

In Vitro Evaluation of a Novel Ketolide Antimicrobial Agent, RU-64004

CHRISTINE JAMJIAN,¹ DOUGLAS J. BIEDENBACH,² AND RONALD N. JONES^{2*}

Department of Pharmaceutical Care¹ and Department of Pathology,² University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Iowa City, Iowa 52242

Received 15 July 1996/Returned for modification 14 October 1996/Accepted 22 November 1996

Ketolides, a novel macrolide subclass, possess a mode of action that is similar to that of structurally related macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin (MLS) compounds. By using reference in vitro tests, the in vitro activity of RU-64004 was compared to those of six other MLS compounds against more than 800 clinical pathogens, including 356 gram-positive organisms. The spectrum of activity of the ketolide was most similar to that of clindamycin versus staphylococci and streptococci and superior to those of all macrolides tested against oxacillin-resistant staphylococci and vancomycin-resistant (*vanA*, *vanB*, and *vanC*) enterococcal isolates. The activity of the ketolide was greater than those of the macrolides, azalides, or clindamycin tested against vancomycin-susceptible enterococci (MICs at which 90% of isolates are inhibited [MIC₉₀s], 0.25 to 4 µg/ml), penicillin-resistant pneumococci (MIC₉₀, 0.25 µg/ml), and most beta-hemolytic streptococci. All *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and beta-hemolytic streptococcus strains were inhibited by ketolide concentrations of ≤0.25 µg/ml. Against 165 erythromycin-resistant strains, RU-64004 inhibited (MICs, ≤0.5 µg/ml) approximately one-third of staphylococci, all streptococci, and slightly more than one-half of the enterococci. Quinupristin-dalfopristin (a streptogramin combination) was active against all tested isolates with the exception of non-*Enterococcus faecium* enterococci, against which the ketolide exhibited greater potency (MIC₅₀s, 0.03 to 2 µg/ml). The ketolide was also active against *Haemophilus influenzae* (MIC₉₀, 2 µg/ml), *Moraxella catarrhalis* (MIC₉₀, 0.12 µg/ml), pathogenic *Neisseria* spp. (MIC₉₀, 0.5 µg/ml), and many gram-positive anaerobes (MIC₉₀, 0.5 µg/ml). RU-64004 may enhance the role of macrolide drugs in the treatment of some serious infections caused by MLS-resistant gram-positive organisms.

Infections with gram-positive organisms are becoming an area of major concern due to their increased incidence and the high-level resistance profiles common among several species causing serious invasive disease (9, 10, 17, 18, 20, 26-28). This has led to extensive research for new drug entities (evernimycins, fluoroquinolones, glycylicyclines, and oxazolidinones) to circumvent or limit the emerging problem (17, 18, 27, 28).

Ketolides are a new class of macrolide-like antimicrobial agents (1). Instead of the cladinose moiety, these agents have a 2-keto structure which appears to increase their stability in a weakly acidic environment (1). Their mechanism of action is similar to that of the macrolides, which consists of binding to the 50S ribosomal subunit and inhibition of bacterial protein synthesis (6). They also penetrate well into phagocytic elements (2). Ketolides show in vitro activity against multidrug-resistant, gram-positive organisms, including staphylococci, enterococci, and pneumococci (13, 15, 30), anaerobes (12), *Haemophilus* spp. (3, 11, 24), *Helicobacter pylori* (8), pathogenic *Neisseria* spp. (14), *Chlamydia* spp. (16), *Legionella* spp. (7), *Mycoplasma* spp. (25), and some mycobacteria (29). In the present study we compared the in vitro activity (21-23) of a new ketolide, RU-64004, with those of six other macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin in B class compounds against more than 800 recent clinical isolates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 356 clinical isolates of gram-positive organisms were examined. Included in the organism profile were oxacillin-resistant and -susceptible *Staphy-*

lococcus spp., penicillin-resistant and -susceptible *Streptococcus* spp., and *Enterococcus* strains susceptible or resistant to vancomycin (see Table 1). More than four hundred gram-negative strains were tested to further define the spectrum of activity of the ketolide (see Table 2 and data not shown). The activities of the ketolide against a selected group of erythromycin-susceptible or -resistant *Staphylococcus* spp., *Streptococcus* spp., and *Enterococcus* spp. were also investigated (see Table 3).

RU-64004 and roxithromycin were obtained from Roussel Uclaf (Romainville, France), and the comparison compounds were provided by Abbott Laboratories (North Chicago, Ill.), Pfizer, Inc. (New York, N.Y.), Upjohn + Pharmacia (Kalamazoo, Mich.), Rhone-Poulenc Rorer (Collegeville, Pa.), and Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, Mo.). All agents were supplied as standard laboratory powders, solubilized according to the manufacturers' instructions, and diluted to appropriate concentrations and placed into 96-well broth microdilution trays provided by Prepared Media Laboratories (Tualatin, Ore.) for testing the majority of the species or into agar-based medium for testing anaerobes and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (21, 22).

