

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Clin Exp Allergy. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2006 November 29.

Published in final edited form as: *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2006 November ; 36(11): 1436–1445.

CD2 Identifies a Monocyte Subpopulation with IgE Dependent, High Level Expression of FccRI

Yuan Xiong Cheng, M.D., Ph.D.^{a,e}, Barbara Foster, M.S.^a, Steven M. Holland, M.D.^b, Amy D. Klion, M.D.^c, Thomas B. Nutman, M.D.^c, Thomas B. Casale, M.D.^d, Dean D. Metcalfe, M.D.^a, and Calman Prussin, M.D.^a

a From the Laboratory of Allergic Diseases,

bLaboratory of Clinical Infectious Diseases, and

cLaboratory of Parasitic Diseases, NIAID, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland;

dand the Division of Allergy/Immunology, Department of Medicine, Creighton University, Omaha, Nebraska.

eCurrent address: Division of Respiratory Medicine, Nan-Fang Hospital, Nan-Fang Medical University, Guangzhou, China.

Abstract

Background—FceRI expression by monocytes can affect monocyte function via multiple mechanisms, thereby potentially influencing the generation of allergic inflammation. Previous studies on the in vivo regulation of monocyte FceRI expression by ambient IgE have yielded conflicting results.

Objective—We hypothesized that monocyte FceRI expression is limited to a specific monocyte subset and that within that subset FceRI surface expression is correlated to serum IgE.

Methods—Study 1: Blood was obtained from nonallergic subjects (n = 14) and subjects with allergic asthma (n = 18), hypereosinophilic syndrome (n = 2), hyper-IgE syndrome (n = 6), and helminth infection (n = 4). Study 2: Blood was obtained from allergic subjects in a clinical trial of omalizumab before and during study drug treatment. Monocyte surface FceRI expression was measured using flow cytometry.

Results—FceRI expression was significantly greater in the $CD2^{high}$ vs. $CD2^{low}$ monocyte subsets (31% vs. 1.9% median FceRI⁺, respectively). In asthmatic and non-atopic healthy control subjects, $CD2^{low}$ monocytes expressed little or undetectable FceRI. In study 1, FceRI expression was highly correlated to serum IgE in the $CD2^{high}$, but not in the $CD2^{low}$ monocyte subpopulation (R values of 0.67 and 0.41, respectively). In study 2, omalizumab but not placebo, caused a significant and sustained drop in FceRI expression within the $CD2^{high}$ monocyte subset.

Conclusions—CD2 defines a monocyte subset with high FceRI expression, the magnitude of which is highly correlated to serum IgE. As such, this new description of CD2^{high} monocytes as FceRI bearing cells suggests that they may be potential targets of anti-IgE immunomodulatory therapies.

Corresponding author and reprint requests: Calman Prussin, M.D., Building 10, Room 11C205, NIH, Bethesda, MD, 20892-1881. Phone/fax: 301-496-1306. Email: cprussin@niaid.nih.gov..

Funding: NIAID Division of Intramural Research Grant # 1Z01-AI-000761-07. Genentech/Novartis funded the omalizumab clinical study and one of the authors, TBC.

Keywords

Monocyte; FceRI; IgE; antigen presenting cell; CD2; allergy; omalizumab; allergy; asthma; flow cytometry

Abbreviations

APC: Antigen presenting cell; DC: Dendritic cell; FceRI: High affinity IgE receptor; MEPE: Molecules of equivalent phycoerythrin

Introduction

The high-affinity IgE receptor (FceRI) and allergen specific IgE play an essential role in mast cell and basophil activation, leading to immediate-type hypersensitivity. Antigen presenting cells (APCs), such as monocytes and dendritic cells (DCs), also express FceRI[1–6]. FceRI expression by APCs can increase the efficiency of allergen presentation to T cells up to 1000-fold, through an antigen focusing mechanism in which FceRI-bound IgE on APCs selectively captures allergen[7]. In this manner, FceRI α expression by APCs may augment the activation and differentiation of allergen-specific Th2 cells, thereby increasing allergic inflammation [8]. Additionally, FceRI cross-linking on monocytes causes NF- κ B activation[9], prevention of apoptosis[10], and induction of IL-10 expression, which then inhibits monocyte differentiation into DC[11]. Lastly, monocytes are the precursors of both DCs and macrophages, and thus FceRI expression by monocytes may have the potential to influence the generation of allergic inflammation through multiple mechanisms.

