Partial Characterization of Glutathione S-Transferases from Wheat (Triticum spp.) and Purification of a Safener-Induced Glutathione S-Transferase from Triticum tauschii¹

Dean E. Riechers*, Gerard P. Irzyk, Stephen S. Jones, and E. Patrick Fuerst

Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington 99164-6420

Hexaploid wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) has very low constitutive glutathione S-transferase (GST) activity when assayed with the chloroacetamide herbicide dimethenamid as a substrate, which may account for its low tolerance to dimethenamid in the field. Treatment of seeds with the herbicide safener fluxofenim increased the total GST activity extracted from T. aestivum shoots 9-fold when assayed with dimethenamid as a substrate, but had no effect on glutathione levels. Total GST activity in crude protein extracts from T. aestivum, Triticum durum, and Triticum tauschii was separated into several component GST activities by anion-exchange fastprotein liquid chromatography. These activities (isozymes) differed with respect to their activities toward dimethenamid or 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene as substrates and in their levels of induction by safener treatment. A safener-induced GST isozyme was subsequently purified by anion-exchange and affinity chromatography from etiolated shoots of the diploid wheat species T. tauschii (a progenitor of hexaploid wheat) treated with the herbicide safener cloquintocet-mexyl. The isozyme bound to a dimethenamid-affinity column and had a subunit molecular mass of 26 kD based on sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The purified enzyme (designated GST TSI-1) was recognized by an antiserum raised against a mixture of maize (Zea mays) GSTs. Amino acid sequences obtained from protease-digested GST TSI-1 had significant homology with the safener-inducible maize GST V and two auxin-regulated tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) GST isozymes.

GSTs (EC 2.5.1.18) play an important role in xenobiotic detoxification in plants (Lamoureux and Rusness, 1989; Kreuz et al., 1996). Attempts to determine the endogenous functions of plant GSTs have led to their extensive study in recent years. GSTs may have important roles in protection from cytotoxic endogenous compounds such as anthocyanins and phytoalexins (Li et al., 1997), and in regulation, transport, and potential GSH conjugation with auxins (for review, see Marrs, 1996). GSTs also appear to have a role in GSH conjugation with endogenous secondary metabolites such as unsaturated phenylpropanoids (Diesperger and Sandermann, 1979; Edwards and Dixon, 1991; Dean and Machota, 1993; Dean et al., 1995), although more recently

¹ This is a contribution from the Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, Washington State University, College of Agriculture and Home Economics, departmental paper no. 9611-17. This research was partially supported by Sandoz Agro, Inc. (Palo Alto, CA).

this activity in maize (*Zea mays*) has been attributed to an ascorbate peroxidase enzyme (Dean and Devarenne, 1997).

Many plant species contain GST activity when assayed with xenobiotic and potential endogenous substrates (Marrs, 1996). In maize the GST isozymes have been intensively studied and characterized (Marrs, 1996, and refs. therein; Dixon et al., 1997; Irzyk and Fuerst, 1997). Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) contains GST activity (Jablonkai and Hatzios, 1991; Scarponi et al., 1991; Edwards and Cole, 1996; Riechers et al., 1996b), and several GST isozymes have been identified (Williamson and Beverley, 1988; Mauch and Dudler, 1993). Molecular characterization of wheat GSTs has been limited to the pathogen-induced expression of the wheat GstA1 gene and its encoded GST 29 protein (Dudler et al., 1991; Mauch and Dudler, 1993). GST 29 has activity with a model, nonherbicidal GST substrate, CDNB (Habig et al., 1974), but does not have activity with the herbicides metolachlor and atrazine (Mauch and Dudler, 1993).

Herbicide safeners are used to protect several monocot crop species from herbicide injury (Hatzios, 1989; Kreuz, 1993), usually by increasing the activity of specific herbicidemetabolizing enzymes (GSTs, Cyt P450-dependent monooxygenases, glucosyltransferases) and therefore the rate of herbicide metabolism (Cole, 1994; Farago et al., 1994; Kreuz et al., 1996). Safeners have also been shown to increase the activity of a vacuolar transporter that pumps glutathione S-herbicide conjugates into the vacuole of plant cells (Gaillard et al., 1994).

Wheat can be protected from injury from the chloroacetamide herbicide dimethenamid through the use of herbicide safeners (Riechers et al., 1994, 1996b). We have previously reported that the metabolism of dimethenamid is enhanced in *T. aestivum* shoots grown from safener-treated seed, and that metabolism occurs exclusively through the GSH conjugation system (Riechers et al., 1996a). The objectives of this study were to examine the effects of safener treatment on total GST activity in *T. aestivum* shoots and on individual GST isozymes in *T. aestivum*, *Triticum durum*, and *Triticum tauschii* shoots, and to purify and partially

^{*} Corresponding author; e-mail riechers@mail.wsu.edu; fax 1-509-335-8674.

Abbreviations: CDNB, 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene; FPLC, fastprotein liquid chromatography; GST, glutathione S-transferase; GST-C, glutathione S-transferase activity assayed with 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene as a substrate; GST-D, glutathione S-transferase activity assayed with dimethenamid as a substrate.

characterize a safener-induced GST isozyme that uses dimethenamid as a substrate.

Here we report on the partial characterization of GST isozymes in unsafened and safened *T. aestivum*, *T. durum*, and *T. tauschii* shoots, and the purification of a safener-induced GST isozyme (GST TSI-1) from *T. tauschii*, a diploid wheat species considered to be the donor of the D genome found in hexaploid wheat (*T. aestivum*), which contains the A, B, and D genomes (Miller, 1987). Peptide fragments derived from GST TSI-1 share significant amino acid sequence homology with the safener-inducible maize GST V (Irzyk and Fuerst, 1997), two auxin-regulated tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) GSTs, and other type III plant GSTs (Droog et al., 1993, 1995; Marrs, 1996).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

Radiolabeled dimethenamid (3-[¹⁴C]thiophene ring, specific activity 50.6 mCi/mmol; for complete chemical name and structure, see Riechers et al., 1996a) and analyticalgrade dimethenamid (99.4% pure as determined by GC) were provided by Sandoz Agro, Inc. (Des Plaines, IL). The commercial formulation of fluxofenim (CGA-133205 or Concep III, 959 g of active ingredient/L) and cloquintocetmexyl (CGA-185072, formulated as 25% active ingredient in a wettable powder) were provided by the Ciba Corp. (Greensboro, NC, and Basel, Switzerland). All other chemicals were purchased from Sigma.

