# A Nuclear Gene Encoding Mitochondrial Proline Dehydrogenase, an Enzyme Involved in Proline Metabolism, Is Upregulated by Proline but Downregulated by Dehydration in Arabidopsis

Tomohiro Kiyosue,<sup>a,1</sup> Yoshu Yoshiba,<sup>a,b</sup> Kazuko Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, <sup>a,c</sup> and Kazuo Shinozaki<sup>a,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratory of Plant Molecular Biology, Institute of Physical and Chemical Research (RIKEN), Tsukuba Life Science Center, 3-1-1 Koyadai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Advanced Research Laboratory, Hitachi Ltd., Hatoyama, Saitama 350-03, Japan

<sup>o</sup> Biological Resources Division, Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS), Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, 1-2 Ohwashi, Ibaraki 305, Japan

Agriculture, Polestry and Pishenes, 1-2 Onwashi, Ibaraki 505, Japan

Proline is one of the most common compatible osmolytes in water-stressed plants. The accumulation of proline in dehydrated plants is caused both by the activation of proline biosynthesis and by the inactivation of proline degradation; a decrease in the level of accumulated proline in rehydrated plants is caused both by the inhibition of proline biosynthesis and by the activation of proline degradation. The proline biosynthetic pathway has been well characterized, but the degradation of proline is poorly understood. Sequence analysis of an Arabidopsis cDNA clone, ERD5 (for early responsive to dehydration stress), isolated from plants dehydrated for 1 hr, revealed that it encodes a protein with identity to products of the yeast PUT1 (for proline utilization) gene (23.6% over 364 amino acids) and the Drosophila sluggish-A gene (34.5% over 255 amino acids). Their gene products are precursors of proline oxidases (dehydrogenase) (EC 1.5.99.8), which are the first enzymes involved in the conversion of proline to glutamic acid. Proline oxidase is localized in mitochondria. RNA gel blot analysis demonstrated that transcripts of the ERD5 gene were undetectable when plants had been dehydrated for 10 hr, but large amounts of the transcript accumulated when plants subsequently were rehydrated. Elevated levels of the transcript were also found in plants that had been incubated in a medium that contained proline. Immunologically, we showed that the product of ERD5 is localized in the mitochondrial fraction and accumulates in response to proline in cultured cells. Fusion genes for ERD5 and PUT1 complemented a put1 mutant of yeast, allowing put1 to grow with proline as the source of nitrogen. These results suggest that ERD5 encodes a precursor of proline dehydrogenase (oxidase), which is regulated at the level of mRNA accumulation in both dehydrated and rehydrated plants.

### INTRODUCTION

Many plants accumulate compatible osmolytes, such as sugar alcohols, proline, or glycine betaine, when they are subjected to drought or salinity stress (Hellebust, 1976; Delauney and Verma, 1993). These stresses are the major factors that limit plant growth and crop productivity (Boyer, 1982), and compatible osmolytes appear to protect plants from such stresses. There are many reports that indicate a positive correlation between the accumulation of proline and adaptation to osmotic stress in plants (see Delauney and Verma, 1993). Moreover, transgenic tobacco plants genetically engineered to accumulate mannitol or proline are able to tolerate higher salinity better than control tobacco plants do (Tarczynski et al., 1993; Kavi Kishor et al., 1995). Among the compatible organic solutes, proline is probably the most widely distributed osmolyte; it accumulates in eubacteria, protozoa, and marine invertebrates (McCue and Hanson, 1990; Delauney and Verma, 1993). The biosynthetic pathway to proline in plants has been well characterized by functional complementation of mutant strains of *Escherichia coli* (Delauney and Verma, 1990; Hu et al., 1992; Delauney et al., 1993). In plants, L-proline is produced from L-glutamic acid via  $\Delta^1$ -pyrroline-5-carboxylate (P5C). Production is catalyzed by two enzymes, P5C synthetase (P5CS) and P5C reductase (P5CR); production of L-proline from L-ornithine via P5C is catalyzed by ornithine-d-aminotransferase ( $\delta$ -OAT) and P5CR.

Because salt stress induces the expression of the gene for P5CS and depresses it for  $\delta$ -OAT in *Vigna aconitifolia*, the former pathway for proline synthesis has been postulated to predominate in plants under osmotic stress (Delauney and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Current address: Institute of Biological Chemistry, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164-6340.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Verma, 1993; Delauney et al., 1993). In Arabidopsis, the expression of the gene for P5CS is strongly induced by dehydration, salt stress, and the application of abscisic acid, but the expression of the gene for P5CR is not (Yoshiba et al., 1995). Verma and co-workers have demonstrated that transgenic tobacco plants expressing soybean P5CR had an elevated level of P5CR activity but did not accumulate proline at significant levels (Szoke et al., 1992). In contrast, transgenic tobacco plants expressing moth bean P5CS produced a high level of the enzyme and subsequently accumulated 10-fold more proline than did control plants (Szoke et al., 1992; Kavi Kishor et al., 1995). Therefore, P5CS is postulated to be the rate-limiting enzyme in the biosynthesis of proline in plants.

The other important factor that controls levels of proline in plants is degradation. L-Proline is oxidized to P5C in plant mitochondria by proline dehydrogenase (oxidase) (EC 1.5.99.8), and P5C is converted to glutamate by P5C dehydrogenase (Boggess et al., 1975; Elthon and Stewart, 1981). This oxidation of proline is inhibited during the accumulation of proline under water stress and is activated in rewater-stressed plants (Stewart et al., 1977; Rayapati and Stewart, 1991). The isolation of the gene for proline oxidase would be a valuable contribution to efforts to understand the role of proline dehydrogenase in the accumulation of proline in plants. Unfortunately, it has proven difficult to purify and isolate the enzyme in substantial amounts, because the activity is bound to the matrix side of the inner membrane of mitochondria and the enzyme seems to donate electrons directly to the respiratory electron transport system (Elthon and Stewart, 1981, 1982). Rayapati and Stewart (1991) succeeded in solubilizing proline dehydrogenase activity from plant mitochondria. However, proline dehydrogenase in plants remains to be characterized molecularly.

We are investigating plant responses to water stress, and we have isolated 16 groups of cDNAs (ERD clones, early responsive to dehydration clones) that correspond to genes expressed in Arabidopsis after dehydration for 1 hr. A differential screening technique (Kiyosue et al., 1994a) was used. Sequence analysis of ERD clones revealed that ERD1 is homologous with the cDNA for the regulatory subunit of the Clp ATP-dependent protease in E. coli, and its predicted product contains a putative chloroplast-targeting signal at the N terminus; ERD10 and ERD14 are similar to cDNAs for group II late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins; ERD11 and ERD13 are homologous with cDNAs for glutathione S-transferases (GST); and ERD2, ERD8, and ERD16 are identical to cDNAs for heat shock proteins HSP70-1 and HSP81-2 and the ubiquitin extension protein, respectively (Kiyosue et al., 1993a, 1993b, 1994a, 1994b).

In this report, we characterize one of the remaining ERD clones, ERD5, as a cDNA for a proline dehydrogenase based on its sequence homology with proline oxidases of yeast and Drosophila, the negative correlation between its pattern of gene expression and the proline content of Arabidopsis plants under water-stressed conditions, the subcellular localization of its products, and the functional complementation of the *put1* 

(for proline <u>utilization</u>) mutation in yeast. We show that a gene corresponding to *ERD5* is repressed under dehydration conditions but is strongly induced by rehydration and the exogenous application of proline. The induced accumulation of the *ERD5* mRNA in rehydrated plants suggests that proline dehydrogenase might play a crucial role in the degradation of proline in plants after the termination of water stress.

