Review article

Drug level monitoring in paediatric practice

GEORGE W RYLANCE AND TERENCE A MORELAND

Department of Child Health and Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, University of Dundee, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School

A knowledge of the concentration of a drug in blood or other tissue fluid is now considered an important and sometimes essential part of the therapeutic management of various conditions. Its importance has increased as information showing wide interindividual variation in drug response has become recognised. Such variation arising from differences in absorption, distribution, and elimination appears to be greater during development, and yet many drugs are still prescribed for children in doses calculated by scaling down adult doses according to weight or surface area.¹

This conventional dose approach is satisfactory only for drugs with a large therapeutic index (the difference between therapeutic and toxic drug levels is wide). Doses of most drugs need to be individually tailored according to the child's particular requirements. Titration of dose to the therapeutic response is the best means of achieving this. However, this approach is unsuitable for most of the commonly prescribed drugs in children (antimicrobials, anticonvulsants, anti-inflammatory agents, cardiac glycosides) as the response to these is not readily clinically evaluated, and there may be a subtherapeutic or toxic response. As a result, drugs may be discarded as useless or too toxic.

For many drugs, there is a close relationship between plasma level and therapeutic effect, and the value of knowing the plasma level has been proved for a number of drugs (Table 1). Although fewer data are available for these drugs in children, in the case of most drugs the plasma level—therapeutic response relationship will be better than that between dosage and clinical effectiveness. However, it should be remembered that the clinical effects of some drugs cannot be adequately monitored by

Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee Department of Child Health GEORGE W RYLANCE, lecturer Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics TERENCE A MORELAND, Wellcome research fellow in paediatric pharmacology

 Table 1
 Therapeutic ranges for the more commonly used drugs in children

Drug	Therapeutic range (µmol/l, unless stated)			
	Children	Adults		
Phenytoin	20-80 ²⁸ 48-100 ²⁹	40-8030		
Phenobarbitone	40-10537	40-10536		
Febrile convulsions	>60 ³⁹⁻⁴¹ >63 ⁴²			
Primidone	Use phenobarbitone			
Carbamazepine		16-5047-50		
Sodium valproate	350-70057	240-48058		
Diazepam	0 • 7-1 • 0564-65			
Ethosuximide	280-70067			
	290-50068 (adults incl	290-50068 (adults included)		
Digoxin		0.65–2.6 nmol/174		
Theophylline				
Asthma	55-11081-83			
Preterm apnoea	30-7091-92			
Salicylate	1000-180094 2100-250095			
Gentamicin				
Peak ∫ 1 Trough-predose ∖ 6	5 min post IV 50 min post IM	Peak $8.0-18.5^{101-103}$ Trough $< 3.7^{104-105}$		
Tobramycin	11-21116			
Chloramphenicol	30-60117			

concentration measurements, as in the case of cytotoxic agents where there is no temporal relationship between drug level and effect.

Therapeutic range

Drug concentrations are usually interpreted by the clinician in relation to a known therapeutic range for a particular drug or its metabolite(s), or both. For most drugs, this represents a concentration range associated with optimal therapeutic effect without undue adverse effects in the majority of patients. The ranges suggested for children (Table 1) are in most cases similar to those defined for adults. The usefulness of such a range may be influenced both by the disease process and its severity. Lund² showed that the optimal phenytoin level is directly related to

90 Rylance and Moreland

the severity of epilepsy, and some patients are likely to require concentrations considerably below the accepted range. In addition, if drugs have more than one therapeutic effect, the appropriate range for each must be used. For example, the plasma salicylate range for the treatment of inflammatory conditions, $1000-2500 \mu mol/l$, is considerably greater than that required for general analgesia. Alteration in tissue responsiveness may also affect the levels required for adequate therapeutic effect. In some cases, the usual range may prove too high, as in hypokalaemic patients receiving digoxin, while in others, a greater than usual therapeutic level may be needed in order to overcome the effects of drug tolerance.

Considerations in interpreting drug levels

Dose regimen. The duration of drug therapy, dosage, time since last dose, and dose-frequency must all be known. After starting treatment, a drug will accumulate until the amount eliminated equals the amount absorbed, at which time a steady-state is reached. Unless loading doses are used, this occurs after about five elimination half-lives have elapsed. Drug levels determined during the period of accumulation will underestimate steady-state concentrations.

Once a steady-state has been reached drug concentrations can fluctuate significantly between doses,³ so the dose-frequency and the time since the last dose must be known. However, for most drugs a 2-fold fluctuation in concentration is generally acceptable, and this occurs when the dose interval approximates the elimination half-life. Even when given at this dose-frequency, the fluctuation is likely to be such that some time is spent with levels outside the therapeutic range during a dose interval. Due to more rapid metabolism, the half-lives of most drugs tend to be shorter in children than in adults, and because of their different social and sleep patterns, frequent drug administration is often impracticable, giving rise to greater fluctuation in plasma levels in the young.

Active metabolites. Some drugs form biologically active metabolites (Table 2) and the therapeutic effect of the prescribed drug may rely on the contribution from the metabolite. For drugs like methylphenobarbitone and primidone, the steadystate level of the active metabolite is considerably greater than that of the parent drug.⁴ In such cases, measurement of the metabolite instead of the parent compound is reasonable as the therapeutic effect correlates well with the metabolite concentration, although the anticonvulsant effect of the parent drug should not be overlooked. For other drugs, such as carbamazepine, the pharmacological effect of the

 Table 2
 Commonly used drugs in children which form active metabolites

Drug	Metabolite	
Allopurinol	Alloxanthine ¹⁴²	
Amitriptyline	Nortriptyline ¹⁴³	
Carbamazepine	Carbamazepine 10, 11-epoxide5-6	
Chloral hydrate	Trichloroethanol144	
Diazepam	Desmethyldiazepam ¹⁴⁵	
Imipramine	Desimipramine ¹⁴⁶	
Methylphenobarbitone	Phenobarbitone ¹⁴⁷	
Prednisone	Prednisolone ¹⁴⁸	
Primidone	Phenobarbitone ¹⁴⁹	
Propranolol	4-Hydroxypropranolol ¹⁵⁰	

metabolite(s) may be proved in animals,⁵⁻⁶ but may not have been shown to occur in man. Until further work proves the relevance of such metabolites, their measurement for everyday clinical practice cannot be justified.

Combination therapy. The concentration of one drug may be altered by concurrent use of another, and this problem is often seen in paediatric practice as multiple drug prescribing is common.7-9 In these circumstances, consideration should be given to the possibility that the level may have been increased or decreased as a result of metabolic enzyme inhibition or induction, or that the concentration of the free (active) drug may have been increased by displacement from protein-binding sites. The effects of enzyme induction/inhibition may not be apparent for several weeks,¹⁰ but those relating to changes in protein binding usually occur in the first few days after a drug is added or withdrawn. Protein binding changes are only important for those drugs which are highly protein bound-for example, diazepam,¹¹ nitrazepam,¹² phenytoin,¹³⁻¹⁴ sodium valproate,¹⁵ or in circumstances of abnormal protein bindingfor example, uraemia¹⁶⁻¹⁹ and liver disease.¹⁹

Patient compliance. Even when the drug level is known and the above points considered, there remains the possibility that the patient is not complying with instructions. An undetectable level will confirm anxieties about a family's reliability and is easier to interpret than when the concentration is low but detectable. In the latter case, danger may arise when the clinician increases the dose thinking that the low level is due to physiological factors rather than the result of poor compliance.

