RESEARCH ARTICLE

Regulatory Genes Controlling Anthocyanin Pigmentation Are Functionally Conserved among Plant Species and Have Distinct Sets of Target Genes

Francesca Quattrocchio, John F. Wing, Hans T. C. Leppen, Joseph N. M. Mol, and Ronald E. Koes¹

Department of Genetics, Vrije Universiteit, Institute for Molecular Biological Sciences, BioCentrum Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1087, 1081HV, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

In this study, we demonstrate that in petunia at least four regulatory genes (anthocyanin-1 [an1], an2, an4, and an11) control transcription of a subset of structural genes from the anthocyanin pathway by using a combination of RNA gel blot analysis, transcription run-on assays, and transient expression assays. $an2^-$ and $an11^-$ mutants could be transiently complemented by the maize regulatory genes Leaf color (Lc) or Colorless-1 (C1), respectively, whereas $an1^-$ mutants only by Lc and C1 together. In addition, the combination of Lc and C1 induces pigment accumulation in young leaves. This indicates that Lc and C1 are both necessary and sufficient to produce pigmentation in leaf cells. Regulatory pigmentation genes in maize and petunia control different sets of structural genes. The maize Lc and C1 genes expressed in petunia differentially activate the promoters of the chalcone synthase genes chsA and chsJ in the same way that the homologous petunia genes do. This suggests that the regulatory proteins in both species are functionally similar and that the choice of target genes is determined by their promoter sequences. We present an evolutionary model that explains the differences in regulation of pigmentation pathways of maize, petunia, and snapdragon.

INTRODUCTION

Flavonoids constitute a family of secondary metabolites common to all higher plants. They are synthesized by way of a branched pathway yielding different subclasses of flavonoids, as shown in Figure 1, each serving a variety of functions in plant development and reproduction (for review, see van der Meer et al., 1992c; Martin and Gerats, 1993). Genes encoding flavonoid enzymes have been isolated from a variety of plant species. In most plant systems analyzed, flavonoid biosynthetic genes are coordinately and tissue specifically expressed (reviewed by van der Meer et al., 1992c). Various patterns of expression are seen among plant species, which is in line with the observed variability in accumulation patterns and biological functions of flavonoids.

In petunia flowers, for example, a coordinated transcriptional activation of chalcone synthase (*chs*), chalcone flavanone isomerase (*chi*), and dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (*dfr*) genes occurs in the young petals and anthers, resulting in the accumulation of both colored anthocyanins and colorless flavonols (van Tunen et al., 1988, 1990; Beld et al., 1989; Koes et al., 1990). In the ovary, *chs* and *chi* genes are transcriptionally activated in ovules, but *dfr* genes are activated only slightly, resulting in the accumulation of flavonols only (Koes et al., 1990;

van Tunen et al., 1990; H.S.M. Huits, M.M. Kreike, J.N.M. Mol, A.G.M. Gerats, and R.E. Koes, unpublished results). In seeds, *chs, chi*, and *dfr* genes are activated in the pericarp, resulting in the synthesis of an as yet undefined class of flavonoids, most likely proanthocyanidins (Koes et al., 1990). Developing maize seeds express structural flavonoid genes in the aleurone cell layer of the endosperm and the scutellum of the embryo, resulting in the synthesis of anthocyanins in both tissues (Tonelli et al., 1991; Consonni et al., 1993). In mature maize plants, structural genes can be activated in a variety of tissues, where they are responsible for the anthocyanin pigmentation of leaves, stems, anthers, and kernels (Radicella et al., 1992).

In addition, the expression of maize and petunia flavonoid biosynthetic genes is subject to a different control by phytohormones. The transcriptional activation of *chs* genes in the flower corolla of petunia is dependent on the phytohormone gibberellic acid (Weiss et al., 1990, 1992), whereas the phytohormone abscisic acid is involved in the control of pigmentation of the maize aleurone layer (Hattori et al., 1992). The observed variable accumulation patterns of flavonoids and their very different functions raise the questions of how expression of flavonoid biosynthetic genes is regulated and how their expression patterns have been established during evolution.

Genes controlling the expression of flavonoid genes have been identified by mutations in three plant species: maize,

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed.



Figure 1. Schematic Representation of the Flavonoid Biosynthetic Pathway.

Abbreviations are as follows: CHS, chalcone synthase; CHI, chalcone flavanone isomerase; F3H, flavanone 3'-hydroxylase; DFR, dihydro-flavonol 4-reductase; UF3GT, UDP-glucose:flavonoid 3-O-glucosyltransferase; RT, anthocyanin rhamnosyltransferase; ACT, anthocyanin acyltransferase; UF5GT, UDP-glucose:flavonoid 5-O-glucosyltransferase; AMT, anthocyanin methyltransferase. Genetic loci controlling single enzyme activities are represented in italics: *Po, Pollen; An3, Anthocyanin-3, An6, Anthocyanin-6; An13, Anthocyanin-13; Rt, Rhamnosylation at three; Gf, Glucosylation at five; Mt, Methylation at three; Mf, Methylation at five.* The *an13* gene product is involved in one of the reactions that convert a dihydroflavonol into an anthocyanin glucoside, but the exact biochemical function is unknown, as indicated by the question mark. The loci *An1, An2,* and *An11* control the activity of multiple enzymes and are indicated separately.

snapdragon, and petunia. In maize, two families of regulatory genes have been identified, the *R/B* and *C1/Purple (PI)* families, whose gene products are required for the expression of several structural flavonoid genes (for a review, see Dooner et al., 1991). Both the *Colorless-1 (C1)* and *R* types of regulatory genes were cloned by transposon tagging (Cone et al., 1986; Paz-Ares et al., 1987; Dellaporta et al., 1988). The C1 protein shares homology with the DNA binding domain from the animal transcription activator c-*myb* (Cone et al., 1986; Paz-Ares et al., 1987). Proteins encoded by the genes *Leaf color (Lc)*, *B*, and *Sienna (Sn)*—all members of the *R* gene family—contain a basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) domain, similar to that

found in mammalian transcription activators (Ludwig et al., 1989; Perrot and Cone, 1989; Consonni et al., 1993). In transient expression systems, the combined expression of C1 and one of the R genes activates the promoter of structural flavonoid genes, providing direct evidence for the role of C1 and R genes in transcriptional activation (Goff et al., 1990, 1991; Roth et al., 1991; Bodeau and Walbot 1992). Recently, Lloyd et al. (1992) showed that the stable introduction of an Lc gene in tobacco and Arabidopsis can intensify pigmentation in several tissues, indicating that pigmentation genes from species other than maize can also be activated by Lc.

In snapdragon, mutations in the genes *delila* and *eluta* result in a drastic reduction in expression of the genes encoding the enzymes flavanone 3-hydroxylase (F3H), UDP-glucose: flavonoid 3-O-glucosyltransferase (UF3GT), and DFR (Almeida et al., 1989; Martin et al., 1991). The *delila* gene was recently cloned via transposon tagging (Goodrich et al., 1992). It encodes a protein homologous to *Lc*, suggesting that *delila* also acts as a transcription activator.

In petunia, five genetically defined genes (*anthocyanin-1* [*an1*], *an2*, *an6*, *an10*, and *an11*) control the steady state level of *dfr* mRNA in the limb of the petal (Beld et al., 1989). Restriction fragment length polymorphism mapping and complementation studies showed that the *an6* and *dfrA* genes are identical (H.S.M. Huits, M.M. Kreike, J.N.M. Mol, A.G.M. Gerats, and R.E. Koes, unpublished data); therefore, the other four genes, *an1*, *an2*, *an10*, and *an11*, are likely to act in *trans* on *dfr* expression. The enzyme activities of UF3GT, UDP-glucose: flavonoid 5-O-glucosyltransferase (UF5GT), and the anthocyanin methyltransferases (AMTs) are also reduced in *an1⁻* and *an2⁻* flowers (Gerats et al., 1984; Jonsson et al., 1984; Beld et al., 1989), further supporting the idea that these loci have a regulatory function.

In this study, we present an analysis of the regulatory pigmentation genes from petunia. In addition to the previously described regulatory genes *an1*, *an2*, *an10*, and *an11* (Beld et al., 1989), we identified another regulatory gene, *an4*, that regulates expression of anthocyanin biosynthetic genes in the anthers. We show that the *an1*, *an2*, and *an11* gene products activate the promoters of flavonoid biosynthetic genes and that mutations in these three regulatory pigmentation genes can be complemented by the maize factors *Lc* and *C1*. This indicates that regulatory pigmentation genes in petunia and maize are functionally similar, despite the wide evolutionary divergence and the extreme differences in pattern and function of pigmentation of maize and petunia.

RESULTS

an1, an2, an4, and an11 Genes Affect the Steady State mRNA Level of At Least Five Genes

Wild-type petunia plants accumulate purple- or red-colored anthocyanins in the limb and tube of the flower corolla, the anthers, and the stem just beneath the flower. Seeds accumulate anthocyanin derivatives (presumably proanthocyanidins) in the seed coat (Koes et al., 1990). A number of mutations that reduce or fully block pigmentation in one or more of these tissues have been identified, as depicted in Table 1. Accumulation of dihydroflavonols has been shown for some of these mutants (Gerats et al., 1982). Beld et al. (1989) reported that mutations in *an1*, *an2*, *an6*, *an10*, or *an11* each result in an absence of *dfr* mRNA in the corolla limb, whereas *chs* mRNA is still detectable. Both observations suggest a division of the pathway into two independently regulated units.