## RESULTS

### Sequence Analysis of the ERD5 cDNA

The nucleotide sequence of insert in the ERD5 clone was determined. The cDNA had been isolated by differential hybridization from a cDNA library of Arabidopsis plants that had been dehydrated for 1 hr (Kiyosue et al., 1994a). The 1852bp insert contained one long open reading frame encoding 499 amino acid residues (calculated molecular mass of 55.04

AGCGTTTAGAAAAAAACAGCGATAAAAACCGAAACATCAAGCAAACAAA	100
TCTTTGAATTTATGGCAACCEGTETTCTCCGGAAAAACTTTATCGGGGGATCTTACCGGTTTACCCGGTTTAGCCCGGTGGGTCCTCCCACCGTGGGTC N A T B L L B T N F I B B Y B L P A F S P V G P P T V T A	200
CTTCCACCGCCGTCGCCGGAGATTCTCTCCCTTTGGACAACAAGCAGCGGAACCACCTCTTCACCACCAAAACCCCACGAGCAATCTCACGATGGTCT S T A V V P E I L S F G Q Q A P E P P L H H P K P T E Q S H D G L	300
CGATCTCTCCGATCAAGCCCGTCTTTTCTCCTCTATCCCAACCTCTGATCTCCGTCCACCGCCGTGTTGCATGCGGCGGCGATAGGTCCTATGGTC D L S D Q A R L F S S I P T S D L L R S T A V L H A A A I G P M V	400
GACCTAGGGACGTGGGGTATGAGGCTCTATGGAGGCTTCGGCGGGGGGGG	500
GCGCCGGTGAAGATGCCGACGCAGCCGCTGAGGAGCGTTTATGAAGCTACTGGTCTTAAAGGGATGCTTGTCTATGGCGTGGAACACGCCGA A G E D A D A A A E R V R S V Y E A T G L K G M L V Y G V E H A D	600
TGAGGCTGTATCTTGTGTGATGATACATGCAACAATTCATTC	700
ATAACTGCCATTTGTCCAATTAGTCTTCTGAAACCAGTGGGGAGCGACTGCGGGGGGGAATACAAAAGTCCGAACTTCAAACTCCCATGGAAGCTCGAAC I T A I C P I S L L K R V S D L L R W E Y K S P N F K L S W K L K S	800
CGTTTCCGGTTTTCTCCGAATCGAGTCCTCTACCACACCACACCCGAACCGGTAACCGGAAGAAGAAGAGGAGCTCGAAGCAGCTCGAAGCAGCTCGAAGCAGCTCGAAGCAGCTCGAAGCAGCTCGAAGCAGCTCGAAGCAGCTCGAAGCAGCTCGAAGCAGCTCGAAGCAGCTCGAAGCAGCTCGAAGCAGCTCGAAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCA	900
MAGATTCAAGAAATCTCTAGGAAATGCCAAGAGTCCAATGTACCATTGTTGATGAGGAGAAGACAATCCTCCAACCCGCGATGCATTACATGGT R I Q E I C R K C Q E S N V P L L I D A E D T I L Q P A I D Y M A	1000
TATTCATCGGCGATCATGTTCAATGCTGACAAAGACCGACC	1100
CAGTACAAAATGCTGAGAAAGACAATGTTCCTATCGGGTTCAAGTGGGTGG	1200
CAAGTCGCCAGTCCACGACACACTCAGGATACTCACTCTTGTTACAATGATTGTATGACATTCCTGATGGAGAAAGCATCAAACGGTTCTGGTTCTGGTT KSPVHDTIQDTHSCYNDCMTFLMEKASNGSGFG	1300
GTCGTTCTCGCAACACATAACGCTGATTCCGGGGAGACTTGCGTCGAGGAAGGCGAGGAGCGTCGGACTCGGGATGAACAGAACGGGAAGATAGAGTTGGAC $V$ V L A T H N A D S G R L A S R K A S D L G I D K Q N G K I E F A Q	1400
AGCTATATGGTATGTCAGATGCATTGTCCTTCGGCTTAAAGAGAGCAGGGTTCAATGTTAGCAAGTACATGCCGTTTGGACCCGTCGCAACCGCTATACC L Y G M S D A L S F G L K R A G F N V S K Y M P F G P V A T A I P	1500
GTATCTTCTCCGACGCGCTTATGAGAACCGGGGAATGATGGCCACCGGGAGTCATGACGCGTCAACTCATGAGGAGGATGAAAGCGGGAGATTAATGGC Y L L R R A Y E N R G M M A T G A H D R Q L M R M E L K R R L I A	1600
$GGGATTGCGTAAAGAGAGAGAGTATGGAGCCATTAAATGAAATTGGGAAATGTAGATGAATAAATTTCTTCTTCTATGTAGTTTAAGAAATTGAAAACAAAAAAT: G=I=A=\bullet$	1700
TATAATAATAAGAAATGGAGTAAGGAACATTTCCTGTGGCTAAATATTTTTCATGAGGGACTATGTTTTACTATCAATATATCATTCACAAATGTAT	1800
ΑΤΤΟΑΟΟ ΤΤΑΤΟ ΔΑΤΑΔΑΔΑΤΟΟ ΤΤΤΤΤΑΟ ΤΤΤΑΔΑΔΑΔΑΔΑΔΑΔΑΔΑΔΑΔΑΔΑΔΑΔΑΔΑΔΑΔΑΔΑΔΑΔ	1852

# Figure 1. The Nucleotide and Deduced Amino Acid Sequence of the ERD5 cDNA.

The nucleotide sequence of the cDNA clone ERD5 (insert 2; Kiyosue et al., 1994a) includes a putative coding region and 5' and 3' noncoding regions. The amino acid sequence of the putative coding region is shown beneath the nucleotide sequence. Positively charged amino acids and hydroxylated amino acids at the N terminus are indicated by circles and dots, respectively. An asterisk indicates the in-frame stop codon. The ERD5 sequence has been submitted to the DDBJ data base as accession number D83025.

At ERD5 Sc PUT1 Dm PUT1 Consensus	MATRLL F MIAS F MALLRSLSAQ F MAS F	RTNFI KSSLL RTAISLVYGR RTSL	RRSYRLP VTKSRIP NSSKSSNSVA S.R.P	AF VAACRSFHQR SF	GNGSTSIAGE	GAASESTRGV	NGARFLHSGD	SPVGPPTVTA CFPLI RPLQASTLVQ .PPT	STAVVPEILS KRSYVSKTPT PEVVSSETVK VVSET	FGQQAPEPPL HSNTAANLMV RSMKQESSQE .SA	50 43 100 100
At ERD5 Sc PUT1 Dm PUT1 Consensus	HHPRPTEQSH ETPAANPNGN KNPSPAGSPQ I P.P.	-DGLDLSD -SVMAPPN RDPLDVSFND -D.LD.SD	QARLFSSIPT SINFLQTLPK PIAAFKSKTT .IF.S.PT	SDLLRSTAV- KELFQ GELIRAYLVY .EL.RV-	LHAAAIG LGFIG MICSSENLVE LIG	PMVDLGTW -IATLNSF HNMTLMKWSK TLW	-VMSSK -FLNTI NVLGQRLFTL -VLT.	LMDASV IKLFPY LMKATFYGHF LM.A	TRGMVL IPIPVI VAGEDQIKII I.VI	GL- KF- PTLERLRSFG F-	120 106 200 200
At ERD5 Sc PUT1 Dm PUT1 Consensus	VKSTF-Y FVSSL-Y VKPILDYSVE 1 VKS.L-Y	DHFCAGEDAD CGGENFK EDITQEEAEK C.GEK	AAAE-RVRSV EVIE-CGKRL REVESSVSSA	YEATGLKGML QKRGISNMML GDKKEEGSMP	VYGVEHAD SLTIENSE QYHVDKSFAD .Y.VEAD	RRYKVSSART	DAVSCD GTKSLS YFYLNEATCE SC.	DNMQQFIRTI STPVDQI-VK RNMEIFIKCL .NMFL	EAAK ETIS EAVSGATFGT EA	SLPTS SVHNI GITAIKLTAL SI	188 170 300 300
At ERD5 Sc PUT1 Dm PUT1 Consensus	GRPQLLLQLS	SVVVK NIIGQ EVIMRTRKYM .VI	EDMVGGQGNV	IT-AICPISL LE-SKPITDI LTHHKTIKDL LTK.I.DL	LKRVSDD APGYIAL EKYYATLGDN .K.YL	-LRWEYKS -KPSALVD KDVKEFLNNV E	PNFKLSWK NPHEVLYN TSDKEGILHL L.	LKSFPVFSES FSNPAYKAQR FPWSGIVDED FE.	SPLYHTNSEP DQLIENCSKI SQLSDTFRVP SQL.T.S.P	EPLTAEEERE TKEIFELNQS DPQTGQMRRL .P.T.ER.	257 239 400 400
At ERD5 Sc PUT1 Dm PUT1 Consensus	LEAAHGRIQE LLKKYPERKA ISQIPPKEEE LPE	ICRKC PFMVS MFRNMIRRLN .FR	QESNVPLLID TIDAEKYDLQ TIVKAAADLD TIDLD	AEDTILQPAI ENGVYELQRI VRIMVDAEQT	DYMAYS LFQKFN YFQPAISRIT .FQ	SAIMFNADRD PTSSKL LEMMRKYNKD MKD	RPIVKNTIDA ISOV-GTADL KAIVFNTYDC IV.NT.D	YLRDAGERLH YLRDSGDHIL YLRETFREVN YLRD.G	LAVQNAEKEN HELKLAQENG TDLEQAKRQN LAN	VPMGFKLVRG YKLGLKLVRG FYFGAKLVRG	348 325 500 500
At ERD5 Sc PUT1 Dm PUT1 Consensus	AYMSSERSLA AYTHSEXNRN AYMDQERDRA AYM.SER.RA	DSLGCKSPVH QIIFGDKTGT KSLGYPDPVN .SLGPV.	DTIQDTHSCY DENYD-RIIT PTFEATTDMY DTDTY	NDCM QVVN HRTLSECLRR C	-TFLMEKASN -DLIINGEDS IKLMKDCDDD LD.	GSGFGVVLAT YFGHLVVASH ARKIGIMVAS G.GVV.A.	HNADSGRLAS NYQSQMLVTN HNEDTVRFAI HN.DR.A.	RKASDLGIDK LLKSTQDNSY QQMKEIGISP SGIS.	QNGKTEFADL AKSNIVLGQL EDKVICFGQL L.FGDL	YEMSDALSFG LEMADNVTYD LEMEDYITFP LEMEDTF.	441 417 600 600
At ERD5 Sc PUT1 Dm PUT1 Consensus	LKRAGFNV LITNHGAKNI LGQAGYSA LAG.N.	SKYMPFGPVA IKYMPNGPPL YKYIPKGPVE .KY.P.GPV	TAIPYLLRRA ETKDYLLRR- EVLPYLSRRA EP <u>YLLRR</u> A	YENRGAMATG LQENGDAVRS QENKGVLKKI .EN.G	AHDRQLMRME DNGWFLIKAI KKEKRLLLSE	LKRRLIAGIA AKSIPKRVGL IRRRLMRGQL .KRRL.RG.L	FYKPKGNYVP	- I -			499 476 669 671

