

## Fanconi Anemia FANCG Protein in Mitigating Radiation- and Enzyme-Induced DNA Double-Strand Breaks by Homologous Recombination in Vertebrate Cells

Kazuhiko Yamamoto,<sup>1,2</sup> Masamichi Ishiai,<sup>1</sup> Nobuko Matsushita,<sup>1</sup> Hiroshi Arakawa,<sup>3</sup>  
Jane E. Lamerdin,<sup>4</sup> Jean-Marie Buerstedde,<sup>3</sup> Mitsune Tanimoto,<sup>2</sup>  
Mine Harada,<sup>5</sup> Larry H. Thompson,<sup>4</sup> and Minoru Takata<sup>1\*</sup>

Department of Immunology and Molecular Genetics, Kawasaki Medical School, Kurashiki, Okayama 701-0192,<sup>1</sup>  
Department of Internal Medicine, Okayama University Medical School, Okayama 700-8558,<sup>2</sup> and Department  
of Internal Medicine, Kyushu University School of Medicine, Fukuoka 812-8582,<sup>5</sup> Japan; Institute for  
Molecular Radiobiology, GSF, D-85764 Neuherberg Munich, Germany<sup>3</sup>; and Biology and  
Biotechnology Research Program, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory,  
Livermore, California 94551-0808<sup>4</sup>

Received 2 December 2002/Returned for modification 26 January 2003/Accepted 24 April 2003

**The rare hereditary disorder Fanconi anemia (FA) is characterized by progressive bone marrow failure, congenital skeletal abnormality, elevated susceptibility to cancer, and cellular hypersensitivity to DNA cross-linking chemicals and sometimes other DNA-damaging agents. Molecular cloning identified six causative genes (*FANCA*, *-C*, *-D2*, *-E*, *-F*, and *-G*) encoding a multiprotein complex whose precise biochemical function remains elusive. Recent studies implicate this complex in DNA damage responses that are linked to the breast cancer susceptibility proteins BRCA1 and BRCA2. Mutations in BRCA2, which participates in homologous recombination (HR), are the underlying cause in some FA patients. To elucidate the roles of FA genes in HR, we disrupted the *FANCG/XRCC9* locus in the chicken B-cell line DT40. FANCG-deficient DT40 cells resemble mammalian *fancc* mutants in that they are sensitive to killing by cisplatin and mitomycin C (MMC) and exhibit increased MMC and radiation-induced chromosome breakage. We find that the repair of I-SceI-induced chromosomal double-strand breaks (DSBs) by HR is decreased ~9-fold in *fancc* cells compared with the parental and *FANCG*-complemented cells. In addition, the efficiency of gene targeting is mildly decreased in *FANCG*-deficient cells, but depends on the specific locus. We conclude that *FANCG* is required for efficient HR-mediated repair of at least some types of DSBs.**

Fanconi anemia (FA), a rare autosomal recessive disorder, is clinically characterized by progressive bone marrow failure, congenital skeletal abnormality, and elevated susceptibility to malignant tumors (reviewed in references 1, 19, and 24). FA is one of the genetic diseases in which the capacity to maintain genomic integrity is severely impaired (24). Cells derived from FA patients exhibit spontaneous chromosomal instability (24), which is intensified following treatment with DNA cross-linking agents such as mitomycin C (MMC) and cisplatin (42). The DNA cross-linker sensitivity is a hallmark of FA and has been used as a simple diagnostic test (2).

FA is caused by mutations in multiple genes. Somatic cell fusion studies defined at least eight FA complementation groups, and six of them were used to clone the complementing genes, which are designated *FANCA*, *-C*, *-D2*, *-E*, *-F*, and *-G* (1, 19, 24). These FA genes do not have any obvious domains or motifs that would suggest possible biochemical functions. Given the similar clinical and cellular features of the different complementation groups, the FA genes are thought to share at least one common function in a single biochemical pathway. Indeed, two-hybrid and immunoprecipitation analyses indi-

cated that FA proteins (A, C, E, F, and G) form a multisubunit nuclear complex (reviewed in references 1, 19, 21, and 24), and the complex has recently been reported to interact with FANCD2 protein (38).

It has been suggested that the FA pathway works in a DNA damage response pathway (1, 19, 24). The occurrence of spontaneous chromosome breakage in FA cells is consistent with a potential role in repairing double-strand breaks (DSBs). In eukaryotic cells, there exist two major DSB repair pathways, nonhomologous end joining and homologous recombination (HR) (29, 57). Defects in either DSB repair pathway cause spontaneous chromosome breakage (27, 28, 39, 46, 51–53; reviewed in reference 55). Furthermore, the repair of interstrand cross-link damage, which may involve the generation of a DSB as an intermediate, requires HR in both prokaryotes and yeast (11, 55). However, FA cells generally exhibit normal levels of sister chromatid exchange (SCE) (31, 60), suggesting that gross defects in cellular HR activity are rather unlikely in FA cells (10, 24, 47).

Nevertheless, recent studies support the view that FA genes may have a link to the HR process. For example, the FANCD2 protein appears to function in association with the breast cancer susceptibility protein BRCA1 (17), mutations in which produce defects in HR (35, 44). These two proteins physically interact and colocalize in distinct nuclear foci following DNA damage. FANCD2 focus formation is dependent on intact

\* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Immunology and Molecular Genetics, Kawasaki Medical School, Kurashiki, Okayama 701-0192, Japan. Phone: 81-86-462-1111. Fax: 81-86-464-1187. E-mail: mtakata@med.kawasaki-m.ac.jp.

BRCA1, the FA nuclear complex, and monoubiquitination of D2 protein at amino acid residue K561 (17). Most importantly, another link to recombination comes from the observation that biallelic causal mutations of *BRCA2* are present in human FANCD1 cells (23). *BRCA2* is thought to be vital in HR, perhaps by helping to form the Rad51 nucleoprotein filament (6, 40, 62; reviewed in references 56 and 58), a key structure that initiates homologous pairing (5, 29, 57). Thus, the fact that *BRCA2* is actually an FA gene suggests that at least a subset of FA patients may have defective HR (49).

To date, except for *BRCA2* (which could vary depending on the specific mutant allele), formal proof is still lacking for involvement of FA proteins in HR. To evaluate HR activity of cells defective in FA genes, we established *FANCG*-deficient cells by using gene targeting technology with the chicken B-cell line DT40 (4). The *fancc* DT40 mutant has a phenotype resembling that of human and rodent *FANCG* mutants. In particular, we show that our *fancc* knockout cells have not only decreased HR capacity for repairing enzymatically induced, site-specific chromosomal DSBs but also elevated ionizing radiation (IR)-induced chromosomal aberrations in cells irradiated in late S and G<sub>2</sub> phases.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Construction of targeting and expression plasmids and generation of antisera.

A partial chicken cDNA sequence for *FANCG* was identified in the chicken WebBursalEST database and was subsequently used as a probe in screening a chicken intestinal mucosa cDNA library (Stratagene, La Jolla, Calif.). To isolate full-length *FANCG* cDNA, the N-terminal portion of chicken *FANCG* was PCR amplified from DT40 cDNA on the basis of the sequence of another chicken expressed sequence tag (EST) clone. Identity of the cDNA clones was confirmed by sequencing. An alignment of the predicted protein sequences from four species (human, mouse, hamster, and chicken) was performed with Genetyx-Mac version 10 (Software Development Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

Expression vectors were constructed by inserting the full-length chicken or human *FANCG* cDNA into either pApuro vector (50) or pCR3-loxP-IRES-EGFP-loxP vector (12). The human Rad51 expression vector (pAneo-hRad51) was previously described (34). The I-SceI expression vector pcBASce was kindly provided by Maria Jasin (Sloan-Kettering Institute, New York, N.Y.).

Genomic DNA clones of *FANCG* were isolated by screening a chicken genomic library (Stratagene), and the gene disruption constructs were generated as previously described (4). Gene targeting with this construct was expected to replace the genomic region that encodes chicken *FANCG* amino acids 169 to 399 with selection markers.

**Gene targeting and cell culture.** Cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10<sup>-5</sup> M β-mercaptoethanol, 10% fetal calf serum, and 1% chicken serum (Sigma, St. Louis, Mo.) at 39.5°C. DNA transfections and selection were performed as described previously. To stably express human Rad51 or chicken *FANCG* in *FANCG*-deficient cells, expression vector pAneo-hRad51 or pCR3-loxP-chFANCG/IRES-EGFP-loxP was transfected by electroporation. Expressing clones were identified by Western blot analysis or by measuring enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) fluorescence with a FACSCalibur (Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, Calif.), respectively.

**Western blot analysis.** Cells (10<sup>6</sup>) were lysed in 20 μl of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) sample buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl [pH 6.5], 1% SDS, 0.24 M β-mercaptoethanol, 0.1% bromophenol blue, 5% glycerol). Boiled aliquots were subjected to SDS-10% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. After transfer to a membrane, proteins were detected with rabbit anti-human Rad51 serum (kindly provided by Akira Shinohara) and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti rabbit immunoglobulin (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, N.J.) by using ECL Western blotting detection reagents (Amersham Biosciences).

**Reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) analysis.** Total RNA was isolated from wild-type and *FANCG*-deficient cells by using RNAsol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Calif.). cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg of total RNA by using random hexamers and Superscript reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). GoldTaq polymerase (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, Calif.) was used for amplification. Sequences of specific primers for chicken *FANCG* were 5'-GAACCTCTGGGCA

GGACCTAGCACACAAA-3' and 5'-TGACCTCATCACACACTGTTA-3'. Primers for chicken *RAD51* were 5'-GCAGCCATGGCCATGCAGGT-3' and 5'-AAGTCTTCATTCTTTTGCAT-3'.