To investigate directly whether a regulatory division in the flavonoid pathway occurs in petunia, we measured the steady state mRNA levels of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (*pal*), *chs*, *chi*, *f3h*, *dfr*, *an13*, *rhamnosylation at three* (*rt*), and *amt* in the corolla limb of a large number of wild-type and mutant petunia lines. The function of the enzymes encoded by these genes is shown in Figure 1. Among the selected petunia lines were the wild-type progenitor, transposon insertion mutants, wild-type revertant derivatives, and stable recessive derivatives for the genes *an1*, *an2*, and *an11* (Table 1; see Methods for details). Because the accumulation of flavonoid mRNAs is regulated during development of the flower, we used flowers of three different developmental stages for each line. In Figure 2, we show the results for a subset of the lines that were used.

Flower limbs of the line V26 ($an4^{-}$) accumulated all tested flavonoid mRNAs (Figure 2) to levels that were similar to those in wild-type lines (VR and V30; data not shown). This was expected, because V26 has only a mutation in the anther-specific gene an4 and is wild type for all other anthocyanin genes. The *pal* probe detected two transcripts that appeared to accumulate differentially (Figure 2A). In RNA extracted from leaves, only the short *pal* transcript was detected (data not shown). It is possible that the two *pal* transcripts originate from two differentially expressed members of a *pal* gene family, as has been described for bean (Cramer et al., 1989; Liang et al., 1989).

In flower limbs of the an6- line W80, no dfr mRNA could be detected, whereas the accumulation of all other flavonoid mRNAs is comparable to that in V26. This was not unexpected, because the An6 locus harbors one of the three dfr genes (designated dfrA) and implies that all dfr mRNA in the flower limb is derived from this single gene (Beld et al., 1989; H.S.M. Huits, M.M. Kreike, R.E. Koes, J.N.M. Mol, and A.G.M. Gerats, unpublished data). In flower limbs of the an2- mutant W115, dfr, an13, rt, and amt mRNAs are strongly reduced compared to V26. Accumulation of the same mRNAs was also reduced in flower limbs of W82 (an2-mutable) compared to isogenic W82 revertant plants. The low amounts of dfr, an13, rt, and amt mRNAs detected in the mutable W82 plants may result from the leaky nature of this mutant allele and from somatic reversion events (the spots in the flower). In flower limbs from the an1⁻ and an11⁻ lines W162 and W134 (Figure 2), as well as the an1--mutable line W138 (data not shown), a strong reduction of dfr, an13, rt, and amt mRNAs was observed when compared to the corresponding wild-type line (R27). W134 (an11⁻) and W138 (an1-mutable) are isogenic to R27, whereas W162 is closely related but not fully isogenic to R27.

Mutations in *an1*, *an2*, and *an11* genes had at most a small effect on the quantitative accumulation of *pal*, *chs*, *chi*, and *f3h* mRNAs, which occurs early in the flavonoid pathway. Because we used an isogenic wild type for all three mutants, it can be excluded that the differences in hybridization were due to different genetic backgrounds. The small quantitative reductions may be due to an indirect effect of the *an1⁻*, *an2⁻*, and *an11⁻* mutations or, alternatively, to physiological differences between the different plants. In contrast to the results of Beld

Table 1. Genotypes and Phenotypes of the Different Petunia Lines Used in This Study								
Line	Mutation ^a	Anthocyanin Accumulation ^b						
		Corolla Limb	Tube	Anthers	Seed Coat			
V30	None	+	· +	· +	+			
VR	None	+	+ · ·	+	+			
V26	an4 ⁻	+	+	-	+			
R27	an4⁻	+	_	~	-			
W44	an2⁻	_	_	· +	+			
W80	an4 ⁻ ,an6 ⁻	_	· . –	-	-			
W82	an2-mut,an4 ⁻	Spotted	+	-	+			
W82 revertant	an4⁻	+	+	-	+			
W115	an2 ⁻ ,an4 ⁻	_	+	~	+			
W134	an4-,an11-	-	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
W137	an4 ,an11-mut	Spotted	-	-	ND			
W138	an1-mut,an4 ⁻	Spotted	-	-	Spotted			
W154	an10 ⁻ , an4 ⁻	-	_	-	-			
W162	an1 ⁻ ,an4 ⁻	-	-	-	-			

^a Only mutations relevant to this study are listed. For a further description, see de Vlaming et al. (1984). -mut denotes a mutable allele caused by insertion of a transposable element. Stable recessive alleles are denoted by a minus sign.

^b +, anthocyanins present; -, anthocyanins absent or reduced; ND, not determined.





Figure 2. Analysis of the Steady State mRNA Levels of Flavonoid Genes in Different Petunia Lines.

(A) RNA gel blot analysis of total RNA (10 μ g) extracted from the flower limb of various lines harvested at three developmental stages (1, 2, and 3). Mutations that affect pigmentation of the flower limb are indicated above. All lines are $an4^-$. Because this does not affect pigmentation and gene expression in the flower limb, it is not further indicated. rRNA was visualized by ethidium bromide staining and served as a control for equal loading and integrity of the RNA. The flavonoid biosynthetic mRNAs were visualized by hybridization. Stages in flower development were defined according to the length of the bud (the length of a fully stretched but not yet opened bud was taken as 100%). Stage 1, 10 to 25%; stage 2, 25 to 50%; stage 3, 50 to 100% of maximal length.

(B) RNA gel blot analysis of total RNA from anthers (stage 2) of a wild-type (VR) and an *an4*⁻ petunia line (R27). rRNA was visualized by ethidium bromide staining and the flavonoid biosynthetic mRNAs were visualized by hybridization.

et al. (1989), we did not observe a dramatic effect of a mutation in the *an10* gene on the expression of any of the flavonoid genes analyzed (see Discussion).

To investigate the role of the *an4* gene in the pigmentation of anthers, we analyzed the expression of a set of structural genes from the pathway in anthers of an $an4^-$ mutant line. Expression of flavonoid genes in anthers is limited to an early and well-defined stage in flower development (van Tunen et al., 1988; Koes et al., 1989a); therefore, we isolated anther RNA from this developmental stage only. Figure 2B shows that the mRNA levels of *dfr* and *rt* in $an4^-$ anthers were strongly reduced compared to those in wild-type anthers, whereas *chs* and *f3h* mRNA levels in wild-type and $an4^-$ anthers were similar. Therefore, we concluded that an4 is a regulatory gene controlling the expression of multiple flavonoid biosynthetic genes in anthers.

Except for the single *f3h* gene (Britsch et al., 1991), all other analyzed genes (*pal*, *chs*, *chi*, *dfr*, *an13*, *rt*, and *amt*) comprise small gene families. From the gene families encoding CHI, DFR, AN13, and RT, only one member is active in corolla tissue (Kroon et al., 1993), implying that the *chi*, *f3h*, *dfr*, *an13*, and *rt* mRNAs detected in the RNA gel blot analysis were transcribed from single genes. The *chs* gene family, however, contains two members (*chsA* and *chsJ*) that are transcriptionally active in the corolla limb (Koes et al., 1989a). Under the conditions employed in the RNA gel blot analysis (Figure 2), the *chs* probe detected both transcripts and thus measured the total amount of *chs* transcripts. To test whether the two *chs* genes are under the same genetic control, we performed genespecific RNase protection assays on RNA from wild-type and mutant lines. Figure 3 shows that the expression of the two *chs* genes appears to be differentially influenced by mutations in *an1*, *an2*, *an4*, or *an11*. The *chsA* mRNA level is at most slightly down-regulated in the *an1⁻*, *an2⁻*, and *an11⁻* lines, whereas the *chsJ* mRNA level is completely controlled by *an1*, *an2*, and *an11* in the corolla and by *an4* in the anthers (Figure 3).

In summary, the expression analyses show that *an1*, *an2*, *an11*, and *an4* are regulatory genes that control the expression of some (at least five), but not all, structural genes from the flavonoid pathway.



Figure 3. Expression of *chsA* and *chsJ* in Corollas and Anthers of Different Petunia Lines.

RNase protection of the *chsA* (top part) and *chsJ* probes (bottom part) by RNA (10 μ g) extracted from flower limbs and anthers of various petunia lines. The diagrams on the left show the probes that were used and the protected fragments that were generated. The *chsA* probe is only partially protected by RNA from some petunia lines due to small sequence differences with the *chsA* gene of V30 from which the probe was prepared. As a consequence, multiple small protected fragments are generated (Koes et al., 1989a; van der Meer et al., 1992a), as is indicated in the diagram.



Figure 4. Analysis of the Transcription Initiation of *pal*, *chs*, *chi*, *dfr*, and *rt* in Different Petunia Mutants.

Nuclear run-on assays were performed utilizing nuclei of corollas (mixture of developmental stage 2 and 3) or leaves. Nascent ³²P-labeled RNAs were hybridized to filters carrying single-stranded DNA clones from the indicated flavonoid genes and control genes in the vector M13mp10. The empty vector, M13mp10, was loaded as a control to test for background hybridization. A sample of V26 corolla nuclei was exposed to α -amanitin (4 µg/L) to test for RNA polymerase II–specific transcript elongation.

