binds to and colocalizes with clathrin suggests that EPSIN1 may recruit clathrin to the TGN for vesicle formation (Rosenthal et al., 1999; Wendland et al., 1999; Drake et al., 2000).

EPSIN1 also binds to VTI11. EpsinR and Ent3p interact with vti1b and vti1p in animal and yeast cells, respectively (Chidambaram et al., 2004). However, EPSIN1 does not bind to VTI12, which also localizes to the TGN but at a different region than VTI11 (Bassham et al., 2000; Surpin et al., 2003). The two isoforms of VTI are thought to be involved in different pathways: the *zig* mutant that has a mutation in *VTI11* displays a defect in the gravitropic response (Kato et al., 2002), whereas *atvti12* mutants appear normal under normal growth conditions (Surpin et al., 2003). However these isoforms appear to be somewhat functionally redundant, because plants carrying either mutation are viable but mutations in both genes are lethal (Surpin et al., 2003). The fact that despite the high degree of similarity between VTI11 and VTI12 EPSIN1 binds specifically to VTI11 but not VTI12 strongly suggests that EPSIN1 may contribute to the functional diversification of these two v-SNAREs in the vacuolar trafficking at the TGN.

EPSIN1 also interacts with VSR1. VSR1 and its homologs that localize to the TGN and the PVC are the best characterized sorting receptors in plants (Ahmed et al., 1997, 2000; Sanderfoot et al., 1998; Paris and Neuhaus, 2002; Tse et al., 2004; daSilva et al., 2005). VSR1 has been shown to interact specifically with the N-terminal propeptide motif that is present in many soluble proteins destined for the central vacuole (Ahmed et al., 2000) and plays a role in the sorting of N-terminal propeptide motif– containing proteins. However, its role has been complicated by the mutant phenotype of *atvsr1*, which displays a defect in protein trafficking to the protein storage vacuole in seed cells (Shimada et al., 2003). The ENTH domain of EPSIN1 interacts specifically with VSR1, although the exact sites of interaction in the two molecules are unknown. This finding is rather surprising because it had been thought that VSR1 interacts with AP-1 in plant cells (Sanderfoot et al., 1998; Happel et al., 2004). In addition, in animal cells, ENTH-containing proteins are thought to assist in vesicle formation by recruiting clathrin for adaptors, APs, or GGAs (Legendre-Guillemin et al., 2004). However, the interaction between EPSIN1 and VSR1 strongly suggests that EPSIN1 is involved directly in recruiting clathrin for the sorting receptor of vacuolar trafficking.

In addition to the interaction between EPSIN1 and VSR1, EPSIN1 also interacts directly with γ -ADR, a close homolog of γ -adaptin of AP-1 in vitro, but not with α -ADR, a homolog of α -adaptin of AP-2, or δ -ADR, a homolog of δ -adaptin of AP-3. EPSIN1 has a putative acidic Phe motif that has been shown to be the binding site of the heterotetrameric adaptor complex AP-1 and monomeric adaptor GGAs (Duncan et al., 2003; Duncan and Payne, 2003). Protein pull-down experiments clearly demonstrate that γ -ADR binds to the EPSIN1 fragment containing the acidic Phe motif. The fact that EPSIN1 interacts with γ -ADR, a close homolog of γ -adaptin of AP-1, places it clearly in the vacuolar pathway rather than the endocytotic pathway. As in animal cells, plant cells are thought to have four different types of AP complexes, AP-1 to AP-4, although their precise roles have not been demonstrated directly (Kirchhausen, 1999; Robinson

and Bonifacino, 2001). In animal and yeast cells, AP-1 functions as the adaptor complex for CCVs and binds to the cargo receptor at the TGN (Kirchhausen, 1999; Robinson and Bonifacino, 2001). It is likely that AP-1 plays a similar role in plant cells (Ahmed et al., 1997). Consistent with this notion are the findings that VSR1/ ATELP1 interacts with animal AP-1 and that the μ A subunit of AP-1 interacts in vitro with the YXX Φ motif (where Φ is a bulky, hydrophobic residue) that is present in the cytoplasmic C-terminal domain of VSR-PS1, a pea (*Pisum sativum*) VSR1 (Sanderfoot et al., 1998; Happel et al., 2004). AP-1 is likely to play a role in recruiting clathrin to the TGN through its interaction with VSR1 (Ahmed et al., 1997). The interaction between EPSIN1 and γ -ADR is quite similar to that between EpsinR and γ -adaptin of AP-1 in animal cells (Mills et al., 2003; Legendre-Guillemin et al., 2004). Thus, EPSIN1 may recruit clathrin for AP-1–mediated vesicle formation at the TGN.