**Flow cytometric analysis of cell number, cell cycle, and EGFP expression.** Cell growth was determined as previously described (52). Briefly, cells were stained with 5 μg of propidium iodide (PI) per ml, mixed with fixed numbers of plastic beads (Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, Pa.), and then counted with a FACSCalibur. For cell cycle analysis, cells were cultured in the presence of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdUrd) for 10 min and then fixed and stained with anti-BrdUrd antibody (PharMingen, San Diego, Calif.) and PI. EGFP expression was analyzed with a FACSCalibur, with dead cells gated out by PI staining.

**Measurement of targeted integration frequencies.** To analyze targeted integration events at the *KU70*, *OVALBUMIN*, *XRCC2*, and *XRCC3* loci, we used previously described disruption constructs (13, 52, 53). Some of them were modified by swapping drug resistance marker cassettes so that appropriate drugs could be used. DNAs were transfected into cells, and Southern blot analysis was performed following selection of clones resistant to the appropriate drug.

**Analysis of chromosomal aberrations and SCE.** Chromosome analysis was done with or without X-ray (2 Gy) or MMC (40 or 20 ng/ml, 24 h) treatment as previously described (51, 52). To analyze MMC-induced SCEs, cells were incubated in medium containing 50 ng of MMC per ml for 12 h. Colcemid was added to 0.1 μg/ml for the last 1.5 h of this incubation before harvest. Detection of SCE events was performed as previously described (51).

**Measurement of HR-mediated repair of DSBs induced by I-SceI expression.** Transfections were done by electroporating (250 V, 975 μF) cells (10<sup>7</sup>) suspended in complete culture medium with each of the following plasmid DNAs (30 μg) without linearization: I-SceI expression vector (pcBASce) together with empty vector pApuro or human *FANCG* or chicken *FANCG* expression vector (pApuro-hFANCG or pApuro-chFANCG, respectively). pBluescript SK was also transfected as a negative control. At 24 h after electroporation, cells were transferred to 96-well cluster trays containing 2.0 mg of G418 per ml. Cells were grown for 10 to 14 days, and surviving G418-resistant colonies were counted to measure the frequency of HR-mediated repair of I-SceI-induced DSBs. To determine the nature of repair events, genomic DNA was extracted from expanded G418-resistant colonies, restricted by *KpnI* and *SacI* double digestion, and examined by genomic Southern blotting with a *neo* fragment as a probe.

**Measurement of sensitivity of cells to X rays, MMC, and cisplatin.** Serially diluted cells were plated in medium containing methylcellulose and then irradiated with 4 MV of X rays (linear accelerator; Mitsubishi Electric Inc., Tokyo, Japan) at a dose of 100 cGy per min. To measure sensitivity to MMC (Kyowa-Hakkou, Tokyo, Japan), cells were incubated at 39.5°C in complete medium containing the compound for 1 h, washed three times with warm medium, and then plated in medium containing methylcellulose. Sensitivity to cisplatin (Nihon-Kayaku, Tokyo, Japan) was measured by plating cells onto the methylcellulose plates containing cisplatin.

**Visualization of subnuclear focus formation of Rad51.** Cells were harvested at the indicated time points after X irradiation (8 Gy) or MMC exposure (500 ng/ml for 1 h). Cytospin slides were prepared by using Cytospin 3 (Shandon, Pittsburgh, Pa.). Visualization of subnuclear foci was performed as previously described (61) with anti-hRad51 rabbit antiserum. Images were captured by TCS-NT laser scanning confocal microscopy (Leica Microsystems, Bannockburn, Ill.), and processed with Photoshop software (version 6; Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, Calif.). Cells with more than four brightly fluorescing foci were scored as positive. At least 100 morphologically intact cells were examined at each time point.

**Nucleotide sequence accession number.** The chicken *FANCG* cDNA sequence has been deposited to DDBJ under accession number AB096039.

## RESULTS

**Cloning of chicken *FANCG* cDNA and cross-species comparison with other *FANCG* homologs.** To isolate chicken *FANCG* cDNA, we examined the WebBursalEST database (<http://swallow.gsf.de/dt40Est.html>) and found several EST sequences that have significant homology to human *FANCG*/*XRCC9* (8, 32). Library screening combined with RT-PCR based on these sequences led to the identification of full-length chicken *FANCG* cDNA. Of note, RT-PCR products of N-terminal chicken *FANCG* were found to contain several alternatively spliced variants (data not shown). Most of them ap-