Genes an1, an2, and an11 Control Transcription of the dfrA and rt Gene in the Petal Limb

To examine whether the reduced steady state levels of dfr and rt mRNA observed in an1-, an2-, and an11- mutants are caused by a block in transcription or by a destabilization of the transcripts, we analyzed nascent RNA by a nuclear runon assay. The levels of transcription from various flavonoid biosynthetic genes (pal, chs, chi, dfr, and rt) and control genes (encoding the small subunit of ribulose bisphosphate carboxvlase [rbcS] and rRNA) were measured in nuclei isolated from the corolla limb of various wild-type and mutant lines. The results of these analyses are presented in Figure 4. In nuclei from corolla limbs of wild-type lines (V26 and R27), nascent RNA was detected for all the analyzed flavonoid genes. The transcription rates of the different flavonoid genes differ considerably in the same petunia line (e.g., transcription rates of chs genes versus those of chi genes). These differences are not always reflected in the steady state levels of the corresponding mRNAs (see Figure 2A), probably due to differences in mRNA stability. As expected, the rRNA genes are transcribed to a high extent in all tissues. We observed that the ratio between RNA polymerase I transcription (rRNA genes) and RNA polymerase II transcription (rbcS in leaves and the flavonoid genes in corolla) was variable among different preparations of nuclei from one petunia line. This may be caused by differences in stability of the RNA polymerases I and II.

Three independent lines of evidence demonstrate that the nascent transcripts detected in the nuclear run-on assays

correctly reflect the in vivo situation. First, transcription of flavonoid biosynthetic genes (but not that of rRNA genes) is inhibited by α -amanitin, confirming that their transcription is dependent on RNA polymerase II activity. Second, the *an6* mutation affects only *dfr* transcription, which is in agreement with the observation that the *dfrA* and the *an6* gene are identical. Third, no transcription of *chs*, *chi*, *dfr*, and *rt* genes could be detected in leaf tissue, whereas transcription of *rbcS* is still detectable.

Transcription run-on assays in nuclei prepared from $an1^-$, $an2^-$, or $an11^-$ lines showed that the transcription rates of the *dfr* and *rt* genes are drastically reduced, while the transcription rates of *chs*, *chi*, and *f3h* genes are comparable to those in wild-type lines. Therefore, we concluded that the products of the *an1*, *an2*, and *an11* genes control the transcription of the *dfrA* and *rt* genes.

Expression of a dfrA- β -Glucuronidase Chimeric Gene in the Petunia Corolla Is under the Control of the *an1*, *an2*, and *an11* Genes

To test whether *an1*, *an2*, and *an11* regulate transcription by interacting with *cis*-acting DNA elements in the promoter of their target genes, we constructed a chimeric gene consisting of the β -glucuronidase (*gus*) reporter gene (Jefferson et al., 1987) fused to the promoter of the *dfrA* gene, as shown in Figure 5, and studied its transient expression in mutant flowers using particle bombardment. In such experiments, the activity of the *dfrA* promoter in transformed cells is visualized as blue spots upon incubation of the tissues with a histochemical substrate for the GUS enzyme. The number of spots provides a measure of the level of promoter activity (Ludwig and Wessler, 1990; Bowen, 1992).



dfrA-GUS

Figure 5. Schematic Representation of Effector and Reporter Genes Used in This Study.

A 2067-bp fragment of the 5' flanking region of *dfrA* fused to the *gus* gene was used as a reporter gene to measure *dfrA* promoter activity. The regulatory proteins Lc and C1 were expressed by fusions of the corresponding cDNA behind the CaMV 35S promoter. (For further details, see Methods.)

Figure 6A shows that the dfrA-gus construct is active when introduced in corolla tissue of an an6- mutant line (W80). This was expected because the an6 and the dfrA genes are identical. When a gus gene driven by the chsA promoter was introduced in corolla tissue from the same line, a comparable number of spots was observed (data not shown). In contrast, no blue spots were observed after transformation of the dfrA-gus fusion gene into an1-, an2-, or an11- corollas (Figures 6B, 6C, and 6E, respectively). When a chsA-gus gene was introduced in the same mutant lines, it resulted in a number of spots only slightly less than in the an6- line (data not shown). This is consistent with the RNA gel blot data (Figure 2A) and rules out the possibility that the failure of these mutant corollas to express the dfrA-gus gene is due to very low transformation rates. The an10⁻ line behaved identically to the an6⁻ line, again indicating that an10 is not a regulatory gene (Figure 6D).

These results are fully consistent with the data obtained from the run-on analyses (Figure 4), and they indicate that mutations in the *an1*, *an2*, or *an11* genes prevent activation of the *dfrA* promoter, suggesting that they encode or regulate the activity of a transcription factor.

Stable Transformation of Petunia with the Cauliflower Mosaic Virus 35S-Lc Fusion Gene

The best-characterized transcription factors involved in the regulation of pigment formation in plants are those encoded by the *C1* and *R* gene families from maize. To examine whether similar factors are involved in transcriptional activation of petunia flavonoid genes, we tested whether these maize transcription factors can activate petunia flavonoid genes. We decided to express the *Lc* gene in petunia. A gene construct that consisted of the *Lc* protein coding sequence driven by the 35S promoter from cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) (Figure 5) was introduced into two petunia lines, V26 (*an4⁻*) and W115 (*an2⁻* and *an4⁻*). Both lines regenerate easily and are routinely used in transformation experiments.

In both genetic backgrounds (V26 and W115), ~80% of the transformed calli rapidly developed red/purple groups of cells, as shown in Figures 7A and 7B. None of these pigmented calli gave rise to shoots. From the calli that initially remained green, shoots regenerated, but most of these shoots turned purple in the very early stages of growth (Figure 7C) and did not produce roots or grow further. In contrast, green shoots were generally able to root. In one of the resulting plantlets, pigmentation of the young roots was observed (Figure 7D). In total, 15 W115 and 10 V26 transformants were obtained. No differences in pigmentation patterns were observed between plants transformed with the Lc gene fusion and control plants at this developmental stage. Gel blot analyses on RNA extracted from the leaves of the transformed plants indicated that only one of them accumulated a low amount of Lc mRNA (the plant in Figure 7D), whereas no Lc mRNA could be detected in the other transformants (results not shown). The single Lc-expressing plant grew extremely slowly and produced only a few very aberrant flowers (data not shown).

The excessive pigment synthesis in *Lc*-transformed calli and regenerative tissue suggests that *Lc* can activate structural pigmentation genes from petunia. However, the apparent selection against *Lc*-expressing plants prevented us from testing whether a stably introduced *Lc* transgene could restore pigmentation in mutant flowers (also, see Discussion).

Regulatory Genes C1 and Lc from the Maize Anthocyanin Pathway Can Activate the Petunia dfrA Promoter in Leaf Cells

As an alternative to analyses in transgenic plants, we turned to transient expression analyses. In these experiments, a reporter gene (*dfrA–gus*) was cointroduced with 35S–*Lc* and 35S–*C1* fusion genes (Figure 5) into various petunia tissues via particle bombardment.

In the first set of experiments, we introduced the dfrA-gus construct alone or together with the Lc and C1 constructs in petunia leaves. In leaves bombarded with the dfrA-gus construct alone, very few cells expressing the gene were observed (Figure 6F). This suggests that the dfrA promoter is active at a very low level. In stably transformed plants, the dfrA-gus fusion gene is not expressed in leaves, but in some experiments its expression could be induced by wounding (F. Quattrocchio, J. Wing, and H.S.M. Huits, unpublished data). The low activity observed in the transient assays may therefore reflect woundinduced expression. When the dfrA-gus fusion gene was cointroduced with both the C1 and Lc fusion genes, a dramatic increase in the number of blue spots was observed (Figures 6G and 6H), indicating that the C1 and Lc genes are able to activate the dfrA promoter in trans. When the dfrA-gus fusion gene was cointroduced with either Lc or C1, the number of blue spots was comparable to those observed when leaves are bombarded with dfrA-gus alone (data not shown), implying that the activation of the dfrA promoter requires both Lc and C1.

When very young leaves were bombarded with *Lc*, *C1*, and the *dfrA-gus* gene, we often observed red spots that developed within 24 hr after bombardment (Figures 6H and 6l). Such red spots were not observed when the *dfrA-gus* gene was introduced alone. The presence of these colored cells indicated that the combined expression of *Lc* and *C1* not only activated the *dfrA* promoter, but also activated promoters of other genes involved in flavonoid biosynthesis.

Expression of *C1* or *Lc* either Alone or in Combination Complements Specific Regulatory *an* Mutations in Petunia

Because the combination of *Lc* and *C1* can activate promoters of structural pigmentation genes in petunia, similar factors may exist in petunia that control pigmentation in vivo. To test this, we studied reactivation of the *dfrA* promoter in the regulatory pigmentation mutants *an1*, *an2*, and *an11* by cointroduction with either *Lc* or *C1* via particle bombardment.