Figure 2. Comparison of Deduced Amino Acid Sequences.

A comparison is shown of the deduced amino acid sequences of the ERD5 protein of Arabidopsis (At) and the products of the yeast (Sc) *PUT1* gene (Wang and Brandriss, 1987) and the Drosophila sluggish-A gene (Dm PUT1; Hayward et al., 1993). When at least two of the three sequences had the same residue at a given position, this residue was included in the consensus sequence. Dashes indicate gaps introduced to maximize homology. Amino acid residues common to all three sequences are indicated by boxes. Nonconserved amino acid residues are indicated by dots.

kD; Figure 1). A putative polyadenylation signal, AATAAA (Fitzgerald and Shenk, 1981), was found in two places: at positions 1657 to 1662 and 1813 to 1818. The N terminus of the putative ERD5 protein had features characteristic of proteins that are imported into mitochondria. The features are as follows: the first 16 residues included five positively charged amino acids, no negatively charged residues, and four hydroxvlated amino acids. Comparison of the deduced amino acid sequence with other sequences in the standard data bases revealed that the ERD5 protein was 34.5% (>255 amino acids) and 23.6% (>364 amino acids) identical to the precursors of proline oxidase (EC 1.5.99.8) of Drosophila (product of the sluggish-A gene; Hayward et al., 1993) and Saccharomyces cerevisiae (product of the PUT1 gene; Wang and Brandriss, 1987), respectively. Figure 2 compares the deduced amino acid sequences of the three proteins. The greatest similarity among these sequences was found in two regions, between residues 307 and 354 and between residues 426 and 485.

### **Genomic DNA Gel Blotting**

Arabidopsis genomic DNA was digested with five restriction enzymes, and after electrophoresis and blotting, fragments were hybridized to the <sup>32</sup>P-labeled ERD5 cDNA. Under highstringency conditions, the ERD5 cDNA hybridized with one fragment in each case (data not shown). Because an Xbal fragment of genomic DNA corresponding to the hybridized Xbal band was shown to contain one ERD5 sequence, which was interrupted with introns (T. Kiyosue and K. Shinozaki, unpublished results), each of these hybridized bands seemed to contain one gene corresponding to ERD5. Under lowstringency hybridization conditions, additional weak bands were detected (data not shown), indicating the presence of an *ERD5*-related gene(s) in the Arabidopsis genome.

# Effects of Dehydration, Rehydration, and Heat and Cold Treatments on Expression of the *ERD5* Gene, and Relationships between the Accumulation of Proline and the Expression of Genes Encoding ERD5 and P5CS

To investigate the effects of environmental stress on the expression of the *ERD5* gene, RNAs extracted from whole 4-week-old plants that had been grown in pots and subjected to dehydration, rehydration, or heat or cold treatments were



Figure 3. Expression of the *ERD5* Gene in Response to Various Types of Stress.

Shown is an RNA gel blot analysis of ERD5 transcripts in Arabidopsis plants subjected to dehydration, rehydration, or heat or cold stress. Ten micrograms of total RNA extracted from 1-month-old Arabidopsis plants was loaded in each lane. Samples were prepared from plants that had been treated by dehydration (Dehydration) or by dehydration for 10 hr and subsequent rehydration for 1 to 48 hr (Rehydration) or had been incubated at 40°C (Heat) or 4°C (Cold). The numbers above each lane indicate the number of hours after the initiation of the specified treatment before the isolation of RNA. Total RNAs were fractionated on 1% agarose gels that contained formaldehyde, visualized by staining with ethidium bromide (gels shown below), and transferred to nylon membranes. Filters were hybridized with a <sup>32</sup>P-labeled fragment of ERD5 cDNA at 42°C and washed in 0.1 × SSC (1 × SSC is 0.15 M NaCl, 0.015 M sodium citrate), 0.1% SDS at 60°C. The fragments of cDNA that hybridized to specific RNAs were visualized by autoradiography (gels shown above).

examined by gel blotting (Figure 3). The level of *ERD5* mRNA increased transiently within 1 hr of the start of dehydration and then decreased. No *ERD5* mRNA was detected after dehydration for 5 hr (Figures 3 and 4A). During this treatment, the level of the mRNA for P5CS, the enzyme that catalyzes the first step in the biosynthesis of proline from glutamic acid, increased; it reached a maximum within 5 hr of the beginning of dehydra-

tion, with the subsequent accumulation of proline (Figure 4A). In contrast, when plants were dehydrated for 10 hr and then rehydrated by transfer to water, the level of *ERD5* mRNA began to increase within 2 hr, and large amounts of the mRNA were detected after rehydration for 5 to 48 hr (Figures 3 and 4B). During this treatment, the level of the transcript of the gene encoding P5CS, which had been elevated by dehydration, began to decrease within 1 hr and reached a minimum within 10 hr after the beginning of rehydration. Proline content decreased rapidly for 10 hr from the beginning of rehydration and then gradually for the next 38 hr (Figure 4B). The transient increase in the level of the mRNA transcribed from the *ERD5* gene, which was seen in briefly dehydrated plants, was also detected in briefly heat- and cold-stressed plants, but no strong induction by heat or cold stress was observed (Figure 3).



Figure 4. The Relationships between the Accumulation of Proline and the Level of Expression of the Gene for P5CS and That of the *ERD5* Gene during Dehydration and Rehydration.

The radioactivity retained on the nylon filters in Figure 3 was quantified with a Biolmage Analyzer (Fuji Film Co., Tokyo, Japan) and plotted as shown. The details of the accumulation of P5CS transcripts and of proline are replotted from data that we published previously (Yoshiba et al., 1995).

(A) Dehydration of Arabidopsis plants.

(B) Rehydration of 10-hr dehydrated Arabidopsis plants.



Figure 5. Expression of the ERD5 Gene in Rosette Plants in Response to Exogenous Proline.

(A) Expression of the *ERD5* gene in rosette plants in response to various compounds. One-month-old axenic Arabidopsis plants (Initial) were transferred to GM liquid medium (GM) with  $10^{-4}$  M 2,4-D (2,4-D) 6-benzylaminopurine (BA), abscisic acid (ABA), gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>), cobalt chloride (CoCl<sub>2</sub>), calcium chloride (CaCl<sub>2</sub>), magnesium chloride (MgCl<sub>2</sub>), without sucrose (w/o Sucrose), or with 0.26 M L-proline instead of sucrose (Proline), or to deionized water (H<sub>2</sub>O), and were incubated for 10 hr.