We demonstrate here that EPSIN1 is involved in the trafficking of Spo:GFP to the central vacuole. *epsin1* mutants display significant inhibition of the vacuolar trafficking of Spo:GFP but do not show a complete block in the vacuolar trafficking. It is possible that both AP-1 and EPSIN1 function independently to recruit clathrin to the TGN for the cargoes that are sorted by VSR1, but they may recruit clathrin to the TGN much more efficiently when they act cooperatively. In addition, the interaction between EPSIN1 and VTI11 suggests that EPSIN1 may incorporate the v-SNARE VTI11 into the vesicle at the TGN.

METHODS

Growth of Plants and Screening of a T-DNA Insertion Mutant

Arabidopsis thaliana (ecotype Columbia) plants were grown on Murashige and Skoog plates in a growth chamber. Leaf tissues were harvested from 10- to 14-d-old plants and used immediately for protoplast isolation as described previously (Jin et al., 2001). In addition, plants were grown on soil at 20 to 25°C in a greenhouse with a 16/8-h light/dark cycle.

A T-DNA insertion mutant of *EPSIN1*, the SALK_049204 line, was obtained from the ABRC (Ohio State University). To identify homozygotic plants, genomic DNA from 20 individual plants was used for PCR with left border primer 5'-GATGCAATCGATATCAGCCAATTTTAGAC-3'and the gene-specific primer 5'-TCGATAGAGAAAACGGAAAAC-3'.

Construction of Plasmids

The construction of *VTI11:HA* and *GFP:talin* was described previously (Kim et al., 2005). *EPSIN1* (At5g11710) was isolated by PCR from an Arabidopsis cDNA library using the specific primers Epsin-5 (5'-AAG-AATTCAAATGGATTTCATGAAG-3') and Epsin-3 (5'-TTCTCGAGTCACT-GCTTAAAGCCACC-3'). To tag the N terminus of EPSIN1 with the HA epitope, the PCR product was reamplified with the primers 5'-TCT-ATGGCTTACCCATACGATGTTCCAGATTACGCTATGGATTTCATGAA-GGTCTTC-3' and Epsin-3. To construct *EPSIN1:GFP* and *EPSIN1:RFP*, the *EPSIN1* cDNA without the termination codon was amplified by PCR using the primers 5'-AAAGAGTACCGCCGAACAATGGATTTCATGAAG-GTC-3' and 5'-GTCCCGGGACTGCTTAAAGCCACCAGATTGGTACC-3' and ligated in-frame to the 5' ends of the *GFP* and *mRFP* coding regions, respectively (Campbell et al., 2002). *EPSIN1:GFP* and *EPSIN1:RFP* were placed between the cauliflower mosaic virus *35S* promoter and the *nos* terminator in a pUC vector.

To generate the *GST:EPSIN1* fusion construct, full-length *EPSIN1* was ligated in-frame to pGEX-5X-2 using *Eco*RI and *Xho*I sites. The *EPSIN1*

deletion or substitution mutants, *ENTH*, *EPSIN1*D*N*, *LIDL* (the C-terminal region from amino acid position 461), and *RIDL* (identical to *LIDL* except for the L-to-R substitution at position 470) were amplified by PCR using the following primers: 5'-AAGAATTCAAATGGATTTCATGAAGGTC-3' and Epsin-3 for *ENTH*, 5'-ATGAATTCATGGGTTCCTCAGCTTCA-3' and Epsin-3 for *EPSIN1*^D, 5'-GAATTCATTTGGGCAGATTCGCTG-3' and Epsin-3 for *LIDL*, and 5'-GAATTCATTTGGGCAGATTCGCTGAGCCGTG-GAAGGATTGATCTCAATATAACT-3' and Epsin-3 for *RIDL*. These PCR products were ligated to pGEX-5X-2 using *Eco*RI and *Xho*I sites. All of the PCR products were sequenced.