chicken	1	<b>M</b> KRLRCGTAPEPGC-- <b>LQ</b> AAAECEALAG--RWRAARGSAYGGAAAA <b>RQ</b> - <b>LR</b> EA <b>FG</b> BE <b>L</b>	55
human	1	<b>M</b> SROTTSVGS-S--C-LDLWREKNDRLV <b>RQAKVAQN</b> -SGLTLRRQOLA <b>DA</b> LE <b>C</b> -LR <b>GLL</b>	54
mouse	1	<b>M</b> SSQV <b>I</b> PALPKTFSS <b>L</b> DLWREKND <b>Q</b> LVR <b>QAKQL</b> TRDSRPSLR <b>Q</b> Q <b>S</b> A <b>Q</b> DT <b>LE</b> C-L <b>RELL</b>	59
hamster	1	<b>M</b> SSQ <b>I</b> MSALSQTSS <b>S</b> T <b>DL</b> W <b>KD</b> KNDRL <b>VE</b> QARQLTGG <b>S</b> RL <b>S</b> LR <b>RQ</b> LA <b>Q</b> DT <b>LE</b> C-F <b>RELL</b>	
chicken	56	<b>LQ</b> MR <b>GL</b> PP <b>AE</b> EM <b>PL</b> ELTVLYNSLLFVMG <b>TSD</b> CAIK <b>GEA</b> -EGIR <b>Q</b> GL <b>LR</b> V <b>LE</b> AC <b>GT</b> SG <b>QD</b>	114
human	55	<b>HS</b> L <b>Q</b> GL <b>PA</b> AV <b>PV</b> L <b>PL</b> ELTV <b>TON</b> FI <b>L</b> IRAS <b>L</b> A <b>Q</b> GF <b>TE</b> -D <b>QA</b> OD <b>I</b> Q <b>R</b> S <b>LR</b> V <b>LE</b> T <b>Q</b> EQ <b>Q</b> GP <b>R</b>	113
mouse	60	<b>LT</b> L <b>Q</b> GL <b>PA</b> AV <b>P</b> AL <b>PL</b> ELTV <b>LC</b> NC <b>I</b> ILRAS <b>L</b> V <b>Q</b> A <b>FT</b> E-D <b>L</b> T <b>Q</b> D <b>L</b> Q <b>R</b> GL <b>ER</b> V <b>LE</b> A <b>Q</b> HH <b>LE</b> PK	118
hamster	60	<b>LT</b> L <b>Q</b> GL <b>PA</b> AV <b>P</b> AL <b>PL</b> ELTV <b>IC</b> NY <b>I</b> ILGAS <b>L</b> A <b>Q</b> GF <b>TE</b> -D <b>L</b> T <b>Q</b> D <b>V</b> Q <b>R</b> GL <b>ER</b> V <b>LE</b> T <b>Q</b> S <b>Q</b> LE <b>PK</b>	118
chicken	115	<b>P</b> ST <b>Q</b> - <b>K</b> - <b>LW</b> Q <b>KV</b> L <b>Q</b> EV <b>T</b> VE- <b>EL</b> Q <b>AP</b> L <b>HR</b> E <b>GA</b> L <b>Q</b> AA <b>W</b> L <b>A</b> T <b>S</b> C <b>Q</b> NI <b>I</b> D <b>F</b> RL <b>SS</b> A <b>E</b> D <b>PE</b>	171
human	114	<b>LE</b> Q <b>GL</b> RE <b>L</b> ND <b>S</b> VL <b>R</b> AS <b>CL</b> PE <b>LL</b> S <b>AL</b> HR <b>EV</b> GL <b>Q</b> A <b>L</b> W <b>S</b> AD <b>R</b> GD <b>L</b> AL <b>LE</b> L <b>NG</b> S--- <b>Q</b>	170
mouse	119	<b>SQ</b> Q <b>L</b> KE <b>L</b> W <b>H</b> SV <b>L</b> S <b>AS</b> SL <b>PE</b> LL <b>PA</b> L <b>H</b> C <b>L</b> AS <b>L</b> Q <b>AV</b> F <b>W</b> M <b>S</b> T <b>D</b> H <b>ED</b> L <b>T</b> L <b>L</b> Q <b>T</b> L <b>NG</b> S--- <b>Q</b>	175
hamster	119	<b>LQ</b> Q <b>L</b> Q <b>L</b> W <b>H</b> SV <b>L</b> S <b>AS</b> SL <b>PE</b> LL <b>PA</b> L <b>H</b> C <b>L</b> AS <b>L</b> Q <b>I</b> F <b>W</b> M <b>C</b> T <b>D</b> H <b>ED</b> L <b>L</b> L <b>Q</b> T <b>L</b> NG <b>I</b> --- <b>Q</b>	175
chicken	172	<b>R</b> AP <b>C</b> RA <b>E</b> KN <b>EL</b> L <b>T</b> L <b>K</b> A <b>W</b> R <b>T</b> P <b>A</b> E <b>AS</b> V <b>S</b> L <b>V</b> Q <b>S</b> -T <b>ED</b> L <b>K</b> E <b>T</b> L <b>C</b> T <b>A</b> A <b>F</b> L <b>Q</b> L <b>Q</b> E <b>AG</b> N <b>L</b> S	230
human	171	<b>S</b> G <b>A</b> S <b>K</b> --- <b>D</b> L <b>L</b> L <b>L</b> L <b>K</b> T <b>W</b> S <b>P</b> P <b>A</b> E <b>L</b> D <b>A</b> P <b>T</b> L <b>Q</b> D <b>A</b> Q <b>L</b> K <b>D</b> V <b>L</b> L <b>T</b> A <b>F</b> A <b>Y</b> R <b>Q</b> L <b>Q</b> E <b>L</b> T <b>IG</b> N <b>P</b> D	226
mouse	176	<b>T</b> Q <b>S</b> S <b>E</b> --- <b>D</b> L <b>L</b> L <b>L</b> L <b>K</b> S <b>W</b> S <b>P</b> P <b>A</b> E <b>S</b> P <b>A</b> P <b>I</b> L <b>Q</b> D <b>A</b> E <b>S</b> L <b>R</b> D <b>V</b> L <b>L</b> T <b>A</b> F <b>A</b> C <b>R</b> Q <b>F</b> O <b>E</b> L <b>T</b> I <b>G</b> S <b>L</b> P	231
hamster	176	<b>T</b> E <b>T</b> S <b>E</b> --- <b>D</b> L <b>L</b> L <b>L</b> L <b>K</b> S <b>W</b> S <b>P</b> P <b>E</b> K <b>S</b> D <b>A</b> P <b>I</b> L <b>Q</b> D <b>A</b> E <b>G</b> L <b>R</b> D <b>V</b> L <b>L</b> T <b>L</b> A <b>C</b> R <b>Q</b> L <b>Q</b> E <b>L</b> T <b>I</b> G <b>S</b> L <b>P</b>	231
chicken	231	<b>T</b> T <b>L</b> C <b>L</b> L <b>Q</b> E <b>A</b> GG <b>F</b> CS <b>K</b> R <b>V</b> L <b>AC</b> I <b>V</b> V <b>CL</b> GC <b>A</b> Q <b>R</b> M <b>C</b> K <b>P</b> Q <b>T</b> A <b>L</b> Q <b>H</b> L <b>K</b> C <b>A</b> L <b>Q</b> V <b>D</b> F <b>Q</b> H <b>P</b> A <b>L</b> S <b>H</b> T	290
human	227	<b>K</b> AL <b>S</b> S <b>L</b> H <b>E</b> A <b>S</b> GL <b>C</b> P <b>R</b> P <b>V</b> L <b>V</b> Q <b>V</b> T <b>AL</b> GS <b>CHR</b> K <b>M</b> C <b>N</b> P <b>Q</b> R <b>A</b> L <b>L</b> Y <b>L</b> V <b>A</b> AL <b>K</b> E <b>G</b> S <b>A</b> W <b>G</b> P <b>P</b> L <b>L</b> E <b>A</b>	286
mouse	232	<b>H</b> A <b>Q</b> N <b>L</b> H <b>E</b> A <b>S</b> GL <b>C</b> P <b>P</b> S <b>V</b> L <b>V</b> Q <b>V</b> T <b>AL</b> GA <b>CL</b> R <b>K</b> M <b>C</b> N <b>P</b> Q <b>R</b> A <b>L</b> L <b>Y</b> L <b>T</b> E <b>A</b> L <b>K</b> V <b>G</b> T <b>T</b> C <b>A</b> L <b>P</b> L <b>L</b> E <b>A</b>	291
hamster	232	<b>R</b> AL <b>S</b> R <b>L</b> H <b>E</b> A <b>S</b> GL <b>C</b> P <b>P</b> S <b>V</b> L <b>V</b> Q <b>V</b> T <b>AL</b> GT <b>CL</b> R <b>K</b> M <b>C</b> N <b>P</b> Q <b>R</b> A <b>L</b> L <b>Y</b> L <b>T</b> A <b>L</b> K <b>V</b> G <b>T</b> T <b>C</b> A <b>L</b> P <b>L</b> E <b>A</b>	291
chicken	291	<b>A</b> AV <b>H</b> E <b>L</b> GET <b>D</b> A <b>E</b> L <b>Q</b> A <b>L</b> Y <b>L</b> Y <b>E</b> A <b>V</b> E <b>K</b> N <b>P</b> P <b>S</b> A <b>T</b> S <b>S</b> T <b>Y</b> F <b>L</b> I <b>R</b> A <b>E</b> L <b>L</b> V <b>N</b> T <b>E</b> I <b>L</b> A <b>S</b> L <b>L</b> R <b>H</b> H <b>P</b>	350
human	287	<b>S</b> R <b>L</b> L <b>Q</b> Q <b>L</b> GD <b>T</b> A <b>E</b> LE <b>S</b> LE <b>L</b> L <b>V</b> E <b>A</b> L <b>N</b> V <b>P</b> C <b>S</b> S <b>K</b> A <b>P</b> --- <b>Q</b> F <b>L</b> I <b>E</b> V <b>E</b> L <b>L</b> L <b>P</b> ED <b>L</b> A <b>S</b> EL <b>H</b> C <b>G</b> T <b>Q</b>	343
mouse	292	<b>S</b> R <b>V</b> R <b>Q</b> L <b>GD</b> R <b>A</b> E <b>LE</b> S <b>LE</b> L <b>L</b> V <b>E</b> A <b>L</b> S <b>A</b> T <b>H</b> S <b>S</b> E--- <b>T</b> F <b>K</b> S <b>L</b> I <b>E</b> V <b>E</b> L <b>L</b> L <b>P</b> Q <b>ED</b> P <b>A</b> S <b>EL</b> H <b>C</b> G <b>T</b> Q	348
hamster	292	<b>S</b> R <b>I</b> R <b>Q</b> L <b>GD</b> T <b>A</b> E <b>LE</b> S <b>LE</b> L <b>L</b> V <b>E</b> A <b>L</b> S <b>A</b> T <b>H</b> S <b>A</b> T <b>H</b> S <b>S</b> T <b>L</b> K <b>F</b> H <b>E</b> V <b>E</b> L <b>L</b> L <b>P</b> K <b>ED</b> P <b>A</b> S <b>EL</b> H <b>C</b> G <b>T</b> Q	351
chicken	351	<b>S</b> E <b>V</b> K <b>Y</b> L <b>A</b> Q <b>R</b> CL <b>R</b> D <b>G</b> R <b>V</b> A <b>D</b> A <b>V</b> E <b>H</b> Y <b>L</b> D <b>F</b> S <b>L</b> L <b>Q</b> E <b>G</b> L <b>Q</b> Q <b>V</b> PL <b>D</b> G <b>S</b> S <b>P</b> L <b>R</b> I <b>P</b> E <b>V</b> S <b>L</b> E <b>A</b> A <b>S</b> A	410
human	344	<b>S</b> Q <b>T</b> K <b>H</b> I <b>L</b> A <b>S</b> R <b>CL</b> Q <b>T</b> GR <b>A</b> D <b>A</b> A <b>E</b> H <b>Y</b> L <b>D</b> L <b>L</b> A <b>L</b> L <b>D</b> S <b>E</b> P <b>R</b> F <b>S</b> P <b>P</b> P <b>S</b> P <b>P</b> GC <b>M</b> P <b>E</b> V <b>L</b> E <b>A</b> A <b>V</b> A	403
mouse	349	<b>S</b> Q <b>A</b> K <b>H</b> L <b>A</b> S <b>R</b> CL <b>Q</b> T <b>G</b> R <b>A</b> E <b>D</b> A <b>A</b> E <b>H</b> Y <b>L</b> D <b>L</b> L <b>A</b> M <b>L</b> L <b>G</b> S <b>E</b> T <b>R</b> F <b>S</b> P <b>P</b> T <b>S</b> S <b>L</b> GC <b>I</b> P <b>E</b> L <b>C</b> L <b>E</b> A <b>A</b> A	408
hamster	352	<b>S</b> Q <b>A</b> K <b>R</b> L <b>A</b> S <b>R</b> CL <b>Q</b> T <b>G</b> R <b>A</b> E <b>D</b> A <b>A</b> E <b>H</b> Y <b>L</b> D <b>L</b> L <b>A</b> M <b>L</b> L <b>G</b> S <b>E</b> P <b>R</b> F <b>S</b> L <b>P</b> T <b>S</b> S <b>P</b> GC <b>I</b> P <b>E</b> L <b>C</b> L <b>E</b> A <b>A</b> A	411
chicken	411	<b>L</b> E <b>D</b> GR <b>H</b> O <b>S</b> SL <b>T</b> V <b>C</b> D <b>E</b> V <b>I</b> S <b>R</b> T <b>T</b> N <b>E</b> L <b>I</b> PK <b>I</b> I <b>Q</b> V <b>E</b> E <b>K</b> L <b>Q</b> E <b>C</b> A <b>S</b> L <b>E</b> T <b>G</b> L <b>A</b> G <b>L</b> L <b>S</b> Q <b>K</b> R <b>E</b> S <b>L</b> C	470
human	404	<b>L</b> I <b>Q</b> AG <b>R</b> A <b>Q</b> D <b>A</b> L <b>T</b> LC <b>E</b> LL <b>S</b> R <b>T</b> S <b>S</b> EL <b>PK</b> M <b>S</b> R <b>L</b> W <b>E</b> D <b>A</b> R <b>K</b> G-- <b>T</b> K <b>-E</b> -- <b>L</b> ----- <b>P</b> - <b>Y</b> C	448
mouse	409	<b>L</b> I <b>Q</b> AG <b>R</b> A <b>L</b> D <b>A</b> L <b>T</b> VC <b>E</b> LL <b>N</b> R <b>T</b> S <b>S</b> EL <b>PK</b> M <b>S</b> L <b>W</b> E <b>N</b> A <b>R</b> K <b>R</b> -- <b>A</b> K <b>-E</b> -- <b>L</b> ----- <b>P</b> - <b>C</b> C	453
hamster	412	<b>L</b> I <b>Q</b> A <b>S</b> EP <b>A</b> D <b>A</b> L <b>T</b> VC <b>E</b> LL <b>S</b> I <b>S</b> S <b>L</b> PK <b>T</b> S-- <b>L</b> W <b>E</b> N <b>A</b> R <b>K</b> R-- <b>T</b> K <b>-E</b> -- <b>L</b> ----- <b>P</b> - <b>Y</b> C	455
chicken	471	<b>C</b> L <b>T</b> WR <b>A</b> P <b>A</b> Y <b>L</b> H <b>Q</b> GW <b>W</b> S <b>K</b> L <b>G</b> K <b>G</b> E <b>A</b> I <b>M</b> Q <b>F</b> S <b>R</b> C <b>L</b> ND <b>L</b> L <b>R</b> F <b>Q</b> F-- <b>R</b> -- <b>G</b> CG <b>V</b> E <b>P</b> A <b>E</b> S <b>L</b> L <b>P</b> E <b>V</b>	526
human	449	<b>P</b> L-W <b>V</b> S <b>A</b> T <b>H</b> L <b>L</b> Q <b>G</b> Q <b>A</b> W <b>V</b> Q <b>L</b> GA <b>Q</b> K <b>V</b> A <b>I</b> S <b>E</b> F <b>S</b> R <b>C</b> L <b>E</b> L <b>L</b> F <b>R</b> A <b>T</b> P <b>E</b> E <b>K</b> E <b>Q</b> A <b>F</b> N <b>C</b> E <b>Q</b> G <b>C</b> K <b>S</b> D <b>A</b>	507
mouse	454	<b>P</b> V-W <b>V</b> S <b>A</b> T <b>H</b> L <b>L</b> Q <b>G</b> Q <b>A</b> W <b>S</b> Q <b>L</b> K <b>A</b> Q <b>K</b> E <b>A</b> L <b>S</b> E <b>F</b> S <b>Q</b> C <b>L</b> E <b>L</b> L <b>F</b> R <b>T</b> L <b>P</b> E <b>D</b> K <b>E</b> Q <b>G</b> S <b>D</b> -- <b>C</b> E <b>Q</b> K <b>C</b> R <b>S</b> D <b>V</b>	510
hamster	456	<b>P</b> I-W <b>I</b> S <b>A</b> T <b>H</b> L <b>L</b> Q <b>G</b> Q <b>A</b> W <b>S</b> Q <b>L</b> K <b>A</b> R <b>K</b> E <b>A</b> L <b>S</b> E <b>F</b> S <b>Q</b> C <b>L</b> E <b>L</b> L <b>F</b> R <b>A</b> L <b>P</b> E <b>D</b> K <b>E</b> Q <b>A</b> G <b>S</b> N <b>C</b> E <b>Q</b> K <b>C</b> W <b>P</b> D <b>V</b>	514
chicken	527	<b>K</b> V <b>L</b> K <b>I</b> R <b>L</b> L <b>S</b> L <b>I</b> GR <b>G</b> M <b>Q</b> F <b>L</b> E <b>Q</b> E <b>K</b> H <b>E</b> A <b>L</b> L <b>D</b> F <b>Q</b> H <b>L</b> Q <b>I</b> S <b>E</b> D <b>D</b> P <b>A</b> A <b>S</b> Y <b>L</b> V <b>Q</b> V <b>L</b> W <b>K</b> N <b>R</b> K <b>E</b> E	586
human	508	<b>A</b> L <b>Q</b> -L <b>R</b> A <b>A</b> L <b>I</b> S <b>R</b> GL <b>E</b> W <b>V</b> A <b>S</b> Q <b>D</b> T <b>K</b> A <b>L</b> Q <b>D</b> F <b>L</b> L <b>S</b> V <b>Q</b> M <b>C</b> P <b>G</b> N <b>R</b> D <b>T</b> Y <b>F</b> H <b>L</b> Q <b>T</b> E <b>K</b> R <b>L</b> D <b>R</b> R <b>D</b> E	566
mouse	511	<b>A</b> L <b>K</b> -L <b>R</b> V <b>A</b> A <b>L</b> I <b>S</b> R <b>GL</b> E <b>W</b> V <b>A</b> S <b>Q</b> D <b>T</b> K <b>A</b> L <b>S</b> D <b>F</b> L <b>L</b> S <b>V</b> Q <b>I</b> C <b>P</b> G <b>N</b> R <b>D</b> G <b>S</b> F <b>Y</b> L <b>Q</b> T <b>E</b> K <b>R</b> L <b>D</b> R <b>K</b> N <b>E</b>	569
hamster	515	<b>A</b> L <b>K</b> -L <b>Q</b> V <b>A</b> A <b>L</b> I <b>S</b> R <b>GL</b> E <b>W</b> A <b>S</b> Q <b>D</b> T <b>K</b> A <b>L</b> S <b>D</b> F <b>L</b> L <b>S</b> V <b>Q</b> I <b>C</b> P <b>G</b> N <b>R</b> D <b>G</b> S <b>F</b> Y <b>L</b> Q <b>T</b> E <b>K</b> R <b>L</b> E <b>R</b> R <b>N</b> E	573
chicken	587	<b>A</b> A <b>D</b> Y <b>W</b> Q <b>K</b> Y <b>L</b> E <b>S</b> P <b>A</b> G <b>E</b> D <b>G</b> Q <b>Q</b> E <b>R</b> Q <b>R</b> G <b>R</b> H <b>F</b> P <b>L</b> Y <b>L</b> D <b>S</b> C <b>L</b> K <b>Q</b> A <b>T</b> F <b>P</b> Q <b>N</b> E <b>S</b> L <b>A</b> R <b>C</b> I <b>D</b> Y <b>L</b> R <b>T</b> T <b>R</b> Q <b>D</b> S	646
human	567	<b>A</b> T <b>A</b> L <b>W</b> R <b>L</b> E <b>A</b> C <b>T</b> K <b>G</b> S <b>H</b> E <b>D</b> --- <b>A</b> L <b>W</b> S <b>L</b> P <b>L</b> Y <b>L</b> E <b>S</b> Y <b>L</b> S <b>W</b> I <b>R</b> -P <b>S</b> D <b>R</b> D <b>-A</b> F <b>-L</b> E <b>E</b> F <b>R</b> T <b>S</b> L <b>P</b> K <b>S</b> C	620
mouse	570	<b>A</b> S <b>A</b> P <b>W</b> R <b>E</b> A <b>H</b> S <b>Q</b> L <b>P</b> L <b>E</b> D <b>A</b> --- <b>G</b> S-- <b>L</b> P <b>L</b> Y <b>L</b> E <b>T</b> C <b>L</b> S <b>W</b> I <b>H</b> -P <b>P</b> N <b>R</b> E <b>-A</b> F <b>-L</b> E <b>E</b> F <b>G</b> T <b>S</b> V <b>L</b> E <b>S</b> C	621
hamster	574	<b>A</b> A <b>A</b> P <b>W</b> R <b>E</b> A <b>Q</b> N <b>Q</b> -P <b>L</b> Q <b>E</b> E <b>A</b> --- <b>T</b> W <b>S</b> - <b>L</b> P <b>L</b> Y <b>L</b> E <b>T</b> C <b>L</b> S <b>W</b> I <b>H</b> -P <b>P</b> N <b>C</b> E <b>-A</b> L <b>-L</b> K <b>E</b> F <b>G</b> T <b>S</b> L <b>L</b> ---	622
chicken	647	SR	648
human	621	DL	622
mouse	622	VL	623
hamster	622	--	622

