## Legionella pneumophila glucosyltransferase inhibits host elongation factor 1A

Yury Belyi<sup>†</sup>, Ricarda Niggeweg<sup>‡</sup>, Bastian Opitz<sup>§</sup>, Martin Vogelsgesang<sup>¶</sup>, Stefan Hippenstiel<sup>§</sup>, Matthias Wilm<sup>‡</sup>, and Klaus Aktories<sup>¶||</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Gamaleya Research Institute, Ulitsa Gamalei 18, Moscow 123098, Russia; <sup>‡</sup>European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Meyerhofstrasse 1, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany; <sup>§</sup>Department of Internal Medicine/Infectious and Pulmonary Diseases, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Augustenburger Platz 1, 13353 Berlin, Germany; and <sup>¶</sup>Institute of Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Albertstrasse 25, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany

Edited by E. Peter Greenberg, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, and approved September 19, 2006 (received for review February 24, 2006)

Legionella pneumophila, the causal agent of Legionnaires' disease, is an intracellular parasite and invades and proliferates within different eukaryotic cells, including human alveolar macrophages. After several 100-fold multiplication within host cells, the pathogens are released for new invasion by induction of apoptosis or necrosis. Here we report that L. pneumophila produces a glucosyltransferase, which selectively modifies an  $\approx$ 50-kDa mammalian protein by using UDP-glucose as a cosubstrate. MS analysis identified the protein substrate as the mammalian elongation factor (EF)1A. Legionella glucosyltransferase modifies its eukaryotic protein substrate at serine-53, which is located in the GTPase domain of the EF. Glucosylation of EF1A results in inhibition of eukaryotic protein synthesis and death of target cells. Our findings show a mode of inhibition of protein synthesis by microbial pathogens and offer a perspective for understanding of the host-pathogen interaction of L. pneumophila.

host-pathogen interaction | coralent modification | protein synthesis inhibition

egionella pneumophila is the causative pathogen of legionellosis, also known as Legionnaires' disease, which is a human multisystem illness with severe pneumonia (1). Each year, 8,000-18,000 people are hospitalized with legionellosis in the United States with fatality rates of 5-30% (2). The pathogen is found in a wide variety of aquatic environments. L. pneumophila is a Gram-negative microorganism that invades and proliferates within different eukaryotic cells, including mammalian phagocytes (1). Natural hosts of the facultative intracellular parasites are free-living protozoa. Legionellae are able to induce alterations in the normal course of phagocytosis, including inhibition of acidification of phagosomes, prevention of phagosomelysosome fusion, and suppression of oxidative burst (3–5). Moreover, legionellae alter phagocytic membrane biogenesis and trigger formation of "replicative vacuoles." These organellae are associated with ribosome-studded membranes and support multiplication of the bacteria (6, 7). Eventually, host cells die because of induction of apoptosis or necrosis (8-10), and the pathogens are released to invade new pools of phagocytes of the host (10, 11). During recent years several genetic loci and bacterial factors have been identified that are suggested to be implicated in Legionella-host cell interactions (12). Among these are the type II and Dot/Icm type IV protein secretion systems (13–16). However, the precise functions of these factors and their host target proteins are largely still not well understood.

Recently, a 60-kDa glucosyltransferase from *L. pneumophila* was identified that modifies an  $\approx$ 50-kDa protein in eukaryotic cells (17). The corresponding gene in *L. pneumophila* chromosome (accession no. NC\_002942) has the identification number *lpg1368* and is located in close proximity to coding sequences of the type II secretion system components. Here we studied the action of *Legionella* glucosyltransferase (termed Lgt1) on eukaryotic target cells. We report that Lgt1 glucosylates elongation

factor (EF)1 $\alpha$  (EF1A) at serine-53, a modification that blocks protein synthesis and causes death of target cells.

## Results

DXD Motif and Cosubstrate Specificity of Lgt1. Comparison of the amino acid sequence of Lgt1 with those of large clostridial cytotoxins (e.g., Clostridium difficile toxins A and B), which are Rho GTPase-glucosylating bacterial protein toxins (18, 19), showed significant similarities in a region around the DXD motif (Table 1). The DXD motif is conserved in many prokaryotic and eukaryotic glucosyltransferases. It is involved in manganese coordination and cosubstrate binding and essential for enzyme activity of the toxins (20, 21). Change of the  $D_{246}ID$  motif in Lgt1 to N<sub>246</sub>ID (Fig. 1a, lane 8) or N<sub>246</sub>IN<sub>248</sub> (Fig. 1a, lane 9) inhibited glucosyltransferase activity. In contrast, substitution in the  $D_{198}ID$  sequence of Lgt1 (data not shown in Table 1), which possesses no similarity with clostridial toxins, failed to affect substrate labeling (Fig. 1a, lane 7). To identify the cosubstrate specificity of Lgt1, different unlabeled activated sugars were added in 5-fold excess to the UDP-[<sup>14</sup>C]glucose-containing reaction mixtures. Only UDP-glucose significantly reduced modification of eukaryotic substrate, indicating that UDP-glucose was the specific cosubstrate of Lgt1 (Fig. 1b).