After cointroducing the dfrA-gus gene with the Lc and C1 fusion genes, a large number of blue spots was observed in all three mutants, demonstrating that Lc and C1 reactivate the dfrA promoter, as shown in Figures 6J to 6L and Table 2. To test whether Lc, C1, or the combination of both is responsible for this reactivation, we cointroduced the dfrA-gus gene with only one of the Lc or C1 fusion genes. Reactivation of dfrA-gus in an2- petal limbs was observed when it was cobombarded with the Lc fusion gene (Figure 6N; Table 2) but not with the C1 fusion gene (Figure 6Q; Table 2). Considering the smaller number of blue spots, Lc alone appears to activate the dfrA promoter less efficiently than the combination of Lc with C1 (Table 2). The Lc gene was able to activate the dfrA promoter in an1- corolla tissue, albeit with an extremely low frequency, whereas no activation was observed in an11- corolla tissue (Figures 6M and 6O; Table 2). The C1 fusion gene, however, was able to activate the cointroduced dfrA-gus gene in an11corolla tissue (Figure 6R), but not in an1- (Figure 6P) or an2-(Figure 6Q) corolla tissue. The activation of the dfrA-gus gene in an11⁻ tissue by C1 alone was far less efficient than by the combination of C1 plus Lc in the same tissues (Table 2).

The relatively efficient complementation of the an2 mutation by the Lc fusion gene indicates that an2 encodes or regulates a functionally similar transcription factor. Considering that an2 only affects pigmentation of the limb of the corolla, we reasoned that another gene may encode a very similar factor that activates flavonoid genes in anthers. A good candidate to encode such a factor is the an4 gene, which is involved in transcriptional activation of flavonoid genes in anthers (Figures 2 and 3 and Discussion). Although the high background GUS activity in pollen grains of petunia often obscured the activity of an introduced dfrA-gus gene (e.g., Figures 6A and 6P), we could occasionally observe blue spots in an4 anthers after bombardment of the dfrA-gus gene together with the Lc gene when the anthers were only briefly stained for GUS activity (Figure 6T). Such spots were not observed when the dfrA-gus gene was introduced without the Lc gene (Figure 6S).

In summary, the complementation experiments indicated that the *an2* and *an4* genes encode or control a transcription factor that is functionally homologous to *Lc*, whereas *an11* may encode or control a factor functionally related to *C1*. The role of the *an1* gene, however, remains unclear.

Differential Induction of the *chsA* and *chsJ* Promoters by Lc and C1

Despite the conservation of function between regulatory pigmentation genes from maize and petunia, there is a striking difference with regard to the sets of target genes that are controlled by these regulators. In maize, the *Lc* and *C1* genes control expression of all the anthocyanin biosynthetic genes (Dooner et al., 1991), whereas the *an1*, *an2*, *an4*, and *an11*



Figure 6. Transient Expression Analyses of the dfrA-gus Gene in Different Petunia Mutants.

Transient expression of a *dfrA-gus* reporter gene was measured after bombardment into petals from various mutants, with or without the *Lc* and *C1* fusion genes. All lines used were $an4^-$. Mutations affecting pigmentation and gene expression in the flower limb are indicated in the figure (Table 1). All bombardments were repeated at least 25 times within at least five independent experiments. In this figure, representative results are shown. See Table 2 for the averaged results.

genes control the expression of only a subset of biosynthetic genes (chsJ, dfr, an13, rt, and amt, but not chsA, chi, and f3h; Figures 2 and 3). This may be due to differences in the promoters of the homologous target genes from petunia and maize or the (functionally) homologous regulatory proteins. This led us to investigate whether Lc and C1 differentially activate the promoter of the chsA (an1, an2, an4, and an11 independent) and chsJ genes (an1, an2, an4, and an11 dependent). In parallel experiments, we bombarded petunia leaves with chsJ-gus, chsA-gus, and, as a control, dfrA-gus fusion genes. As shown in Figure 8, we observed for all three reporter genes a small number of expressing cells, similar to the earlier experiments shown in Figure 6F. When the dfrA-gus or the chsJ-gus gene was cobombarded with the 35S-Lc and the 35S-C1 gene, the number of blue spots increased, indicating that the activity of these reporter genes was induced by Lc and C1. However, such a large increase in activity was not observed for the chsA-gus fusion gene, indicating that Lc and C1 cannot activate the chsA promoter.

This suggests that the Lc protein and its petunia homolog differentially activate *chs* promoters in the same way and that the choice of target genes, therefore, resides in the *chs* promoters.

DISCUSSION

an1, an2, an4, and an11 Control Transcription of Structural Anthocyanin Biosynthetic Genes

In this study, we present a characterization of regulatory genes that control pigmentation of floral organs in petunia. We show

Regulatory Pigmentation Genes of Petunia 1505

that the structural anthocyanin genes, encoding enzymes of the pathway, are regulated in at least two separate units. Expression of *chsJ*, *dfr*, *an13*, *rt*, and *amt* in the petal limb is dependent on the action of the regulatory genes *an1*, *an2*, and *an11*, whereas expression of *chsA*, *chi*, and *f3h* is virtually independent of *an1*, *an2*, and *an11*. The anther-specific pigmentation gene *an4* also appears to be a regulatory gene because it controls the accumulation of *chsJ*, *dfr*, and *rt* mRNAs.

The an1, an2, and an11 genes activate their target genes at the transcriptional level, because nascent *dfrA* and *rt* mRNA and transient expression of a *dfrA-gus* gene cannot be detected in corolla limbs of the corresponding mutants (Figures 3 and 6A to 6E). The reduced *chsJ* mRNA level in anthers of *an4⁻* plants is consistent with the low activity of a *chsJ-gus* transgene in anthers of *an2⁻/an4⁻* plants (Koes et al., 1990), suggesting that *an4* also acts by transcriptional activation of structural genes.

We observed no effect of an $an10^-$ mutation on the expression of the structural genes (Figures 2 to 4, and 6D), indicating that an10 is not a regulatory gene. This is in contrast to the data of Beld et al. (1989), who showed a reduced steady state level of *dfr* mRNA in corolla of an $an10^-$ line. To clarify this discrepancy, we have analyzed the flavonoids accumulating in $an10^-$ mutant corolla limbs and performed flavonoid precursor feeding experiments (data not shown). The absence of 4-coumaroyl coenzyme A derivatives, such as caffeic acid, in $an10^-$ flowers and the restoration of flower pigmentation after feeding with naringenin (Figure 1) indicate that an10 controls one of the very early steps of the pathway. One way to explain the discrepancy is that Beld et al. (1989) may have unwittingly used a double mutant.

The division of the anthocyanin pathway in two separately regulated units is well conserved in snapdragon. However, the

Figure 6. (continued).

- (A) Bombardment of dfrA-gus into petals from W80.
- (B) Bombardment of *dfrA-gus* into petals from W162.
- (C) Bombardment of dfrA-gus into petals from W115.
- (D) Bombardment of *dfrA-gus* into petals from W154.
- (E) Bombardment of *dfrA-gus* into petals from W134.
- (F) Bombardment of dfrA-gus into a mature leaf of W80.
- (G) Bombardment of dfrA-gus into a mature leaf of W80.
- (H) Bombardment of dfrA-gus, Lc, and C1 into a young leaf of W80.
- (I) The region boxed in (H) is at a higher magnification.
- (J) Bombardment of dfrA-gus, Lc, and C1 into petals of W162.
- (K) Bombardment of dfrA-gus, Lc, and C1 into petals of W115.
- (L) Bombardment of dfrA-gus, Lc, and C1 into petals of W134.
- (M) Bombardment of dfrA-gus and Lc into petals of W162.
- (N) Bombardment of dfrA-gus and Lc into petals of W115.
- (O) Bombardment of *dfrA-gus* and *Lc* into petals of W134.
- (P) Bombardment of *dfrA-gus* and C1 into petals of W162.
- (Q) Bombardment of *dfrA-gus* and C1 into petals of W115.
- (R) Bombardment of *dfrA-gus* and *C1* into petals of W134.
 (S) Bombardment of *dfrA-gus* and *C1* into petals of W80.
- (T) Bombardment of *dirA-gus* and *C1* into petals of W162.
- In (M), (R), and (T), arrows indicate spots appearing at low frequency.



Figure 7. Agrobacterium-Mediated Transformation of Petunia V26 with an Lc Fusion Gene.

- (A) Untransformed callus tissue from V26 leaf discs.
- (B) Callus tissue from V26 leaf discs transformed with the Lc fusion gene.
- (C) Pigmented shoot regenerated from a V26 leaf disc transformed with the Lc fusion gene.
- (D) V26 plantlet transformed with the Lc fusion gene displaying pigmentation in the roots.

division occurs before *f3h* (and not thereafter, as in petunia) (Martin et al., 1991). In maize kernels, on the other hand, the structural genes are regulated as a single unit (Dooner et al., 1991). This point will be discussed below.

Genes That Regulate Pigmentation of Maize Kernels Induce Pigmentation in Petunia

To test whether regulatory pigmentation genes from maize are able to induce structural pigmentation genes from petunia, we introduced a 35S–*Lc* construct in petunia via Agrobacterium transformation. Although we were not able to regenerate healthy *Lc*-expressing plants, our data show that *Lc* can induce pigmentation in petunia in callus tissue and young plantlets (Figure 7). In the course of these experiments, Lloyd

et al. (1992) reported that constitutive expression of an Lc transgene in Arabidopsis and tobacco plants results in intensified pigmentation, but does not alter the pattern of pigmentation. In most of their experiments, Lloyd et al. (1992) used a construct containing a full-size Lc cDNA, which includes the 5' untranslated region. This region of the R genes has been recently reported to contain several short open reading frames plus a loop structure that causes a reduction in translation efficiency (Consonni et al., 1993; Damiani and Wessler, 1993). The construct we used lacked the 5' untranslated region and, hence, is expected to direct synthesis of far larger quantities of Lc protein. This may explain the deleterious effects that we observed. Because the Lc protein contains an HLH motif, it can sequester endogenous HLH transcription factors by dimerizing with them, resulting in a complex with aberrant activity or none at all. We switched to transient expression assays

because these allowed us to assay the induction of a single (reporter) gene by *Lc* and *C1* in wild-type and mutant tissues.