Assay reliability. The reliability of the routine laboratory drug assay service cannot always be assumed²⁰⁻²¹ and interlaboratory quality control schemes, such as that set up for anticonvulsants,²² should be encouraged.

Specific drug considerations

Anticonvulsants are frequently prescribed in paediatric practice⁸ and often are given in combination. They represent the drug class most commonly implicated in drug interactions in children, further emphasising the need to monitor their levels.

Phenytoin. The good relationship between phenytoin levels and anticonvulsant effect is now proved.² Its dose-dependent kinetics in children, 23 and adults, 24-26 whereby a small increase in dose results in a disproportionately large rise in plasma level precludes a convenient method for predicting the plasma level from dose except perhaps at low concentrations. The level at which dose-dependent kinetic behaviour begins in children is probably lower than in adults²⁷ and is likely to occur throughout most of the therapeutic range. Two ranges, 20-80 μ mol/l²⁸ and 48-100 µmol/l²⁹ have been suggested for children. but many clinicians prefer to use the established adult range of 40-80 µmol/l.² ³⁰ At the low levels where elimination is first-order, the plasma half-life, a major determinant of concentration fluctuation, is short in children³¹⁻³² and large fluctuations in concentration may occur even when the drug is given at the recommended dose intervals. Two reports suggest that fluctuation is relatively small in children and adolescents taking phenytoin once daily,33-34 although other workers more recently have found large and unacceptable fluctuations on this regimen.³²

Phenobarbitone. The value of monitoring phenobarbitone levels is considerably less than that of phenytoin. The steady-state levels in children after the neonatal period are reasonably predictable³⁵ and tolerance to the drug's sedative effect occurs, as it might also do to its anticonvulsant action. A therapeutic range of 40–105 μ mol/l has been given for adults,³⁶ and children.³⁷ It is difficult to define a level above which toxicity is likely, and some authors have suggested a higher range³⁸ or given therapeutic levels above 60 µmol/l for children.³⁹⁻⁴¹ The results of a prospective study suggest that febrile convulsions are controlled when the minimum concentration exceeds 63 µmol/l,42 although others have found this to be inadequate.⁴³ In view of its relatively long half-life in infants and children,44-45 the degree of concentration fluctuation is likely to be small even when given once daily.

Primidone. This drug is converted to two active metabolites, phenobarbitone and phenylethylmalonamide. These are usually present in considerably higher concentrations than the parent drug,⁴ and although primidone itself has some anticonvulsant activity,⁴⁶ most clinicians believe that the use of the phenobarbitone therapeutic range gives the best estimate of the drug's clinical effect.

Carbamazepine. There is no simple relationship between carbamazepine dosage and plasma concentration. Several therapeutic ranges have been suggested, 47-50 and it seems that levels of 16-50 umol/l are likely to be optimal. The half-life in children, 4.1-18.3 hours (G W Rylance, D A Priestman, T A Moreland, 1979, unpublished observation),^{3 51} is relatively short, and large fluctuations in concentration occur when the drug is given at recommended dose intervals.³ Carbamazepine is a potent inducer of both its own¹⁰ and other drugs' metabolism.⁵² In children, steady-state levels are less than 20% those expected from single dose pharmacokinetic studies (G W Rylance, D A Priestman, T A Moreland, 1979, unpublished observation). The effect of this induction, which appears to reach a maximum after 2 to 3 weeks of treatment, on the interpretation of carbamazepine levels in the early phase of treatment and on the levels of concurrently used drugs, should be considered carefully. For practical purposes, measurement of the active metabolite, carbamazine 10,11-epoxide, is not recommended as its activity and levels are considerably less than those of the parent drug.53-54

Sodium valproate. The usefulness of monitoring sodium valproate levels remains in doubt. Two childhood studies⁵⁵⁻⁵⁶ were unable to correlate plasma levels with clinical effect, but other investigators have reported a relationship between beneficial and toxic effects and plasma levels both in children and adults, and therapeutic ranges of 350-700 μ mol/l⁵⁷ and 240-480 μ mol/l⁵⁸ have been suggested. The poor correlation may relate to the mode of action of the drug⁵⁹ or to the pronounced fluctuation in drug level which occurs both within a 24-hour period⁶⁰ and from one day to the next.⁶¹ Routine monitoring of sodium valproate in children is not currently recommended, although a recently reported well-controlled study found superior clinical effect at 300-350 µmol/l compared with that at lower levels,62 suggesting that routine monitoring may soon be justified.

Diazepam. There is no established indication for diazepam level monitoring,⁶³ although two studies in children have suggested that anticonvulsant activity is associated with concentrations of $0.7-1.05 \mu$ mol/l.⁶¹⁻⁶⁵ The fact that different clinical conditions require different plasma levels, and that brain concentrations of diazepam and its major active metabolite, desmethyldiazepam, vary according to whether treatment is short- or long-term⁶⁶ may explain the poor level/effect correlation.

Ethosuximide. Prospective studies give good evidence of a plasma therapeutic range for ethosuximide.⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹ Within the optimal response range of 280–700 μ mol/l, drug levels in children can be predicted from the dose given, provided that age is considered.⁶⁷

Digoxin

The use of steady-state plasma digoxin concentrations as a guide to the drug's clinical effect has been widely canvassed in adult practice, 70-72 although others have questioned this.⁷³ Infants, who are most likely to require digitalisation, appear to tolerate plasma concentrations above the optimal adult therapeutic range of 0.65-2.6nmol/l,⁷⁴ some workers having found concentrations above 4.5 nmol/l without accompanying toxicity,⁷⁵ although others have reported toxicity at these levels.⁷⁶⁻⁷⁷ As the maximum inotropic effect may be achieved with much lower doses than those usually recommended.⁷⁸ concentrations within the adult range may produce a maximum clinical effect in infants. The higher plasma concentrations per unit dose found in very low birthweight compared with ' normal' weight babies⁷⁹ may account for the fact that a greater number of ECG abnormalities are seen in preterm babies than in those that are term newborn.⁸⁰ The pronounced overlap between toxic and therapeutic concentrations, and the difficulty in assessing clinical effect suggests that routine monitoring of plasma digoxin levels is probably unnecessary in children unless toxicity is suspected. Even then, a raised level itself is not sufficient evidence for intoxication and the level should be interpreted in relation to other factors-such as the dose given, the severity of heart failure, renal function, and the plasma potassium concentration.