IL-4 and IL-13 increase monocyte FceRI α expression in vitro[12] and may play a major role in the increased expression found on monocytes obtained from atopic patients. In vitro studies of FceRI transfected cell lines have shown that IgE binding to FceRI α stabilizes the complex resulting in greater FceRI surface expression[13]. This phenomenon is borne out in human disease in which there is a strong correlation between serum IgE and FceRI α surface expression by basophils and DCs[14]. This suggests that the increased monocyte FceRI expression found in allergic disease is at least in part a result of the increased serum IgE that is characteristic of these disorders[3,15,16]. However, a study that carefully examined monocyte FceRI α expression in non-allergic patients with highly elevated serum IgE concentrations found no correlation between monocyte FceRI expression and serum IgE[17]. This latter study suggests that monocyte surface FceRI α expression is independent of ambient IgE concentrations and thus regulated in a fundamentally different manner from that of other FceRI expressing cells.

Monocytes are defined by their characteristic morphology and cell surface phenotype. Recently it has been appreciated that monocytes, although morphologically uniform, are composed of subsets with different capacities for lineage differentiation, tissue homing and contribution to tissue inflammation[18,19]. These subsets have largely been phenotypically defined using cell surface markers including CD2, CD14, CD16, CD64 and chemokine receptor expression. In specific, CD16⁺, CX3CR1⁺ monocytes differentiate into tissue homing resident macrophages found in uninflammed spleen, liver and lungs. In contrast, CD16⁻, CCR2⁺ monocytes are the precursors of macrophage and DC populations found in inflammatory sites[18,20]. Recently, it has been reported that the CD16⁺, CD64⁻ monocyte subpopulation is increased in the blood of patients with atopic dermatitis[21].

However, previous studies of monocyte FceRI expression have examined the total monocyte population without subset analysis and were technically limited by the use of unconjugated low signal:noise FceRIa mAbs, that in some cases recognized an FceRI epitope that competed

with IgE binding, and required acid stripping to remove bound IgE. The conflicting results in the literature thus led us to carefully reexamine the issue of monocyte FceRI expression using multiparameter flow cytometry and a directly labeled high signal:noise non-competitive anti-FceRI α mAb[22] to examine FceRI expression. We used two complementary clinical approaches to examine the relationship between serum IgE and monocyte FceRI : in study 1, we investigated patient populations with a 1000-fold range of serum IgE concentrations and in study 2, we employed a clinical trial of omalizumab, in which the serum IgE concentration was reduced 10–30 fold. In this work, we localize FceRI α expression to a subpopulation of CD2^{high} human monocytes, and using the above clinical approaches, we demonstrate a high correlation between serum IgE and FceRI expression within the CD2^{high} monocyte subpopulation. These findings explain the conflicting results from previous studies and demonstrate that surface FceRI expression by monocytes is, in fact, regulated in a similar manner to other hematopoietic cells, such as basophils, mast cells and DC.

Methods

Antibodies

Anti-FceRIα (clone AER-37, originally described as CRA1 [22]) PE, APC, biotin was obtained form eBiosciences, San Diego, CA. This mAb does not compete with IgE for FceRI binding and thus is an accurate means to assess surface FceRIα expression. Anti-IgE phycoerythrin (PE) (clone G7-26); CD123/anti–IL-3R phycoerythrin (PE), PE/cyanin-5 (PE/Cy5), biotin; mouse IgG1 unlabeled, biotin, PE; mouse IgG2b unlabeled, PE, PE/Cy5; mouse IgG2a PE; streptavidin-PE; CD64 (clone 10.1) biotin, PE; HLA-DR PE, PE/Cy5; CCR3 (clone 1C6) PE; CCR4 (clone 1G1) PE, CCR5 (clone 2D7/CCR5) PE; CCR6 (clone 11A9) PE were obtained form BD-PharMingen Corporation, San Diego, CA. Anti-CD14 (clone RM052) FITC, APC; CD2 unlabeled (clone T11) (Immunotech, Marseille, France); CCR2 (clone 48607) PE; CCR7 (clone 150503) PE (R&D Systems Inc, Minneapolis, MN.); CD1c/BDCA-1 biotin, PE (clone AD5-8E7); BDCA-2 PE (clone AC144); BDCA-3 (clone AD5-14H12) PE (Militenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA); Goat-anti-Mouse IgG1 Alexa 647; streptavidin-APC (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR); anti-CD16 (clone 3G8) FITC (BioSource, Camarillo, CA) were obtained commercially. The basophil granule-specific mAb 2D7[23] was a gift from Dr. Lawrence Schwartz (Virginia Commonwealth University, VA).

Reagents

Paraformaldehyde, dimethyl sulfoxide, histopaque-1077 and -1088 (Sigma Chemicals, St. Louis, MO) and Sphero Rainbow Calibration Particles (BD-PharMingen) were obtained commercially.