Plant Material

Hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv Madsen) seeds were treated with the safener fluxofenim (0.25 g/kg of seed), and seedlings were grown and harvested as previously described (Riechers et al., 1996a). Diploid wheat (*Triticum tauschii* [Coss.] Schmal.) and tetraploid wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf. cv Reva) seeds were treated with the wheat safener cloquintocet-mexyl (Kreuz et al., 1991; Kreuz, 1993) using the soil-drench technique. Seeds of *T. tauschii* had to be removed from the outer husks. Seeds were planted 3 cm deep in plastic pots containing vermiculite. The pots were watered to saturation with 50 μ M of the safener cloquintocet-mexyl, covered with aluminum foil, and subjected to prechilling at 4°C for 7 d to increase seed germination.

For analytical anion-exchange FPLC experiments for GST isozyme separation and characterization, pots were removed from prechilling, watered with 50 μ M cloquintocetmexyl, covered with aluminum foil, and incubated at 21°C for 4 d. Etiolated shoots (2–4 cm) were excised directly above the seeds, frozen, and stored in liquid nitrogen until protein extraction. To maximize enzyme yield for GST isozyme purification from *T. tauschii*, plants were grown as described above, but were incubated at 21°C for 8 d and watered with 50 μ M cloquintocet-mexyl 1, 4, and 7 d after removal from prechilling. Etiolated shoots (8–12 cm) were excised directly above the seeds, frozen, and stored in liquid nitrogen until protein extraction.

Total GST-D Activity Assay

All tissue homogenization and extraction steps were carried out at 4°C. Crude protein extracts were prepared by homogenizing 0.5 to 1 g of frozen 4-d-old shoots of T. aestivum in 5 volumes of extraction buffer containing 250 mm Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, 1 mM Na₂EDTA, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 0.1 mm PMSF, and 50 mg/mL polyvinylpolypyrrolidone in a glass mortar and pestle. The homogenate was then centrifuged at 12,000g for 5 min. The supernatant was decanted and used as the source of enzyme for GST-D assays, which were conducted as previously described (Riechers et al., 1996b), except that the reactions were incubated for 30 min instead of 60 min. GST-D activities were corrected for nonenzymatic conjugation of dimethenamid with GSH. The experiment evaluating the influence of fluxofenim on total GST-D activity was conducted twice, with two replications per experiment, and the means from the experiments are reported \pm se.

GSH Assay

GSH levels were determined as previously described (Lamoureux and Rusness, 1986; Viger et al., 1991) with the modifications described below (Davies et al., 1984; Roberts and Francetic, 1993). Crude extracts were prepared by homogenizing 0.5 to 1 g of frozen 4-d-old shoots of T. aestivum in five volumes of extraction buffer (0.2% [v/v] trifluoroacetic acid, 0.5 mM Na₂EDTA) in a glass mortar and pestle. The homogenate was then centrifuged at 12,000g for 5 min, the supernatant was decanted, and the volume was recorded. GSH levels were determined immediately after obtaining crude extracts by measuring the conjugation of GSH with excess CDNB. The reaction mixture consisted of 150 mм potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5, 1 mм CDNB, 1.5 units of equine GST (Sigma), and 200 μ L of crude extract or GSH standards (in extraction buffer) in a total volume of 3 mL. The reaction was incubated at 21°C for 12 min, and initial and final A_{340} values were recorded.

The overall change in A_{340} was used to determine GSH levels by comparison with a GSH standard curve. Values reported are corrected for GSH oxidation that occurred during sample preparation and analysis by measuring oxidation of a GSH-spiked tissue sample that was extracted and assayed concurrently with the above procedure. The experiment evaluating the influence of fluxofenim on GSH levels was conducted twice, with two replications per experiment, and the means from the experiments are reported \pm sE.

Analytical Anion-Exchange FPLC Separation of GST Isozymes in Safened and Unsafened *T. aestivum*, *T. durum*, and *T. tauschii*

Crude protein extracts were prepared by homogenizing 5 to 10 g of frozen 4-d-old *T. aestivum*, *T. tauschii*, and *T. durum* shoots in 5 volumes of extraction buffer in a mortar and pestle. The crude homogenate was filtered through eight layers of cheesecloth and centrifuged at 20,000g for 15 min. The supernatant was decanted and adjusted to 40%

saturation with solid ammonium sulfate, stirred for 15 min, and centrifuged at 20,000g for 20 min. The supernatant was decanted and adjusted to 90% saturation with solid ammonium sulfate, stirred for 15 min, and centrifuged at 40,000g for 20 min. Approximately 90% of the GST-D activity in the crude extract was precipitated in this fraction. The supernatant was discarded and the pellets were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored overnight at -80° C.

The pellets were resuspended in TB buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, 5 mM β -mercaptoethanol) and desalted into TB buffer using PD-10 columns (Pharmacia). The protein solution was then filtered through a 0.45- μ m membrane syringe filter (Nalgene, Rochester, NY), and 10 mg of total protein was loaded at 0.5 mL/min onto an analytical Mono-Q anion-exchange column (Pharmacia HR 5/5) equilibrated in TB buffer. FPLC was conducted as described previously (Fuerst et al., 1993), except that the following NaCl gradient in TB buffer was used: 0 to 50 mm NaCl in 1 mL, 50 to 180 mM NaCl in 40 mL, and 180 to 500 тм NaCl in 14 mL. Fractions (1 mL) were collected and assayed for GST-D activity as described above, and for GST-C activity as previously described (Irzyk and Fuerst, 1993). Anion-exchange FPLC was conducted at least twice for both unsafened and safened Triticum spp., and representative results from one experiment are shown.

Enzyme Purification and Amino Acid Sequencing

Cloquintocet-mexyl-treated T. tauschii shoots (28 g) were pulverized in a mortar and pestle with 5 volumes of extraction buffer as described above. Crude extract preparation, ammonium sulfate fractionation, and desalting procedures were identical to those described above for analytical anion-exchange FPLC. The desalted 40 to 90% ammonium sulfate fraction (about 83 mg of protein) was filtered through a 0.45-µm membrane syringe filter (Nalgene) and loaded at 5 mL/min onto a preparative (6-mL column volume) anion-exchange FPLC column (Resource Q, Pharmacia) equilibrated in TB buffer. The following NaCl gradient was used to elute GSTs from the column: 0 to 50 mm NaCl in 10 mL, 50 to 180 mm NaCl in 240 mL, and 180 to 500 mm NaCl in 90 mL. Fractions (5 mL) were collected and assayed for GST-D and GST-C activity as described above.