Microdilution MICs were determined with Mueller-Hinton broth supplemented with lysed horse blood when testing *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Streptococcus* spp. Agar dilution MICs were determined in brucella agar supplemented with 5% sheep blood for anaerobes and in GC agar medium supplemented with a defined XV factor for *N. gonorrhoeae* (21, 22). The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of antimicrobial agent inhibiting visible growth after 16 h of incubation for rapidly growing species and 24 h of incubation for more fastidious species. Trays and agar plates were incubated overnight in ambient air or in an increased CO₂ environment (5%) for fastidious species and for gonococci at 35°C, as specified by the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) (21). Standard quality control strains included *Streptococcus pneumoniae* ATCC 49619, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213, *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 29212, *N. gonorrhoeae* ATCC 49226, and *Bacteroides fragilis* ATCC 25285. The fact that the results obtained were in the NCCLS quality control ranges validated the test procedures and reagents that were used.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of susceptibility testing for 356 gram-positive pathogens are presented in Table 1. RU-64004 was active against oxacillin-susceptible *S. aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (MICs at which 90% of isolates are inhibited [MIC₉₀s], 0.06 to 0.12 µg/ml). The inhibition rate (MICs, ≤0.5

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Medical Microbiology Division, Department of Pathology, 5232 RCP, University of Iowa College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA 52242. Phone: (319) 356-2990. Fax: (319) 356-4916.

TABLE 1. Activity of RU-64004 compared to those of six macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin compounds and vancomycin against gram-positive organisms

| Organism(s) (no. of isolates tested) | Antimicrobial agent | MIC ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) | | | % Inhibited ^a |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| | | 50% | 90% | Range | |
| <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> | | | | | |
| Oxacillin susceptible (66) | RU-64004 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.015->16 | 94 (94) |
| | Erythromycin | 0.5 | >8 | 0.25->8 | 86 |
| | Clarithromycin | 0.25 | 8 | 0.25->8 | 88 |
| | Roxithromycin | 1 | >8 | 0.5->8 | 89 |
| | Azithromycin | 2 | >8 | 1->8 | 70 |
| | Clindamycin | ≤ 0.25 | ≤ 0.25 | ≤ 0.25 ->16 | 95 |
| | Quinu-Dalfo ^b | 0.25 | 0.5 | ≤ 0.12 -0.5 | 100 |