 α -ADR (At5g22770), γ -ADR (At1g23900), and δ -ADR (At1g48760) were amplified by PCR from an *Arabidopsis* cDNA library using gene-specific primers. The primers were 5'-AAGCTTATGAATCCCTTTTCTTCT-3' and 5'-CTCGAGTCACAACCCGCGAGGGAA-3' for γ -ADR, 5'-GAATTCATG-ACCGGAATGAGAGGTCTCTCCGTA-3' and 5'-GAATTCTTAAAGTAAG- $CGAGCGAGCATAGCTCC-3'$ for α -ADR, and $5'$ -GGTACCATGTCGTCG-TCTTCCACTTCTATAATG-3' and 5'-GGTACCTCACAAGAGAAAATCT-GGAATTATAAC-3' for δ-ADR. To construct *GFP:*γ-ADR, γ-ADR was ligated to the C terminus of the GFP coding region without the termination codon. To construct a-*ADR:GFP* and d-*ADR:GFP*, a-*ADR* and d-*ADR* were ligated to the N terminus of the GFP coding region. The γ -ADR-ear and α -ADR-ear domains were amplified by PCR. The gene-specific primers were 5'-ACCGAATTCCCAGCTTATGCACCTATTGTT-3' and 5'-TCA-TCACAAAAGCTTAGGGAAGTTGCTGACTTG-3' for the y-ADR-ear domain and 5'-TTAGAATTCTTTCTTCAACCTCTACAATTG-3' and 5'-TCA-AAGCTTTTGTTCCTTGATAAATTCTTCCAA-3' for the α -ADR-ear domain. The PCR products were ligated to pMAL-c2 (New England Biolabs) to generate *MBP:*g-*ADR(ear)* and *MBP:*a-*ADR(ear)*.

Transient Expression and in Vivo Targeting of Reporter Cargo Proteins

Plasmids were introduced into *Arabidopsis* protoplasts by polyethylene glycol–mediated transformation (Jin et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2002). The expression of the fusion constructs was monitored at various time points after transformation. Images were captured with a cooled CCD camera attached to a Zeiss Axioplan fluorescence microscope. The filter sets used were XF116 (exciter, 474AF20; dichroic, 500DRLP; emitter, 510AF23) and XF33/E (exciter, 535DF35; dichroic, 570DRLP; emitter, 605DF50) (Omega) for GFP/fluorescein isothiocyanate and RFP/tetramethylrhodamine isothiocyanate, respectively. The data were processed using Adobe Photoshop software, and the images were rendered in pseudocolor (Jin et al., 2001).

Generation of Antibody

To raise an antibody to EPSIN1, the DNA fragment encoding the middle domain, amino acid residues 153 to 337, was amplified by PCR using specific primers (5'-GGATCCGACAAAGCAGTAGCAAATCGT-3' and 5'-GCTCGAGGTCCACTTGGTTGGCACTGCC-3') and ligated in-frame with the His tag to pRSET-A (Invitrogen). To raise an antibody to calreticulin (At1g56340), the C-terminal region containing amino acid residues 212 to 425 was amplified by PCR using primers 5'-GGA-TCCAAGTGCTAAGAAGCC-3' and 5'-TTAGAGCTCGTCATGGGCGGC-ATC-39 and ligated in-frame to pRSET-B (digested with *Bam*HI and *Hin*dIII). The resulting constructs, PREST:EPSIN-M and pRSET-Cal, were introduced into *Escherichia coli*. Expression of the recombinant proteins was induced with isopropylthio- β -galactoside and the proteins were purified using a nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid agarose column (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Affinity-purified recombinant proteins were used to immunize rabbits. The antibody was affinity-purified using recombinant protein as described previously (Harlow and Lane, 1988).

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed as described previously (Frigerio et al., 1998; Park et al., 2004). The fixed protoplasts were incubated with appropriate primary antibodies, such as rat monoclonal anti-HA (Roche Diagnostics) or rabbit anti-clathrin antibodies, in TSW buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 0.9% NaCl, 0.25% gelatin, 0.02% SDS, and 0.1% Triton $X-100$) at 4° C overnight and then washed with TSW buffer three times. The protoplasts were incubated with tetramethylrhodamine isothiocyanate– or fluorescein isothiocyanate–conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma-Aldrich) or anti-rat IgG (Zymed) antibodies in TSW buffer and washed three times with TSW buffer.