FIG. 1. Amino acid sequence comparison between chicken, human, mouse, and hamster FANCG proteins. Residues that are identical across four species or three species are indicated by black or grey shading, respectively. Locations of previously reported putative leucine zipper motifs (7, 32) are underlined. A line with arrowheads indicates amino acids that are missing in a variant transcript (see text).

peared to be out of frame, but we identified two sequences as candidate full-length chicken FANCG. One of them could indeed complement chicken FANCG-deficient cells (see below), whereas the other could not (data not shown). The latter transcript lacks nucleotides encoding 11 consecutive amino acids that overlap the putative leucine zipper (Fig. 1). These N-terminal variants were not detected during the course of human XRCC9 cloning (L. H. Thompson, unpublished data)

and were not found in silico by BLAST searching among more than 100 EST FANCG sequences deposited in the human EST database.

This isolated chicken cDNA, the first nonmammalian FANCG sequence reported, encodes a protein with 648 amino acids, compared with the 622-amino-acid human homolog. The percent amino acid sequence identity of chicken FANCG with the human, mouse, and hamster homologs is only 39% in each




FIG. 2. Targeted disruption of the chicken *FANCG* locus. (A) Schematic representation of a partial restriction map of the chicken *FANCG* locus, the gene disruption vector, and the configuration of the targeted locus. Black boxes indicate the positions of exons that were disrupted. Relevant restriction enzymes sites: E, *EcoRI*; B, *BamHI*; Xh, *XhoI*; Xb, *XbaI*. (B) Southern blot analysis of wild-type (WT) and *fancg* mutant cells. *EcoRI*-digested genomic DNA was hybridized with the probes indicated in panel A. (C) RT-PCR analysis of chicken *FANCG* mRNA expression in wild-type and *fancg* mutant cells. Isolation of total RNA, cDNA synthesis, and PCR were carried out as described in Materials and Methods.

case. Thus, overall conservation is rather low, whereas the identity between mouse and human *FANCG* is 71% and that between mouse and hamster *FANCG* is 83%. Similar to the case for mammalian *FANCG* homologs, no recognizable motifs besides a putative leucine zipper-like region are present in chicken *FANCG* protein (Fig. 1). Comparison of *FANCG* amino acid sequences revealed conserved regions or residues across the four species. It is noteworthy that all five non-disease-causing *FANCG* polymorphisms listed in the Fanconi anemia mutation database (<http://www.rockefeller.edu/fanconi/mutate/default.html>) are at residues that are not conserved. Furthermore, this database lists three bona fide missense mutations, two of which (L71P and L303P) lie at residues conserved across the four species. The third mutation is adjacent to a conserved residue, and the change is nonconservative (Arg to Pro at amino acid 22). Since human *FANCG* cDNA could not complement chicken *fancg* mutant cells (see below), some amino acid residues in the chicken sequence that are not conserved across the chicken counterparts are likely critical for chicken *FANCG*'s function.

