# Selectivity of <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM binding to 5-hydroxytryptamine transporters *in vitro* and *in vivo* in mice; correlation with behavioural effects

# \*<sup>,1</sup>A.K. Larsen, <sup>2</sup>L.T. Brennum, <sup>3</sup>J. Egebjerg, <sup>4</sup>C. Sánchez, <sup>5</sup>C. Halldin & <sup>6</sup>P.H. Andersen

<sup>1</sup>Department of Disease Biology, H. Lundbeck A/S, 9 Ottiliavej, Valby, DK-2500 Denmark; <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurochemistry, H. Lundbeck A/S, 9 Ottiliavej, Valby, DK-2500 Denmark; <sup>3</sup>Department of Molecular Biology, H. Lundbeck A/S, 9 Ottiliavej, Valby, DK-2500 Denmark; <sup>4</sup>Department of Pharmacology Research, H. Lundbeck A/S, 9 Ottiliavej, Valby, DK-2500 Denmark; <sup>5</sup>Karolinska Institutet, Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Psychiatry Section, Stockholm, Sweden and <sup>6</sup>Department of Research Management, H. Lundbeck A/S, 9 Ottiliavej, Valby, DK-2500 Denmark;

**1** Binding of the novel radioligand <sup>3</sup>H-2-(2-dimethylaminomethyl-phenylsulphanyl)-5-methylphenylamine (<sup>3</sup>H-MADAM) to the serotonin transporter (SERT) was used to characterise a range of selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRIs) *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

**2** <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM bound with high affinity in a saturable manner to both human SERT expressed in CHO cells ( $K_d = 0.20 \text{ nM}$  (pK<sub>d</sub> = 9.74±0.12),  $B_{\text{max}} = 35\pm4 \text{ fmol mg}^{-1}$  protein) and mouse cerebral cortex membranes ( $K_d = 0.21 \text{ nM}$  (pK<sub>d</sub> = 9.66±0.10),  $B_{\text{max}} = 50\pm24 \text{ fmol mg}^{-1}$  protein).

**3** Binding of <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM was highly selective for SERT *in vitro* as demonstrated by the *in vitro* profile of MADAM tested at 75 different receptors, ion channels and transporters. This was further substantiated by the pharmacological profile of the binding. Hence, the binding of <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM was potently inhibited by SSRIs but not by selective inhibitors of noradrenaline transport and dopamine transport. Likewise, a 5-HT<sub>2A/2C</sub> receptor antagonist did not inhibit <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM binding.

**4** <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM binding *in vivo* was inhibited only by compounds which also inhibited the binding of <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM *in vitro* (the SSRIs, mixed SERT/noradrenaline transport inhibitors and clomipramine), confirming the selectivity of <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM for SERT also *in vivo*. Moreover, compounds effective in inhibiting <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM binding were the only ones found to be active in the mouse 5-HTP potentiation test confirming the model as a behavioural correlate to *in vivo* 5-HT uptake.

5 Finally, it was found that a SERT occupancy of 85-95% was necessary to produce 50% of the maximum behavioural response (ED<sub>50</sub>).

British Journal of Pharmacology (2004) 141, 1015–1023. doi:10.1038/sj.bjp.0705693

Keywords: Escitalopram; SERT; radioligand; occupancy; SSRI; in vivo binding; 5-HTP potentiation; depression

Abbreviations: ADAM, 2-([2-([dimethylamino]methyl)phenyl]thio)-5-[(123)I]iodophenylamine; CHO, chinese hamster ovary; DAPP, (*N*,*N*-dimethyl-2-(2-amino-4-methoxyphenylthio)benzylamine; DASB, (*N*,*N*-dimethyl-2-(2-amino-4-cyanophenylthio)benzylamine; 5-HT, 5-hydroxytryptamine; 5-HTP, 5-hydroxytryptophan; IDAM, 5-iodo-2-((2-((dimethylamino)methyl)phenyl)thio)benzyl alcohol; i.v., intravenous; MADAM, 2-(2-dimethylaminomethylphenylsulphanyl)-5-methyl-phenylamine; PET, positron emission tomography; s.c., subcutaneous; SERT, serotonin transporter; SSRI, selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitor; u.v., ultraviolet

# Introduction

Enhancement of serotonin (5-HT) neurotransmission by inhibition of the serotonin transporter (SERT) has been suggested as the primary mechanism of action for a class of antidepressants called selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRIs; Suehiro *et al.*, 1991; Blier & de Montigny, 1994; Artigas *et al.*, 1996). This hypothesis has been supported by a number of microdialysis studies in rats showing an increase of brain serotonin following the administration of a SSRI (Fuller, 1994; Hjorth & Auerbach, 1994; Bosker *et al.*, 1995; Gartside *et al.*, 1995; Mørk *et al.*, 2003). Likewise, SSRIs are effective in behavioural animal models predictive of antidepressant activity (Mitchell, 1994; Sanchez & Meier, 1997). In humans, inhibition of 5-HT synthesis, and thus a decrease of 5-HT levels, results in depression relapse in subjects who have recovered from depression and are drug free (Smith *et al.*, 1997).

Although many groups have attempted to make a positron emission tomography (PET) SERT ligand, the success has been limited. Hence, the tricyclic compounds imipramine, clomipramine, and cyanoimipramine have all been tested as PET ligands but displayed high nonspecific binding in the brain (Hashimoto *et al.*, 1987; Nakamura *et al.*, 1989). Additionally, the tricyclic compounds are not highly selective for the SERT relative to the noradrenaline transporter (Hyttel, 1982). The phenyl nortropane series shows high SERT affinity and selectivity but poor signal-to-noise ratios (Nielsen *et al.*, 1989; Bosker *et al.*, 1995; Blough *et al.*, 1997; Helfenbein *et al.*, 1999a, b), making them unsuitable for *in vivo* quantification of the SERT. Equally, sertraline, paroxetine, fluoxetine, and

<sup>\*</sup>Author for correspondence; E-mail: stil@lundbeck.com Advance online publication: 1 March 2004

citalopram have been labelled with <sup>11</sup>C but, in spite of good *in vitro* binding properties, these ligands also showed poor signal-to-noise ratios *in vivo* (Hashimoto & Goromaru, 1990; Suehiro *et al.*, 1991; Hume *et al.*, 1992; Gartside *et al.*, 1995; Shiue *et al.*, 1995; Choi *et al.*, 2000; Emond *et al.*, 2002).

