Conversion of Perianth into Reproductive Organs by Ectopic Expression of the Tobacco Floral Homeotic Gene *NAG1*¹

Sherry A. Kempin, M. Alejandra Mandel, and Martin F. Yanofsky*

University of California, San Diego, Department of Biology and Center for Molecular Genetics, La Jolla, California 92093–0116

Mutations in the AGAMOUS (AG) gene of Arabidopsis thaliana result in the conversion of reproductive organs, stamens and carpels, into perianth organs, sepals and petals. We have isolated and characterized the putative AG gene from Nicotiana tabacum, NAG1, whose deduced protein product shares 73% identical amino acid residues with the Arabidopsis AG gene product. RNA tissue in situ hybridizations show that NAG1 RNA accumulates early in tobacco flower development in the region of the floral meristem that will later give rise to stamens and carpels. Ectopic expression of NAG1 in transgenic tobacco plants results in a conversion of sepals and petals into carpels and stamens, respectively, indicating that NAG1 is sufficient to convert perianth into reproductive floral organs.

Flowers develop from small clusters of undifferentiated cells into four organ types that occupy precisely defined positions within the flower (Bowman et al., 1989). Genetic studies have identified mutants in which the normal pattern of organ development is altered. The extensively studied homeotic mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* have led to a simple model that describes how the products of these homeotic genes control the fate of floral organ primordia (Bowman et al., 1991). Analyses of similar mutants in *Antirrhinum majus* has led to a model with many features in common to that proposed for *Arabidopsis* (Coen et al., 1990; Schwarz-Sommer et al., 1990).

Wild-type tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) and Arabidopsis flowers consist of four concentric whorls of organs that comprise (from outside to inside) sepals, petals, stamens, and carpels, respectively (Hicks and Sussex, 1970; Bowman et al., 1989; Koltunow et al., 1990). In Arabidopsis, flowers of ag mutant plants develop perianth organs (petals and sepals) in the positions normally occupied by reproductive organs (stamens and carpels). In contrast, ap2 flowers develop reproductive organs in the positions normally occupied by perianth organs. These and other genetic studies indicate that AG and AP2 may interact in a mutually antagonistic fashion, with AP2 preventing AG activity in whorls one and two, and AG preventing AP2 activity in whorls three and four of wildtype flowers (Bowman et al., 1989, 1991). In addition to the role of AG in specifying organ identity, AG is also required for preventing the indeterminate growth of the floral meristem. Thus, whereas wild-type flowers consist of four whorls of organs, ag mutant flowers produce an indeterminate number of whorls reiterating the pattern: (sepal, petal, petal)_n.

The AG protein (Yanofsky et al., 1990) has significant similarity to transcription factors from yeast and animals (Dubois et al., 1987; Norman et al., 1988; Passmore et al., 1988; Jarvis et al., 1989), suggesting that AG similarly encodes a transcription factor. The region shared by these proteins has been called the MADS domain (Schwarz-Sommer et al., 1990) and is present in a number of recently characterized plant homeotic gene products (Sommer et al., 1990; Huijser et al., 1992; Jack et al., 1992; Mandel et al., 1992b; Tröbner et al., 1992). RNA in situ hybridizations have shown that AG is preferentially expressed in stamens and carpels (Yanofsky et al., 1990), the same organs that are lacking in ag mutant flowers. Further studies have demonstrated that AP2 negatively regulates AG RNA accumulation in whorls one and two of wild-type flowers (Drews et al., 1991). Here we describe the isolation and characterization of the AG homolog from tobacco and describe the phenotype of transgenic tobacco plants that ectopically express this gene.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Screening of cDNA Libraries

Approximately 200,000 plaques were screened with a gelpurified radiolabeled DNA probe specific for the *Arabidopsis AG* cDNA (pCIT565) (Yanofsky et al., 1990). The DNA probes were labeled using the Random Primed Labeling Kit from Boehringer Mannheim according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Hybridizations were done at 55°C in 5× SSPE, 5× Denhardt's solution, 0.5% SDS, 25 μ g/mL salmon sperm DNA for 48 h, followed by one wash in room temperature and three 55°C washes in 5× SSPE, 0.1% SDS. The *Nicotiana tabacum* cv Samsun cDNA library in λ CAT represents RNA isolated from immature stamens (Kelly et al., 1990; A. Kelly and R. Meeks-Wagner, personal communication).