Theophylline

For maximum bronchodilator effect and minimum toxicity, plasma theophylline concentrations should be maintained between 55 and 110 μ mol/l.⁸¹⁻⁸³ The case for monitoring levels is strong as the therapeutic range is clearly established,⁸¹⁻⁸³ kinetics may be dose-dependent,⁸⁴ there is wide interindividual variation in the dose/level ratio,⁸⁵ and toxic levels are dangerous.⁸⁶ The elimination half-life in children is short⁸⁷⁻⁸⁹ and there are large fluctuations in concentration if the drug is given at recommended intervals.⁸⁵ Fluctuation can be reduced if sustained release preparations are used,⁹⁰ but as constant absorption per unit time does not occur, appropriate timing of drug levels and their interpretation depends on the preparation used.⁹⁰

Theophylline is also used for the prevention and treatment of recurrent apnoeic episodes in the

preterm infant. Its efficacy is now established and a therapeutic range of $30-70 \ \mu mol/l$ would seem appropriate.⁹¹⁻⁹² The importance of monitoring levels is emphasised by considering the dynamic state of the newborn infant with rapid changes in distribution volumes and maturing enzyme systems affecting drug elimination. Tachycardia as a sign of cardiotoxicity, although sometimes clinically useful, should not be wholly relied on as a guide to theophylline treatment. Multiple sampling in the preterm infant is not without risk, and if possible, a method using small amounts of plasma should be used.⁹³ Because of the rapid distribution of theophylline in the body, samples drawn midway between doses will reflect the steady-state level fairly closely.

Salicylate

Although there is no direct relationship between salicylate level and clinical response,94 two authorities have suggested that levels of 1000–2500 μ mol/l should be maintained in children with juvenile rheumatoid arthritis.94-95 The symptoms of salicylism correlate closely with serum levels and are generally, but not always, present when the level exceeds 2000 µmol/l.95-96 The case for using drug levels for each treatment is strengthened by the narrow therapeutic index of the drug ^{94 97} and the large inter- and intraindividual variation in drug level/unit dose, which is perhaps mainly due to the dose-dependent kinetics of the drug,98 although a report suggests there is a good correlation between salicylate serum levels and dose/m² body surface area.96

For general analgesic and antipyretic activity, lower plasma levels appear to suffice.

Antibacterial drugs

Blood levels of the antibacterial drugs may be presumed to be meaningful measures of drug effect only if bacteraemia is present. Otherwise, the blood concentration is only one of many determinants of drug effect. Other factors, which apply to other drugs too but to antibacterials in particular, are the blood flow to the infected site, the degree of drug protein binding, and penetration of the drug into abscesses, cells, and interstitial fluids.

For optimal effect, drug levels should exceed the mean inhibitory concentration (MIC) for the likely or known causative organism by as great a margin as possible without undue risk of toxicity. However, it should be remembered that the MIC refers to a drug level *in vitro*, and although levels in excess of this are found in the blood, the levels in the infected tissue may be considerably lower. In addition, the controversy about whether high, but poorly sustained (peak), or lower, but adequate (continuous), levels should be achieved has not been resolved. Although a 'peak' regimen may aid in diffusion of drugs into poorly vascularised areas, and there is evidence that it is effective provided that the interval between doses is not unduly long,⁹⁹ it remains unclear which method should be adopted and whether the mode of action of a drug (for example, bacterial wall synthesis inhibition or intracellular protein synthesis inhibition) should determine the type of regimen to be used.

Of the antibacterial drugs, there is a strong case for monitoring levels of gentamicin, tobramycin, and in some circumstances, chloramphenicol.

Gentamicin. In adults, peak serum gentamicin concentrations of $7.5-9.0 \ \mu mol/l$ are required for adequate treatment of serious Gram-negative infections.¹⁰⁰⁻¹⁰² There is an increased risk of 8th cranial nerve damage if serum concentrations rise above $18.5 \,\mu mol/l$,¹⁰³ and of renal damage if nadir levels are greater than 3.7 µmol/l.¹⁰⁴⁻¹⁰⁵ Because of the wide interindividual variation in gentamicin disposition and serum levels on similar dosage, 106-108 monitoring of levels is important, especially in children where such variation is likely to be greatest. Although modification of dosage according to a one-compartment first-order model after an initial dose has been suggested,¹⁰⁹ serial measurements are probably advisable as the drug's kinetics are better represented by a two-compartment¹¹⁰ or even a multicompartment model.¹¹¹ The authors proposing the latter model suggested that treatment should be tailored individually for children, based on peak and trough levels after the fourth or fifth dose, which would still be fairly early in the course of treatment.

Tobramycin. Information on desirable drug levels of tobramycin is limited. McAllister and Tait¹¹² stated that the 'usual' dose given to adults produces serum levels of $11-21 \mu mol/l$, and it has therefore been suggested that organisms with MICs $<11 \mu mol/l$ should be considered sensitive to tobramycin.¹¹³ According to a commercial report,¹¹⁴ sustained levels above 26 µmol/l are likely to produce adverse effects and should be avoided. Although the incidence of both nephro- and ototoxicity may be marginally less with tobramycin than with gentamicin,¹¹⁵ and there is little documentary evidence of a relationship between toxicity and drug level, a therapeutic plasma range of 11-21 µmol/l has been suggested and good results shown when such levels were achieved in the treatment of serious infections.¹¹⁶ Although a relationship between trough level and toxicity has not been found, rising trough levels give warning of accumulation, and if these are kept below 2 μ mol/l, the corresponding peak level is usually $<21 \ \mu mol/l.^{116}$

Chloramphenicol. This is now usually reserved for the treatment of the life-threatening diseases, meningitis and epiglottitis. In these circumstances, adequate treatment is imperative, and monitoring of blood levels has been recommended.¹¹⁷ The common combination of an enzyme-inducing anticonvulsant drug with chloramphenicol in the treatment of meningitis and the resulting decreased levels of the antibacterial drug,¹¹⁸⁻¹¹⁹ further emphasise the need to ensure that adequate levels are maintained. A concentration range of 30–60 μ mol/l is accepted¹¹⁷ and as cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) concentrations are consistently 30–50% of those in plasma,¹²⁰ CSF levels of the drug will be adequate for all the more usual organisms causing meningitis.

Drug monitoring in other body fluids

Cerebrospinal fluid. Drug monitoring in CSF is usually limited to those cases of meningitis in which the expected recovery has not occurred—for example, monitoring of ampicillin, penicillin, and chloramphenicol—or in some cases if intraventricular drug injections are used and preinjection levels determined. The preinjection levels indicate the rate of removal of the drug from the CSF and are often used with gentamicin. Levels in excess of the MICs of the likely organisms are required.

As chloramphenicol levels in CSF are consistently 30-50% of those in plasma,¹²⁰ and intrathecal/intraventricular injections are unnecessary, CSF chloramphenicol levels may be assessed indirectly using the more practicable plasma sampling.