(B) Expression of the *ERD5* gene in rosette plants in response to several L-amino acids. One-month-old axenic Arabidopsis plants were transferred to GM liquid medium with 0.26 M proline, glutamic acid, glutamine, or alanine instead of sucrose and incubated for 10 hr.

(C) Time course of expression of the *ERD5* gene in rosette plants in response to exogenous L-proline. One-month-old axenic Arabidopsis plants were transferred to GM liquid medium with 0.26 M L-proline instead of sucrose and incubated for 1, 2, 5, 10, 24, or 48 hr.

(D) Dose-response analysis of expression of the ERD5 gene in rosette plants in response to exogenous L-proline. One-month-old axenic Arabidopsis plants were transferred to GM liquid medium with 0.001, 0.005, 0.01, 0.025, 0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.25, 0.5, or 1.0 M L-proline instead of sucrose and incubated for 10 hr.

(E) Time course of expression of the *ERD5* gene in rosette plants in response to exogenous D-proline. One-month-old axenic Arabidopsis plants were transferred to GM liquid medium with 0.26 M D-proline instead of sucrose and incubated for 1, 2, 5, 10, 24, or 48 hr.

(F) Dose-response analysis of expression of the *ERD5* gene in rosette plants in response to exogenous D-proline. One-month-old axenic Arabidopsis plants were transferred to GM liquid medium with 0.001, 0.005, 0.01, 0.025, 0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.25, 0.5, or 1.0 M D-proline instead of sucrose and incubated for 10 hr.

Total RNA was isolated, fractionated on 1% agarose gels that contained formaldehyde, visualized by staining with ethidium bromide (data not

# Induction of the *ERD5* Gene by Exogenous Application of Proline

RNA gel blot analysis was also used to examine the effects of several compounds on expression of ERD5 (Figures 5A and 5B). No expression of ERD5 was observed when 1-month-old rosette plants of Arabidopsis, which had been grown on GM agar medium (Valvekens et al., 1988), were axenically incubated in a small amount of GM liquid medium that contained any one of four plant growth regulators, namely, 2,4-D, N6benzyladenine, abscisic acid, or gibberellic acid; or any one of three metal chlorides, namely, CoCl<sub>2</sub>, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, or MgCl<sub>2</sub>, at concentrations of 10<sup>-4</sup> M; or any one of four amino acids, namely, L-proline, L-glutamic acid, L-glutamine, or L-alanine. In this experiment, roots were submerged in the liquid medium, but aerial parts were not. When the plants were incubated in GM liquid medium without sucrose or in deionized water, a slight induction in expression of the ERD5 gene was detected. Strong induction of the gene was observed when plants were incubated in GM liquid medium that contained 0.26 M L-proline. The time course of this induction of the ERD5 gene by L-proline was determined (Figure 5C). The accumulation of the ERD5 mRNA began within 1 hr after the transfer of the plants to GM liquid medium that contained 0.26 M L-proline, reached a maximum after 10 hr of incubation, and then decreased.

Dose-response analysis revealed that incubation in 0.001 to 1.0 M L-proline for 10 hr induced expression of the *ERD5* gene in treated plants (Figure 5D). The induction of expression of the *ERD5* gene was also detected when D-proline was used instead of L-proline. In this case, the induction also began within 1 hr after the transfer, but the mRNA accumulated gradually and reached a maximum level within 24 hr of incubation. This elevated level was maintained for an additional 24 hr (Figure 5E). Dose-response analysis revealed that incubation of plants in 0.001 to 1.0 M D-proline for 24 hr induced expression of the *ERD5* gene (Figure 5F). Thus, D-proline induced more stable expression of *ERD5* than did L-proline in Arabidopsis plants. This stability of the induction of this gene by D-proline was also observed in cultured cells (data not shown).

The organ-specific expression of the *ERD5* gene in rosette plants that had been treated with proline was examined. Onemonth-old plants were incubated for 24 hr in 0.1 M p-proline and cut into three parts (leaves, roots, and the remainder). The parts were then subjected to RNA gel blot analysis. Elevated levels of *ERD5* mRNA were observed at approximately the same level in all three parts (data not shown). The *ERD5* gene

shown), and transferred to nyion membranes. Filters were hybridized with a <sup>32</sup>P-labeled fragment of the ERD5 cDNA at 42°C and washed in 0.1  $\times$  SSC, 0.1% SDS at 60°C. The fragments of cDNA that hybridized with specific RNAs are shown. Ten micrograms of total RNA was loaded in each lane.

#### 1328 The Plant Cell

seemed to be expressed where the mitochondria were located (Figure 6).

#### Immunological Detection of the ERD5 Protein

To analyze the subcellular localization of ERD5, antiserum was raised against a synthetic oligopeptide, AHDRQLMR-MELKRRL (residues 480 to 494 of the deduced ERD5 protein). This antiserum recognized a recombinant fusion protein composed of GST and the ERD5 protein that had been produced in *E. coli* cells, but it did not recognize the recombinant GST protein (Figure 7A). Because the predicted product of the *ERD5* gene contains a putative signal for targeting to mitochondria

at its N terminus, a pellet obtained from extracts of cultured Arabidopsis cells that had been centrifuged at 12,000g was examined immunologically. Fractionation of this pellet in a Percoll density gradient clearly separated a mitochondrial fraction from a chloroplast fraction (Figure 7B). No proteins in the chloroplast fraction were recognized, whereas a 50- and a 48-kD protein were detected in the mitochondrial fraction with the specific antiserum (Figure 7C). The strong band of the 50-kD protein might correspond to a precursor form, and the weak band of the 48-kD protein might correspond to the mature form of the ERD5 protein. Alternatively, the former might correspond to a mature form and the latter might correspond to a degraded form of the ERD5 protein. The levels of these polypeptides increased within 1 day after exposure to 0.1 M D-proline, and



Figure 6. In Situ Analysis of Expression of the ERD5 Gene in Arabidopsis Plants.

Seven-day-old axenic seedlings that had been incubated for 24 hr in Murashige and Skoog (1962) liquid medium plus 0.1 M D-proline instead of sucrose were allowed to hybridize with single-stranded digoxigenin-labeled sense (**[A]**, **[C]**, and **[E]**) or antisense (**[B]**, **[D]**, and **[F]**) RNA probes that corresponded to *ERD5*.

(A) to (D) Leaves.
(E) and (F) Roots.
Bars = 50 mm.



Figure 7. Expression and Immunological Detection of the ERD5 Recombinant Proteins.

this elevated level was maintained for an additional 6 days. No higher level of accumulation of the antigens was detected after a 10-day incubation. At this time, many green cells turned yellowish and seemed to be dead. Therefore, the *ERD5* gene product was deduced to be a mitochodrial protein, with its accumulation being induced by proline.

## Functional Complementation of the *put1* Mutation in Yeast by ERD5

Complementation tests were conducted to determine whether the ERD5 protein could functionally substitute for the putative yeast homolog. The put1 mutant of strain C15-1A of S. cerevisiae (Wang and Brandriss, 1986) was transformed with the yeast expression vector pNV7 (a 2 mm-based, high-copy-number plasmid with a GAL1-10 promoter) that contained the ERD5 cDNA in the sense or antisense orientation or with the unmodified vector as the control. The transformants were incubated on minimal medium supplemented with 0.5% galactose as the source of carbon, 0.1% L-proline as the inducer of expression of the introduced gene, and 0.004% L-tryptophan without ammonium sulfate. On this medium, the put1 mutant strain grows slowly, whereas the wild-type strain grows well, because wildtype cells can use proline as the source of nitrogen but the mutant cannot (Brandriss and Magasanik, 1979). Unfortunately, none of the tested transformants grew well (data not shown). Because expression of some plant proteins in yeast cells is known to result in localization of the proteins that is different

(A) Immunological detection of the ERD5 recombinant proteins. Total cell extracts prepared from isopropyl  $\beta$ -D-thiogalactoside-treated *E. coli* cells harboring pGST-ERD5(64-499) (lanes 2 and 5), pGST-ERD5(250-499) (lanes 3 and 6), and pGEX2T (GST; lanes 4 and 7) were analyzed by SDS-PAGE (on a 10% acrylamide gel) (lanes 2 to 4) and then immunoblotted (lanes 5 to 7) with rabbit antiserum raised against a synthetic oligopeptide (residues 480 to 494 of the deduced ERD5 protein). Marker proteins were also subjected to SDS-PAGE (lane 1). Polypeptides separated on an acrylamide gel were visualized by staining with Coomassie blue (CBB) (lanes 1 to 4).