Study subjects and cells

Study 1—Eighteen allergic asthmatic subjects (Figs. 1–5) met American Thoracic Society criteria for the diagnosis of asthma[24], had either moderate or severe asthma as defined by the National Asthma Education Prevention Program, had 3 or more positive skin test responses (\geq 3 mm induration over glycerol negative control) out of a panel of 10 aeroallergens, and were not experiencing an exacerbation at the time of the study. Thirteen of these asthmatic subjects were using inhaled corticosteroid therapy; none were receiving systemic corticosteroid therapy. Fourteen healthy control subjects had no history of allergic disease or asthma and had negative skin tests (\leq 2 mm induration) to the panel of aeroallergens. The helminth infected patients had intestinal helminths (n=1), onchocerciasis (n=2) and loiasis (n=1). The hyper IgE recurrent infection (Job's syndrome) patients had a history of multiple characteristic infections and elevated IgE. The 2 hypereosinophilic syndrome patients met established criteria[25].

Study 2—The omalizumab clinical study (Fig. 6) was a randomized, double blind, placebocontrolled clinical trial of 24 subjects (16 omalizumab and 8 placebo) with seasonal allergic rhinitis, as reported[5,26]. Subjects with a history of ragweed-induced seasonal allergic rhinitis, a positive ragweed skin test, and a serum IgE concentration of less than 700 IU/mL were enrolled. Subjects were randomly assigned to receive either omalizumab (0.016 $mg\cdot kg^{-1}\cdot IU^{-1}\cdot mL^{-1}$ of IgE) or placebo on days 0 and 28. At the completion of the trial, the data were analyzed in a blinded fashion. Monocyte FceRIa expression was determined by flow cytometry on day 0 (baseline) and on days 7, 14, 28, and 42.

The clinical protocols for studies 1 and 2 were approved by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases and the Creighton University Institutional Review Boards, respectively. All subjects gave informed consent.

PBMC were isolated from EDTA anticoagulated blood by means of density gradient separation with either Histopaque-1077 (study 1, Figs 1–5) or Histopaque-1088 (study 2, Fig 6), fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 5 minutes at 37°C, and cryopreserved in 10% dimethyl sulfoxide/ PBS at –80°C, according to published methods[27]. Serum IgE determinations (Fig 4, Table I) were performed by the National Institutes of Health Clinical Center Department of Laboratory Medicine using a chemiluminescence immunoassay.

Antibody staining

Multiple combinations of antibodies were used in the experiments, employing a nonpermeabilizing adaptation of described procedures[4,27]. The following is an example of the staining for CD2, CD14, FceRIα and BDCA-1 that was used in figures 3–6. Cryopreserved fixed cells were thawed, washed once in PBS with 0.1% BSA (PBS/BSA), and then blocked in PBS/BSA/5% nonfat dry milk (PBS/BSA/milk) for 1 hour on ice. Cells were incubated with CD14 FITC, FceRIα or IgG2a PE, BDCA-1 biotin, and CD2 or IgG1 control in PBS/BSA/milk for 30 minutes at 4°C, washed twice in PBS/BSA, and incubated with Goat-anti-Mouse IgG1 Alexa 647 and streptavidin conjugated PE/Cy5.5 in PBS/BSA for 30 minutes, washed, and analyzed by flow cytometry. The CD2 mAb was an IgG1 isotype, the CD14, FceRI and BDCA-1 mAbs were Ig2a and were thus not recognized by the Goat-anti-Mouse IgG1 secondary antibody in pilot experiments (data not shown).

Flow cytometry

Data were acquired with a 2-laser, 4-parameter FACSCalibur flow cytometer (Becton-Dickinson Biosciences) and analyzed on Cellquest (Becton-Dickinson Biosciences) or FlowJo software (Tree Star, San Carlos, CA). Typically, 200,000 total events were acquired to obtain adequate numbers of FceRI α ⁺ monocytes. FceRI α expression was quantitated as molecules of equivalent PE (MEPE) using Sphero Rainbow Calibration particles, as per the manufacturer's instructions.

The CD14⁺, CD2^{high} and CD14⁺, CD2^{low} subsets were identified by first gating on CD14⁺ cells, then back gating on cells of the corresponding scatter, which yielded two distinct populations of cells differentially expressing CD2 and FceRI (Fig. 2E, F). The CD14⁺, CD2^{high} population, although enriched for FceRI+ cells, contained a minority population of CD2^{bright}, FceRI⁻ cells (bold arrows in Fig. 2E, F), which in additional experiments were found to be largely CD3+ T cells (mean 84% CD3⁺, n=6 donors, 3 allergic asthma, 3 non-atopic control). Because these cells were not monocytes, in the additional experiments performed in figures 4–6, this population was excluded from the analysis of CD2^{high} monocytes.

