## Structural determinant of TRPV1 desensitization interacts with calmodulin

Mitsuko Numazaki\*<sup>†</sup>, Tomoko Tominaga\*, Kumiko Takeuchi\*, Namie Murayama\*, Hidenori Toyooka<sup>†</sup>, and Makoto Tominaga\*<sup>‡</sup>

\*Department of Cellular and Molecular Physiology, Mie University School of Medicine, Edobashi 2-174, Tsu, Mie 514-8507, Japan; and <sup>†</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, University of Tsukuba School of Medicine, Tsukuba 305-0006, Japan

Edited by Lily Y. Jan, University of California School of Medicine, San Francisco, CA, and approved May 6, 2003 (received for review December 4, 2002)

The capsaicin receptor, TRPV1 (VR1), is a sensory neuron-specific ion channel that serves as a polymodal detector of pain-producing chemical and physical stimuli. Extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent desensitization of TRPV1 observed in patch–clamp experiments when using both heterologous expression systems and native sensory ganglia is thought to be one mechanism underlying the paradoxical effectiveness of capsaicin as an analgesic therapy. Here, we show that the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding protein calmodulin binds to a 35-aa segment in the C terminus of TRPV1, and that disruption of the calmodulin-binding segment prevents TRPV1 desensitization. Compounds that interfere with the 35-aa segment could therefore prove useful in the treatment of pain.

apsaicin elicits burning pain by activating specific (vanilloid) receptors on sensory nerve endings (1). The cloned capsaicin receptor, TRPV1 (VR1), a member of the transient receptor potential (TRP) ion channel super family, is a nociceptive neuron-specific capsaicin-gated ion channel that also responds to heat, protons, anandamide, and lipoxygenase products (2-6). Furthermore, analysis of mice lacking TRPV1 showed that TRPV1 is essential for selective modalities of pain sensation and for tissue injury-induced thermal hyperalgesia, suggesting a critical role for TRPV1 in the detection or modulation of pain (7, 8). TRPV1-mediated depolarization of nociceptive afferents triggers the transmission of action potentials to the central nervous system as well as the release of inflammatory peptides from peripheral nociceptor terminals (1). Extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>dependent desensitization of TRPV1 has been observed in patch-clamp experiments when using both heterologous expression systems and native sensory ganglia (1, 2, 9-12). The inactivation of nociceptive neurons by capsaicin has generated extensive research on the possible therapeutic effectiveness of capsaicin as a clinical analgesic tool (1, 13-15). Still, however, the underlying mechanism of this inactivation process is not known.

Desensitization to capsaicin is a complex process with varying kinetic components: a fast one that appears to depend on Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx through the capsaicin receptor channels (9-12) and a slower component that does not. Previous studies have shown that calcineurin inhibitors reduce desensitization, indicating the involvement of Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent phosphorylation/dephosphorylation process (9), and protein kinase A-dependent phosphorylation of TRPV1 recently has been reported to mediate the slow component of TRPV1 desensitization (16). On the other hand, there have been several studies reporting that calmodulin (CaM) mediates Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent inhibition or inactivation of cyclic nucleotide-gated channels (17-19), NMDA receptor ion channels (20-22), L type Ca2+ channels (23-26), P/Q type Ca2+ channels (27, 28), and small-conductance calcium-activated potassium channels (29), many of which have high Ca<sup>2+</sup> permeability. A 1.6-Å crystal structure of the gating domain of a small-conductance calcium-activated potassium channel complexed with Ca<sup>2+</sup>/CaM was reported recently (30). Furthermore, several members of the TRP ion channel super family have been found to be regulated by CaM binding (31–38). Despite the fact that TRPV1 contains no obvious CaM-binding sites, such as

a consensus isoleucine–glutamine motif, that TRPV1 is a member of the TRP ion channel super family suggests the possibility that CaM inactivates TRPV1 in a Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent manner. We report that CaM binds to a 35-aa segment of TRPV1 and that disruption of the CaM-binding segment prevents the desensitization.

## **Materials and Methods**

**Mutagenesis.** A deletion mutant of TRPV1 lacking 35 aa ( $\Delta$ 35AA) was made by PCR. Rat CaM cDNA was obtained from the brain cDNA library (CLONTECH). Three CaM mutants, D21A/D57A (the first and second Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding positions of all four EF hands), D94A/D130A (the third and fourth Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding positions), and D21A/D57A/D94A/D130A were introduced by using oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis. All constructs were verified by DNA sequencing. cDNAs were subcloned into pcDNA3 vector (Invitrogen).