Cloning and Sequence Analyses

cDNAs were subcloned into the Promega vector pGEM7Zf(+) for sequencing. Double-stranded sequencing was performed using the United States Biochemical Sequenase Version 2.0 kit according to protocol. Both strands were

_____ uoc

¹ Supported by National Science Foundation grant DCB-9018749.

^{*} Corresponding author; fax 1–619–534–7108. Abl

Abbreviations: AG, AGAMOUS gene; ap2, apetala2 mutant.

sequenced for each cDNA subclone. DNA and putative protein sequences were analyzed using the MacVector program from IBI (New Haven, CT).

RNA Analyses

RNA analyses were performed on total RNA isolated from flowers and leaves from tobacco plants according to procedures previously described (Crawford et al., 1986). RNA was obtained from leaves and from immature flowers at various stages up to and including the stage at which the flowers are pollinated. Twenty micrograms of RNA were loaded per lane. RNA was size fractionated on a 1% agarose gel containing 2.2 m formaldehyde. The gels were blotted onto Hybond-N Nylon membranes (Amersham) and hybridizations were performed as described (Crawford et al., 1986). The probe was an 800-bp *Hin*dIII fragment of *NAG1* beginning at nucleotide 260 (see Fig. 1) in the pGEM7Zf(+) vector (pKY61) that did not contain the MADS-box region. RNA tissue in situ hybridizations were performed essentially as previously described (Drews et al., 1991).

Generation of Transgenic Plants

The *Eco*RI cDNA fragment containing the entire coding region for the *NAG1* gene was inserted in the transcriptional fusion vector pMON530 (Rogers et al., 1988) in both the sense and antisense orientations. This construct was introduced into *Agrobacterium* strain ASE and used to transform and regenerate *Nicotiana tabacum* var Xanthi plants by standard methods (Horsch et al., 1985). Seeds were collected from the primary regenerants, and all morphological analyses were performed in subsequent generations.

RESULTS

Sequence Analyses

The floral homeotic gene AG plays an important role in *Arabidopsis* flower development. As a start toward characterizing the role of this gene from a distantly related dicotyledonous plant, we isolated and characterized the putative AGhomolog from tobacco (Fig. 1). A tobacco flower-specific cDNA library was screened with a probe specific for the *Arabidopsis* AG gene under reduced stringency conditions. The result was the isolation of a gene designated NAG1, which likely is the tobacco homolog of the *Arabidopsis* AGgene. The deduced NAG1 protein shares approximately 73% identical amino acid residues with AG and is 100% identical in the 56-amino acid MADS domain.

The deduced *Arabidopsis* AG protein is at least 286 amino acids in length with a calculated molecular mass of 32.75 kD and an isoelectric point of 9.61. However, since the translation initiation codon has not been identified, the actual AG protein is likely to be slightly larger. The presumed translation initiation codon of the *NAG1* gene corresponds to amino acid 35 of the published AG protein. *NAG1* codes for a 248-amino acid deduced protein with calculated molecular mass of 28.65 kD and an isoelectric point of 9.56.