Statistical analysis

The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare $FceRI\alpha$ expression between different subject groups (Figs. 2 and 5). The Spearman rank test was used to evaluate correlative data in figure 4. Paired data in figure 6 were analyzed using the Wilcoxon signed rank test. A *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. Statistical calculations and linear regression analysis were performed with Prism software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

Results

To explore monocyte heterogeneity, we first examined FceRI α expression in monocytes as a function of CD14. As shown in figure 1A, the majority of monocytes stained negative for FceRI α (gate I) and a minority subpopulation (gate II) extended as a characteristic curved FceRI⁺ tail. A third population of CD14⁻, FceRI^{bright} cells was noted (gate III), which upon further analysis consisted of basophils and DCs (data not shown). In subsequent experiments in Figs. 1–3, we define FceRI⁻ and FceRI⁺ monocytes as the populations defined by gates I and II, respectively. These results demonstrate that monocytes consist of two populations: a majority or "mainstream" population with little or no FceRI expression and a second population consisting of a tail of FceRI+ cells extending 1–2 logs above the mainstream cluster.

Because basophils and DCs express FceRIα, we next sought to demonstrate that the FceRI⁺ monocyte population was not composed of either of basophils or DCs. Both the FceRI⁺ and FceRI⁻ monocyte populations stained negatively for the basophil granule protein 2D7 (Fig. 1B) as well as for the BDCA-2 and BDCA-3 dendritic cell markers (Fig. 1D, E)[28]. Most of the FceRI⁺ monocytes were negative for the CD1c/BDCA-1 marker, however there was a shoulder of CD1c intermediate cells noted (Fig. 1C). These results demonstrate that the FceRI⁺ monocyte subpopulation is not contaminated by substantial numbers of other known FceRI bearing cell populations.

We next sought to determine if any previously reported monocyte subset markers could be used to better define the FceRI⁺ monocyte subset. The best accepted monocyte subsets consist of reciprocal CD16⁻, CD64⁺ and CD16⁺, CD64⁻ subpopulations [18], both of which were found in the mainstream FceRI⁻ monocyte population (Fig. 2A). In contrast, the FceRI⁺ monocyte subpopulation consisted almost entirely of cells expressing the CD16⁻, CD64⁺ phenotype (mean 92% of FceRI⁺ monocytes were CD16⁻, CD64⁺). However, because most monocytes are CD16⁻, CD64⁺, these markers did not further discriminate the FceRI⁺ monocyte subpopulation. We next examined HLA-DR and CD123, which identify different DC subsets and maturation stages[29] and found they were expressed at 2.7 and 2.0 greater levels, respectively, in the FceRI⁺ monocyte population (data not shown). We further examined CCR2, CCR3, CCR4, CCR5, CCR6 and CCR7 and found none of these chemokine receptors were differentially expressed in the FceRI⁺ vs. FceRI⁻ monocyte populations (data not shown).

CD2 is expressed at high levels by a subset of monocytes, which are capable of rapid differentiation into functional dendritic cells and may represent DC precursors[30–32]. We thus examined CD2 expression and found that FceRI was highly expressed on the CD2^{high} monocyte subset (Figs. 2E and F). FceRI staining by CD2^{high} monocytes was verified by demonstrating that FceRI+ cells stained concordantly for IgE (Fig. 2G), whereas no staining was found in CD2^{low} monocytes (Fig. 2H).

We next analyzed the relative expression of FceRI in the CD2^{high} and CD2^{low} monocyte subsets to determine if CD2 could be used to better discriminate the FceRI⁺ subset. The CD2^{high} monocyte subset contained a significantly greater fraction of FceRI⁺ cells than were

present in the CD2^{low} monocyte subset (39% vs. 1.9%, median) and this relationship was found in monocytes from both allergic asthmatic and non-atopic healthy control subjects (Fig. 3A). CD2^{high} monocytes from allergic asthmatic donors contained greater numbers of FceRI⁺ cells relative to healthy non-atopic donors, and approached statistical significance (p = 0.065). Conversely, the FceRI⁺ monocyte subset contained a significantly greater fraction of CD2^{high} cells, relative to the FceRI⁻ subset (Fig. 3B). In sum, these data demonstrate that the CD2^{high} monocyte subset is highly enriched for FceRI expressing cells and suggests that CD2 may be used as a marker to identify FceRI expressing monocytes.

Previous studies have shown that monocytes are capable of expressing FceRI, but have yielded conflicting results regarding the relationship between monocyte FceRI expression and serum IgE [16,17]. We hypothesized that this discrepancy between studies could be due to the inability to accurately measure the small fraction of FceRI⁺ monocytes within the much larger pool of mainstream FceRI⁻ monocytes. To address this, we next examined the relationship between serum IgE and FceRI expression within the CD2^{high} and CD2^{low} monocyte subsets.