Protein Preparation, Protein Gel Blot Analysis, and Immunoprecipitation

Cell extracts from protoplasts were prepared as described previously (Jin et al., 2001). To obtain the proteins from the culture medium, cold trichloroacetic acid (100 μ L) was added to the medium (1 mL) and protein aggregates were precipitated by centrifugation at 10,000g at 4°C for 5 min. The protein aggregates were dissolved in the lysis buffer. The supernatant was used for protein gel blot analysis with anti-GFP, anti-EPSIN1, anti-VSR, and anti-HA antibodies (Roche Diagnostics), as described previously (Jin et al., 2001). The protein blots were developed with an ECL detection kit (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech), and images were obtained using an LAS3000 image-capture system (Fujifilm).

Immunoprecipitation was performed as described previously (Park et al., 2005). Briefly, protein extracts (100 μ g of total protein) in immunoprecipitation buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 2.0 mM EDTA, 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 1% [v/v] Triton X-100) supplemented with an EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail were first incubated with Protein A–Sepharose (CL-4B; Amersham) for 30 min and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 5 min at 4°C for preclearing. Subsequently, 4 μ g of anti-HA antibody (12CA5; Roche Diagnostics) was added to the supernatant and incubated for 3 h at 4° C. The immunocomplexes were precipitated by incubating them with Protein A–agarose for 1 h at 4° C. The pellet was then washed three times with immunoprecipitation buffer, suspended in homogenization buffer, and subjected to immunoblot analyses.

Protein Pull-Down Assay

GST and MBP fusion constructs were introduced into the *E. coli* BL21(DE3)LysS strain, and expression of the fusion proteins was induced by isopropylthio- β -galactoside (1 mM) at 37°C. GST and MBP fusion proteins were purified using glutathione–agarose 4B (Peptron) beads and amylose resin (New England Biolabs), respectively, according to the manufacturers' instructions.

For protein pull-down assays, partially purified recombinant GST or MBP fusion proteins bound to glutathione–agarose beads or amylose resin, respectively, were mixed with total protein extracts in 100 μ L of protein pull-down buffer (40 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5, 10 mM KCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.4 M sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 0.2% Triton X-100) and incubated at 4°C for 1 h with agitation. The beads were then pelleted by centrifugation at 2000g for 1 min at 4°C and washed four times with protein pull-down buffer. Bound proteins were eluted, fractionated by 10% SDS-PAGE, and subjected to protein gel blot analysis using appropriate antibodies.

Generation of Transgenic Plants

The cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter and *HA:EPSIN1* were inserted into the polylinker region of pBIB-HYG (Becker, 1990), and the resulting construct was introduced into plants by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*– mediated transformation (Clough and Bent, 1998).

Accession Numbers

Arabidopsis Genome Initiative locus identifiers for the genes mentioned in this article are as follows: EPSIN1, At5g11710; EPSIN2, At2g43170; EPSIN3, At3g59290; AALP, At5g60360; VSR1, At3g52850; PEP12p, At5g16830; α-ADR, At5g22770; γ-ADR, At1g23900; δ-ADR, At1g48760; VTI11, At5g39510; and VTI12, At1g26670.

Supplemental Data

The following material is available in the online version of this article.

Supplemental Figure 1. EPSIN1 Is Not Permanently Associated with Clathrin-Coated Vesicles.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The T-DNA insertion mutant was obtained from the ABRC (Ohio State University). This work was supported by a grant from the Creative Research Initiatives Program of the Ministry of Science and Technology (Korea).