- E	GT	GAA	GGT	CCA	TTA	TCC	CTI	TTT	dec	CA	TTC	CATC	TTTC	TAT	TC	CTO	GT	тст	CTI	AA2
1	GA	AGA	GGA	GTG	ААА	AGG	CAA	CCA	TCI	ATC	GAG	AGA	AACA	rc t <i>i</i>	rga:	AG	CAP	TTC	GAC	ATC
1	G	R	G	v	ĸ	R	Q	Р	s	I	Е	R	т	L	D	S	Q	F	D	М
				s			L			s	D	G	G		Е			Y	A	т
1	GA	AAG	TGC	TTC	ACT	GTC	CAA	CGI	AA1	ACG	AC/		GAA	ATC	ceo		ATC	GAG	ATI	
	<u> </u>	<u>г</u>	<u> </u>	-			<u> </u>			1	-	и	5	-	ĸ	K	-	2	*	ň
							~~~											~~~		
	A	V	E	A	D	C	L	V	S	L	E	Y.	A	K	. AA. K	L	L	GGT	N	R
÷								_												
÷			<b>.</b>	۵۵۳		220	600	тат	GAG	ייאיי	CTC	202		AGZ		TCZ	TTC	GTC	ልጥጥ	ידה
÷	A	K	v	s	N	N	A	Ŷ	E	¥	L	R	G	R	5	S	F	v	I	L
5	G						s													
÷.	36	rccr	ልጥጥ	ተርጉ	207	מריזע	220	тса	<b>TCC</b>	GAT	ידרא	TGT	1007		-	тас	AGG	242	ልጥጥ	CA
÷	E	S	I	S	G	T	N	5	s	D	S	c	A	K	K	Y	R	E	I	T
ţ		A	v						N			I								
f	70	1 2 70	202	ልጥጥ	- 2 2	202	cec	CTG		· <b>T</b> CC		GAN		'C AG	יידי	тат	C & G	SCT	лат	:
É	L	N	G	I	Q	A	R	L	ĸ	s	A	E	Q	Q	Y	Y	Q	A	N	A
i	I	s	I			Q			÷.	A	s									Ι
1	TG	TATC	AGA	CTC	AGC	CTG	GCA	GCT	CTG	TCA	GAA	GGT	TTG	ATG		AGG	AAC	CAG	ААТ	AG
-	L	D	R	L	S	L	A	A	L	s	E	G	L	М	N	R	N	Q	N	Q
ł		Е	к	₽		М	s	G	I	т			м	L	Q			s		
1	AG	ATO	AAG	AAA	rcc.	AGA	ATC	ала	AGC	ATT	GGC	ААА	GAA	ATT	ААА	саа	GAA	TG	ААТ	AG
- E E	E	N	ĸ	ĸ	s	R	I	к	s	1	G	ĸ	Е	I	ĸ	Q	E	L	N	ĸ
Ę								R	Ţ		s	R		L	R	G				R
Ē	AT		AAC	CAC	TA	SAT:	ATT	GAA	AĠG	AAG	CAG	ATG	TAC	GAG	ATT	GAA	GCT	TTT	ста	ŤG
÷	N	N	N	н	L	D	I	Е	R	ĸ	Q	м	Y	Е	I	E	A	F	L	L
i.		D					v		I					D			\$			
t i	AG	AGC	CAG	CAG	CAG	CAG	CAG	GCT	AĠA	GAG	АСТ	GAA	GCT	ATT	AAG	GCA	AGA	TG	TAC	AG
100	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	A	Ŗ	Ε	Т	E	A	I	ĸ	A	R	L	Y	Q
ł	P	N	N	-	-	-	-	-			N								I	
1.0		AT-	сто	CAC	сто	TGG	CTT		GÁG	TAT	AGC	тса	AGT	GGG	сса	ATG	TTG.	AC	ATG	AG.
1		Н	P	P	Ρ	۷	L		Ė	Y	s	s	S	G	P	М	L	N	М	Q
Ċ.	Т	Q				м		Q	i.		-		G					s	I	s
1	AC	ACA	lcc2	AA	TG	GT	AAT	STT	cÁA	TTA	ТАТ	AAC	CGA	аст	GAT	TTT	'			AA
÷.	N	N	Т	Q	L	G	N	v	ģ	L	Y	N	R	Т	D	F	_			Q
			P			A	A		1	F				S			P	Q	s	
-	ST	ATG	-	TC:	TAC	:AAC	TTC	ICTO	CĊA	CAA	GAC	CAA	AGA		аст			'AC-	CAT	(
			*	v	L	Q	L	5	P	Q	D	Q	R	_	Т			Y	н	
-								A	ŗ					G	A	s	s			H
- ÷ C	SC	ТАА	TAT	TAC	GAC	GAA	AAG	CAC	AÁC	CTT	CAT	CTA	GCT	TGT	TTT	ATG	CT	CTI	AG	GAJ
	CT	TTG	TAC	TAT	TT	AT.	TACO	TAT	TAT	AAT	CTT	CAA	GAT	GAA	AGT	GGA	TTC	TTC	AGA	TG
ŧ,	GT	GAT	GTT	TT	TTT	TAT	TAT	GA:	AGT	TTA	ATG	TGT	IGT	CAA	CTT	ACT	AG	CTO	GAG	AA'
	- L	CTA	CAF	TGF	AG1	T.T.W	41.14	1.1.1	-110	n CGI	s.r.r.	AJ	TGHO	- 1 A	A۱۵	101		C16	101	cc.