| | Vancomycin | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.25-1 | 100 |
| | Oxacillin resistant (50) | RU-64004 | >16 | >16 | 0.03->16 |
| Erythromycin | | >8 | >8 | 0.5->8 | 6 |
| Clarithromycin | | >8 | >8 | 0.25->8 | 6 |
| Roxithromycin | | >8 | >8 | 1->8 | 6 |
| Azithromycin | | >8 | >8 | 2->8 | 4 |
| Clindamycin | | >16 | >16 | 0.25->16 | 34 |
| Quinu-Dalfo | | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.25-1 | 100 |
| Vancomycin | | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5-2 | 100 |
| <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> | | | | | |
| Oxacillin susceptible (23) | RU-64004 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.03->16 | 91 (91) |
| | Erythromycin | 0.25 | >8 | 0.25->8 | 74 |
| | Clarithromycin | 0.25 | >8 | 0.25->8 | 74 |
| | Roxithromycin | 0.5 | >8 | 0.5->8 | 74 |
| | Azithromycin | 1 | >8 | 0.5->8 | 70 |
| | Clindamycin | ≤ 0.25 | ≤ 0.25 | ≤ 0.25 ->16 | 91 |
| | Quinu-Dalfo | ≤ 0.12 | ≤ 0.12 | ≤ 0.12 -0.25 | 100 |
| | Vancomycin | 1 | 1 | 0.25-2 | 100 |
| | Oxacillin resistant (27) | RU-64004 | >16 | >16 | 0.06->16 |
| Erythromycin | | >8 | >8 | 1->8 | 0 |
| Clarithromycin | | >8 | >8 | 0.5->8 | 4 |
| Roxithromycin | | >8 | >8 | 2->8 | 4 |
| Azithromycin | | >8 | >8 | 4->8 | 0 |
| Clindamycin | | >16 | >16 | ≤ 0.25 ->16 | 19 |
| Quinu-Dalfo | | 0.25 | 0.25 | ≤ 0.12 -0.5 | 100 |
| Vancomycin | | 1 | 2 | 1-2 | 100 |
| <i>Staphylococcus haemolyticus</i> | | | | | |
| Oxacillin susceptible (7) | RU-64004 | 0.03 | | 0.03-16 | 86 (86) |
| | Erythromycin | 0.25 | | 0.25->8 | 71 |
| | Clarithromycin | 0.25 | | 0.12->8 | 57 |
| | Roxithromycin | 0.5 | | 0.5->8 | 71 |
| | Azithromycin | 0.5 | | 0.5->8 | 57 |
| | Clindamycin | ≤ 0.25 | | ≤ 0.25 ->16 | 86 |
| | Quinu-Dalfo | 0.25 | | ≤ 0.12 -0.5 | 100 |
| | Vancomycin | 0.5 | | 0.25-1 | 100 |
| | Oxacillin resistant (13) | RU-64006 | 0.06 | >16 | 0.03->16 |
| Erythromycin | | 0.5 | >8 | 0.5->8 | 54 |
| Clarithromycin | | 0.25 | >8 | 0.25->8 | 54 |
| Roxithromycin | | 1 | >8 | 1->8 | 54 |
| Azithromycin | | 4 | >8 | 1->8 | 46 |
| Clindamycin | | ≤ 0.25 | >16 | ≤ 0.25 ->16 | 62 |
| Quinu-Dalfo | | 0.25 | 0.25 | ≤ 0.12 -0.5 | 100 |
| Vancomycin | | 1 | 2 | 1-2 | 100 |
| <i>Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus</i> spp. (20) ^c | | | | | |
| RU-64004 | RU-64004 | 0.06 | 16 | 0.015->16 | 80 (80) |
| | Erythromycin | 0.25 | >8 | 0.12->8 | 55 |
| | Clarithromycin | 0.25 | >8 | 0.12->8 | 55 |
| | Roxithromycin | 0.5 | >8 | 0.25->8 | 55 |
| | Azithromycin | 2 | >8 | 0.5->8 | 50 |
| | Clindamycin | ≤ 0.25 | >16 | ≤ 0.25 ->16 | 80 |
| | Quinu-Dalfo | 0.25 | 0.5 | ≤ 0.12 -1 | 100 |
| | Vancomycin | 0.5 | 1 | 0.25-2 | 100 |