The three 5-mL fractions containing the highest GST-D activity (fractions 22-24) were pooled and desalted on PD-10 columns into TB buffer. The protein solution (about 8 mg) was filtered and subjected to analytical anionexchange Mono-Q FPLC as described above. The seven 1-mL fractions containing the highest GST-D activity were pooled and loaded at 0.3 mL/min directly onto a dimethenamid-glutathione-affinity column (synthesis protocol described below) previously equilibrated in TB buffer. The column was washed sequentially with 5 mL of TB buffer, 5 mL of TB buffer plus 250 mM NaCl, and 5 mL of TB buffer, and was then eluted with 5 mL of 60 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.0, plus 20 mM GSH. The column flowthrough was reloaded onto the column and the washing and elution procedures repeated. The column eluates (10 mL) were pooled, desalted into TB buffer, and concentrated to about 1 mL with a hollow fiber concentrator/ filtrator (Irzyk and Fuerst, 1993).

The dimethenamid-glutathione-affinity column was constructed using a modification of a protocol provided by K. Kreuz (Novartis Corp., Basel, Switzerland, personal communication). The GSH conjugate of dimethenamid was synthesized and purified as previously described (Riechers et al., 1996a) and dried by vacuum centrifugation (Speed-Vac, Savant, Farmingdale, NY). About 50 μ mol of dimethenamid-GSH conjugate was dissolved in 1 м K₂HPO₄, pH 8.2, and added to 0.2 g of hydrated (swells to 1 mL) vinyl sulfone-activated agarose (Sigma; 11 µmol active vinyl groups/mL, hydrated and equilibrated in 0.2 м K_2 HPO₄, pH 8.2). The conjugate was incubated with the activated agarose at 21°C and gently stirred for 2 d. The agarose was then blocked with 50 mg/mL Gly, pH 9, overnight to ensure that all vinyl groups had been derivatized. The dimethenamid-GSH linked agarose was then poured into a 3-mL syringe barrel and equilibrated with 20 ти Tris-HCl, pH 7.8.

The final protein purification step involved the use of preparative two-dimensional PAGE to resolve the proteins that bound to and eluted from the affinity column. The affinity column eluate was concentrated to 0.1 mL by vacuum centrifugation. One-half of this sample was subjected to preparative two-dimensional PAGE using the protocol described below. The gels were stained with Coomassie blue R-250 and destained, and protein spots were excised with a cork borer. Gel slices were washed with water to remove methanol and acetic acid, lyophilized, and then rehydrated in 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, 0.05% SDS, and digested in gel with 3 pmol of endoproteinase Lys-C at 37°C for 18 h to generate peptide fragments. Peptide fragments were resolved by reverse-phase HPLC on a narrowbore C₁₈ column (Vydac, Hesperia, CA) using a linear 3.5 to 31.5% acetonitrile (containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid) gradient in 36 min at a flow rate of 0.2 mL/min. Peptide fragment elution was monitored at A_{210} . Selected peptides were subjected to amino acid sequencing using Edman chemistry on a liquid-pulse protein sequenator (Procise, Applied Biosystems). Protein digestions, HPLC, and amino acid sequencing were performed by the Protein and Nucleic Acid Facility, Beckman Center, Stanford University Medical Center (Stanford, CA).

Electrophoresis and Immunoblot Analysis

One-dimensional SDS-PAGE was conducted according to the method of Laemmli (1970) using 17.5% resolving gels (30:0.174 acrylamide:piperazine diacrylamide). Twodimensional PAGE was conducted according to the method of O'Farrell (1975). First-dimension IEF was performed using tube gels containing ampholytes of pH 6.0 to 8.0 (Bio-Rad) and pH 3.0 to 10.0 (Pharmacia) blended 4:1 (v/v), respectively. Preparative IEF was performed for 7400 V × h with 3-mm tube gels using a vertical gel electrophoresis system (BRL), and analytical IEF was performed for 4000 V × h with 1-mm tube gels using a miniformat system (Bio-Rad). Second-dimension SDS-PAGE was conducted using 17.5% acrylamide gels (3-mm

Table I. Total GST-D activities and GSH levels in unsafened and safened hexaploid wheat (T. aestivum) shoots

GST-D activity or GSH were extracted from 4-d-old *T. aestivum* shoots as described in "Materials and Methods." Safened *T. aestivum* was treated with a seed application of fluxofenim at 0.25 g/kg of seed.

Treatment	Total GST-D activity	GSH
	pmol min ⁻¹ mg ⁻¹ protein	μ mol g ⁻¹ fresh weight
Unsafened	75 (9) ^a	4.4 (0.2)
Safener-treated	693 (39)	4.1 (0.4)

thick for preparative, 1-mm thick for analytical) as described above. Proteins were visualized by either Coomassie blue R-250 or silver-staining (Rabilloud et al., 1988).

For immunoblotting experiments, gels were equilibrated in transfer buffer (48 mм Tris base, 39 mм Gly, 0.0375% SDS, 20% methanol) for 30 min, then electrophoretically transferred to 0.45-µm nitrocellulose membranes (Hybond-C Extra, Amersham) using a semidry transfer apparatus (Trans-Blot SD, Bio-Rad) operating at 15 V for 50 min. Membranes were then incubated in blocking buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mм NaCl, 3% [w/v] nonfat dry milk, 0.02% sodium azide) at least 3 h before being probed overnight with a primary antiserum raised against a mixture of maize (Zea mays) GSTs (provided by K. Kreuz, Novartis Corp.) diluted 1:1000 in blocking buffer. The antiserum was raised against a mixture of maize GST subunits with molecular masses of 26 and 27 kD, which had been purified from benoxacorinduced etiolated maize shoots by anion-exchange chromatography on Q-Sepharose and S-hexylglutathione-affinity chromatography (K. Kreuz, personal communication). Blots were washed with blocking buffer, then incubated overnight with secondary antibody (anti-rabbit IgG conjugate to alkaline phosphatase, Sigma) at a dilution of 1:4000 in blocking buffer. Blots were developed with nitroblue tetrazolium and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate as alkaline phosphatase substrates (Harlow and Lane, 1988).

