Cumulative Mutations Affecting Sterol Biosynthesis in the Yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae Result in Synthetic Lethality That Is Suppressed by Alterations in Sphingolipid Profiles

Martin Valachovic,^{*,1,2} Bart M. Bareither,^{*,1} M. Shah Alam Bhuiyan,^{*,1} James Eckstein,[†] Robert Barbuch,[†] Dina Balderes,[‡] Lisa Wilcox,[‡] Stephen L. Sturley,[‡] Robert C. Dickson[§] and Martin Bard^{*,3}

*Department of Biology, Indiana University–Purdue University, Indianapolis, Indiana 46202, [†]Department of Drug Disposition, Eli Lilly, Lilly Corporate Center, Indianapolis, Indiana 46285, [‡]Institute of Human Nutrition, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, New York 10032 and [§]Department of Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, 40536

> Manuscript received November 28, 2005 Accepted for publication May 15, 2006

ABSTRACT

UPC2 and ECM22 belong to a Zn(2)–Cys(6) family of fungal transcription factors and have been implicated in the regulation of sterol synthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Candida albicans. Previous reports suggest that double deletion of these genes in S. cerevisiae is lethal depending on the genetic background of the strain. In this investigation we demonstrate that lethality of $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ in the S288c genetic background is attributable to a mutation in the HAP1 transcription factor. In addition we demonstrate that strains containing $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ are also inviable when carrying deletions of ERG6 and ERG28 but not when carrying deletions of ERG3, ERG4, or ERG5. It has previously been demonstrated that UPC2 and ECM22 regulate S. cerevisiae ERG2 and ERG3 and that the erg2 Δ upc2 Δ ecm22 Δ triple mutant is also synthetically lethal. We used transposon mutagenesis to isolate viable suppressors of hap1 Δ , erg2 Δ , erg6 Δ , and erg28 Δ in the upc2 Δ ecm22 Δ genetic background. Mutations in two genes (YND1 and GDA1) encoding apyrases were found to suppress the synthetic lethality of three of these triple mutants but not erg2 Δ upc2 Δ ecm22 Δ . We show that deletion of YND1, like deletion of GDA1, alters the sphingolipid profiles, suggesting that changes in sphingolipids compensate for lethality produced by changes in sterol composition and abundance.

THE yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae has provided a powerful model system to study the biochemistry of lipid biosynthesis. Many genes encoding the enzymes for sterol, fatty acid, phospholipid, and sphingolipid synthesis have been isolated, their products characterized, and the orthologous genes in human cells identified (DAUM *et al.* 1998; KELLEY and HERMAN 2001). However, less is known about the regulation of lipid synthesis and transport between organelles. Recently, two transcription factors, Upc2p and Ecm22p, were implicated in the coordination of these processes in this model organism (VIK and RINE 2001; WILCOX *et al.* 2002).

Upc2p and Ecm22p are members of the Zn[2]– CyS[6] binuclear cluster family of transcription factors (TODD and ANDRIANOPOULOS 1997) and share significant amino acid sequence identity (45%). A semidominant allele of *UPC2* (*upc2-1*) was demonstrated to confer aerobic sterol influx, a process normally restricted to anaerobiosis (LEWIS *et al.* 1988; CROWLEY *et al.* 1998), while a lesser role of Ecm22p in sterol transport has also been reported (SHIANNA *et al.* 2001). Microarray analyses of the *upc2-1* mutant identified novel genes involved in sterol influx, including *AUS1* and *PDR11*, which encode ABC transporters required for anaerobic sterol influx (WILCOX *et al.* 2002).

In addition to a role in sterol transport, an involvement of Upc2p and Ecm22p in regulating sterol biosynthesis has been suggested (VIK and RINE 2000). Both transcription factors have been referred to as sterol regulatory element (SRE)binding proteins (SREBPs), responsible for regulating the transcription of the ergosterol biosynthetic genes *ERG2* and *ERG3* via binding to an 11-bp SRE (VIK and RINE 2001). However, these proteins lack sequence conservation with the analogous mammalian or recently identified *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* SREBPs (BROWN and GOLDSTEIN 1997; HUGHES *et al.* 2005); whether they exhibit functional similarity remains to be established. Despite this, a large number of ergosterol biosynthetic genes, such as *ERG1, ERG6, ERG8, ERG12, ERG13,* and *ERG25* contain this SRE and

¹These authors contributed equally to this work.

²Present address: Institute of Animal Biochemistry and Genetics, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Moyzesova 61, 90028 Ivanka pri Dunaji, Slovak Republic.

³Corresponding author: Department of Biology, Indiana University– Purdue University, 723 W. Michigan St., Indianapolis, IN 46202. E-mail: mbard@iupui.edu

are likely under *UPC2/ECM22* transcriptional control. In *Candida albicans*, loss of *UPC2* resulted in an inability to upregulate *ERG2* and *ERG11* in response to azole treatment. Similarly, a 7-bp SRE core element also appears in many of the same ergosterol biosynthetic genes in *C. albicans* (SILVER *et al.* 2004).

Upc2p has further been proposed to regulate the transcription of a number of anaerobically expressed genes (KwAST *et al.* 1998). For example, Upc2p induces the expression of the anaerobic cell wall-related genes in the *PAU* family (ABRAMOVA *et al.* 2001; WILCOX *et al.* 2002). Combined with the induction of sterol influx, a largely anaerobic process, these results suggest that Upc2p and Ecm22p play a role in coordinating the transcriptional response to hypoxia. The role of Ecm22p under anaerobic conditions has not been documented. Furthermore, the transcriptional targets of Upc2p and Ecm22p under oxygen-limited conditions are largely unknown.

In this article we demonstrate synthetic lethality between genes encoding transcription factors that decrease sterol biosynthesis (*UPC2*, *ECM22*, and *HAP1*) and mutations in ergosterol biosynthetic genes that by themselves are viable (*ERG2*, *ERG6*, and *ERG28*). In addition, we identify mutations in *GDA1* and *YND1* as suppressors of this synthetic lethality. Gda1p was shown previously to play a role in mannosylation of sphingolipids (ABEIJON *et al.* 1993). Ynd1p is related to Gda1p and we demonstrate that it also plays a role in sphingolipid synthesis. These results demonstrate that inviability caused by changes in sterols can be suppressed by compensatory changes in sphingolipids.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General: Yeast complete (YEPD), synthetic complete (CSM), and bacterial media were prepared as described (AUSUBEL *et al.* 1998). Yeast extract, yeast nitrogen base, Bacto-peptone, and Bacto-agar were from Difco. Molecular biology and genetic procedures were performed according to conventional protocols (AUSUBEL *et al.* 1998). Gene-specific oligonucleotides were synthesized by Invitrogen.

Yeast strains and molecular techniques: Yeast strains used in this study are isogenic with the strain W303-1A (MATa ade2-1, can1-1, trp1-1, ura3-1, his3-11, 15, leu2-3, 112) (THOMAS and ROTHSTEIN 1989). Deletion mutant strains were generated by homologous recombination with PCR products (ERDENIZ et al. 1997) generated using the S. cerevisiae pRS303, -304, -305, and -306 vectors to generate HIS3, TRP1, LEU2, and URA3 selectable markers (SIKORSKI and HIETER 1989) and oligonucleotides that contained 45-50 bp of gene-specific sequence. Disruptions containing the kanamycin (G418) resistance marker were obtained using the plasmid pFA6 as template. Oligonucleotides used to create various deletions are listed in Table 1. All disruptions were verified by PCR. The Ty transposon insertion at the 3' end of the HAP1 gene was identified by PCR using oligonucleotides specific to HAP1 and the Ty1 transposon (CTTCCTTTTATCAAAGCAT CTTG and CGAG GATTTAGGAATCCATAAA, respectively). The $hap1\Delta$ mutation was created as follows: An integrating plasmid PdAG1-HS (kindly provided by A. Gower and J. L. Pinkham) containing a 1347 deletion within *HAP1* spanning the *Hin*dIII site to *Sal* site was digested with *Eco*RI for targeted integration into the *HAP1* locus of SCY325. *URA3* transformants were selected and colonies placed on 5-fluoroorotic acid (FOA) medium to select for a strain (SCY2127) containing the *hap1*\Delta allele. PCR screening primers for the characterization of the *HAP1* locus are indicated in Table 1. Plasmid pU6ΔsacI containing the normal *UPC2* gene was used as the rescue plasmid in all suppressor screens (A. TINKLENBERG and S. L. STURLEY, unpublished data). Standard protocols for matings, sporulation, tetrad analyses, and transformation are as described (BURKE *et al.* 2000).

Strains used in this study were derived from W303. SCY325 and SCY328 are common Sturley laboratory strains. All other strains listed in Table 2 were derived for this study and are deletions, with the exception of *hap1Ty*.

Transposon mutagenesis: Fifteen pools of the mTn-lacZ/ LEU2 transposon library were received as a generous gift from Michael Snyder's lab (BURNS et al. 1994). UltraMAX DH5α-FT Competent Cells were transformed with the pools of DNA according to standard bacterial transformation protocols. Transformants were selected on LB medium containing 60 µg/ml ampicillin. On average 250,000 colonies could be harvested from each pool. DNA purification was performed according to standard DNA midi-prep protocols (AUSUBEL et al. 1998). S. cerevisiae DNA from amplified pools containing the 6.6-kb mTN-lacZ/LEU2 cassette was restricted with NotI and transformed into the appropriate strains containing the UPC2 rescue plasmid pU6 Δ SacI. For hap1 Δ upc2 Δ ecm22 Δ /pU Δ SacI, 33,754 LEU2 transformants were obtained. For the erg2, erg6, and erg28 mutants in the upc2 Δ ecm22 Δ background, 10,804, 39,771, and 1095 LEU2 transformants were obtained, respectively. Transformants that were able to lose the rescue plasmid on FOA medium became candidates for containing suppressor mutations. To locate the site of genomic insertion inverse PCR was used as described on the Gottschling web site (http:// www.fhcrc.org/science/labs/gottschling/misc/ipcr.html) and in OCHMAN et al. (1988). Genomic DNA from S. cerevisiae from putative suppressor strains was extracted according to standard protocols (AUSUBEL et al. 1998) and digested with Acil, AluI, HaeIII, HpaII, RsaI, or TaqI that corresponded to known restriction sites in the mTn-LacZ/LEU2 transposon cassette. The restriction site defined the sequence for which both the inverse PCR and sequencing primers would be used. Inverse PCR was performed using primers InPCR1, -2, -7, and -8. A PCR product using primers InPCR7 and InPCR 8 was used as a template for a second round of PCR with the primers InPCR1 and InPCR2. Using this method, PCR products were produced that were amenable to DNA sequencing. DNA purification for nucleotide sequencing was performed using the QIAquick Method (QIAGEN). Sequencing was performed at the Indiana University Biochemistry and Biotechnology Facility using mTn3-SEQ1, which anneals at position 15-42 of the mTn-lacZ/LEU2 transposon cassette.