Saliva. Saliva sampling affords a useful method of drug level monitoring as the saliva concentration of drugs which are unionised at plasma pH is usually proportional to the concentration of the free (unbound) drug in plasma.¹²¹ The dissociation constant and the lipid solubility of drugs are important determinants of the saliva/plasma (S/P) ratio of weak acids and bases¹²² and this ratio is much affected by saliva pH.¹²¹ Saliva pH varies with saliva flow rate and small changes in the flow rate profoundly affect the appearance in saliva of acidic drugs with pKa values below 8.5 and basic drugs with pKa values above 5.5.123 Very weak acids and bases behave as neutral drugs¹²¹ which pass into saliva at concentrations equal to the free drug in plasma water.

For appropriate drugs, saliva monitoring has advantages for paediatricians and children, as it allows fluid to be collected by a noninvasive technique at home, school, or hospital without discomfort or

 Table 3 Drugs in saliva: usefulness of monitoring and therapeutic ranges derived from plasma ranges and saliva/plasma ratios

Saliva monitoring	Drug	Therapeutic range
Useful	Carbamazepine	5-15 µmol/147-50 125
	Ethosuximide	280-700 µmol/167 126
	Phenytoin	2-10 µmol/128-29127
Possibly useful	Phenobarbitone	25-42 µmol/137 39-40
	Primidone	As above4 46
	Digoxin	Not reliable
	Theophylline (in asthma)	30-65 µmol/l ^{81-83 151}
Not useful	Diazepam	
	Salicylate	
	Sodium valproate	

risk. As saliva levels indicate the free (active) fraction of drug in the plasma, they will reflect changes in the effective drug concentration arising from disease processes, varying albumin concentrations, and drug interactions.

Most reports refer to the use of mixed saliva, and this can easily be collected from children of all ages, although saliva flow stimulation using citric acid crystals¹²⁴ may sometimes be required.

Table 3 shows the relative usefulness of saliva monitoring for the more relevant drugs and lists their respective derived therapeutic ranges. The method is especially useful for carbamazepine, ethosuximide, and phenytoin as there is a good correlation between saliva and plasma levels for each of these drugs,¹²⁵⁻¹²⁷ and this is independent of concurrent drug use, saliva flow rate, or pH.¹²⁸⁻¹³¹

The usefulness of monitoring other drugs in saliva is less clear. Phenobarbitone is partially ionised at the usual saliva pH, and so the S/P ratio varies with saliva pH. However, if the pH is taken into account, a corrected saliva concentration approximating the unbound fraction may be calculated.¹³²

Although there is a good correlation between saliva and plasma primidone concentrations,¹²⁷ monitoring of this drug is limited by the problems associated with phenobarbitone monitoring.

The reported plasma digoxin S/P ratios in adults suggest that there is a wide interindividual variation,133-135 which is not accounted for by differences in digoxin protein binding.134 Single specimens are probably of little value therefore, although serial sampling in the same individual may be useful.¹³⁴ However, Joubert et al.¹³⁶ suggested that saliva digoxin levels may be better indices of pharmacological effect than plasma levels, as the PTQ index, which relates to electrocardiographic change, correlates more closely with mean saliva than mean plasma digoxin levels. Like digoxin, saliva theophylline monitoring is limited in adults by the large inter- and intraindividual variation in the S/P ratio,¹³⁷ and a similar problem may exist in children. In addition, no explanation has yet been

found for the variation of S/P ratio according to time of sampling,¹³⁸⁻¹³⁹ raised values being found in the first few hours after dosing.

According to the available evidence, saliva is not useful for monitoring diazepam,¹⁴⁰ salicylate,¹³⁷ or sodium valproate.¹⁴¹

This review has attempted to provide a paediatric perspective of drug level monitoring, outlining the indications, considerations, and pitfalls. Some drugs (for example, lignocaine, mexiletine, procainamide, quinidine, disopyramide, lithium, tricyclic antidepressants) have been omitted from discussion as they are rarely used in paediatric practice. Individual treatment, by monitoring drug levels, would seem to be particularly important for children in view of the pronounced interindividual and age-related variation in drug response throughout childhood. However, it should be stressed that such monitoring is no substitute for sound clinical judgment, and comprehensive examination and assessment of children receiving drugs. It is only when optimum and complementary use of both approaches is realised that children will benefit most.

References

- ¹ Rylance G W. Drug availability and dosage information for children. J Clin Pharmacol 1979; 4: 153-8.
- ² Lund L. Anticonvulsant effect of diphenylhydantoin relative to plasma levels. A prospective three-year study in ambulant patients with generalised epileptic seizures. *Arch Neurol* 1974; **31**: 289–94.
- ³ Rylance G W, Moreland T A, Butcher G M. Carbamazepine dose-frequency requirement in children. Arch Dis Child 1979; 54: 454–8.
- ⁴ Eadie M J. Plasma level monitoring of anticonvulsants. Clin Pharmacokinet 1976; 1: 52-6.
- ⁵ Frigerio A, Morselli P L. Carbamazepine biotransformation. Adv Neurol 1975; 11: 295-308.
- ⁶ Gagneux A R. The chemistry of carbamazepine. In: Birkmayer W, ed. *Epileptic seizures—behaviour—pain*. Berne: Huber, 1976: 120-6.
- ⁷ McKenzie M W, Stewart R B, Weiss C F, Cluff L E. A pharmacist based study of the epidemiology of adverse drug reactions in pediatric medicine patients. *Am J Hosp Pharm* 1973; **30**: 898–903.
- ⁸ Moreland T A, Rylance G W, Christopher L J, Stevenson I H. Patterns of drug prescribing for children in hospital. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1978; 14: 39–46.
- ⁹ Whyte J, Greenan E. Pattern and quality of recording pre-admission drug treatment in paediatric patients. Br Med J 1976; i: 61-3.
- ¹⁰ Eichelbaum M, Ekbom K, Bertilsson V A, Ringberger V A, Rane A. Plasma kinetics of carbamazepine and its epoxide metabolite in man after single and multiple doses. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1975; 8: 337–41.
- ¹¹ van der Kleijn E, Van Rossum J M, Muskens E T J M, Rijntjes N V M. Pharmacokinetics of diazepam in dogs, mice, and humans. Acta Pharmacol Toxicol (Kbh) 1971; 29: Supplement 3, 109-27.
- ¹² Rieder J, Wendt G. Pharmacokinetics and metabolism of the hypnotic nitrazepam. In: Garattini S, Mussini E, Randall L O, eds. *The benzodiazepines*. New York: Raven Press, 1973: 99-127.