(B) Distribution of chlorophyll and cytochrome c oxidase in homogenates of Arabidopsis cultured cells after Percoll discontinuous density gradient centrifugation. Experimental details are given in the text. An aliquot of each 1-mL fraction that had been collected sequentially from the bottom of the gradient was assayed for markers of specific organelles.

(C) Detection of the ERD5 protein in mitochondrial fractions of cultured cells. Cultured Arabidopsis cells were incubated in JPL medium with 0.1 M D-proline for 0, 1, 2, 3, 7, or 10 days. Mitochondria (Mt) and chloroplast (Ch) fractions were isolated by discontinuous Percoll density gradient centrifugation as described in the text. Protein equivalent to 5 mg of organelle fraction was loaded in each well, subjected to SDS-PAGE, and then immunoblotted with the antiserum raised against the oligopeptide derived from the deduced sequence of the ERD5 protein. Molecular mass (kD) of two detected proteins is shown at right. from the localization in plant cells (Schaller et al., 1995), this failure of complementation might have been a result of the inappropriate localization of the ERD5 protein in yeast cells. Therefore, we constructed three fusion genes and three deletion genes by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). These genes had both the promoter and the terminator regions of the *PUT1* gene and a chimeric structural gene constructed from *PUT1* and *ERD5* coding regions or a shortened structural gene derived from the coding region of the *PUT1* gene (Figure 8A). Because these genes were created by PCR, extra amino acids were formed after PUT1 regions were inserted between PUT1 and ERD5 regions, as indicated in Figure 8A.

The plasmids containing these artificial genes, the vector control (YCp50; Parent et al., 1985), and a positive plasmid control with an intact *PUT1* gene (pWB8; Wang and Brandriss, 1986) were introduced into the C15-1A cells. Ura<sup>+</sup> transformants grown on minimal medium without uracil were streaked on minimal medium that contained 0.5% L-proline, 0.004%





(A) Structures of the fusion and deletion genes. Three fusion genes of *PUT1* plus *ERD5* and three deleted *PUT1* genes were constructed by PCR. Shaded boxes indicate parts of coding regions derived from the structural gene for PUT1. Black boxes indicate parts of the coding region derived from ERD5 cDNA. PUT1-Pro indicates the 5' noncoding region of the *PUT1* gene (1010 bp), and PUT1-Ter indicates the 3' noncoding region of the *PUT1* gene (442 bp) (Wang and Brandriss, 1987). Kpnl indicates the restriction site for Kpnl introduced by PCR.
(B) Growth of *S. cerevisiae put1* mutant C15-1A on proline-containing medium without ammonium sulfate. Mutants transformed with the indicated plasmids were grown on agar-solidified (2%) medium that contained yeast nitrogen base without ammonium sulfate and amino acids (0.17%), L-tryptophan (0.004%), glucose (2%), and L-proline (0.5%) at 30°C for 3 days.

L-tryptophan, and 2% glucose but no ammonium sulfate. As shown in Figure 8B, functional complementation was observed for all transformants that expressed the *PUT1–ERD5* fusion genes but not for those with the control vector or a deleted *PUT1* structural gene. Although the complementation was somewhat weak, the appearance of transformants of the *put1* mutant that expressed the fusion genes was indistinguishable from that of transformants that expressed the intact *PUT1* gene in pWB8. By contrast, many abnormal cells were observed in the case of transformants with the control vector or a deleted *PUT1* structural gene when grown on complementation test medium (data not shown).

Levels of proline oxidase were measured under noninducing conditions (ammonia as the sole source of nitrogen) and inducing conditions (ammonia and proline as sources of nitrogen) in C15-1A strains that each carried one of the plasmids listed in Figure 8A (see also Table 1). The induction of proline oxidase activity in C15-1A strains that harbored pWB8, pPUT1(1-29)·R·ERD(38-499), pPUT1(1-291)·R·ERD5(316-499), or pPUT1 (1-334) KN·ERD5(380-499) was observed when the cells were grown in medium that contained ammonia and proline. Among the mutant yeast cells that carried these three chimeric gene constructs, the cells carrying pPUT1(1-291) R·ERD5(316-499) grew best in liquid medium under inducing conditions (data not shown) and had the highest proline oxidase activity. In contrast, the low proline oxidase activity of the mutant put1 strain did not increase when induced in strains with the plasmid that lacked the fusion gene or the intact PUT1 gene. These results indicate that the products of the PUT1-ERD5 fusion genes function as proline oxidases in yeast cells.

# DISCUSSION

## ERD5 as a cDNA for Proline Dehydrogenase

In this study, we demonstrate that ERD5, an Arabidopsis cDNA clone for a gene whose expression is upregulated after 1 hr of dehydration, encodes proline dehydrogenase, an enzyme involved in proline metabolism. This conclusion is based on the following evidence: The amino acid sequence identity of the ERD5 protein, as predicted from its nucleotide sequence, is similar to those of precursors to proline oxidase of yeast and Drosophila (Figure 2). Furthermore, the highly conserved regions at the C terminus found in these proteins (residues 307 to 354 and 426 to 485 of ERD5) are also found in the PutA protein of E. coli. PutA is a multifunctional protein that functions not only as a proline dehydrogenase but also as a P5C dehydrogenase and as a repressor of put genes (Ling et al., 1994). Therefore, these conserved regions seem to be functional domains of proline oxidase (dehydrogenase), for example, catalytic regions. Also, the amino acid sequence of the ERD5-encoded protein includes a putative signal for mitochondrial localization at the N terminus (Figure 1), and the

Table 1.	Levels of	f Proline	Oxidase	in Plasmid-Bearin	۱g
Transform	nants of t	he Mutan	t Strain	C15-1A	

	Specific Activity of Proline Oxidase on the Indicated Nitrogen Source <sup>a</sup>			
Construct	(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + Proline		
YCp50	0.27	0.21		
pWB8	0.21	0.96		
pPUT1(1-29) RIRIPGDLIMAGH	0.33	0.30		
pPUT1(1-29) R·ERD5(38-499)	0.24	0.42		
pPUT1(1-291)·RIQWIPGDLIMAGH	0.24	0.27		
pPUT1(1-291) R ERD5(316-499)	0.27	0.84		
pPUT1(1-334) KSDPG PUT1(455-476)	0.33	0.30		
pPUT1(1-334) KN ERD5(380-499)	0.24	0.45		

<sup>a</sup> Units of specific activity are given in nanomoles of P5C formed per minute per milligram of protein. Ammonium sulfate and proline were supplied at 0.2 and 0.1%, respectively.

ERD5 protein indeed was detected immunologically in a mitochondrial fraction from Arabidopsis cells (Figure 7C). This result reflects the previously reported localization of proline dehydrogenase on the matrix side of the inner membrane of mitochondria (Elthon and Stewart, 1981).

In addition, expression of the *ERD5* gene was repressed by lengthy dehydration and was induced by rehydration after dehydration (Figure 3). This pattern of expression was the opposite of that of the gene for P5CS. Expression of this gene was induced by dehydration and repressed by rehydration (Figures 4A and 4B). Because the gene for P5CS encodes a key enzyme in the biosynthesis of proline from glutamate, this negative correlation between the patterns of expression of the two genes can be explained satisfactorily if the *ERD5* gene encodes proline dehydrogenase, the first enzyme involved in the conversion of proline to glutamate. Moreover, proline dehydrogenase activity reportedly has been repressed by dehydration and activated by rehydration (Rayapati and Stewart, 1991), as has the expression of the *ERD5* gene.

Both expression of its gene and activity of proline oxidase in yeast were reported to be induced by exogenous proline (Wang and Brandriss, 1987). In *E. coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium*, utilization of proline requires the two divergently transcribed genes that form the *put* operon: the *putP* gene, which encodes the major proline permease; and the *putA* gene, which encodes a multifunctional protein with proline oxidase activity (Maloy, 1987). The expression of this *put* operon also is induced by exogenous proline. The expression of the *ERD5* gene was induced by exogenously supplied proline in Arabidopsis plants (Figure 5). The accumulation of the ERD5 protein in mitochondria of cultured cells also was induced by the addition of proline to the culture medium (Figure 7C). Therefore, the induction by exogenous proline is common to the ERD5 gene in Arabidopsis and to genes for proline oxidases in yeast, *E. coli*, and *S. typhimurium*.

