# Partitioning of the Leaf CO<sub>2</sub> Exchange into Components **Using C02 Exchange and Fluorescence Measurements'**

## **Agu Laisk\* and Astrid Sumberg**

lnstitute of Molecular and Cell Biology, Tartu University, **181** Riia Street, Tartu, Estonia EE2400

**Photorespiration was calculated from chlorophyll fluorescence and ribulose-l,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) kinetics and compared with CO, evolution rate in the light, measured by three gas-exchange methods in mature sunflower (Helian***thus annuus* **1.) leaves. The gas-exchange methods were (a) post**illumination CO<sub>2</sub> burst at unchanged CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, (b) **postillumination CO, burst with simultaneous transfer into COz**free air, and (c) extrapolation of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake to zero  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentration at Rubisco active sites. The steady-state CO<sub>2</sub> compensation point was proportional to O<sub>2</sub> concentration, revealing the Rubisco specificity coefficient  $(K_{\rm SD})$  of 86. Electron transport **rate** *(ETR)* **was calculated from fluorescence, and photorespiration rate was calculated from** *ETR* **using CO, and** *0,* **concentrations,**   $K_{\text{so}}$  and diffusion resistances. The values of the best-fit mesophyll diffusion resistance for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  ranged between 0.3 and 0.8 s cm<sup>-1</sup>. **Comparison of the gas-exchange and fluorescence data showed that only ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) carboxylation and pho**torespiratory CO<sub>2</sub> evolution were present at limiting CO<sub>2</sub> concen**trations. Carboxylation of a substrate other than RuBP, in addition to RuBP carboxylation, was detected at high** *CO,* **concentrations. A simultaneous decarboxylation process not related to RuBP oxy**genation was also detected at high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the light. We propose that these processes reflect carboxylation of phospho**enolpyruvate, formed from phosphoglyceric acid and the subsequent decarboxylation of malate.** 

Photorespiration of  $C_3$  plants was discovered by Decker (1955) from measurements of a postillumination  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  burst. Photorespiration can also be observed as  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  evolution after rapid transfer of the leaf into a CO<sub>2</sub>-free gas (Forrester et al., 1966). Since the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration at which photosynthesis and photorespiration equilibrate was found to be proportional to *02* concentration and independent of light intensity, it was suggested that CO, and *0,* compete for the primary acceptor RuBP at the carboxylase/oxygenase sites (Laisk, 1970). This was proven with the partially purified enzyme (Ogren and Bowes, 1971) and at present it is generally accepted that photorespiration is a result of the functioning of the glycolate cycle (Hess and Tolbert, 1966; Kisaki and Tolbert, 1970; Lorimer, 1981).

Measurements of photorespiration are complicated because reassimilation of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  obscures the true  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  evolution rate in the light. Reassimilation in leaf intercellular spaces can be accounted for by calculating the intercellular  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentra-

tion (Laisk and Oja, 1972). The postillumination photorespiratory CO, burst is also partially reassimilated by the assimilatory charge (Laisk et al., 1984; Laisk et al., 1987; Sharkey, 1988), which is closely equivalent to the pool of RuBP present in the leaf when illumination is interrupted. Calculations of reassimilation in the mesophyll cells are complicated, since the CO, transport resistance in the mesophyll cells is difficult to determine (Evans et al., 1986; von Caemmerer and Evans, 1991; Harley et al., 1992; Loreto et al., 1992). The mechanism based on the competition of CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> at Rubisco has generally been found to fit the experimental data (Laisk, 1970; Laisk and Oja, 1972; Peterson, 1987, 1989; Comic and Briantais, 1991). The range of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  pressures applied in these measurements has usually been below  $600$   $\mu$ bars, which has left out the interesting range of depression of photorespiration by  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . At saturating  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ evolution in the light proceeds faster than predicted from the theory, and this  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is evolved from freshly assimilated carbon pools. This has led to suggestions that the  $CO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>$ competition mechanism of photorespiration is not adequate at high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations (Bravdo and Canvin, 1979; Keerberg et al., 1983; Pamik, 1985).

In this work we have used a fast-response gas-exchange system for the measurements of photorespiration over a wide range of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations. Simultaneously, electron transport rates were determined from fluorescence measurements. This allowed a comparison of the rates of photorespiration obtained from gas exchange with those calculated from the electron transport rate. As a result we can distinguish four components of gas exchange in the light. We confirm that at high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations photorespiration is suppressed, as predicted by the Rubisco kinetics. Instead, a carboxylation and a decarboxylation, not directly related to the photosynthetic electron transport, dominate at these CO, concentrations.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Sunflower *(Helianthus annuus* L.) plants were grown in a growth chamber at a PFD of 46 nmol  $cm^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, 18/6 h day/

<sup>&#</sup>x27; **This work was supported by Estonian Science Foundation, grant** 