- ¹³ Triedman H M, Fishman R A, Yahr M D. Determination of plasma and cerebrospinal fluid levels of Dilantin in the human. *Trans Amer Neurol Assoc* 1960; 85: 166-70.
- ¹⁴ Lund L, Berlin A, Lunde P K M. Plasma protein binding of diphenylhydantoin in patients with epilepsy. Agreement between the unbound fraction in plasma and the concentration in cerebrospinal fluid. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1972; 13: 196–200.
- ¹⁵ Meinardi H, van der Kleijn E, Meijer J W A, van Rees H. Absorption and distribution of antiepileptic drugs. *Epilepsia* 1975; 16: 353-65.
- ¹⁶ Odar-Cederlöf I, Lunde P, Sjöqvist F. Letter: Abnormal pharmacokinetics of phenytoin in a patient with uraemia. *Lancet* 1970; 2: 831-2.
- ¹⁷ Reidenberg M M, Odar-Cederlöf I, von Bahr C, Borgå O, Sjöqvist F. Protein binding of diphenylhydantoin and desmethylimipramine in plasma from patients with poor renal function. N Engl J Med 1971; 285: 264–7.
- ¹⁸ Shoeman D W, Azarnoff D L. The alteration of plasma proteins in uraemia as reflected in their ability to bind digitoxin and diphenylhydantoin. *Pharmacology* 1972; 7: 169-77.
- ¹⁹ Hooper W D, Bochner F, Eadie M J, Tyrer J H. Plasma protein binding of diphenylhydantoin. Effects of sex hormones, renal, and liver disease. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1974; 15: 276-82.
- ²⁰ Pippenger C E, Penry J K, White B G, Daly D D, Buddington R. Interlaboratory variability in determination of plasma antiepileptic drug concentrations. Arch Neurol 1976; 33: 351-5.
- ²¹ Richens A. Results of a phenytoin quality control scheme. In: Schneider H, Janz D, Gardner-Thorpe C, Meinardi H, Sherwin A I, eds. *Clinical pharmacology of anti-epileptic drugs*. Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1975: 293-302.
- ²² Pippenger C E, Penry J K, Daly D D, Paris-Kutt H. The North American antiepileptic drug quality control programme. In: Gardner-Thorpe C, Janz D, Meinardi H, Pippenger C E, eds. Antiepileptic drug monitoring. Tunbridge Wells: Pitman, 1977: 42-5.
- ²³ Garrettson L K, Kim O K. Apparent saturation of diphenylhydantoin metabolism in children (abstract). *Pediatr Res* 1970; 4: 455.
- ²⁴ Remmer H, Hirschmann J, Greiner I. Die Bedeutung von Kumulation und Elimination für die Dosierung von Phenytoin (Diphenylhydantoin). Dtsch Med Wochenschr 1969; 94: 1265-72.
- ²⁵ Arnold K, Gerber N. The rate of decline of diphenylhydantoin in human plasma. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1970; 11: 121-34.
- ²⁶ Gerber N, Lynn R, Oates J. Acute intoxication with 5,5-diphenylhydantoin (Dilantin) associated with impairment of biotransformation. Ann Intern Med 1972; 77: 765-71.
- ²⁷ Garrettson L K, Jusko W J. Diphenylhydantoin elimination kinetics in overdosed children. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1975; 17: 481-91.
- ²⁸ Borofsky L G, Louis S, Kutt H, Roginsky M. Diphenylhydantoin: efficacy, toxicity, and dose-serum level relationship in children. J Pediatr 1972; 81: 995-1002.
- ²⁹ Norell E, Lilienberg G, Gamstorp I. Systematic determination of the serum phenytoin level as an aid in the management of children with epilepsy. *Eur Neurol* 1975; 13: 232-44.
- ³⁰ Buchthal F, Svensmark O, Schiller P J. Clinical and electroencephalographic correlation with serum levels of diphenylhydantoin. Arch Neurol 1960; 2: 624–30.
- ³¹ Curless R G, Walson P D, Carter D E. Phenytoin kinetics in children. *Neurology* (*Minneap*) 1976; 26: 715-20.

- ³² Rylance G W, Moreland T A, Fowles R G. Steady-state phenytoin kinetics in children determined from saliva (abstract). In Proceedings of the Paediatric Research Society. Arch Dis Child 1979; 54: 801.
- ³³ Strandjord R E. Johannessen S I. One daily dose of diphenylhydantoin for patients with epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 1974; 15: 317-27.
- ³⁴ Buchanan R A, Turner J L, Moyer C E, Heffelfinger J C. Single daily dose of diphenylhydantoin in children. *J Pediatr* 1973; 83: 479–83.
- ³⁵ Richens A. Clinical pharmacology and medical treatment. In: Laidlaw J, Richens A, eds. A textbook of epilepsy. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 1976: 185-247.
- ³⁶ Buchthal F, Svensmark O, Simonsen H. Relation of EEG and seizures to phenobarbital in serum. Arch Neurol 1968; 19: 567-72.
- ³⁷ Buchthal F, Lennox-Buchthal M A. Phenobarbital. Relation of serum concentration to control of seizures. In: Woodbury D M, Penry J K, Schmidt R P, eds. *Antiepileptic drugs*. New York: Raven Press, 1972: 335-43.
- ³⁸ Van Meter J C, Buckmaster H S, Shelley L L. Concurrent assay of phenobarbital and diphenylhydantoin in plasma by vapour phase chromatography. *Clin Chem* 1970; 16: 135-8.
- ³⁹ Melchior J C. The clinical use of serum determinations of phenytoin and phenobarbital in children. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 1965; 7: 387–91.
- ⁴⁰ Svensmark O, Buchthal F. Diphenylhydantoin and phenobarbital serum levels in children. Am J Dis Child 1964; 108: 82-7.
- ⁴¹ Buchthal F, Svensmark O. Serum concentrations of diphenylhydantoin (phenytoin) and phenobarbital and their relation to therapeutic and toxic effects. *Psychiatr Neurol Neurochir* 1971; 74: 117-36.
- ⁴² Faerø O, Kastrup K W, Nielsen E L, Melchior J C, Thorn I. Successful prophylaxis of febrile convulsions with phenobarbital. *Epilepsia* 1972; 13: 279–85.
- ⁴³ Heckmatt J Z, Houston A B, Clow D J, et al. Failure of phenobarbitone to prevent febrile convulsions. Br Med J 1976; i: 559-61.
- ⁴⁴ Garrettson L K, Dayton P G. Disappearance of phenobarbital and diphenylhydantoin from serum of children. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1970; 11: 674–9.
- ⁴⁵ Heimann G, Gladtke E. Pharmacokinetics of phenobarbital in childhood. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1977; 12: 305-10.
- ⁴⁶ Gallagher B B, Smith D B, Mattson R H. The relationship of the anticonvulsant properties of primidone to phenobarbital. *Epilepsia* 1970; 11: 293–301.
- ⁴⁷ Morselli P L, Frigerio A. Metabolism and pharmacokinetics of carbamazepine. *Drug Metab Rev* 1975; 4: 97-113.
- ⁴⁸ Troupin A S, Green J R, Halpern L M. Carbamazepine (Tegretol) as an anticonvulsant—a controlled doubleblind comparison with diphenylhydantoin (Dilantin). *Acta Neurol Scand* 1975; Supplement 60, 13–26.
- ⁴⁹ Monaco F, Riccio A, Benna P, et al. Further observations on carbamazepine plasma levels in epileptic patients. *Neurology (Minneap)* 1976; 26: 936–43.
- ⁵⁰ Simonsen N, Olsen P Z, Kuhl V, Lund M, Wendelboe J. A comparative controlled study between carbamazepine and diphenylhydantoin in psychomotor epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 1976; 17: 169-76.
- ⁵¹ Rane A, Hojer B, Wilson J T. Kinetics of carbamazepine and its 10,11-epoxide metabolite in children. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1976; **19**: 276–83.
- ⁵² Lai A A, Levy R H, Cutler R E. Time-course of interaction between carbamazepine and clonazepam in normal man. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1978; 24: 316–23.