Finally, a fusion gene for the PUT1 and ERD5 proteins complemented a *put1* mutation in yeast, allowing mutant yeast cells to use proline as a nitrogen source and to grow on prolinecontaining medium without ammonium sulfate (Figure 8B and Table 1). It is unclear why the *ERD5* gene alone, driven by a galactose-inducible promoter, failed to complement the *put1* mutation, whereas the fusion gene driven by the *PUT1* gene promoter complemented the mutation. One possible explanation is that the targeting signal for localization to the mitochondria of the ERD5 protein failed to function properly in yeast cells. Another explanation is that the galactoseinducible promoter in the multicopy-type plasmid pNV7 was not suitable for this complementation test, even though many complementation experiments have been performed successfully with this type of vector.

## Expression of the ERD5 Gene

In dehydrated plants, the accumulation of proline occurs as the result of both the activation of proline biosynthesis and the inactivation of proline degradation, whereas a decrease in the accumulation of proline occurs as a result of both the inactivation of proline biosynthesis and the activation of proline degradation in rehydrated plants (Hellebust, 1976; Rayapati and Stewart, 1991; Delauney and Verma, 1993; Yoshiba et al., 1995). The decrease in proline dehydrogenase activity in waterstressed plants and the increase in this activity in rehydrated plants were observed by Sells and Koeppe (1981) and by Rayapati and Stewart (1991). They postulated that the decrease in activity might be caused by a specific change in the inner membrane of mitochondria that is unique to proline oxidation. The results in our study demonstrate that both the inactivation in dehydrated plants and the activation in rehydrated plants of proline dehydrogenase are regulated at the level of mRNA accumulation. Therefore, the activity of proline dehydrogenase is regulated not only by the level of the enzyme but also by the level of gene expression in plants.

The decrease of proline content in rehydrated plants occurred more rapidly than did the accumulation of proline in dehydrated plants, as shown in Figure 4. The increase in the accumulation of proline occurred after the accumulation of the *P5CS* mRNA had reached a maximum level in dehydrated plants, whereas the decrease in proline content occurred simultaneously with increases in the level of the *ERD5* mRNA. The absence of a lag period between the decrease in the level of proline and the increase in the level of the *ERD5* transcript suggests the existence of another pathway(s) for proline degradation in addition to that catalyzed by proline dehydrogenase or, alternatively, the rapid activation of proline dehydrogenase enzyme.

Expression of the *ERD5* gene was induced by proline and repressed by osmotic stress. When rosette plants were incubated in medium that contained L-proline, expression of the

*ERD5* gene was induced within 1 hr (Figure 5C). In contrast, expression of the *ERD5* gene was not induced in plants that had been dehydrated for 10 to 24 hr and that had accumulated high concentrations of L-proline. Expression of the *ERD5* gene might be induced by an elevated level of intracellular L-proline and repressed by osmotic stress. This hypothesis can explain the results shown in Figure 4. Dehydration of plants caused osmotic stress and subsequently led to elevated levels of proline in the plant cells. The proline that had formed several hours after the onset of stress by biosynthesis de novo could not induce expression of the *ERD5* gene because of repression by osmotic stress. When plants were rehydrated, expression of the *ERD5* gene because there was no osmotic stress or repression.

We do not know why D-proline induced more stable expression of the *ERD5* gene than did L-proline, as shown in Figures 5C and 5E. It is possible that because L-proline is metabolizable whereas D-proline is not, D-proline is more stable in plant cells and subsequently acts more stably to induce expression of the *ERD5* gene. Another explanation might involve the allosteric effects of D-proline on proline perception molecules in cells, such as sensor proteins and transcription factors, whose activities are modulated by the binding of proline.

Proline appears to function as a signal for induction of the *ERD5* gene, but there seems to be another signal(s) that induces expression of the *ERD5* gene. A transient increase in the level of the *ERD5* transcript was observed after dehydration or heat or cold stress for 1 to 2 hr (Figure 3). Expression of the *ERD5* gene was also induced when plants were transferred to a medium with low osmotic pressure or to a medium without sucrose (Figure 5A). Because no transient increase in the level of intercellular proline was detected during these treatments (data not shown), such induction of the *ERD5* gene seemed to be caused not by a proline signal but by another factor(s) that resulted from these various stresses.

In this study, we characterized the ERD5 cDNA as a cDNA for a precursor to proline dehydrogenase of Arabidopsis. The conversion of proline to glutamic acid is the reverse of the biosynthesis of proline from glutamate. Proline is synthesized from glutamate by the actions of two enzymes, P5CS and P5CR. Proline is acted on by proline dehydrogenase and then by P5C dehydrogenase to yield glutamate. The cDNAs for three of these enzymes in plants, namely, P5CS, P5CR, and proline dehydrogenase, have been isolated. Therefore, molecular characterization of P5C dehydrogenase is important if we are to understand the molecular mechanisms that regulate the proline content of plants. Because expression of the ERD5 gene was inducible in rehydrated plants in which rapid degradation of proline occurred and because expression of the ERD5 gene was detected in many cells of all organs examined, proline dehydrogenase seems to play a crucial role in degradation of proline in plants after termination of water stress. Analysis of the cis- and trans-acting factors that control expression of the gene encoding proline dehydrogenase should help us to understand the molecular mechanisms of the regulation of gene expression by proline and osmotic stress.

#### METHODS

#### **Plant Materials**

Plants (*Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Columbia) were grown on vermiculite beds or axenically on GM agar medium (Valvekens et al., 1988) for  $\sim$ 4 weeks, as described by Kiyosue et al. (1993b, 1994a). The T87 cell line, derived from Arabidopsis, which grows in suspension culture, was kindly provided by M. Axelos (CNRS-INRA, Castanet-Tolosan, France) via M. Pagès (CSIC, Barcelona, Spain) and subcultured at 2-week intervals in JPL medium (Axelos et al., 1992).

#### Analysis of DNA and Amino Acid Sequences

DNA sequences were determined by the dye primer cycle sequencing method with a DNA sequencer (model 373A; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The GENETYX (Software Development, Tokyo, Japan) and GeneWorks (IntelliGenetics, Inc., Mountain View, CA) software systems were used for the analysis of nucleotide and amino acid sequences.

#### Isolation of RNA and DNA from Arabidopsis

RNA and genomic DNA were isolated from whole rosette plants and cultured cells, as described by Kiyosue et al. (1993a).

#### Hybridization of RNA and DNA

Fragments of the ERD5 cDNA were labeled by the random primer method with <sup>32</sup>P-dCTP (110 TBq/mmol; Amersham, Aylesbury, UK) by using the random primed DNA labeling kit from Boehringer Mannheim. Fragments were hybridized with RNA and DNA, as described by Kiyosue et al. (1994a).

# Dehydration, Rehydration, and Heat Shock and Cold Shock Treatments

Dehydration, heat shock, and cold shock treatments were performed as described by Kiyosue et al. (1993a, 1994a). For rehydration, 4-weekold rosette plants of Arabidopsis that had been dehydrated for 10 hr were transferred to water and incubated for 1 to 48 hr under dim light.

#### In Situ Hybridization

In situ hybridization analysis was performed with the digoxigeninlabeled RNA probe for ERD5, according to the protocol of de Almeida Engler et al. (1994) but with one modification, that is, the temperature for hybridization was decreased to 42 from 60°C. Photographs were taken under a light microscope (model BH-2; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

### Production of Glutathione S-Transferase Fusion Proteins

Two primer sets, 5'-GATGGATCCGATCTCTCCGAT-3' plus 5'-TACGAA-TTCCCGGGGATTAATCTCCTCTT-3' and 5'-CCGGGATCCTTAACC- GCGGAA-3' plus 5'-TACGAATTCCCGGGGATTAATCTCCTCTT-3', were used for polymerase chain reactions (PCRs). Each pair of primers was used at 100 pmol. Ten nanograms of ERD5 cDNA as template and 2 units of Pfu DNA polymerase (Stratagene) were also used for each reaction. Forty cycles of denaturation, annealing, and polymerization were performed at 94°C for 1 min, 37°C for 2 min, and 72°C for 3 min, respectively. The amplified DNA fragments of ~1.1 kb and 500 bp were cloned into the pCR-Script vector (Stratagene), amplified in *Escherichia coli* cells, isolated, sequenced, and then cut out by BamHI and EcoRI restriction enzymes. These fragments were ligated to the pGEX2T vector (Smith and Johnson, 1988), and the recombinant plasmids, pGST·ERD5(64-499), the former, and pGST·ERD5(250-499), the latter, were used for the transformation of *E. coli* XL1-Blue cells. Production of recombinant proteins by these transformant cells was induced as described by Smith and Johnson (1988).