Continued on following page

TABLE 1—Continued

| Organism(s) (no. of isolates tested) | Antimicrobial agent | MIC ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) | | | % Inhibited ^a |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| | | 50% | 90% | Range | |
| <i>Streptococcus</i> spp. | | | | | |
| Serogroup A (20) | | | | | |
| | RU-64004 | ≤ 0.008 | ≤ 0.008 | ≤ 0.008 | 100 (100) |
| | Erythromycin | ≤ 0.06 | ≤ 0.06 | ≤ 0.06 | 100 |
| | Clarithromycin | ≤ 0.06 | ≤ 0.06 | ≤ 0.06 | 100 |
| | Roxithromycin | 0.12 | 0.25 | $\leq 0.06-0.25$ | 100 |
| | Azithromycin | 0.25 | 0.25 | $\leq 0.06-0.25$ | 100 |
| | Clindamycin | ≤ 0.25 | ≤ 0.25 | ≤ 0.25 | 100 |
| | Quinu-Dalfo | ≤ 0.12 | 0.25 | $\leq 0.12-0.5$ | 100 |
| | Vancomycin | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 100 |
| Serogroup B (20) | | | | | |
| | RU-64004 | ≤ 0.008 | ≤ 0.008 | ≤ 0.008 | 100 (100) |
| | Erythromycin | ≤ 0.06 | ≤ 0.06 | ≤ 0.06 | 100 |
| | Clarithromycin | ≤ 0.06 | ≤ 0.06 | ≤ 0.06 | 100 |
| | Roxithromycin | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.12–0.25 | 100 |
| | Azithromycin | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.12–0.5 | 100 |
| | Clindamycin | ≤ 0.25 | ≤ 0.25 | ≤ 0.25 | 100 |
| | Quinu-Dalfo | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 100 |
| | Vancomycin | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 100 |
| Serogroup C (10) | | | | | |
| | RU-64004 | ≤ 0.008 | 0.015 | $\leq 0.008-0.25$ | 100 (100) |
| | Erythromycin | ≤ 0.06 | >8 | $\leq 0.06->8$ | 80 |
| | Clarithromycin | ≤ 0.06 | 8 | $\leq 0.06->8$ | 80 |
| | Roxithromycin | ≤ 0.06 | 0.25 | $\leq 0.06->8$ | 80 |
| | Azithromycin | 0.12 | >8 | $\leq 0.06->8$ | 80 |
| | Clindamycin | ≤ 0.25 | ≤ 0.25 | $\leq 0.25->8$ | 90 |
| | Quinu-Dalfo | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.25–0.5 | 100 |
| | Vancomycin | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25–0.5 | 100 |
| Serogroup G (10) | | | | | |
| | RU-64004 | ≤ 0.008 | 0.015 | $\leq 0.008-0.06$ | 100 (100) |
| | Erythromycin | ≤ 0.06 | >8 | $\leq 0.06->8$ | 70 |
| | Clarithromycin | ≤ 0.06 | 4 | $\leq 0.06-4$ | 70 |
| | Roxithromycin | 0.25 | >8 | 0.12–>8 | 70 |
| | Azithromycin | 0.5 | >8 | 0.25–>8 | 70 |
| | Clindamycin | ≤ 0.25 | ≤ 0.25 | $\leq 0.25->16$ | 90 |
| | Quinu-Dalfo | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.25–0.5 | 100 |
| | Vancomycin | 0.25 | 0.5 | $\leq 0.12-0.5$ | 100 |
| <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> | | | | | |
| Penicillin susceptible (15) | | | | | |
| | RU-64004 | ≤ 0.008 | ≤ 0.008 | ≤ 0.008 | 100 (100) |
| | Erythromycin | ≤ 0.06 | ≤ 0.06 | ≤ 0.06 | 100 |
| | Clarithromycin | ≤ 0.06 | ≤ 0.06 | ≤ 0.06 | 100 |
| | Roxithromycin | ≤ 0.06 | 0.12 | $\leq 0.06-0.12$ | 100 |
| | Azithromycin | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.12–0.5 | 100 |
| | Clindamycin | ≤ 0.25 | ≤ 0.25 | ≤ 0.25 | 100 |
| | Quinu-Dalfo | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.25–0.5 | 100 |
| | Vancomycin | 0.25 | 0.25 | $\leq 0.12-0.5$ | 100 |
| Penicillin resistant (15) | | | | | |
| | RU-64004 | 0.03 | 0.25 | $\leq 0.008-0.25$ | 100 (100) |
| | Erythromycin | >8 | >8 | $\leq 0.06->8$ | 7 |
| | Clarithromycin | >8 | >8 | $\leq 0.06->8$ | 7 |
| | Roxithromycin | >8 | >8 | 0.12–>8 | 7 |
| | Azithromycin | >8 | >8 | 0.5–>8 | 7 |
| | Clindamycin | ≤ 0.25 | >16 | $\leq 0.25->16$ | 53 |
| | Quinu-Dalfo | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.25–1 | 100 |
| | Vancomycin | 0.25 | 0.25 | $\leq 0.12-0.25$ | 100 |
| <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> | | | | | |
| Vancomycin susceptible (10) | | | | | |
| | RU-64004 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.015–0.5 | 100 (100) |
| | Erythromycin | 1 | >8 | 0.5–>8 | 20 |
| | Clarithromycin | 1 | >8 | 0.25–>8 | 70 |
| | Roxithromycin | 4 | >8 | 2–>8 | 20 |
| | Azithromycin | 8 | >8 | 2–>8 | 20 |
| | Clindamycin | >16 | >16 | 16–>16 | 0 |
| | Quinu-Dalfo | 4 | 8 | 4–8 | 60 |