**Mammalian Cell Culture.** Human embryonic kidney-derived HEK293 cells were maintained in DMEM (supplemented with 10% FBS/penicillin/streptomycin/L-glutamine) and transfected with 1  $\mu$ g of plasmid DNA by using Lipofectamine Plus reagent (Invitrogen). TRPV1 cDNA was prepared as described (2).

**Electrophysiology.** Whole-cell patch–clamp recordings were carried out 1 or 2 days after transfection of TRPV1 cDNA to HEK293 cells as described above. Data were sampled at 10 kHz and filtered at 5 kHz for analysis (Axopatch 200B amplifier with PCLAMP software, Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA). Standard bath solution contained 140 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 mM Hepes, and 10 mM glucose, pH 7.4 (adjusted with NaOH). In Ca<sup>2+</sup>-free bath solution, CaCl<sub>2</sub> was replaced with 5 mM EGTA. Acid solution was buffered with 10 mM Mes instead of Hepes, and pH was adjusted to 4.0. Pipette solution contained 140 mM KCl, 5 mM EGTA, and 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.4 (adjusted with KOH). All patch-clamp experiments were performed at room temperature (22°C). The solutions containing drugs were applied to the chamber (180  $\mu$ l) by gravity at a flow rate of 5 ml/min.

In Vivo Binding Assay. HEK293 cells were maintained in DMEM and plated at 60-70% confluence in 100-mm dishes and then transfected with 0.5  $\mu$ g of rat TRPV1 cDNA or vector (pcDNA3) and 0.5  $\mu$ g of Myc-tagged rat CaM cDNA, as described above. Thirty-six hours after transfection, the cells were treated with 1  $\mu$ M capsaicin at 37°C for 3 min. After treatment with capsaicin, the cells were washed and suspended with ice-cold PBS. Onetenth of the samples were centrifuged and resuspended in SDS sample buffer (total cell lysate). The remaining samples were resuspended in TNE buffer [10 mM Tris·HCl/150 mM NaCl/1

This paper was submitted directly (Track II) to the PNAS office.

Abbreviations: CaM, calmodulin; TRP, transient receptor potential;  $\Delta$ 35AA, deletion mutant of TRPV1 lacking 35 aa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: tominaga@doc.medic.mie-u.ac.jp.

mM EDTA/complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Molecular Biochemicals)] with 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and centrifuged for 15 min at 100,000 × g. The pellets were suspended in TNE buffer with 1% Nonidet P-40 and 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and then sonicated for 30 sec. After the centrifugation at 100,000 × g for 30 min, the supernatants were precleared with protein A and then incubated at 4°C for 3 h with 4  $\mu$ g of rabbit anti-rat TRPV1 antibodies. Anti-rabbit IgG was added and incubated at 4°C for 1 h. Total cell lysate and the immunoprecipitated proteins were separated by SDS/PAGE (12% polyacrylamide) and analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-TRPV1 or anti-Myc antibodies (QE10; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). A peptide encoding the predicted carboxyl terminus of TRPV1 (EDAEVFKDSM-VPGEK) was coupled to keyhole limpet hemocyanin via an amino-terminal cysteine and used to immunize rabbits.

In Vitro Binding Assay. Fusion proteins comprising GST at the N terminus in-frame with N terminus, the first intracellular loop, the second intracellular loop, C terminus, four segments in C terminus (Fig. 2), and C terminus lacking 35 aa were generated by PCR and standard cloning techniques. The PCR products were subcloned into the pGEX vector (Amersham Biosciences). The final constructs were verified by sequencing. GST-TRPV1 proteins were purified according to the manufacturer's instructions (Amersham Biosciences). The GST pull-down assay was performed by mixing the GST-TRPV1 proteins and 5  $\mu$ g of bovine brain CaM (Calbiochem) in binding buffer [50 mM Tris·HCl/100 nM NaCl/1 mM EDTA/0.1% Triton-X/complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Molecular Biochemicals)] with [Ca(+)] or without  $[Ca(-)] 2 \text{ mM } CaCl_2$ . EGTA (5 mM) was added to the Ca(-) binding buffer. After a 2-h incubation at 4°C, the beads and bound materials were washed extensively and analyzed by SDS/PAGE (12% polyacrylamide) and immunoblotting by anti-CaM antibodies (Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY).