<sup>\*</sup> **Corresponding author; fax 372-7-430365.** 

Abbreviations: B(CO<sub>2</sub>), postillumination CO<sub>2</sub> burst in the presence of a stated concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>; B(0), postillumination CO<sub>2</sub> burst in **the absence of CO,; C,, chloroplast CO, concentration;** *ETR,* **electron transport rate;**  $\Gamma$ , **steady-state CO<sub>2</sub> compensation point;**  $\Gamma^*$ **, CO<sub>2</sub>** photocompensation point;  $K_{sp}$ , Rubisco specificity coefficient; P(CO<sub>2</sub>), **net C02 assimilation rate;** *PAD,* **photon absorption density; PFD, 108, and International Science Foundation, grant LCS000.**  $\bullet$  **ion in the light;**  $r_{\text{md}}$ , CO<sub>2</sub> transp<br> **CO2 CO2 EXECUS 6** photon flux density; PGA, 3-phosphoglyceric acid; R<sub>L</sub>, total respiration in the light;  $r_{\text{md}}$ , CO<sub>2</sub> transport resistance in mesophyll cells;

night cycle, air temperature 28/20°C day/night, RH 50 to 60% by day, on well-fertilized peat-soil mixture in 4-L pots. Attached upper, fully expanded leaves of 4-week-old plants were used.

The gas-exchange apparatus contained two open gas-flow systems (channels A and B) in which the gas composition could be adjusted separately (Oja, 1983; Laisk et al., 1984). An Infralyt **3** analyzer (Junkalor, Dresden, Germany) was used for the recording of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration at the end of channel A, and an LI-6262 analyzer (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE) was used in channel B. Water vapor was recorded by psychrometers in each channel. Gas was dried to a constant humidity in small Peltier-cooled condensers before entering the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  analyzers. During the measurements a part of the leaf was enclosed in a sandwich-type cuvette (4.4 x 4.4 **X**  0.3 cm<sup>3</sup>, gas flow rate 20 cm<sup>3</sup>  $s^{-1}$ ). For temperature stabilization the leaf blade was fixed with starch paste directly to the cuvette window, which was thermostated by water from the other side. Water temperature was  $21.5$ °C, and leaf temperature was 22.2°C in the light. Gas exchange proceeded via the lower epidermis of the leaf only. **As** a result, the COzlimited gas-exchange rates were probably somewhat reduced. This did not influence the values of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  bursts nor the other results that were based on calculated chloroplast  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentration.

The leaf chamber could be connected with the gas flow of either channel A or channel B by a special stopcock, which made it possible to rapidly change the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration in the leaf chamber to the levels preadjusted in the channels. While the chamber was in channel **A,** channel B was circuited through an equivalent resistance so that the gas analyzer in channel B showed the reference line and that in channel **A**  showed the leaf response and vice versa when the chamber was connected with channel B. The full response time of the system, determined mainly by gas flow: volume ratios, was 2 s for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  with the LI-6262 in channel B. Channel A was used mainly for the preconditioning of the leaf to its steady state and channel B was used for the measurement of the fast leaf responses.

The  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  analyzers were calibrated by means of dynamic capillary gas mixers with  $1\%$  accuracy in the range from  $0$  to 2000 ppm  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (Oja, 1983).  $C<sub>c</sub>$  was calculated as outlined by Laisk (1977), taking into account the effect of water vapor counterflow for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  diffusion in stomatal pores, the solubility of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in cell water, and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  transport resistance in cells. Following the precedent laid down by Brown and Escombe (1900) and Laisk and Oja (1971, 1972), we express  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  as its concentration, not as partial pressure or mole fraction as suggested by Cowan (1977). Accordingly, the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $O<sub>2</sub>$ will be expressed in  $\mu$ M (nmol  $cm^{-3}$ ), rates (including photon flux rate) in nmol  $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ , and diffusion resistances in s cm-'. These units are compatible with molar concentrations of metabolites and allow us to calculate the Rubisco specificity factor in vivo. The Bunsen solubility constants of 0.89 for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and 0.029 for  $O<sub>2</sub>$  at 22.2°C were applied. We still use mole fraction (ppm) to denote the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration at the inlet of the leaf chamber.

Three procedures for determining photorespiration were used: (a) postillumination  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  burst without changing the *C02* concentration, (b) postillumination COz burst with simultaneously changing to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -free gas, and (c) initial  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ evolution into  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -free gas in the light, corrected for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ reassimilation .