Sterol analyses: Sterols were extracted following published protocols (GACHOTTE *et al.* 1999). Gas chromatography analysis of sterols was conducted on an HP5890 series II GC, using a DB-5 capillary column (15 m \times 0.25 mm i.d., 0.2 µm film thickness) with nitrogen as carrier gas (30 cm/sec) and was programmed from 195° to 300° (195° for 3 min, 5.5°/min to 300° then held for 10 min). Gas-chromatography–mass spectrometry analyses were performed with an HP5890 GC coupled to an HP5972 mass selective detector. Electron impact MS (70 eV, scanning from 40 to 700 or 650 atomic mass units, at 1-sec intervals) was performed using the following conditions: DB-5MS column (20 m \times 0.18 mm i.d., 0.18 µm film thickness), helium as carrier gas (30 cm/sec), detector temperature 180°, column temperature 100°–300° (100° for

Primers used in this study

Primer name	Primer sequence	Purpose
frwUPC2 revUPC2	CGGTAAACGTAAATTCCATAACAAATCAA AGAATGGGTGCGATAACTGTAAAAGAAG AAGTggcgggtgtcggggctggc CTATCAGGTTCAGATTGCCTTTGGTAGAA AGATCTAAAAGCTTAGCGATGTTACTGGT ACttgccgatttcggcctattg	UPC2 disruption
frwECM22 revECM22	CCGATGATGGGAATGCTGGACAAGAAAG AGAGAAGGATGCTGAACTGATTGAGGTTG Gggcgtacgctgcaggtcgac CGCGATGCAGTTTGTCCAAATATGCTAAA GTTATCAAGTACGGTGAATCAATTTCTAC Ggatcgatgaattcgagctcg	ECM22 disruption
frwERG2 revERG2	CCACTCCTTTTGTTGATTGGTGTTGTAGGC TACATTATGAACGTATTGTTCACTACCTG GTggcgggtgtcggggctggc CAAGTTCTTACCCATGTCCCTGGCAGTCA GGTAGACAGTTCTATATAGAGTGTATAAA TCttgccgatttcggcctattg	ERG2 disruption
frwERG6 revERG6	GGCCCAATTCACTAGGGAGTTACATGGTG ATGATATTGGTAAAAAGACAGGTTTGAGT GCTGGCGGGTGTCGGGGCTGGC CGTTTTCTGGCTTCCTAGCGACGAAAAGC ATCATTGGAGTGAATAACTTGGACTTACC ACTTGCCGATTTCGGCCTATTG	<i>ERG6</i> disruption
frwERG28 revERG28	CTTTACGGTATTACCGATAGGAAACTTCT ATTTTATGATTTTTTCGTTCGGGGGACGGAA CTGGCGGGTGTCGGGGGCTGGC CGTTAGAGGAGAGAGGGTAGGGATACTTA CAGAAATGAAGCCAAATTGGCAGCTTTTT GAGTTGCCGATTTCGGCCTATTG	ERG28 disruption
frwHDA3 revHDA3	GATTTACTACGCATTTTAGACACGAAACC AATACCTACAATTGTTGATGCTACTACTCT Gtggcgggtgtcggggctggc CGTGGAATTGTTAAGTTTTCCAAGTTGTCC ATCGTCTTCGATAACTGTCCCACTAACTCC ttgccgatttcggcctattg	HDA3 disruption
frwTUP1 revTUP1	GGGAAGAAAGAAATCAGCTTTCCATCCAA ACCAATATGACTGCCAGCGTTTCGAATAC GCtggcgggtgtcggggctggc GTGTGGTGGCCACGGACAATACAAAGTCT TTATGCCCGATATACGTAACTTCACAAGT GCttgccgatttcggcctattg	<i>TUP1</i> disruption
frwYND1 revYND1	ATTTCCCCGTCTGCCCTCTTATGCTCATAG AAAACACTAATGATCGGTTTGGTATCGTC Atggcgggtgtcggggctggc CAGGTCGTAAGTTTGACGGAGCGAGATTA	YND1 disruption
frwIES1	Tttgccgatttcggcctattg GTATTGGAAACAGTTCATGATTAACTAAG TAAAATCGAAAGCTAAATAAAGGCGACA CTCtggcgggtgtcggggctggc	IES1 disruption
revIES1	CTTAAACATGGCGGTTGCTTCTTCGTCATC AATTTCCGCGCGCTTCGATTTTATTTAAAAG ttgccgatttcggcctattg	

(continued)

M. Valachovic et al.

TABLE 1

(Continued)

Primer name	Primer sequence	Purpose
MNN11fwd MNN11rev	GAAGAAAGCCAATTTTCAACTTGGAATCA TCCTGCCGGTTTGTTGTTGGTGTTAGCTGT Gtggcgggtgtcggggctggc GTAAAGAAAGATCGAGATTCATCAATAA AGCATCTTGATCAACAAAATGAATATACT TGGttgccgatttcggcctattg	<i>MNN11</i> disruption
UME6fwd UME6rev	CAGCGCACAGGAACTAGGACACTACCGC ACTCAAACCATTTGCATGGACCTTAACTC ACGtggcgggtgtcggggctggc GTCTTTCCTCGGTACACTTCTTTTTCCTTA ATCTACAAATCCAGCAACCAGTACGGGAC Cttgccgatttcggcctattg	UME6 disruption
MNN9fwd MNN9rev	AATTTTAGTATTTTTCAAACAGAAGAATT TAAAAGAGCTAGAATAAAAAGTTAGGAA ACAtggcgggtgtcggggctggc ATTAATGGCCTATAAGTGGCAATTTCTGC ATAACCCTCCGACAATAATCTCGTCATCAC	<i>MNN9</i> disruption
GDA1fwd GDA1rev	CAATCTTTATTGGCGAACAGTTAAGGGTC CTCTCGAGAAGAAACATTAAGACATCATC GCtggcgggtgtcggggctggc AACAATTTGTGTAGACCCTCCGCCTAAGT CAAAAACGGCAGCAGTAGGTAACTTGGG GCCttgccgatttcggcctattg	GDA1 disruption
GDA1-5'GAL GDA1-3'GAL	CGGGATCCCACAAAAAACATGGCGCCCA CGGAATTCGAAAAGCGGTGTCCATGTTC	pYES2-GDA1 plasmid
inPCR1 inPCR2	5'-TAAGTTGGGTAACGCCAGGGTTTTC-3' 5'-TTCCATGTTGCCACTCGCTTTAATG-3'	Nested/inverse PCR for RsaI, TaqI
inPCR7 inPCR8	CCTCAGGAAGATCGCACTCC GTGATGGTGCTGCGTTGGAG	Inverse PCR for TaqI
MTn3-SEQ1	CCCCCTTAACGTGAGTTTTCGTTCCACT	Sequencing InPCR
HAP1-frw HAP1-2rev	ACTTCCTTTTATCAAAGCATCTTG GACGTATCCCATTCTGAAACGCAAC	$hap1\Delta$ check in SCY2127
LW1 LW2	ATTCCAACGGTTCCATCGTTAACC TATCCCATTCTGAAACGCAACG	Hap1 wild-type check
LW1 LW3	ATTCCAACGGTTCCATCGTTAACC CGAGGATTTAGGAATCCATAAA	<i>Hap1T</i> y check

In the disruption primers, lowercase letters correspond to conserved regions bordering the *TRP1*, *KAN^{MX}*, *HIS3*, *LEU2*, and *NAT^R* genes in the pRS304, pFA6, pRS303, pRS315, and pAG36 vectors, respectively. All primers are designed so that left to right corresponds to $5' \rightarrow 3'$.

1 min, 10°/min to 300° then held for 15 min); and DB-5 (10 m \times 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 µm film thickness), helium as carrier gas (50 cm/sec), column temperature 40°–300° (40° for 1 min, 30°/min to 300° then held for 4 min). All injections were run in splitless mode.