**Chemicals.** 1,2-Bis(2-aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid and (N-[2-(N-(4-chlorocinnamyl)-N-methylaminomethyl)phenyl]-N-{2-hydroxyethyl}-4-methoxybenzenesulfonamide) (KN93), Ca<sup>2+</sup>/CaM-dependent protein kinase II inhibitor, were purchased from Sigma. Two CaM inhibitors, N-(6-aminohexyl)-1-naphthalenesulfonamide hydrochloride (W-7) and calmidazolium chloride, were purchased from Seikagaku Kogyo (Tokyo) and Calbiochem, respectively.

## Results

In the absence of extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>, little reduction of capsaicinactivated currents was observed on short (10-sec) repetitive application [amplitude of second response was  $95.5 \pm 1.6\%$ (mean  $\pm$  SE) of the first, n = 4] (Fig. 1A) in HEK293 cells expressing WT TRPV1. On the other hand, a prominent reduction in the amplitude of capsaicin-activated currents (desensitization) was detected in the presence of 2 mM extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>  $(23.9 \pm 7.5\%)$  of the first response, n = 4, P < 0.01 vs. without  $Ca^{2+}$ ) (Fig. 1B), as described (1, 2). We examined the amplitude of the desensitized currents at 30 sec, 2 min, 5 min, and 10 min after the initial brief capsaicin application. Intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration is supposed to resume its resting level at these time points. However, no recovery was observed (22.0  $\pm$  4.6% of the first response at 10 min, n = 3), suggesting that the Ca<sup>2+</sup>dependent structural change of TRPV1, if any, may be hard to reverse once it occurs. To confirm the importance of intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> for the capsaicin-evoked desensitization, we examined the effect of 10 mM 1,2-bis(2-aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid, a potent Ca<sup>2+</sup> chelator, in the presence of extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> in HEK 293 cells expressing TRPV1. However, this compound failed to prevent TRPV1 desensitization (data not shown), suggesting that the site of  $Ca^{2+}$  action for



**Fig. 1.** Extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> dependence of capsaicin receptor current desensitization. (*A* and *B*) Representative traces of capsaicin-evoked whole-cell currents by short, repetitive application in HEK293 cells expressing WT TRPV1 in the absence (*A*) or presence (*B*) of extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>. Cells were held at -60 mV. (*C*) Representative trace of WT TRPV1 current evoked by capsaicin with CaM inhibitor W7 (100  $\mu$ M) in the pipette solution in the presence of extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>. (*D*) Representative trace of capsaicin-evoked current in cells expressing WT TRPV1 and mutated CaM (D21A/D57A/D94A/D130A) in the presence of extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>.

desensitization, if there is one, must be very close to the channel pore through which Ca<sup>2+</sup> enters. Next, we examined the potential involvement of CaM in TRPV1 desensitization. CaM inhibitor, W-7, or calmidazolium included in the pipette solution could not prevent TRPV1 desensitization (14.8  $\pm$  3.1%, n = 8for W-7,  $\hat{P} < 0.01$  vs. without Ca<sup>2+</sup>; 27.9 ± 9.5%, n = 5 for calmidazolium, P < 0.01 vs. without Ca<sup>2+</sup>) (Fig. 1C and data not shown). Cotransfection of TRPV1 with the three CaM mutants in their EF hands lacking Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding ability (D21A/D57A, D94A/D130A, and D21A/D57A/D94A/D130A) also failed to prevent TRPV1 desensitization (23.0  $\pm$  4.9%, n = 6; 31.5  $\pm$ 8.4%, n = 4; 26.5  $\pm$  7.6%, n = 4, respectively, P < 0.01 vs. without  $Ca^{2+}$ ) (Fig. 1D). In addition, application of W-7 or calmidazolium was without effects on TRPV1 desensitization in the cells expressing TRPV1 and mutant CaMs (data not shown). These results suggest that CaM is not likely involved in Ca<sup>2+</sup>dependent desensitization of TRPV1.