We first used a similar study design to Saini et al[17], and studied subjects with serum IgE concentrations encompassing a 10,000-fold range. Five patient groups were studied: healthy non-atopic controls, allergic asthma, helminth infection, hyper-IgE (Job's) syndrome and hypereosinophilic syndrome (Table I). All 4 disease cohorts had elevated serum IgE levels, but the latter three did not have allergic disease. Within the CD2^{high} monocyte subset, FceRI expression correlated well with serum IgE (r= 0.67, Fig. 4A). In contrast, a lower correlation was found in the CD2^{low} subset (r= 0.41, Fig. 4B). A subgroup analysis using only the allergic asthmatic and non-atopic control subjects yielded a significant correlation for the CD2^{high} (r= 0.39, p= 0.028), but not the CD2^{low} (r= 0.30, p= 0.99) monocyte subsets. We additionally examined the percentage of CD2^{high}, FceRI⁺ monocytes (Fig. 4C), but did not find a significant correlation (r= 0.26, p=0.09). Repeat analyses of the CD2^{high} monocyte FceRI MEPE from 6 asthmatic and 6 non-atopic control subjects acquired at separate time points over a year apart demonstrated a high degree of correlation (r= 0.71), demonstrating that this is a stable characteristic. These data demonstrate that FceRI expression and serum IgE are highly correlated in the CD2^{high} but not the CD2^{low} monocyte subset.

We then examined surface $FceRI\alpha$ expression as a function of disease status. In the CD2^{high} monocyte subset, FceRI was expressed at significantly higher levels in all 3 disease categories relative to the non-atopic subjects (Fig. 5). In contrast, no such relationship to disease status was found for CD2^{low} monocytes when comparing allergic asthma to non-atopic healthy control subjects. Interestingly, CD2^{low} monocytes from both the helminth infected and hyper-IgE syndrome subjects had higher FceRI expression than those from the non-atopic control subjects, although this finding was less significant than that for the CD2^{high} monocytes.

We next hypothesized that the correlation between monocyte FceRI and serum IgE found in figures 4 and 5 would be reiterated when serum IgE was therapeutically decreased 10–30-fold during a clinical trial of omalizumab[26]. Omalizumab caused a significant drop in surface FceRI expression in the CD2^{high} monocyte subpopulation that was noted at all study time points (Fig. 6A). In contrast, the change in FceRI expression within the CD2^{low} population was not significant at any of the first 3 times points measured (days 7, 14 and 28), but did reach statistical significance at the single day 42 time point (Fig. 6C). The drop in FceRI expression during omalizumab treatment was 22% and 11% for the CD2^{high} and CD2^{low} monocyte subsets, respectively. These data demonstrate that therapeutic reduction in serum IgE causes a reduction in FceRIa surface expression in the CD2^{high} monocyte subset.

Discussion

Monocytes express low levels of FceRI, however the relative magnitude of this expression and its relationship to serum IgE concentration has been disputed. In this report, we demonstrate for the first time that FceRIa surface expression is limited to the CD2^{high} monocyte subset, and that FceRI expression by this monocyte subset is highly correlated to serum IgE concentration. These results demonstrate that FceRI surface expression by this subset of CD2^{high} monocytes responds to ambient IgE levels similarly to that shown previously in other FceRI bearing cells.

In basophils, [17] dendritic cells, [5] and mast cells [33] in vivo, as well as in in vitro studies of transfected cell lines[13] and monocyte derived DC[34], surface FceRIa expression is highly dependent on ambient IgE concentration. These studies have led to the general understanding that surface FceRI is stabilized by IgE occupancy of the receptor, resulting in greater levels of surface FceRI expression. Sirha et al [16] found a high correlation between monocyte FceRI and serum IgE. However, a carefully performed study of monocytes found no correlation between serum IgE and monocyte FceRI, suggesting that the regulation of monocyte surface FceRI expression was singularly different from that of other cell lineages previously studied [17]. Our results in Figs. 1–3, demonstrating that FceRI surface expression is largely limited to a subset of CD2^{high} monocytes, help explain the seeming contradiction of these previous reports. We found that surface FceRI expression by the majority CD2^{low} monocyte subset was generally below the level of detection of our high signal:noise assay, and in that subset, FceRI expression was not responsive to ambient IgE levels. In contrast, as shown in Figs. 4-6, the CD2^{high} monocyte subset expresses substantial amounts of surface FceRI, the expression of which is highly responsive to ambient IgE concentrations. These data support the concept that surface FceRI expression regulation by CD2^{high} monocytes is regulated in a similar manner to other cell lineages studied.

We used two complementary clinical approaches, both of which demonstrate the IgE dependence of monocyte FceRI surface expression. First, in a similar manner to Saini et al [17], we utilized a cohort of subjects with a 4 log range of serum IgE concentrations (Figs. 4 and 5). Second, we utilized a clinical trial of omalizumab to decrease serum IgE (Fig. 6). The magnitude of reduction in FceRI expression induced by omalizumab was less than that seen for basophils and DCs analyzed from the same clinical trial [5,26]. Further work is needed to determine if this finding is a technical artifact or represents actual differences in the biology of FceRI surface expression.