Sphingolipid and membrane microdomain analyses: Lipids were extracted from cells radiolabeled with [³H] *myo*-inositol, separated by chromatography on Whatman HP-K plates and detected by using a BioScan apparatus (DICKSON *et al.* 1997). The chromatography solvent was 55:45:10 (chloroform: methanol:0.25% KCl) (PUOTI *et al.* 1991). Detergent-insoluble fractionations were carried out according to BAGNAT *et al.* (2000) with a few minor alterations. A total of 10 OD₆₀₀ units

of exponential phase cells were lysed in TNE (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4/150 mM NaCl/5 mM EDTA) buffer by bead-beating mechanical disruption at 4° in the presence of protease inhibitors. The supernatant (450 μ l) was incubated for 30 min on ice with pre-chilled Triton X-100 at final concentration of 1% v/v. A total of 400 μ l of this mixture with 2 volumes of 60% OPTIPREP were then overlaid with 2.7 ml of 30% OPTIPREP and finally with 0.5 ml TNE protease buffer and centrifuged for 3 hr at 45,000 rpm in a SW50.1 rotor at 4°. A total of 500 μ l fractions 1–8 were removed from the top of the gradient. The detergent-insoluble (raft-associated) proteins reside predominately in fraction 2 and sometimes in fraction 1. Fractions were stored at -80° . All immunoblots were performed on 8%

Strains used in this study

Strain	Genotype			
W303	MATa ade2-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1, ura3-1 can1			
SCY325	MATα ade2-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3,112,trp1-1, ura3-1 can1			
SCY328	MATa ade2-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3,112,trp1-1ura3-1 can1			
SCY1832	MAT α upc2 Δ ::URA3 hap1Ty			
SCY1987	$MAT \alpha \ upc2 \Delta :: URA3$			
SCY1996	$MAT\alpha \text{ ecm} 22\Delta$:: $LEU2$			
SCY2127	$MAT \alpha hap 1 \Delta$			
MVS36	$MATa erg28\Delta$::HIS3			
MVS40	MATa $erg2\Delta$::HIS3			
MVS41	$MAT\alpha \ erg6\Delta$:: HIS3			
MH1-3B	MATα hap1Δ upc2Δ::TRP1 ecm22Δ::KANMX4/pU6ΔSacI ^a			
M28-2D	$MATa erg28\Delta$:: HIS3 upc2 Δ :: TRP1 ecm22 Δ :: KANMX4/pU6 Δ SacI			
M6-3B	MATa $erg6\Delta$::HIS3 $upc2\Delta$::TRP1 $ecm22\Delta$::KANMX4/pU6 Δ SacI			
M2-7A	MATα erg2 Δ ::HIS3 upc2 Δ ::TRP1 ecm22 Δ ::KANMX4/pU6 Δ SacI			
MVS139	$MAT\alpha ynd1\Delta$:: LEU2			
M5B-3	$MATa$ upc2 Δ ::TRP1 ecm22 Δ ::KANMX4/pU6 Δ SacI			
MVS3	MATa $erg3\Delta$::TRP1 upc2 Δ ::URA3 ecm22 $\hat{\Delta}$::LEU2			
MVS14	MATa $\operatorname{erg} 5 \Delta$::TRP1 upc2 Δ ::URA3 ecm22 Δ ::LEU2			
MVS18	MATa $erg4\Delta$::TRP1 $upc2\Delta$::URA3 $ecm22\Delta$::LEU2			
BBY102	MATa ynd1 Δ ::LEU2 erg2 Δ ::HIS3 upc2 Δ ::TRP1 ecm22 Δ ::KANMX4/pU6 Δ SacI			
BBY103	MATa ynd1 Δ ::LEU2 hap1 Δ upc2 Δ ::TRP1 ecm22 Δ ::KANMX4/pU6 Δ SacI			
BBY105	MAT α ynd1 Δ :: LEU2 upc2 Δ :: TRP1 ecm22 Δ :: KANMX4/pU6 Δ SacI			
BBY107	MATa ynd1 Δ ::LEU2 erg2 Δ ::HIS3 upc2 Δ ::TRP1 ecm22 Δ ::KANMX4/pU6 Δ SacI			
BBY112	$MATa$ ynd1 Δ ::LEU2 upc2 Δ ::TRP1 ecm22 Δ ::KANMX4/pU6 Δ SacI			
BBY113	$MATa$ ynd1 Δ ::LEU2 upc2 Δ ::TRP1 ecm22 Δ ::KANMX4/pU6 Δ SacI			
BBY114	$MATa gda1\Delta$::HIS3			
BBY125	MAT α gda1 Δ ::HIS3 hap1 Δ upc2 Δ ::TRP1 ecm22 Δ ::KANMX4/pU6 Δ SacI			
BBY131	$MAT\alpha ynd1\Delta$:: LEU2 erg28 Δ :: HIS3 upc2 Δ :: TRP1 ecm22 Δ :: KANMX4/pU6 Δ SacI			
BBY137	MATa gda1 Δ ::HIS3 erg28 Δ ::HIS3 upc2 Δ ::TRP1 ecm22 Δ ::KANMX4/pU6 Δ SacI			
SBY29	MAT α gda1 Δ ::HIS3 upc2 Δ ::TRP1 ecm22 Δ ::KANMX4/pU6 Δ SacI			
SBY31	MATa gda1Δ::HIS3 erg6Δ::HIS3 upc2Δ::TRP1 ecm22Δ::KANMX4/pU6ΔSacI			
SBY34	MATa gda1 Δ ::HIS3 erg6 Δ ::HIS3 upc2 Δ ::TRP1 ecm22 Δ ::KANMX4/pU6 Δ SacI			
SBY38	$MAT\alpha \ gda1\Delta::HIS3 \ erg2\Delta::HIS3 \ upc2\Delta::TRP1 \ ecm22\Delta::KANMX4/pU6\DeltaSacI$			
SBY47	MATα ynd1 Δ ::LEU2 erg6 Δ ::HIS3 upc2 Δ ::TRP1 ecm22 Δ ::KANMX4/pU6 Δ SacI			
SBY56	MAT α ynd1 Δ ::LEU2 hap1 Δ ::HIS3 upc2 Δ ::TRP1 ecm22 Δ ::KANMX4/pU6 Δ SacI			

All strains are derived from W303. SCY325 and SCY328 are common laboratory strains. All others were generated in this study.

^{*a*} pU6 Δ SacI is a complementing plasmid containing UPC2.

SDS–PAGE gels with a 5% stacking gel. α -Gas1p or α -Pma1p antibody was used at a 1:2500 dilution followed by α -goat antirabbit horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:4000 dilution). Amersham ECL assays were used to detect the proteins.

RESULTS

The $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ double mutant exhibits synthetic lethality in combination with various mutations affecting sterol synthesis: In contrast to other findings, Parks' group (SHIANNA et al. 2001) found that the double mutant $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ was inviable in their genetic background. The original upc2-1 allele (LEWIS et al. 1988) was derived from strain S288C, in which a Tyl element disrupts the 3' region of the HAP1 ORF (GAISNE et al. 1999). The HAP1 gene encodes a heme-responsive transcription factor that regulates sterol biosynthesis

(TURI and LOPER 1992; KENNEDY et al. 1999). In a upc2-1 gain-of-function mutant, HAP1 is upregulated and furthermore acts as a modifier of sterol uptake (WILCOX et al. 2002). Given the roles of Upc2p and Ecm22p in the regulation of sterol biosynthesis, we further investigated the cross-talk between the HAP1 and UPC2/ECM22 pathways by attempting to generate a triple mutant by crossing an *ecm22* Δ mutant to a *upc2* Δ *hap1Ty* strain. Whereas every possible double mutant segregant from the cross was recovered, all triple mutant segregants (hap1Ty upc2 Δ ecm22 Δ) died (50 tetrads dissected). However, triple $hap1Ty upc2\Delta ecm22\Delta$ mutants containing a URA3-marked plasmid with a wild-type copy of *UPC2* (pU6 Δ SacI) were viable. *upc2\Delta ecm22\Delta* strains containing a deletion allele of *HAP1* were also inviable; dissection of \sim 39 tetrads also failed to yield a viable $hap1\Delta$ upc2 Δ ecm22 Δ strain. Thus both the hap1TY and



FIGURE 1.—The triple mutants $hap1\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$, $erg2\Delta$, $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$, $erg6\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$, and $erg28\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ contain a *UPC2* rescue plasmid (pU Δ SacI) and are able to grow on CSM-Ura medium but cannot grow on CSM + FOA, which negatively selects against plasmid retention. The $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ control strain grows on both media.

 $hap1\Delta$ alleles in combination with $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ were inviable. The inability of hap1Ty (or $hap1\Delta$) $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta/pU6\Delta SacI$ strains to grow on medium containing 5-FOA, which selects against the URA3 containing plasmid, further supports the inviability of the triple mutant combination (Figure 1).

In previous studies, we and others have demonstrated that the combined deletion of UPC2, ECM22, and ERG2 was synthetically lethal (VIK and RINE 2001; VALACHOVIC et al. 2004). However, this lethality could be suppressed by a mutation in *ELO3*, which is necessary for synthesis of the C26 fatty acids found in sphingolipids (VALACHOVIC et al. 2004). In the current study, we investigated whether $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ was synthetically lethal in combination with other viable ergosterol deletion mutants, such as $erg3\Delta$, $erg4\Delta$, $erg5\Delta$, $erg6\Delta$, and $erg28\Delta$. Our results demonstrate that ERG6 and ERG28 but not ERG3, ERG4, or ERG5 are essential genes in the absence of UPC2 and ECM22 (Figures 1 and 2). These results are summarized in Table 3, in which we demonstrate that viable triple mutants of $hap1\Delta$ (40 tetrads dissected), $erg6\Delta$ (33 tetrads dissected), and $erg28\Delta$ (38 tetrads dissected) with $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ were not observed. Triple mutants containing $hap1\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$, $erg6\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ , and erg28 Δ upc2 Δ ecm22 Δ were, however, recovered from crosses containing the rescue plasmid (pU6 Δ SacI) with a normal UPC2 allele. These strains grew on CSM-Ura but failed to grow on FOA medium as



FIGURE 2.—The triple mutants $erg3\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$, $erg4\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$, and $erg5\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ are all able to grow on CSM medium without a rescue plasmid.

expected if the triple mutants are inviable (Figure 1). Finally, attempts to disrupt *HAP1*, *ERG6*, or *ERG28* wild-type alleles in a $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ strain were unsuccessful, supporting the hypothesis that mutating these genes in the double deletion background mutant is a lethal event.