However, we examined the direct interaction of TRPV1 with CaM biochemically because negative results with such inhibitors have been reported in some electrophysiological studies in other channels (39–41). In HEK293 cells expressing both TRPV1 and Myc-tagged CaM, CaM could be coimmunoprecipitated with TRPV1 in the presence of  $Ca^{2+}$  (Fig. 24). Moreover, the amount of CaM coimmunoprecipitated with TRPV1 was increased on capsaicin treatment. This finding suggests that an increased  $Ca^{2+}$  influx through TRPV1 results in making  $Ca^{2+}/CaM$  complex, leading to TRPV1 desensitization.

To confirm that such an interaction occurs and to identify the domains of TRPV1 involved, recombinant proteins carrying GST fused to the four cytoplasmic domains of TRPV1 were generated for use in an *in vitro* binding assay with CaM in the presence of  $Ca^{2+}$ . This assay demonstrated that the C terminus of TRPV1 contains the segment necessary for interaction with



**Fig. 2.** In vivo and in vitro interaction of calmodulin with TRPV1. (A) TRPV1 immunoprecipitation analysis in HEK293 cells transfected with Myc-tagged CaM and TRPV1 or pcDNA3 with or without capsaicin (Cap) treatment (1  $\mu$ M, 3 min) in the presence of Ca<sup>2+</sup>. CaM was coimmunoprecipitated with TRPV1 depending on Cap treatment. Similar CaM expression was confirmed in both pcDNA3- and TRPV1-transfected cells regardless of Cap treatment. (*B*) Analysis of CaM binding to cytoplasmic domains of TRPV1 by using a GST pull-down assay in the presence of Ca<sup>2+</sup>. Only the C terminus of TRPV1 was found to interact with CaM. (*C*) Identification of a CaM-binding segment in the C terminus of TRPV1 by using a GST pull-down assay in the presence of Ca<sup>2+</sup>. Four C-terminal segments of TRPV1 used for making GST-fusion proteins are shown in the context of a putative transmembrane topology model (*Left*). (*Right*) A pull-down assay using GST-fusion proteins with four segments. (*D*) GST pull-down assays with C terminus of TRPV1 (*Left*), C terminus lacking 35 aa (*Left*), and segment 2 of C terminus (*Right*) in the presence (+) or absence (-) of Ca<sup>2+</sup>.

CaM (Fig. 2*B*). To further narrow down the amino acids involved, GST was fused to the four segments of the C terminus (Fig. 2*C Left*), and the resultant fusion proteins were subjected to the *in vitro* binding assay in the presence of Ca<sup>2+</sup>. Segment 2 was found to be sufficient for this interaction (Fig. 2*C Right*). In addition, the C terminus of TRPV1 lacking an internal 35-aa segment (E767-T801) (segment 2 in Fig. 2*C*; 44 aa minus overlapping 9 aa: EGVKRTLSFSLRSGRVSGRNWKNFALV-PLLRDAST) failed to bind CaM, either in the presence or absence of Ca<sup>2+</sup>, further indicating that this 35 aa is essential for binding of TRPV1 with CaM (Fig. 2*D Left*).

We also found  $Ca^{2+}$  dependency of this interaction in an *in vitro* binding assay with or without  $Ca^{2+}$  by using GST-fusion proteins with the C terminus of TRPV1 lacking the 35 aa and segment 2 in the C terminus (Fig. 2D). Binding of CaM with the C terminus or segment 2 of TRPV1 was  $Ca^{2+}$ -dependent, a phenomenon in agreement with the reported importance of  $Ca^{2+}$  for CaM function. Notably, the interaction of TRPV1 with CaM could be observed in the absence or presence of  $Ca^{2+}$  (Fig. 2D), suggesting that  $Ca^{2+}$  passing through the TRPV1 channels can act on CaM already bound to TRPV1. Also, that segment 2 of TRPV1 could bind to CaM in the absence of  $Ca^{2+}$  indicates that  $Ca^{2+}$ -unbound CaM binds to segment 2.

