Access for peritoneal dialysis in neonates and infants

M A Lewis, I B Houston, R J Postlethwaite

Abstract

A new catheter for peritoneal dialysis in neonates and infants was used on 28 occasions in 17 patients. Advantages over other catheters included easy safe introduction over a guide wire, absence of early leakage of dialysate, and the ability to change the catheter without creating a second abdominal wound.

Acute renal failure is a common complication of severe illness in neonates and infants and the treatment of choice is early peritoneal dialysis.¹ One difficulty is the introduction of a suitable peritoneal catheter. Several catheters and techniques have previously been described in neonates,²⁻⁴ all of which require the introduction of a plastic catheter into the peritoneum, either over a trocar (as in adult acute peritoneal dialysis) or over a stylet (as when inserting an intravenous cannula). Acute peritoneal dialysis using a stiff catheter introduced into the peritoneum over a guidewire has been described in adults.⁵ We describe a new catheter specifically for use in neonates and infants, which uses this technique of introduction and which we feel has several advantages over other catheters.

Methods

CATHETER

INSERTION

The 'Pendlebury' catheter (Medcomp) is based on a percutaneous haemodialysis catheter. It is made of polyurethane, 14 gauge internal diameter, and 5.1 or 8.9 cm long. There are four side holes, the most proximal being 2.6 cm from the distal end. The catheter itself is moulded to a short soft plastic extension tube that terminates in a female Luer lock connection. It is introduced by the Seldinger technique over a 'j' wire, as described below. Free gravitational flow rates of 140 ml/min at a height of 100 cm can be achieved, allowing efficient dialysis in children weighing up to 14 kg with standard volumes.

After choosing an appropriate site to introduce the catheter, the skin is prepared with a solution

containing iodine or chlorhexidine. The best

site for introduction will vary from child to

child depending on the presence of operation

scars or enlargement of organs, or both. On the

whole we prefer to introduce the catheter at the

level of the umbilicus just lateral to the rectus

sheath, but it can be introduced anywhere along

Department of Child Health

Department of Paediatric Nephrology **R** J Postlethwaite

Roval Manchester Children's Hospital,

M A Lewis

I B Houston

Correspondence to: Dr M A Lewis, Royal Manchester Children's Hospital, Pendlebury, Manchester M27 1HA. Accepted 28 August 1989 a line parallel to the rectus sheath, or in the midline below the umbilicus.

After preparation of the skin, local anaesthetic (1% lignocaine) is injected into the site, and a 22 gauge IV cannula introduced. This is primed with saline and then removed.

The pink hubbed introducer needle is then inserted straight through into the peritoneum. This is flushed with 5 ml normal saline and aspirated to ensure bowel content is not retrieved (fig 1). This needle is left resting in the peritoneum, and the 'j' tip of the guidewire is straightened using the blue plastic adapter on it (fig 2). This adapter is then placed into the hub of the introducer needle and the wire advanced through the needle into the peritoneum (fig 3).

As the 'j' end of the wire emerges through the tip of the needle a 'give' is felt as the resistance against the advancing wire is reduced. The wire should now advance a further 1-4 cm without any resistance. If there is resistance, the tip of the wire might either be in bowel or in subcutaneous tissue, in which case the needle and wire can be removed and the procedure started again. With the wire resting in the peritoneum the blue plastic adapter and needle are removed.

The catheter is now threaded over the wire (fig 4), in preterm infants there is no need to make a skin incision-the catheter can simply be forced through with a 'screwing' action. There is no risk of the catheter causing intraabdominal injury as it will simply follow the wire. In the infant born at full term, and in older infants, a 3-4 mm skin incision around the wire will make passage through the skin easier.

Once the catheter has been inserted the wire is removed, the catheter is connected to a peritoneal dialysis delivery system, and the soft plastic extension of the catheter is taped to the skin.