Deletion of upc2 and ecm22 alters sterol profile: An 11-bp SRE responsible for UPC2-mediated sterol regulation of ERG2 was found in eight other sterol biosynthetic genes in S. cerevisiae and a similar element was found in several ergosterol biosynthetic genes in C. albicans (VIK and RINE 2001; SILVER et al. 2004). The $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ double mutant accumulates large amounts of two sterol intermediates, episterol and ergosta-5,7-dien-ol, at the expense of end-product sterol (VALACHOVIC et al. 2004). The single deletion mutants $upc2\Delta$ and $ecm22\Delta$ had sterol profiles essentially like that of the wild type. Initially, we looked at $erg3\Delta$, $erg4\Delta$, and $erg5\Delta$ alone and in a $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ genetic background to determine exactly how the sterol profiles were altered. The principal sterol that accumulates in $erg3\Delta$ is ergosta-7,22,-dienol (70% of total sterol), but in a $erg \Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ mutant the principal sterol is episterol (41%) followed by fecosterol (13.9%) and ergosta-7-enol(13.3%), not a dramatic difference in sterol composition. Similar results were observed in an $erg4\Delta$ strain

TABLE 3

Genetic analyses to determine viability of $hap1\Delta$, $erg2\Delta$, $erg6\Delta$, and $erg28\Delta$ in a $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ mutant background

Mating	No. of tetrads dissected	Viable triple mutants ^a
$erg3\Delta$ upc2 Δ × upc2 Δ $ecm22\Delta$	23	19
$erg4\Delta \ upc2\Delta \times upc2 \ \Delta \ ecm22\Delta$	24	24
$erg5\Delta$ $upc2\Delta \times upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$	18	10
$erg6 \Delta upc2\Delta \times upc2 \Delta ecm22\Delta$	33	0
$erg28\Delta$ $upc2\Delta \times upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$	38	0
$hap1\Delta \times upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$	40	0

^{*a*} Number of viable triple mutant isolates in a *upc2ecm22* background.

TABLE 4	
---------	--

Genes disrupted by mTn3 in multiple backgrounds

	M2-7A: erg2upc2ecm22	M6-3B: erg6upc2ecm22	MH1-3B: hap1upc2ecm22	M28-2D: erg28upc2ecm22
YND1	1	1	1	_
YER049w	1	2	1	_
HDA3	_	1	1	_
RPN9	_	1	1	_
IES1	1	2		_
SSN2	1	_	1	_
BCK2	_	2		_
RDN25-1		3		3
RDN37-1		3		3
TAR1	—	3		1
YNL011C	1	4		—
YNL010W	1	4	—	—

M2-7A [$erg2\Delta upc2\Delta ecm22\Delta p(U,U)$], M6-3B [$erg\Delta upc2\Delta ecm22\Delta p(U,U)$], MH1-3B [$hap1\Delta upc2\Delta ecm22\Delta (U,U)$], and M28-2D [$erg28\Delta upc2\Delta ecm22\Delta p(U,U)$] were all transformed with the mTn3 library resulting in several genes disruped in multiple backgrounds.

compared to the $erg4\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ triple mutant. The former accumulates almost exclusively ergosata-5,7,22, 24(28) sterols but the triple mutant accumulates mostly episterol, two closely related $erg4\Delta$ -type sterols, ergosta-5,7,24(28), ergosta-5,7,22, 24(28), as well as zymosterol. Finally, the sterol profiles of $erg5\Delta$ and the $erg5\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ were essentially the same, accumulating principally ergosta-5,7 diene (74.6% and 67.6%), respectively. These results suggest that these triple mutants are likely viable because the sterols produced are not significantly different from those that occur in the $erg3\Delta$, $erg4\Delta$, and $erg5\Delta$ single mutants.

Isolation of suppressors of inviable $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ triple mutants: Previously we isolated a UV-induced suppressor mutation of an $erg2\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ triple mutant. Genetic analysis of the suppressor showed that it was resistant to the morpholine tridemorph (BALOCH et al. 1984), suggesting that the suppressor may be elo2 or elo3 (VALACHOVIC et al. 2004). This suppressor was identified as elo3, which has been demonstrated to suppress lethal mutations of erg2 (SILVE et al. 1996), although in the majority of genetic backgrounds $erg2\Delta$ mutants are not lethal (ASHMAN et al. 1991).

Similar attempts to identify viable suppressors of triple mutants of *hap1*, *erg6*, *erg2*, or *erg28* in the *upc2* Δ *ecm22* Δ background were unsuccessful. Therefore transposon mutagenesis using *hap1* Δ *upc2* Δ *ecm22* Δ , *erg2* Δ , *erg2* Δ *upc2* Δ *ecm22* Δ , *erg6* Δ *upc2* Δ *ecm22* Δ , *erg2* Δ *upc2* Δ *ecm22* Δ , *erg6* Δ *upc2* Δ *ecm22* Δ , *and erg28* Δ *upc2* Δ *ecm22* Δ strains containing the *UPC2* rescue plasmid pU6 Δ SacI were transformed with a mutagenized transposon library-mTn-*lacZ/LEU2*. Transformants were subsequently plated onto synthetic complete medium containing FOA to select loss of the pU6 Δ SacI plasmid. Colonies purified on CSM-leu media that were able to grow on FOA medium were then considered as candidates for carrying a suppressor mutation. These colo-

nies were then subjected to PCR analysis to ensure that a wild-type version of the *UPC2* was not present. Approximately 40,000 transformants were obtained for triple mutants of *erg6*, 34,000 for *hap1*, 11,000 for *erg2*, and 1100 for *erg28*. Transposon screening by PCR allowed us to identify 116 different candidate suppressor genes. The largest category (14.7%) involved genes encoding transcription factors and the next largest class (10.3%) involved genes required for chromatin remodeling and chromatin structure. In total, 15 categories of genes were identified and the ones that were isolated multiple times are listed in Table 4.

Putative transposon suppressors were confirmed by independently creating gene deletions: While the transposon mutagenesis screen indicated many putative suppressors, it was necessary to independently confirm these mutations by creating knockouts of putative genes and crossing these into our strains to generate viable quadruple mutants (e.g., $mutx\Delta$ hap 1Δ upc 2Δ ecm 22Δ). We chose several categories of genes to independently disrupt: TUP1 and HDA3 are two genes involved in chromatin repression; transposon mutagenesis indicated that in the $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ background, tup1 rescued *hap1* and *hda3* suppressed both *hap1* and *erg6*. A mutation in OSH1 encoding an oxysterol-binding protein suppressed $erg6\Delta$ and a mutation in UME6 (a transcriptional regulator) suppressed $erg2\Delta$ in $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ strains. Various crosses of these independently derived disrupted genes to the triple mutants containing a rescue plasmid indicated that $tup 1\Delta$ was not a suppressor of $hap1\Delta$; $hda3\Delta$ was not a suppressor of $hap1\Delta$, $erg2\Delta$, or $erg6\Delta$; $osh1\Delta$ was not a suppressor of $erg6\Delta$; and $ume6\Delta$ was not a suppressor of $erg2\Delta$. However, $ynd1\Delta$ that was initially identified as a suppressor of $hap1\Delta$, $erg2\Delta$, and $erg6\Delta$ mutants by transposon mutagenesis was confirmed by independently derived

Synthetically lethal backgrounds in which $ynd1\Delta$ was tested as a suppressor

Mating	Tetrads dissected ^a	Viable quadruples ^b	Inviable quadruples ^c	Viable triples ^d
$hap1upc2ecm22/p \times ynd1upc2ecm22/p^{e}$	41	44	0	43
$erg2upc2ecm22/p \times ynd1erg2upc2ecm22/p$	18	0	9	16
yndlerg2upc2ecm22/p \times yndlupc2ecm22/p	46	0	65	20
$erg6upc2ecm22/p \times ynd1upc2ecm22/p$	54	28	0	15
$erg28upc2ecm22/p \times ynd1upc2ecm22/p$	50	30	0	54

Matings were made to produce quadruple mutants composed of a synthetically lethal triple mutant background plus *ynd1*. All mutations are deletions.

^a Number of tetrads dissected to produce the quadruple mutants.

^bNumber of quadruple mutants where *ynd1* suppressed lethality as indicated by the ability to lose the accompanying rescue containing *UPC2* plasmid on FOA medium.

^e Number of quadruple mutants in which *ynd1* did not suppress lethality as indicated by the inability to grow on FOA medium.

^d Number of synthetically lethal triple mutants produced by the cross as a control and not plated on FOA medium.

^e URA3-containing rescue plasmid carrying UPC2 allele.

disruptions to suppress $hap1\Delta$, $erg6\Delta$, and $erg28\Delta$ mutants but unexpectedly not the $erg2\Delta$ triple mutant (summarized in Table 5). In various independent crosses involving the generation of triple or quadruple mutants, segregants containing the pU6 Δ SacI rescue plasmid were plated onto FOA medium. For $hap1\Delta$, $erg6\Delta$, and $erg28\Delta$ mutants that also contained the $ynd1\Delta$ allele in the $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ background, viability (suppression) was indicated as the ability to lose the rescue plasmid. However, in two distinct matings in which $ynd1\Delta erg2\Delta upc2\Delta ecm22\Delta$ quadruple mutants were generated, no quadruple mutant strain was able to lose the rescue plasmid. YND1 encodes an apyrase (nucleoside diphosphatase and nucleoside triphosphatase activity) and has 20% amino acid identity to GDA1, which also encodes a nucleoside diphosphatase. GDA1 is required for transporting GDP-mannose into the Golgi lumen (GAO et al. 1999). GDA1 mutants are defective in mannosylation of both proteins and sphingolipids (YANAGISAWA et al. 1990; ASHMAN et al. 1991; ABEIJON et al. 1993). The ynd1 Δ gda1 Δ double mutant grows slowly and demonstrates defects in cell morphology and protein glycosylation. An independently derived $gda1\Delta$ mutant strain was similarly mated to all four triple mutants and similar results were obtained. The $gda1\Delta$ mutation suppressed $hap1\Delta$, $erg6\Delta$, and $erg28\Delta$ triple mutants but not the $erg2\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ triple mutant (Table 6). Figure 3 demonstrates that both ynd1 and gda1 deletions suppress lethality of $hap1\Delta$, erg6 Δ , and $erg28\Delta$ triple mutants but not the $erg2\Delta$ triple mutant. To determine whether GDA1 expression would reverse the suppression of the $gdal\Delta$ -suppressed quadruple mutant, the GDA1 open reading frame was introduced into the pYES2 expression vector that contains the galactoseinducible promoter GAL1. On media containing glucose both empty vector control (pYES2) and pYES2-GDA1 did not adversely affect growth of the $hap1\Delta$, $erg6\Delta$, and $erg28\Delta$ mutants in $gda1\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ strains. However, on galactose-containing media in which expression of the GDA1 is induced, the quadruple mutants grew worse than when GDA1 was not expressed (Figure 4, compare B_1 and B_2 , D_1 and D_2 , and F_1 and F_2) indicating that GDA1 expression did reverse suppression. Minimal GDA1 expression under noninducible