A special, six-branch fiber optic was designed for leaf illumination and optical measurements. Plastic fibers of 1 mm diameter (Toray polymer optical fiber, PF-series, from Laser Components, Gröbenzell/München, Germany) were arranged into a bundle of  $45 \times 45$  mm<sup>2</sup>, which was attached to the leaf cuvette. The free ends of the fibers were divided into branches; two were used for illumination by actinic light and saturation pulses and two for excitation and measurement of the Chl fluorescence. Chl fluorescence was measured by a PAM 101 fluorometer (H. Walz, Effeltrich, Germany) at two spots of  $10 \times 20$  mm symmetrically placed over the leaf chamber, avoiding the midrib. **A** Schott KL 1500 light source was used for actinic illumination and a 1000-W DC xenon arc lamp, equipped with a cold mirror and a heat-reflecting filter, provided saturation flashes of 800 nmol  $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ , of 1 s duration. The electron transport rate was calculated as proposed by Genty et al. (1989):  $\approx$  20 nm symmetricany praced over the team<br> *ng* the midrib. A Schott KL 1500 light source<br>
tinic illumination and a 1000-VV DC xenon<br> *ed* with a cold mirror and a heat-reflecting<br>
atturation flashes of 800 nmol cm<sup>-2</sup>

$$
ETR = \frac{F'_{m} - F}{F'_{m}} \times PAD \times 0.5
$$
 (1)

where *PAD* was measured by the LI-190SB quantum sensor (Li-Cor) and multiplied for the leaf absorbance measured in an integrating sphere with the same actinic light and sensor F is stedy-state fluorescence yield; and  $F'_m$  is fluorescence yield in saturation flashes. The assumption that 0.5 *PAD* was absorbed by PSII gave reasonably good coincidence between the fluorescence and gas-exchange data under nonphotorespiratory conditions.

## **RESULTS**

## **Measuring Respiration in the Light by Transienls of the CO, Exchange**

Postillumination  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  burst is the simplest method for the measurement of photorespiration and is based on the consideration of the different decay kinetics of photosynthesis and photorespiration. Such a postillumination transient at normal atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $O<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations is shown in Figure 1 (curve 1). The  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake approached zero after 5 s and the rate of COz evolution peaked **13** s from darkening. From this recording it is evident that the maximum rate for the postillumination  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  burst  $B(CO<sub>2</sub>)$  (arrow) is a compromise between the postillumination  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reassimilation in the first 10 s and a declining rate of photorespiration. In Figure **2** the same experiment was repeated with  $2000$  ppm  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , which would severely suppress photorespiration. There was no CO, burst and at 13 s from the transition to darkness the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ evolution rate was still increasing.

Curve **2** in Figure 1 is the postillumination CO, burst after transition of the leaf into  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -free air of channel B simultaneously with darkening, *B(0).* With this method we avoided the uptake of external  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  during the postillumination  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ burst (but not reassimilation of the photorespiratory  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ ). To bypass the big flux of dissolved  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , which evolved from the leaf during the first **2** s (Oja et al., 1986), the gas flow was not connected with the analyzer of channel B for *2* s



**Figure 1.** Postillumination transients in CO<sub>2</sub> exchange rates. Sunflower leaf was exposed at 300 ppm  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , 21%  $O<sub>2</sub>$ , PAD of 120 nmol  $cm^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, in steady state. At time = 0 light was switched off (curve 1). In another, similar experiment  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration was changed to O simultaneously with darkening by switching the leaf chamber from channel A to channel B (curve 2). Values of the postillumination  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  burst in the presence,  $B(CO<sub>2</sub>)$ , and absence, *B*(O), of CO<sub>2</sub> were read at arrows.

from the moment of the transition. **As** a result, the analyzer of channel B continued to record its baseline for the first **2** s in the dark, as seen from curve *2* in Figure 1.

ln COz-free air the postillumination CO, burst, *B(O),* was greater and the maximum occurred earlier than in the presence of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . After 60 s the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  evolution declined to a minimum and then increased again (not shown in Figs. 1 and 2). The difference between the two curves for the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  bursts in Figure 1 shows that there was a small postillumination CO, uptake component in gas exchange that shifted the  $B(CO<sub>2</sub>)$  curve upward. In similar experiments started after photosynthesis with 2000 ppm CO, (Fig. *2,* curve **2),** the fast initial burst (until **7** s) was probably desolubilization of residual  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . However, the subsequent time course of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ evolution did not represent photorespiration but reflected another metabolic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  evolution process with a relaxation



**Figure 2.** The same experiment as in Figure 1, except that the leaf was previously exposed in steady state to 2000 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>. Recordings ended with switching the leaf chamber back to channel A to establish the reference line.

time of about 50 s. Because  $B(CO<sub>2</sub>)$  in the presence of 2000 ppm CO, was considerably higher than **B(O),** the altemative carboxylation process was also present at this  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration. Thus, the two postillumination  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  exchange curves, one recorded in the presence and the other in the absence of COz, reveal two simultaneous processes, a carboxylation and a decarboxylation, which have similar relaxation times of about 50 *s.* 

