

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Diabetes Care. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2006 September 29.

Published in final edited form as: *Diabetes Care*. 2005 July ; 28(7): 1791–1793.

Psychological Characteristics of Frequent Short-Notice Cancellers of Diabetes Medical and Education Appointments

Katie Weinger, EeD, $RN^{1,2}$, Susan Lin, BS¹, Sheila J. McMurrich, BA¹, Myriel Rodriguez, BA¹, and Joyce P. Yi, PhC¹

1 From the Section on Behavioral and Mental Health Research, Joslin Diabetes Center, Boston, Massachusetts

2From the Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts

Failure to attend scheduled medical appointments increases the cost of medical care (1) and may impact successful diabetes management (2). Short-notice cancellations of medical or educator appointments (no-shows and cancellations made within 24 h of an appointment) cannot be easily filled, resulting in lost revenue without a corresponding reduction in labor and facilities costs. Short-notice cancellations also impact the quality of overall patient care. Such cancellations reduce the number of appointments available to all patients, thus some patients needing more prompt medical attention may be placed on a waitlist. Furthermore, less frequent attendance at a diabetes clinic has been associated with poorer glycemic control (3). Finally, health professionals may develop negative attitudes toward those patients who frequently cancel appointments (4), which may undermine the clinician-patient relationship.

Although previous studies have attempted to identify demographic characteristics of patients who either did not schedule, cancelled, or did not attend appointments (2,5-12), we focused on psychological characteristics of patients with short-notice cancellations and the impact of these cancellations on successful diabetes management and cost of care. Specifically, in a prospective study, we asked whether short-notice cancellers differed in risk appraisal, coping processes, attitudes toward diabetes, and diabetes self-management from those who never cancelled.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

We followed 134 diabetes patients attending a diabetes specialty clinic (mean age 49 ± 15 years, 63% type 1, duration of diabetes 19 ± 13 years, education 15 ± 3 years, 60% female) for 1 year. After giving informed written consent, patients completed a battery of psychological tests consisting of the Problem Areas in Diabetes scale (13,14), Self-Management Questionnaire (15), Self-Care Inventory-R (16,17), Brief Symptom Index (18), Coping Styles Questionnaire (19), Rosenberg Self Esteem Questionnaire (20,21), Self Mastery Scale (22, 23), and Life Orientation Test (23). HbA_{1c} (A1C) levels were obtained through chart review. The Joslin Diabetes Center uses the high-performance lipid chromatography ion capture method (Tosoh Medics, San Francisco, CA; reference range 4.0–6.0%). We then tracked clinic appointments scheduled, attended, and cancelled and A1C levels for 1 year. Medical appointments with physicians or nurse practitioners (MD/NP) were tracked separately from individual educator appointments. We did not include group appointments. Patients received

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Katie Weinger, Joslin Diabetes Center, 1 Joslin Place, Boston, MA 02215. E-mail: katie.weinger@joslin.harvard.edu..

A table elsewhere in this issue shows conventional and Système International (SI) units and conversion factors for many substances. The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

standard appointment reminders including confirmation letters and telephone reminders. We first examined the frequency of cancellations within the total sample and then separately for MD/NP and educator appointments. We used Student's *t* tests to examine differences in demographic and clinical variables and for other variables, estimated odds ratios (ORs) using simple and multivariate logistic regression for cancellers versus noncancellers. Cancellers were defined as those who gave short-notice cancellation for more than one appointment or no-showed for any appointment. Noncancellers were defined as those who attended all scheduled appointments, cancelled with >1 day notice, or had only one short-notice cancellation during the year.

RESULTS

A total of 134 patients scheduled 751 total appointments (483 medical and 268 educator) and actually attended 478 visits (yearly average of 3.6 ± 3.2 visits). Of the 273 cancelled/missed appointments, 155 (57%) were long-notice cancellations and were more easily filled. Long-notice cancellations will not be discussed further. Estimated lost revenue (charges) for 64 short-notice cancellations of MD/NP appointments was \$7,040 and for 54 short-notice cancellations do not include the cost of attempting to fill cancelled appointments; therefore, the total cost may be even higher. A total of 77 patients (57%) attended all scheduled appointments. Of the total short-notice cancellations, 31% were no-shows. Short-notice cancellations were more common for educator appointments than for MD/NP appointments (20 vs. 13%, P < 0.05).

Type of diabetes was not associated with cancellations. Cancellers (both MD/NP and educator) were similar to noncancellers in demographic characteristics. Cancellers and noncancellers also had similar A1C levels at baseline $(7.8 \pm 1.3 \text{ vs}. 7.9 \pm 1.9\%)$ and 1 year $(7.7 \pm 1.2 \text{ vs}. 7.4 \pm 1.1\%)$. Cancellers scheduled more appointments (8.8 vs. 4.5, P < 0.001) and actually attended more appointments (4.5 vs. 3.2, P < 0.05) than noncancellers. For educator appointments, only self-controlled coping styles were associated with frequent cancellations. A different picture emerged for those who frequently cancelled MD/NP appointments. Those with lower pragmatic/stoic coping style, more anxiety, lower self-esteem, more diabetes-related distress, more depressive symptoms, lower optimistic attitude, more frustration with self-care, and lower self-care adherence were more likely to frequently cancel MD/NP appointments (Table 1). However, the final logistic regression model included only frustration with self-care (OR = 1.2, P = 0.05) and optimism (OR = 0.7, P = 0.03), with 73% concordance. Interestingly, the Problem Areas in Diabetes scale alone accurately predicted 68% of cancellers/noncancellers (OR = 1.4, P = 0.004).