Protein Determination

Protein was quantified using the method of Bradford (1976) with BSA as a standard, by A_{280} , or by visual estimation using SDS-PAGE and Coomassie or silver-staining in comparison with BSA standards.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Total GST-D Activity and GSH Levels in Safened and Unsafened *T. aestivum*

T. aestivum has very low, constitutively expressed GST-D activity (Table I) (Riechers et al., 1996b), which may account for the susceptibility of *T. aestivum* to the herbicide dimethenamid (Riechers et al., 1994). Seed treatment with the herbicide safener fluxofenim increased total GST-D activity 9-fold in *T. aestivum* shoots (Table I). This increase in total GST-D activity correlates well with the increase in

dimethenamid metabolism in *T. aestivum* shoots observed in response to fluxofenim (Riechers et al., 1996a). Fluxofenim treatment had no effect on GSH levels in *T. aestivum* shoots (Table I). In comparison, safeners increased GST activity, using chloroacetamide herbicide substrates in maize (Viger et al., 1991; Fuerst and Lamoureux, 1992) and grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) (Gronwald et al., 1987), but had little or no effect on GSH levels. Therefore, safener response is generally attributed to increased GST activity (Gronwald et al., 1987; Komives and Dutka, 1989; Viger et al., 1991; Fuerst and Lamoureux, 1992; Farago et al., 1994). Our results indicate that increased GST-D activity, and not GSH, is the primary basis for the protection of *T. aestivum* from the herbicidal effects of dimethenamid.

Analytical Anion-Exchange FPLC Separation of GST Isozymes in Safened and Unsafened *T. aestivum*, *T. durum*, and *T. tauschii*

Anion-exchange FPLC was used to separate total GST activity in crude extracts from *T. aestivum* shoots into component activities, as has been reported previously for maize (Fuerst et al., 1993) and sorghum (Dean et al., 1990). Three activities were resolved in unsafened *T. aestivum* that exhibited GST-C activity, including one major constitutive GST-C activity that eluted first from the column (Fig. 1A). There was very little GST-D activity in unsafened *T. aestivum* (Fig. 1A) relative to fluxofenim-treated *T. aestivum* (Fig. 1B). Fluxofenim-treated *T. aestivum* contained six re-



Figure 1. Analytical anion-exchange FPLC separation of GST activities present in unsafened (A) or fluxofenim-safened (B) hexaploid wheat (*T. aestivum* cv Madsen) shoots. Fractions (1 mL) were collected and assayed for GST-C activity (\bigcirc) and GST-D activity (\bigcirc).

solved activities that used dimethenamid as a substrate, including three major GST-D activities (fractions 37-52), all of which are induced by the safener fluxofenim (Fig. 1B). In contrast, there was very little induction of the constitutively expressed GST-C activities (Fig. 1B). The greater level of safener induction of GST activities that use chloroacetamide herbicide substrates than that of GST-C activities was also reported in maize (Fuerst et al., 1993) and sorghum (Dean et al., 1990). Treatment of T. aestivum with the safener cloquintocet-mexyl as a seed treatment (1.25 g/kg of seed) resulted in almost identical FPLC profiles as fluxofenim treatment (qualitatively), so only fluxofenimtreated T. aestivum is shown (Fig. 1B). Results of the anionexchange FPLC experiments are consistent with those shown in Table I, in which total GST-D activity was low in unsafened T. aestivum shoots and was induced 9-fold by fluxofenim treatment.

Anion-exchange FPLC was also used to separate total GST activity in crude extracts from *T. tauschii* and *T. durum* shoots into component activities (Figs. 2 and 3). *T. tauschii* was qualitatively similar to *T. aestivum* with respect to the major GST-D activities resolved in both unsafened and safened shoots, although the activity levels were lower in cloquintocet-mexyl-treated *T. tauschii* (Fig. 2B) compared with fluxofenim-treated *T. aestivum* (Fig. 1B). We have previously shown that GST-D activities are very low in crude extracts prepared from unsafened *T. aestivum*, *T. aest*



Figure 2. Analytical anion-exchange FPLC separation of GST activities present in unsafened (A) or cloquintocet-mexyl-safened (B) diploid wheat (*T. tauschii*) shoots. Fractions (1 mL) were collected and assayed for GST-C activity (\bigcirc) and GST-D activity (\bigcirc).



Figure 3. Analytical anion-exchange FPLC separation of GST activities present in unsafened (A) or cloquintocet-mexyl-safened (B) tetraploid wheat (*T. durum* cv Reva) shoots. Fractions (1 mL) were collected and assayed for GST-C activity (\bigcirc) and GST-D activity (\bigcirc).

tauschii, and *T. durum*, and that fluxofenim is a better inducer of GST-D activity than cloquintocet-mexyl in wheat and wheat relatives (Riechers et al., 1996b). One major difference noted was that the predominant GST-C activity in *T. aestivum* that eluted from the column first was present at a much lower level in both unsafened and safened *T. tauschii* (Fig. 2). This may be due to the difference in genetic composition between the two species. This predominant GST-C activity was present in both unsafened and cloquintocet-mexyl-treated *T. durum*, which is a tetraploid wheat species that contains the A and B genomes (Fig. 3).

In contrast to *T. aestivum* and *T. tauschii*, the major GST-D activities were present at much lower levels in cloquintocetmexyl-treated *T. durum* (Fig. 3B). We have previously suggested that the D genome may be an important source of GST isozymes that metabolize dimethenamid and are involved in the safener response in hexaploid and diploid wheat (Riechers et al., 1996b). Edwards and Cole (1996) also noted that tetraploid wheat species with the AABB genome designations did not respond as well as hexaploid wheat to the safener fenchlorazole-ethyl when assaying for GST activity with the herbicides fluorodifen and fenoxaprop. The GST gene(s) in *T. aestivum* and *T. durum* that encodes the isozyme with the predominant GST-C activity, however, appears to be present in the A and/or B genome(s). 1466



Figure 4. Preparative anion-exchange FPLC separation of GST activities present in cloquintocet-mexyl-treated *T. tauschii* shoots. Fractions (5 mL) were collected and assayed for GST-C activity (\bigcirc) and GST-D activity (\bigcirc). Fractions 22 to 24 were pooled and subjected to further purification steps as outlined in "Materials and Methods."

Enzyme Purification

T. tauschii responds to safeners in a manner similar to T. aestivum with respect to increased total GST-D activity (Riechers et al., 1996b) and safener-induced GST-D activities resolved by anion-exchange FPLC (Figs. 1 and 2). Diploid wheat lacks the homoeologous chromosome groups found in hexaploid wheat, and theoretically should contain one-third as many GST genes and isozymes, which should simplify protein purification and subsequent molecular cloning and characterization. Therefore, T. tauschii was used for GST purification. To generate as much shoot fresh weight as possible from the limited amount of seed available, the safener cloquintocet-mexyl was used because of its lower phytotoxicity to wheat seedlings compared with fluxofenim (Riechers et al., 1996b); the shoots were also allowed to grow for 8 instead of 4 d. Protein purification techniques were used that were similar to those used previously to purify the maize safener-inducible GST IV (Irzyk and Fuerst, 1993), including anion-exchange FPLC and affinity chromatography. However, to bind only the GSTs that could use dimethenamid as a substrate, a

dimethenamid-affinity column was constructed and utilized instead of an S-hexylglutathione-affinity column.