96 Rylance and Moreland

- ⁵³ Faigle J W, Feldmann K F, Baltzer V. Anticonvulsant effect of carbamazepine. An attempt to distinguish between the potency of the parent drug and its epoxide metabolite. In: Gardner-Thorpe C, Janz D, Meinardi H, Pippenger C E, eds. Antiepileptic drug monitoring. Tunbridge Wells: Pitman, 1977; 104-8.
- ⁵⁴ Johannessen S I, Baruzzi A, Gomeni R, Strandjord R E, Morselli P L. Further observations on carbamazepine and carbamazepine-10,11-epoxide kinetics in epileptic patients. In: Gardner-Thorpe C, Janz D, Meinardi H, Pippenger C E, eds. Antiepileptic drug monitoring. Tunbridge Wells: Pitman, 1977: 110-23.
- ⁵⁵ Haigh D, Forsythe W D. The treatment of childhood epilepsy with sodium valproate. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 1975; 17: 743-8.
- ⁵⁶ Jeavons P M, Clark J E, Maheshwani M C. Treatment of generalised epilepsies of childhood and adolescence with sodium valproate (Epilim). *Dev Med Child Neurol* 1977; 19: 9-25.
- ⁵⁷ Schobben F, van der Kleijn E, Gabreëls F J M. Pharmacokinetics of di-n-propylacetate in epileptic patients. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1975; 8: 97-105.
- ⁵⁸ Richens A, Scoular I T, Ahmad S, Jordan B J. Pharmacokinetics and efficacy of Epilim in patients receiving long-term therapy with other antiepileptic drugs. In: Legg N J, ed. Clinical and pharmacological aspects of sodium valproate (Epilim) in the treatment of epilepsy. Tunbridge Wells: MCS Consultants, 1976: 77-88.
- ⁵⁹ Richens A, Warrington S. When should plasma levels be measured? *Drugs* 1979; **17**: 488-500.
- ⁶⁰ Wulff K, Flachs H, Würtz-Jørgensen A, Gram L. Clinical pharmacological aspects of valproate sodium. *Epilepsia* 1977; 18: 149-57.
- ⁶¹ Loiseau P, Brachet A, Henry P. Concentration of dipropylacetate in plasma. *Epilepsia* 1975; 16: 609–15.
- ⁶² Gram L, Flachs H, Würtz-Jørgensen A, Parnas J, Andersen B. Sodium valproate, serum level, and clinical effect in epilepsy: a controlled study. *Epilepsia* 1979; 20: 303-12.
- ⁶³ Garattini S, Marcucci F, Morselli P L, Mussini E. The significance of measuring blood levels of benzodiazepines. In: Davies D S, Prichard B N C, eds. *Biological effects of drugs in relation to their plasma concentrations*. London: Macmillan, 1973: 211–25.
- ⁶⁴ Agurell S, Berlin A, Ferngren H, Hellström B. Plasma levels of diazepam after parenteral and rectal administration in children. *Epilepsia* 1975; 16: 277–83.
- ⁶⁵ Knudsen F U. Plasma-diazepam in infants after rectal administration in solution and by suppository. Acta Paediatr Scand 1977; 66: 563-7.
- ⁶⁶ Morselli P L, Cassano G B, Placidi G F, Muscettola G B, Rizzo M. Kinetics of ¹⁴C-diazepam and its metabolites in various areas of the cat brain. In: Garattini S, Mussini E, Randall L O, eds. *The benzodiazepines*. New York: Raven Press, 1973: 129–43.
- ⁶⁷ Sherwin A L, Robb J P. Ethosuximide: relation of plasma level to clinical control. In: Woodbury D M, Penry J K, Schmidt R P, eds. Antiepileptic drugs. New York: Raven Press, 1972: 443-8.
- ⁶⁸ Penry J K, Porter R J, Dreifuss F E. Ethosuximide: relation of plasma level to clinical control. In: Woodbury D M, Penry J K, Schmidt R P, eds. Antiepileptic drugs. New York: Raven Press, 1972: 431-41.
- ⁶⁹ Sherwin A L, Robb J P, Lechter M. Improved control of epilepsy by monitoring plasma ethosuximide. Arch Neurol 1973; 28: 178-81.
- ⁷⁰ Sheiner L B. The use of concentrations of digitalis for quantitative therapeutic decisions. *Cardiovasc Clin* 1974; 6: 141-51.