CONCLUSIONS

Surprisingly, missing appointments did not reduce the number of appointments attended. In this prospective study, cancellers actually attended more appointments than noncancellers. Furthermore, the average A1C for both groups was <8%. Although the magnitude of the associations is small, the most important predictors of patients who cancelled MD/NP appointments were frustration with self-care and lack of optimism. Diabetes-related emotional stress may also be an important factor. Characteristics such as frustration and distress may be easily screened and responsive to intervention. Interestingly, for educator appointments, the two groups did not substantially differ, suggesting that short-notice cancellations may be related to perceived importance rather than psychological factors. Stressing the importance of educator appointments may reduce short-notice cancellations. Guse et al. (24) found that providing patients with exit interviews or debriefings after appointments improved attendance at subsequent visits, while others found mailing detailed information about upcoming

Diabetes Care. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2006 September 29.

appointments and following up with a phone call improved attendance (25). Thus, providing patients with information that explains why attendance at appointments is important to their health may help improve attendance. In addition to a reminder system, provision and communication of clear rules, and psychological counseling or support may help reduce cancellations and therefore cost of care.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by a Harvard Medical School Scholars in Medicine Fellowship and National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases grant no. R01–60115.

References

- Moore CG, Wilson-Witherspoon P, Probst JC. Time and money: effects of no-shows at a family practice residency clinic. Fam Med 2001;33:522–527. [PubMed: 11456244]
- Griffin SJ. Lost to follow-up: the problem of defaulters from diabetes clinics. Diabet Med 1998;15 (Suppl 3):S14–S24. [PubMed: 9829764]
- Jacobson AM, Adler AG, Derby L, Anderson BJ, Wolfsdorf JI. Clinic attendance and glycemic control: study of contrasting groups of patients with IDDM. Diabetes Care 1991;14:599–601. [PubMed: 1914802]
- Husain-Gambles M, Neal RD, Dempsey O, Lawlor DA, Hodgson J. Missed appointments in primary care: questionnaire and focus group study of health professionals. Br J Gen Pract 2004;54:108–113. [PubMed: 14965389]
- 5. Kruse GR, Rohland BM, Wu X. Factors associated with missed first appointments at a psychiatric clinic. Psychiatr Serv 2002;53:1173–1176. [PubMed: 12221319]
- 6. George A, Rubin G. Non-attendance in general practice: a systematic review and its implications for access to primary health care. Fam Pract 2003;20:178–184. [PubMed: 12651793]
- Campbell B, Staley D, Matas M. Who misses appointments? An empirical analysis. Can J Psychiatry 1991;36:223–225. [PubMed: 2059940]
- Dyer PH, Lloyd CE, Lancashire RJ, Bain SC, Barnett AH. Factors associated with clinic non-attendance in adults with type 1 diabetes mellitus. Diabet Med 1998;15:339–343. [PubMed: 9585401]
- 9. Hamilton W, Round A, Sharp D. Patient, hospital, and general practitioner characteristics associated with non-attendance: a cohort study. Br J Gen Pract 2002;52:317–319. [PubMed: 11942451]
- Karter AJ, Parker MM, Moffet HH, Ahmed AT, Ferrara A, Liu JY, Selby JV. Missed appointments and poor glycemic control: an opportunity to identify high-risk diabetic patients. Med Care 2004;42:110–115. [PubMed: 14734947]
- Neal RD, Lawlor DA, Allgar V, Colledge M, Ali S, Hassey A, Portz C, Wilson A. Missed appointments in general practice: retrospective data analysis from four practices. Br J Gen Pract 2001;51:830–832. [PubMed: 11677708]
- Frankel S, Farrow A, West R. Non-attendance or non-invitation? A case-control study of failed outpatient appointments. BMJ 1989;298:1343–1345. [PubMed: 2502248]
- Welch GW, Jacobson AM, Polonsky WH. The problem areas in diabetes scale: an evaluation of its clinical utility. Diabetes Care 1997;20:760–766. [PubMed: 9135939]
- Polonsky WH, Anderson BJ, Lohrer PA, Welch G, Jacobson AM, Aponte JE, Schwartz CE. Assessment of diabetes-related distress. Diabetes Care 1995;18:754–760. [PubMed: 7555499]
- 15. Weinger K, Jacobson AM. Psychosocial and quality of life correlates of glycemic control during intensive treatment of type 1 diabetes. Patient Educ Couns 2001;42:123–131. [PubMed: 11118778]
- 16. Greco P, LaGreca A, Ireland S, Wick P, Freeman C, Agramonte R, Gutt M, Skyler J. Assessing adherence in IDDM: a comparison of two methods. Diabetes 1990;40:657.
- Weigner K, Butler HA, Welch GW, La Greca AM. Measuring diabetes self-care: a psychometric analysis of the Self-Care Inventory-revised with adults. Diabetes Care 28:1346–1352. [PubMed: 15920050]
- Derogatis, LR. BSI 18: Brief Symptom Inventory. Administration, Scoring and Procedures Manual. National Computer Systems; Minneapolis, MN: 2000.