The preparative anion-exchange FPLC separation of GST activities in cloquintocet-mexyl-treated *T. tauschii* shoots is shown in Figure 4. The chromatographic separation was similar to that shown in Figure 2B (cloquintocet-mexyl-treated *T. tauschii*) in that the predominant GST-C activities eluted from the column first, and the predominant GST-D activities eluted later in the gradient; however, the resolution was reduced. The differences in resolution and retention time are most likely due to the use of a preparative Resource Q column for enzyme purification (Fig. 4), as opposed to the analytical Mono-Q column separation shown in Figure 2B.

The highest GST-D activity was bordered by two lower GST-D activities in all three chromatographic separations of GSTs from safened T. aestivum and T. tauschii shoots (Figs. 1B, 2B, and 4). The highest GST-D activity from cloquintocet-mexyl-treated T. tauschii (Fig. 4, fractions 22-24) also showed the highest level of safener induction (Fig. 2); this activity was chosen for further enzyme purification by Mono-Q FPLC and dimethenamid-affinity chromatography. Since only one GST-D activity was chosen for further purification, there was a large decrease in yield observed during the Resource Q FPLC step (Table II). This was due to the elimination of all but one of the GST-D activities, as well as choosing only the fractions with the highest GST-D activity. Mono-Q FPLC provided further protein purification of the major 26-kD band (Fig. 5, lane 5), as also noted by the corresponding increase in specific activity (Table II), and concentrated the protein for dimethenamid-affinity chromatography. Only one major GST-D activity was present in the Mono-Q FPLC profile (data not shown), which verifies that two GST-D activities had not merged in the Resource Q FPLC separation (Fig. 4).

Dimethenamid-affinity chromatography was the most effective purification step, resulting in a more than 49-fold increase in specific activity relative to the previous step, and a 220-fold increase in specific activity relative to the crude extract (Table II). Analysis of purification steps by SDS-PAGE showed that the major band at about 26 kD in the Mono-Q fraction (Fig. 5, lane 5) had reduced intensity in the affinity column flow-through (Fig. 5, lane 6), and was

Table II. Purification of GST TSI-1 from cloquintocet-mexyl-treated T. tauschii shootsThe GST TSI-I enzyme was extracted from 28 g of etiolated, 8-d-old T. tauschii shoots treated withcloquintocet-mexyl applied as a soil drench. GST-D activity was determined with radiolabeleddimethenamid as a substrate as described in "Materials and Methods."

Purification Step	Protein Activity		Specific Activity	Yield ^a	Purification ^a		
	mg	units ^b	units mg ⁻¹	%	-fold		
Crude extract	132	23.5	0.18	100	1		
Ammonium sulfate	83	21.0	0.25	89.4	1.4		
Resource Q FPLC	8.4	4.6	0.55	19.6	3.1		
Mono-Q FPLC	3.2	2.6	0.81	11.1	4.5		
Dimethenamid-affinity chromatography	0.05	2.0	40	8.5	222		
^a Yield and purification v	alues are bas	ed on total GS	T-D activity in th	e crude extract.	^b One u		

^a Yield and purification values are based on total GST-D activity in the crude extract. ^b One unit is defined as 1 nmol of dimethenamid conjugated per min.



Figure 5. SDS-PAGE analysis of GST purification from cloquintocetmexyl-treated *T. tauschii* shoots. Proteins were visualized by silverstaining. Lane 1, Molecular mass standards (kD); lane 2, crude extract; lane 3, proteins precipitated between 40 and 90% ammonium sulfate; lane 4, Resource Q anion-exchange FPLC fractions containing the highest GST-D activities; lane 5, Mono-Q anionexchange FPLC fractions containing the highest GST-D activities; lane 6, dimethenamid-affinity column flow-through (twice through the column); lane 7, dimethenamid-affinity column eluate. Approximately 3.5 μ g of protein was loaded in each of lanes 2 to 6.

eluted with GSH from the affinity column (Fig. 5, lane 7). However, the presence of several polypeptides with molecular masses between 25 and 31 kD in the dimethenamidaffinity column eluate suggested that many GST subunits may have been binding to and eluting from the column (Fig. 5, lane 7).

Since several GST subunits may have been present in the affinity column eluate (Fig. 5, lane 7), two-dimensional PAGE was used to isolate the major GST subunit present (Fig. 6). There appeared to be three proteins with very similar pI values and molecular masses comprising the major band at 26 kD on SDS-PAGE (Fig. 5). These three proteins (Fig. 6, A, B, and C) were excised separately and digested with endoproteinase Lys-C to generate peptide fragments. Reverse-phase HPLC separation of these fragments generated peptide maps for each of the three proteins (data not shown). Based on the similarity of the peptide maps, the three proteins may be charge isomers of the same protein, posttranslationally modified isoforms of the same protein, or very similar but distinct proteins. Selected fragments were chosen from the most abundant protein (Fig. 6, protein B) for amino acid sequence analysis, and several corresponding fragments were chosen from proteins A and C for comparison with protein B.

Immunoblot Analysis

A maize GST antiserum has been shown to detect several GST isozymes in *T. aestivum* (Mauch and Dudler, 1993; Riechers et al., 1996b) and soybean (*Glycine max*) (Flury et al., 1995, 1996). The major 26-kD polypeptide that was enriched in all purification fractions (Fig. 5), as well as several minor proteins in the molecular mass range of 26 to 31 kD, were detected by the antiserum (Fig. 7). The de-



Figure 6. Two-dimensional PAGE separation of proteins bound to and eluted from the dimethenamid-affinity column (Fig. 5, lane 7). Proteins were visualized by Coomassie blue R-250 staining. Proteins A, B, and C were excised from the gel and separately subjected to protease digestion, and peptide fragments were resolved by reversephase HPLC. Selected peptide fragments were analyzed for amino acid sequence.

crease in the quantity of the 26-kD polypeptide(s) when comparing lanes 5 (before affinity column) and 6 (column flow-through) (Fig. 5) correlates well with the decrease in band intensity observed in the immunoblot in lane 4 versus lane 5, respectively (Fig. 7). This provides evidence that the GST subunits bind to the affinity column. An immunoblot of a two-dimensional PAGE separation of the proteins that eluted from the dimethenamid-affinity column (Fig. 5, lane 7) showed that proteins A, B, and C, as well as other minor proteins, were detected by the antiserum (data not shown). This result provides further evidence that GSTs were binding to and eluting from the dimethenamid-affinity column.