**Figure 1.** Sequence of the *NAG1* cDNA and deduced amino acid sequence. Below the *NAG1* sequence are amino acid differences in the predicted AG protein. The MADS domain is underlined. A dash (-) indicates a space inserted for optimizing alignment; an asterisk (*) indicates a stop codon.

## **RNA Analyses**

To begin to determine the pattern of NAG1 RNA accumulation in the tobacco plant, RNA was isolated from wild-type leaves and flowers and hybridized with a NAG1-specific probe. Probes for RNA analyses were chosen from the 3' portion of the cDNA to avoid cross-hybridization with other genes containing the MADS box. RNA blot analyses demonstrate that this gene is expressed preferentially in floral tissue, since no NAG1-specific RNA was detected in leaf tissue (data not shown).

To determine the temporal and spatial pattern of NAG1 RNA accumulation in wild-type tobacco flowers, tissue in situ hybridizations were performed. NAG1 RNA is first detectable during stage 2 of flower development (Fig. 2A), at which time the first sepal primordium arises (Mandel et al., 1992a. Note: This corresponds to approximately stage 3 of Arabidopsis flower development [Smyth et al., 1990]). No NAG1 RNA is detected in younger flowers or in the inflo-



**Figure 2.** *NAG1* expression in wild-type tobacco. In situ hybridizations were performed on 8- $\mu$ m thick, longitudinal sections of *N. tabacum*. The tissue was subsequently stained with toluene blue. Bright-field photos are shown on the left and dark-field photos of the same section are shown on the right. A stage-2 flower (A) has a high level of signal in the innermost cells, which will give rise to the third- and fourth-whorl organs, stamens, and carpels, respectively. A stage-5 (B) and later-stage flower (C) reveal RNA accumulation only in stamen and carpel primordia.

rescence meristem. During stage 2, *NAG1* RNA is detected in the center of the incipient flower in those cells that will later give rise to the stamens and carpels. By stage 5, at which time the primordia for petals and stamens are apparent, expression is uniform over the stamen primordia and in the cells that will later develop into the carpels. Later in development, *NAG1* RNA accumulates in stamens and carpels and is not detected in sepals and petals (Fig. 2, B and C). Within the developing carpels, expression is strongest in the region forming the ovary.

## **Ectopic Expression of NAG1**

Recent studies have shown that ectopic expression of AG1 results in a conversion of sepals and petals into carpels and stamens, respectively (Mandel et al., 1992a; Mizukami and Ma, 1992). To provide further evidence that NAG1 is the cognate homolog of AG, and to further investigate the role of NAG1 in tobacco flower development, the NAG1 cDNA was transcriptionally fused to the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter (Odell et al., 1985; Benfey and Chua, 1990) in

1044

both the sense and antisense orientations and introduced into wild-type tobacco plants. Thirty-three independently transformed plants were regenerated that express the *NAG1* cDNA in the sense orientation. Although the majority of these plants displayed a strong phenotype analogous to severe alleles of the *Arabidopsis ap2* mutant, a few plants displayed a less severe phenotype, similar to weak alleles of *ap2*.