# Gel Electrophoresis, Electroblotting, and Immunological Detection

Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE (5% acrylamide stacking gel and 10% acrylamide separating gel; Laemmli, 1970) and then stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R 250 or transferred electrophoretically to a nitrocellulose filter in a solution of 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, and 20% (v/v) methanol at 10 mA for 30 min. For immunodetection, the nitrocellulose filter was agitated in 1% nonfat dry milk in PBS for 1 hr at room temperature and then in 0.2% (v/v) rabbit antiserum that had been raised against a peptide that corresponded to amino acids 480 to 494 of the predicted ERD5 protein (AHDRQLMRMELKRRL) in PBS for 1 hr. The blot was washed with 0.1% Tween 20 in PBS and then agitated in a 0.04% solution (v/v) of horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antibodies raised against rabbit IgG raised in goat (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc., West Grove, PA) in PBS for 1 hr at room temperature. The immunoreactive protein on the blot was visualized by placing the blot in PBS that contained 0.03% 3,3diaminobenzidine and 0.003% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

#### Preparation of Mitochondrial and Chloroplast Fractions from Cultured Cells of Arabidopsis

All analyses were performed at 4°C. Cultured cells of Arabidopsis (20 g fresh weight) that had been incubated in JPL medium (Axelos et al., 1992) plus 0.1 M D-proline for 0, 1, 2, 3, 7, or 10 days were collected and homogenized with a glass Teflon homogenizer in 40 mL of 50 mM Tris-HCI buffer, pH 8.0, that contained 0.33 M sucrose, 0.2% BSA, 0.1% ascorbic acid, 0.05% 2-mercaptoethanol, 5 mM EDTA, and 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>. The homogenate was filtered through two sheets of Miracloth (Calbiochem-Novabiochem, La Jolla, CA) and centrifuged first at 4000g for 15 min and then at 12,000g for 20 min. The final pellet was suspended gently in 10 mL of 10 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> buffer, pH 7.2, that contained 0.25 M sucrose and 0.1% BSA, and the suspension was layered on a discontinuous Percoll density gradient. The discontinuous gradient was composed of 5 mL of 45% Percoll, 15 mL of 28% Percoll, and 5 mL of 5% Percoll in 10 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> buffer, pH 7.2, plus 0.25 M sucrose and 0.1% BSA. Centrifugation was performed at 30,000g for 30 min (Nishimura et al., 1982). One-milliliter fractions were collected sequentially from the bottom of the tube, and an aliquot of each was used for an assay of cytochrome c oxidase (EC 1.9.3.1) and guantitation of chlorophyll. Cytochrome c oxidase was assayed as described by Nawa and Asahi (1971). Chlorophyll was extracted in 80% acetone

and quantitated by the method of Cosio et al. (1983). Protein concentrations were determined with a protein assay kit (Bio-Rad). The fractions at the interface between 45 and 28% Percoll (mitochondrial fraction) and between 28 and 5% Percoll (chloroplast fraction) were collected and examined immunologically for the presence of the ERD5 protein.

### Construction of Fusion Genes from *PUT1* and *ERD5* Genes and of Partially Deleted Versions of the *PUT1* Gene

Four primer sets were used: 5'-CGCAGGTGGGTACCCATCTACTC-3' and 5'-AGTGGATCCGAATTCTTGACACATAGGACCTCTT-3': 5'-CGCAGG-TGGGTACCCATCTACTC-3' and 5'-TAGGATCCACTGAAT TCTACCGAC-ACATGATAT-3'; 5'-CGCAGGTGGGTACCCATCTACTC-3' and 5'-TAGGAT-CCACTGAAT TCTACCGACACATGATAT-3'; and 5'-TTGCAAGAAAAC-GGGGATCCCGGGAGATCT-3' and 5'-A(GATC)TCTAGAGGTACCCCAA-AATCCTTACAAAG-3'. The primer sets were used for PCRs to amplify four fragments, P1, P2, P3, and T1, Each pair of primers was used at 100 pmol. Ten nanograms of pWB8 DNA, as template, and 2 units of Pfu DNA polymerase also were used for each reaction. Forty cycles of denaturation, annealing, and polymerization were performed at 94°C for 1 min, 37°C for 2 min, and 72°C for 3 min, respectively. The amplified DNA fragments (P1, P2, P3, and T1) were cloned into the pCR-Script vector, amplified in E. coli cells, isolated, and sequenced. Inserts then were cut out by both BamHI and KpnI for P1, P2, and P3 or both BamHI and Xbal for T1. The T1 fragment, which corresponds to the PUT1-Ter region in Figure 7A, was ligated to the P1, P2, or P3 fragment and then cloned in the pBluescript SK+ vector (Stratagene) that had been treated with both KpnI and XbaI to generate plasmids pP1T1, pP2T1, and pP3T1, respectively. The plasmids were amplified in E. coli cells, isolated, and sequenced. The inserts were cut out by Kpnl, and the resultant fragments were cloned into the Kpnl site of the YCp50 vector (Parent et al., 1985) to yield pPUT1(1-29) RIRIPG-DLIMAGH, pPUT1(1-291) RIQWIPGDLIMAGH, and pPUT1(1-334) KSDPG ·PUT1(455-476), respectively.

Three fragments corresponding to the coding region of ERD5 (E1, E2, and E3) also were amplified by PCR under the same conditions as given above with the following exceptions. The primer sets used were 5'-CGTCCCGGGAATTCTCTCCT-3' and 5'-ATTTAGCCCCGG-GAAATGT TCT TACC-3', 5'-GT T TACAACAGAAT TCAGGC-3' and 5'-AT T-TAGCCCCGGGAAATGTTCTTACC-3', and 5'-GTAGCTTGGCGAATT-CCCTG-3' and 5'-ATT TAGCCCCGGGAAATGT TCT TACC-3', respectively. Ten nanograms of ERD5 cDNA was used as template. The amplified DNA fragments (E1, E2, and E3) were cloned into the pCR-Script vector, amplified in E. coli cells, isolated, and sequenced. The inserts were then cut out by both EcoRI and Smal and ligated to pP1T1, pP2T1, or pP3T1 that had been treated with both EcoRI and Smal to yield pP1E1T1, pP2E2T1, and pP3E3T1, respectively. Each plasmid was digested with KpnI, and the KpnI fragment corresponding to a fusion of the PUT1 and ERD5 genes was cloned into the Kpnl site of the YCp50 vector to generate pPUT1(1-29) R ERD5(38-499), pPUT1(1-291) ·R·ERD5(316-499), and pPUT1(1-334) ·KN·ERD5(380-499), respectively.

### Complementation of a put1 Mutant Strain of Saccharomyces cerevisiae

Ura<sup>+</sup> transformants were selected on synthetic medium that contained 2% glucose but no uracil at 30°C. The transformed yeast cells were subsequently grown at 30°C on agar (2%) medium that contained yeast

nitrogen base without ammonium sulfate and amino acids (YNB-AA/AS; Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI; 0.17%), L-tryptophan (0.004%), glucose (2%), and L-proline (0.5%). Photographs were taken under a light microscope (model BH-2; Olympus) after incubation for 3 days on the above-mentioned medium.

#### Assay for Proline Oxidase Activity

Transformed yeast cells were grown in liquid medium that contained YNB-AA/AS (0.17%), L-tryptophan (0.004%), glucose (2%), and ammonium sulfate (0.1%), with or without L-proline (0.1%). Cells at the logarithmetic phase of growth were harvested and permeabilized by treatment with liquid nitrogen. The enzyme was assayed as described by Brandriss and Magasanik (1979).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the Special Coordination Fund of the Science and Technology Agency of the Japanese Government and by a grant-in-aid from the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture of Japan. T.K. was supported by a fellowship from the Science and Technology Agency of Japan. We are grateful to Dr. Marjorie C. Brandriss (University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark, NJ) for kindly providing the *put1* mutant strain (C15-1A) of *S. cerevisiae* and the plasmid pWB8, and also to Dr. Michèle Axelos (CNRS-INRA) and Dr. Montserrat Pagès (CSIC) for kindly providing cell cultures (T87 cells) of Arabidopsis. We also thank Dr. Desh Pal S. Verma (Ohio State University, Columbus) and Dr. Keishiro Wada (Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Japan) for helpful discussions.