Received October 29, 2005; revised May 26, 2006; accepted July 5, 2006; published August 11, 2006.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, S.U., Bar-Peled, M., and Raikhel, N.V. (1997). Cloning and subcellular location of an Arabidopsis receptor-like protein that shares common features with protein-sorting receptors of eukaryotic cells. Plant Physiol. 114, 325–336.
- Ahmed, S.U., Rojo, E., Kovaleva, V., Venkataraman, S., Dombrowski, J.E., Matsuoka, K., and Raikhel, N.V. (2000). The plant vacuolar sorting receptor AtELP is involved in transport of NH(2)-terminal propeptide-containing vacuolar proteins in *Arabidopsis thaliana.* J. Cell Biol. 149, 1335–1344.
- Bassham, D.C., and Raikhel, N.V. (2000). Unique features of the plant vacuolar sorting machinery. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 12, 491–495.
- Bassham, D.C., Sanderfoot, A.A., Kovaleva, V., Zheng, H., and Raikhel, N.V. (2000). AtVPS45 complex formation at the trans-Golgi network. Mol. Biol. Cell 11, 2251–2265.
- Becker, D. (1990). Binary vectors which allow the exchange of plant selectable markers and reporter genes. Nucleic Acids Res. 18, 203.
- Bennett, M.K. (1995). SNAREs and the specificity of transport vesicle targeting. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 7, 581–586.
- Boevink, P., Oparka, K., Santa Cruz, S., Martin, B., Betteridge, A., and Hawes, C. (1998). Stacks on tracks: The plant Golgi apparatus traffics on an actin/ER network. Plant J. 15, 441–447.
- Brett, T.J., Traub, L.M., and Fremont, D.H. (2002). Accessory protein recruitment motifs in clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Structure 10, 797–809.
- Campbell, R.E., Tour, O., Palmer, A.E., Steinbach, P.A., Baird, G.S., Zacharias, D.A., and Tsien, R.Y. (2002). A monomeric red fluorescent protein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99, 7877–7882.
- Chen, H., and De Camilli, P. (2005). The association of epsin with ubiquitinated cargo along the endocytic pathway is negatively regulated by its interaction with clathrin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102, 2766–2771.
- Chen, H., Fre, S., Slepnev, V.I., Capua, M.R., Takei, K., Butler, M.H., Di Fiore, P.P., and De Camilli, P. (1998). Epsin is an EH-domainbinding protein implicated in clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Nature 394, 793–797.
- Chidambaram, S., Mullers, N., Wiederhold, K., Haucke, V., and von Mollard, G.F. (2004). Specific interaction between SNAREs and epsin N-terminal homology (ENTH) domains of epsin-related proteins in trans-Golgi network to endosome transport. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 4175–4179.
- Clough, S.J., and Bent, A.F. (1998). Floral dip: A simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana.* Plant J. 16, 735–743.
- Dahms, N.M., Lobel, P., and Kornfeld, S. (1989). Mannose 6-phosphate receptors and lysosomal enzyme targeting. J. Biol. Chem. 264, 12115–12118.
- da Silva Conceição, A., Marty-Mazars, D., Bassham, D.C., Sanderfoot, A.A., Marty, F., and Raikhel, N.V. (1997). The syntaxin homolog AtPEP12p resides on a late post-Golgi compartment in plants. Plant Cell 9, 571–582.
- daSilva, L.L., Taylor, J.P., Hadlington, J.L., Hanton, S.L., Snowden, C.J., Fox, S.J., Foresti, O., Brandizzi, F., and Denecke, J. (2005). Receptor salvage from the prevacuolar compartment is essential for efficient vacuolar protein targeting. Plant Cell 17, 132–148.
- De Camilli, P., Chen, H., Hyman, J., Panepucci, E., Bateman, A., and Brunger, A.T. (2002). The ENTH domain. FEBS Lett. 513, 11–18.
- Drake, M.T., Downs, M.A., and Traub, L.M. (2000). Epsin binds to clathrin by associating directly with the clathrin-terminal domain. Evidence for cooperative binding through two discrete sites. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 6479–6489.
- Driouich, A., Zhang, G.F., and Staehelin, L.A. (1993). Effect of brefeldin A on the structure of the Golgi apparatus and on the synthesis and secretion of proteins and polysaccharides in sycamore maple (*Acer pseudoplatanus*) suspension-cultured cells. Plant Physiol. 101, 1363–1373.
- Duncan, M.C., Costaguta, G., and Payne, G.S. (2003). Yeast epsinrelated proteins required for Golgi-endosome traffic define a gammaadaptin ear-binding motif. Nat. Cell Biol. 5, 77–81.
- Duncan, M.C., and Payne, G.S. (2003). ENTH/ANTH domains expand to the Golgi. Trends Cell Biol. 13, 211–215.
- Eugster, A., Pecheur, E.I., Michel, F., Winsor, B., Letourneur, F., and Friant, S. (2004). Ent5p is required with Ent3p and Vps27p for ubiquitin-dependent protein sorting into the multivesicular body. Mol. Biol. Cell 15, 3031–3041.
- Frigerio, L., de Virgilio, M., Prada, A., Faoro, F., and Vitale, A. (1998). Sorting of phaseolin to the vacuole is saturable and requires a short C-terminal peptide. Plant Cell 10, 1031–1042.
- Griffiths, G. (2000). Gut thoughts on the Golgi complex. Traffic 1, 738–745.