Before describing the phenotype of the transgenic plants, it is first necessary to briefly review the structure of wildtype tobacco flowers (Fig. 3A), which has been described in detail previously (Hicks and Sussex, 1970; Koltunow et al., 1990; Mandel et al., 1992a). The first whorl of wild-type flowers is occupied by five sepals that are connately fused for most of their lengths. The second whorl is occupied by five petals that are also connately fused along much of their lengths, and these organs alternate with the first-whorl sepals. Five stamens, each consisting of a long filament capped by a pollen-bearing anther, occupy the third whorl, and these organs are adnately fused to the second-whorl petals. The fourth whorl is occupied by a two-carpellate gynoecium.

The overall structure of the flowers with the sense orientation of the 35S-NAG1 construct consists of carpelloid firstand fourth-whorl organs (Fig. 3, B and E) and staminoid second- and third-whorl organs (Fig. 3, F and G). In most cases, the numbers and positions of organs in each whorl was the same as in wild-type flowers. However, as a result of the fusion of first-whorl carpelloid organs, the growth and development of the inner-whorl organs was impeded, causing them to be distorted in shape and to turn brown prematurely. Thus, the resulting flowers resembled enlarged gynoecia. Although the second-whorl petals are replaced by stamens, the second- and third-whorl organs remain adnately fused as in wild type. However, whereas in wild-type flowers the fused second-whorl organs completely enclose the inner whorls of organs, the second-whorl organs of the transgenic flowers consist of long filaments with anthers that are not fused along most of their lengths (Fig. 3G). In transgenic plants that displayed a less severe phenotype, the secondwhorl organs consisted of staminoid petals, similar to the phenotype described for weak alleles of the Arabidopsis ap2 mutant (Bowman et al., 1991).

In contrast to Arabidopsis, flowers of tobacco are usually subtended by leaf-like organs called bracts (Fig. 3C). The bracts on 35S-NAG1 transgenic plants are abnormally shaped (Fig. 3B) and often display a conversion toward carpelloid organs with differentiated stigmatic tissue (compare Fig. 3, C and D). In contrast, vegetative leaves did not show this conversion.

In Arabidopsis, mutations in the AG gene result in petals developing in place of stamens and a new flower developing in place of the gynoecium. To determine if a decrease in NAG1 expression in tobacco results in a similar phenotype, flowers of 35S-NAG1 antisense transgenic plants were analyzed. Forty-six transgenic lines carrying the 35S-NAG1 antisense construct were generated, although only 10 of these displayed a phenotype that differed from wild-type flowers. Plants carrying the 35S-NAG1 antisense construct showed a partial conversion of stamens into petals (Fig. 3, H–K), as anticipated for a partial suppression of the wild-type NAG1 gene activity. The extent of this phenotype varied considerably from a nearly complete conversion of stamens into petals to a partial conversion in which the third-whorl organs consisted of a nearly wild-type-appearing stamen with petaloid tissue at the tips of the anthers. Although the fourthwhorl organs developed as carpels as in the wild type, the resulting carpels often did not fuse properly (Fig. 3I). It is unclear why the carpels were not converted into new flowers, as in the *Arabidopsis ag* mutant, but perhaps the levels of expression of the antisense transgene are insufficient to inactivate endogenous *NAG1* activity.