To more clearly define the FceRI⁺ monocyte population, we examined a number of different cell surface markers. In these experiments, CD2 was uniquely capable of identifying the FceRI⁺ monocyte subpopulation. However, despite our identification of the CD2^{high} monocyte as being the major FceRI bearing monocyte population, some FceRI expressing monocytes were found in the CD2^{low} population. This spillover may explain the higher levels of FceRI in CD2^{low} monocytes from subjects with hyper-IgE syndrome or helminth infections. This finding may also explain the drop in FceRI expression in CD2^{low} monocytes in the omalizumab treated group on study day 42. Future advances in understanding FceRI expression by monocyte subsets will require additional markers to more precisely identify this subpopulation.

 $CD2^{high}$ monocytes rapidly acquire DC activity in vitro and may represent the immediate precursors to DC [30–32]. Given the capacity for $CD2^{high}$ monocytes to acquire DC activity, it may be argued that $CD2^{high}$ monocytes are simply DC themselves and thus, express FceRI in a similar manner to DC. However, FceRI+ monocytes did not express the DC specific BDCA-2 and BDCA-3 markers, supporting the conclusion that these FceRI⁺ monocytes are not simply CD14⁺ DC. A fraction of FceRI⁺ monocytes expressed intermediate levels of CD1c/

BDCA-1, which although lower expression than that found on DCs, could indicate that these cells are in transition from the monocyte to DC lineages. Further investigation is needed to better define the lineage relationship between the FceRI⁺ monocyte subset, the mainstream FceRI⁻ monocyte population, and DCs; as well as the role of the CD2^{high} monocyte subset in allergic disease pathogenesis and as a target for immunomodulatory therapies. These results confirm and extend our previous findings on DCs[5] and suggest that surface FceRI is upregulated prior to monocyte differentiation into DCs.

FceRI expression by APCs increases the efficiency of antigen presentation of allergen to T cells via an antigen focusing mechanism by as much as 1000-fold[8]. Given that we have shown that the CD2^{high}, FceRI⁺ monocyte is the dominant FceRI expressing monocyte subset, it is possible that these cells are responsible for IgE mediated antigen focusing by monocytes. Alternatively, crosslinking of FceRI on antigen presenting cells may induce expression of proinflammatory mediators and chemokines[8].

In conclusion, we have demonstrated high level FceRI expression by CD2^{high} monocytes, and furthermore, that this FceRI expression is limited to the CD2^{high} monocyte subset, and is highly correlated to serum IgE. These findings help explain the conflicting results of previous studies on the relationship of monocyte FceRI to serum IgE[3,16,17], and suggest that CD2^{high} monocytes may be a potential target of anti-IgE immunomodulatory therapy [35].

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Leigh Bernardino, Linda Scott, Mary Huber, Victoria Anderson, Susan Foster and Melissa Law for procurement of clinical samples, and Drs. Henry Lin, D. Todd Griffith and Kevin Boesel for work on the omalizumab clinical trial.

References

- Bieber T, de la Salle H, Wollenberg A, Hakimi J, Chizzonite R, Ring J, Hanau D, de la Salle C. Human epidermal Langerhans cells express the high affinity receptor for immunoglobulin E (Fc epsilon RI). J Exp Med 1992;175:1285–90. [PubMed: 1533242]
- Wang B, Rieger A, Kilgus O, Ochiai K, Maurer D, Fodinger D, Kinet JP, Stingl G. Epidermal Langerhans cells from normal human skin bind monomeric IgE via Fc epsilon RI. J Exp Med 1992;175:1353–65. [PubMed: 1533243]
- Maurer D, Fiebiger E, Reininger B, Wolff-Winiski B, Jouvin MH, Kilgus O, Kinet JP, Stingl G. Expression of functional high affinity immunoglobulin E receptors (Fc epsilon RI) on monocytes of atopic individuals. J Exp Med 1994;179:745–50. [PubMed: 8294882]
- Foster B, Metcalfe DD, Prussin C. Human dendritic cell 1 and dendritic cell 2 subsets express FcepsilonRI: correlation with serum IgE and allergic asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2003;112:1132– 8. [PubMed: 14657872]
- Prussin C, Griffith DT, Boesel KM, Lin H, Foster B, Casale TB. Omalizumab treatment downregulates dendritic cell FcepsilonRI expression. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2003;112:1147–54. [PubMed: 14657874]
- Novak N, Allam JP, Hagemann T, Jenneck C, Laffer S, Valenta R, Kochan J, Bieber T. Characterization of FcepsilonRI-bearing CD123 blood dendritic cell antigen-2 plasmacytoid dendritic cells in atopic dermatitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2004;114:364–70. [PubMed: 15316517]
- Maurer D, Fiebiger S, Ebner C, et al. Peripheral blood dendritic cells express Fc epsilon RI as a complex composed of Fc epsilon RI alpha- and Fc epsilon RI gamma-chains and can use this receptor for IgEmediated allergen presentation. J Immunol 1996;157:607–16. [PubMed: 8752908]
- Novak N, Kraft S, Bieber T. Unraveling the mission of FcepsilonRI on antigen-presenting cells. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2003;111:38–44. [PubMed: 12532094]
- Kraft S, Novak N, Katoh N, Bieber T, Rupec RA. Aggregation of the high-affinity IgE receptor Fc (epsilon)RI on human monocytes and dendritic cells induces NF-kappaB activation. J Invest Dermatol 2002;118:830–7. [PubMed: 11982761]