Results

Dialysis was carried out in 17 patients ranging from 27 weeks' gestation to 2.7 years of age and from 800 g to 13 kg in weight (tables 1 and 2). Ten of the 17 patients were neonates, of whom four had severe respiratory distress complicated by hypoxia and sepsis, three had mainly surgical problems, two had inborn errors of metabolism, and one had aortic thrombosis complicating umbilical arterial catheterisation. Haemolytic uraemic syndrome was the most common cause of renal failure outside of the neonatal period.

The mean duration of dialysis was 6.2 days (range 0.21 to 34). Seven of the 17 patients (41%) required more than one catheter. There were 22 problem incidents giving an overall



Table 1 Details of neonates studied

Case No	Gestation (weeks)	Age (days)	Weight (g)	Diagnoses	Duration of peritoneal dialysis (days)	Outcome
1	40	27	3500	Aortic thrombosis	34.0	Survived, now in end stage renal failure
2	38	28	2200	Hepatorenal failure, undiagnosed cause	11.0	Died
3	39	1	2800	Primary lactic acidosis	5.2	Died
4	26	2	800	Asphyxia, severe idiopathic respiratory distress		Underwent diuresis
				syndrome	0.21	died six days later
5	27	11	1100	Septicaemia, disseminated intravascular coagulation	0.33	Died
6	37	27	1600	Gastroschisis, disseminated intravascular coagulation	0.5	Died
7	28	1i	1100	Necrotising enterocolitis, septicaemia	6.0	Died
8	27	2	1000	Asphyxia, severe idiopathic respiratory distress		D: 1
~		-		syndrome	1.0	Died
9	30	1	1500	Idiopathic respiratory distress syndrome,	• •	
				hypotension	3.0	Alive and well
10	32	9	1800	Exomphalos, septicaemia	9.0	Died

Table 2 Details of older infants studied

Case No	Age (months)	Weight (kg)	Diagnoses	Duration of peritoneal dialysis (days)	Outcome
11	32	13.0	Haemolytic uraemic syndrome, septicaemia	3.0	Died
12	15	10.0	Haemolytic uraemic syndrome	11.8	Alive and well
13	3	5.1	Haemolytic uraemic syndrome	5.0	Alive and well
14	ī	4.2	Hypernatraemic dehydration	0.6	Alive and well
15	10	7.9	Haemolytic uraemic syndrome	10.8	Alive and well
16	6	4.4	Septicaemia, disseminated intravascular coagulation	2.0	Died
17	Ĩ·7	5.0	Multisystem failure? 'cot death'	1.9	Died

complication rate of 0.21 episodes/patient day. Blockage or poor drainage occurred with 12 catheters but in only six was either the reason for removal. There was no early leakage of dialysate (within 48 hours of insertion). Some late leakage occurred with seven catheters, although it was an appreciable amount in only four cases. There were three episodes of peritonitis, two of which occurred in one patient. Twenty eight catheters were used of which 12 (43%) were removed because of problems: six because of blockage, four because of late leakage, and two because of peritonitis. The mean duration of function of these catheters was 4.1 days (range 0.5 to 11). For the 16 catheters removed electively the mean duration of function was three days (range 0.21 to 9).

One infant with repaired gastroschisis who weighed 1060 g developed peritonitis, septicaemia, and an ileus, after a catheter had eroded into the wall of diseased bowel. This was discovered at necropsy; the catheter had worked well until a few hours before death when treatment was electively stopped. There were no other cases of injury, even in infants who had had abdominal operations.

Discussion

The 'Pendlebury' catheter has several advantages. It is soft and pliable (particularly at body temperature), but it does not kink. Using a 'j' wire makes introduction safer because the wire will not advance easily if the tip of the introducing needle is in subcutaneous tissue or in a viscus rather than in the peritoneal cavity. This means that priming of the abdomen is not essential and can be omitted if it is felt the patient would not tolerate high intra-abdominal pressures. The tissues are stretched around the catheter so that there is a tight fit and no early leakage. After it has been sited the catheter is taped flat to the skin, so making nursing easier, particularly in mobile infants. The catheter is small enough for one to be inserted on each side of the abdomen and continuous cross flow dialysis carried out.