Substituted diphenyl sulphides represent a new class of potent and selective ligands for the SERT (Emond et al., 2002). Four derivatives of this class of compounds, IDAM, ADAM, DASB and DAPP (Figure 1), have been found to have nanomolar to picomolar affinities for the SERT (Oya et al., 1999; Choi et al., 2000; Wilson et al., 2000). All compounds show selectivity for the SERT over the dopamine transporter and noradrenaline transporter in vitro (Oya et al., 1999; Choi et al., 2000; Wilson et al., 2000). DASB and DAPP were tested as PET ligands in man, with DASB showing a better brain penetration than DAPP (Houle et al., 2000). DASB was used for a small PET study, revealing a SERT occupancy for citalopram and paroxetine of about 80% in depressed patients (Meyer et al., 2001). However, the study failed to link the occupancy to the final Hamilton depression scale score after 6 weeks of treatment (Meyer et al., 2001). Interestingly, a methylated derivative, 2-(2-dimethylaminomethyl-phenylsulphanyl)-5-methyl-phenylamine (MADAM, Figure 1), retains the high affinity for the SERT ( $K_i = 1.65 \text{ nM}$ ) as well as the high selectivity over the noradrenaline transporter  $(K_i = 683 \text{ nM})$  and the dopamine transporter  $(K_i > 1000 \text{ nM})$ , Tarkiainen et al., 2001; Emond et al., 2002). Moreover, MADAM can be labelled with <sup>3</sup>H, making it suitable for in vitro studies. Here we report a comprehensive in vitro binding profile of MADAM, an *in vitro* <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM-binding method and a method of measuring the SERT occupancy by in vivo binding experiments using <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM as the radioli-



Figure 1 Structures of the substituted diphenyl sulphides MA-DAM, ADAM, IDAM, DASB, and DAPP. The radiolabelled position on MADAM is marked by an asterisk.

gand. Moreover, we profile a number of SSRIs using this *in vivo* binding method and relate the calculated SERT occupancies to behavioural responses in the mouse 5-hydroxy-tryptophan (5-HTP) potentiation model, which is frequently used as a functional measure of 5-HT reuptake inhibition *in vivo* (Ortmann *et al.*, 1980).

#### Methods

#### Materials

Male NMRI/BOM mice (18–25 g; Bomholtgaard, Denmark) were used for *in vivo* binding and 5-HTP potentiation experiments. They were housed in plastic cages  $(35 \times 30 \times 12 \text{ cm}^3)$  in groups of five and habituated to the animal facilities for at least a week before testing. The room temperature  $(21 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C})$ , relative humidity  $(55 \pm 5\%)$ , and air exchange (16 times per h) were automatically controlled. The animals received food and water *ad libitum*. Ethical permissions for the studies were granted by the animal welfare committee, appointed by the Danish Ministry of Justice, and all animal procedures were carried out in compliance with the EC Directive 86/609/EEC and with the Danish law regulating experiments on animals.

#### Drugs

Escitalopram oxalate, fluoxetine hydrochloride, duloxetine hydrochloride, and sertraline hydrochloride were synthesised at H. Lundbeck A/S, Valby, Denmark. Paroxetine hydrochloride, venlafaxine, and reboxetine fumarate were extracted from commercially available tablets. Wallac OptiPhase Super Mix was purchased from NEN, Boston, MA, U.S.A. The BCA kit was from Pierce, Rockford, IL, U.S.A. All other chemicals were purchased from Sigma, Copenhagen, Denmark.

<sup>3</sup>H-MADAM (56–65 Ci mmol<sup>-1</sup>) was synthesised and radiolabelled at the Karolinska Institute, Stockholm. <sup>3</sup>H-5-HT (25–27 Ci mmol<sup>-1</sup>) was purchased from Amersham Biosciences, Cardiff, U.K.

All test compounds were dissolved in DMSO for the *in vitro* studies (maximum final DMSO concentration 0.5%) and saline for *in vivo* experiments. *In vivo* results are given as mg base kg<sup>-1</sup> body weight.

#### Cell line generation

A PCR fragment encoding the human SERT was amplified from cDNA reverse transcribed from human whole brain RNA (Clonetech) using standard methods. The fragment was inserted into a pCIneo (Promega) vector using *XhoI/XbaI* restriction sites included in the amplification primer and sequenced. The construct pCISERTneo was transfected into CHO cells using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen). The cells were trypsinised 2 days after transfection and diluted in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) containing 10% foetal calf serum, Glutamax (1X), and  $1 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$  G-418 and grown for 12–18 days. Cells were isolated using cloning rings from clonal cell clusters. The clones were tested for <sup>3</sup>H-5-HT uptake activity (see below) and the best clones selected and subcloned. <sup>3</sup>H-5-HT uptake activity was measured in HBS (150 mM NaCl, 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 2.5 mM KCl, 2.5 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 10 mM glucose, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4) containing  $1 \mu$ Ci <sup>3</sup>H-5-HT and  $2 \mu$ M 5-HT. The background was defined as uptake in the presence of  $5 \mu$ M citalopram. The cells were incubated for 15 min at 37°C, transferred to ice and washed with ice-cold HBS. The cells were lysed with 0.5 ml 0.2 M NaOH, scintillation cocktail (Optima Gold, Parkard Instruments B.V., Groningen, The Netherlands) was added and the samples were counted in a scintillation counter (Packard Topcounter).

#### In vitro binding experiments

In vitro binding was carried out on mouse brain synaptosomes and membranes from a recombinant cell line expressing the human SERT (see above). For the synaptosome preparation, male NMRI mice were decapitated and the brains quickly removed. Cerebral cortex was dissected and homogenised in ice-cold buffer (50 mM TRIS, 120 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, pH 7.5) using an UltraTurrax homogeniser. The homogenate was centrifuged at  $30,000 \times g$  for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet re-suspended in buffer to a final protein concentration of  $80 \mu g$  protein per well.

For the cell line preparation, cells were harvested in phosphate-buffered saline and centrifuged for 3 min at  $1500 \times g$ . The pellet was resuspended in centrifugation buffer (15 mM Tris, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.3 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, pH 7.5) and homogenised in a glass-teflon homogeniser. After a centrifugation at  $2000 \times g$  for 10 min, the supernatant was centrifugated at  $40,000 \times g$  for 25 min, the pellet washed and centrifugated again at  $40,000 \times g$  for 25 min. The final pellet was resuspended in freezing buffer (7.5 mM Tris, 12.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.3 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 250 mM sucrose, pH 7.5) and kept at  $-80^{\circ}$ C.