Several observations indicate that the transient expression assays are specific and faithfully reflected the in vivo situation. First, dfrA-gus was transiently expressed after introduction in corollas from an6- and an10- petunia lines but not in those of an1⁻, an2⁻, and an11⁻ lines, whereas a chsA-gus gene was active in all these lines. This is consistent with the transcription run-on and the RNA gel blot analyses. Furthermore, it is consistent with the data demonstrating that the expression of a stably introduced dfrA-gus transgene in flower corolla of petunia is strongly down-regulated after crossing it into an an1and an2- genetic background (H.S.M. Huits, M.M. Kreike, J.N.M. Mol, A.G.M. Gerats, and R.E. Koes, unpublished data). Second, the combination of Lc and C1 can activate the dfrA and the chsJ promoter in leaf cells, but not that of chsA, which is consistent with the different genetic control of these three genes. Third, Lc and C1 can reactivate the dfrA promoter in corollas of specific petunia mutants only: Lc can (re)activate the dfrA-gus gene in an an2⁻ background (Figure 6N; Table 2), whereas C1 is not effective in this mutant (Figure 6Q). C1, however, can restore the activity of the dfrA promoter in the an11⁻ mutant (Figure 6R).

Bowen (1992) showed that in particle bombardment experiments, the number of visually detectable spots is a reliable measure for the expression level of the reporter gene. Nevertheless, variation is observed among different shots, and, therefore, we only interpreted the number of spots as a rough measure (in terms of high or low expression; see Table 2). For a more precise quantitation, GUS activity should be measured in quantitative fluorescence assays and should be related to the expression of an internal standard (e.g., a cobombarded luciferase gene).

 Table 2. Expression of the *dfrA-gus* Construct Transformed

 either Alone or in Combination with C1 and/or Lc Constructs in

 Corolla Limbs of Different Petunia Mutants

Line		Expression of <i>dfrA-gus</i> after Codelivery with ^b				
	Mutation ^a	None	Lc	C1	Lc + C1	
W80	an6-	+ + +	+++	+ + +	+++	
W162	an1-	-	+/-	_	+ + +	
W115	an2 ⁻	-/+	+ +	-	+++	
W154	an10-	+++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	
W134	an11-	_	-	+	+++	

^a Only mutations relevant for pigmentation of the corolla are given. ^b All bombardments were repeated at least 25 times within at least five independent experiments. The expression is given as the number of blue spots per bombarded corolla: + + +, wild-type level of expression (50 to 300 spots per shot); + +, high level of expression (20 to 50 spots per shot); +, low level of expression (5 to 10 spots per shot); +/-, a few spots appearing sporadically; -, no expression.



Figure 8. Induction of the chsA, chsJ, and dfrA Promoters by Lc and C1 in Transient Expression Assays.

Shown are the number of blue spots observed when the *dfrA-gus*, *chsJ-gus*, or *chsA-gus* fusion genes were introduced by way of particle bombardment in petunia leaves with or without the 35S-*Lc* and 35S-*C1* constructs.

Role of an1, an2, an4, and an11 in Transcription Activation of Pigmentation Genes

The efficient complementation of the an2- mutant with the Lc gene indicates that an2 encodes or controls a transcription factor functionally homologous to Lc. We recently isolated from petunia (by way of polymerase chain reaction) an Lchomologous cDNA fragment whose mRNA is down-regulated in an2⁻ corolla limbs, but not in those from an1⁻ and an11⁻ mutants, supporting this conclusion (F. Quattrocchio, J.F. Wing, H.T.C. Leppen, J.N.M. Mol, and R.E. Koes, unpublished data). The observation that Lc can reactivate the dfrA promoter in an4⁻ anthers (Figure 6T) suggests that an4 and an2 may be two differentially expressed members of a gene family, similar to the R family from maize (Chandler et al., 1989; Robbins et al., 1991; Tonelli et al., 1991). The gene an11 is the most likely candidate to encode or control a C1-homologous protein, because an an11⁻ mutant can be complemented by C1 alone, albeit at low efficiency.

We observed that complementation of the $an2^-$ mutant by Lc is enhanced by codelivery of C1 and that complementation of the $an11^-$ mutant by C1 is enhanced by Lc. This can be explained in two ways. First, a functional analysis in yeast cells of the protein encoded by the maize B gene, which is homologous to Lc (Chandler et al., 1989), indicated that it can interact directly with the C1 protein (Goff et al., 1992). When Lc alone is expressed in $an2^-$ flowers, activation of the dfrA promoter depends on the interaction of the Lc protein with an endogenous (C1-homologous) transcription factor. The interaction of Lc with the petunia C1 homolog may be less efficient than with

C1 itself or the ratio between the Lc and C1 protein (or its petunia homolog) may be an important factor, as has been reported for transactivation of the *Bronze-2* (*Bz2*) promoter in maize protoplasts (Bodeau and Walbot, 1992). Second, accumulation of anthocyanins in the petunia flower is restricted to epidermal cells (Koes et al., 1990). Hence, expression of the regulatory genes is expected to be epidermis specific, as has been shown for the expression of *delila* in snapdragon flowers (Goodrich et al., 1992). If this is the case, reactivation of the *dfrA* promoter by *Lc* only occurs in cells expressing the C1-homologous gene (epidermal cells), whereas reactivation by *C1* plus *Lc* may occur both in epidermal and mesophyll cells. At present, we cannot differentiate between these two possibilities.

The nature of the *an1* gene product and its role in transcription regulation of the structural genes are unclear at present. The *an1* mutation is efficiently complemented by *Lc* plus *C1*, but not by *C1* alone and only at very low efficiency by *Lc*. One way to explain the complementation data is to assume that *an1* acts as a regulator of the expression of the petunia *Lc*-and *C1*-homologous genes. However, the finding that the mRNA of an *Lc*-homologous gene from petunia is down-regulated in *an2⁻* flowers but not in *an1⁻* flowers argues against such a role for *an1* (F. Quattrocchio, J.F. Wing, H.T.C. Leppen, J.N.M. Mol, and R.E. Koes, unpublished data). Additional experiments are required to establish the exact role of *an1*.

Transient expression experiments in leaves (Figure 6G) show that Lc plus C1 is sufficient to induce the dfrA promoter in a tissue in which it is normally silent (e.g., Figure 4). This indicates that the petunia homologs are important factors in determining tissue-specific dfrA expression. Induction of pigment synthesis obviously requires the activation of all structural genes of the anthocyanin pathway. Although an1-, an2-, and an11-regulated genes, like dfrA and chsJ, are induced by Lc plus C1, separately regulated genes (like chsA and presumably chi and f3h) are not (see also Figure 8), which explains why no induction of anthocyanin pigmentation was observed. Because petunia leaves do contain dihydroflavonols and flavonols (Figure 1), the early genes of the pathway (chs, chi, and f3h) must be active at some stage in leaf development. This might explain why pigmented cells are observed only in very young leaves after bombardment with Lc plus C1. If in such cells chs, chi, and f3h genes are activated by endogenous factors, the activation of dfrA and other an1-, an2-, and an11-controlled genes by Lc and C1 would be sufficient for anthocyanin production.

Evolution of the Flavonoid Pathway and Its Regulators: A Model That Explains Species-to-Species Similarities and Dissimilarities

Based on the sequence homology between the *delila* and *Lc* genes, Goodrich et al. (1992) argued that both are derived from a common ancestral gene and that subsequent alterations in their promoters are responsible for the different pigmentation

patterns of maize and snapdragon. In this view, the functional *Lc* homolog from petunia may be derived from the same ancestor and similarly *C1* and its petunia homolog may have a common origin. If this is true, it raises the question of how these regulators have obtained such different sets of target genes.

Analyses of the distribution of flavonoids among plants species indicate that different sets of flavonoid biosynthetic genes appeared at distinct time points during evolution (Swain, 1986; Stafford, 1991). Chalcones and flavanones are the most ancient flavonoids and arose \sim 500 million years ago. The first flavonols appeared \sim 370 million years ago, whereas anthocyanins appeared later again (120 million years ago). This implies that chs genes were the first flavonoid genes, followed later by f3h (flavonol biosynthesis) and again later by anthocyanin biosynthetic genes, such as dfr, an13, uf3gt, rt, and amt. Because the isomerization of chalcones also occurs spontaneously, it is unclear at which time point chi genes arose (Stafford, 1991). It is thought that the structural flavonoid genes are derived from genes encoding enzymes in primary metabolism following gene duplication. For example, CHS and several fatty acid biosynthetic enzymes are thought to have derived from a common ancestral condensing enzyme (Kaupinen et al., 1988; Tsay et al., 1992; Verwoert et al., 1992), whereas dfr genes may share a common ancestor with dehydrogenases involved in steroid metabolism (Baker, 1991). Obviously, the gene duplication event must have been followed by mutations in the protein coding sequences and the regulatory sequences to optimize the encoded enzyme and to have the gene expressed at the right time and place. In the case of anthocyanin-specific genes (for example, dfr, an13, uf3gt, and rt), a crucial step must have been the coupling to the ancestors of today's C1 and Lc (and their homologs).