**Generation of *fancg* mutant cells.** Chicken *FANCG* genome clones were obtained by library screening with a chicken *FANCG* cDNA probe. We made a *FANCG* targeting vector by replacing ~4.5 kb of genomic segment with a histidinol resistance (*His*) (Fig. 2A) or puromycin resistance gene cassette. The upstream and downstream homology arms are ~6 and ~1 kb in length, respectively. Single transfection with this targeting vector eliminated the wild-type band in Southern blot analysis with two different genomic probes (Fig. 2B), indicating

that there is only one *FANCG* allele in DT40 cells. This finding is consistent with the extensive synteny between chicken chromosome Z and human chromosome 9 (36) (the human *FANCG* locus is on chromosome 9p13 [32]) and with the fact that DT40 cells carry a single Z chromosome (46). Furthermore, we found a neighboring gene in the 5' region of the chicken *FANCG* locus that has significant homology to the phosphatidylinositol glycan class o (*PIGO*) gene, which lies next to *FANCG* in the human genome sequence as well (data not shown). These data strongly indicate that we have deleted a phylogenetically conserved bona fide chicken *FANCG* gene.

The resulting *fancg* (*FANCG*-deficient) cells are phenotypically null, since no *FANCG* transcript was detected by RT-PCR analysis (Fig. 2C). Northern blot analysis was uninformative, perhaps because of low expression of *FANCG*. To facilitate our analysis of the role of *FANCG* in HR, we also targeted *FANCG* in wild-type cells that carry an integrated recombination substrate, SCneo (26), at the *OVALBUMIN* locus (13).

**Chromosomal aberrations and SCE in *fancg* cells.** We characterized the proliferative properties of wild-type and *fancg* cells by monitoring growth curves; an *xrcc3* HR-defective DT40 line (53) was monitored in parallel. DT40 *fancg* mutant cells grew at almost the same rate as wild-type cells and definitely more rapidly than *xrcc3* mutant cells (Fig. 3A). The proportion of dead cells in *fancg* mutant cultures was not increased, and the cell cycle distribution was indistinguishable from that of the wild type (Fig. 3B). The efficiencies of plating of cells in methylcellulose plates were ~100% for wild-type cells and ~60% for *fancg* cells.

We performed karyotype analysis of *fancg* cells before and after MMC treatment, since both spontaneous and MMC-induced chromosomal breakages usually characterize the FA phenotype. DT40 *fancg* cells did not have appreciably elevated levels of spontaneous chromosomal aberrations (Table 1), whereas the *xrcc3* mutant does (53). After treatment with MMC (40 ng/ml, 24 h), *fancg* cells exhibited more chromosomal aberrations than wild-type cells, and the magnitude was consistent with the survival data (see below). With a lower dose




FIG. 3. Proliferation characteristics of wild-type (WT) and *FANCG*-deficient cells. (A) Cells were counted by flow cytometry, using fixed numbers of plastic beads as standards. The growth curves shown represent results from at least four independent experiments with two *fancg* clones. (B) Cell cycle distribution analysis as measured by BrdUrd incorporation and PI staining (DNA content). Cells were pulse-labeled for 10 min with BrdUrd and stained with anti-BrdUrd monoclonal antibody as described in Materials and Methods. The upper, lower left, and right lower regions identify cells in S,  $G_1$ , and  $G_2$ -M phase, respectively. Numbers are the percentages of cells in each region.

TABLE 1. Spontaneous and MMC-induced chromosome aberrations

Aberration type and genotype <sup>a</sup>	No. of aberrations/100 cells					% of cells with the following no. of aberrations <sup>d</sup> :			
	Chromatid		Chromosome		Chromatid exchanges	Total	0	1	2
	Breaks	Gaps	Breaks	Gaps					
Spontaneous									
Wild type <sup>b</sup>	0.3	1.0	0	0	0	1.3	98.7	1.3	0
<i>fancg</i> <sup>b</sup>	0.6	0.3	0	0.3	0	1.3	98.7	1.3	0
MMC induced									
Wild type <sup>c</sup>									
20 ng/ml	5.0	5.0	2.0	3.0	1.5	17	84	16	0.5
40 ng/ml	20	5.5	1.0	1.5	0	28	73	27	0.5
<i>fancg</i> <sup>c</sup>									
20 ng/ml	10	7.5	2.0	4.5	1.5	26	76	23	1.5
40 ng/ml	30	13	2.0	2.0	0.5	47	58	39	4

<sup>a</sup> For the MMC-induced aberrations, the MMC concentration is indicated.

<sup>b</sup> A total of 300 metaphases were scored.

<sup>c</sup> A total of 200 metaphases were scored.

<sup>d</sup> No cells contained more than two aberrations.

of MMC (20 ng/ml), the level of aberrations in *fancg* cells was comparable to that of wild-type cells treated with 40 ng of MMC per ml. Also, as for human FA cells (24), our *fancg* cells exhibited a normal level of MMC-induced SCE. The level of spontaneous SCE was  $2.1 \pm 1.7$  and  $2.7 \pm 2.0$  (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation;  $n = 50$ ) for wild-type and *fancg* cells, respectively. The level of MMC-induced SCE was  $4.8 \pm 2.7$  per cell (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation;  $n = 50$ ) for both wild-type and *fancg* cells.

**Sensitivity of *fancg* cells to killing by genotoxic agents.** The ability of *fancg* mutant cells to form colonies following exposure to DNA-damaging agents was assessed. We define sensitivity as the dose reduction factor, based on the dose giving 10% survival, of the survival curve. Asynchronous *fancg* cells are not sensitive to X irradiation as measured by cell killing (Fig. 4A), but below we show modestly increased sensitivity based on induced chromosomal aberrations when cells are exposed in late S and G<sub>2</sub> phases. *fancg* cells are only slightly sensitive to MMC (~1.5-fold) but show ~3-fold sensitivity to cisplatin compared with the parental cells (Fig. 4B and C). This pattern somewhat resembles that for human FA-G cells (8), CHO UV40 and NM3 *fancg* mutants (32, 59), and mouse knockout mutants of *Fancg* (30, 63). Human *fancg* cells show 10- to 20-fold MMC sensitivity (reference 8 and our unpublished data), but there are little or no data for other agents with gene-complemented mutant cells. One study found IR sensitivity with *fancg* mouse splenocytes (63), but this was not seen with embryonic fibroblasts (30). Compared with our previously reported *rad51* paralog mutants (51, 53), the *fancg* mutant exhibits milder sensitivity toward each DNA-damaging agent tested. Indeed, *xrcc3* mutant cells were more sensitive to cisplatin than *fancg* cells, as shown in Fig. 4C.

To prove that the observed defects were indeed caused by specific gene disruption of *FANCG*, we expressed chicken or human *FANCG* cDNA in *fancg* mutant cells. *FANCG* expression was verified by EGFP fluorescence that was bicistronically expressed from the same construct. Full-length chicken *FANCG* cDNA was able to normalize cisplatin sensitivity




FIG. 4. Colony survival assay after genotoxic treatments. (A to D) The fractions of the surviving colonies after treatment compared to nontreated controls of the same genotype are shown as percent survivals. Error bars indicate standard deviations from at least three experiments. (A) MMC; (B) X rays; (C and D) cisplatin (CDDP). (E) Western blot analysis of Rad51 expression in *fancg* mutant cells transfected with human Rad51 expression vector. Positions of endogenous chicken Rad51 and transgene-derived human Rad51 are indicated.

TABLE 2. Targeted integration frequency in wild-type and *fancg* mutant cells

Targeting construct	Targeted integration frequency <sup>a</sup>				
	Wild type	<i>fancg</i>			Complemented with <i>GdFANCG</i>
		Clone 1	Clone 2	Clone 3	
KU70- <i>His</i>	14/34 (41) [ $2.1 \times 10^{-6}$ ]	10/46 (22) [ $2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ ]	ND <sup>b</sup>	ND	22/36 (61) [ $3.8 \times 10^{-6}$ ]
XRCC2- <i>Bsr</i>	16/37 (43) [ $2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ ]	ND	6/24 (25) [ $7.9 \times 10^{-6}$ ]	6/24 (25) [ $3.8 \times 10^{-6}$ ]	18/28 (64) [ $4.8 \times 10^{-6}$ ]
XRCC3- <i>His</i>	8/25 (32) [ $8.3 \times 10^{-7}$ ]	1/22 (4.5) [ $7.3 \times 10^{-7}$ ]	ND	ND	9/20 (45) [ $6.6 \times 10^{-7}$ ]
Ovalbumin- <i>Bsr</i>	24/26 (92) [ $3.9 \times 10^{-5}$ ]	24/28 (86) [ $3.8 \times 10^{-5}$ ]	ND	ND	ND

<sup>a</sup> Data are numbers of targeted clones at each locus per number of drug resistant clones analyzed by Southern blotting. The percentage of targeted integration events is given in parentheses. Numbers in brackets indicate transfection efficiency. Results for three different *fancg* mutant clones and one clone complemented with chicken *FANCG* cDNA are shown.