The test compound, <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM (0.5 nM) and tissue suspension were mixed and incubated for 60 min at 37°C. Increasing concentrations of <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM (0.006-2.6 nM) were used for saturation experiments. The incubation was terminated by rapid filtration through UniFilter GF/C on a semi-automated Tomtec harvester (Mach IIIM) rinsing the filters three times with ice-cold buffer. After drying, the filters were dissolved in  $35 \,\mu$ l Packard OptiPhase and counted on a MicroBeta TriLux scintillation counter. Binding in the absence of test compound defined the total binding, whereas binding in the presence of  $10 \,\mu\text{M}$  fluoxetine defined the nonspecific binding. Inhibition curves were analysed using XLfit (IDBS, Guildford, U.K.) one-site competition curve (y = A + (B-A)/(B-A))(1 + (C/x)D), where  $A = \min y$ ;  $B = \max y$ ;  $C = \log y$  $EC_{50}$  and D = slope factor).  $K_d$  and  $B_{max}$  values were calculated using Prism (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, U.S.A.) one binding site hyberbola  $(y = (B_{\text{max}}x)/(K_{\text{d}} + x))$ .

#### In vivo binding experiments

In vivo binding experiments were essentially carried out as described by Andersen et al. (1987), with a few modifications.

All test compounds were dissolved in 0.9% NaCl and administered s.c. to male NMRI mice 30 min prior to treatment with <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM. Citalopram (30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) defined the nonspecific binding and was given s.c. 30 min before <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM administration in all experiments. Total binding was measured using saline-treated mice. The test compounds

were administered at varying doses below and over the  $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$  value.

Animals received 4  $\mu$ Ci (2.9–5.4  $\mu$ Ci) <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM i.v. The mice were killed by cervical dislocation after 15 min, the brain quickly removed and cerebral cortex was dissected and homogenised in ice-cold buffer (50 mM TRIS-HCl, 120 mM NaCl, 5mM KCl, pH 7.5). A volume of 0.5ml of the homogenate was filtered on Whatmans GF/C filters (soaked in 0.1% PEI) and washed twice with 5 ml ice-cold buffer. This was always completed in less than 60s subsequent to the cervical dislocation. Aliquots of homogenate (25  $\mu$ l) were saved for protein determination and for counting and 'normalisation' of filter samples (0.5 ml). The samples and filters were counted in a Packard Tricarb scintillation counter using Wallac OptiPhase Super Mix as scintillation liquid. The BCA protein determination assay was used for protein determination (Smith et al., 1985). In time experiments, the mice were killed 5, 15, 30, 60, and 120 min after receiving 4  $\mu$ Ci <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM i.v.

 $ED_{50}$  values were calculated using XLfit as described for the *in vitro* binding experiments. Occupancy at a given dose was calculated as % occupancy = 100% · dose at behaviour  $ED_{50}/$  ((ED\_{50} (binding)) · dose.

#### TLC analysis

<sup>3</sup>H-MADAM (12  $\mu$ Ci) was injected i.v. into male NMRI mice. After 15 min, the mice were killed, the brain quickly removed, the cerebral cortex dissected and homogenised in ethanol. The homogenate was centrifuged at 40,000 × g for 60 min at 4°C and the supernatant was analysed on TLC plates (silica gel 60, F<sub>254</sub>, Merck) using 2 mM MADAM as reference. The TLC plates were run in 60% heptane, 35% ethylacetate, and 5% triethylamine, dried and cut into 1 cm broad strips. Each strip was counted in a Packard Tricarb scintillation counter using 4 ml Wallac OptiPhase Super Mix as scintillation liquid.

#### Potentiation of 5-HTP-induced behavioural changes

The test was carried out as described in detail by Hyttel *et al.* (1992). In brief, 30 min after s.c. administration of test compound, mice were given 5-HTP ( $100 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ , i.v.). Thereafter, the animals were evaluated in their home cage during a 15-min observation period with respect to stereotypy (lateral head movements), tremor, and hind limb abduction. The behavioural changes were scored as 0 = not present, 1 = present in mild-to-moderate degree, 2 = present in a marked degree. A total of 5–10 mice were used per dose. The drug response was calculated as mean (% of maximum score) $\pm$ s.e.m. score. ED<sub>50</sub> values were calculated by means of log-probit analyses.

## Results

#### In vitro binding

The Hill coefficients were close to one, indicating a single binding site for <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM in both synaptosomes from murine cerebral cortex and the CHO cell line. To confirm this, the curves were fitted to a one-site and a two-site competition model using Prism (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, U.S.A.). All data presented best fit to a one-site binding model (P < 0.05).

The specific binding of <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM was 70% for the CHO cell line preparation and 50% for the murine cerebral cortex preparation.

The affinity for <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM was similar in both preparations with  $K_d$  values of 0.21 nM (pK<sub>d</sub>=9.66±0.10, n=3) and of 0.20 nM (pK<sub>d</sub>=9.74±0.12, n=3) for the synaptosomal and the CHO cell line preparations, respectively.  $B_{\text{max}}$  values were  $50\pm24 \text{ fmol mg}^{-1}$  protein (n=3) and  $35\pm4 \text{ fmol mg}^{-1}$  protein (n=3) for mouse cerebral cortex synaptosomes and the CHO cell line, respectively. Results of the pharmacological profiling of the <sup>3</sup>H-MA-DAM *in vitro* binding in murine synaptosomes and membranes from hSERT-expressing CHO cells are shown in Table 1 and Figure 2. SSRIs displaced <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM with  $K_i$  values in the nM range (0.4–65), with paroxetine showing the highest affinity followed by escitalopram and fluoxetine. Cocaine, nisoxetine, desipramine, GBR12909, and mirtazepine did not displace <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM from mouse synaptosomes. Nisoxetine and desipramine displayed low affinities (600–1500 nM) for the hSERT, as measured by inhibition of <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM binding.