Blockage or poor drainage can be managed either by flushing with saline, or, if this is unsuccessful, by passing a guidewire through the catheter until it is beyond the tip, removing the catheter and inserting a new catheter over the guidewire. This almost invariably establishes good flow without the problem of leakage from an old catheter site.

There are few data available about the incidence of complications in this age group with other peritoneal dialysis catheters. We have found that thin walled intravenous cannulas block and kink easily. The same applies to the 'Wallace' peritoneal dialysis catheter,² which has two additional problems. Being introduced over a sharp stylet gives almost no 'feel' of where one is in the abdomen, and having side holes extending back 5 cm from the tip makes full introduction difficult in a small abdomen. Small neonatal chest drains are just as difficult to introduce into infant abdomens as standard trocar catheters.

Compared with catheters introduced over trocars, the incidence of problems in our group was similar to that found by Wong and Geary in their group of 33 patients (mean age 3.4 years, mean weight 15.1 kg) treated with Trocath catheters,⁶ despite the fact that our patients were appreciably smaller and younger (table 3). Obstruction to flow seems to be more common with the Pendelbury catheter, but is more easily dealt with. Eight out of 12 patients in the series described by Wong and Geary required removal of their catheters because of obstruction to flow, compared with four out of 10 in our series.

Table 3 Comparison of the results obtained using the Pendlebury and the Trocath catheter

	Trocath catheter (n=33)	Pendlebury catheter (n=17)
Mean duration of		
dialysis (days)	7.1	6.5
Overall complication rate		
(episodes/patient/day)	0.19	0.21
No (%) patients having catheter removed		
because of problems	19 (58)	8 (47)
No (%) patients requiring		. ,
two or more catheters	12 (36)	7 (41)
No (%) with leakage of	(/	. (,
dialysate	12 (36)	6 (35)
No (%) with obstruction	(50)	0 (55)
to flow	12 (36)	10 (59)
No (%) with peritonitis	5 (15)	2 (12)

Leakage of dialysate was equally as common in both groups, but did not occur with the Pendlebury catheter until after four days of dialysis, whereas with the Trocath catheters early leakage occurred in four patients. Early leakage is a much more difficult problem than late leakage, as it can prevent successful dialysis at an early stage when the patient's biochemical state is at its worst and dialysis is most required.

We feel that the Pendlebury catheter is an important improvement on other catheters that are available for peritoneal dialysis in neonates and children up to 18 months old, judged to a large extent on clinical impression. The time has now come to carry out a controlled trial of different catheters and means of peritoneal dialysis in small ill infants.

We thank Dr ML Chiswick and Dr DG Sims from the Regional Neonatal Medicine Unit, and Mr A Bianchi and Miss C Doig from the Regional Neonatal Surgical Unit, St Mary's Hospital, Manchester, for allowing us to include their patients in this study.

- Brocklebank JT. Renal failure in the newly born. Arch Dis Child 1988;63:991-4.
 Donaldson MDC, Spurgeon P, Haycock GB, Chantler C. Peritoneal dialysis in infants. Br Med J 1983;286:759-60.
 Davies JG. Two catheter technique in neonatal peritoneal dialysis. Arch Dis Child 1984;59:492.
 Steele BT, Vigneux A, Blatz S, Flavin M, Paes B. Acute peritoneal dialysis in infants weighing <1500 g. J Pediatr 1987;110:126-9.
 Nakanishi T, Yanase M, Fujiji M, Tanaka Y, Orita Y, Abe H.
- 1987;110:126-9.
 Nakanishi T, Yanase M, Fujii M, Tanaka Y, Orita Y, Abe H. New acute peritoneal dialysis technique: wire guide insertion and long term indwelling of peritoneal catheter. Nephron 1984;37:128-32.
 Wong SN, Geary DF. Comparison of temporary and permanent catheters for acute peritoneal dialysis. Arch Dis Child 1988;63:827-31.