Compatible modifications in the regulatory sequences must have followed, otherwise anthocyanin biosynthesis would be restricted to flavonol- or flavanone-synthesizing cells that already express chs and f3h. For the required adaptations in chs and f3h expression pattern, two mechanisms, or a combination of both, can be envisaged. First, novel cis-acting elements may have been added to the chs and f3h promoter, resulting in chimeric promoters that respond to distinct transacting factors in different tissues. Alternatively, chs and f3h genes may have been duplicated, followed by coupling of one set of gene copies to the regulatory C1 and Lc / delila / an2 ancestors. This results in two sets of early acting genes: one that is coordinately controlled with the anthocyanin-specific genes dfr, uf3gt, and rt (type A genes), and a second set that is still under the original control, possibly coordinated with flavonol- or flavanone-specific genes (type F). During later stages of evolution, the type A or type F copy of a certain gene may have been selectively inactivated or deleted, as shown in Figure 9.

The generation of novel patterns of tissue-specific expression by a combinatorial contribution of multiple *cis*-acting elements has been reported for the CaMV 35S promoter (Benfey and Chua, 1990; Benfey et al., 1990), and there is some



Figure 9. Model That Describes How Regulatory Genes in Petunia, Snapdragon, and Maize with a Common Evolutionary Origin May Have Acquired Different Sets of Target Genes.

Flavonoid genes have been classified as type A (gene names in ellipses) based on the genes that control their expression: C1 and members of the R family from maize (Dooner et al., 1991), *delila* from snapdragon (Martin et al., 1991), or *an1*, *an2*, and *an11* from petunia (this study). Genes expressed independently from these regulatory genes have been classified as type F (gene names in squares). *niv*, *nivea* locus; C2, C2 locus; mya, million years ago.

evidence for a modular organization of flavonoid promoters (Leyva et al., 1992; van der Meer et al., 1992a, 1992b). Several findings suggest that at least in some cases, gene duplications have been involved; the chsJ (type A) and chsA (type F) genes from petunia are the clearest examples. Maize contains at least two chs genes, located at the C2 and White pollen (Whp) loci (Franken et al., 1991). The chs gene at the C2 locus is responsible for the pigmentation of most plant tissues and is controlled by C1 and R genes (Dooner, 1983; Ludwig et al., 1989; Tonelli et al., 1991), making it a clear representative of type A genes. In maize seedlings, however, a chs transcript accumulates independently of R genes, suggesting the presence of a type F chs gene, although the chromosomal locus is not identified (Taylor and Briggs, 1990). Both petunia and snapdragon have a single f3h gene (Britsch et al., 1991; Martin et al., 1991). The f3h gene from snapdragon is controlled by delila (type A) (Martin et al., 1991), whereas the petunia f3h gene is expressed independently of an2 (type F). This difference in regulation may be explained by the selective loss of the type A or type F copy of f3h in petunia and snapdragon, respectively. This may be connected to the observation that flavonols, which require F3H for their biosynthesis, are end products of flavonoid biosynthesis in petunia, whereas in snapdragon, flavanones (which do not require F3H for their synthesis) rather than flavonols are accumulated (Bartlett, 1989).

The above model predicts that the different control of chs and f3h gene expression in petunia, maize, and snapdragon is caused by differences in *cis*-acting elements within the *f3h* and *chs* genes and not by differences between *R* genes, *delila*, and the petunia homolog. This is consistent with the observation that *Lc* and *C1* cannot activate the *chsA* gene from petunia (Figure 8). The availability of cloned *Lc* homologs from snapdragon (Goodrich et al., 1992) and petunia (F. Quattrocchio, J.F. Wing, H.T.C. Leppen, J.N.M. Mol, and R.E. Koes, unpublished data) makes it possible to test the above model by swapping homologous regulatory genes between different species. Such analyses may provide us with novel insights into the development and evolution of regulatory networks.

METHODS

Plant Materials

The petunia lines that have been used are described in Table 1. All petunia lines were maintained by inbreeding, except for VR, which is an F_1 hybrid between lines V23 and R51. Lines R27, W138, W137, and W134 are fully isogenic because their different genotypes are the result of transposon insertions and excisions at the *Anthocyanin-1* (*An1*) and *An11* loci (Doodeman et al., 1984a, 1984b). A sporogenic revertant plant isolated from the *an2*-mutable line W82 was used as a (isogenic) wild type. All plants were grown under standard greenhouse conditions.

RNA Analyses

Corollas and anthers were dissected from flowers of a defined developmental stage, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -70°C until further use. Total RNA was extracted as previously described (van Tunen et al., 1988). For RNA gel blot analysis, 10 µg of RNA was loaded on formaldehyde agarose gels (van Tunen et al., 1988). After electrophoresis, RNA gels were stained for 2 min in 50 mM NaOH, 10 mM NaCl, 0.5 mg/L ethidium bromide, destained in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, and blotted onto Hybond-N membranes (Amersham). cDNA fragments encoding the following flavonoid biosynthetic enzymes were used as a probe: chalcone synthase (CHS) (Koes et al., 1989b), chalcone flavanone isomerase (CHI) (van Tunen et al., 1988), flavanone 3-hydroxylase (F3H) (kindly provided by L. Britsch, University of Freiburg, Germany and G. Forkmann, University of Munich, Germany) (Britsch et al., 1991), dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (DFR) (Beld et al., 1989), anthocyanin rhamnosyltransferase (RT) (Kroon et al., 1993), anthocyanin methyltransferase (AMT) (E. Souer, J.T.M. Kroon, B. Oppedijk, J.N.M. Mol, and R.E. Koes, unpublished data), and AN13, a yet undefined enzyme encoded by the An13 locus (E. Souer, J.T.M. Kroon, B. Oppedijk, J.N.M. Mol, and R.E. Koes, unpublished data). A partial cDNA clone encoding phenylalanine ammonia-lyase was picked up as a false positive in a screen of a V26 corolla cDNA library for helix-loop-helix protein encoding cDNAs. The sequence of the fragment displayed an homology of 85% at the protein level with the pal sequence from bean (F. Quattrocchio, J.F. Wing, H.T.C. Leppen, J.N.M. Mol, and R.E. Koes, unpublished data).

RNase protection assays were performed according to the method of Koes et al. (1989a), except that the *chsA*-specific probe covered only the most 3' 980 nucleotides of the mRNA sequence. To check for specificity of the assay, both *chsA* and *chsJ* probes were hybridized

to single-stranded DNA obtained from *chsA* and *chsJ* subclones in M13 vectors (data not shown).

Transcription run-on assays were performed as described previously (Weiss et al., 1992). In control experiments, α -amanitin (Sigma) was added to the nuclei at a final concentration of 4 mg/L prior to elongation of nascent transcripts.

Description of Fusion Genes

The dfrA-β-glucuronidase (gus) fusion gene was constructed as a translational fusion of a 2067-bp dfrA genomic fragment (1949 bp of promoter, with 82 bp of 5' untranslated region and 36 bp of protein coding region) with the gus gene from pBI221 and the nopaline synthase (nos) tail of the same plasmid. The chsA-gus gene construct (Vip161) has been described before (Koes et al., 1990). The cauliflower mosaic virus 35S-Leaf color-nos (CaMV 35S-Lc-nos) construct, pSRL349 (kindly provided by S. Wessler, University of Georgia, Athens) contains the Lc cDNA fused to the CaMV 35S promoter and the nos tail fragment of pBI221 (Ludwig et al., 1989). The C1 protein coding region was isolated from the plasmid p35SC1 (kindly provided by S. Goff and V. Chandler, University of Oregon, Eugene) (Goff et al., 1990) by digestion with BamHI and SstI and ligated in PBI221 (Jefferson et al., 1987), from which the gus coding sequence had been removed by digestion with the same enzymes. The resulting plasmid, Zip701, contains the C1 protein coding region fused to the CaMV 35S promoter and the nos 3' tail.

Transient Expression Assays Using Particle Bombardment

Flower buds at stages 3 to 4 (Koes et al., 1989a) and leaves were collected, rinsed in 70% ethanol for a few seconds, and sterilized by immersion for 10 min in 3% sodium hypochlorite. After several washings in sterile water, the sepals were removed and the corollas were cut transversely, unrolled, and placed on solidified (0.7% agar) Murashige and Skoog medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) supplemented with 3% sucrose. After a 24-hr incubation at 24°C, tissues were bombarded with DNA-coated tungsten particles using the PDS-1000/He particle gun (Du Pont).