Mating	Tetrads dissected ^a	Viable quadruples ^b	Inviable quadruples ^c	Viable triples ^d
$hap1upc2ecm22/p \times gda1upc2ecm22/p^{e}$	35	30	0	17
$erg2upc2ecm22/p \times gda1upc2ecm22/p$	45	0	24	20
$erg6upc2ecm22/p \times gda1upc2ecm22/p$	37	30	0	16
$erg28upc2ecm22/p \times gda1upc2ecm22/p$	39	34	0	30

TABLE 6
Synthetically lethal backgrounds in which $gda1\Delta$ was tested as a suppresson

Matings were made to produce quadruple mutants composed of a synthetically lethal triple mutant background plus *gda1*. All mutations are deletions.

^a Number of tetrads dissected to produce the quadruple mutants.

^bNumber of quadruple mutants where *gda1* suppressed lethality as indicated by the ability to lose the accompanying rescue containing *UPC2* plasmid on FOA medium.

^c Number of quadruple mutants in which gda1 did not suppress lethality as indicated by the inability to grow on FOA medium.

^d Number of synthetically lethal triple mutants produced by the cross as a control and not plated on FOA medium.

^e URA3-containing rescue plasmid carrying UPC2 allele.



and $gda1erg6\Delta$ upc2 Δ ecm22 Δ will grow on CSM-Ura or CSM + FOA medium, which selects against plasmid retention of a rescue plasmid. $erg6\Delta$ upc2 Δ ecm22 Δ cannot grow without the rescue plasmid on CSM + FOA medium. (G and H) Suppression of $erg28\Delta$ $upc2\Delta ecm22\Delta$ by ynd1 and gda1 mutations. The quadruple mutants ynd1erg28\Delta $upc2\Delta ecm22\Delta$ and gda1erg28\Delta $upc2\Delta ecm22\Delta$ will grow on CSM-Ura or CSM+FOA medium. $erg28\Delta$ upc2 Δ ecm22 Δ cannot grow without the rescue plasmid on CSM + FOA medium.

conditions (glucose medium) showed similar growth in the absence or presence of wild-type GDA1 (Figure 4, compare A_1 and A_2 , C_1 and C_2 , and E_1 and E_2). Unexpectedly, the inducible expression of GDA1 was also able to prevent growth of $ynd1 hap1\Delta$ (or $erg6\Delta$ or $erg28\Delta$) $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ strains. These results suggest that overexpression of *GDA1* can reverse the suppression due to a mutation of the related gene *YND1*, suggesting lesions in both GDA1 and YND1 genes are suppressing through a similar mechanism.

Sterol analyses of the ynd1- and gda1-suppressed strains: We were interested in determining what the sterol profiles of the suppressed quadruple mutants might look like since these strains might be regarded as having a sterol composition that was minimally adequate for viability. The sterol profiles of the $hap1\Delta$, $erg6\Delta$, $erg28\Delta$ single mutants, the $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ double mutant, and the *ynd1* Δ - and *gda1* Δ -suppressed quadruple mutants are given in Tables 7-9. The hap1 sterol profile is essentially that of wild type (as are the single *ynd1* and *gda1* mutants). The $upc2\Delta ecm22\Delta$ sterol profile indicated a decrease in ergosterol and increase in sterol intermediates. $ynd1\Delta$ hap1 upc2 Δ ecm22 Δ and $gda1\Delta$ hap1upc2\Delta ecm22\Delta are capable of synthesizing ergosterol at very low levels (3.5 and 3.1%, respectively) and also have nearly identical sterol profiles in which the predominant sterol is zymosterol, similar to that of the erg6 Δ single mutant. gda1 Δ erg6 Δ upc2 Δ ecm22 Δ and $ynd1\Delta erg6\Delta upc2\Delta ecm22\Delta$ are also nearly identical (Table 8). The differences between $erg28\Delta$ strains in the suppressed state are also substantial, with the quadruple

mutants synthesizing much less ergosterol than an erg28 strain alone (Table 9). Quadruple mutants lacking either *YND1* or *GDA1* synthesize more ergosta-5,7,24(28) sterols and substantially more 4-methylzymosterol and 4,4-dimethylzymosterol than the erg28 single mutant. It is unlikely that these latter two would function as membrane sterols due to the C-4 methyl groups.

Altered sphingolipid composition in a *ynd1* mutant: Since Ynd1p appears to be related to the guanosine diphosphatase encoded by GDA1 and since a gda1 mutation alters the sphingolipid composition of cells (ABEIJON et al. 1993), we examined the sphingolipid composition of a ynd1 mutant. Sphingolipids were radiolabeled to equilibrium with $[^{3}H]$ myo-inositol, extracted from cells, and analyzed by thin-layer chromatography. Deletion of gda1 caused a twofold reduction in the level of the major sphingolipid species, mannose-(inositol- P_{2} -ceramide $[M(IP)_{2}C]$ (Table 10). In contrast, deletion of ynd1p did not change the level of M(IP)₂C (Table 10); however, it did cause the mannose-inositol-P-ceramide (MIPC) and the inositol-P-ceramides to increase $\sim 50\%$, similar to what was observed in the gda1 mutant (Table 10). We conclude from these results that Ynd1 and Gda1 play roles in sphingolipid synthesis and their loss of function leads to changes in the species of sphingolipids produced in cells.

Loss of Ynd1p and Gda1p activity normalizes cold detergent-insoluble proteins: The ratio of various lipids, particularly sterols and glycosphingolipids, in the plasma membrane and other organellar membranes is directly responsible for the sequestration or complexing

FIGURE 3.—(A and B)



FIGURE 4.—Overexpression of a plasmid containing the GDA1 ORF under the control of a galactose-inducible GAL1 promoter. Expression of GDA1 reverses suppression due to gda1 and ynd1 mutations. Expression of GDA1 in a gal1 $hap1\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ background reduces viability on galactose medium more than on glucose medium (B_2 vs. A_2) as expected. Similar growth was observed with vector controls $(A_1 \text{ and } B_1)$. However, GDA1 expression on galactose medium also reduced suppression of the *ynd1hap1* Δ *upc2* Δ *ecm22* Δ quadruple mutant (H_2 vs. H_1 but not on glucose medium (G_1 and G_2). Similar results were observed for suppression of the $gda1erg6\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$, $ynd1erg6\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$, $gda1erg28\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$, and $ynd1erg2\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ strains.

of certain proteins into membrane microdomains known as rafts. These are experimentally defined by their degree of solubility and thus extraction by cold detergents, such as 1% Triton X-100, and their flotation properties following density gradient fractionation. In mutants deficient in sphingolipid biosynthesis due to a temperature-sensitive allele of the *LCB1* gene allele, raft formation is absent by this assay at the temperature nonpermissive for growth (BAGNAT *et al.* 2000). We reasoned that the combined loss of the sterol biosynthetic genes and their transcription factors was a lethal event due to aberrant lipid biosynthesis and that this would produce a membrane incompatible with raft localization and viability. Deletion of *YND1* or *GDA1*

TABLE 7				
Sterol analysis of	ynd1hap1upc2ecm22 and	gda1hap1upc2ecm22		

Sterols	hap1	upc2ecm22	ynd1hap1upc2ecm22	gda1hap1upc2ecm22
Squalene	1.4	5.3	21.4	16.4
Zymosterol	5.6	11.5	6.8	1.7
Ergosterol	69.4	10.8	3.5	3.1
Ergosta-7,22-dienol	0	1.5	0.6	2.9
4-methyl zymosterol and fecosterol	4.2	10.7	12.3	11.0
Ergosta-5,7,24(28) trien-3ol and ergosta-5,7-dienol	0	0	6.7	6.9
Episterol	9.7	21.9	18.7	31.5
Érgosta 5,7-dienol	5.5	24.6	0	0
Ergosta 7-enol	0	0.8	7.8	5.8
4-methyl fecosterol	0.5	0	3.0	2.4
Lanosterol	1.0	3.9	1.2	2.8
4.4-dimethyl zymosterol	2.6	9.1	17.9	15.4

All mutations are deletions. Values indicate sterol as percentage of total sterol. Sterol analyses of these strains represent the average of three independent experiments.

Sterol analysis of ynd1erg6upc2ecm22 and gda1erg6upc2ecm22

Sterol	erg6	ynd1erg6upc2ecm22	gda1erg6upc2ecm22
Squalene	0.3	8.7	17.1
Cholesta-5,8,24-trienol	2.1	0	0
Zymosterol	46.7	53.2	34.9
Cholesta-5,7,24-trienol	24.9	16.4	18.2
Cholesta-7,24-dienol	3.6	7.9	10.9
Cholesta-5,7,22,24-tetraenol	20.8	0.4	0.9
4-methylzymosterol	0.8	3.5	4.7
Lanosterol	0.2	3.8	5.3
4,4-dimethyl-zymosterol	0.8	6.2	8.0

Sterol analyses of these strains represent the average of three independent experiments.

could compensate for this situation by modulation of sphingolipid composition, and thus raft association, to produce a viable cell. We therefore assessed the localization of two known raft-associated proteins, the PMA1 and GAS1 gene products, to detergent-insoluble microdomains (rafts) using established protocols (Figure 5, A and B). Cell lysates were treated with cold Triton X-100 followed by density gradient centrifugation (BAGNAT et al. 2000) and resolution by SDS-PAGE and immunoblot. In extracts from $erg28\Delta$ or $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ double mutants (Figure 5, A and B) and normal cells (not shown) both Pmalp and Gas1p localize to detergentinsoluble fractions 1 and 2 (peaking in fraction 2). By contrast, the same proteins partially relocalize to nonraft domains (i.e., detergent soluble), such that the proportion of these proteins in rafts is markedly diminished in the viable $ynd1\Delta erg28\Delta upc2\Delta ecm22\Delta$ or $gda1\Delta erg28\Delta upc2\Delta ecm22\Delta$ strains. We assume that the inviable triple mutants lack raft-associated proteins.