Identification of the Eukaryotic Protein Substrate of Lgt1. To analyze the eukaryotic protein substrate of the *Legionella* transferase, we glucosylated Caco-2 cell lysate with Lgt1 in the presence of UDP-glucose. Thereafter, proteins was separated by SDS/PAGE, cut from the gel, and digested by trypsin. The tryptic peptides were analyzed by capillary HPLC and tandem MS for peptide sequencing. In comparison to mock-treated lysate an additional peptide with the increase in molecular mass of exactly 162 Da could be found, suggesting glucosylation by the *Legionella* enzyme. Sequencing revealed that the peptide was glycine-52 through lysine-62 from eukaryotic EF1A (eEF1A) with mono-O-glucosylation at position serine-53 (Fig. 2 *a* and *b*).

To confirm MS data, eEF1A1 was expressed in *Escherichia* coli and purified as a GST fusion protein (Fig. 2c, lanes 1–3). When purified GST-eEF1A1 was used in glucosylation assay, labeling of an  $\approx$ 80-kDa protein corresponding to the molecular mass of the fusion protein was observed (Fig. 2c, lane 5). The results were essentially the same when eEF1A2, which is

Author contributions: Y.B. and K.A. designed research; Y.B., R.N., B.O., M.V., and S.H. performed research; Y.B., R.N., M.W., and K.A. analyzed data; and Y.B., M.W., and K.A. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS direct submission.

Abbreviations: EF, elongation factor; eEF1A, eukaryotic EF1A; EBL, embryonic bovine lung. To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: klaus.aktories@pharmakol. uni-freiburg.de.

<sup>© 2006</sup> by The National Academy of Sciences of the USA

Table 1.	. Partial	amino	acid a	alignment	of Lo	gt1 and	l ty	pical	members	of	large	clostridial	toxins	famil	v

Protein identification	GenBank accession no.	Partial amino acid sequence
L. pneumophila Lgt1	NC_002942 (the ORF <i>lpg1368</i> )	G <sub>223</sub> NP <b>AAASD</b> LCRWIPELFNEGFYV <u>DID</u> -LPVDSSKIV <sub>257</sub>
Clostridium sordellii lethal toxin	X82638	W <sub>275</sub> NLAAASDILRISMLKEDGGVYL <u>DVD</u> ILPGIQPDLF <sub>310</sub>
Clostridium novyi $\alpha$ toxin	Z48636	N <sub>264</sub> NL <b>AAASD</b> ILRIAILKKYGGVYC <u>DLD</u> FLPGVNLSLF <sub>299</sub>
C. difficile toxin A	M30307	G <sub>262</sub> NL <b>AAASD</b> IVRLLALKNFGGVYL <u>DVD</u> MLPGIHSDLF <sub>297</sub>
C. difficile toxin B	X53138	W <sub>263</sub> NL <b>AAASD</b> ILRISALKEIG <b>G</b> MYL <u>DVD</u> MLPGIQPDLF <sub>298</sub>

Amino acids that are identical in all five sequences appear in bold, and DXD motifs are underlined.

highly similar to eEF1A1, was used as a protein substrate (data not shown). To verify further the identification of eEF1A as a target of the *Legionella* enzyme, we changed the acceptor amino acid serine-53 of eEF1A1 to threonine and alanine. Whereas glucosylation of  $S_{53}$ T-eEF1A was reduced,  $S_{53}$ A-eEF1A was not at all modified by Lgt1 (Fig. 2c, lanes 6 and 7). Altogether, these data confirmed the MS data and showed that eEF1A is modified by Lgt1 at serine-53.

**Cytotoxic Activity of Lgt1 Enzyme.** EF1A plays a pivotal role in protein synthesis (22). In addition, eEF1A appears to be involved in several other cellular processes (23, 24), including regulation of the actin cytoskeleton and cell morphology (25). Therefore, we studied the potential cytopathic activity of Lgt1 in various eukaryotic cells. When Lgt1 was directly added to medium with cultivated HeLa epithelial cells, Caco-2 enterocytes or embry-onic bovine lung (EBL) cells, we did not detect any effects (data not shown), most likely because of failure of the protein up-take. However, when recombinant *L. pneumophila* protein was introduced intracellularly by electroporation, the enzyme caused major morphological alterations. Approximately 15–18 h after electroporation, Lgt1 induced rounding up of cells and started destruction of the cell monolayer. At 40–48 h after electropor