- Katoh N, Kraft S, Wessendorf JH, Bieber T. The high-affinity IgE receptor (FcepsilonRI) blocks apoptosis in normal human monocytes. J Clin Invest 2000;105:183–90. [PubMed: 10642596]
- Novak N, Bieber T, Katoh N. Engagement of Fc epsilon RI on human monocytes induces the production of IL-10 and prevents their differentiation in dendritic cells. J Immunol 2001;167:797– 804. [PubMed: 11441085]
- Reischl IG, Dubois GR, Peiritsch S, Brown KS, Wheat L, Woisetschlager M, Mudde GC. Regulation of Fc epsilonRI expression on human monocytic cells by ligand and IL-4. Clin Exp Allergy 2000;30:1033–40. [PubMed: 10848927]
- Borkowski TA, Jouvin MH, Lin SY, Kinet JP. Minimal requirements for IgE-mediated regulation of surface Fc epsilon RI. J Immunol 2001;167:1290–6. [PubMed: 11466345]
- Saini SS, MacGlashan D. How IgE upregulates the allergic response. Curr Opin Immunol 2002;14:694–7. [PubMed: 12413517]
- Reischl IG, Corvaia N, Effenberger F, Wolff-Winiski B, Kromer E, Mudde GC. Function and regulation of Fc epsilon RI expression on monocytes from non-atopic donors. Clin Exp Allergy 1996;26:630–41. [PubMed: 8809420]
- 16. Sihra BS, Kon OM, Grant JA, Kay AB. Expression of high-affinity IgE receptors (Fc epsilon RI) on peripheral blood basophils, monocytes, and eosinophils in atopic and nonatopic subjects: relationship to total serum IgE concentrations. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1997;99:699–706. [PubMed: 9155838]
- Saini SS, Klion AD, Holland SM, Hamilton RG, Bochner BS, Macglashan DW Jr. The relationship between serum IgE and surface levels of FcepsilonR on human leukocytes in various diseases: correlation of expression with FcepsilonRI on basophils but not on monocytes or eosinophils. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2000;106:514–20. [PubMed: 10984372]
- Grage-Griebenow E, Flad HD, Ernst M. Heterogeneity of human peripheral blood monocyte subsets. J Leukoc Biol 2001;69:11–20. [PubMed: 11200054]
- Geissmann F, Jung S, Littman DR. Blood monocytes consist of two principal subsets with distinct migratory properties. Immunity 2003;19:71–82. [PubMed: 12871640]
- 20. Taylor PR, Gordon S. Monocyte heterogeneity and innate immunity. Immunity 2003;19:2–4. [PubMed: 12871633]
- 21. Novak N, Allam P, Geiger E, Bieber T. Characterization of monocyte subtypes in the allergic form of atopic eczema/dermatitis syndrome. Allergy 2002;57:931–5. [PubMed: 12269940]
- Hasegawa S, Pawankar R, Suzuki K, Nakahata T, Furukawa S, Okumura K, Ra C. Functional expression of the high affinity receptor for IgE (FcepsilonRI) in human platelets and its' intracellular expression in human megakaryocytes. Blood 1999;93:2543–51. [PubMed: 10194433]
- Kepley CL, Craig SS, Schwartz LB. Identification and partial characterization of a unique marker for human basophils. J Immunol 1995;154:6548–55. [PubMed: 7759888]
- 24. Standards for the diagnosis and care of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and asthma. This official statement of the American Thoracic Society was adopted by the ATS Board of Directors, November 1986. Am Rev Respir Dis 1987;136:225–44. [PubMed: 3605835]
- 25. Chusid MJ, Dale DC, West BC, Wolff SM. The hypereosinophilic syndrome: analysis of fourteen cases with review of the literature. Medicine (Baltimore) 1975;54:1–27. [PubMed: 1090795]
- 26. Lin H, Boesel KM, Griffith DT, Prussin C, Foster B, Romero FA, Townley R, Casale TB. Omalizumab rapidly decreases nasal allergic response and FcepsilonRI on basophils. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2004;113:297–302. [PubMed: 14767445]
- Foster, B.; Prussin, C. unit 6.24, Detection of Intracellular Cytokines by Flow Cytometry. In: Coligan, JE.; Kruisbeek, AM.; Margulies, DH.; Shevach, EM.; Strober, W., editors. Current Protocols in Immunology; Wiley: 2003. p. 6.24.1-6..16.
- Dzionek A, Fuchs A, Schmidt P, Cremer S, Zysk M, Miltenyi S, Buck DW, Schmitz J. BDCA-2, BDCA-3, and BDCA-4: three markers for distinct subsets of dendritic cells in human peripheral blood. J Immunol 2000;165:6037–46. [PubMed: 11086035]
- 29. MacDonald KP, Munster DJ, Clark GJ, Dzionek A, Schmitz J, Hart DN. Characterization of human blood dendritic cell subsets. Blood 2002;100:4512–20. [PubMed: 12393628]
- Takamizawa M, Rivas A, Fagnoni F, Benike C, Kosek J, Hyakawa H, Engleman EG. Dendritic cells that process and present nominal antigens to naive T lymphocytes are derived from CD2+ precursors. J Immunol 1997;158:2134–42. [PubMed: 9036958]