**Table 1** Using <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM as radioligand, a range of SSRIs (escitalopram, fluoxetine, paroxetine, sertraline, and fluvoxamine), two mixed SERT/ noradrenaline transport inhibitors (duloxetine and venlafaxine), a nonselective monoamine transport inhibitor (cocaine), two selective noradrenaline noradrenaline re-uptake inhibitors (desipramine and nisoxetine), a dopamine transport inhibitor (GBR-12909), a tricyclic antidepressant (clomipramine), and a 5-HT<sub>2A/2C</sub> antagonist (mirtazepine) were tested *in vitro* on murine synaptosomes (second column) and a stable hSERT-expressing CHO cell line (third column) and in *in vivo* binding (fourth column). Additionally, the compounds were tested *in vivo* in 5-HTP potentiation (fifth column) and using the *in vivo* binding ED<sub>50</sub>, the occupancy at the ED<sub>50</sub> from the 5-HTP potentiation experiments was calculated (sixth column). The *in vitro* binding data are mean  $K_i$  and numbers in paragraphs are mean  $pK_i \pm s.e.m$ . for at least three experiments. For the *in vivo* data, please see the 95% confidence interval in the table. Larger than (>) signifies that there was less than 30% response at the given concentration or dose of drug. The > values represent the maximum dose of drug examined and was selected so that known behavioural effects (e.g. effects on locomotor activity) would not obscure the behavioural scoring in the 5-HTP potentiation test. ED<sub>50</sub> values are the mean of at least three experiments stated in the table.

| Compound     | In vitro K <sub>i</sub> (nM)<br>murine<br>synaptosomes<br>$(pK_i \pm s.e.m.)$ | In vitro $K_i$ (nM)<br>hSERT in CHO<br>cell line<br>$(pK_i \pm s.e.m.)$ | ED <sub>50</sub> in vivo<br>binding (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )<br>95% confidence<br>interval | <i>ED</i> <sub>50</sub> 5- <i>HTP</i><br>potentiation<br>(mg/kg <sup>-1</sup> ) 95%<br>confidence interval | Occupancy (%) at<br>the ED <sub>50</sub> of 5-<br>HTP potentiation |
|--------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Escitalopram | $8 (8.12 \pm 0.09)$   | 11<br>(7.97±0.07)   | 0.07<br>0.04–0.14  | 0.36<br>0.20–0.65  | 84   |
| Fluoxetine   | $33 (7.48 \pm 0.10)$  | $64 \\ (7.19 \pm 0.10)$   | 2.00<br>1.26–3.25  | 30<br>18–51  | 94   |
| Paroxetine   | 0.6<br>(9.24±0.14)  | 2.7<br>(8.57±0.14)  | 0.05<br>0.029–0.100  | 1.2<br>0.86–1.7  | 96   |
| Sertraline   | $5 \\ (8.29 \pm 0.09)$  | $18 (7.75 \pm 0.11)$  | 0.25<br>0.17–0.36  | 3.3<br>1.8–5.9   | 93   |
| Fluvoxamine  | $20 \\ (7.70 \pm 0.11)$   | $51 (7.29 \pm 0.09)$  | 0.45<br>0.33–0.61  | 3.2<br>2.1–4.8   | 93   |
| Duloxetine   | $5 \\ (8.34 \pm 0.12)$  | $10 \\ (8.02 \pm 0.07)$   | 0.25<br>0.17–0.35  | 1.1<br>0.85–1.4  | 81   |
| Venlafaxine  | $300 \\ (6.52 \pm 0.14)$  | $150 \\ (6.82 \pm 0.12)$  | 0.83<br>0.53–1.29  | 3.6<br>2.6–5.0   | 81   |
| Cocaine      | > 10,000  | >1000   | 7.1<br>5.4–9.3   | >4.5   |  |
| Desipramine  | > 10,000  | 597<br>(6.22±0.10)  | > 10<br>(n = 2)  | > 35   |  |
| Nisoxetine   | > 10,000  | $800 \\ (6.10 \pm 0.16)$  | > 30<br>(n = 2)  | >20  |  |
| GBR-12909    | >10,000   | >10,000   | > 30 (n = 2)   | >20  |  |
| Clomipramine | $19 \\ (7.73 \pm 0.09)$   | 4.7<br>(8.33±0.10)  | 0.60<br>0.44–0.80  | 7.9<br>5.6–11  | 93   |
| Mirtazepine  | >10,000   | >10,000   | >30 (n=2)  | >10  |  |
| Reboxetine   | >10,000   | 416<br>(6.38±0.11)  | > 1.25<br>(n = 2)  | >11  |  |



**Figure 2** Inhibition of specific <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM *in vitro* binding by escitalopram, fluoxetine, and paroxetine,  $n \ge 3$  for each curve. Top: The inhibition experiments were carried out on synaptosomes from murine cerebral cortex. Bottom: binding carried out on hSERT expressed in CHO cells. Increasing concentrations of drug (*x*-axis) were added to the tissue preparation, incubated for 60 min at 37°C and harvested by rapid filtration over vacuum.

In order to further explore the selectivity of MADAM *in vitro*, the compound was profiled in a commercial screen (Cerep, France) for activity at 75 different receptors, ion channels, and transporters using a test concentration of 1  $\mu$ M. The compound was tested in two independent experiments at all targets. Briefly, MADAM had low affinity for noradrenaline uptake (IC<sub>50</sub>=450 nM, rat hypothalamus), histamine H<sub>2</sub> ( $K_i$ =600 nM, pK<sub>i</sub>=6.22±0.01 guinea-pig striatum), serotonin 5-HT<sub>7</sub> ( $K_i$ =1100 nM, pK<sub>i</sub>=5.97±0.03, human recombinant) and the NPY receptor Y2 ( $K_i$ =600 nM, pK<sub>i</sub>=6.22±0.07 human neuroblastoma cells).

MADAM displayed no affinity, that is, less than 30% inhibition at the 1  $\mu$ M test concentration, at the following targets: adenosine (A1–A3),  $\alpha$ 1- and  $\alpha$ 2-adrenergic,  $\beta$ 1- and  $\beta$ 2-adrenergic, angiotensin AT1 and AT2, atrial natriuretic peptide, central and peripheral benzodiazepine receptors, bombesin, bradykinin B2, calcitonin gene-related peptide, cannabinoid CB1 and CB2, cholecystokinin A and B, dopamine (D1, D2, D3, D4, and D5), dopamine uptake, endothelin A and B, GABA (nonselective), galanin, platelet-derived growth factor, interleukin 8B, tumour necrosis factor  $\alpha$ , chemokine receptor 1, histamine H1, melatonin ML1, muscarinic (M1–M5), tachykinin NK1–NK3, neuropeptide Y1, neurotensin (NTS1),  $\delta$ -,  $\kappa$ -, and  $\mu$ -opioid receptors,

orphanin ORL1, PACAP PAC1, phencyclidine, prostanoid TXA2/ PGH2 and PGI2, purinergic P2X and P2Y, serotonin receptors (5-HT1A, 5-HT1B, 5-HT2A, 5-HT2C, 5-HT3, 5-HT5A, 5-HT6), sigma (rat brain) somatostatin, vasoactive intestinal peptide VIP1, vasopressin V1a, calcium channel (L-type), voltage-dependent potassium channels or sodium (site 2), and chloride channels.