Fig. 1. Glucosylation by Lgt1. (a) Glucosylation of target proteins in Caco-2 enterocyte lysate by Lgt1. Lanes 1-4 show SDS/PAGE of purified recombinant glucosyltransferases (2-4 µg per track, Coomassie staining). Lanes: 1, wildtype Lgt1; 2, D<sub>198</sub>N Lgt1; 3, D<sub>246</sub>N Lgt1; 4, D<sub>246</sub>N/D<sub>248</sub>N Lgt1. Lanes 5–10 show autoradiography of glucosylation caused by the glucosyltransferases. Caco-2 lysate was incubated with recombinant wild-type and mutant Lgt1 in the presence of UDP-[14C]glucose for 1 h. Lanes: 5, wild-type Lgt1 without Caco-2 cell extract (negative control); 6, wild-type Lgt1 plus Caco-2 cell extract; 7, D<sub>198</sub>N Lgt1 plus Caco-2 cell extract; 8, D<sub>246</sub>N Lgt1 plus Caco-2 cell extract; 9, D246N/D248N Lgt1 plus Caco-2 cell extract; 10, Caco-2 cell extract without Lgt1 (negative control). Molecular mass markers are indicated on the left. (b) Cosubstrate specificity of Lgt1. Glucosylation of Caco-2 cell lysates was performed with Lgt1 in the presence of 10  $\mu$ M UDP-[<sup>14</sup>C]glucose and without (lane 1) or with (lanes 2–8) 50  $\mu$ M each of unlabeled UDP-glucose (lane 2), UDP-galactose (lane 3), UDP-N-acetyl-galactosamine (lane 4), UDP-N-acetylglucosamine (lane 5), UDP-glucuronic acid (lane 6), GDP-mannose (lane 7), and glucose (lane 8).

ration with Lgt1 (3.3  $\mu$ g/ml), almost total destruction of the cell monolayer occurred (Fig. 3*a*). In contrast, introduction of the double D<sub>246</sub>N/D<sub>248</sub>N mutant by electroporation at high concentrations (33  $\mu$ g/ml) (Fig. 3, control) or electroporation without Lgt1 (data not shown) did not produce any cytotoxic effects.

To confirm that morphological changes in target cells were conveyed by glucosylation of eEF1A, we performed "backglucosylation." We reasoned that any EF1A molecules that had already been glucosylated in live cells would not be available as a substrate in the subsequent *in vitro* reaction; therefore, enzyme activity *in vivo* could be deduced by the failure to incorporate <sup>14</sup>C]glucose *in vitro*. To this end, EBL cells were electroporated in the presence of Lgt1 (3.3  $\mu$ g/ml) and lysed by sonication after the time intervals indicated in Fig. 3b and subsequently used in the [<sup>14</sup>C]glucosylation assay. As shown in Fig. 3b, samples collected 30 min after electroporation exhibited a slight reduction of [<sup>14</sup>C]glucose labeling (Fig. 3b, lane 1). Weak labeling still could be observed after 1.5 h (Fig. 3b, lane 3), and almost no glucosylation was detected with cells after 4.5 h of incubation (Fig. 3b, lane 5), suggesting a causative relationship of glucosylation of eEF1A and morphological changes of EBL cells induced by Lgt1.

In another set of experiments, we studied whether the modification of host EF1A takes place during intracellular proliferation of *L. pneumophila*. For this purpose, human alveolar basal epithelial (A549) cells were infected with virulent bacteria. Cells were harvested after different time periods (1–24 h), and cell extracts were prepared. These extracts were tested in the backglucosylation assay with UDP-[<sup>14</sup>C]glucose as a cosubstrate similar to the assay described above for intoxication studies. As shown on Fig. 3*c*, coculturing of bacterial and A549 host cells for >8 h resulted in inhibition of subsequent *in vitro* [<sup>14</sup>C]glucosylation. Similar results were obtained with EBL cells (data not shown). Taken together, these data suggested that Lgt1 is produced during the infection cycle, gains access to the cytosol, and modifies eEF1A.

**Inhibition of Eukaryotic Protein Synthesis by Lgt1.** One of the main functions of eEF1A is participation in protein synthesis. Therefore, we studied the consequences of glucosylation of eEF1A on protein synthesis by *in vitro* transcription/translation and *in vivo* methionine incorporation assays.

*In vitro* transcription and translation of the luciferase gene with reticulocyte lysate in the presence of [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine resulted in production of the corresponding protein. This could be demonstrated either by luminescence assay (Fig. 4*a*) or by autoradiography (Fig. 4*b*). Increasing amounts of wild-type Lgt1 but not of the DXD mutant enzyme inhibited synthesis of luciferase (Fig. 4). Essentially the same inhibition of protein synthesis was observed by autoradiography with an actin-coding plasmid or with luciferase-coding mRNA (data not shown). These data suggested that Lgt1 did affect protein synthesis *in vitro*. Next, [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine incorporation into newly synthesized proteins was studied in intact cells. To this end, EBL cells were electroporated in the presence of increasing concentrations of wild-type or mutant Lgt1. After 2 h of intoxication,