Amino Acid Sequences

Three peptide fragments from protein B (Fig. 6) yielded amino acid sequences. Those obtained from proteins A and C (Fig. 6) were identical to those obtained from corresponding fragments from protein B (data not shown). Protein B was renamed GST TSI-1 (T for *Triticum* and SI for safener-induced). The sequences from GST TSI-1 purified from *T. tauschii* were compared with other published plant GST sequences. GST TSI-1 had the highest homology with sequences from the safener-inducible maize GST V (Irzyk and Fuerst, 1997), and also had homology to two auxinregulated tobacco GSTs (Droog et al., 1993) (Table III), suggesting that GST TSI-1 may be a type III plant GST according to the classification system proposed by Droog et al. (1995). One of the amino acids in GST TSI-1 peptide 1, Arg-17 (relative to maize GST III numbering, Table III), is



Figure 7. Immunoblot of *T. tauschii* proteins from the GST purification steps. The gel was identical to the one shown in Figure 5. The blot was probed with a maize GST antiserum and developed as described in "Materials and Methods." Lanes 1 to 6 correspond to lanes 2 to 7, respectively, in Figure 5.

Table III. Partial amino acid sequences of various plant GSTs and GST TSI-1 from T. tauschii The sequences for GST TSI-1 were obtained from peptides generated by endoproteinase Lys-C digestion of two-dimensional PAGE-purified enzyme. Asterisks (*) indicate identity with maize GST V. Unidentified amino acids are denoted with an X.

Species	Amino Acids	Sequence													
Maize GST V	11–24	L	L	D	F	W	V	S	Ρ	F	G	Q	R	С	R
Maize GST II/IVª	8-21	V	Y	G	W	А	Ι	*	*	*	V	S	*	А	Ĺ
Maize GST III	6–19	*	Y	G	М	Р	\mathbf{L}	*	*	Ν	V	V	*	V	A
Maize GST I	6-19	*	Y	G	А	V	М	*	W	Ν	L	Т	*	*	A
Wheat GST 29	6-19	V	F	G	Н	Ρ	М	L	т	Ν	V	А	*	V	L
Wheat GST TSI-1 (peptide 1)		*	*	G	А	Х	Ρ	Х	*	*	V	Т	*	V	K
Tobacco NT 103	6–19	*	*	G	*	*	Y	*	*	*	Т	Н	*	V	E
Tobacco NT 107	8-21	*	*	*	*	*	Ρ	*	М	*	*	М	*	L	*
Maize GST V	31-43	G	L	А	Y	Е	Y	L	Е	Q	D	L	G	Κ	
Maize GST II/IV	28-40	*	V	D	*	*	L	V	P	М	S	R	Q	D	
Maize GST III	26-38	*	*	D	F	*	I	V	Ρ	V	*	*	т	т	
Maize GST I	26-38	*	S	D	*	*	I	V	Ρ	Ι	Ν	F	А	Т	
Wheat GST 29	26-38	*	А	Ε	*	*	L	V	Ρ	М	*	F	V	А	
Wheat GST TSI-1 (peptide 2)		*	*	S	*	*	D	V	*	Е	*	*	Y	*	
Tobacco NT 103	26-38	*	V	Κ	*	*	*	I	*	Е	*	R	D	Ν	
Tobacco NT 107	28-40	Е	Ι	K	*	*	*	K	*	Е	*	*	R	Ν	
Maize GST V	180–193	S	А	Е	Κ	Е	С	Ρ	R	\mathbf{L}	А	Т	W	А	ŀ
Maize GST II/IV	187-200	Н	*	L	Ρ	Н	V	S	А	W	W	Q	G	\mathbf{L}	P
Maize GST III	187-200	А	*	R	P	Н	V	Κ	A	W	W	Ε	А	I	P
Maize GST I	185-198	D	*	Y	Ρ	Н	V	Κ	А	W	W	S	G	\mathbf{L}	Ν
Wheat GST 29	194–207	D	D	Y	Ρ	K	V	K	А	W	W	Е	М	L	N
Wheat GST TSI-1 (peptide 3)		А	*	L	Ρ	D	V	G	*	*	L	Е	F	*	ł
Tobacco NT 103	173–186	V	т	S	Ε	K	F	*	Ν	F	S	R	*	R	Ι
Tobacco NT 107	176–189	*	Т	*	А	*	*	*	K	F	V	А	*	*	4

highly conserved among plant and animal GSTs, and may be involved in the binding and stabilization of the glutathione anion (Droog et al., 1993). Limited homology was also observed among GST TSI-1 and other plant GSTs from wheat (GST 29) and maize (GST I, II/IV, and III) (Grove et al., 1988; Dudler et al., 1991; Jepson et al., 1994; Irzyk et al., 1995).

It is possible that GST TSI-1 is the same as the 26-kD GST (designated GST 26) that was induced by herbicide and cadmium treatment in *T. aestivum* (Mauch and Dudler, 1993), because both were recognized by the same maize GST antiserum (Fig. 7) (Mauch and Dudler, 1993). If this is true, then the observation that GST 29 is immunologically unrelated to GST 26 and has different inducibility and enzymic properties (Mauch and Dudler, 1993) is supported by the finding that, based on partial amino acid sequence analysis, GST TSI-1 shares less sequence identity with the *T. aestivum* GST 29 than with the maize GST V (Table III).

SUMMARY

Herbicide safeners induce specific GSTs in wheat that can metabolize the chloroacetamide herbicide dimethenamid. GST isozymes in *T. aestivum* and *T. tauschii* that have the highest activity with dimethenamid as a substrate are induced by safeners to a much greater extent than GST isozymes that have the highest activity with CDNB as a substrate (Figs. 1 and 2), and appear to be encoded by GST genes in the D genome of hexaploid wheat (ABD genomes) or diploid wheat (D genome only). In contrast, the major GST-D activities in the tetraploid wheat *T. durum* (AB genomes) were not induced by safener to the same extent as in *T. aestivum* or *T. tauschii*.