The functional importance of this 35-aa segment was examined by using the patch–clamp technique in HEK293 cells expressing either WT TRPV1 or  $\Delta$ 35AA. The amount of Ca<sup>2+</sup> entering the cell through the channel might be one of the determinants affecting the TRPV1-inactivation rates (16). Therefore, we decided to compare desensitization in cells expressing the different forms of TRPV1 (WT vs. mutant) but exhibiting similar current densities. Interestingly, in the presence of extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>, the desensitization induced by short capsaicin applications was almost completely abolished in the  $\Delta$ 35AA mutant (23.9  $\pm$  7.5%, n = 4, 244  $\pm$  46 pA/pF for WT; 93.3  $\pm$  5.5%, n = 4, 243  $\pm$  120 pA/pF for the mutant; P < 0.01) (Fig. 3 A and C), indicating that the 35-as segment plays an important role in desensitization to such short, repetitive stimuli. Electrophysiological properties including outwardly rectifying IV relation and capsazepine sensitivity were unchanged in the mutant (data not shown).

Because it has been proposed that desensitization is kinetically complex, we also examined the effects of the 35-aa segment on TRPV1 currents evoked by long-duration (40-sec) capsaicin application. In cells expressing WT TRPV1, during a protracted capsaicin application, little reduction in the amplitude of capsaicin-activated currents was observed in the absence of extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> [remaining current (mean  $\pm$  SE): 92.3  $\pm$  4.0%, n =4, 465  $\pm$  90 pA/pF] (Fig. 3B Left), whereas in the presence of extracellular Ca2+, a considerable time-dependent reduction in current amplitude was observed (7.1  $\pm$  0.9%, n = 4, 382  $\pm$  101 pA/pF, P < 0.01 vs. without Ca<sup>2+</sup>) (Fig. 3 *B Center* and *D*), as described previously (1, 2). In contrast, although some desensitization was observed in currents generated by the  $\Delta 35AA$ mutant in response to protracted capsaicin exposure, the extent of desensitization was significantly smaller than that exhibited by WT TRPV1 (24.0  $\pm$  2.4%,  $n = 6, 245 \pm 102 \text{ pA/pF}, P < 0.01$ vs. WT TRPV1 without Ca<sup>2+</sup> and WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>) (Fig. 3 B Right and D). These findings suggest that the fast component of TRPV1 desensitization involves the 35-aa segment but that this effect, in turn, can influence the extent of the slow component.

We next sought to determine whether the 35-aa-mediated desensitization was operative in the context of stimulation by



**Fig. 3.** CaM-binding segment of TRPV1 is sufficient for the extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent desensitization. (*A*) Representative trace of capsaicin-evoked current by short, repetitive application in HEK293 cells expressing deletion mutant of TRPV1 ( $\Delta$ 35AA) in the presence of extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>. (*B*) Representative traces of current responses evoked by long application of capsaicin in cells expressing WT TRPV1 (*Left* and *Center*) or  $\Delta$ 35AA (*Right*) in the absence (*Left*) or presence (*Center* and *Right*) of Ca<sup>2+</sup>. (*C* and *D*) Extent of desensitization summarized from the data in the short application (*C*) and the long application (*D*) protocol. The current ratios (mean ± SE) of the second to the first of two consecutive, 10-sec applications with a 30-sec interval (*C*) or the residual fractions (mean ± SE) of peak currents remaining at application of 1  $\mu$ M capsaicin for 30 sec (*D*) are shown. \*, *P* < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; \*\*, *P* < 0.01 vs.  $\Delta$ 35AA, two-tailed unpaired *t* test. (*E*) Effects of deleting a CaM-binding domain on desensitization of the TRPV1 currents induced by short, repetitive acid stimulations in the presence of extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>. The ratios (mean ± SE) of the second to the first of two consecutive, 10-sec applications of acidic solution (pH 4.0) are shown. \*, *P* < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; \*\*, *P* < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; \*\*, *P* < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; \*\*, *P* < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; \*\*, *P* < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; \*\*, *P* < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; \*\*, *P* < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; \*\*, *P* < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; \*\*, *P* < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; \*\*, *P* < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; \*\*, *P* < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; \*\*, *P* < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; \*\*, *P* < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; \*\*, *P* < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; \*\*, *P* < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; \*\*, *P* < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; \*\*, *P* < 0.05 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; \*\*, *P* < 0.01 vs. WT T