The third gas-exchange method to measure photorespiration was the extrapolation of the.linear part of the *P* versus  $C_c$  response curve to  $C_c = 0$ . This method has been used primarily starting from limiting  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations. When used from saturating CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, fast recording is necessary to see the initial photorespiration rate before it increases at low  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  levels in the light. Two recordings made starting from 2000 ppm  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , one to 100 and the other to 0 ppm, are shown in Figure **3.** Gas was flushed out during **2** s after the transition, as in the case of curve **2** in Figure **2.** The slow changes in the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  exchange were extrapolated to the beginning of the recordings, disregarding the initial peak of COz desolubilization. The values obtained **(A** and B) were assumed to correspond to the previous  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  evolution at 2000 ppm. In Figure 4 the points **A** and B are plotted against the  $C_c$  values, calculated for the same initial rates (curve 4). Extrapolation of this plot to  $C_c = 0$  represents the sum of all  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  evolution processes in the light at 2000 ppm  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $R<sub>L</sub>$ . Figure 4 also shows other, similar  $P$  versus  $C_c$  plots from transients starting from 0, 100, and 300 ppm  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (curves 1-3). It must be emphasized that the CO<sub>2</sub> compensation points obtained by interpolation between the two transient measurements are apparent, representing a varying equilibrium between rapidly increasing photosynthesis and slowly increasing photorespiration. The transient from O to 100 ppm may also be influenced by the partia1 deactivation of Rubisco.

 $\Gamma$  was found from the plot of the steady-state CO<sub>2</sub> exchange rates (filled squares in Fig. **4).** The values of **l'** were found from similar experiments at **1.1,** 10, and 50% *0,* and plotted against the dissolved *0,* concentration (Fig. *5).* The



**Figure 3.** Transients in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  exchange rate after changing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentration in the light. The leaf was exposed at 2000 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>, 21%  $O_2$ , in steady state. At time =  $O$   $CO_2$  concentration was changed to 100 ppm **or** to O. The gas-exchange rate was extrapolated to the beginning of the transient disregarding the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  desolubilization peak (A and B).

proportionality of  $\Gamma$  with  $O_2$  concentration (Forrester et al., 1966; Laisk, 1977) was confirmed with great accuracy. The straight line in Figure *5* extrapolates very close to the origin of coordinates. This indicates that primarily photorespiratory  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  evolution was present in the light at  $\Gamma$  in mature sunflower leaves, with very little "dark" respiration. In a younger leaf this plot showed a small residual respiration, which did not disappear with photorespiration when *O2* approached zero. According to Laisk (1970) and Farquhar et al. (1980) the slope of this line is characteristic for the  $CO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>$  specificity of Rubisco in vivo,  $K_{sp} = 0.5[O_2]/\Gamma = 86$ . In the younger leaf  $K_{sp}$  = 92. The value of  $K_{sp}$  = 86 was used in the analysis of the fluorescence data with the aim of finding the photorespiratory rate in an independent way.

## The Influence of CO<sub>2</sub> Concentration on Respiration **in the Light**

Measurements similar to those in Figures 1 to **4** were canied out at different CO, and O, concentrations. Figure **6** shows the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  dependencies of  $P(CO<sub>2</sub>)$ ,  $B(CO<sub>2</sub>)$ ,  $B(0)$ , and  $R<sub>L</sub>$ , as revealed from the extrapolation of the *P* versus C<sub>c</sub> plot. At  $1.1\%$  O<sub>2</sub>, RuBP oxygenation and, correspondingly, photorespiration were very low. The difference between the two  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ burst measurements,  $B(CO_2)$  and  $B(0)$ , remained, but  $B(CO_2)$ showed slightly positive values (it was always measured **13**  s after the darkening). This result indicates that a postillumination  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake process and not suppression of respiration at higher  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  levels was the cause of the difference between  $B(CO_2)$  and  $B(0)$ . The maximum of  $B(CO_2)$  at low  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations may be an artifact caused by lasting RuBP carboxylation.

In 21% *02, ETR* was maximal at C, values around *5* to 8  $\mu$ M which are typical for leaves with open stomata under normal atmospheric conditions. It declines toward lower CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations because of the lack of sufficient electron ac-



Chloroplast CO<sub>2</sub>concentration (Cc), µM

Figure 4. Determining CO<sub>2</sub> evolution in the light, R<sub>L</sub>, by extrapolation of the **P** versus  $C_c$  curve to  $C_c = 0$ . Data points A and B from Figure *3* are plotted against calculated C, (line **4).** Other, similar transitions are from O ppm (steady state) to **100** ppm (line **1)** from 100 ppm (steady state) to O ppm (line **2),** and from **300** ppm (steady state) to 100 ppm and 0 ppm (line 3, extrapolation to  $C_c = 0$  to find **RL is** shown for this line). Filled squares represent the steady-state CO<sub>2</sub> curve used to find  $\Gamma$  at 21% O<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure 5.** Dependence of  $\Gamma$  on the  $O_2$  concentration in liquid phase of mesophyll cells.

ceptor and also toward higher  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations due to the inhibition of photorespiration by  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . At  $\Gamma$  (the situation that occurs with closed stomata when no net  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  fixation is possible) *ETR* was reduced by only half. It was maintained so high due to the simultaneous turnover of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  by the carbon reduction and glycolate cycles. Similar measurements done at 10 and 50% *O2* with the same leaf showed that the maximum *ETR* occurred at **21%** *02.* 