- Happel, N., Honing, S., Neuhaus, J.M., Paris, N., Robinson, D.G., and Holstein, S.E. (2004). Arabidopsis mu A-adaptin interacts with the tyrosine motif of the vacuolar sorting receptor VSR-PS1. Plant J. 37, 678–693.
- Harlow, E., and Lane, D. (1988). Antibodies: A Laboratory Manual. (Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press).
- Hawes, C.R., Brandizzi, F., and Andreeva, A.V. (1999). Endomembranes and vesicle trafficking. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 2, 454–461.
- Hirst, J., Motley, A., Harasaki, K., Peak Chew, S.Y., and Robinson, M.S. (2003). EpsinR: An ENTH domain-containing protein that interacts with AP-1. Mol. Biol. Cell 14, 625–641.
- Hohl, I., Robinson, D.G., Chrispeels, M.J., and Hinz, G. (1996). Transport of storage proteins to the vacuole is mediated by vesicles without a clathrin coat. J. Cell Sci. 109, 2539–2550.
- Holstein, S.E., and Oliviusson, P. (2005). Sequence analysis of *Arabidopsis thaliana* E/ANTH-domain-containing proteins: Membrane tethers of the clathrin-dependent vesicle budding machinery. Protoplasma 226, 13–21.
- Horak, J. (2003). The role of ubiquitin in down-regulation and intracellular sorting of membrane proteins: Insights from yeast. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1614, 139–155.
- Itoh, T., Koshiba, S., Kigawa, T., Kikuchi, A., Yokoyama, S., and Takenawa, T. (2001). Role of the ENTH domain in phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate binding and endocytosis. Science 291, 1047– 1051.
- Jin, J.B., Kim, Y.A., Kim, S.J., Lee, S.H., Kim, D.H., Cheong, G.W., and Hwang, I. (2001). A new dynamin-like protein, ADL6, is involved in trafficking from the trans-Golgi network to the central vacuole in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 13, 1511–1526.
- Kalthoff, C., Groos, S., Kohl, R., Mahrhold, S., and Ungewickell, E.J. (2002). Clint: A novel clathrin-binding ENTH-domain protein at the Golgi. Mol. Biol. Cell 13, 4060–4073.
- Kato, T., Morita, M.I., Fukaki, H., Yoshiro, Y., Uehara, M., Nihama, M., and Tasaka, M. (2002). SGR2, a phospholipase-like protein, and ZIG/SGR4, a SNARE, are involved in the shoot gravitropism of Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 14, 33–46.
- Kim, D.H., Eu, Y.-J., Yoo, C.M., Kim, Y.-W., Pih, K.T., Jin, J.B., Kim, S.J., Stenmark, H., and Hwang, I. (2001). Trafficking of phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate from the *trans*-Golgi network to the lumen of the central vacuole in plant cells. Plant Cell 13, 287–301.
- Kim, H., Park, M., Kim, S.J., and Hwang, I. (2005). Actin filaments play a critical role in vacuolar trafficking at the Golgi complex in plant cells. Plant Cell 17, 888–902.
- Kirchhausen, T. (1999). Adaptors for clathrin-mediated traffic. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 15, 705–732.
- Kost, B., Spielhofer, P., and Chua, N.H. (1998). A GFP-mouse talin fusion protein labels plant actin filaments in vivo and visualizes the actin cytoskeleton in growing pollen tubes. Plant J. 16, 393–401.
- Lafer, E.M. (2002). Clathrin-protein interactions. Traffic 3, 513–520.
- Lee, G.J., Sohn, E.J., Lee, M.H., and Hwang, I. (2004). The Arabidopsis rab5 homologs rha1 and ara7 localize to the prevacuolar compartment. Plant Cell Physiol. 45, 1211–1220.
- Lee, M.H., Min, M.K., Lee, Y.J., Jin, J.B., Shin, D.H., Kim, D.H., Lee, K.H., and Hwang, I. (2002). ADP-ribosylation factor 1 of Arabidopsis plays a critical role in intracellular trafficking and maintenance of endoplasmic reticulum morphology in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 129, 1507–1520.
- Legendre-Guillemin, V., Wasiak, S., Hussain, N.K., Angers, A., and McPherson, P.S. (2004). ENTH/ANTH proteins and clathrin-mediated membrane budding. J. Cell Sci. 117, 9–18.
- Mills, I.G., Praefcke, G.J., Vallis, Y., Peter, B.J., Olesen, L.E., Gallop, J.L., Butler, P.J., Evans, P.R., and McMahon, H.T. (2003). EpsinR: An AP1/clathrin interacting protein involved in vesicle trafficking. J. Cell Biol. 160, 213–222.
- Oldham, C.E., Mohney, R.P., Miller, S.L., Hanes, R.N., and O'Bryan, J.P. (2002). The ubiquitin-interacting motifs target the endocytic adaptor protein epsin for ubiquitination. Curr. Biol. 12, 1112–1126.
- Overstreet, E., Chen, X., Wendland, B., and Fischer, J.A. (2003). Either part of a Drosophila epsin protein, divided after the ENTH domain, functions in endocytosis of delta in the developing eye. Curr. Biol. 13, 854–860.
- Owen, D.J., Vallis, Y., Noble, M.E., Hunter, J.B., Dafforn, T.R., Evans, P.R., and McMahon, H.T. (1999). A structural explanation for the binding of multiple ligands by the alpha-adaptin appendage domain. Cell 97, 805–815.
- Paris, N., and Neuhaus, J.M. (2002). BP-80 as a vacuolar sorting receptor. Plant Mol. Biol. 50, 903–914.
- Park, M., Kim, S.J., Vitale, A., and Hwang, I. (2004). Identification of the protein storage vacuole and protein targeting to the vacuole in leaf cells of three plant species. Plant Physiol. 134, 625–639.
- Park, M., Lee, D., Lee, G.J., and Hwang, I. (2005). AtRMR1 functions