- ⁷¹ Koch-Weser J, Duhme D W, Greenblatt D J. Influence of serum digoxin concentration measurements on frequency of digitoxicity. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1974; 16: 284–7.
- ⁷² Smith T W. Digitalis toxicity: epidemiology and clinical use of serum concentration measurements. Am J Med 1975; 58: 470-6.
- ⁷³ Ingelfinger J A, Goldman P. The serum digitalis concentration—does it diagnose digitalis toxicity? N Engl J Med 1976; 294: 867–99.
- ⁷⁴ Butler V P. Assays of digitalis in the blood. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 1972; 14: 571-600.
- ⁷⁵ O'Malley K, Coleman E N, Doig W B, Stevenson I H. Plasma digoxin levels in infants. *Arch Dis Child* 1973; 48: 55-7.
- ⁷⁶ Hayes C J, Butler V P, Jr, Gersony W M. Serum digoxin studies in infants and children. *Pediatrics* 1973; 52: 561-8.
- ⁷⁷ Krasula R W, Hastreiter A R, Levitsky S, Soyka L F. Serum, atrial, and urinary digoxin levels during cardiopulmonary bypass in children. *Circulation* 1974; 49: 1047-52.
- ⁷⁸ Levy A M, Leaman D M, Hanson J S. Effect of digoxin on systolic time intervals of neonates and infants. *Circulation* 1972; 46: 816–23.
- ⁷⁹ Pinsky W W, Jacobsen J R, Gillette P C, Adams J, Monroe L, McNamara D G. Dosage of digoxin in premature infants. *J Pediatr* 1979; **94:** 639–42.
- ⁸⁰ Marini A, Sereni F, Bottino D. Letter: Digoxin dosage in newborn animals and infants. *Pediatrics* 1962; 30: 332-3.
- ⁸¹ Weinberger M, Bronsky E A. Evaluation of oral bronchodilator therapy in asthmatic children. J Pediatr 1974; 84: 421-7.
- ⁸² Weinberger M, Bronsky E A. Interaction of ephedrine and theophylline. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1975; 17: 585–92.
- ⁸³ Hambleton G, Weinberger M, Taylor J, et al. Comparison of cromoglycate (Cromolyn) and theophylline in controlling symptoms of chronic asthma. *Lancet* 1977; 1: 381-5.
- ⁸⁴ Weinberger M, Ginchansky E. Dose-dependent kinetics of theophylline disposition in asthmatic children. J Pediatr 1977; 91: 820–4.
- ⁸⁵ Ginchansky E, Weinberger M. Relationship of theophylline clearance to oral dosage in children with chronic asthma. J Pediatr 1977; 91:655-60.
- ⁸⁶ Zwillich C W, Sutton F D, Jr, Neff T A, et al. Theophylline-induced seizures in adults. Ann Intern Med 1975; 82: 784-7.
- ⁸⁷ Levy G, Koysooko R. Pharmacokinetic analysis of the effect of theophylline on pulmonary function in asthmatic children. J Pediatr 1975; 86: 789–93.
- ⁸⁸ Ellis R J, Koysooko R, Levy G. Pharmacokinetics of theophylline in children with asthma. *Pediatrics* 1976; 58: 542-7.
- ⁸⁹ Loughnan P M, Sitar D S, Ogilvie R I, Eisen A, Fox Z, Neims A H. Pharmacokinetic analysis of the disposition of intravenous theophylline in young children. *J Pediatr* 1976; **88**: 874–9.
- ⁹⁰ McKenzie S, Baillie E. Serum theophylline levels in asthmatic children after oral administration of two slow-release theophylline preparations. *Arch Dis Child* 1978; 53: 943-6.
- ⁹¹ Shannon D C, Gotay F, Stein I M, Rogers M C, Todres I D, Moylan F M B. Prevention of apnea and bradycardia in low-birthweight infants. *Pediatrics* 1975; 55: 589-94.
- ⁹² Jones R A K, Baillie E. Dosage schedule for intravenous aminophylline in apnoea of prematurity, based on pharmacokinetic studies. Arch Dis Child 1979; 54: 190-3.

- ⁹³ McKenzie S A, Edmunds A T, Baillie E, Meek J H. Clinical applications of serum theophylline measurement by high pressure liquid chromatography. Arch Dis Child 1978; 53 322-5.
- ⁹⁴ Brewer E J, Jr. Juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. Philadelphia: Saunders, 1970.
- ⁹⁵ Ansell B M. Relationship of dosage and type of salicylate therapy to plasma levels in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. In: Dixon A St J, Martin B K, Smith M J H, Wood P H N, eds. Salicylates. London: Churchill, 1963: 35-7.
- ⁹⁶ Mäkäle A-L, Yrjänä T, Mattila M. Dosage of salicylates for children with juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. Acta Paediatr Scand 1979; 68: 423-30.
- ⁹⁷ Calabro J Y. Management of juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. J Pediatr 1970; 77: 355-65.
- ⁹⁸ Levy G. The study of salicylate pharmacokinetics in intoxicated infants and children. *Clin Toxicol* 1968; 1: 409-24.
- ⁹⁹ Eagle H, Fleischman R, Levy M. Continuous vs discontinuous therapy with penicillin. The effect of the interval between injections on therapeutic efficacy. *N Engl J Med* 1953; 248: 481-8.
- ¹⁰⁰ Darrell J H, Waterworth P M. Dosage of gentamicin for Pseudomonas infections. Br Med J 1967; ii: 535-7.
- ¹⁰¹ Jackson G G, Riff L S. *Pseudomonas* bacteremia: pharmacologic and other bases for failure of treatment with gentamicin. J Infect Dis 1971; 124: S185-91.
- ¹⁰² Noone P, Parson T M C, Pattison J R, Slack R C B, Garfield-Davis D, Hughes K. Experience in monitoring gentamicin therapy during treatment of serious Gramnegative sepsis. Br Med J 1974; i: 477-81.
- ¹⁰³ Jackson G G, Arcieri G. Ototoxicity of gentamicin in man: a survey and controlled analysis of clinical experience in the United States. J Infect Dis 1971; 124: Supplement, S130-7.
- ¹⁰⁴ Dahlgren J G, Anderson E T, Hewitt W L. Gentamicin blood levels: a guide to nephrotoxicity. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1975; 8: 58-62.
- ¹⁰⁵ Goodman E L, Van Gelder J, Holmes R K, Hull A R, Sanford J P. Gentamicin serum levels, dose response, and nephrotoxicity (abstract 21). In the Proceedings of the Fourteenth Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, San Francisco, September 1974.
- ¹⁰⁶ Echeverria P, Siber G R, Paisley J W, Smith A L, Smith D H, Jaffe N. Age-dependent dose response to gentamicin. J Pediatr 1975; 87: 805-8.
- ¹⁰⁷ Siber G R, Echeverria P, Smith A L, Paisley J W, Smith D H. Pharmacokinetics of gentamicin in children and adults. J Infect Dis 1975; 132: 637-51.
- ¹⁰⁸ Taylor M, Keane C. Gentamicin dosage in children. Arch Dis Child 1976; **51:** 369-72.
- ¹⁰⁹ Sawchuck R J, Zaske D E, Cipolle R J, Wargin W A, Strate R G. Kinetic model for gentamicin dosing with the use of individual patient parameters. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1977; **21**: 362-9.
- ¹¹⁰ Schentag J J, Jusko W J, Plaut M E, Cumbo T J, Vance J W, Abrutyn E. Tissue persistence of gentamicin in man. JAMA 1977; 238: 327-9.
- ¹¹¹ Evans W E, Feldman S, Barker L F, Ossi M, Chaudhary S. Use of gentamicin serum levels to individualize therapy in children. J Pediatr 1978; 93: 133-7.
- ¹¹² McAllister T A, Tait S C. Laboratory findings on tobramycin and their relation to clinical response. *J Infect Dis* 1976; **134**: S20-7.
- ¹¹³ McAllister T A. Clinical bacteriology of tobramycin. Scott Med J 1976; **21:** 64–6.
- ¹¹⁴ Speirs C F. Tobramycin. Side-effects—international results. *Scott Med J* 1976; **21**: 78.