other TRPV1 ligands such as protons, which activate TRPV1 through interaction with a different site than capsaicin (42). Whereas WT TRPV1 showed significant desensitization in response to short, repetitive exposure to protons, proton-activated currents mediated by the  $\Delta$ 35AA desensitized very little in the presence of extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> [ratio (mean ± SE) of the second to the first of two consecutive responses: 86.8 ± 6.2% for WT without Ca<sup>2+</sup>, n = 4, P < 0.05 vs. WT with Ca<sup>2+</sup>; 67.0 ± 3.1% for WT with Ca<sup>2+</sup>, n = 4; 100.8 ± 7.0% for  $\Delta$ 35AA with Ca<sup>2+</sup>, n = 4, P < 0.01 vs. WT with Ca<sup>2+</sup>) (Fig. 3*E*). This finding indicates that the 35-aa-mediated effect is not ligand-specific.

An earlier study has suggested the involvement of Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent phosphorylation in the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent desensitization of capsaicin-induced currents in dorsal root ganglion neurons (9). Therefore, we examined the effect of CaMKII inhibitor, KN93, on desensitization of the TRPV1 currents induced by both short and long applications of capsaicin in the presence of extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>. We found that KN93 did not show any effects on the desensitizing properties (28.0  $\pm$  11.7% of the first response, n = 6, and 7.1  $\pm$  4.0% of the peak response, n = 5, for short and long capsaicin application, respectively), suggesting that CaMKII is not involved in the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent desensitization of TRPV1, at least in the patch-clamp conditions we used.

## Discussion

The results presented here identify a structural determinant involved in extracellular  $Ca^{2+}$ -dependent desensitization of TRPV1.  $Ca^{2+}$ -dependent desensitization is a relatively common feature of many cation channels including L-type  $Ca^{2+}$  channels, NMDA receptor channels, and TRP channels including TRPV1.

It may be a physiological safety mechanism against a harmful  $Ca^{2+}$  overload in the cell, especially during large  $Ca^{2+}$  influx through the channels, and it is reported to be involved in phototransduction in *Drosophila* in some TRP channels. Feedback inhibition of NMDA receptor activity by  $Ca^{2+}$ -dependent process is believed to allow for the regulation of  $Ca^{2+}$  influx in the postsynaptic cell. Similar regulation of  $Ca^{2+}$  flux through TRPV1 might play a role in the establishment of sensory neuron activation threshold and in the protection of these neurons from  $Ca^{2+}$  overload. The desensitization may induce refractoriness of sensory neurons to other noxious stimuli, leading to the use of capsaicin as an analgesic agent.

The  $Ca^{2+}$  dependence of CaM binding to TRPV1 (Fig. 2B) might be notable because Ca<sup>2+</sup> entering through the channel can make CaM/Ca2+ complex, leading to the initiation of the channel inactivation. However, weak CaM binding to TRPV1 also was detected even in the absence of extracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  (Fig. 2D). This finding is in good agreement with a model in which CaM is tethered constitutively to the L type  $Ca^{2+}$  channels in a Ca<sup>2+</sup>-independent manner, a conclusion drawn from an experiment with Ca<sup>2+</sup>-insensitive CaM in which all four Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding sites were mutated (25). Local tethering of CaM to the channels might ensure a relatively rapid response to a local Ca<sup>2+</sup> signal (39). No putative  $Ca^{2+}$ -binding EF hand motif or consensus CaM-binding isoleucine-glutamine motif was revealed to be involved in the interaction of CaM with TRPV1. Several amino acid sequences other than isoleucine-glutamine motif could be targets for CaM binding as suggested in L type Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels (23). In our experiment, three kinds of mutant CaMs could not prevent Ca2+-dependent desensitization although those CaM

mutants were reported to prevent the desensitization of several ion channels (24, 25, 28, 29, 37, 38). The reason for the negative results with mutant CaMs is not clear. Together with the ineffectiveness of the CaM inhibitors, W-7 and calmidazolium, the negative results might make it unlikely that CaM is involved in Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent TRPV1 desensitization. It is also less likely that endogenous CaM is sufficient for making CaM/Ca<sup>2+</sup> complex show its effect. It remains a more likely mechanism for TRPV1 desensitization that the 35-aa segment disrupted in TRPV1 has another function independent of CaM binding. However, some endogenous CaM might not be affected by the two CaM inhibitors. Alternatively, prebound CaM might be insensitive to the inhibitors. Furthermore, there are many reports of negative results with the inhibitors, especially in the patch-clamp experiments. Therefore, we still cannot exclude the possibility that binding of CaM/Ca<sup>2+</sup> complex to the 35-aa segment causes desensitization. Future studies will clarify this point and how the interaction between CaM and the 35-aa segment demonstrated in in vitro experiments relates to the importance of the segment for TRPV1 desensitization.