Analyses of target proteins of Lgt1 by MS and mutagenesis studies. Fig. 2. (a and b) MS analysis of proteins in Caco-2 cell lysate glucosylated by Lgt1. (a) The fragment spectrum of the nonglucosylated peptide GSF(K-dimethyl)YA-WVLDK of eEF1A1. (b) The fragment spectrum of the glucosylated peptides that were only found in samples exposed to Lgt1. The peaks labeled bn (b-ions) indicate N-terminal fragment ions, and the peaks labeled yn C-terminal fragment ions. The total mass of the glucosylated peptides is precisely 162 Da higher, consistent with a covalent modification by a hexose. The fragment spectrum in b demonstrates that all fragment ions that contain the N-terminal serine (the b-ions) are shifted by 162 Da in comparison to the nonglucosylated peptide fragment spectrum in a. Each of these b-ions is accompanied by a satellite fragment marked with an asterisk, representing a loss 162 Da corresponding to a hexose group. Such an additional fragment often is seen for covalent modifications by a single hexose group. The vertical arrows indicate that ion intensity was cut for adaptation to figure size; X2 and X4 indicate multiplication of ion intensity for better presentation of data and (M+2H)<sup>2+</sup> indicates that the doubly charged peptide had been fragmented. (c) Glucosylation of recombinant GST-tagged wild-type and mutant eEF1A1 by Lgt1. Lanes 1–3 show SDS/PAGE of purified recombinant EF1A (Coomassie staining). Lanes: 1, wild-type eEF1A1-GST; 2, eEF1A1-GST with S53T mutation; 3, eEF1A1-GST with S<sub>53</sub>A mutation. Protein load is  $3-4 \mu q$  per track. Lanes 4-8 show autoradiographic analysis of substrate activity of purified recombinant EF1A. Wild-type and mutant eEF1A1-GST fusion proteins were incubated with Lgt1 for 1 h in the presence of UDP-[<sup>14</sup>C]glucose. Lanes: 4, Lgt1 without eEF1A1-GST (negative control); 5, Lgt1 plus wild-type eEF1A1-GST; 6, Lgt1 plus eEF1A1-GST with S53T mutation; 7, Lgt1 plus eEF1A1-GST with S53A mutation; 8, wild-type eEF1A1-GST without Lgt1 (negative control). The position of GST-tagged EF1A is marked on the right. Please note the weak autoglucosylation activity of Lgt1 in lanes 4-7.

[<sup>35</sup>S]methionine was added to the medium. After further incubation for 3 h, incorporation of radioactivity was studied. As shown in Fig. 5, intoxication of EBL cells with wild-type Lgt1 but not with the mutant enzyme reduced incorporation of radioactivity in trichloroacetic acid-precipitated proteins. As determined by trypan blue assay, >95% of cells were viable after this time of incubation (data not shown). A similar inhibition of incorporation of radioactivity was observed when EBL cells were treated with Lgt1 for 1 h before they were pulsed with [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine for only 1 h (Fig. 7, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site).

## Discussion

Here we show that eEF1A is mono-*O*-glucosylated by Lgt1 at serine-53, a modification that blocks protein synthesis. eEF1A is





b

Fig. 3. Effects of Lgt1 on host cells. (a) Morphological changes in EBL cells induced by Lgt1. EBL cells were electroporated in the presence of Lgt1 at concentrations of 33, 3.3, and 0.33  $\mu$ g/ml, with double D<sub>246</sub>N/D<sub>248</sub>N Lgt1 mutant at 33  $\mu$ g/ml (control). Thereafter the cells were replated. After 48 h of incubation, microscopic pictures of cells were taken. (b) Backglucosylation of the protein substrate of Lgt1 in lysate of EBL cells previously electroporated with Lgt1. Shown are samples from cell lysates electroporated with Lgt1 (3.3  $\mu$ g/ml; lanes 1, 3, and 5), double D<sub>246</sub>N/D<sub>248</sub>N Lgt1 mutant (3.3  $\mu$ g/ml; lanes 2, 4, and 6), or without Legionella proteins (lane 7). EBL cells were collected 30 min (lanes 1 and 2), 1.5 h (lane 3 and 4), and 4.5 h (lane 5, 6, and 7) after electroporation and used in the glucosylation assay with Lgt1 and UDP-[14C]glucose. Labeled proteins were analyzed by SDS/PAGE and phosphorimaging (shown). (c) Back-glucosylation of the protein substrate of Lgt1 in lysate of A549 cells, previously infected with L. pneumophila. A549 cells were infected with L. pneumophila at a multiplicity of infection of 10. The cells were harvested 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, and 24 h  $\,$ after start of the infection and lysed as described in Materials and Methods. Cell lysate was glucosylated with Lgt1 (4  $\mu$ g) in the presence of UDP-[14C]glucose. Labeled proteins were analyzed by SDS/PAGE (see Fig. 6, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site) and phosphorimaging for [14C]glucosylation (shown). Lanes: 1, noninfected cells; 2-7, infected cells 1, 2, 3, 4, 8 and 24 h after start of the infection, respectively. Shown is a representative experiment that was repeated several times with similar results.