Continued on following page

TABLE 1—Continued

| Organism(s) (no. of isolates tested) | Antimicrobial agent | MIC ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) | | | % Inhibited ^a |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| | | 50% | 90% | Range | |
| Vancomycin resistant (10) | RU-64004 | 2 | 8 | 0.015–8 | 20 (60) |
| | Erythromycin | >8 | >8 | 2–>8 | 0 |
| | Clarithromycin | >8 | >8 | 2–>8 | 10 |
| | Roxithromycin | >8 | >8 | 8–>8 | 0 |
| | Azithromycin | >8 | >8 | >8 | 0 |
| | Clindamycin | >16 | >16 | >16 | 0 |
| | Quinu-Dalfo | 8 | 16 | 4–>16 | 30 |
| <i>Enterococcus faecium</i> | | | | | |
| Vancomycin susceptible (10) | RU-64004 | 0.03 | 4 | 0.015–8 | 60 (80) |
| | Erythromycin | 8 | >8 | 0.25–>8 | 10 |
| | Clarithromycin | 4 | >8 | 0.12–>8 | 20 |
| | Roxithromycin | >8 | >8 | 0.12–>8 | 10 |
| | Azithromycin | >8 | >8 | 0.25–>8 | 10 |
| | Clindamycin | 16 | >16 | ≤ 0.25 –>16 | 10 |
| | Quinu-Dalfo | 2 | 2 | 0.25–2 | 100 |
| Vancomycin resistant (10) | RU-64004 | 4 | 8 | 0.015–8 | 10 (10) |
| | Erythromycin | >8 | >8 | 4–>8 | 0 |
| | Clarithromycin | >8 | >8 | 4–>8 | 0 |
| | Roxithromycin | >8 | >8 | >8 | 0 |
| | Azithromycin | >8 | >8 | >8 | 0 |
| | Clindamycin | >16 | >16 | ≤ 0.25 –>16 | 10 |
| | Quinu-Dalfo | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100 |
| <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. (20) | | | | | |
| RU-64004 | 0.06 | 1 | 0.03–2 | 85 (100) | |
| Erythromycin | 4 | >8 | 0.12–>8 | 35 | |
| Clarithromycin | 4 | >8 | ≤ 0.06 –>8 | 40 | |
| Roxithromycin | 8 | >8 | 0.25–>8 | 35 | |
| Azithromycin | >8 | >8 | 0.25–>8 | 35 | |
| Clindamycin | 16 | >16 | 8–>16 | 0 | |
| Quinu-Dalfo ^b | 2 | 8 | 0.25–16 | 80 | |
| Vancomycin | 4 | 4 | 0.25–4 | 100 | |

^a Inhibition breakpoints defined by NCCLS (23) or by previously cited, peer-reviewed publications (10). For the ketolide, two MICs (≤ 0.5 and ≤ 2 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) were used to define the MIC distributions. The percentage data in parentheses are for the ≤ 2 - $\mu\text{g/ml}$ concentration.

^b Quinu-Dalfo, quinupristin-dalfopristin, tested at a 30:70 ratio. The MIC listed is a total for both components.

^c Includes *S. auricularis* (two strains), *S. capitis* (two strains), *S. connii* (two strains), *S. hominis* (four strains), *S. saprophyticus* (four strains), *S. sciurii* (two strains), *S. simulans* (two strains), and *S. wameri* (two strains). Five strains were oxacillin resistant.

$\mu\text{g/ml}$) for the ketolide was higher (34%) than those for azithromycin (4%), erythromycin (6%), clarithromycin (6%), and roxithromycin (6%) but was comparable to that for clindamycin (34%) against oxacillin-resistant *S. aureus* when using the NCCLS breakpoint MICs (23). Only 19% of oxacillin-resistant *S. epidermidis* strains were inhibited by RU-64004 at either concentration (≤ 0.5 and 2 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) used to define the MICs. The ketolide had a superior overall antistaphylococcal effect compared to those of the three macrolides and the azalide against the 206 organisms tested. The MIC₅₀s of RU-64004 were consistently ≥ 2 log₂ dilution steps lower compared to those of azithromycin, erythromycin, clarithromycin, roxithromycin, quinupristin-dalfopristin, and vancomycin. However, all strains of oxacillin-susceptible or -resistant *Staphylococcus* spp. were susceptible to quinupristin-dalfopristin and vancomycin (23).

All serogroup A and B *Streptococcus* spp. were very susceptible to the agents tested, and all were inhibited by the ketolide at concentrations of ≤ 0.008 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. The serogroup C and G *Streptococcus* spp. were slightly less inhibited by RU-64004 (MIC₉₀s, 0.015 $\mu\text{g/ml}$). The ketolide had activity against the beta-hemolytic strains that were resistant to erythromycin, clarithromycin, roxithromycin, and clindamycin (70 to 90% sus-

ceptibility range). The MIC₉₀s of the ketolide were generally lower (more than eightfold when MICs were on-scale) than those of the macrolides, quinupristin-dalfopristin, and vancomycin. The activity of RU-64004 against both penicillin-susceptible and -resistant *S. pneumoniae* strains was complete and comparable to those of vancomycin and quinupristin-dalfopristin. The MIC₅₀s and MIC₉₀s of the ketolide were higher for the penicillin-resistant pneumococci (MIC₅₀, 0.03 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) than for the penicillin-susceptible strains, which were all inhibited by RU-64004 at ≤ 0.008 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. The vast majority of penicillin-resistant pneumococci were also resistant to erythromycin, clarithromycin, roxithromycin, and azithromycin but were inhibited by the ketolide.