4,4-dimethyl zymosterol

DISCUSSION

In this article we describe the genetic interactions of two transcription factors (UPC2 and ECM22) that affect sterol biosynthesis and demonstrate that in conjunction with mutations in other ergosterol biosynthetic genes or transcription factors this can lead to inviability. We further demonstrate that such mutants can be suppressed by additional mutations in the sphingolipid biosynthetic pathway. The $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ double mutant strain was initially determined to be viable in one genetic background but not in another. The HAP1 gene in strain S228C has a Ty insertion at the C terminus resulting in a *hap1* mutant phenotype, as indicated by loss of cytochrome c expression (GAISNE et al. 1999). However, the hap1Ty allele still allows for growth under heme-limiting conditions. In this investigation, we demonstrate that $hap 1Ty up c2\Delta ecm 22\Delta$ or $hap 1\Delta up c2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ represent synthetically lethal combinations and

13.5

Sterol analysis of ynd1erg28upc2ecm22 and gda1erg28upc2ecm22						
Sterols	erg28	ynd1erg28upc2ecm22	gda1erg28upc2ecm22			
Squalene	4.1	9.2	13.6			
Zymosterol	3.0	0.9	0.8			
Ergosterol	77.4	5.6	4.9			
Ergosta 7,22-dienol	0.7	0.9	0.3			
4-methyl zymosterol	4.0	25.5	14.9			
Ergosta 5,7,24(28) trien-3-ol and 4-methyl cholesta-trienol	0	13.9	22.1			
Episterol	3.3	9.9	13.1			
4-methyl fecosterol	0	1.1	1.2			
4-methyl cholesta-8,24-dien-3-one	0.6	0	0			
4-methyl cholestasterols ^{<i>a</i>}	5.1	6.2	8.5			
Lanosterol	1.3	5.1	7.1			

21.6

TABLE 9

0.5Sterol analyses of these strains represent the average of three independent experiments.

^a These 4-methylcholestasterols could not be unambiguously identified.

TA	B	LE	1	0

Changes in the sphingolipid composition of $gda1\Delta$ and $ynd1\Delta$ cells

[³ H] <i>myo</i> -inositol phospholipids	Wild-type SPH/GPI	$gda1\Delta$ SPH/GPI	$gda1\Delta$ /wild type	ynd1∆ SPH/GPI	ynd1 Δ /wild type
GPI	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
$M(IP)_2C$	1.89 (2.10, 1.68)	1.01 (1.07, 0.96)	0.53 ↓	1.89 (2.01, 1.77)	1.00
MIPC + IPC	1.34 (1.25, 1.43)	2.01 (1.88, 2.14)	1.50 ↑	1.96 (1.68, 2.24)	1.46 ↑

Ratios are the average of two experiments and were determined by dividing the counts per minute in each radioactive lipid peak by the counts per minute in the GPI peak. Values in parentheses represent data from two separate experiments. SPH, sphingo-lipid; GPI, glycerolphospho-inositol; IPC, inositolphosphorylceramide; M(IP)₂C, mannosyldiphosphorylinositolceramide; MIPC, mannosylphosphorylinositolceramide.

that the presence of the hap1Ty allele in the S288C background was likely responsible for the diverse finding that $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ was viable in one genetic background but not in another. Hap1p is also a Zn(2)-Cys(6) fungal transcription factor known to affect the sterol biosynthetic pathway, in particular the ERG11 (TURI and LOPER 1992) and ERG9 genes (KENNEDY et al. 1999) and the sterol esterification gene ARE2 (JENSEN-PERGAKES et al. 2001). In addition, levels of HMG1, encoding the major isoform of HMG-CoA reductase, are decreased more than twofold in a $hap1\Delta$ mutant as determined by microarray (TER LINDE and STEENSMA 2002). However, HAP1 may affect the sterol pathway indirectly as it also is required for repression by *ROX1*, a repressor of aerobic gene expression. A number of sterol biosynthetic genes are also known to be regulated by ROX1 (ZITOMER and LOWRY 1992), among them ERG11, NADPH cytochrome P450 reductase, and HMG2 (TER LINDE and STEENSMA 2002). Thus it is not surprising that loss of HAP1 in conjunction with deletion of UPC2 ECM22 would be lethal.

Mutations in UPC2 and ECM22 have a broad effect on sterol biosynthesis. When sterol levels are reduced due to the addition of Lovastatin, the expression of ERG2 and ERG3 substantially increase. Both gene products bind to the same regulatory elements in the ERG2 and ERG3 promoters (VIK and RINE 2001). A model has been presented in which the activation domains of Upc2p and Ecm22p are targeted by a repressor and in response to sterol depletion binding of the repressor is reversed (DAVIES et al. 2005). Several other ergosterol biosynthetic genes may be regulated by these transcription factors such as ERG1, ERG6, ERG8, ERG11, ERG12, ERG13, and ERG25, since all contain a consensus 11-bp sterol regulatory element in their respective promoters (VIK and RINE 2001). The UPC2 deletion in C. albicans renders cells highly susceptible to antifungals that target the sterol pathway, such as ketoconazole and fluconazole (MACPHERSON et al. 2005). Thus UPC2 and ECM22 appear to be global regulators of sterol biosynthesis in fungi. While not directly relevant to this investigation, a gain-offunction semidominant allele of UPC2, designated upc2-1, allows for aerobic sterol uptake (LEWIS et al. 1988).

Deletion of UPC2 and ECM22 is synthetically lethal with ergosterol biosynthetic mutants: We were curious to determine which other viable ergosterol mutations resulted in synthetic lethality in $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ strains. Our results suggested that $erg6\Delta$ and $erg28\Delta$ were also synthetically lethal with $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ but that erg3, erg4, and erg5 were not. Mutations in ERG2 and ERG6 are the most deleterious of the viable ergosterol deletions (BARD et al. 1978). erg6 mutants have altered permeability characteristics, often are unable to utilize respiratory energy sources, have reduced mating capability, and are unable to transport tryptophan (GABER et al. 1989). Due to their inability to methylate the side chain, the sterols accumulated have a diminished mobility. Mutations in ERG2 and ERG28 also compromise growth (ASHMAN et al. 1991; GACHOTTE et al. 2001) as do mutations in ERG3. However, erg4 and erg5 mutants grow nearly as well as wild type and accumulate sterols that differ from ergosterol only in the inability to reduce double bonds in the sterol side chain (LEES et al. 1999). Both erg4 and erg5 strains contain the conjugated double bonds in the sterol B ring, as do wild-type cells. These mutants have almost wild-type sensitivities to the antifungal nystatin (MOLZAHN and WOODS 1972). While it was expected that $erg4\Delta \ upc2\Delta \ ecm22\Delta$ and $erg5\Delta \ upc2\Delta \ ecm22\Delta$ strains would be viable, the viability of $erg \Delta upc 2\Delta ecm 22\Delta$ was less obvious because the ERG3 lesion precludes the addition of a double bond at the C-5 position in the sterol B ring.

A clue to the effect on viability of the $erg2\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ triple mutant was obtained when a suppressor of this strain was found to contain the *elo3* mutation. Virtually all *erg2* mutant strains in *S. cerevisiae* are viable, with the single exception of an *erg2* strain (SILVE *et al.* 1996) that could be suppressed by a mutation in the sphingolipid genes *ELO2* or *ELO3*, which encode fatty acid elongases that are necessary for synthesis of C₂₆fatty acyl chain found in yeast sphingolipids (OH *et al.* 1997). We isolated a suppressor of *erg2* Δ *upc2* Δ *ecm22* Δ , which was subsequently identified as *elo3* (VALACHOVIC *et al.* 2004). Thus an *erg2* Δ *upc2* Δ *ecm22* Δ not only would have reduced sterol levels as a result of the transcription factor mutations but also would have an altered sterol profile due to loss of sterol C-8 isomerase activity. The restoration of viability in $erg2 \ elo3 \ upc2\Delta \ ecm22\Delta$ suggests that $elo3\Delta$ suppression of $erg2\Delta$ essentially converts the quadruple mutant strain to a $upc2\Delta \ ecm22\Delta$ double mutant strain. For reasons not yet clear the sphingolipid mutation suppressing the sterol mutation may result in an alteration of lipid raft function, thereby restoring viability. We also speculate that an inviable erg2 isolate may accumulate a lower level of sterol than is found in most erg2 genetic backgrounds. Finally, the sterol defect



in a $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ double mutant results in an accumulation of episterol (45%). This sterol could not accumulate in an erg2 $upc2\Delta$ ecm22 Δ background because of the erg2 mutation.

Transposon mutagenesis and suppression due to ynd1 and gda1 mutations: Of 116 total transformants containing suppressors involving all four triple mutant strains $(upc2\Delta \ ecm22\Delta \ with \ hap1\Delta, \ erg2\Delta, \ erg6\Delta, \ or \ erg28\Delta), 25\%$ of the mutations implicated genes involved in transcription or in chromatin remodeling. Other categories of suppressor mutations were in genes involved in endocytosis (7%), ubiquitination (6%), and \sim 5% each involved genes in phosphate metabolism, the cytoskeleton, DNA repair, metabolism, mitochondria, or RNA metabolism. Upon designing disruptions of several of these genes to independently confirm suppression we found that most did not confer suppression when mated to the triple mutants. It is likely that mutations in genes involved in repression mechanisms, such as TUP1, HDA2, HDA3, IES1, and SSN2, enhanced survival of the triple mutants long enough for other suppressors to accumulate during the transformation process and during growth on FOA medium. For this reason we confined ourselves to mutants that indicated suppression by independent disruptions and matings.