Tungsten microprojectiles (1.8 μ m; kindly provided by Pioneer Hi-Bred International Inc., Johnston, IA) were resuspended in 50 μ L of distilled water. Five micrograms of plasmid DNA, 50 μ L CaCl₂ (2.5 M), and 20 μ L spermidine-free base (0.1 M) were added to the particles and mixed by vortexing for ~5 min. The particles were subsequently centrifuged and the supernatant was removed. After washing once in 100% ethanol, the particles were resuspended in 60 μ L of 100% ethanol. For every bombardment, 10 μ L of coated particles were spotted on the macroprojectile and allowed to dry in a flow cabinet. Bombardments were performed using a pressure of 1550 psi. After transformation, the tissues were incubated for 48 hr at 25°C in a growth cabinet and stained for GUS activity as described previously (Jefferson et al., 1987). After 48 hr of staining at room temperature and overnight destaining in 70% ethanol, spots were counted using a dissection microscope.

Corollas and leaves from each petunia line utilized in the assay were transformed with a *chsA–gus* gene to verify that the transformation efficiency of the different mutants was at least comparable (data not shown).

Permanent Transformation

For permanent transformation, constructs were recloned into the binary vector Bin19 (Bevan, 1984), and transgenic plants were obtained via leaf disc infection with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* as previously reported (Quattrocchio et al., 1990).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Dr. Sue Wessler for the Lc construct, to Drs. Stephen Goff and Vicki Chandler for the C1 clone, and to Drs. Lothar Britsch and Gert Forkmann for the f3h probe. We acknowledge Dianne Bond and Bob Nutter for their advice and the facilities they offered during the early particle gun transformation experiments; Rik van Blokland for his advice on the run-on analyses; and Henk Huits, Johan Kroon, and Erik Souer for sharing DNA clones. We thank Pioneer Hi-Bred International Inc., Johnston, IA, for their generous supply of tungsten particles. Special thanks are also due to Pieter Hoogeveen, Martina Meesters, and Daisy Kloos for care of petunia plants and to Joop Meijer, Wim Bergenhenegouwen, Luigi Sanna, and Fred Schuurhof for their assistance with photographic work. We thank Jan Kooter for suggestions to improve the manuscript. F.Q. and J.F.W. are supported by a grant from the Netherlands Organization for Chemical Research (S.O.N.), with financial aid from the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Research (N.W.O.).

Received June 1, 1993; accepted August 9, 1993.

REFERENCES

- Almeida, J., Carpenter, R., Robbins, T., Martin, C., and Coen, E.S. (1989). Genetic interactions underlying flower colour patterns in Antirrhinum majus. Genes Dev. 3, 1758–1767.
- Baker, M.E. (1991). Genealogy of regulation of human sex and adrenal function, prostaglandin action, snapdragon and petunia flower colors, antibiotic, and nitrogen fixation: Functional diversity from two ancestral dehydrogenases. Steroids 56, 354–361.
- Bartlett, N.J.R. (1989). The Genetic Control of Anthocyanin Biosynthesis in *Antirrhinum majus*. PhD thesis (University of East Anglia, England).
- Beld, M., Martin, C., Huits, H., Stuitje, A.R., and Gerats A.G.M. (1989). Flavonoid synthesis in *Petunia hybrida*: Partial characterization of dihydroflavonol 4-reductase genes. Plant Mol. Biol. 13, 491–502.
- Benfey, P.N., and Chua, N.-H. (1990). The cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter: Combinatorial regulation of transcription in plants. Science 250, 959–966.
- Benfey, P.N., Ren, L., and Chua, N.-H. (1990). Combinatorial and synergistic properties of CaMV 35S enhancer subdomains. EMBO J. 9, 1685–1696.
- Bevan, M. (1984). Binary Agrobacterium vectors for plant transformation. Nucl. Acids. Res. 12, 8711–8712.

- Bodeau, J.P., and Walbot, V. (1992). Regulated transcription of the maize *bronze-2* promoter in electroporated protoplasts requires the C1 and R gene products. Mol. Gen. Genet. 233, 379–387.
- Bowen, B. (1992). Anthocyanin genes as visual markers in transformed maize tissues. In GUS Protocols; Using the GUS Gene as Reporter of Gene Expression, S.R. Gallagher, ed (London: Academic Press, Inc.), pp. 163–175.
- Britsch, L., Ruhnau-Brich, B., and Forkmann, G. (1991). Molecular cloning, sequence analysis and in vitro expression of flavanone 3βhydroxylase from *Petunia hybrida*. J. Biol. Chem. 267, 5380–5387.
- Chandler, V.L., Radicella, J.P., Robbins, T.P., Chen, J., and Turks, D. (1989). Two regulatory genes of the maize anthocyanin pathway are homologous: Isolation of *B* utilizing *R* genomic sequences. Plant Cell 1, 1175–1183.
- Cone, K.C., Burr, F.A., and Burr, B. (1986). Molecular analysis of the maize regulatory locus C1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 9631–9635.
- Consonni, G., Geuna, F., Gavazzi, G., and Tonelli, C. (1993). Molecular homology among members of the *R* gene family of maize. Plant J. **3**, 335–346.
- Cramer, C.L., Edwards, K., Dron, M., Liang, X., Dildine, S.L., Bolwell, G.P., Dixon, R.A., Lamb C.J., and Schuch, W. (1989). Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase gene organization and structure. Plant Mol. Biol. 12, 367–383.
- Damiani R.D., and Wessler, S.R. (1993). An upstream open reading frame represses expression of *Lc*, a member of the *R/B* family of maize transcriptional activators. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, in press.
- Dellaporta, S.L., Greenblatt, I., Kermicle, J.L., Hicks, J.B., and Wessler, S. (1988). Molecular cloning of the maize *R-nj* allele by transposon tagging with *Ac.* In Chromosome Structure and Function: Impact of New Concepts, J.P. Gustafson and R. Appels, eds (New York: Plenum Press), pp. 263–282.
- de Vlaming, P., Gerats, A.G.M., Wiering, H., Wijsman, H.J.W., Cornu, A., and Maizonnier, D. (1984). *Petunia hybrida*: A short description of the action of 91 genes, their origin and their map location. Plant Mol. Biol. Rep. 4, 21–42.
- Doodeman, M., Boersma, E.A., Koomen, W., and Blanchi, F. (1984a). Genetic analysis of instability in *Petunia hybrida*. 1. A highly unstable mutation induced by a transposable element inserted at the *An1* locus for flower colour. Theor. Appl. Genet. 67, 345–355.
- Doodeman, M., Gerats, A.G.M., Schram, A.W., de Vlaming, P., and Blanchi, F. (1984b). Genetic analysis of instability in *Petunia hybrida*.
 2. Unstable mutations at different loci as the result of transpositions of the genetic element inserted at the *An1* locus. Theor. Appl. Genet. 67, 357–366.
- Dooner, H.K. (1983). Coordinate genetic regulation of flavonoid biosynthetic enzymes in maize. Mol. Gen. Genet. 189, 136–141.
- Dooner, H.K., Robbins, T., and Jorgensen, R. (1991). Genetic and developmental control of anthocyanin biosynthesis. Annu. Rev. Genet. 25, 173–199.
- Franken, P., Niesbach-Klösgen, U., Weydemann, U., Maréchal-Drouard, L., Saedler, H., and Wienand, U. (1991). The duplicated chalcone synthase genes C2 and Whp (White pollen) of Zea mays are independently regulated: Evidence for translational control of Whp expression by the anthocyanin intensifying gene in. EMBO J. 10, 2605–2612.
- Gerats, A.G.M., de Vlaming, P., Doodeman, M., Al, B., and Schram, A. (1982). Genetic control of the conversion of dihydroflavonols into

flavonols and anthocyanidins in flowers of *Petunia hybrida*. Planta **155,** 364–368.