Whereas all vancomycin-susceptible *E. faecalis* strains were inhibited by RU-64004 at concentrations of ≤ 0.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, only 20% of the vancomycin-resistant *E. faecalis* strains were inhibited at that level. The activity and antibacterial spectrum of the ketolide appeared to be better than those of the other macrolide-lincosamide antimicrobial agents tested. RU-64004 had inhibitory activity against more than 50% (MIC₅₀, 0.03 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) of the vancomycin-susceptible *Enterococcus faecium* strains, and this activity was superior to those of the macrolides tested (10 to 20% susceptibility) and clindamycin (10% susceptibili-

TABLE 2. Activities of RU-64004 against 155 fastidious strains, including *H. influenzae*, *M. catarrhalis*, pathogenic *Neisseria* species, and selected anaerobic gram-negative and -positive bacteria

| Organism(s) (no. of isolates tested) | MIC ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) | | |
|---|--------------------------|------|-------------------|
| | 50% | 90% | Range |
| <i>H. influenzae</i> (50) ^a | 2 | 2 | 0.03–4 |
| <i>M. catarrhalis</i> (30) | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.03–0.12 |
| <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> (30) ^b | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.06–0.5 |
| <i>N. meningitidis</i> (10) | ≤ 0.008 | 0.5 | ≤ 0.008 –0.5 |
| <i>Neisseria</i> spp. (10) ^c | 1 | 4 | 0.25–4 |
| Anaerobic species (25) ^d | 0.06 | 0.5 | 0.03–2 |

^a Includes beta-lactamase-positive ($n = 20$), beta-lactamase-negative ($n = 20$), and chromosomally mediated ampicillin-resistant ($n = 10$) strains.

^b Includes penicillin-susceptible ($n = 10$), beta-lactamase positive ($n = 10$), and chromosomally mediated penicillin-resistant ($n = 10$) strains.

^c Strains included *N. subflava* ($n = 4$), *N. sicca* ($n = 4$), and *N. mucosa* ($n = 2$).

^d Strains included *Clostridium* spp. ($n = 10$), *Prevotella* spp. ($n = 5$), and *Peptostreptococcus* spp. ($n = 10$).

ty). For the remaining *Enterococcus* spp. (Table 1), the ketolide inhibited all strains at $\leq 2 \mu\text{g/ml}$, a result indicating that its antibacterial spectrum is the same as that of vancomycin (MIC₉₀, 4 $\mu\text{g/ml}$).

Table 2 lists the activities of RU-64004 against representative gram-negative fastidious species (155 strains). At least 90% of the strains of *H. influenzae* tested were inhibited by RU-64004 at $\leq 2 \mu\text{g/ml}$. Against *H. influenzae* this agent appears to possess better activity than that reported for clarithromycin and activity comparable to that of azithromycin. The MIC₉₀ of RU-64004 for *Moraxella catarrhalis* strains was 0.12 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. *N. gonorrhoeae* and *Neisseria meningitidis* were very susceptible to the ketolide (MIC₉₀s, 0.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$). *Neisseria* spp. other than the gonococci and *N. meningitidis* showed significantly decreased susceptibility to the ketolide (MIC₉₀, 4 $\mu\text{g/ml}$; range, 0.25 to 4 $\mu\text{g/ml}$). RU-64004 demonstrated acceptable activity against the anaerobic strains tested (MIC₉₀, 0.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), which included *Clostridium* spp., *Prevotella* spp., and *Peptostreptococcus* spp., but it was inactive against the *B. fragilis* group (data not shown). Furthermore, RU-64004 had no ac-

TABLE 3. Activities of RU-64004 against erythromycin-susceptible and -resistant populations of *S. aureus*, coagulase-negative staphylococci, pneumococci, and *Enterococcus* spp.

| Organism(s) | Erythromycin susceptibility (no. of isolates tested) ^a | MIC ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) | | % Inhibited at concn ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) of: | |
|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------|---|-----------------|
| | | 50% | 90% | ≤ 0.5 | ≤ 2 |
| <i>S. aureus</i> | Susceptible (60) | 0.06 | 0.06 | 100 | 100 |
| | Resistant (56) ^b | 16 | >16 | 36 ^c | 36 ^c |
| Coagulase-negative staphylococci | Susceptible (41) | 0.03 | 0.06 | 100 | 100 |
| | Resistant (49) ^b | 16 | >16 | 31 ^c | 31 ^c |
| <i>S. pneumoniae</i> | Susceptible (16) | ≤ 0.008 | ≤ 0.008 | 100 | 100 |
| | Resistant (14) ^b | 0.03 | 0.06 | 100 | 100 |
| Enterococci | Susceptible (10) | 0.015 | 0.015 | 100 | 100 |
| | Resistant (46) | 0.25 | 8 | 57 | 72 |

^a Defined by the criteria of the NCCLS (23). Cross-resistance among azithromycin, clarithromycin, and roxithromycin was near complete ($\geq 95\%$).