The observation that the *ynd1* mutation (from the transposon library) suppressed *hap1* Δ , *erg2* Δ , and *erg6* Δ mutants suggested a common mechanism in the context of *upc2* Δ *ecm22* Δ . We suggest that the *upc2* Δ *ecm22* Δ double mutant reduces sterol biosynthesis to a minimal level that is still compatible with viability but introduction of ergosterol mutations into this background lowers the threshold of functional sterol below this threshold. Thus *hap1* Δ *upc2* Δ *ecm22* Δ strains would be inviable because *HAP1* is itself a transcription factor affecting sterol biosynthesis and its loss would further diminish expression of the pathway. We subsequently demonstrated by genetic crosses that *ynd1* Δ suppresses the *erg6* Δ , *erg28* Δ , and *hap1* Δ triple mutants but not the *erg2* Δ triple mutant.

Not finding that ynd1 suppressed $erg28\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ was likely due to the fact that only 1095 transposongenerated transformants were screened, whereas for

FIGURE 5.—Cold detergent-insoluble protein localization. Protein extracts were prepared from strains of the indicted genotypes and resolved by density gradient fractionation after solubilization (or otherwise) with cold detergent. Eight fractions were collected, resolved by SDS–PAGE, and visualized following immunoblotting with antisera against the PMA1 or GAS1 proteins (A and B, respectively). Fraction 2 (indicated by the asterisk) represents the peak of detergent-insoluble protein characteristic of membrane microdomains. Uniquely in the quadruple $erg28\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ $gda1\Delta$ and $erg28\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ $ynd1\Delta$ strains, detergent-insoluble proteins are underrepresented relative to the other mutants and normal strains. Arrows indicate fraction localization of Pma1p (A) and Gsa1p (B).

the other screens the total number of transformants screened was significantly higher. YND1 is a protein of 630 amino acids that functions as an apyrase, enzymes that hydrolyze both di- and triphosphate nucleotides. GDA1 is a homolog of YND1 and shares 20% amino acid identity. Both $ynd1\Delta$ and $gda1\Delta$ single mutants have decreased N-linked glycosylation and O-linked glycosylation (GAO et al. 1999). The ynd1 Δ gda1 Δ double mutant results in slow growth. Gda1p is involved in the import of mannose into the Golgi (ABEIJON et al. 1993) and fuels the Golgi antiporter Vrg4p by cleaving GDP into GMP, thus facilitating import of GDP mannose into the Golgi as GMP is simultaneously exported (GAO and DEAN 2000). Once in the Golgi, GDP-mannose becomes a substrate for various reactions involving sphingolipid synthesis and cell wall remodeling. ABEIJON et al. (1993) demonstrated that elongation of O-linked carbohydrate chains is blocked at the mannobiose step, N-linked carbohydrates of carboxypeptidase are not elongated beyond the phosphatidyl inositol stage, and biosynthesis of MIPC is severely impaired in gda1 mutants. Even though we did not obtain gda1 suppressors in our transposon screening, our results indicate that a gda1-deleted strain mated to give the four different types of quadruple mutants showed the exact same profile of suppression as did ynd1. This investigation implicates for the first time a role of Ynd1p, like Gda1p, in sphingolipid biosynthesis.

Lipid rafts are mixtures of sterols and sphingolipids that form separate domains in the plasma membrane. These rafts possess specific proteins and are the targets for signaling, channels, and other functions (BAGNAT et al. 2000; BROWN and LONDON 2000). In this investigation we extend what is known regarding synthetic lethal and suppressor interactions that occur between the sterol and sphingolipid pathways. Inviable isolates of erg2 and erg24 are suppressed by both elo2 and elo3 (SILVE et al. 1996; BAUDRY et al. 2001). ARV1, which harbors defects in trafficking of sterols, accumulates novel sterol intermediates, and synthesizes higher levels of sterol esters, also shows defects in sphingolipids (TINKELENBERG et al. 2000; SWAIN et al. 2002). A temperature-sensitive mutant of ERG26 also had sphingolipid defects (BAUDRY et al. 2001), and finally elo3 is synthetically lethal with erg6 (EISENKOLB et al. 2002). One model of lipid raft organization is based on the relative sizes of the polar and nonpolar regions of sterols and sphingolipids (FANTINI et al. 2002). In this model, both molecules have a cylindrical or pyramidal shape. Sterols have a small polar head region relative to their nonpolar region, and sphingolipids have a large polar region relative to their nonpolar region. In lipid rafts, these two molecules interdigitate via their complementing polar and nonpolar regions. A hypothesis for the synthetic lethality in this study is that nonviability is a result of sterol intermediates fitting poorly in lipid rafts in combination with an overall lack of bulk sterols. We hypothesize that sterol intermediates produced by the erg2, erg6, erg28, and hap1 mutations have alterations such that their polar regions, nonpolar regions, or both poorly complement the wild-type sphingolipid polar and nonpolar regions. In the case of single ergmutations there is impairment of membrane function, but not enough to prevent viability. The upc2 Δ ecm22 Δ double mutation causes a decrease of sterol synthesis, but this does not prevent viability. However, when these two phenomena are combined, as in ergX upc2 Δ ecm22 Δ , they produce synthetic lethality.

If synthetic lethality is caused in part by poor complementation of the sterol intermediates with wild-type sphingolipids, suppression of lethality by mutating YND1 or GDA1 may be due to alterations in sphingolipids that allow better interactions with sterol intermediates. Of the known suppressors, ynd1 and gda1 mutants cause an alteration in the polar head group of sphingolipids, while the elo3 mutants cause an alteration in the nonpolar region. The commonality we found in *ynd1* and gda1 mutants was an increase in sphingolipids with a smaller polar head group (MIPC + IPC, Table 10) compared to M(IP₂)C, which has the largest polar head group. Having a smaller polar head group would make the sphingolipid more cylindrical and less conical, which would fit better with a sterol that was less cylindrical and more conical. The quadruple mutant strains in which ynd1 and gda1suppress inviability have an increase in sterol intermediates that give a more cylindrical and less conical structure. Our results confirm a previous demonstration that gda1 mutants have reduced levels of M(IP)₂C (ABEIJON et al. 1993) but differ in that we also see an increase rather than a decrease in MIPC. The difference may be due to the way in which sphingolipids were radiolabeled. They labeled cells for 10 min with [³H] myo-inositol and chased with nonradioactive inositol for 90 min and thus measured the rate of sphingolipid synthesis. We labeled for >10 cell divisions and thus measured the relative abundance of sphingolipids.

In summary, strains suppressed by ynd1 and gda1 are likely to have sphingolipids that are narrower near the apex, which can complement sterols that are wider near the apex and narrower at the base. To test this hypothesis, we investigated the localization of two known raftassociated proteins, Pmalp and Gas1p, in the various viable mutant combinations. Consistent with our hypothesis, we observed a unique redistribution of these raft-associated proteins in the suppressed strains that lacked the ERG28 gene product. This redistribution was not complete; a minor proportion of the proteins remain raft associated, presumably a context that is sufficient for viability. These results confirm the altered nature of membrane microdomains due to loss of GDA1 or YND1 in the context of disturbed sterol composition due to the $erg28\Delta$ $upc2\Delta$ $ecm22\Delta$ mutations. Interestingly, we did not observe the same relocalization of Pmalp or Gas1p due to loss of ERG6, suggesting alternate modes of suppression for these pathways.

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants GM-62104 (M.B.), GM-41302 (R.C.D.), and DK-54320 (S.L.S). S.L.S. also acknowledges the American Heart Association (National and Heritage) and the Ara Parseghian Medical Research Foundation.