- Gerats, A.G.M., Farcy, E., Wallroth, M., Groot, S.P.C., and Schram, A. (1984). Control of anthocyanin biosynthesis in *Petunia hybrida* by multiple allelic series of the genes *An1* and *An2*. Genetics **106**, 501–508.
- Goff, S.A., Klein, T.M., Roth, B.A., Fromm, M.E., Cone, K.C., Radicella, J.P., and Chandler, V.L. (1990). Transactivation of anthocyanin biosynthetic genes following transfer of *B* regulatory genes into maize tissues. EMBO J. 9, 2517–2522.
- Goff, S.A., Cone, K.C., and Fromm M.E. (1991). Identification of functional domains in the maize transcriptional activator C1: Comparison of wild-type and dominant inhibitor proteins. Genes Dev. 5, 298–309.
- Goff, S.A., Cone, K.C., and Chandler, V.L. (1992). Functional analysis of the transcription activator encoded by the maize *B*-gene: Evidence for a direct functional interaction between two classes of regulatory proteins. Genes Dev. 6, 864–875.
- Goodrich, J., Carpenter, R., and Coen, E.S. (1992). A common gene regulates pigmentation pattern in diverse plant species. Cell 68, 955–964.
- Hattori, T., Vasil, V., Rosenkrans, L., Hannah, L.C., McCarty, D.R., and Vasil, I.K. (1992). The Viviparous-1 gene and abscisic acid activate the C1 regulatory gene for anthocyanin biosynthesis during seed maturation in maize. Genes Dev. 6, 609–618.
- Jefferson, R.A., Kavanagh, T.A., and Bevan, M.W. (1987). GUS fusions: β-Glucuronidase as a sensitive and versatile gene fusion marker in higher plants. EMBO J. 6, 3901–3907.
- Jonsson, L.M.V., Aarsman, M.E.G., van Diepen, J., and Schram, A.W. (1984). Properties and genetic control of anthocyanindin 5-Oglucosyltransferase in flowers of *Petunia hybrida*. Planta 160, 341–347.
- Kaupinen, S., Siggaard-Andersen, M., and Von Wettstein-Knowles, P. (1988). β-Ketoacyl-ACP synthase of *Escherichia coli*: Nucleotide sequence of the *fabB* gene and identification of the cerulin binding residue. Carlsberg. Res. Comm. **53**, 357–370.
- Koes, R.E., Spelt, C.E., and Mol, J.N.M. (1989a). The chalcone synthase multigene family of *Petunia hybrida* (V30): Differential, light-regulated expression during flower development and UV light induction. Plant Mol. Biol. **12**, 213–225.
- Koes, R.E., Spelt, C.E., van den Elzen, P.J.M., and Mol, J.N.M. (1989b). Cloning and molecular characterization of the chalcone synthase multigene family of *Petunia hybrida*. Gene 81, 245–257.
- Koes, R.E., van Blokland, R., Quattrocchio, F., van Tunen, A.J., and Mol, J.N.M. (1990). Chalcone synthase promoters in petunia are active in pigmented and unpigmented cell types. Plant Cell 2, 379–392.
- Kroon, J., Souer, E., de Graaff, A., Xue, Y., Mol, J.N.M., and Koes, R.E. (1993). Cloning and structural analysis of the anthocyanin locus Rt of *Petunia hybrida*: Characterisation of insertion sequences in two mutant alleles. Plant J., in press.
- Lamb, C.J., Lawton, M.A., Dron, M., and Dixon, R.A. (1989). Signals and transduction mechanisms for activation of plant defenses against microbial attack. Cell 56, 215–224.
- Leyva, A., Liang, X., Pinter-Toro, J.A., Dixon, R.A., and Lamb, C.J. (1992). *cis*-Element combinations determine phenylalanine ammonialyase gene tissue-specific expression patterns. Plant Cell 4, 263–271.
- Liang, X., Dron, M., Schmid, J., Dixon, R.A., and Lamb, C.J. (1989). Developmental and environmental regulation of a phenylalanine

ammonia-lyase-β-glucuronidase gene fusion in transgenic tobacco plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. **86**, 9284–9288.

- Lloyd, A.M., Walbot, V., and Davis, R.W. (1992). Arabidopsis and Nicotiana anthocyanin production activated by maize regulators R and C1. Science 258, 1773–1775.
- Long, S.R. (1989). *Rhizobium*-legume nodulation: Life together in the underground. Cell 56, 203–214.
- Ludwig, S.R., and Wessler, S.R. (1990). Maize R Gene Family: Tissue specific helix-loop-helix proteins. Cell 62, 849–851.
- Ludwig, S.R., Habera, L.F., Dellaporta, S.L., and Wessler, S.R. (1989). *Lc*, a member of the maize *R* gene family responsible for tissue-specific anthocyanin production, encodes a protein similar to transcriptional activators and contains the *myc*-homology region. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **86**, 7092–7096.
- Ludwig, S.R., Bowen, B., Beach, L., and Wessler, S.R. (1990). A regulatory gene as a novel visible marker for maize transformation. Science **247**, 449–450.
- Martin, C., and Gerats T. (1993). Control of pigment biosynthesis genes during petal development. Plant Cell 5, 1253–1264.
- Martin, C., Prescott, A., Mackay, S., Bartlett, J., and Vrijlandt, E. (1991). Control of anthocyanin biosynthesis in flowers of *Antirrhinum majus*. Plant J. 1, 37–49.
- Murashige, T., and Skoog, F. (1962). A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol. Plant. 15, 473–497.
- Paz-Ares, J., Ghosal, D., Wienand, U., Peterson, P.A., and Saedler,
 H. (1987). The regulatory C1 locus of Zea mays encodes a protein with homology to myb proto-oncogene products and with structural similarities to transcriptional activators. EMBO J. 6, 3553–3558.
- Perrot, G.H., and Cone, K.C. (1989). Nucleotide sequence of the maize *R-S* gene. Nucl. Acids Res. 17, 8003.
- Quattrocchio, F., Tolk, M., Coraggio, I., Mol, J.N.M., Viotti, A., and Koes, R.E. (1990). The maize zein gene zE19 contains two distinct promoters, which are independently activated in endosperm and anthers of transgenic *Petunia* plants. Plant Mol. Biol. 15, 81–93.
- Radicella, J.P., Brown, D., Tolar, L.A., and Chandler, V.L. (1992). Allelic diversity of the maize *B* regulatory gene: Different leader and promoter sequences of two *B* alleles determine distinct tissue specificities of anthocyanin production. Genes Dev. 6, 2152–2164.
- Robbins, T.P., Walker, E.L., Kermicle, J.L., Alleman, M., and Dellaporta, S.L. (1991). Meiotic instability of the *R-r* complex arising from displaced exchange and intrachromosomal rearrangement. Genetics **129**, 271–283.
- Roth, B.A., Goff, S.A., Klein, T.M., and Fromm, M.E. (1991). C1-and *R*-dependent expression of the maize *Bz1* gene requires sequences with homology to mammalian *myb* and *myc* binding sites. Plant Cell 3, 317–325.
- Stafford, H.A. (1991). Flavonoid evolution: An enzymic approach. Plant Physiol. 96, 680–685.
- Swain, T. (1986). The evolution of flavonoids. In Plant Flavonoids in Biology and Medicine. Biochemical, Pharmacological, and Structure-Activity Relationships, V. Cody, E. Middleton, Jr., and J.B. Harborne, eds (New York: Alan R. Liss, Inc.), pp. 1–14.

- Taylor, L.P., and Briggs W.R. (1990). Genetic regulation and photocontrol of anthocyanin accumulation in maize seedlings. Plant Cell 2, 115–127.
- Tonelli, C., Consonni, G., Faccio Dolfini, S., Dellaporta, S.L., Viotti, A., and Gavazzi, G. (1991). Molecular studies of light dependent and light independent alleles of the regulatory *Sn* locus in maize. Mol. Gen. Genet. 225, 401–410.
- Tsay, J.-T., Oh, W., Larson, T.J., Jackowski, S., and Rock, C.O. (1992). Isolation and characterization of the β-ketoacyl-acyl carrier protein synthase III gene (*fabH*) from *Escherichia coli*. J. Biol. Chem. 267, 6807–6814.
- van der Meer, I.M., Stam, M.E., van Tunen, A.J., Mol, J.N.M., and Stuitje, A.R. (1992a). Antisense inhibition of flavonoid biosynthesis in petunia anthers results in male sterility. Plant Cell 4, 253–262.
- van der Meer, I.M., Brouwer, M., Spelt, C.E., Mol, J.N.M., and Stuitje,
 A.R. (1992b). The TACPyAT repeats in the chalcone synthase promoter of *Petunia hybrida* act as a dominant negative *cis*-acting module in the control of organ specific expression. Plant J. 2, 525–535.
- van der Meer, I.M., Stuitje, A.R. and Mol, J.N.M. (1992c). Regulation of general phenylpropanoid and flavonoid gene expression. In Control of Plant Gene Expression, D.P.S. Verma, ed (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, Inc.), pp. 125–155.
- van Tunen, A.J., Koes, R.E., Spelt, C.E., van der Krol, A.R., Stuitje, A.R., and Mol, J.N.M. (1988). Cloning of the two chalcone flavanone isomerase genes from *Petunia hybrida*; coordinate, light-regulated and differential expression of flavonoid genes. EMBO J. 7, 1257–1263.
- van Tunen, A.J., Hartman, S.A., Mur, L.A., and Mol., J.N.M. (1989). Regulation of chalcone flavanone isomerase (*chi*) gene expression in *Petunia hybrida*: The use of alternative promoters in corolla, anthers and pollen. Plant Mol. Biol. **12**, 539–551.
- van Tunen, A.J., Mur, L.A., Brouns, G.S., Rienstra, J.-D., Koes, R.E., and Mol, J.N.M. (1990). Pollen- and anther-specific *chi* promoters from petunia: Tandem promoter regulation of the *chiA* gene. Plant Cell 2, 393–401.
- van Tunen, A.J., Mur, L.A., Recourt, K., Gerats, A.G.M., and Mol, J.N.M. (1991). Regulation and manipulation of flavonoid gene expression in anthers of petunia: The molecular basis of the *Po* mutation. Plant Cell **3**, 39–48.
- Verwoert, I.I.G.S., Verbree, E.C., van der Linden, K.H., Nijkamp, H.J.J., and Stuitje, A.R. (1992). Cloning, nucleotide sequence and expression of the *Escherichia coli fabD* gene, encoding malonyl coenzyme A-acyl carrier protein transacylase. J. Bacteriol. **174**, 2851–2857.
- Weiss, D., van Tunen, A.J., Halevy, A.H., Mol, J.N.M., and Gerats, A.G.M. (1990). Stamens and gibberellic acid in the regulation of flavonoid gene expression in the corolla of *Petunia hybrida*. Plant Physiol. 94, 511–515.
- Weiss, D., van Blokland, R., Kooter, J.M., Mol, J.N.M., and van Tunen, A.J. (1992). Gibberellic acid regulates chalcone synthase gene transcription in the corolla of *Petunia hybrida*. Plant Physiol. 98, 191–197.