^b The same rates were observed for clindamycin.

^c All strains were susceptible to quinupristin-dalfopristin.

tivity (MIC₅₀, $>8 \mu\text{g/ml}$) when it was tested against representatives of 27 species (305 strains) of gram-negative bacilli. RU-64004 MICs were $\leq 2 \mu\text{g/ml}$ for fewer than 1% of strains tested.

The data in Table 3 indicate that the activities of RU-64004 against erythromycin-resistant *S. aureus* and coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* spp. were in the range of 31 to 36% when the ketolide breakpoint was used at $\leq 0.5 \mu\text{g/ml}$. Erythromycin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* strains were inhibited by the ketolide at $\leq 0.5 \mu\text{g/ml}$. Among the erythromycin-resistant enterococci, approximately one-half of the strains were inhibited by RU-64004 at $\leq 0.5 \mu\text{g/ml}$ and a slightly greater number (72%) were inhibited by the drug at $\leq 2 \mu\text{g/ml}$. The ketolide MIC₅₀s and MIC₉₀s for the erythromycin-resistant organisms (MIC₅₀ range, 0.03 to $>16 \mu\text{g/ml}$; MIC₉₀ range, 0.06 to $>16 \mu\text{g/ml}$) were elevated in comparison to those for the erythromycin-susceptible strains.

The novel ketolide RU-64004 appears to offer a potential alternative for treating penicillin- and erythromycin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* strains. This agent also has in vitro activity greater than those of the macrolides against *Staphylococcus* spp., a feature that makes RU-64004 essentially equal to clindamycin. The streptococcal coverage of RU-64004 was complete, but for vancomycin-resistant *E. faecalis* and both vancomycin-susceptible and -resistant *E. faecium* strains, the potential spectrum of activity of quinupristin-dalfopristin was equal to or superior to that of RU-64004. These results are consistent with those of early in vitro studies with RU-64004 (3–5, 11–15). In vivo studies with animal models have shown quite promising results, especially against infections caused by macrolide-resistant strains (5). Furthermore, ketolides appear to be less affected by the adverse influences of variations in pH and inoculum concentration and of medium type (4), and the potency of ketolides appears to be enhanced by human serum components (4). Further investigations are required to assess the clinical value of RU-64004, its organ safety profile (19), and its pharmacodynamic features.

REFERENCES

- Agouridas, C., Y. Beneditti, A. Bonnefoy, P. Collette, A. Denis, P. Mauvais, G. Labbe, and J. F. Chantot. 1996. Ketolides: a new class of macrolide antibacterials. Structural characteristics and biological properties of RU 004, abstr. 14.10, p. 97. In Program and abstracts of the 3rd International Conference on the Macrolides, Azalides, and Streptogramins.
- Agouridas, C., A. Bonnefoy, K. Braham, P. Collette, M. Guiffon, A. Hochet, P. Mauvais, and J. F. Chantot. 1995. RU 004: uptake by phagocytes, intracellular bioactivity and other immunomodulatory effects, abstr. F175, p. 143. In Abstracts of the 35th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Agouridas, C., A. Bonnefoy, and J. F. Chantot. 1995. In vitro antibacterial activity of RU 004, a novel ketolide highly active against respiratory pathogens, abstr. F158, p. 140. In Abstracts of the 35th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Agouridas, C., A. Bonnefoy, and J. F. Chantot. 1995. Susceptibility testing conditions used and antibacterial activity of RU 004, abstr. F169, p. 142. In Abstracts of the 35th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Agouridas, C., A. Bonnefoy, and J. F. Chantot. 1995. In vitro antibacterial activity of RU 004, a novel ketolide highly active against respiratory pathogens, abstr. F171, p. 143. In Abstracts of the 35th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Agouridas, C., P. Collette, P. Mauvais, and J. F. Chantot. 1995. RU 004: preliminary studies on the mechanism of action, abstr. F170, p. 142. In Abstracts of the 35th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Bornstein, N., H. Behr, Y. Burn, and J. Fleurette. 1995. In vitro activity of RU 004 on *Legionella* species, abstr. F166, p. 142. In Abstracts of the 35th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Bouchard, S., H. P. Doermann, and F. Megraud. 1995. Ketolides and *Helicobacter*