## DISCUSSION

The Arabidopsis floral homeotic gene AG encodes a putative transcription factor that has several roles in flower development. First, AG is involved in the specification of starnens and carpels, since these organs fail to develop in *ag* mutant flowers. Second, AG suppresses the indeterminate growth of the floral meristem in that *ag* mutants display an indeterminate number of whorls resulting in a "flower within a flower" phenotype. Third, genetic studies indicate that AG prevents the activity of AP2 in the third and fourth whorls of wild-type flowers (Bowman et al., 1991).

Similar classes of homeotic mutants have been described in a wide variety of taxonomically distant plant species, suggesting that homologous mechanisms exist to control flower development (Meyerowitz et al., 1989; Coen and Meyerowitz, 1991). Indeed, the AG, APETALA3, LEAFY, and APETALA1 genes of Arabidopsis all have counterparts in the distantly related plant A. majus (Coen et al., 1990; Sommer et al., 1990; Huijser et al., 1992; Jack et al., 1992; Mandel et al., 1992b; Weigel et al., 1992; Bradley et al., 1993).

To provide additional insights into the nature of AG gene function, and to determine if the observations in other plant species can be extended to another dicotyledonous plant, we isolated and characterized the AG gene from N. tabacum, designated NAG1. The deduced NAG1 protein shares 73% identical amino acid residues with its Arabidopsis counterpart, and within the 56-amino acid MADS domain they are 100% identical. Since this region is part of a sequence-specific DNA binding domain, this suggests that NAG1 and AG recognize the same nucleotide sequence.

Like AG, the NAG1 gene is preferentially expressed in flowers. NAG1 RNA is first detected in young flowers at about the time during which the first sepal primordium arises. At this time, NAG1 RNA is detected only in the center of the incipient flower in those cells that later will give rise to stamens and carpels. At all later stages of flower development, NAG1 RNA accumulates only in stamens and carpels and is never detected in sepals and petals. Thus, the expression pattern of NAG1 RNA closely resembles that of AG in Arabidopsis (Yanofsky et al., 1990; Drews et al., 1991) and the recently isolated PLENA gene from Antirrhinum, the cognate homolog of AG (Bradley et al., 1993).

Transgenic plants that ectopically express the NAG1 gene from the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter display homeotic conversions of perianth organs into reproductive organs. Similar alterations in flower development were observed in transgenic plants that ectopically express either the *Arabidop*sis or *Brassica AG* genes (Mandel et al., 1992a; Mizukami and

## Tobacco AGAMOUS Gene



**Figure 3.** Phenotypes of wild-type and transgenic tobacco. A, Wild-type tobacco flower. B, Inflorescence of a 35S-NAG1 sense plant showing conversion of outer-whorl sepals into carpelloid organs that enclose internal organs. C, Wild-type bract. D, 35S-NAG1 sense plant showing a carpelloid structure, with stigmatic tissue at its tip, which develops in place of a bract. E, Wild-type (left) and 35S-NAG1 sense flower buds (right) at equivalent stages. First-whorl organs that develop as sepals in wild type develop as carpelloid organs in the transgenic plant. F, Wild-type (left) and 35S-NAG1 sense flower buds (right) at equivalent stages. NAG1 sense flower buds (right) at equivalent stages in which the first-whorl organs have been removed. The fused second-whorl petal organs are apparent in the wild-type flower and are converted into stamens in the transgenic plant. Arrows indicate the positions of the second- and third-whorl organs. G, A portion of the alternating second- and third-whorl organs dissected from a 35S-NAG1 sense flower to allow visualization of the conversion of petals into stamens. H–K, Flowers of plant carrying the 35S-NAG1 antisense construct. H, Flower displays an intermediate phenotype with partial conversion of stamens into petals. I, Third- and fourth-whorl organs of an intermediate phenotype plant. Arrow indicates unfused carpels. J, The distal portion of an anther shows conversion to petaloid tissue. K, Strong phenotype plant shows more complete conversion of third-whorl organs to petaloid tissue.