as a cargo receptor for protein trafficking to the protein storage vacuole. J. Cell Biol. 170, 757–767.

- Polo, S., Sigismund, S., Faretta, M., Guidi, M., Capua, M.R., Bossi, G., Chen, H., De Camilli, P., and Di Fiore, P.P. (2002). A single motif responsible for ubiquitin recognition and monoubiquitination in endocytic proteins. Nature 416, 451–455.
- Pryer, N.K., Wuestehube, L.J., and Schekman, R. (1992). Vesiclemediated protein sorting. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 61, 471–516.
- Raiborg, C., Rusten, T.E., and Stenmark, H. (2003). Protein sorting into multivesicular endosomes. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 15, 446–455.
- Robinson, D.G., Hinz, G., and Holstein, S.E. (1998). The molecular characterization of transport vesicles. Plant Mol. Biol. 38, 49–76.
- Robinson, M.S., and Bonifacino, J.S. (2001). Adaptor-related proteins. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 13, 444–453.
- Robinson, M.S., and Kreis, T.E. (1992). Recruitment of coat proteins onto Golgi membranes in intact and permeabilized cells: Effects of brefeldin A and G protein activators. Cell 69, 129–138.
- Rosenthal, J.A., Chen, H., Slepnev, V.I., Pellegrini, L., Salcini, A.E., Di Fiore, P.P., and De Camilli, P. (1999). The epsins define a family of proteins that interact with components of the clathrin coat and contain a new protein module. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 33959–33965.
- Rothman, J.E. (1994). Mechanisms of intracellular protein import. Nature 372, 55–63.
- Saint-Pol, A., Yelamos, B., Amessou, M., Mills, I.G., Dugast, M., Tenza, D., Schu, P., Antony, C., McMahon, H.T., Lamaze, C., and Johannes, L. (2004). Clathrin adaptor epsinR is required for retrograde sorting on early endosomal membranes. Dev. Cell 6, 525-538.
- Sanderfoot, A.A., Ahmed, S.U., Marty-Mazars, D., Rapoport, I., Kirchhausen, T., Marty, F., and Raikhel, N.V. (1998). A putative vacuolar cargo receptor partially colocalizes with AtPEP12p on a prevacuolar compartment in Arabidopsis roots. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95, 9920–9925.
- Schekman, R., and Orci, L. (1996). Coat proteins and vesicle budding. Science 271, 1526–1533.
- Scott, P.M., Bilodeau, P.S., Zhdankina, O., Winistorfer, S.C., Hauglund, M.J., Allaman, M.M., Kearney, W.R., Robertson, A.D., Boman, A.L., and Piper, R.C. (2004). GGA proteins bind ubiquitin to facilitate sorting at the trans-Golgi network. Nat. Cell Biol. 6, 252–259.
- Sever, S., Muhlberg, A.B., and Schmid, S.L. (1999). Impairment of dynamin's GAP domain stimulates receptor-mediated endocytosis. Nature 398, 481–486.
- Shih, S.C., Katzmann, D.J., Schnell, J.D., Sutanto, M., Emr, S.D., and Hicke, L. (2002). Epsins and Vps27p/Hrs contain ubiquitin-binding domains that function in receptor endocytosis. Nat. Cell Biol. 4, 389–393.
- Shimada, T., Fuji, K., Tamura, K., Kondo, M., Nishimura, M., and Hara-Nishimura, I. (2003). Vacuolar sorting receptor for seed storage proteins in *Arabidopsis thaliana.* Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100, 16095–16100.
- Sigismund, S., Woelk, T., Puri, C., Maspero, E., Tacchetti, C., Transidico, P., Di Fiore, P.P., and Polo, S. (2005). Clathrin-independent endocytosis of ubiquitinated cargos. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102, 2760–2765.
- Sohn, E.J., Kim, E.S., Zhao, M., Kim, S.J., Kim, H., Kim, Y.W., Lee, Y.J., Hillmer, S., Sohn, U., Jiang, L., and Hwang, I. (2003). Rha1, an Arabidopsis Rab5 homolog, plays a critical role in the vacuolar trafficking of soluble cargo proteins. Plant Cell 15, 1057–1070.
- Spector, I., Shochet, N.R., Kashman, Y., and Groweiss, A. (1983). Latrunculins: Novel marine toxins that disrupt microfilament organization in cultured cells. Science 219, 493–495.
- Surpin, M., Zheng, H., Morita, M.T., Saito, C., Avila, E., Blakeslee, J.J., Bandyopadhyay, A., Kovaleva, V., Carter, D., Murphy, A.,