an  $\approx$ 50-kDa GTP-binding protein possessing GTPase activity, which is necessary for recruitment of aminoacyl-tRNA to the A-site of ribosomes. The GTPase activity of eEF1A is stimulated by interaction of the mRNA codon with the anticodon of the aminoacyl-tRNA at the decoding center of the 30S subunit of the ribosome and allows dissociation of eEF1A from the tRNA (26, 27). No direct structure of mammalian eEF1A is available; however, recently, the very similar EF1A from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* was crystallized in a complex with the EF1B $\alpha$  (28). eEF1A consists of three domains: domain 1 (or G-domain) harbors the nucleotide binding site and has a typical Ras



Inhibition of transcription/translation by Lgt1. (a) Luminescence Fia. 4. assay. A transcription/translation reaction was performed in the absence (columns 1 and 2) or presence of recombinant wild-type (column 3) or D<sub>246</sub>Nmutated (column 4) Lgt1 (each at 2.4  $\mu$ g/ml). In these experiments, matrix DNA was luciferase gene-containing plasmid (columns 1, 3, and 4). Column 2 is a negative control without matrix DNA. All measures were done in triplicate. Error bars indicate standard deviations. Differences in the values of columns 1 and 2 with those of columns 3 and 4 are statistically significant (P < 0.01). White columns show control results, the gray column shows wild-type Lqt1, and the black column shows mutated Lgt1. The y axis represents logarithmic scale. (b) Autoradiographic assay. A transcription/translation reaction has been performed in the absence (lanes 1 and 2) or presence (lanes 3-8) of recombinant wild-type (lanes 3-5) or D<sub>246</sub>N-mutated (lanes 6-8) Lgt1. Concentrations of L. pneumophila proteins were 2.4  $\mu$ g/ml in lanes 3 and 6; 240 ng/ml in lanes 4 and 7; and 24 ng/ml in lanes 5 and 8. Matrix DNA present in lanes 1 and 3–8 was luciferase gene-containing plasmid coding for an  $\approx$ 60kDa protein. Lane 2 is a negative control without matrix DNA.

GTPase-like structure (29); domains II and III have  $\beta$ -barrel structure and are directly involved in aminoacyl-tRNA binding (28).



**Fig. 5.** Inhibition of [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine incorporation by Lgt1. EBL cells were electroporated with wild-type (columns 2, 4, 6, and 8) and double  $D_{246}N/D_{248}N$  Lgt1 mutant (columns 3, 5, 7, and 9) in methionine-free MEM. Two hours after intoxication, cells were pulsed with 0.5  $\mu$ Ci [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine for a further 3 h, lysed, and assayed for incorporation of radioactivity into proteins. Columns: 1, control cells electroporated without *Legionella* proteins; 2–9, cells were electroporated with *Legionella* proteins at 33 ng/ml (columns 2 and 3), 0.33  $\mu$ g/ml (columns 4 and 5), 3.3  $\mu$ g/ml (columns 6 and 7), or 33  $\mu$ g/ml (columns 8 and 9). All measures have been done in triplicate. Error bars indicate standard deviations. Differences between the values in columns 6 and 7 with those in columns 8 and 9 are statistically significant (*P* < 0.01). The white column represents the control experiment, the gray columns represent wild-type Lgt1, and black columns represent mutant Lgt1.

Serine-53 of eEF1A, which is modified by Lgt1, is located in the G-domain near the switch-1 region of the GTPase. Switch regions show major conformational changes dependent on GDP or GTP-binding (30). In the functionally equivalent prokaryotic EF-Tu, the switch-1 region (in EF-Tu amino acids 53–59) undergoes a dramatic change from a  $\beta$ -structure in the GDP form to a  $\alpha$ -structure in the GTP-bound form (31). However, the switch-1 region is not well defined in eEF1A, because two additional helices (A\* and A') are inserted in this region of the EFs. So far no functional changes in the switch-1 region have been reported for eEF1A. In addition, serine-53 of eEF1A has to be compared with alanine-42 in E. coli EF-Tu and no major structure change occurs with this residue upon nucleotide exchange in EF-Tu (31). However, our findings show that the attachment of a glucose moiety at serine-53 inhibits the function of eEF1A and blocks protein synthesis. At least two explanations are possible. First, glucosylation of serine-53 prevents a conformational change in the switch-1 region, which is essential for the function of the EF. Second, attachment of glucose onto serine-53, although not directly influencing the structure of the switch-1 region, prevents protein-protein interaction by sterical hindrance. The name 'effector region," which is used for the switch-1 region of many GTPases, illustrates that this part of the protein often is important for GTPase-effector interaction. The large clostridial cytotoxins like C. difficile toxin A and B, which glucosylate threonine-37 in the switch-1 region of the GTPase RhoA, block the conformational change required for activation and prevent interaction of the GTPase with effectors by sterical hindrance (32, 33).

Thus, although we cannot precisely explain how glucosylation of eEF1A inhibits protein synthesis, our findings indicate that the region around serine-53 is important for the function of eEF1A in protein synthesis. Notably, eEF1A is posttranslationally modified by various mechanisms, including phosphorylation (34), methylation of lysine residues, attachment of glycerylphosphorylethanolamine (35), and carboxymethylation (36). Of special interest is the fact that methylation occurs at lysine-55 (35), which is in the vicinity of the glucosylation site. So far, the functional consequences of lysine methylation are not clear.