Ma, 1992). It has also been recently shown that dominant mutations in the *Antirrhinum* gene *PLENA* result in a similar conversion of perianth into reproductive organs (Bradley et al., 1993). Furthermore, plants that express the *NAG1* cDNA

in the antisense orientation show a partial conversion of stamens into petals, a phenotype resembling that of mutations in the AG gene of *Arabidopsis*. Taken together, the nucleotide sequence analysis, RNA expression data, and transgenic phenotypes all support the conclusion that NAG1 represents the tobacco homolog of the Arabidopsis AG gene. Furthermore, since AG homologs in distantly related plant species are expressed in a similar manner, the regulatory interactions leading to the temporal and spatial pattern of AG expression have apparently been conserved throughout the evolution of these distantly related plant species.

The absence of all three classes of organ identity genes, such as in the *ap2 ap3 ag* triple mutant, produces a "ground state" floral organ (a carpelloid leaf) (Bowman et al., 1991; Coen and Meyerowitz, 1991). Although the plants transgenic for the 35S-NAG1 construct do not convert vegetative leaves into carpelloid organs, the bracts are converted into carpelloid organs. This suggests that the previously described ground state floral organ may resemble a bract rather than a vegetative leaf. In addition, these data suggest that one or more factors present in bracts but absent from vegetative leaves are required together with NAG1 to convert vegetative leaves into carpels.

Genetic studies in a wide variety of distantly related dicotyledonous plants have identified homeotic mutants that display similar alterations in floral structure. We have shown that tobacco has a homolog of the *Arabidopsis* floral homeotic gene *AG*, and that the tobacco *NAG1* gene functions to specify the identity of reproductive organs. These studies lend further support to the conclusions that the factors controlling flower development have been highly conserved throughout the evolution of dicotyledonous plants.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank T. Araki and D. Weigel for critical reading of the manuscript, and A. Kelly and R. Meeks-Wagner for the tobacco cDNA library.

Received June 22, 1993; accepted August 15, 1993.

Copyright Clearance Center: 0032-0889/93/103/1041/06.

The GenBank accession number for the sequence reported in this article is L23925.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Benfey PN, Chua N-H (1990) The cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter: combinatorial regulation of transcription in plants. Science 250: 959–966
- Bowman JL, Smyth DR, Meyerowitz EM (1989) Genes directing flower development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 1: 37-52
- Bowman JL, Smyth DR, Meyerowitz EM (1991) Genetic interactions among floral homeotic genes of *Arabidopsis*. Development 112: 1–20
- Bradley D, Carpenter R, Sommer H, Hartley N, Coen E (1993) Complementary floral homeotic phenotypes result from opposite orientations of a transposon at the plena locus of Antirrhinum. Cell 72: 85-95
- Coen ES, Meyerowitz EM (1991) The war of the whorls: genetic interactions controlling flower development. Nature 353: 31–37
- **Coen ES, Romero JM, Doyle S, Elliot R, Murphy G, Carpenter R** (1990) *Floricaula*: a homeotic gene required for flower development in *Antirrhinum majus*. Cell **63**: 1311–1322
- Crawford N, Campbell WH, Davis RW (1986) Nitrate reductase from squash: cDNA cloning and nitrate regulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 83: 8073–8076
- **Drews GN, Bowman JL, Meyerowitz EM** (1991) Negative regulation of the *Arabidopsis* homeotic gene *AGAMOUS* by the *APETALA2* product. Cell **65**: 991–1002

Dubois E, Bercy J, Descamps F, Messenguy F (1987) Characterization of two new genes essential for vegetative growth in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: nucleotide sequence determination and chromosome mapping. Gene 55: 265–275