- ¹¹⁵ Bendush C L, Weber R. Tobramycin sulfate: a summary of worldwide experience from clinical trials. J Infect Dis 1976; **134**: S129-34.
- ¹¹⁶ Raine PA M, Young D G. Tobramycin in paediatric use. Scott Med J 1976; **21:** 66–7.
- ¹¹⁷ Black S B, Levine P, Shinefield H R. The necessity for monitoring chloramphenicol levels when treating neonatal meningitis. *J Pediatr* 1978; 92: 235–6.
- ¹¹⁸ Windorfer A, Jr, Pringsheim W. Studies on the concentrations of chloramphenicol in the serum and cerebrospinal fluid of neonates, infants, and small children. *Eur J Pediatr* 1977; **124**: 129–38.
- ¹¹⁹ Bloxham R A, Durbin G M, Johnson T, Winterborn M H. Chloramphenicol and phenobarbitone—a drug interaction. Arch Dis Child 1979; 54: 76-7.
- ¹²⁰ Overturf G D, Wehrle P F. Bacterial meningitis: which regimen? Drugs 1979; 18: 65-73.
- ¹²¹ Mucklow J C, Bending M R, Kahn G C, Dollery C T. Drug concentration in saliva. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1978; 24: 563-70.
- ¹²² Matin S B, Wan S H, Karim J H. Pharmacokinetics of tolbutamide: prediction by concentration in saliva. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1974; 16: 1052–8.
- ¹²³ Dvorchik B H, Vesell E S. Pharmacokinetic interpretation of data gathered during therapeutic drug monitoring. *Clin Chem* 1976; 22: 868–78.
- ¹²⁴ Bacon C G, Mucklow J C, Saunders A, Rawlins M D, Webb J K B. Letter: A method for obtaining saliva samples from infants and children. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1978; 5: 89-90.
- ¹²⁵ Rylance G W, Butcher G M, Moreland T A. Letter: Saliva carbamazepine levels in children. Br Med J 1977; ii: 1481.
- ¹²⁶ Horning M G, Brown L, Mowlin J, Lertratanangkoon K, Kellaway P, Zion T E. Use of saliva in therapeutic drug monitoring. *Clin Chem* 1977; 23: 157–64.
- ¹²⁷ Schmidt D, Kupferberg H J. Diphenylhydantoin, phenobarbital, and primidone in saliva, plasma, and cerebrospinal fluid. *Epilepsia* 1975; 16: 735-41.
- ¹²⁸ Bochner F, Hooper W D, Sutherland J M, Eadie M J, Tyrer J H. Diphenylhydantoin concentrations in saliva. Arch Neurol 1974; 31: 57–9.
- ¹²⁹ Cook C E, Amerson E, Poole W K, Lesser P, O'Tuama L. Phenytoin and phenobarbital concentrations in saliva and plasma measured by radioimmunoassay. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1976; 18: 742–7.
- ¹³⁰ Paxton J W, Whiting B, Stephen K W. Phenytoin concentrations in mixed, parotid, and submandibular saliva and serum measured by radioimmunoassay. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 1977; 4: 185–91.
- ¹³¹ Westenberg H G M, de Zeeuw R A, van der Kleijn E, Oei T T. Relationship between carbamazepine concentrations in plasma and saliva as determined by liquid chromatography. *Clin Chim Acta* 1977; **79**:155-61.
- ¹³² McAuliffe J J, Sherwin A L, Leppik I E, Fayle S A, Pippenger C E. Salivary levels of anticonvulsants: a practical approach to drug monitoring. *Neurology* 1977; 27: 409-13.
- ¹³³ Huffman D H. Relationship between digoxin concentrations in serum and saliva. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1975; 17: 310-2.
- ¹³⁴ Joubert P H, Muller F O, Aucamp B N. Salivary digoxin concentrations. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1976; 3: 673–4.
- ¹³⁵ Jusko W J, Gerbracht L, Golden L H, Koup J R. Digoxin concentrations in serum and saliva. *Res Commun Chem Path Pharmacol* 1975; 10: 189–92.
- ¹³⁶ Joubert P H, Aucamp B N, Muller F O. Digoxin concentrations in serum and saliva: relationship to ECG changes and dosage in healthy volunteers. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1976; 3: 1053-6.

- 98 Rylance and Moreland
- ¹³⁷ Danhof M, Breimer D D. Therapeutic drug monitoring in saliva. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 1978; **3:** 39–57.
- ¹³⁸ De Blaey C J, De Boer A G. Kwaliteitsbeheersing van aminofylline zetpillen. *Pharmaceut Weekblad* 1976; 111: 1216-21.
- ¹³⁹ Knop H J, Kalafusz R, Knols A J F, van der Kleijn E. Preliminary report on the saliva/plasma ratio of theophylline after administration of theophylline derivatives in suppositories. *Pharmaceut Weekblad* 1975; **110**: 1297-9.
- ¹⁴⁰ Giles H G, Zilm D H, Frecker R C, MacLeod S M, Sellers E M. Letter: Saliva and plasma concentrations of diazepam after single oral dose. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1977; 4: 711-2.
- ¹⁴¹ Schmidt D. Letter: Salivary concentrations of antiepileptic drugs. *Lancet* 1976; 2: 639.
- ¹⁴² Elion G B, Kovensky A, Hitchings G H, Metz E, Rundles R W. Metabolic studies of allopurinol, an inhibitor of xanthine oxidase. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1966; 15: 863-80.
- ¹⁴³ Bennett I F. The constellation of depression: its treatment with nortriptyline. II. Clinical evaluation of nortriptyline. J Nerv Ment Dis 1962; 135: 59-68.
- ¹⁴⁴ Marshall E K, Jr, Owens A H, Jr. Absorption, excretion, and metabolic fate of chloral hydrate and trichloroethanol. Johns Hopkins Med J 1954; 95: 1-18.

- ¹⁴⁵ Randall L O, Scheckel C C, Banziger R F. Pharmacology of the metabolites of chlordiazepoxide and diazepam *Curr Ther Res* 1965; 7: 590-606.
- ¹⁴⁶ Di Mascio A, Heninger G, Klerman G L. Psychopharmacology of imipramine and desimipramine: a comparative study of their effects in normal males. *Psychopharmacologia* 1964; 5: 361-71.
- ¹⁴⁷ Hauptmann A. Luminal bei Epilepsie. Munch Med Wochenschr 1912; **59:** 1907–9.
- ¹⁴⁸ Jenkins J S, Sampson P A. The conversion of cortisone to cortisol and prednisone to prednisolone in man. *Proc R Soc Med* 1966; **59**: 603-4.
- ¹⁴⁹ Butler T C, Waddell W J. Metabolic conversion of primidone (Mysoline) to phenobarbital. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 1956; 93: 544-6.
- ¹⁵⁰ Fitzgerald J D, O'Donnell S R. Pharmacology of 4-hydroxypropranolol, a metabolite of propranolol. Br J Pharmacol 1971; 43: 222-35.
- ¹⁵¹ Levy G, Ellis E F, Koysooko R. Indirect plasmatheophylline monitoring in asthmatic children by determination of theophylline concentration in saliva. *Pediatrics* 1974; 53: 873-6.

Correspondence to Dr George W Rylance, Department of Child Health, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee DD1 9SY, Angus.