Invasion of phagocytic cells by *Legionella* and its intracellular replication depend on the ability of bacteria to control numerous functions of the host. Recent studies indicate that manipulation of vesicular traffic of eukaryotic cells is an important property of many invasive microorganisms (37). Thus, phagosome remodeling and defects in endocytic fusion events observed during intracellular proliferation of *L. pneumophila* have been shown to be altered by the so called Dot/Icm type IV secretion system (13–15, 38). Such a secretion apparatus is responsible for export of a set of bacterial effector proteins with an ultimate aim to promote development of a replicative vacuole, which is a specialized organelle permissive for intracellular growth of *Legionella* (15).

Another critically important target, attacked by various pathogenic bacteria, is the eukaryotic protein synthesis machinery. Inhibition of mRNA translation on ribosomes is known to be accomplished by several mechanisms. Shiga- and Shiga-like toxins act as rRNA *N*-glycosidases (39), whereas diphtheria toxin and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* exotoxin A inhibit protein synthesis by ADP-ribosylation of eukaryotic EF2 (40). Here we describe modification of eEF1A by Lgt1 as a mode of inhibition of translation by bacterial effectors and demonstrate that such glucosylation takes place during proliferation of the bacteria in target cells. It should be noted that the inhibition of protein synthesis induced by *L. pneumophila* was observed 15 years ago (41), although its molecular mechanism was not investigated.

Termination of peptide synthesis apparently leads to the death of intoxicated cells. However, to what extent is cell death favorable for an intracellular pathogen? At least three consequences have to be considered. First, the rate of cell death due to protein synthesis inhibition is relatively slow (days), compared with death induced by cytoskeleton-targeting (hours) or cytolytic membrane-targeting (minutes) toxins. This delayed rate of cell death could favor unrestricted proliferation of pathogens within replicative vacuoles of a "defenseless" host. Second, at the final stages of the intracellular life cycle, legionellae have to escape the eukaryotic cell, which can no longer support proliferation of hundreds of bacteria. Induction of apoptotic processes at late phases of infection has been suggested to be involved in this process (10, 42). In this connection, termination of protein synthesis, induced by Lgt1, could trigger programmed cell death, thus supporting release of Legionella to the extracellular milieu. Finally, it cannot be excluded that reduction of protein synthesis is necessary for fine tuning host cell physiology and synchronizing host cell metabolism toward parasite requirements.

## **Materials and Methods**

Construction, Expression, and Purification of Recombinant Proteins. The nucleotide sequence coding for Lgt1 (accession no. NC\_002942; denoted *lpg1368*) was PCR-amplified by FideliTaq DNA polymerase (Amersham Biosciences, Moscow, Russia) with the primers LUT1 and LUT2 (Table 2, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site). As a matrix we used chromosomal DNA isolated from L. pneumophila Philadelphia I cells. PCR product was cut with EcoRI/SalI restriction endonucleases and ligated into pGEX-4T3 vector. The resulting plasmid p214-4T3 coded for Lgt1 fused Nterminally to GST tag. Expression and purification of recombinant proteins using glutathione-Sepharose were done as suggested by the manufacturer (Amersham Biosciences) and used as GST-tagged or cleaved proteins. Site-directed mutagenesis was performed with QuikChange (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). The primers used are listed in Table 2.

**Glucosyltransferase Assay.** Eukaryotic cell extracts (proteins at 7–10 mg/ml) used as substrates in the reaction were prepared by sonication of Caco-2 or EBL cells (17, 28). Glucosylation reaction was carried out in 20  $\mu$ l of a mixture consisting of 20 mM Tris·HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>, 2–5  $\mu$ g of recombinant *Legionella* enzyme, and 50–70  $\mu$ g of crude cell extract or 2–4  $\mu$ g of purified recombinant eEF1A1 or eEF1A2 (the EF-coding plasmids were gifts from Charlotte R. Knudsen, University of Aarhus, Aarhus, Denmark) and 10  $\mu$ M of UDP-[<sup>14</sup>C]glucose (American Radiolabeled Chemicals, St. Louis, MO). The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 1 h. The reaction was stopped by the addition of Laemmli sample buffer and heating at 100°C for 5 min. The samples were then subjected to SDS/PAGE and scanned on a PhosphorImager.