1

- Hicks GS, Sussex IM (1970) Development in vitro of excised flower primordia of Nicotiana tabacum. Can J Bot 48: 133-139
- Horsch RB, Fry JE, Hoffmann NL, Eichholtz D, Rogers SG, Fraley RT (1985) A simple and general method for transferring genes into plants. Science 227: 1229–1231
- Huijser P, Klein J, Lonnig W-E, Meijer H, Saedler H, Sommer H (1992) Bracteomania, an inflorescence anomaly, is caused by the loss of function of the MADS box gene squamosa in Antirrhinum majus. EMBO J 11: 1239-1249
- Jack T, Brockman LL, Meyerowitz EM (1992) The homeotic gene APETALA3 of Arabidopsis thaliana encodes a MADS box and is expressed in petals and stamens. Cell 68: 683–688
- Jarvis EE, Clark KL, Sprague GF (1989) The yeast transcription activator PRTF, a homolog of the mammalian serum response factor, is encoded by the MCM1 gene. Genes Dev 3: 936-945
- Kelly AJ, Zagotta MT, White RA, Chang C, Meeks-Wagner DR (1990) Identification of genes expressed in the tobacco shoot apex during the floral transition. Plant Cell 2: 963–972
- Koltunow AM, Truettner J, Cox KH, Wallroth M, Goldberg RB (1990) Different temporal and spatial gene expression patterns occur during anther development. Plant Cell 2: 1201-1224
- Mandel MA, Bowman JL, Kempin SA, Ma H, Meyerowitz EM, Yanofsky MF (1992a) Manipulation of flower structure in transgenic tobacco. Cell 71: 133–143
- Mandel MA, Gustafson-Brown C, Savidge B, Yanofsky MF (1992b) Molecular characterization of the Arabidopsis floral homeotic gene APETALA1. Nature 360: 273–277
- Meyerowitz EM, Smyth DR, Bowman JL (1989) Abnormal flowers and pattern formation in floral development. Development 106: 209-217
- Mizukami Y, Ma H (1992) Ectopic expression of the floral homeotic gene AGAMOUS in transgenic Arabidopsis plants alters floral organ identity. Cell 71: 119–131
- **Norman C, Runswick M, Pollock R** (1988) Isolation and properties of cDNA clones encoding SRF, a transcription factor that binds to the *c*-fos serum response element. Cell **55**: 989–1003
- Odell JT, Nagy F, Chua N-H (1985) Identification of DNA sequences required for activity of the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter. Nature 313: 810–812
- Passmore S, Maine GT, Elble R, Christ C, Tye BK (1988) A Saccharomyces cerevisiae protein involved in plasmid maintenance is necessary for mating of MATa cells. J Mol Biol 204: 593-606
- Rogers SG, Klee HJ, Horsch RB, Fraley RT (1988) Improved vectors for plant transformation: expression cassette vectors and new selectable markers. Methods Enzymol 153: 253-277
- Schwarz-Sommer Z, Huijser P, Nacken W, Saedler H, Sommer H (1990) Genetic control of flower development: homeotic genes in *Antirrhinum majus.* Science **250**: 931–936
- Smyth DR, Bowman JL, Meyerowitz EM (1990) Early flower development in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 2: 755-767
- Sommer H, Beltran J-P, Huijser P, Pape H, Lonnig W-E, Saedler H, Schwarz-Sommer Z (1990) *Deficiens*, a homeotic gene involved in the control of flower morphogenesis in *Antirrhinum majus*: the protein shows homology to transcription factors. EMBO J 9: 605-613
- Tröbner W, Ramirez L, Motte P, Hue I, Huijser P, Lonnig W, Saedler H, Sommer H, Schwarz-Sommer Z (1992) GLOBOSA: a homeotic gene which interacts with DEFICIENS in the control of Antirrhinum floral organogenesis. EMBO J 11: 4693–4704
- Weigel D, Alvarez J, Smyth DR, Yanofsky MF, Meyerowitz EM (1992) *LEAFY* controls floral meristem identity in *Arabidopsis*. Cell 69: 843–859
- Yanofsky MF, Ma H, Bowman JL, Drews G, Feldmann K, Meyerowitz EM (1990) The protein encoded by the *Arabidopsis* homeotic gene *AGAMOUS* resembles transcription factors. Nature 346: 35-39