Diabetes Care. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2006 September 29.

- Peyrot MF, McMurry JF Jr. Stress buffering and glycemic control. The role of coping styles. Diabetes Care 1992;15:842–846. [PubMed: 1516502]
- 20. Rosenberg, M. Society and the Adolescent Self-Image. Princeton University Press; Princeton, NJ: 1965.
- 21. Rosenberg, M. Conceiving the Self. Basic Books; New York: 1979.
- 22. Pearlin LI, Schooler C. The structure of coping. J Health Soc Behav 1978;19:2-21. [PubMed: 649936]
- Scheier MF, Carver CS, Bridges MW. Distinguishing optimism from neuroticism (and trait anxiety, self-mastery, and self-esteem): a reevaluation of the Life Orientation Test. J Pers Soc Psychol 1994;67:1063–1078. [PubMed: 7815302]
- Guse CE, Richardson L, Carle M, Schmidt K. The effect of exit-interview patient education on noshow rates at a family practice residency clinic. J Am Board Fam Pract 2003;16:399–404. [PubMed: 14645330]
- 25. Hardy KJ, O'Brien SV, Furlong NJ. Information given to patients before appointments and its effect on non-attendance rate. BMJ 2001;323:1298–1300. [PubMed: 11731398]

Table 1

Characteristics of patients who canceled versus patients who did not cancel diabetes appointments

	MD/NP appointments			Educator appointments		
	Noncancellers (<i>n</i> = 111)	Cancellers [*] (n = 23)	OR (95% CI)	Noncancellers (<i>n</i> = 116)	Cancellers [*] (n = 18)	OR (95% CI)
Actual visits (1 visit) Diabetes-related	2.3 ± 1.5	2.4 ± 1.9	1.1 (0.8–1.4)	0.9 ± 2.1	3.3 ± 3.4	1.3 (1.1–1.5) [†]
distress (10 points) Self-care frustration	30.0 ± 19.8	44.4 ± 22.5	$1.4(1.1-1.7)^{\ddagger}$	32.0 ± 20.8	37.0 ± 22.1	1.1 (0.9–1.4)
(10 points) Self-	31.5 ± 22.6	45.4 ± 25.4	1.3 (1.1–1.5) [§]	33.0 ± 24.0	39.7 ± 20.5	1.1 (0.9–1.4)
esteem (10 points)	77.7 ± 18.6	67.1 ± 20.6	$0.8 (0.6 - 1.0)^{\$}$	76.0 ± 19.2	74.8 ± 20.6	1.0 (0.8–1.2)
Optimism (10 points) Depression T score	64.2 ± 17.3	54.0 ± 12.0	0.7 (0.5–0.9) [§]	62.4 ± 16.9	63.2 ± 17.5	1.0 (0.8–1.4)
(10 points) Anxiety T score (10	51.6 ± 10.0	58.7 ± 10.9	1.9 (1.2–3.0) [‡]	52.9 ± 10.6	52.2 ± 10.1	0.9 (0.6–1.5)
points) Self Mastery Scale	52.5 ± 10.4	56.6 ± 9.9	1.4 (0.9–2.2)	53.2 ± 10.5	52.7 ± 10.0	1.0 (0.6–1.6)
(10 points) Global severity (10	67.8 ± 16.7	58.6 ± 21.6	0.8 (0.6–1.0) [§]	65.9 ± 17.9	68.3 ± 18.2	1.1 (0.8–1.4)
points) Adherence to self- care	54.2 ± 9.5	58.9 ± 9.4	1.7 (1.0–2.7) [§]	55.2 ± 9.7	54.1 ± 9.5	0.9 (0.5–1.5)
recommendations (10 points)	79.8 ± 11.7	73.4 ± 13.3	0.7 (0.5–1.0)§	78.8 ±12.3	77.5 ± 11.7	0.9 (0.6–1.4)
style (10 points) Emotional coping	56.6 ± 15.7	49.8 ± 15.5	0.8 (0.6–1.1)	56.8 ± 14.9	46.3 ± 18.5	0.7 (0.5–0.9)§
style (10 points)	41.4 ± 20.9	46.1 ± 20.3	1.1 (0.9–1.4)	42.9 ± 21.1	37.4 ± 19.2	0.9 (0.7–1.1)

Data are means \pm SD. CIs (P values) are associated with simple logistic regression.

* Cancellers are defined as those patients with >1 short-notice cancellation or any no-show, whereas noncancellers had ≤ 1 short-notice cancellation;

 $t_{P < 0.001;}$

P < 0.01;

 $^{\$}P < 0.05$