<sup>b</sup> ND, not determined.

(Fig. 4D), while human *FANCG* cDNA gave no correction (data not shown).

**Mildly decreased targeted integration in *fancg* cells.** We evaluated one aspect of HR capacity of *fancg* cells by measuring the efficiency of integration of transfected gene-targeting vectors. Transformants were selected with appropriate drugs, and targeting events were examined in each clone by Southern blot analysis. As summarized in Table 2, depending on the targeting vector or locus, we observed modest reductions (ranging from ~2- to 9-fold) in the ratio of targeted to total (targeted plus random) integration events in *fancg* cells at three loci, but not for *OVALBUMIN*. The extremely high targeting efficiency at *OVALBUMIN* may have a bearing on this result. In *fancg* cells complemented with chicken *FANCG* cDNA, the efficiency of targeting at these three loci was restored, confirming that defective gene targeting was caused by the *FANCG* gene disruption. These data suggest that *FANCG* might participate in the HR process that mediates targeted integration.

**Defective HR-mediated repair of induced DSBs in *fancg* cells.** To directly test whether *fancg* cells are defective for HR-mediated repair of DSBs, we used a transformant that carries the artificial recombination substrate SCneo (26) at the *OVALBUMIN* locus (13). The growth properties and sensitivities to genotoxic agents were indistinguishable between *fancg* cells with or without the integrated SCneo (data not shown). By using transient transfection of the plasmid encoding the rare restriction enzyme I-*SceI*, a DSB is produced in one of two tandem nonfunctional *neo* genes and can be repaired by HR, resulting in expression of a functional *neo* gene. Thus, the frequency of G418-resistant colonies represents the HR-directed DSB repair capacity.

In parallel cultures, a negative control plasmid, pBluescript, was used; <100 colonies from  $10^7$  cells arose from spontaneous recombination. However, after transfection of the I-*SceI* plasmid pcBASce into wild-type cells, a >100-fold induction occurred versus cells transfected with pBluescript. In contrast, we detected ~9-fold fewer G418-resistant colonies in *fancg* cultures, even after prolonged incubation following I-*SceI* expression (Fig. 5). Importantly, this defect was corrected nearly to the wild-type level by transient transfection with a plasmid expressing chicken *FANCG* but not with plasmid carrying human *FANCG*. These data indicate that *FANCG* is required for efficient HR repair of I-*SceI*-induced DSBs.

In mammalian cells, an induced DSB in SCneo undergoes

HR-mediated repair through either short tract gene conversion (STGC) or long tract gene conversion (LTGC)/SCE events with the sister chromatid as the repair template (25). To examine how DT40 cells repair induced DSBs, we analyzed genomic DNA from G418-resistant clones by Southern blotting. We found that most repair events occurred by STGC in both parental (85%) and *fancg* (85%) cells, and relatively few LTGC/SCE events were detected (Fig. 5C and D), indicating that the HR repair proceeds in a normal manner in *fancg* cells even though the efficiency is reduced.

Given result described above, we investigated IR-induced chromosome aberrations in X-irradiated (2 Gy) cells at 3-h intervals after exposure. *fancg* cells showed significantly elevated chromosomal aberrations only in the 0- to 3-h interval (Fig. 6). Since the irradiated cells are asynchronous and evenly distributed throughout the cell cycle, cells entering M phase during this time period likely received irradiation in late S and G<sub>2</sub> phases. Thus, the result suggests a modest role for *FANCG* in HR repair between sister chromatids in cells that have replicated their DNA (52). Chromosomal radiation sensitivity was previously reported for FA lymphoblasts and fibroblasts before complementation analysis was developed (3, 20).

**Normal Rad51 focus formation in *fancg* cells.** The recent report that mutations of *BRCA2* can cause FA (23) suggests that other FA proteins might also influence Rad51's activity. DSBs induce subnuclear Rad51 foci that are thought to represent sites of HR. We found that both X-ray- and MMC-induced Rad51 focus formation (Fig. 7) (IR data not shown) were indistinguishable between *fancg* and wild-type cells. Since *BRCA2* is essential for Rad51 focus formation (64), our results suggest that any direct function of *FANCG* in HR is distinct from that of *BRCA2* or Rad51 paralogs.

For all five DT40 *rad51* paralog mutants, sensitivity to DNA damage was substantially suppressed by transfecting human *RAD51* (51, 53). This finding is probably consistent with the proposed roles of Rad51 paralogs being mediators of Rad51's function (33, 43). To obtain further insights regarding any relationship of *FANCG* to Rad51, we stably introduced human *RAD51* into our *fancg* cells and measured cisplatin sensitivity. Despite high human Rad51 expression that was comparable to the levels achieved in previous studies (Fig. 4E), cisplatin sensitivity was actually potentiated in *fancg* mutant cells (Fig. 4D). This might be due to overexpressed Rad51 acting in a dominant negative fashion by titrating away an essential factor in




FIG. 5. Analysis of HR-mediated repair of I-SceI-induced DSBs. (A) Recombination frequencies in integrated SCneo substrate in wild-type (WT) (black bars) and *fancg* (hatched bars) cells. Means  $\pm$  standard deviations from at least six separate experiments are shown. Cells carrying the recombination substrate were transiently transfected with the indicated plasmids and then selected in the presence of G418. (B) Structures of the SCneo construct and expected HR repair products. K, *Kpn*I; N, *Nco*I; S, *Sac*I. STGC or LTGC/SCE events could be identified by Southern blotting with *Kpn*I- and *Sac*I-restricted genomic DNA and the indicated *neo* probe. (C) Representative Southern blot analyses of G418-resistant clones from wild-type (eight clones) and *fancg* (eight clones) cells. The expected sizes of STGC (~5 kb) and LTGC/SCE (~8.5 kb) events are indicated. (D) Number of G418-resistant clones with STGC or LTGC/SCE events. One clone from *fancg* cells exhibited a larger band than expected (shown in panel C), but this was counted as LTGC events.

the cisplatin damage response pathway and thus further reducing damage tolerance. Together, these results lead us to conclude that FANCG plays a different role than BRCA2 and Rad51 paralogs in the HR repair pathway.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we provide genetic evidence for a contributory role of FANCG in HR as measured by three different assays. First, HR-mediated repair of I-SceI-induced chromosomal DSBs was reduced in *fancg* mutant cells. Second, the overall efficiency of gene targeting was mildly decreased at three of four loci examined. Third, the levels of chromosome aberrations

were elevated in X-irradiated cells in late S to G<sub>2</sub> phase compared with cells irradiated in other phases. Given a primary role of HR in repairing IR-induced DSBs in late S and G<sub>2</sub> phases in DT40 cells, compared with the secondary role of nonhomologous end joining (52), these findings are consistent with a role of FANCG in HR-mediated repair.

However, other HR-related processes examined were unaffected in the *fancg* cells. Specifically, the frequency of spontaneous or MMC-induced SCE appears to be normal. SCEs may be considered a surrogate marker of recombination efficiency, in contrast to chromosomal aberrations, which reflect biologically relevant HR repair. Moreover, the IR sensitivity of asynchronous *fancg* cells was normal, which differs from the case




FIG. 6. IR-induced chromosome aberrations in wild-type and *fancg* mutant cells. Cells were X irradiated (2 Gy) and sampled at 3-h intervals. One hundred cells were scored at each time point except for the 0- to 3-h interval, where 200 cells were scored. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. The percentage of abnormal cells at each time point is also shown. Cells harboring more than two aberrations were not detected after IR in both wild-type and *fancg* cells.

for *rad51* paralog mutants. Thus, *FANCG* in DT40 cells seems to be important for optimal activity of only selected processes that depend on HR.

It has been shown that the FA multiprotein complex's assembly and nuclear localization is impaired in human *fancg* cells (15, 16). However, at present it is not clear whether defective complex localization underlies the entire phenotype of *fancg* cells. For example, human *FANCG* has been reported to interact directly with cytoplasmic CYP2E1 and appears to down-regulate the level of CYP2E1, a potential generator of reactive oxidative species (ROS) (14).

The *FANCG* protein likely has a function different from that of *BRCA2* or *RAD51* paralogs in HR for several reasons. First, we found no change in *Rad51* focus formation in *fancg* cells, in keeping with one recent report (18) but not with another (9). Second, overexpression of human *Rad51* did not increase cisplatin resistance of *fancg* mutant cells, whereas the defects in *Rad51* paralog mutants were compensated for by elevating *Rad51* protein (51, 53). (The effect of *Rad51* overexpression in *brca2* DT40 cells remains to be clarified.) We suggest that *FANCG* may function in parallel with *BRCA2* by leading to common phenotypic consequences. It will be interesting to test this hypothesis by making mutant cells deficient in

both *BRCA2* and *FANCG*. Given the possibility that ATM regulates *BRCA2* (58), this relationship may be relevant to the idea that *FANCD2*, which is also a phosphorylation target of ATM (54), may represent a convergence of two separate pathways, e.g., ATM signaling and the function of the FA nuclear complex.

So far, with the exception of *BRCA2*, no direct interactions between FA proteins and repair enzymes such as *Rad51* and *Mre11* have been identified. The defects in *fancg* cells are consistently milder than those observed in other HR-defective mutants such as the *Rad51* paralogs (45, 48). These facts seem to be consistent with the view that *FANCG* plays an indirect, facilitating role in HR. For example, the FA proteins may function in part through chromatin remodeling (41). *FANCA* was reported to interact with *Brg1* (37), a component of the human SWI/SNF complex, and *FANCC* interacts with the transcriptional repressor protein *FAZF* (22). It is possible that defective chromatin remodeling could influence cellular HR capacity (such as gene targeting efficiency) by modulating transcription, or temporal expression, of genes directly involved in HR.