LITERATURE CITED

- ABEIJON, C., K. YANAGISAWA, E. C. MANDON, A. HAUSLER, K. MOREMEN et al., 1993 Guanosine diphosphatase is required for protein and sphingolipid glycosylation in the Golgi lumen of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Cell Biol. 122: 307–323.
- ABRAMOVA, N., O. SERTIL, S. MEHTA and C. V. LOWRY, 2001 Reciprocal regulation of anaerobic and aerobic cell wall mannoprotein gene expression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Bacteriol. 183: 2881–2887.
- ASHMAN, W. H., R. J. BARBUCH, C. E. ULBRIGHT, H. W. JARRETT and M. BARD, 1991 Cloning and disruption of the yeast C-8 sterol isomerase gene. Lipids 26: 628–632.
- AUSUBEL, F. M., R. BRENT, R. E. KINGSTON, D. D. MOORE, J. G. SEIDMAN et al., 1998 Current Protocols in Molecular Biology. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- BAGNAT, M., S. KERANEN, A. SHEVCHENKO, A. SHEVCHENKO and K. SIMONS, 2000 Lipid rafts function in biosynthetic delivery of proteins to the cell surface in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 3254–3259.
- BALOCH, R. I., E. I. MERCER, T. E. WIGGINS and B. C. BALDWIN, 1984 Inhibition of ergosterol biosynthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Ustilago maydis by tridemorph, fenpropiomorph, and fenpropidin. Phytochemistry 23: 2219–2226.
- BARD, M., N. D. LEES, L. S. BURROWS and F. W. KLEINHANS, 1978 Differences in crystal violet uptake and cation-induced death among yeast sterol mutants. J. Bacteriol. 135: 1146–1148.
- BAUDRY, K., E. SWAIN, A. RAHIER, M. GERMANN, A. BATTA *et al.*, 2001 The effect of the erg26–1 mutation on the regulation of lipid metabolism in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Biol. Chem. 276: 12702–12711.
- BROWN, D. A., and E. LONDON, 2000 Structure and function of sphingolipid- and cholesterol-rich membrane rafts. J. Biol. Chem. 275: 17221–17224.
- BROWN, M. S., and J. L. GOLDSTEIN, 1997 The SREBP pathway: regulation of cholesterol metabolism by proteolysis of a membranebound transcription factor. Cell 89: 331–340.
- BURKE, D., D. DAWSON and T. STEARNS, 2000 Methods in Yeast Genetics, 2000 Edition. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- BURNS, N., B. GRIMWADE, P. B. ROSS-MACDONALD, E. Y. CHOI, K. FINBERG *et al.*, 1994 Large-scale analysis of gene expression, protein localization, and gene disruption in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genes Dev. 8: 1087–1105.
- CROWLEY, J. H., F. W. LEAK, JR., K. V. SHIANNA, S. TOVE and L. W. PARKS, 1998 A mutation in a purported regulatory gene affects control of sterol uptake in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Bacteriol. 180: 4177– 4183.
- DAUM, G., N. D. LEES, M. BARD and R. DICKSON, 1998 Biochemistry, cell biology and molecular biology of lipids of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast 14: 1471–1510.
- DAVIES, B. S., H. S. WANG and J. RINE, 2005 Dual activators of the sterol biosynthetic pathway of Saccharomyces cerevisiae: similar activation/regulatory domains but different response mechanisms. Mol. Cell. Biol. 25: 7375–7385.
- DICKSON, R. C., E. E. NAGIEC, G. B. WELLS, M. M. NAGIEC and R. L. LESTER, 1997 Synthesis of mannose-(inositol-P)2-ceramide, the major sphingolipid in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, requires the IPT1 (YDR072c) gene. J. Biol. Chem. **272:** 29620–29625.
- EISENKOLB, M., C. ZENZMAIER, E. LEITNER and R. SCHNEITER, 2002 A specific structural requirement for ergosterol in longchain fatty acid synthesis mutants important for maintaining raft domains in yeast. Mol. Biol. Cell 13: 4414–4428.
- ERDENIZ, N., U. H. MORTENSEN and R. ROTHSTEIN, 1997 Cloning-free PCR-based allele replacement methods. Genome Res. 7: 1174–1183.
- FANTINI, J., N. GARMY, R. MAHFOUD and N. YAHI, 2002 Lipid rafts: structure, function and role in HIV, Alzheimers and prion diseases. Expert Rev. Mol. Med. 2002: 1–22.

- GABER, R. F., D. M. COPPLE, B. K. KENNEDY, M. VIDAL and M. BARD, 1989 The yeast gene ERG6 is required for normal membrane function but is not essential for biosynthesis of the cell-cyclesparking sterol. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9: 3447–3456.
- GACHOTTE, D., S. E. SEN, J. ECKSTEIN, R. BARBUCH, M. KRIEGER et al., 1999 Characterization of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae ERG27 gene encoding the 3-keto reductase involved in C-4 sterol demethylation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96: 12655–12660.
- GACHOTTE, D., J. ECKSTEIN, R. BARBUCH, T. HUGHES, C. ROBERTS et al., 2001 A novel gene conserved from yeast to humans is involved in sterol biosynthesis. J. Lipid Res. 42: 150–154.
- GAISNE, M., A. M. BECAM, J. VERDIERE and C. J. HERBERT, 1999 A 'natural' mutation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains derived from S288c affects the complex regulatory gene HAP1 (CYP1). Curr. Genet. 36: 195–200.
- GAO, X. D., and N. DEAN, 2000 Distinct protein domains of the yeast Golgi GDP-mannose transporter mediate oligomer assembly and export from the endoplasmic reticulum. J. Biol. Chem. 275: 17718–17727.
- GAO, X. D., V. KAIGORODOV and Y. JIGAMI, 1999 YND1, a homologue of GDA1, encodes membrane-bound apyrase required for Golgi N- and O-glycosylation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Biol. Chem. 274: 21450–21456.
- HUGHES, A. L., B. L. TODD and P. J. ESPENSHADE, 2005 SREBP pathway responds to sterols and functions as an oxygen sensor in fission yeast. Cell 120: 831–842.
- JENSEN-PERGAKES, K., Z. GUO, M. GIATTINA, S. L. STURLEY and M. BARD, 2001 Transcriptional regulation of the two sterol esterification genes in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Bacteriol. 183: 4950–4957.
- KELLEY, R. I., and G. E. HERMAN, 2001 Inborn errors of sterol biosynthesis. Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet. 2: 299–341.
- KENNEDY, M. A., R. BARBUCH and M. BARD, 1999 Transcriptional regulation of the squalene synthase gene (ERG9) in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1445: 110–122.
- KWAST, K. E., P. V. BURKE and R. O. POYTON, 1998 Oxygen sensing and the transcriptional regulation of oxygen-responsive genes in yeast. J. Exp. Biol. 201: 1177–1195.
- LEES, N. D., M. BARD and D. R. KIRSCH, 1999 Biochemistry and molecular biology of sterol synthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 34: 33–47.
- LEWIS, T. L., G. A. KEESLER, G. P. FENNER and L. W. PARKS, 1988 Pleiotropic mutations in Saccharomyces cerevisiae affecting sterol uptake and metabolism. Yeast 4: 93–106.
- MACPHERSON, S., B. AKACHE, S. WEBER, X. DE DEKEN, M. RAYMOND et al., 2005 Candida albicans zinc cluster protein Upc2p confers resistance to antifungal drugs and is an activator of ergosterol biosynthetic genes. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 49: 1745–1752.
- MOLZAHN, S. W., and R. A. WOODS, 1972 Polyene resistance and the isolation of sterol mutants in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Gen. Microbiol. 72: 339–348.
- OCHMAN, H., A. S. GERBER and D. L. HARTL, 1988 Genetic applications of an inverse polymerase chain reaction. Genetics **120**: 621–623.
- OH, C. S., D. A. TOKE, S. MANDALA and C. E. MARTIN, 1997 ELO2 and ELO3, homologues of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae ELO1 gene, function in fatty acid elongation and are required for sphingolipid formation. J. Biol. Chem. **272**: 17376–17384.
- PUOTI, A., C. DESPONDS and A. CONZELMANN, 1991 Biosynthesis of mannosylinositolphosphoceramide in Saccharomyces cerevisiae is dependent on genes controlling the flow of secretory vesicles from the endoplasmic reticulum to the Golgi. J. Cell Biol. 113: 515–525.
- SHIANNA, K. V., W. D. DOTSON, S. TOVE and L. W. PARKS, 2001 Identification of a UPC2 homolog in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and its involvement in aerobic sterol uptake. J. Bacteriol. 183: 830–834.
- SIKORSKI, R. S., and P. HIETER, 1989 A system of shuttle vectors and yeast host strains designed for efficient manipulation of DNA in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics **122**: 19–27.
- SILVE, S., P. LEPLATOIS, A. JOSSE, P. H. DUPUY, C. LANAU *et al.*, 1996 The immunosuppressant SR 31747 blocks cell proliferation by inhibiting a steroid isomerase in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. **16**: 2719–2727.
- SILVER, P. M., B. G. OLIVER and T. C. WHITE, 2004 Role of Candida albicans transcription factor Upc2p in drug resistance and sterol metabolism. Eukaryot. Cell 3: 1391–1397.

- SWAIN, E., J. STUKEY, V. MCDONOUGH, M. GERMANN, Y. LIU *et al.*, 2002 Yeast cells lacking the ARV1 gene harbor defects in sphingolipid metabolism: Complementation by human ARV1. J. Biol. Chem. **277**: 26177–26184.
- TER LINDE, J. J., and H. Y. STEENSMA, 2002 A microarray-assisted screen for potential Hap1 and Rox1 target genes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast **19:** 825–840.
- THOMAS, B. J., and R. ROTHSTEIN, 1989 Elevated recombination rates in transcriptionally active DNA. Cell **56**: 619–630.
- TINKELENBERG, A. H., Y. LIU, F. ALCANTARA, S. KHAN, Z. GUO et al., 2000 Mutations in yeast ARV1 alter intracellular sterol distribution and are complemented by human ARV1. J. Biol. Chem. 275: 40667–40670.
- TODD, R. B., and A. ANDRIANOPOULOS, 1997 Evolution of a fungal regulatory gene family: the Zn(II)2Cys6 binuclear cluster DNA binding motif. Fungal Genet. Biol. **21**: 388–405.
- TURI, T. G., and J. C. LOPER, 1992 Multiple regulatory elements control expression of the gene encoding the Saccharomyces cerevisiae cytochrome P450, lanosterol 14 alpha-demethylase (ERG11). J. Biol. Chem. **267:** 2046–2056.

- VALACHOVIC, M., L. I. WILCOX, S. L. STURLEY and M. BARD, 2004 A mutation in sphingolipid synthesis suppresses defects in yeast ergosterol metabolism. Lipids 39: 747–752.
- VIK, A., and J. RINE, 2000 Membrane biology: membrane-regulated transcription. Curr. Biol. **10**: R869–R871.
- VIK, A., and J. RINE, 2001 Upc2p and Ecm22p, dual regulators of sterol biosynthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 21: 6395–6405.
- WILCOX, L. J., D. A. BALDERES, B. WHARTON, A. H. TINKELENBERG, G. RAO *et al.*, 2002 Transcriptional profiling identifies two members of the ATP-binding-cassette transporter superfamily required for sterol uptake in yeast. J. Biol. Chem. **277**: 32466–32472.
- YANAGISAWA, K., D. RESNICK, C. ABEIJON, P. W. ROBBINS and C. B. HIRSCHBERG, 1990 A guanosine diphosphatase enriched in Golgi vesicles of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Purification and characterization. J. Biol. Chem. 265: 19351–19355.
- ZITOMER, R. S., and C. V. LOWRY, 1992 Regulation of gene expression by oxygen in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol. Rev. 56: 1–11.

Communicating editor: B. J. ANDREWS