#### In vivo binding

The specific <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM binding *in vivo* reached a maximum after 15 min. Consequently, this time point was chosen as the radioligand pretreatment time for future experiments (Figure 3).

To verify that the radioactivity measured in the *in vivo* experiments was nonmetabolised <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM, a TLC analysis



**Figure 3** Kinetic profile of <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM binding to murine cerebral cortex *in vivo*. The radioligand (4  $\mu$ Ci in 0.2 ml 0.9% NaCl) was injected i.v. in the tail after 5–120 min (*x*-axis, n=3). Subsequently, the radioactivity in 0.5 ml cerebral cortex homogenate was measured (*y*-axis) and is expressed on the graph as counts per minute (c.p.m.). The specific binding reached a maximum after 15 min. Citalopram (30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) defined the nonspecific binding and was given s.c. 30 min before administration of <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM.



**Figure 4** TLC analysis of <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM extracted with ethanol from murine cerebral cortex homogenate 15 min after injection (i.v.). TLC plates were run in 60% heptane, 35% ethylacetate, and 5% triethylamine, dried and cut into 1-cm strips as expressed on the *x*-axis. The radioactivity accumulated on the strips was measured and is expressed in c.p.m. on the graph. The vast majority of the radioactivity was localised on the plate corresponding to reference MADAM when examined under UV light.



**Figure 5** Pharmacological profile of specific <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM binding *in vivo*. Test compounds or vehicle were administered s.c. 30 min before <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM and <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM was administered 15 min before the mice were killed by cervical dislocation. Cerebral cortex homogenate was homogenised by an Ultra Turrax and filtered over vacuum. Each point represents an average from nine mice in three separate experiments.

was carried out on the murine cerebral cortex homogenate. TLC analysis of <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM extracted with ethanol from murine cerebral cortex homogenate showed that 15 min after i.v. injection the vast majority of the radioactivity was found in a band corresponding to pure reference MADAM (Figure 4).

To confirm that <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM also bound to the SERT *in vivo*, a range of compounds were tested in the *in vivo* binding assay (Figure 5 and Table 1). The SSRIs, escitalopram, paroxetine, fluoxetine, fluvoxamine and sertraline, and the mixed SERT/noradrenaline transporter inhibitors, venlafaxine and duloxetine inhibited <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM binding with ED<sub>50</sub> values between 0.05 (paroxetine) and 2.00 (fluoxetine) mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Cocaine had an  $ED_{50}$  value of 7.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, whereas nisoxetine, desipramine, GBR12909, and mirtazepine had no effect at doses which are effective in in vivo models of noradrenaline or dopamine transport inhibition or inhibition of 5-HT<sub>2A/2C</sub>. The Hill coefficients of in vivo inhibition curves were close to one, indicating a single binding site as shown in the in vitro experiments. This was confirmed by fitting the curves to a onesite and a two-site competition model using Prism (GraphPad, San Diego, CA). Once again, all data presented best fit to a one-site binding model (P < 0.05).

#### 5-HTP potentiation

In the 5-HTP potentiation model, only compounds with nM affinity for the SERT showed an effect confirming that this animal model reflects SERT activity. Hence, the SSRIs, the mixed SERT/noradrenaline transport inhibitors, and the tricyclic antidepressant clomipramine potentiated the behavioural effects of 5-HTP in mice, whereas cocaine and the selective noradrenaline and dopamine transport inhibitors had no or very limited effect (Table 1).

The *in vivo* binding data exhibited a significant correlation  $(r^2 = 0.86)$  with data from the behavioural studies as shown in Figure 6.

Using the  $ED_{50}$  values obtained from the 5-HTP potentiation experiments and the  $ED_{50}$  values and compound



**Figure 6** Correlation between *in vivo* binding pED<sub>50</sub> and pED<sub>50</sub> obtained from behavioural 5-HTP experiments. The slope of the graph was 0.82 and  $r^2 = 0.86$ , indicating a good correlation between the *in vivo* binding and the 5-HTP behavioural model of SERT activation. The correlation was based only on the data from the SSRIs, but duloxetine, venlafaxine, and clomipramine were included on the graph to illustrate that they follow the same trend as the SSRIs.

concentrations from the *in vivo* binding experiments, we calculated SERT occupancies as described (Methods section, *In vivo* binding experiments). SERT occupancies varied from around 80% for escitalopram and the mixed SERT/noradrenaline transport inhibitors to more than 90% for the classical SSRIs.

## Discussion

The present study demonstrates that <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM specifically binds to SERT *in vitro* as well as *in vivo*. Additionally, all *in vitro* and *in vivo* data were fitted to a one-site and a two-site competition model, and best fit was found for the one site model. The synaptosome preparation and the CHO cell line showed equal affinity for <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM and the two preparations contained approximately the same amount of protein.

In vitro, the selectivity of MADAM was tested against 75 different receptors, ionchannels and transporters, demonstrating an excellent specificity for the SERT with a factor of more than 1000 against NA uptake inhibition, affinity for the histamine H<sub>2</sub>, 5-HT<sub>7</sub>, and neuropeptide Y<sub>2</sub> receptors. In addition, a range of compounds were tested on murine synaptosomes and a stable CHO cell line expressing hSERT, confirming that <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM was displaced by compounds known to have nM affinity for the SERT. Compounds selective for the noradrenaline and dopamine transport inhibited specific <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM binding with weak or no affinity. This is in accordance with a preliminary *in vitro* study reported by Chalon *et al.* (2003).