Accumulating evidence supports a role for the FA proteins in determining the level of cytoplasmic ROS (reviewed in reference 1). Specifically, ROS may be elevated in FA group G human lymphoblasts, since they are reported to have elevated oxidative DNA damage, which is reversed in *FANCG*-complemented cells (14). Importantly, DNA damage by ROS appears to be a major cause of spontaneous chromosome breaks (28). Thus, the extensive chromosome aberrations often seen in FA cells (but not *fancg* DT40 cells) may be due to increased DNA damage by excessive generation of ROS along with compromised damage response processes linked to HR.




FIG. 7. Subnuclear focus formation of *Rad51* in response to MMC treatment. (A to D) Wild-type (A and B) and *fancg* (C and D) cells were analyzed 5 h after treatment with MMC (500 ng/ml for 1 h) (B and D) or were untreated (A and C). (E) Kinetics of *Rad51* focus formation after the same MMC exposure. Cells with more than four distinct and bright foci were counted as positive. WT, wild type.



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Akira Shinohara (Osaka University) for the anti-Rad51 antibody; Maria Jasin (Sloan-Kettering Institute) for the SCneo substrate and I-SceI expression vector; Masayo Kimura, Keiko Oka, and Mayu Fujii for expert technical assistance; Hiroko Asahara for help with laser confocal microscopy; Y. Imajo and J. Kubota (Department of Therapeutic Radiology, Kawasaki Medical School) for irradiating cells with the linear accelerator; and Kazuko Hikasa and members of the Central Secretarial Office of Kawasaki Medical School for secretarial assistance. We also thank Shunichi Takeda (Kyoto University) and Ashok Venkataraman (Cambridge University) for critically reading the manuscript.

This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (to M.T. and N.M.) and by grants from the Novartis Foundation, Kobayashi Magobei Foundation, and Yamanouchi Foundation for Research on Metabolic Disorders. Financial support also came from Kawasaki Medical School as project research grants (projects 13-211 and 14-203). A portion of this work was prepared under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract W-7405-ENG-48 and research funded by the Low Dose Radiation Research Program, Biological and Environmental Research (BER), U.S. Department of Energy.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmad, S. I., F. Hanaoka, and S. H. Kirk. 2002. Molecular biology of Fanconi anaemia—an old problem, a new insight. *Bioessays* **24**:439–448.
- Auerbach, A., M. Buchwald, and H. Joenje. 2001. Fanconi anemia, p. 753–768. *In* C. Scriver, A. Beaudet, W. Sly, D. Valle, B. Childs, K. Kinzler, and B. Vogelstein (ed.), *The metabolic and molecular bases of inherited diseases*, 4th ed. McGraw-Hill, New York, N.Y.
- Bigelow, S. B., J. M. Rary, and M. A. Bender. 1979. G2 chromosomal radiosensitivity in Fanconi's anemia. *Mutat. Res.* **63**:189–199.
- Buerstedde, J. M., and S. Takeda. 1991. Increased ratio of targeted to random integration after transfection of chicken B cell lines. *Cell* **67**:179–188.
- Cromie, G. A., J. C. Connelly, and D. R. Leach. 2001. Recombination at double-strand breaks and DNA ends: conserved mechanisms from phage to humans. *Mol. Cell* **8**:1163–1174.
- Davies, A. A., J. Y. Masson, M. J. McIlwraith, A. Z. Stasiak, A. Stasiak, A. R. Venkataraman, and S. C. West. 2001. Role of BRCA2 in control of the RAD51 recombination and DNA repair protein. *Mol. Cell* **7**:273–282.
- Demuth, I., M. Wlodarski, A. J. Tipping, N. V. Morgan, J. P. de Winter, M. Thiel, S. Grasl, D. Schindler, A. D. D'Andrea, C. Altay, H. Kayserili, A. Zatterale, J. Kunze, W. Ebell, C. G. Mathew, H. Joenje, K. Sperling, and M. Digweed. 2000. Spectrum of mutations in the Fanconi anaemia group G gene, FANCG/XRCC9. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **8**:861–868.
- de Winter, J. P., Q. Waisfisz, M. A. Rooimans, C. G. van Berkel, L. Bosnoyan-Collins, N. Alon, M. Carreau, O. Bender, I. Demuth, D. Schindler, J. C. Pronk, F. Arwert, H. Hoehn, M. Digweed, M. Buchwald, and H. Joenje. 1998. The Fanconi anaemia group G gene FANCG is identical with XRCC9. *Nat. Genet.* **20**:281–283.
- Digweed, M., S. Rothe, I. Demuth, R. Scholz, D. Schindler, M. Stumm, M. Grompe, A. Jordan, and K. Sperling. 2002. Attenuation of the formation of DNA-repair foci containing RAD51 in Fanconi anaemia. *Carcinogenesis* **23**:1121–1126.
- Dronkert, M. L., H. B. Beverloo, R. D. Johnson, J. H. Hoeijmakers, M. Jasin, and R. Kanaar. 2000. Mouse RAD54 affects DNA double-strand break repair and sister chromatid exchange. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **20**:3147–3156.
- Dronkert, M. L., and R. Kanaar. 2001. Repair of DNA interstrand cross-links. *Mutat. Res.* **486**:217–247.
- Fujimori, A., S. Tachiiri, E. Sonoda, L. H. Thompson, P. K. Dhar, M. Hiraoka, S. Takeda, Y. Zhang, M. Reth, and M. Takata. 2001. Rad52 partially substitutes for the Rad51 paralogs XRCC3 in maintaining chromosomal integrity in vertebrate cells. *EMBO J.* **20**:5513–5520.
- Fukushima, T., M. Takata, C. Morrison, R. Araki, A. Fujimori, M. Abe, K. Tatsumi, M. Jasin, P. K. Dhar, E. Sonoda, T. Chiba, and S. Takeda. 2001. Genetic analysis of the DNA-dependent protein kinase reveals an inhibitory role of Ku in late S-G2 phase DNA double-strand break repair. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**:44413–44418.
- Futaki, M., T. Igarashi, S. Watanabe, S. Kajigaya, A. Tatsuguchi, J. Wang, and J. M. Liu. 2002. The FANCG Fanconi anemia protein interacts with CYP2E1: possible role in protection against oxidative DNA damage. *Carcinogenesis* **23**:67–72.
- Garcia-Higuera, I., Y. Kuang, J. Denham, and A. D. D'Andrea. 2000. The Fanconi anemia proteins FANCA and FANCG stabilize each other and promote the nuclear accumulation of the Fanconi anemia complex. *Blood* **96**:3224–3230.
- Garcia-Higuera, I., Y. Kuang, D. Naf, J. Wasik, and A. D. D'Andrea. 1999. Fanconi anemia proteins FANCA, FANCG, and FANCG/XRCC9 interact in a functional nuclear complex. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **19**:4866–4873.
- Garcia-Higuera, I., T. Taniguchi, S. Ganesan, M. S. Meyn, C. Timmers, J. Hejna, M. Grompe, and A. D. D'Andrea. 2001. Interaction of the Fanconi anemia proteins and BRCA1 in a common pathway. *Mol. Cell* **7**:249–262.
- Godthelp, B. C., F. Artwert, H. Joenje, and M. Z. Zdzienicka. 2002. Impaired DNA damage-induced nuclear Rad51 foci formation uniquely characterizes Fanconi anemia group D1. *Oncogene* **21**:5002–5005.
- Grompe, M., and A. D'Andrea. 2001. Fanconi anemia and DNA repair. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **10**:2253–2259.
- Heddle, J. A., C. B. Lue, E. F. Saunders, and R. D. R. D. Benz. 1978. Sensitivity to five mutagens in Fanconi's anemia as measured by the micronucleus method. *Cancer Res.* **38**:2983–2988.
- Hoatlin, M., and T. Reuter. 2001. Foci on Fanconi. *Trends Mol. Med.* **7**:237–239.
- Hoatlin, M. E., Y. Zhi, H. Ball, K. Silvey, A. Melnick, S. Stone, S. Arai, N. Howe, G. Owen, A. Zelent, and J. D. Licht. 1999. A novel BTB/POZ transcriptional repressor protein interacts with the Fanconi anemia group C protein and PLZF. *Blood* **94**:3737–3747.
- Howlett, N. G., T. Taniguchi, S. Olson, B. Cox, Q. Waisfisz, C. De Die-Smulders, N. Persky, M. Grompe, H. Joenje, G. Pals, H. Ikeda, E. A. Fox, and A. D. D'Andrea. 2002. Biallelic inactivation of BRCA2 in Fanconi anemia. *Science* **297**:606–609.
- Joenje, H., and K. J. Patel. 2001. The emerging genetic and molecular basis of Fanconi anaemia. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2**:446–457.
- Johnson, R. D., and M. Jasin. 2000. Sister chromatid gene conversion is a prominent double-strand break repair pathway in mammalian cells. *EMBO J.* **19**:3398–3407.
- Johnson, R. D., N. Liu, and M. Jasin. 1999. Mammalian XRCC2 promotes the repair of DNA double-strand breaks by homologous recombination. *Nature* **401**:397–399.
- Karanjawa, Z. E., U. Grawunder, C. L. Hsieh, and M. R. Lieber. 1999. The nonhomologous DNA end joining pathway is important for chromosome stability in primary fibroblasts. *Curr. Biol.* **9**:1501–1504.
- Karanjawa, Z. E., N. Murphy, D. R. Hinton, C. L. Hsieh, and M. R. Lieber. 2002. Oxygen metabolism causes chromosome breaks and is associated with the neuronal apoptosis observed in DNA double-strand break repair mutants. *Curr. Biol.* **12**:397–402.
- Khanna, K. K., and S. P. Jackson. 2001. DNA double-strand breaks: signaling, repair and the cancer connection. *Nat. Genet.* **27**:247–254.
- Koomen, M., N. C. Cheng, H. J. van de Vrugt, B. C. Godthelp, M. A. van der Valk, A. B. Oostra, M. Z. Zdzienicka, H. Joenje, and F. Arwert. 2002. Reduced fertility and hypersensitivity to mitomycin C characterize Fancg/Xrcc9 null mice. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **11**:273–281.
- Latt, S. A., G. Stetten, L. A. Juergens, G. R. Buchanan, and P. S. Gerald. 1975. Induction by alkylating agents of sister chromatid exchanges and chromatid breaks in Fanconi's anemia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **72**:4066–4070.
- Liu, N., J. E. Lamerdin, J. D. Tucker, Z. Q. Zhou, C. A. Walter, J. S. Alcala, D. B. Busch, and L. H. Thompson. 1997. The human XRCC9 gene corrects chromosomal instability and mutagen sensitivities in CHO UV40 cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **94**:9232–9237.
- Masson, J. Y., M. C. Tarsounas, A. Z. Stasiak, A. Stasiak, R. Shah, M. J. McIlwraith, F. E. Benson, and S. C. West. 2001. Identification and purification of two distinct complexes containing the five RAD51 paralogs. *Genes Dev.* **15**:3296–3307.
- Morrison, C., A. Shinohara, E. Sonoda, Y. Yamaguchi-Iwai, M. Takata, R. R. Weichselbaum, and S. Takeda. 1999. The essential functions of human Rad51 are independent of ATP hydrolysis. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **19**:6891–6897.
- Moynahan, M. E., J. W. Chiu, B. H. Koller, and M. Jasin. 1999. Brca1 controls homology-directed DNA repair. *Mol. Cell* **4**:511–518.
- Nanda, I., Z. Shan, M. Schartl, D. W. Burt, M. Koehler, H. Nothwang, F. Grutzner, I. R. Paton, D. Windsor, I. Dunn, W. Engel, P. Staeheli, S. Mizuno, T. Haaf, and M. Schmid. 1999. 300 million years of conserved synteney between chicken Z and human chromosome 9. *Nat. Genet.* **21**:258–259.
- Otsuki, T., Y. Furukawa, K. Ikeda, H. Endo, T. Yamashita, A. Shinohara, A. Iwamatsu, K. Ozawa, and J. M. Liu. 2001. Fanconi anemia protein, FANCA, associates with BRG1, a component of the human SWI/SNF complex. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **10**:2651–2660.
- Pace, P., M. Johnson, W. M. Tan, G. Mosedale, C. Sng, M. Hoatlin, J. de Winter, H. Joenje, F. Gergely, and K. J. Patel. 2002. FANCE: the link between Fanconi anaemia complex assembly and activity. *EMBO J.* **21**:3414–3423.
- Patel, K. J., V. P. Yu, H. Lee, A. Corcoran, F. C. Thistlethwaite, M. J. Evans, W. H. Colledge, L. S. Friedman, B. A. Ponder, and A. R. Venkataraman. 1998. Involvement of Brca2 in DNA repair. *Mol. Cell* **1**:347–357.
- Pellegrini, L., D. S. Yu, T. Lo, S. Anand, M. Lee, T. L. Blundell, and A. R. Venkataraman. 2002. Insights into DNA recombination from the structure of a RAD51-BRCA2 complex. *Nature* **420**:287–293.
- Qiao, F., A. Moss, and G. M. Kupfer. 2001. Fanconi anemia proteins localize to chromatin and the nuclear matrix in a DNA damage- and cell cycle-regulated manner. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**:23391–23396.