The theory of photorespiration predicts a tertain ratio of oxygenation and carboxylation  $(V_o/V_c)$  at any given  $[O_2]/$ [CO<sub>2</sub>] ratio (Farquhar and von Caemmerer, 1982):

$$
\frac{V_{o}}{V_{c}} = \frac{V_{omax}}{K_{mo}} \times \frac{K_{mc}}{V_{cmax}} \times \frac{[O_{2}]}{[CO_{2}]} = \frac{1}{K_{sp}} \times \frac{[O_{2}]}{[CO_{2}]} \tag{2}
$$

where

$$
\frac{V_{\text{omax}}}{K_{\text{mo}}} \times \frac{K_{\text{mc}}}{V_{\text{cmax}}} = \frac{1}{K_{\text{sp}}}
$$
(3)

Denoting the true photosynthetic rate  $F = V_c$  and the photorespiration rate  $R_p = 0.5V_0$  we have

$$
\frac{F}{R_{\rm p}} = 2 \times K_{\rm sp} \times \frac{[\rm{CO}_2]}{[\rm{O}_2]} \tag{4}
$$

Replacing the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  fluxes by the corresponding electron flow rates, denoted here as J, we have

$$
J_{\rm F} = 4 \times F \tag{5}
$$

and

$$
J_{\rm Rp} = 8 \times R_{\rm p'} \tag{6}
$$

which yields

$$
\frac{J_{\rm F}}{J_{\rm Rp}} = K_{\rm sp} \times \frac{[\rm{CO}_2]}{[\rm{O}_2]}.
$$
 (7)

On the other hand,

$$
J_{\rm F} + J_{\rm Rp} = J \tag{8}
$$

Equations 7 and 8 form a system for the two unknowns  $J_F$ 

and **IRp** from which

$$
J_{\rm F} = J \times \frac{K_{\rm sp} \times C_c/[O_2]}{1 + K_{\rm sp} \times C_c/[O_2]}
$$
(9)

and

$$
J_{\rm Rp} = J \times \frac{1}{1 + K_{\rm sp} \times C_c / [O_2]}
$$
 (10)

where  $C_c$  is substituted for  $[CO_2]$  at the carboxylation sites. The corresponding  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  fluxes are

$$
F = \frac{I}{4} \times \frac{K_{sp} \times C_c / [O_2]}{1 + K_{sp} \times C_c / [O_2]}
$$
(11)

$$
R_{\rm p} = \frac{J}{8} \times \frac{1}{1 + K_{\rm sp} \times C_{\rm c}/[O_2]} \tag{12}
$$

and the CO<sub>2</sub> exchange rate is

$$
P = F - R_{\rm p} = \frac{J}{4} \times \frac{K_{\rm sp} \times C_{\rm c}/[O_2] - 0.5}{1 + K_{\rm sp} \times C_{\rm c}/[O_2]}.
$$
 (13)

On the other hand,

$$
C_{\rm c} = B \times C_{\rm a} - P \times (B \times r_{\rm g} + r_{\rm md}) \tag{14}
$$

Substituting C, from Equation 14 into Equation **13** yields a quadratic equation

$$
P = \frac{-b + \sqrt{(b^2 - 4ac)}}{2a}
$$
 (15)

where

$$
a = -4 \times K_{sp} \times r/[O_2]
$$
  

$$
b = 4 \times (1 + K_{sp} \times B \times C_a/[O_2]) + J \times K_{sp} \times r/[O_2]
$$
  

$$
c = J \times (0.5 - K_{sp} \times B \times C_a/[O_2])
$$
  

$$
r = B \times r_g + r_{\text{md}}.
$$

In these equations *B* is the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  solubility in water,  $C<sub>a</sub>$  is ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration,  $r<sub>g</sub>$  is diffusion resistance in the gas phase, and  $r_{\text{md}}$  is the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  transport resistance in the liquid phase of mesophyll cells.

Equation 15 makes it possible to calculate the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  exchange rate P from fluorescence data when diffusion resistances are known. The value of  $r_{\text{md}}$  was varied to find the best fit between the calculated and measured gas-exchange curves, as suggested by Harley et al. **(1992).** Once P was calculated, C, was found from Equation **14** and substituted



*Figure 6. C02* dependencies of the measured *P(C02)* (empty squares and thick line), *€TR/4* (filled squares and thick line), *B(COz)* (empty diamonds), *B(0)* (empty triangles), and *CO2* evolution in the mesophyll cells in the light, *R,(PC,)* (filled triangles). Dotted lines represent the rates calculated from *ETR*, the CO<sub>2</sub> exchange rate *P(ETR)* (crosses), and photorespiration rate *R<sub>p</sub>*(*ETR*) (filled squares). Notice that the scales are stretched X6 for all CO<sub>2</sub> burst and respiration curves, but not for *P* below zero. A, 21%  $O_2$ ; B, 50%  $O_2$ ; C, 10%  $O_2$ ; D, 1.1%  $O_2$ .

into Equations **11** and **12** to find the carboxylation and photorespiratory rates separately.