**MS** Identification of Proteins Modified by Lgt1. Caco-2 cell lysate was exposed to UDP-glucose in the absence or presence of Lgt1. An aliquot was also UDP-[<sup>14</sup>C]glucosylated to define the position of the labeled eukaryotic band. Proteins were analyzed by SDS/PAGE. Lgt1-treated and untreated protein bands, which corresponded in size to the [<sup>14</sup>C]glucosylated substrate, were cut off from the SDS gel, reduced, alkylated, and digested overnight with trypsin as described (43). The extracted peptide mixtures were dried, taken up in 3  $\mu$ l of 33% formic acid, their pH adjusted with 3  $\mu$ l of 25% NH<sub>3</sub>, and injected onto a C18 precolumn (300  $\mu$ m wide, 2 cm long) for desalting. Afterward, desalted preparations were separated with a capillary HPLC (Ultimate; Dionex, Idstein, Germany) on a 75- $\mu$ m-wide, 11-cm-long column packed with C18 material (C-18 ODS AQ; YMC Europe, Dinslaken, Germany) and analyzed online with a quadrupole time of flight instrument (Micromass Q-TOF I, Waters, Elstree, United Kingdom). Fragment spectra of peptides were preprocessed with our own software package (44) before they were submitted to a database search to identify the underlying proteins (Mascot; Matrix Science, London, United Kingdom) (45).

Intoxication of Eukaryotic Cells by Electroporation. EBL cells were grown in an atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub>/95% air at 37°C until confluency on a 9-cm Petri dish in MEM (46). Cells from one Petri dish were trypsinized and resuspended in 2–3 ml of fresh MEM (the resulting density was  $2 \times 10^6$  to  $3 \times 10^6$  cells per milliliter), to which different amounts of recombinant *L. pneumophila* proteins were added. Electroporation settings were 200 V and 950  $\mu$ F for 4-mm standard electroporation cuvette (GenePulser; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Immediately after the 15–25 msec pulse, cells were seeded into 24-well cell culture plates or 10-cm Petri dishes and incubated for 1 h in a CO<sub>2</sub> incubator. Afterward, cells were washed with TBS and incubation continued for up to 3 days in fresh MEM. At daily time points, cells were subjected to phase-contrast microscopy.

Infection of Epithelial Cells by L. pneumophila. The L. pneumophila serogroup 1 strain Philadelphia I was grown on buffered charcoal-yeast extract agar for 2 days at 37°C before use. A549 cells (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA) were cultured in HAM's F-12 medium, plated in 10-cm dishes, and infected with L. pneumophila at a multiplicity of infection of 10 (i.e., 10 bacteria per cell) in culture medium without FCS. After the time intervals indicated in Fig. 3, A549 cells were washed with ice-cold PBS, scraped in PBS containing 10% glycerol, and stored at -20°C. Immediately before the glucosyltransferase reaction, cells were lysed by 1% Nonidet P-40 detergent on ice for 5 min, and clarified by centrifugation at 14,000 rpm (Eppendorf centrifuge 5402) for 10 min. EBL cells were cultured as described above and were infected at a multiplicity of infection in the range of 0.1-100. After up to 24 h of incubation, infected EBL cells were washed with TBS, suspended by trypsin treatment, washed again, lysed, processed as described for A549, and used immediately in the reaction.

In Vitro Transcription/Translation Assay. For the in vitro transcription/translation assay, rabbit reticulocyte lysate system L4610 was used as suggested by the manufacturer (Promega, Mannheim, Germany). As a target DNA for transcription/ translation experiments, luciferase gene-contained plasmid or Drosophila melanogaster actin act88F gene-contained plasmid (gift from John C. Sparrow, University of York, York, United Kingdom) were used. In translation experiments, luciferase mRNA was used. To investigate the influence of Lgt1 on protein synthesis, different amounts of recombinant active enzyme or site-mutated proteins were added. The mixture was incubated for 90 min at 30°C. A portion of the reaction mixture (1 microliter per measurement) was read in a luminometer. For autoradiography experiments, 5  $\mu$ l of the reaction mixture was subjected to SDS/PAGE and scanned on a PhosphorImager.

**Methionine Incorporation Assay.** For the methionine incorporation assay, EBL cells were harvested into MEM without L-methionine (MEM-M) and were intoxicated by electroporation (as described above) with wild-type or site-mutated recombinant *L. pneumophila* proteins. After 1 or 2 h of incubation at 37°C, cells were washed and pulsed with  $[^{35}S]$ me-

Belyi et al.

thionine (0.5  $\mu$ Ci per well of a 24-well plate) for 1 or 3 h in MEM-M. After this time, cells were washed with TBS and lysed by 0.1% SDS supplemented with 0.2 mg/ml BSA. Proteins were precipitated by 10% trichloroacetic acid and the amounts of incorporated [35S]methionine were measured by filter assay and liquid scintillation counting (41). At the time points indicated in Fig. 5, >95% of cells were viable as determined by trypan blue assay, and the differences in cell

- 1. Fields BS, Benson RF, Besser RE (2002) Clin Microbiol Rev 15:506-526.
- 2. Marston BJ, Plouffe JF, File TM, Jr, Hackman BA, Salstrom SJ, Lipman HB, Kolczak MS, Breiman RF (1997) Arch Intern Med 157:1709-1718.
- 3. Horwitz MA (1983) J Exp Med 158:2108-2126.
- 4. Horwitz MA, Maxfield FR (1984) J Cell Biol 99:1936-1943.
- 5. Summersgill JT, Raff MJ, Miller RD (1988) Microb Pathog 5:41-47.
- 6. Horwitz MA (1983) J Exp Med 158:1319-1331.