In vivo, <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM penetrated the brain rapidly with the specific binding reaching a maximum after 15 min. Specific binding of <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM was potently inhibited by SSRIs, that is, escitalopram, paroxetine, fluoxetine, fluoxamine and sertraline, as well as by the nonselective SERT/noradrenaline transport blockers venlafaxine and duloxetine. The stimulant drug cocaine inhibited <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM binding with an ED<sub>50</sub> 100-fold higher than that of escitalopram. Moreover, the selective noradrenaline transport inhibitors nisoxetine and desipramine, the selective DA uptake inhibitor GBR12909, and the 5-HT<sub>2A/2C</sub> antagonist mirtazepine did not affect

<sup>3</sup>H-MADAM binding *in vivo*. Since the rank order of potency of the SSRIs was similar *in vitro* and *in vivo* and since the selective noradrenaline re-uptake inhibitors, a dopamine reuptake inhibitor, and a 5-HT<sub>2A/2C</sub> antagonist did not displace <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM *in vitro* or *in vivo*, we conclude that MADAM binds selectively to the SERT *in vitro* and *in vivo* and that <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM can be used as a radioligand for SERT also in *in vivo* binding studies. Since the binding observed in murine cerebral cortex *in vivo* showed a good signal-to-noise ratio (total: nonspecific binding=4), it is likely that MADAM could also be used as a PET ligand. However, this may also depend on the pharmacokinetic properties of MADAM in human.

Occupancy measured by *in vivo* binding has previously been reported for a number of receptors and ion channels. Thus, reports of *in vivo* binding to the G-protein-coupled receptors dopamine  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  (Nielsen *et al.*, 1989), 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> (Laporte *et al.*, 1994), and metabotropic glutamate mGluR5 (Anderson *et al.*, 2002) receptors have been published. In addition, methods for quantifying ion-channel-coupled NMDA (Murray *et al.*, 2000) and benzodiazepine (Goeders & Kuhar, 1985) receptor occupancy are known. However, this report is the first description of a radioligand suitable for *in vivo* binding to the SERT and, hence, measurements of SERT occupancy.

In order to compare data from the mouse 5-HTP potentiation test, a behavioural correlate of *in vivo* 5-HT uptake inhibition, and the *in vivo* binding studies, the route of administration for the drugs (s.c.) and pretreatment periods (30 min) were kept identical. Thus, factors such as metabolism, blood-brain barrier penetration, and plasma protein binding did not differ between the models, and thus influenced the data sets to the same extent.

The rank order of potency achieved in the mouse 5-HTP potentiation model was consistent with the results achieved from the <sup>3</sup>H-MADAM binding both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. The good correlation between the  $ED_{50}$  values of the *in vivo* binding and behavioural data supports the usefulness of animal models such as 5-HTP potentiation for characterisation of putative SERT inhibitors *in vivo*.

SERT occupancy calculations revealed that the classical SSRIs like sertraline, paroxetine, fluoxetine, and fluovoxamine, displayed a 93-96% occupancy of the SERT at the dose, yielding 50% of the maximal behavioural response. The mixed SERT/noradrenaline transport inhibitors produced the same behavioural response at only 81% SERT occupancy. However, a recently published microdialysis study demonstrated that venlafaxine and duloxetine produced increases of extracellular 5-HT brain levels that were similar to those of paroxetine, citalopram, and fluoxetine (Felton et al., 2003). Although the exact molecular mechanism for the low SERT occupancy of duloxetine and venlafaxine in the present animal model is unclear, it could be speculated that the presumably extremely high concentrations of brain 5-HT in the 5-HTP potentiation model results in interactions with aspects of the noradrenergic system (i.e. release). However, a thorough discussion of these complex interactions falls outside the scope of this paper.

The tricyclic antidepressant clomipramine demonstrated the same SERT occupancy (93%) in the behavioural model as the

four classical SSRIs. Clomipramine has a mixed target profile with nanomolar inhibition of the SERT among other targets, but the exact molecular mechanism of action of clomipramine in this animal model remains obscure.

Dopamine transport inhibition by GBR-12909 and  $5\text{-HT}_{2A/2C}$  antagonism by mirtazepine did not affect the 5-HTP potentiation. This demonstrates that the 5-HTP potentiation model is not influenced by dopamine transport inhibition and  $5\text{-HT}_{2A/2C}$  receptor inhibition, since this would have altered the SERT occupancy. Noradrenaline transport inhibition appears to be involved although to a fairly small degree.

A SERT occupancy of 93–96% was required to produce 50% of the maximum behavioural response for four classical SSRIs. Escitalopram, on the other hand, was significantly more potent in the 5-HTP potentiation model and displayed an occupancy of only 84% at the dose required to induce 50% of the maximal response. However, this was not significantly different from the four classical SSRIs, presumably due to the large variation in the *in vivo* binding model, resulting in a relatively large accumulated variation in the calculated occupancies.

The dose levels used in this study were clinically relevant, since the  $ED_{50}$  value of escitalopram in the 5-HTP potentiation model corresponds to a plasma concentration of approximately 50 ng ml<sup>-1</sup> (unpublished observation). This concentration is very similar to the plasma concentrations measured in humans treated with clinically active doses of escitalopram (Gutierrez & Mengel, 2002). Moreover, a small PET study in humans showed that citalopram and paroxetine produced an occupancy of about 80% at clinically relevant doses (Meyer *et al.*, 2001) and this correlates well with the results in this study.

Clinically, escitalopram has been shown to have superior efficacy compared to paroxetine in a recent study in patients with social anxiety disorder (Montgomery *et al.*, 2003). Additionally, escitalopram has consistently shown superior efficacy over citalopram in the three double-blind randomised clinical trials conducted in patients with major depressive disorder (Burke *et al.*, 2002; Colonna *et al.*, 2002; Lepola *et al.*, 2003). However, although escitalopram displayed a lower SERT occupancy, the clinical superiority would not have been predicted from the present study.

In conclusion, this study supports the usefulness of *in vivo* binding to the SERT for predicting the *in vivo* level of SERT occupancy necessary for keeping depressed patients free from symptoms. In addition, the close correlation between the pED<sub>50</sub> values of the *in vivo* binding and behavioural data supports the value of animal models such as 5-HTP potentiation for characterisation of putative SERT inhibitors *in vivo* and strongly suggests that the doses used in the behavioural models are clinically relevant. Escitalopram showed a tendency towards a lower SERT occupancy at active pharmacological doses as compared to the classical SSRIs. Although nonsignificant, it is tempting to suggest that this finding could support that escitalopram is a more efficacious SSRI.

We thank Nina Guldhammer, Tina Kimer, Berit Rasmussen, Lisbeth Petri, Kamilla Rønne, Dorit Skov, and Kirsten Assing for their excellent technical assistance.