The thick dotted line and crosses in Figure 6 were calculated from Equation **15.** Coincidence between the measured and calculated values for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  net exchange is good at low  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentrations. At high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations the measured gas-exchange rate tends to be greater than predicted. This shift is greater than experimental error and is present at all *0,* concentrations, pointing to a carboxylation that is not coupled to electron transport. The dotted line and filled squares in Figure *6* were calculated from Equation **12.** The value of  $r_{\rm md}$  was varied until the best fit between the fluorescence and gas-exchange measurements was obtained at the maximum of photorespiration. The values of the best-fit  $r_{\text{md}}$ ranged between **0.3** and 0.8 **s** cm-' for the same leaf at different O<sub>2</sub> concentrations.

## **DlSCUSSlON**

In this work we measured  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  evolution in the light and electron transport rate in a wider range of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations than previously reported (Peterson, **1989;** Comic and Briantais, **1991;** Loreto et al., **1992).** The fastest electron transport occurs around normal atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $O<sub>2</sub>$  levels, when both carboxylation and oxygenation of RuBP contibute the most. In the range of CO<sub>2</sub> saturation, ETR declines due to the outcompetition of *0,* by CO, at the Rubisco sites. The extent of this decline depends on the capacity of the leaf to activate starch synthesis (Sharkey and Vassey, **1989;** Eichelmann and Laisk, **1994).** Higher resistance to electron transport at saturating CO, has also been observed from the measurements of P700 redox state (Weis and Lechtenberg, **1989;** Lechtenberg et al., **1990;** Laisk et al., **1992).** The decline **of** the net  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  assimilation rate with increasing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  has been reported before (Woo and Wong, **1983),** but the reasons for this phenomenon are obscure. One possibility is that Pi is being trapped in hexosephosphates and is no longer available for phosphorylation (Sharkey and Vassey, **1989;** Eichelmann and Laisk, **1994).** 

This work shows that the best  ${}^{12}CO_2$  gas-exchange method to estimate photorespiration rate is the extrapolation of the  $P(CO<sub>2</sub>)$  versus  $C<sub>c</sub>$  plot to  $C<sub>c</sub> = 0$ , but it gives satisfactory results only at limiting **C02** concentrations and requires knowledge of  $r_{\text{md}}$ . The values of the best-fit  $r_{\text{md}}$  ranged between 0.3 and 0.8 **s** cm-', which corresponds to the conductance of **0.52** to 1.04  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup>. These values of CO<sub>2</sub> transport conductance in the mesophyll of sunflower-leaves extend the range of conductances obtained by Loreto et al. **(1992),** which ranged from 0.113 to 0.638  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup> for a number of species. However, such variability of  $r_{\text{md}}$  in one leaf as obtained in our experiments raises doubts about how adequately the simple resistance network model describes the CO<sub>2</sub> reassimilation in the mesophyll cells. Nevertheless, the result should be considered as a demonstration of the considerable reassimilation of the photorespiratory  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in the mesophyll cells. Reassimilation almost as efficient as that occurring in steady state occurs during the postillumination burst into CO<sub>2</sub>-free air, despite the fact that the RuBP level should rapidly decrease during the burst.

The application of the Rubisco kinetics for the calculation

of carboxylation and oxygenation rates is basetl on **Ksp.** The value of  $K_{sp}$  obtained in this work is in the range of the  $K_{sp}$ values of 77 to 88 determined in vitro (Jordari and Ogren, 1981, **1984)** but is lower than about 100, the value frequently obtained with leaves (Laisk, 1977; Brooks and Farquhar, **1985;** Peterson, **1989).** It may be that the method of determining the  $\Gamma^*$  in the presence of dark respiration (Laisk, 1977; Brooks and Farquhar, 1985) leads to underestimation of  $\Gamma^*$  or that the variability of  $K_{sp}$  in vivo is real.