- cobacter pylori*: in vitro evaluation of RU 004, abstr. F163, p. 141. *In Abstracts of the 35th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
9. **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention**. 1996. Defining the public health impact of drug-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*: report of a working group. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*. **45**:1-14.
 10. **Cormican, M. G., and R. N. Jones**. 1996. Emerging resistance to antimicrobial agents in gram-positive bacteria. *Drugs* **51**(Suppl.):6-12.
 11. **Dabernat, H., M. Seguy, and C. Delmas**. 1995. In vitro activity of RU 004 against *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Moraxella catarrhalis*, abstr. F161, p. 141. *In Abstracts of the 35th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
 12. **Ednie, L., S. Spangler, M. Jacobs, and P. Appelbaum**. 1995. Antianaerobic activity of the ketolide RU 004 compared to 4 macrolides, 5 β -lactams, clindamycin and metronidazole, abstr. F162, p. 141. *In Abstracts of the 35th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
 13. **Ednie, L. M., S. K. Spangler, M. R. Jacobs, and P. C. Appelbaum**. 1996. Activity of the ketolide RU 004 compared to 15 other agents against 228 erythromycin susceptible and resistant pneumococci, abstr. 3.19, p. 32. *In Program and abstracts of the 3rd International Conference of the Macrolides, Azalides, and Streptogramins*.
 14. **Fabre, R., J. D. Cavallo, J. C. Chapalain, and M. Meyran**. 1995. Comparative in vitro activity of RU 004 against *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Neisseria meningitidis*, abstr. F164, p. 141. *In Abstracts of the 35th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
 15. **Fremaux, A., G. Sissia, J. F. Chantot, and P. Geslin**. 1995. Antibacterial activity of the ketolide RU 004 against multi-resistant pneumococci, abstr. F160, p. 141. *In Abstracts of the 35th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
 16. **Haider, F., F. Eb, and J. Orfila**. 1995. Ketolides and chlamydia: in vitro evaluation of RU 004, abstr. F165, p. 142. *In Abstracts of the 35th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
 17. **Jones, R. N., and M. S. Barrett**. 1996. Antimicrobial activity of SCH 27899, an oligosaccharide member of the everninomycin class with a wide gram-positive spectrum. *J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **1**:1-9.
 18. **Jones, R. N., D. M. Johnson, and M. E. Erwin**. 1996. In vitro antimicrobial activities and spectra of U-100592 and U-100766, two novel fluorinated oxazolidinones. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **40**:720-726.
 19. **Labbe, G., P. Y. Abecassis, O. Courtin, M. Flor, S. Fougeat, and B. Bode**. 1995. RU 004: in vitro and in vivo effects on hepatic cytochrome P-450, abstr. F174, p. 143. *In Abstracts of the 35th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
 20. **Leclercq, R., and P. Courvalin**. 1991. Bacterial resistance to macrolide, lincosamide, and streptogramin antibiotics by target modification. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **35**:1267-1272.
 21. **National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards**. 1993. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically, 3rd ed. Approved standard M7-A3. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Villanova, Pa.
 22. **National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards**. 1993. Methods for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of anaerobic bacteria, 3rd ed. Approved standard M11-A3. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Villanova, Pa.
 23. **National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards**. 1995. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 5th informational supplement, M100-S6. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Villanova, Pa.
 24. **Rajagopalan-Levasseur, P., E. Vallee, C. Agouridas, J. F. Chantot, and J. J. Pocardalo**. 1995. RU 004: activity against erythromycin-resistant pneumococci and *Haemophilus influenzae* in murine pneumonia models, abstr. F173, p. 143. *In Abstracts of the 35th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
 25. **Renaudin, H., M. D. Aydin, and C. Bebear**. 1995. Ketolides and mycoplasmas: in vitro evaluation of RU 004, abstr. F168, p. 142. *In Abstracts of the 35th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
 26. **Sanchez, M. L., K. K. Flint, and R. N. Jones**. 1993. Occurrence of macrolide-streptogramin resistances among staphylococcal clinical isolates at a university center. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **16**:205-213.
 27. **Sato, K., K. Hoshino, M. Tanaka, I. Hayakawa, and Y. Osada**. 1992. Antimicrobial activity of DU-6859, a new potent fluoroquinolone against clinical isolates. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **36**:1491-1498.
 28. **Tally, F. T., G. A. Ellestad, and R. T. Testa**. 1995. Glycylcyclines: a new generation of tetracyclines. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **35**:449-452.
 29. **Truffot-Pernot, C., N. Lounis, J. F. Chantot, and J. Grosset**. 1995. In vitro activity of the ketolide RU 004 against non-tuberculous mycobacteria, abstr. F167, p. 142. *In Abstracts of the 35th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
 30. **Vallee, E., E. Azoulay-Dupuis, P. Rajagopalan-Levasseur, C. Agouridas, J. F. Chantot, and J. J. Pocardalo**. 1995. Ketolides: screening using relevant in vivo models of erythromycin-resistant pneumococcal infection, abstr. F172, p. 143. *In Abstracts of the 35th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.