# 1022

#### References

- ANDERSEN, P.H., JANSEN, J.A. & NIELSEN, E.B. (1987). [3H]GBR 12935 binding *in vivo* in mouse brain: labelling of a piperazine acceptor site. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, **144**, 1–6.
- ANDERSON, J.J., RAO, S.P., ROWE, B., GIRACELLO, D.R., HOLTZ, G., CHAPMAN, D.F., TEHRANI, L., BRADBURY, M.J., COSFORD, N.D. & VARNEY, M.A. (2002). [3H]Methoxymethyl-3-[(2-methyl-1,3-thiazol-4-yl)ethynyl]pyridine binding to metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 5 in rodent brain: *in vitro* and *in vivo* characterization. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 303, 1044–1051.
- ARTIGAS, F., ROMERO, L., DE MONTIGNY, C. & BLIER, P. (1996). Acceleration of the effect of selected antidepressant drugs in major depression by 5-HT1A antagonists. *Trends Neurosci.*, 19, 378–383.
- BLIER, P. & DE MONTIGNY, C. (1994). Current advances and trends in the treatment of depression. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.*, 15, 220–226.
- BLOUGH, B.E., ABRAHAM, P., MILLS, A.C., LEWIN, A.H., BOJA, J.W., SCHEFFEL, U., KUHAR, M.J. & CARROLL, F.I. (1997). 3 Beta-(4-ethyl-3-iodophenyl)nortropane-2 beta-carboxylic acid methyl ester as a high-affinity selective ligand for the serotonin transporter. J. Med. Chem., 40, 3861–3864.
- BOSKER, F.J., KLOMPMAKERS, A.A. & WESTENBERG, H.G. (1995). Effects of single and repeated oral administration of fluvoxamine on extracellular serotonin in the median raphe nucleus and dorsal hippocampus of the rat. *Neuropharmacology*, 34, 501–508.
- BURKE, W.J., GERGEL, I. & BOSE, A. (2002). Fixed-dose trial of the single isomer SSRI escitalopram in depressed outpatients. J. Clin. Psychiatry, 63, 331–336.
- CHALON, S., TARKIAINEN, J., GARREAU, L., HALL, H., EMOND, P., VERCOUILLIE, J., FARDE, L., DASSE, P., VARNAS, K., BESNARD, J.C., HALLDIN, C. & GUILLOTEAU, D. (2003). Pharmacological characterization of N,N-dimethyl-2-(2-amino-4methylphenyl thio)benzylamine as a ligand of the serotonin transporter with high affinity and selectivity. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 304, 81–87.
- CHOI, S.R., HOU, C., OYA, S., MU, M., KUNG, M.P., SICILIANO, M., ACTON, P.D. & KUNG, H.F. (2000). Selective *in vitro* and *in vivo* binding of [(125)I]ADAM to serotonin transporters in rat brain. *Synapse*, **38**, 403–412.
- COLONNA, L., REINES, E.H. & ANDERSEN, H.F. (2002). Escitalopram is well tolerated and more efficacious than citalopram in longterm treatment of moderately depressed patients. *Int. J. Psych. Clin. Prac.*, **6**, 243–244.
- EMOND, P., VERCOUILLIE, J., INNIS, R., CHALON, S., MAVEL, S., FRANGIN, Y., HALLDIN, C., BESNARD, J.C. & GUILLOTEAU, D. (2002). Substituted diphenyl sulphides as selective serotonin transporter ligands: synthesis and *in vitro* evaluation. J. Med. Chem., 45, 1253–1258.
- FELTON, T.M., KANG, T.B., HJORTH, S. & AUERBACH, S.B. (2003). Effects of selective serotonin and serotonin/noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors on extracellular serotonin in rat diencephalon and frontal cortex. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol.*, **367**, 297–305.
- FULLER, R.W. (1994). Uptake inhibitors increase extracellular serotonin concentration measured by brain microdialysis. *Life Sci.*, 55, 163–167.
- GARTSIDE, S.E., UMBERS, V., HAJOS, M. & SHARP, T. (1995). Interaction between a selective 5-HT1A receptor antagonist and an SSRI *in vivo*: effects on 5-HT cell firing and extracellular 5-HT. *Br. J. Pharmacol.*, **115**, 1064–1070.
- GOEDERS, N.E. & KUHAR, M.J. (1985). Benzodiazepine receptor binding *in vivo* with [3H]-Ro 15-1788. *Life Sci.*, **37**, 345–355.
- GUTIERREZ, M. & MENGEL, H. (2002). Pharmacokinetics of escitalopram. Poster Presented at the 42nd Annual New Clinical Drug Evaluation Unit Meeting June 10–13, Boca Raton, FL, U.S.A.
- HASHIMOTO, K. & GOROMARU, T. (1990). Evaluation of 3Hparoxetine as a radioligand for *in vivo* study of 5-hydroxytryptamine uptake sites in mouse brain. *Radioisotopes*, **39**, 335–341.
- HASHIMOTO, K., INOUE, O., SUZUKI, K., YAMASAKI, T. & KOJIMA, M. (1987). Synthesis and evaluation of [11C]cyanoimipramine. Int. J. Rad. Appl. Instrum. B, 14, 587–592.
- British Journal of Pharmacology vol 141 (6)