None of the lines from the gas-exchange rneasurements follow the pattern of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  dependence of photorespiration,  $R_p(ETR)$ , calculated from fluorescence and Rubisco kinetics in the range of high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations.  $B(CO<sub>2</sub>)$  shows the closest trend but is shifted toward more CO<sub>2</sub> uptake. Both  $B(0)$  and  $R_L$  from the  $P(CO_2)$  versus  $C_c$  plot show a continuing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  evolution at high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations, which cannot be explained by RuBP oxygenation. This  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  evolution is not present at low  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations limiting photosynthesis, but appears when photosynthesis becomes  $CC<sub>2</sub>$  saturated. It is inhibited neither by high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  nor by low  $O<sub>2</sub>$ , from which we can conclude that it is not related to the RuBP oxygenase reaction. In parallel with the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  evolution, a  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake component is present, which can be seen froin the shift of the  $B(CO<sub>2</sub>)$  curve toward positive values. This  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake is not present during photosynthesis at low  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  but appears rapidly after light is switched off. It is continuously present during photosynthesis at saturating  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . This component of C02 uptake explains the difference between **ETR/4** and *P*(CO<sub>2</sub>) at high CO<sub>2</sub> levels, where *ETR* declines with increasing CO<sub>2</sub> faster than *P*(CO<sub>2</sub>). Similar extra CO<sub>2</sub> uptake, concomitant with enhanced  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  evolution, has been observed at the final phase of the postillumination  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake, when PGA concentration had reached its maximum level (Laisk, **1985).** It is known that under conditions at which PGA levels are high, considerable export of the PGA to the cytosol and its further metabolism occur (Keerberg et al., 1971). We suggest that carboxylation of PEP and the subsequent decarboxylation of malate and pyruvate (Keerberg et al., **1983)** are the dominating non-RuBP carboxylation and decarboxylation during the postillumination period and at high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  levels in the light. The corresponding anaplerotic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake should not be classified as photosynthesis, nor should the corresponding  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  evolution be classified as photorespiration.

#### **ACKNOWLEDCMENTS**

Cordial thanks to Prof. **N.E.** Tolbert for **discussinj;** and improving the manuscript. The LI-6262 was provided for testing by Dr. D.K. McDermitt (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE).

Received May **4, 1994;** accepted June **16, 1994.**  Copyright Clearance Center: **0032-0889/94/106/0669/07.** 

## **LITERATURE CITED**

- Bravdo BA, Canvin D (1979) Effect of carbon dioxide on photorespiration. Plant Physiol 63: 399-401
- Brooks A, Farquhar GD (1985) Effect of temperature on the CO<sub>2</sub>/ **O2** specificity of **nbulose-l,5-bisphosphate** carbox ylase/oxygenase and the rate of respiration in the light. Planta 165: **397-406**
- Brown HT, **Escombe ELS (1900)** Static diffusion of gases and liquids **in** relation to the assimilation of carbon and translocation in plants. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci **193 223-291**
- Cornic G, Briantais J-M (1991) Partitioning of photosynthetic electron flow between CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> reduction in a C<sub>3</sub> leaf *(Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) at different CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and during drought stress. Planta 183: 178-184
- Cowan **IR** (1977) Stomatal behaviour and environment. Adv Bot Res 4: 117-228
- **Decker JP** (1955) A rapid post-illumination deceleration of respiration in green leaves. Plant Physiol 30: 82-84
- Eichelmann H, Laisk A (1994)  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake and electron transport rates in wild type and starchless mutant of *Nicotiana syluestris.*  The role and regulation of starch synthesis at saturating  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentrations. Plant Physiol 106: 679-687
- Evans **JR,** Sharkey TD, Berry JA, Farquhar GD (1986) Carbon isotope discrimination measured concurrently with gas exchange to investigate CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion in leaves of higher plants. Aust J Plant Physiol 13: 281-292
- Farquhar GD, von Caemmerer **S** (1982) Modelling of photosynthetic response to environmental conditions. *In* OL Lange, PS Nobel, CB Osmond, H Ziegler, eds, Physiological Plant Ecology. Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology, New Series, Vol 12B. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 549-588
- Farquhar GD, von Caemmerer **S,** Berry **JA** (1980) A biochemical model of photosynthetic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  assimilation in leaves of  $C<sub>3</sub>$  species. Planta 149: 78-90<br>**Forrester ML, Krotkov G, Nelson CD** (1966) Effect of oxygen on
- photosynthesis, photorespiration and respiration in detached leaves. I. Soybean. Plant Physiol 41: 422-427
- Genty **B,** Briantais **JM,** Baker **NR** (1989) The relationship between quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. Biochim Biophys Acta 990: 87-92
- Harley PC, Loreto F, Di Marco G, Sharkey TD (1992) Theoretical considerations when estimating the mesophyll conductance to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ flux by analysis of the response of photosynthesis to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Plant Physiol 98: 1429-1436
- Hess JL, Tolbert NE (1966) Glycolate, glycine, serine and glycerate formation during photosynthesis by tobacco leaves. J Biol Chem 241: 5705-5711
- Jordan DB, Ogren WL (1981) A sensitive assay procedure for simultaneous determination of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase and oxygenase activities. Plant Physiol 67: 237-245
- **Jordan DB, Ogren WL** (1984) The CO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> specificity of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase. Dependence on ribulose bisphosphate concentration, pH and temperature. Planta 161: 308-313
- Keerberg **H,** Vark E, Keerberg O, Parnik T (1971) The effect of the spectral composition of light on the labelling of amino and organic acids with  $^{14}$ C during the assimilation of  $^{14}$ CO<sub>2</sub> by bean leaves. Proc Acad Sci Estonian SSR Biol 20: 350-353 (in Russian)
- Keerberg **O,** Keerberg **H,** Parnik **T,** Viil J, Vark E (1983) The metabolism of photosynthetically assimilated <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> under different concentrations of carbon dioxide. *Int* J Appl Radiat Isot **34:**  861-864
- Kisaki T, Tolbert **NE** (1970) Glycine as a substrate for photorespiration. Plant Cell Physiol 11: 247-258
- Laisk A (1970) A model of leaf photosynthesis and photorespiration. In I Shetlik, ed, Prediction and Measurement of Photosynthetic Productivity. Centre for Agricultura1 Publishing and Documentation, Wageningen, The Netherlands, pp 295-306
- Laisk A (1977) Kinetics of photosynthesis and photorespiration in C) Plants. Nauka Moscow (in Russian)
- **Laisk A** (1985) Kinetics of photosynthetic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake in  $C<sub>3</sub>$  plants. In J Viil, G Grishina, A Laisk, eds, Kinetics of Photosynthetic