42. Sasaki, M. S., and A. Tonomura. 1973. A high susceptibility of Fanconi's anemia to chromosome breakage by DNA cross-linking agents. *Cancer Res.* **33**:1829–1836.
43. Sigurdsson, S., S. Van Komen, W. Bussen, D. Schild, J. S. Albala, and P. Sung. 2001. Mediator function of the human Rad51B-Rad51C complex in Rad51/RPA-catalyzed DNA strand exchange. *Genes Dev.* **15**:3308–3318.
44. Snouwaert, J. N., L. C. Gowen, A. M. Latour, A. R. Mohn, A. Xiao, L. DiBiase, and B. H. Koller. 1999. BRCA1 deficient embryonic stem cells display a decreased homologous recombination frequency and an increased frequency of non-homologous recombination that is corrected by expression of a brca1 transgene. *Oncogene* **18**:7900–7907.
45. Sonoda, E., C. Morrison, Y. M. Yamashita, M. Takata, and S. Takeda. 2001. Reverse genetic studies of homologous DNA recombination using the chicken B-lymphocyte line, DT40. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London B* **356**:111–117.
46. Sonoda, E., M. S. Sasaki, J. M. Buerstedde, O. Bezzubova, A. Shinohara, H. Ogawa, M. Takata, Y. Yamaguchi-Iwai, and S. Takeda. 1998. Rad51-deficient vertebrate cells accumulate chromosomal breaks prior to cell death. *EMBO J.* **17**:598–608.
47. Sonoda, E., M. S. Sasaki, C. Morrison, Y. Yamaguchi-Iwai, M. Takata, and S. Takeda. 1999. Sister chromatid exchanges are mediated by homologous recombination in vertebrate cells. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **19**:5166–5169.
48. Sonoda, E., M. Takata, Y. M. Yamashita, C. Morrison, and S. Takeda. 2001. Homologous DNA recombination in vertebrate cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **98**:8388–8394.
49. Stewart, G., and S. J. Elledge. 2002. The two faces of BRCA2, a FANCTastic discovery. *Mol. Cell* **10**:2–4.
50. Takata, M., H. Sabe, A. Hata, T. Inazu, Y. Homma, T. Nukada, H. Yamamura, and T. Kurosaki. 1994. Tyrosine kinases Lyn and Syk regulate B cell receptor-coupled Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization through distinct pathways. *EMBO J.* **13**:1341–1349.
51. Takata, M., M. S. Sasaki, E. Sonoda, T. Fukushima, C. Morrison, J. S. Albala, S. M. Swagemakers, R. Kanaar, L. H. Thompson, and S. Takeda. 2000. The Rad51 paralog Rad51B promotes homologous recombinational repair. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **20**:6476–6482.
52. Takata, M., M. S. Sasaki, E. Sonoda, C. Morrison, M. Hashimoto, H. Utsumi, Y. Yamaguchi-Iwai, A. Shinohara, and S. Takeda. 1998. Homologous recombination and non-homologous end-joining pathways of DNA double-strand break repair have overlapping roles in the maintenance of chromosomal integrity in vertebrate cells. *EMBO J.* **17**:5497–5508.
53. Takata, M., M. S. Sasaki, S. Tachiiri, T. Fukushima, E. Sonoda, D. Schild, L. H. Thompson, and S. Takeda. 2001. Chromosome instability and defective recombinational repair in knockout mutants of the five Rad51 paralogs. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **21**:2858–2866.
54. Taniguchi, T., I. Garcia-Higuera, B. Xu, P. R. Andreassen, R. C. Gregory, S. T. Kim, W. S. Lane, M. B. Kastan, and A. D. D'Andrea. 2002. Convergence of the Fanconi anemia and ataxia telangiectasia signaling pathways. *Cell* **109**:459–472.
55. Thompson, L. H., and D. Schild. 2001. Homologous recombinational repair of DNA ensures mammalian chromosome stability. *Mutat. Res.* **477**:131–153.
56. Thompson, L. H., and D. Schild. 2002. Recombinational DNA repair and human disease. *Mutat. Res.* **509**:49–78.
57. van Gent, D. C., J. H. Hoeijmakers, and R. Kanaar. 2001. Chromosomal stability and the DNA double-stranded break connection. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2**:196–206.
58. Venkitaraman, A. R. 2002. Cancer susceptibility and the functions of BRCA1 and BRCA2. *Cell* **108**:171–182.
59. Wilson, J. B., M. A. Johnson, A. P. Stuckert, K. L. Trueman, S. May, P. E. Bryant, R. E. Meyn, A. D. D'Andrea, and N. J. Jones. 2001. The Chinese hamster FANCG/XRCC9 mutant NM3 fails to express the monoubiquitinated form of the FANCD2 protein, is hypersensitive to a range of DNA damaging agents and exhibits a normal level of spontaneous sister chromatid exchange. *Carcinogenesis* **22**:1939–1946.
60. Wolff, S. 1982. Sister chromatid exchange. John Wiley & Sons, New York, N.Y.
61. Yamaguchi-Iwai, Y., E. Sonoda, J. M. Buerstedde, O. Bezzubova, C. Morrison, M. Takata, A. Shinohara, and S. Takeda. 1998. Homologous recombination, but not DNA repair, is reduced in vertebrate cells deficient in RAD52. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **18**:6430–6435.
62. Yang, H., P. Jeffrey, J. Miller, E. Kinnucan, Y. Sun, N. Thoma, N. Zheng, P. Chen, W. Lee, and N. Pavletich. 2002. BRCA2 function in DNA binding and recombination from a BRCA2-DSS1-ssDNA structure. *Science* **297**:1837–1848.
63. Yang, Y., Y. Kuang, R. M. De Oca, T. Hays, L. Moreau, N. Lu, B. Seed, and A. D. D'Andrea. 2001. Targeted disruption of the murine Fanconi anemia gene, Fancg/Xrcc9. *Blood* **98**:3435–3440.
64. Yuan, S. S., S. Y. Lee, G. Chen, M. Song, G. E. Tomlinson, and E. Y. Lee. 1999. BRCA2 is required for ionizing radiation-induced assembly of Rad51 complex in vivo. *Cancer Res.* **59**:3547–3551.