Tasaka, M., and Raikhel, N. (2003). The VTI family of SNARE proteins is necessary for plant viability and mediates different protein transport pathways. Plant Cell 15, 2885–2899.

- Tang, B.L., Wang, Y., Ong, Y.S., and Hong, W. (2005). COPII and exit from the endoplasmic reticulum. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1744, 293–303.
- Tse, Y.C., Mo, B., Hillmer, S., Zhao, M., Lo, S.W., Robinson, D.G., and Jiang, L. (2004). Identification of multivesicular bodies as prevacuolar compartments in *Nicotiana tabacum* BY-2 cells. Plant Cell 16, 672–693.
- Wasiak, S., Legendre-Guillemin, V., Puertollano, R., Blondeau, F., Girard, M., de Heuvel, E., Boismenu, D., Bell, A.W., Bonifacino, J.S., and McPherson, P.S. (2002). Enthoprotin: A novel clathrinassociated protein identified through subcellular proteomics. J. Cell Biol. 158, 855–862.
- Wendland, B. (2002). Epsins: Adaptors in endocytosis? Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 3, 971–977.
- Wendland, B., Steece, K.E., and Emr, S.D. (1999). Yeast epsins contain an essential N-terminal ENTH domain, bind clathrin and are required for endocytosis. EMBO J. 18, 4383–4393.
- Yang, Y.D., Elamawi, R., Bubeck, J., Pepperkok, R., Ritzenthaler, C., and Robinson, D.G. (2005). Dynamics of COPII vesicles and the Golgi apparatus in cultured *Nicotiana tabacum* BY-2 cells provides evidence for transient association of Golgi stacks with endoplasmic reticulum exit sites. Plant Cell 17, 1513–1531.
- Zheng, H., von Mollard, G.F., Kovaleva, V., Stevens, T.H., and Raikhel, N.V. (1999). The plant vesicle-associated SNARE AtVTI1a likely mediates vesicle transport from the trans-Golgi network to the prevacuolar compartment. Mol. Biol. Cell 10, 2251–2264.