Carbon Metabolism in C<sub>3</sub>-Plants. Valgus, Tallinn, Estonia, pp 21-34

- Laisk A, Kiirats O, Eichelmann **H,** Oja V (1987) Gas exchange studies of carboxylation kinetics in intact leaves. *In* J Biggins, ed, Progress in Photosynthesis Research. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 245-252
- Laisk A, Oja V (1971) A three-channel apparatus for detailed investigation of the leaf  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  exchange. In T Frey, ed, Estonian Contributions to the Intemational Biological Programme, **11.** Institute of Zoology and Botany, Academy of Science of Estonian SSR, Tartu, pp 113-128
- Laisk A, Oja V (1972) A mathematical model of leaf photosynthesis and photorespiration. II. Experimental verification. In AA Nitchiporovitch, ed, Theoretical Foundations of the Photosynthetic Productivity. Nauka, Moscow, pp 362-368 (in Russian)
- Laisk A, Oja V, Heber **U** (1992) Steady-state and induction kinetics of photosynthetic electron transport related to donor side oxidation and acceptor side reduction of photosystem I in sunflower leaves. Photosynthetica 27: 449-463
- Laisk A, Oja V, Kiirats O (1984) Assimilatory power (post-illumination CO<sub>2</sub> uptake) in leaves. Measurement, environmental dependencies and kinetic properties. Plant Physiol 76: 723-729
- Lechtenberg D, Voss B, Weis E (1990) Regulation of photosynthesis: photosynthetic control and thioredoxin-dependent enzyme regulation. *In* M Baltscheffsky, ed, Current Research in Photosynthesis, Vol IV. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 171-174
- Loreto **F,** Harley PC, Di Marco G, Sharkey TD (1992) Estimation of mesophyll conductance to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  flux by three different methods. Plant Physiol 98: 1437-1443
- Lorimer GH (1981) The carboxylation and oxygenation of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate: the primary events in photosynthesis and photorespiration. Annu Rev Plant Physiol 32: 349-383
- Ogren WL, Bowes G (1971) Ribulose diphosphate carboxylase regulates soybean photorespiration. Nature New Biol 230: 159-160
- **Oja V,** Laisk A, Heber **U** (1986) Light-induced alkalization of the chloroplast stroma *in vivo* as estimated from the CO<sub>2</sub> capacity of intact sunflower leaves. Biochim Biophys Acta 849: 355-365
- Oja VM (1983) A rapid-response gas exchange measuring device for studying the kinetics of leaf photosynthesis. Fiziol Rast (Sov Plant Physiol) 30: 1045-1052
- Pärnik T (1985) Photorespiratory decarboxylation at different  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentrations. *In* J Viil, G Grishina, A Laisk, eds, Kinetics of Photosynthetic Carbon Metabolism in C<sub>3</sub> Plants. Valgus, Tallinn, Estonia, pp 121-124
- **Peterson**  $\overline{RB}$  **(1987) Quantitation of the O<sub>2</sub>-dependent, CO<sub>2</sub>-revers**ible component of the postillumination  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  exchange transient in tobacco and maize leaves. Plant Physiol 84: 862-867
- Peterson **RB** (1989) Partitioning of noncyclic photosynthetic electron transport to *O2* dependent dissipative processes as probed by fluorescence and CO<sub>2</sub> exchange. Plant Physiol 90: 1322-1328
- Sharkey TD (1988) Estimating the rate of photorespiration in leaves. Physiol Plant 73: 147-152
- Sharkey TD, Vassey TL (1989) Low oxygen inhibition of photosynthesis is caused by inhibition of starch synthesis. Plant Physiol 90: 385-387
- von Caemmerer S, Evans JR (1991) Determination of the CO<sub>2</sub> pressure in chloroplasts from leaves of several  $C_3$  plants. Aust J Plant Physiol 18: 287-305
- Weis E, Lechtenberg D (1989) Fluorescence analysis during steadystate photosynthesis. Philo Trans R Soc Lond B 323: 253-268
- Woo KC, Wong SC (1983) Inhibition of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  assimilation by supraoptimal CO<sub>2</sub>: effect of light and temperature. Aust J Plant Physiol 10: 75-85