A safener-induced GST from T. tauschii with a subunit molecular mass of 26 kD was purified more than 220-fold using ammonium sulfate fractionation, anion-exchange FPLC, and dimethenamid-affinity chromatography; this protein was then further purified by two-dimensional PAGE. Although the purified protein was not directly shown to have activity with dimethenamid as a substrate, there is evidence that it metabolizes dimethenamid, including the fact that the 26-kD GST subunit bound to a dimethenamid-affinity matrix, and that this subunit comprised the greatest proportion of the polypeptides that bound to and eluted from the dimethenamid-affinity column. This fraction had a more than 220-fold increase in specific activity relative to the crude extract. Amino acid sequence comparison with other plant GSTs indicates that the T. tauschii GST TSI-1 may be most similar to the auxinregulated type III plant GSTs (Droog et al., 1993, 1995; Marrs, 1996; Andrews et al., 1997); however, analysis of a full-length cDNA or genomic sequence is needed to conclusively determine this relationship (see "Note Added in Proof").

GST TSI-1 appears to be different from the only other wheat GST that has been characterized at the molecular level, GST 29 (Mauch and Dudler, 1993). In addition to the low amino acid sequence identity when comparing GST 29 with GST TSI-1 (Table III), expression of GST 29 (protein and mRNA transcripts) was induced by pathogen attack but not by herbicides, and the enzyme did not use the chloroacetamide herbicide metolachlor as a substrate. It was speculated that GST 29 was specifically involved in defense against pathogen attack and not in xenobiotic metabolism (Mauch and Dudler, 1993). In contrast, GST TSI-1 appears to belong to a different class of wheat GSTs that is regulated by xenobiotics and involved in xenobiotic metabolism.

NOTE ADDED IN PROOF

A full-length cDNA (accession no. AF004358) has been obtained from *T. tauschii* that contains an open reading frame encoding the partial amino acid sequences of GST TSI-1 reported in this paper, and a Plant Gene Register article discussing this cDNA has been accepted for publication in *Plant Physiology* (PGR 97–110). We would also like to point out that the partial amino acid sequence of tobacco GST NT103 (amino acids 26–38) reported in this paper is correct, according to the sequence reported in GenBank for pCNT103 (accession no. X56263). This same partial sequence reported in Droog et al. (1993) and Jepson et al. (1994) is incorrect.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Sandoz Agro, Inc., for providing radiolabeled and analytical-grade dimethenamid and for financial support of this project, and the Ciba Corp. for providing fluxofenim and cloquintocet-mexyl. We thank for Dr. Klaus Kreuz for the maize GST antiserum and the affinity matrix synthesis protocol. *T. tauschii* seeds were provided by Dr. Ahmet Yildirim, and *T. durum* seeds were provided by Dr. Cal Konzak, Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, Washington State University.

Received December 24, 1996; accepted April 30, 1997. Copyright Clearance Center: 0032–0889/97/114/1461/10.

LITERATURE CITED

- Andrews CJ, Jepson I, Skipsey M, Townson JK, Edwards R (1997) Nucleotide sequence of a glutathione transferase (accession no. Y10820) from soybean with activity toward herbicides (PGR 97–050). Plant Physiol **113**: 1005
- **Bradford MM** (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem **72**: 248–254
- **Cole DJ** (1994) Detoxification and activation of agrochemicals in plants. Pestic Sci **42**: 209–222
- **Davies MH, Birt DF, Schnell RC** (1984) Direct enzymatic assay for reduced and oxidized glutathione. J Pharmacol Methods **12**: 191–194
- **Dean JV, Devarenne TP** (1997) Peroxidase-mediated conjugation of glutathione to unsaturated phenylpropanoids: evidence against glutathione *S*-transferase involvement. Physiol Plant **99**: 271–278
- **Dean JV, Devarenne TP, Lee I-S, Orlofsky LE** (1995) Properties of a maize glutathione *S*-transferase that conjugates coumaric acid and other phenylpropanoids. Plant Physiol **108**: 985–994
- Dean JV, Gronwald JŴ, Eberlein CV (1990) Induction of glutathione S-transferase isozymes in sorghum by herbicide antidotes. Plant Physiol 92: 467–473

- **Dean JV, Machota JH** (1993) Activation of corn glutathione *S*-transferase enzymes by coumaric acid and 7-hydroxycoumarin. Phytochemistry **34**: 361–365
- Diesperger H, Sandermann H Jr (1979) Soluble and microsomal glutathione S-transferase activities in pea seedlings (Pisum sativum L.). Planta 146: 643–648
- Dixon D, Cole DJ, Edwards R (1997) Characterisation of multiple glutathione transferases containing the GST I subunit with activities toward herbicide substrates in maize (*Zea mays*). Pestic Sci 50: 72–82
- **Droog FNJ, Hooykaas PJJ, Libbenga KR, van der Zaal EJ** (1993) Proteins encoded by an auxin-regulated gene family of tobacco share limited but significant homology with glutathione S-transferases and one member indeed shows *in vitro* GST activity. Plant Mol Biol **21**: 965–972
- **Droog FNJ, Hooykaas PJJ, van der Zaal BJ** (1995) 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid and related chlorinated compounds inhibit two auxin-regulated type-III tobacco glutathione *S*-transferases. Plant Physiol **107**: 1139–1146
- **Dudler R, Hertig C, Rebmann G, Bull J, Mauch F** (1991) A pathogen-induced wheat gene encodes a protein homologous to glutathione *S*-transferases. Mol Plant Microbe Interact **4**: 14–18
- Edwards R, Cole DJ (1996) Glutathione transferases in wheat (*Triticum*) species with activity toward fenoxaprop-ethyl and other herbicides. Pestic Biochem Physiol 54: 96–104
- Edwards R, Dixon RA (1991) Glutathione S-cinnamoyl transferases in plants. Phytochemistry 30: 79-84
- Farago S, Brunhold C, Kreuz K (1994) Herbicide safeners and glutathione metabolism. Physiol Plant **91**: 537–542
- Flury T, Adam D, Kreuz K (1995) A 2,4-D-inducible glutathione S-transferase from soybean (*Glycine max*): purification, characterisation and induction. Physiol Plant 94: 312–318
- **Flury T, Wagner E, Kreuz K** (1996) An inducible glutathione *S*-transferase in soybean hypocotyl is localized in the apoplast. Plant Physiol **112**: 1185–1190
- Fuerst EP, Irzyk GP, Miller KD (1993) Partial characterization of glutathione *S*-transferase isozymes induced by the herbicide safener benoxacor in maize. Plant Physiol **102**: 795–802
- Fuerst EP, Lamoureux GL (1992) Mode of action of the dichloroacetamide antidote BAS 145-138 in corn. II. Effects on metabolism, absorption, and mobility of metazachlor. Pestic Biochem Physiol 42: 78–87
- Gaillard C, Dufaud A, Tommasini R, Kreuz K, Amrhein N, Martinoia E (1994) A herbicide antidote (safener) induces the activity of both the herbicide detoxifying enzyme and of a vacuolar transporter for the detoxified herbicide. FEBS Lett 352: 219-221
- **Gronwald JW, Fuerst EP, Eberlein CV, Egli MA** (1987) Effect of herbicide antidotes on glutathione content and glutathione *S*-transferase activity of sorghum shoots. Pestic Biochem Physiol **29:** 66–76
- Grove G, Zarlengo RP, Timmerman KP, Li N, Tam MF, Tu C-PD (1988) Characterization and heterospecific expression of cDNA clones of genes in the maize GSH *S*-transferase multigene family. Nucleic Acids Res 16: 425–438
- Habig WH, Pabst MJ, Jakoby WB (1974) Glutathione S-transferases: the first enzymatic step in mercapturic acid formation. J Biol Chem 249: 7130–7139
- Harlow E, Lane D (1988) Immunoblotting. *In* E Harlow, D Lane, eds, Antibodies: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, p 505
- Hatzios KK (1989) Development of herbicide safeners: industrial and university perspectives. *In* KK Hatzios, RE Hoagland, eds, Crop Safeners for Herbicides: Development, Uses, and Mechanisms of Action. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, pp 3-45
- Irzyk G, Potter S, Ward E, Fuerst EP (1995) A cDNA clone encoding the 27-kilodalton subunits of glutathione S-transferase IV from Zea mays. Plant Physiol 107: 311–312
- Irzyk GP, Fuerst EP (1993) Purification and characterization of a glutathione S-transferase from benoxacor-treated maize (Zea mays). Plant Physiol 102: 803–810