The desensitization of capsaicin-activated currents in sensory neurons was reported previously to be due to the activation of  $Ca^{2+}$ -dependent phosphatase, calcineurin (PP2B) (9). That find-

1. Szallasi, A. & Blumberg, P. M. (1999) Pharmacol. Rev. 51, 159-211.

- Caterina, M. J., Schumacher, M. A., Tominaga, M., Rosen, T. A., Levine, J. D. & Julius, D. (1997) *Nature* 389, 816–824.
- Tominaga, M., Caterina, M. J., Malmberg, A. B., Rosen, T. A., Gilbert, H., Skinner, K., Raumann, B. E., Basbaum, A. I. & Julius, D. (1998) *Neuron* 21, 531–543.
- Zygmunt, P. M., Petersson, J., Andersson, D. A., Chuang, H.-H., Sorgard, M., Di Marzo, V., Julius, D. & Hogestatt, E. D. (1999) *Nature* 400, 452–457.
- Hwang, S. W., Cho, H., Kwak, J., Lee, S.-Y., Kang, C.-J., Jung, J., Cho, S., Min, K. H., Suh, Y.-G., Kim, D., et al. (2000) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97, 6155–6160.
- 6. Caterina, M. J. & Julius, D. (2001) Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 24, 487-517.
- Caterina, M. J., Leffler, A., Malmberg, A. B., Martin, W. J., Trafton, J., Petersen-Zeitz, K. R., Koltzenburg, M., Basbaum, A. I. & Julius, D. (2000) *Science* 288, 306–313.
- Davis, J. B., Gray, J., Gunthorpe, M. J., Hatcher, J. P., Davey, P. T., Overend, P., Harries, M. H., Latcham, J., Clapham, C., Atkinson, K., et al. (2000) Nature 405, 183–187.
- Docherty, R. J., Yeats, J. C., Bevan, S. & Boddeke, H. W. (1996) *Pflügers Arch.* 431, 828–837.
- Koplas, P. A., Rosenberg, R. L. & Oxford, G. S. (1996) J. Neurosci. 17, 3525–3537.
- 11. Liu, L. & Simon, S. A. (1996) J. Neurophysiol. 75, 1503-1514.
- Piper, A. S., Yeats, J. C., Bevan, S. & Docherty, R. J. (1999) J. Physiol. 518, 721–733.
- 13. Bernstein, J. E. (1987) Cutis 39, 352-353.
- 14. Maggi, C. A. (1991) J. Auton. Nerv. Syst. 33, 1-14.
- Campbell, E., Bevan, S. & Dray, A. (1993) in *Capsaicin in the Study of Pain*, ed. Wood, J. N. (Academic, San Diego), pp. 255–269.
- Bhave, G., Zhu, W., Wang, H., Brasier, D. L., Oxford, G. S. & Gereau, R. W., IV. (2002) *Neuron* 35, 721–731.
- 17. Zimmerman, A. L. (1995) Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 5, 296-303.
- 18. Molday, R. S. (1996) Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 6, 445-452.
- Grunwald, M. E., Yu, W.-P., Yu, H.-H. & Yau, K.-W. (1998) J. Biol. Chem. 273, 9148–9157.
- Ehlers, M. D., Zhang, S., Bernhardt, J. P. & Huganir, R. L. (1996) Cell 84, 745–755.
- Zhang, S., Ehlers, M. D., Bernhardt, J. P., Su, C.-T. & Huganir, R. (1998) Neuron 21, 443–453.
- Krupp, J. J., Vissel, B., Thomas, C. G., Heinemann, S. F. & Wesrbrook, G. L. (1999) J. Neurosci. 19, 1165–1178.

ing is consistent with the observation that TRPV1 can be phosphorylated by either PKA or PKC (16, 43, 44). In a recent study, it was reported that capsaicin receptors exist in the phosphorylated state in the absence of extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> (45). We have ruled out the possibility that CaMKII is involved in the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent desensitization of TRPV1 although CaM involvement suggested it. Future studies aimed at exploring the role of Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent phosphorylation/dephosphorylation processes in the desensitization of recombinant TRPV1 may clarify further the mechanistic basis.