- HELFENBEIN, J., EMOND, P., SANDELL, J., HALLDIN, C., PEREYRE, S., FRANGIN, Y., GARREAU, L., BESNARD, J.C., GUILLOTEAU, D. & CHALON, S. (1999a). Synthesis and radiolabelling of 2-beta-carboxymethoxy-3 beta-(3'-iodo-4'-isopropylphenyl) nortropane as a radioligand for the exploration of the serotonin transporter by spet. J. Labelled Cpd. Radiopharm., 42, 337–347.
- HELFENBEIN, J., LOCH, C., BOTTLAENDER, M., EMOND, P., COULON, C., OTTAVIANI, M., FUSEAU, C., CHALON, S., GUENTHER, I., BESNARD, J.C., FRANGIN, Y., GUILLOTEAU, D. & MAZIERE, B. (1999b). A selective radiobrominated cocaine analogue for imaging of dopamine uptake sites: pharmacological evaluation and PET experiments. *Life Sci.*, 65, 2715–2726.
- HJORTH, S. & AUERBACH, S.B. (1994). Lack of 5-HT1A autoreceptor desensitization following chronic citalopram treatment, as determined by *in vivo* microdialysis. *Neuropharmacology*, **33**, 331–334.
- HOULE, S., GINOVART, N., HUSSEY, D., MEYER, J.H. & WILSON, A.A. (2000). Imaging the serotonin transporter with positron emission tomography: initial human studies with [11C]DAPP and [11C]DASB. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med.*, **27**, 1719–1722.
- HUME, S.P., LAMMERTSMA, A.A., BENCH, C.J., PIKE, V.W., PASCALI, C., CREMER, J.E. & DOLAN, R.J. (1992). Evaluation of S-[11C]citalopram as a radioligand for *in vivo* labelling of 5-hydroxytryptamine uptake sites. *Int. J. Radiat. Appl. Instrum. B*, **19**, 851–855.
- HYTTEL, J. (1982). Citalopram pharmacological profile of a specific serotonin uptake inhibitor with antidepressant activity. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry*, **6**, 277–295.
- HYTTEL, J., BØGESØ, K.P., PERREGAARD, J. & SÁNCHEZ, C. (1992). The pharmacological effect of citalopram residues in the (S)-(+)enantiomer. J. Neural. Transm. Gen. Sect., **88**, 157–160.
- LAPORTE, A.M., LIMA, L., GOZLAN, H. & HAMON, M. (1994). Selective *in vivo* labelling of brain 5-HT1A receptors by [3H]WAY 100635 in the mouse. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, **271**, 505–514.
- LEPOLA, U.M., LOFT, H. & REINES, E.H. (2003). Escitalopram (10– 20 mg/day) is effective and well tolerated in a placebo-controlled study in depression in primary care. *Int. Clin. Psychopharmacol.*, 18, 211–217.
- MEYER, J.H., WILSON, A.A., GINOVART, N., GOULDING, V., HUSSEY, D., HOOD, K. & HOULE, S. (2001). Occupancy of serotonin transporters by paroxetine and citalopram during treatment of depression: a [(11)C]DASB PET imaging study. Am. J. Psychiatry, 158, 1843–1849.
- MITCHELL, P.J. (1994). Prediction of antidepressant activity from ethiological analysis of agonistic behaviour in rats. In: *Ethology and Psychopharmacolgy*, ed. Cooper S.J. & Hendrie C.A. pp. 85–109. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- MONTGOMERY, S.A., LADER, M. & NIL, R. (2003). Escitalopram and paroxetine in fixed doses for the treatment of social anxiety disorder (SAD). Nord. J. Psychiatry, 57, 103.
- MURRAY, F., KNNEDY, J., HUTSON, P.H., ELLIOT, J., HUSCROFT, I., MOHNEN, K., RUSSELL, M.G. & GRIMWOOD, S. (2000). Modulation of [3H]MK-801 binding to NMDA receptors *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Eur. J. Pharmacol., **397**, 263–270.
- MØRK, A., KREILGAARD, M. & SANCHEZ, C. (2003). The Renantiomer of citalopram counteracts escitalopram-induced increase in extracellular 5-HT in the frontal cortex of freely moving rats. *Neuropharmacology*, 45, 167–173.
- NAKAMURA, H., EDO, K., HISHINUMA, T., TAKAHASHI, T., IDO, T. & MIZUGAKI, M. (1989). Synthesis of C-labeled imipramine and its biodistribution in mice: a potential tracer for positron emission tomography. *Chem. Pharm. Bull. (Tokyo)*, **37**, 3376–3379.
- NIELSEN, E.B., RANDRUP, K. & ANDERSEN, P.H. (1989). Amphetamine discrimination: effects of dopamine receptor agonists. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, 160, 253–262.
- ORTMANN, R., WALDMEIER, P.C., RADEKE, E., FELNER, A. & DELINI-STULA, A. (1980). The effects of 5-HT uptake- and MAOinhibitors on L-5-HTP-induced excitation in rats. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol.*, 311, 185–192.
- OYA, S., KUNG, M.P., ACTON, P.D., MU, M., HOU, C. & KUNG, H.F. (1999). A new single-photon emission computed tomography imaging agent for serotonin transporters: [1231]IDAM, 5-iodo-2-((2-((dimethylamino)methyl)phenyl)thio)benzyl alcohol. J. Med. Chem., 42, 333–335.

- SANCHEZ, C. & MEIER, E. (1997). Behavioral profiles of SSRIs in animal models of depression, anxiety and aggression. Are they all alike? *Psychopharmacology (Berl.)*, **129**, 197–205.
- SHIUE, C.Y., SHIUE, G.G., CORNISH, K.G. & O'ROURKE, M.F. (1995). PET study of the distribution of [11C]fluoxetine in a monkey brain. Nucl. Med. Biol., 22, 613–616.
- SMITH, K.A., FAIRBURN, C.G. & COWEN, P.J. (1997). Relapse of depression after rapid depletion of tryptophan. *Lancet*, 349, 915–919.
- SMITH, P.K., KROHN, R.I., HERMANSON, G.T., MALLIA, A.K., GARTNER, F.H., PROVENZANO, M.D., FUJIMOTO, E.K., GOEKE, N.M., OLSON, B.J. & KLENK, D.C. (1985). Measurement of protein using bicinchoninic acid. *Anal. Biochem.*, **150**, 76–85.
- SUEHIRO, M., WILSON, A.A., SCHEFFEL, U., DANNALS, R.F., RAVERT, H.T. & WAGNER, H.N. (1991). Radiosynthesis and evaluation of N-(3-[18F]fluoropropyl)paroxetine as a radiotracer for *in vivo* labeling of serotonin uptake sites by PET. *Int. J. Radiat. Appl. Instrum. B*, 18, 791–796.
- TARKIAINEN, J., VERCOUILLIE, J., EMOND, P., SANDELL, J., HILTUNEN, J., FRANGIN, Y., GUILLOTEAU, D. & HALLDIN, C. (2001). Carbon-11 labelling of MADAM in two different positions: a highly selective PET radioligand for the serotonin transporter. J. Labelled Cpd. Radiopharm., 44, 1013–1023.
- WILSON, A.A., GINOVART, N., SCHMIDT, M., MEYER, J.H., THRELKELD, P.G. & HOULE, S. (2000). Novel radiotracers for imaging the serotonin transporter by positron emission tomography: synthesis, radiosynthesis, and *in vitro* and *ex vivo* evaluation of (11)C-labeled 2-(phenylthio)araalkylamines. J. Med. Chem., 43, 3103–3110.

(Received August 20, 2003 Revised January 8, 2004 Accepted January 12, 2004)