- Irzyk GP, Fuerst EP (1997) Characterization and induction of maize glutathione S-transferases involved in herbicide detoxification. In KK Hatzios, ed, Regulation of Enzymatic Systems Detoxifying Xenobiotics in Plants. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 155–170
- Jablonkai I, Hatzios KK (1991) Role of glutathione and glutathione S-transferase in the selectivity of acetochlor in maize and wheat. Pestic Biochem Physiol 41: 221–231
 Jepson I, Lay VJ, Holt DC, Bright SWJ, Greenland AJ (1994)
- Jepson I, Lay VJ, Holt DC, Bright SWJ, Greenland AJ (1994) Cloning and characterization of maize herbicide safenerinduced cDNAs encoding subunits of glutathione *S*-transferase isoforms I, II and IV. Plant Mol Biol **26**: 1855–1866
- Komives T, Dutka F (1989) Effects of herbicide safeners on levels and activity of cytochrome P-450 and other enzymes of corn. In KK Hatzios, RE Hoagland, eds, Crop Safeners for Herbicides: Development, Uses, and Mechanisms of Action. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, pp 129–145
- Kreuz K (1993) Herbicide safeners: recent advances and biochemical aspects of their mode of action. Brighton Crop Protection Conference–Weeds **9A-1**: 1249–1258
- Kreuz K, Gaudin J, Stingelin J, Ebert E (1991) Metabolism of the aryloxyphenoxypropanoate herbicide, CGA 184927, in wheat, barley and maize: differential effects of the safener, CGA 185072. Z Naturforsch 46c: 901–905
- Kreuz K, Tommasini R, Martinoia E (1996) Old enzymes for a new job. Herbicide detoxification in plants. Plant Physiol 111: 349–353
- Laemmli UK (1970) Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature 227: 680-685
- Lamoureux GL, Rusness DG (1986) Tridiphane [2-(3,5dichlorophenyl)-2-(2,2,2-trichloroethyl)oxirane], an atrazine synergist: enzymatic conversion to a potent glutathione S-transferase inhibitor. Pestic Biochem Physiol **26**: 323–342
- Lamoureux GL, Rusness DG (1989) The role of glutathione and glutathione *S*-transferases in pesticide metabolism, selectivity, and mode of action in plants and insects. *In* D Dolphin, R Poulson, O Avramovic, eds, Coenzymes and Cofactors, Vol 3: Glutathione: Chemical, Biochemical, and Medical Aspects, Part B. John Wiley & Sons, New York, pp 153–196
- Li Z-S, Alfenito M, Rea PA, Walbot V, Dixon RA (1997) Vacuolar

uptake of the phytoalexin medicarpin by the glutathione conjugate pump. Phytochemistry **45:** 689–693

- Marrs KA (1996) The functions and regulation of glutathione S-transferases in plants. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 47: 127–158
- Mauch F, Dudler R (1993) Differential induction of distinct glutathione S-transferases of wheat by xenobiotics and by pathogen attack. Plant Physiol **102**: 1193–1201
- Miller TE (1987) Systematics and evolution. *In* FGH Lupton, ed, Wheat Breeding: Its Scientific Basis. Chapman & Hall, New York, pp 1–30
- O'Farrell PH (1975) High resolution two-dimensional electrophoresis of proteins. J Biol Chem 250: 4007–4021
- Rabilloud T, Carpentier G, Tarroux P (1988) Improvement and simplification of low-background silver staining of proteins by using sodium dithionite. Electrophoresis 9: 288–291
- Riechers DE, Fuerst EP, Boerboom CM (1994) Optimization and mechanism of action of seed-applied herbicide safeners in winter wheat. Abstr Weed Sci Soc Am 34: 62
- Riechers DE, Fuerst EP, Miller KD (1996a) Initial metabolism of dimethenamid in safened and unsafened wheat shoots. J Agric Food Chem 44: 1558–1564
- Riechers DE, Yang K, Irzyk GP, Jones SS, Fuerst EP (1996b) Variability of glutathione S-transferase levels and dimethenamid tolerance in safener-treated wheat and wheat relatives. Pestic Biochem Physiol 56: 88–101
- Roberts JC, Francetic DJ (1993) The importance of sample preparation and storage in glutathione analysis. Anal Biochem 211: 183–187
- Scarponi L, Perucci P, Martinetti L (1991) Conjugation of 2-chloroacetanilide herbicides with glutathione: role of molecular structures and of glutathione S-transferase enzymes. J Agric Food Chem 39: 2010–2013
- Viger PR, Eberlein CV, Fuerst EP, Gronwald JW (1991) Effects of CGA-154281 and temperature on metolachlor absorption and metabolism, glutathione content, and glutathione *S*-transferase activity in corn (*Zea mays*). Weed Sci **39**: 324–328
- Williamson G, Beverley MC (1988) Wheat glutathione S-transferase: purification and properties. J Cereal Sci 8: 155–163