In conclusion, we identified a structural determinant of TRPV1 that interacts with CaM. The interaction may underlie, in part, the paradoxical use of capsaicin as analgesic. Moreover, compounds acting on the 35-aa segment of TRPV1 could prove useful in the treatment of pain by interfering with  $Ca^{2+}/CaM$  function.

We thank M. J. Caterina (The Johns Hopkins University) for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by grants from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan and the Japan Brain Foundation, and by the Uehara Memorial Foundation (M.T.) and the Maruishi Pharmaceutical Company, Limited (Japan).

- 23. Zuhlke, R. D. & Reuter, H. (1998) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95, 3287-3294.
- Zuhlke, R. D., Pitt, G. S., Deisseroth, K., Tsien, R. W. & Reuter, H. (1999) Nature 399, 159–162.
- Peterson, B. Z., DeMaria, C. D. & Yue, D. T. (1999) Neuron 22, 549–558.
- Qin, N., Olcese, R., Bransby, M., Lin, T. & Birnbaumer, L. (1999) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96, 2435–2438.
- Lee, A., Wong, S. T., Gallagher, D., Li, B., Strom, D. R., Scheuer, T. & Catterall, W. (1999) *Nature* 399, 155–159.
- Demaria, C. D., Soong, T. W., Alseikhan, B. A., Alvania, R. S. & Yue, D. T. (2001) Nature 411, 484–489.
- Xia, X.-M., Fakler, B., Rivard, A., Wayman, G., Johnson-Pais, T., Keen, J. E., Ishii, T., Hirschberg, B., Bond, C. Y., Lutsenko, S., et al. (1998) Nature 395, 503–507.
- Schumacher, M. A., Rivard, A. F., Bachinger, H. P. & Adelman, J. P. (2001) *Nature* 410, 1120–1124.
- 31. Warr, C. G. & Kelly, L. E. (1996) Biochem. J. 314, 497-503.
- 32. Scott, K., Sun, Y., Beckingham, K. & Zuker, C. S. (1997) Cell 91, 375-383.
- 33. Chevesich, J., Kreuz, A. J. & Montell, C. (1997) Neuron 18, 95-105.
- Niemeyer, B. A., Bergs, C., Wissenbach, U., Flockerzi, V. & Trost, C. (2001) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98, 3600–3605.
- Trost, C., Bergs, C., Himmerkus, N. & Flockerzi, V. (2001) Biochem. J. 355, 663–670.
- Tang, J., Lin, Y., Zhang, Z., Tikunova, S., Birnbaumer, L. & Zhu, M. X. (2001) J. Biol. Chem. 276, 21303–21310.
- 37. Zhang, Z., Tang, J., Tikunova, S., Johnson, J. D., Chen, Z., Qin, N., Dietrich, A., Stefani, E., Birnbaumer, L. & Zhu, M. X. (2001) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 98, 3168–3173.
- Singh, B. B., Liu, X., Tang, J., Zhu, M. X. & Ambudkar, I. S. (2002) Mol. Cell 9, 739–750.
- 39. Levitan, I. B. (1999) Neuron 22, 645-648.
- Victor, R. G., Rusnak, F., Sikkink, R., Marban, E. & O'Rourke, B. (1997) J. Membr. Biol. 156, 53–61.
- 41. Imredy, J. P & Yue, D. T. (1994) Neuron 12, 1301-1318.
- 42. Jordt, S.-E., Tominaga, M. & Julius, D. (2000) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97, 8134–8139.
- Numazaki, M., Tominaga, T., Toyooka, H. & Tominaga, M. (2002) J. Biol. Chem. 277, 13375–13378.
- Rathee, P. K., Distler, C., Obreja, O., Neuhuber, W., Wang, G. K., Wang, S.-Y., Nau, C. & Kress, M. (2002) J. Neurosci. 22, 4740–4745.
- Vellani, V., Mapplebeck, S., Moriondo, A., Davis, J. B. & McNaughton, O. A. (2001) J. Physiol. 534, 813–825.