- 7. Coers J, Monahan C, Roy CR (1999) Nat Cell Biol 1:451-453.
- 8. Gao LY, Abu KY (1999) Infect Immun 67:862-870.
- 9. Byrne B, Swanson MS (1998) Infect Immun 66:3029-3034.
- 10. Gao LY, Kwaik YA (2000) Environ Microbiol 2:79-90.
- 11. Molmeret M, Bitar DM, Han L, Kwaik YA (2004) Infect Immun 72:4040-4051.
- 12. Andrews HL, Vogel JP, Isberg RR (1998) Infect Immun 66:950-958.
- 13. Brand BC, Sadosky AB, Shuman HA (1994) Mol Microbiol 14:797-808.
- 14. Berger KH, Isberg RR (1993) Mol Microbiol 7:7-19.
- 15. Segal G, Feldman M, Zusman T (2005) FEMS Microbiol Rev 29:65-81.
- 16. Cianciotto NP (2005) Trends Microbiol 13:581-588.
- 17. Belyi I, Popoff MR, Cianciotto NP (2003) Infect Immun 71:181-186.
- 18. Just I, Gerhard R (2004) Rev Physiol Biochem Pharmacol 152:23-47.
- 19. Just I, Selzer J, Wilm M, Von Eichel-Streiber C, Mann M, Aktories K (1995) Nature 375:500-503.
- 20. Busch C, Hofmann F, Selzer J, Munro J, Jeckel D, Aktories K (1998) J Biol Chem 273:19566-19572.
- 21. Reinert DJ, Jank T, Aktories K, Schulz GE (2005) J Mol Biol 351:973-981.
- 22. Kapp LD, Lorsch JR (2004) Annu Rev Biochem 73:657-704.
- 23. Chuang SM, Chen L, Lambertson D, Anand M, Kinzy TG, Madura K (2005) Mol Cell Biol 25:403-413
- 24. Hotokezaka Y, Tobben U, Hotokezaka H, Van LK, Beatrix B, Smith DH, Nakamura T, Wiedmann M (2002) J Biol Chem 277:18545-18551.
- 25. Gross SR, Kinzy TG (2005) Nat Struct Mol Biol 12:772-778.
- 26. Ogle JM, Ramakrishnan V (2005) Annu Rev Biochem 74:129-177.

numbers per well were insignificant, as determined by cell counting.

The work was supported by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung, International Association for the Promotion of Cooperation with Scientists from the New Independent States of the Former Soviet Union Project 05-1000004-7756 (to Y.B. and K.A.), and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (K.A.).

- 27. Ogle JM, Carter AP, Ramakrishnan V (2003) Trends Biochem Sci 28: 259 - 266.
- 28. Andersen GR, Pedersen L, Valente L, Chatterjee I, Kinzy TG, Kjeldgaard M, Nyborg J (2000) Mol Cell 6:1261-1266.
- 29. Kjeldgaard M, Nyborg J, Clark BFC (1996) FASEB J 10:1347-1368.
- 30. Vetter IR, Wittinghofer A (2001) Science 294:1299-1304.
- 31. Abel K, Yoder MD, Hilgenfeld R, Jurnak F (1996) Structure (London) 4:1153-1159.
- 32. Sehr P, Joseph G, Genth H, Just I, Pick E, Aktories K (1998) Biochemistry 37:5296-5304
- 33. Geyer M, Wilde C, Selzer J, Aktories K, Kalbitzer HR (2003) Biochemistry 42:11951-11959.
- 34. Venema RC, Peters HI, Traugh JA (1991) J Biol Chem 266:12574-12580.
- 35. Dever TE, Costello CE, Owens CL, Rosenberry TL, Merrick WC (1989) J Biol Chem 264:20518-20525
- 36. Zobel-Thropp P, Yang MC, Machado L, Clarke S (2000) J Biol Chem 275:37150-37158.
- 37. Salcedo SP, Holden DW (2005) Curr Opin Microbiol 8:92-98.
- 38. Murata T, Delprato A, Ingmundson A, Toomre DK, Lambright DG, Roy CR (2006) Nat Cell Biol 8:971-977.
- 39. Sandvig K (2001) Toxicon 39:1629-1635.
- 40. Wilson BA, Collier RJ (1992) Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 175:27-42.
- 41. McCusker KT, Braaten BA, Cho MW, Low DA (1991) Infect Immun 59:240-
- 246. 42. bu-Zant A, Santic M, Molmeret M, Jones S, Helbig J, Abu KY (2005) Infect Immun 73:5339-5349.
- 43. Shevchenko A, Wilm M, Vorm O, Mann M (1996) Anal Chem 68:850-858.
- 44. Gentzel M, Kocher T, Ponnusamy S, Wilm M (2003) Proteomics 3:1597-1610.
- 45. Perkins DN, Pappin DJ, Creasy DM, Cottrell JS (1999) Electrophoresis 20:3551-3567
- 46. Busch C, Orth J, Djouder N, Aktories K (2001) Infect Immun 69:3628-3634.