Received February 9, 1996; accepted May 27, 1996.

### REFERENCES

- Axelos, M., Curie, C., Mazzolini, L., Bardet, C., and Lescure, B. (1992). A protocol for transient gene expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana* protoplasts isolated from cell suspension cultures. Plant Physiol. Biochem. **30**, 123–128.
- Boggess, S.F., Paleg, L.G., and Aspinall, D. (1975). Pyrroline-5carboxylic acid dehydrogenase in barley, a proline-accumulating species. Plant Physiol. 56, 259–262.
- Boyer, J.S. (1982). Plant productivity and environment. Science 218, 443–448.
- Brandriss, M.C., and Magasanik, B. (1979). Genetics and physiology of proline utilization in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: Enzyme induction by proline. J. Bacteriol. 140, 498–503.
- Cosio, E.G., Servaites, J.C., and McClure, J.W. (1983). Isolation and photosynthetic characteristics of mesophyll cells from developing leaves of soybeans. Physiol. Plant. 59, 595–600.
- de Almeida Engler, J., Van Montagu, M., and Engler, G. (1994). Hybridization *in situ* of whole-mount messenger RNA in plants. Plant Mol. Biol. Rep. 12, 321–331.

- Delauney, A.J., and Verma, D.P.S. (1990). A soybean gene encoding Δ<sup>1</sup>-pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase was isolated by functional complementation in *Escherichia coli* and found to be osmoregulated. Mol. Gen. Genet. **221**, 299–305.
- Delauney, A.J., and Verma, D.P.S. (1993). Proline biosynthesis and osmoregulation in plants. Plant J. 4, 215–223.
- Delauney, A.J., Hu, C.-A.A., Kavi-Kishor, P.B., and Verma, D.P.S. (1993). Cloning of ornithine δ-aminotransferase cDNA from *Vigna aconitifolia* by *trans*-complementation in *Escherichia coli* and regulation of proline biosynthesis. J. Biol. Chem. **268**, 18673–18678.
- Elthon, T.E., and Stewart, C.R. (1981). Submitochondrial location and electron transport characteristics of enzymes involved in proline oxidation. Plant Physiol. 67, 780–784.
- Elthon, T.E., and Stewart, C.R. (1982). Proline oxidation in corn mitochondria. Plant Physiol. 70, 567–572.
- Fitzgerald, M., and Shenk, T. (1981). The sequence 5'-AAUAAA-3' forms part of the recognition site for polyadenylation of late SV40 mRNA. Cell 24, 251–260.
- Hayward, D.C., Delaney, S.J., Campbell, H.D., Ghysen, A., Benzer, S., Kasprzak, A.B., Cotsell, J.N., Young, I.G., and Gabor-Miklos, G.L. (1993). The sluggish-A gene of *Drosophila melanogaster* is expressed in the nervous system and encodes proline oxidase, a mitochondrial enzyme involved in glutamate biosynthesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90, 2979–2983.
- Hellebust, J.A. (1976). Osmoregulation. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 27, 485–505.
- Hu, C.-A.A., Delauney, A.J., and Verma, D.P.S. (1992). A bifunctional enzyme (Δ1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase) catalyzes the first two steps in proline biosynthesis in plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89, 9354–9358.
- Kavi Kishor, P.B., Hong, Z., Miao, G.-H., Hu, C.-A.A., and Verma, D.P.S. (1995). Overexpression of  $\Delta^1$ -pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase increases proline production and confers osmotolerance in transgenic plants. Plant Physiol. 108, 1387–1394.
- Kiyosue, T., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., and Shinozaki, K. (1993a). Characterization of cDNA for a dehydration-inducible gene that encodes a Clp A, B-like protein in *Arabidopsis thaliana* L. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. **196**, 1214–1220.
- Kiyosue, T., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., and Shinozaki, K. (1993b). Characterization of two cDNAs (ERD11 and ERD13) for dehydrationinducible genes that encode putative glutathione S-transferase in Arabidopsis thaliana L. FEBS Lett. 335, 189–192.
- Kiyosue, T., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., and Shinozaki, K. (1994a). Cloning of cDNAs for genes that are early-responsive to dehydration stress (ERDs) in *Arabidopsis thaliana* L.: Identification of three ERDs as HSP cognate genes. Plant Mol. Biol. 25, 791–798.
- Kiyosue, T., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., and Shinozaki, K. (1994b). Characterization of two cDNAs (ERD10 and ERD14) corresponding to genes that respond rapidly to dehydration stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell Physiol. **35**, 225–231.
- Laemmli, U.K. (1970). Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature 227, 680–685.
- Ling, M., Allen, S.W., and Wood, J.M. (1994). Sequence analysis identifies the proline dehydrogenase and ∆1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate dehydrogenase domains of the multifunctional *Escherichia coli* PutA protein. J. Mol. Biol. 243, 950–956.
- Maloy, S. (1987). The proline utilization operon. In *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium*: Cellular and Molecular Biology, F.C.

Neidhardt, J.L. Ingraham, K.B. Low, B. Magasanik, M. Schaechter, and H.E. Umbarger, eds (Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology), pp. 1513–1519.

- McCue, K.F., and Hanson, A.D. (1990). Drought and salt tolerance: Towards understanding and application. Trends Biotechnol. 8, 358–362.
- Murashige, T., and Skoog, F. (1962). A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol. Plant. 15, 473–497.
- Nawa, Y., and Asahi, T. (1971). Rapid development of mitochondria in pea cotyledons during the early stage of germination. Plant Physiol. 48, 671–674.
- Nishimura, M., Douce, R., and Akazawa, T. (1982). Isolation and characterization of metabolically competent mitochondria from spinach leaf protoplast. Plant Physiol. **69**, 916–920.
- Parent, S.A., Fenimore, C.N., and Bostian, K.A. (1985). Vector systems for the expression, analysis and cloning of DNA sequences in *S. cerevisiae*. Yeast 1, 83–138.
- Rayapati, P.J., and Stewart, C.R. (1991). Solubilization of a proline dehydrogenase from maize (*Zea mays* L.) mitochondria. Plant Physiol. 95, 787–791.
- Schaller, G.E., Ladd, A.N., Lanahan, M.B., Spanbauer, J.M., and Bleecker, A.B. (1995). The ethylene response mediator ETR1 from *Arabidopsis* forms a disulfide-linked dimer. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 12526–12530.
- Sells, G.D., and Koeppe, D.E. (1981). Oxidation of proline by mitochondria isolated from water-stressed maize shoots. Plant Physiol. 68, 1058–1063.

- Smith, D.B., and Johnson, K.S. (1988). Single-step purification of polypeptides expressed in *Escherichia coli* as fusions with glutathione S-transferase. Gene 67, 31–40.
- Stewart, C.R., Boggess, S.F., Aspinall, D., and Paleg, L.G. (1977). Inhibition of proline oxidation by water stress. Plant Physiol. **59**, 930–932.
- Szoke, A., Miao, G.-H., Hong, Z., and Verma, D.P.S. (1992). Subcellular localization of  $\Delta^1$ -pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase in root/nodule and leaf of soybean. Plant Physiol. **99**, 1642–1649.
- Tarczynski, M.C., Jensen, R.G., and Bohnert, H.J. (1993). Stress protection of transgenic tobacco by production of the osmolyte mannitol. Science 259, 508–510.
- Valvekens, D., Van Montagu, M., and Van Lijsebettens, M. (1988). Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana root explants by using kanamycin selection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85, 5536–5540.
- Wang, S.-S., and Brandriss, M.C. (1986). Proline utilization in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: Analysis of the cloned PUT1 gene. Mol. Cell. Biol. 6, 2638–2645.
- Wang, S.-S., and Brandriss, M.C. (1987). Proline utilization in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: Sequence, regulation, and mitochondrial localization of the PUT1 gene product. Mol. Cell. Biol. 7, 4431–4440.
- Yoshiba, Y., Kiyosue, T., Katagiri, T., Ueda, H., Mizoguchi, T., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., Wada, K., Harada, Y., and Shinozaki, K. (1995). Correlation between the induction of a gene for ∆<sup>1</sup>pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase and the accumulation of proline in Arabidopsis thaliana under osmotic stress. Plant J. 7, 751–760.