- Di Pucchio T, Lapenta C, Santini SM, Logozzi M, Parlato S, Belardelli F. CD2+/CD14+ monocytes rapidly differentiate into CD83+ dendritic cells. Eur J Immunol 2003;33:358–67. [PubMed: 12548567]
- 32. Crawford K, Gabuzda D, Pantazopoulos V, Xu J, Clement C, Reinherz E, Alper CA. Circulating CD2 + monocytes are dendritic cells. J Immunol 1999;163:5920–8. [PubMed: 10570278]
- Beck LA, Marcotte GV, MacGlashan D, Togias A, Saini S. Omalizumab-induced reductions in mast cell Fce psilon RI expression and function. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2004;114:527–30. [PubMed: 15356552]
- Novak N, Tepel C, Koch S, Brix K, Bieber T, Kraft S. Evidence for a differential expression of the FcepsilonRIgamma chain in dendritic cells of atopic and nonatopic donors. J Clin Invest 2003;111:1047–56. [PubMed: 12671054]
- 35. Holgate ST, Djukanovic R, Casale T, Bousquet J. Anti-immunoglobulin E treatment with omalizumab in allergic diseases: an update on anti-inflammatory activity and clinical efficacy. Clin Exp Allergy 2005;35:408–16. [PubMed: 15836747]



Figure 1. Identification of CD14⁺, FceRIa⁺ cells as monocytes

A, after gating on typical monocyte scatter, a CD14 vs. FceRI dot plot was generated. Gates for FceRI⁻ (I) and FceRI⁺ monocytes (II) and basophils/DC (III) are shown. Expression of **B**, 2D7; **C**, CD1c/BDCA-1; **D**, BDCA-2; and **E**, BDCA-3, after gating on the FceRI⁻ (red histograms) or FceRI⁺ (purple histograms) monocyte, basophil (green histogram in **B**) or DC (green histograms in **C**–**E**) populations. Results shown are representative of 3 non-atopic control and 3 allergic asthmatic subjects.





Figure 2. FceRIa expression by monocyte subpopulations

CD64

10

% of maximum)

FceRlo

Cell number

After gating on FceRI⁻ (**A**) or FceRI⁺ (**B**) monocyte populations, a CD16 vs. CD64 dot plot was generated. FceRI⁻ (red histogram) or FceRI⁺ monocytes (blue histogram) expression of CD123 (**C**) and HLA-DR (**D**). After gating on CD14+ monocytes from allergic asthmatic (**E**) and non-allergic (**F**) donors, a CD2 vs. FceRI dot plot was generated. After gating on (**G**) CD14⁺, CD2⁺ or (**H**) CD14⁺, CD2⁻ monocytes from an allergic asthmatic donor, an FceRI vs. IgE dot plot was generated. Results shown are representative of 6 (**A**, **B**), 7 (**C**, **D**), 18 asthmatic and 14 non-atopic control (**E**, **F**) and 6 (**G**, **H**) subjects, respectively.



Figure 3. CD2^{high} monocytes express high levels of surface FceRIa A, CD2^{low} and CD2^{high} monocytes from 6 allergic asthmatic (AA) and 6 healthy non-atopic healthy control (NA) subjects were analyzed for FceRI expression. B, FceRI⁻ and FceRI⁺ monocytes from allergic asthmatic and healthy non-atopic control subjects were analyzed for CD2 expression. Each symbol represents a unique subject. Horizontal bars denote median values. Statistical significance was determined using the Mann-Whitney U test.



Figure 4. $CD2^{high}$ monocyte FceRIa expression correlates with serum IgE Surface FceRIa expression by $CD2^{high}$ (A) and $CD2^{low}$ (B) monocytes was plotted vs. serum IgE concentration. In (C) the percentage of monocytes staining CD2^{high}, FceRI⁺ was plotted vs. serum IgE. Subject information is detailed in table I. Each symbol represents a unique subject. Correlation of FceRI and serum IgE was determined using the Spearman rank correlation test. The line fitting these results was determined using linear regression analysis.



Figure 5. Monocyte FceRIa expression as a function of disease category

Surface FceRI α expression by CD2^{high} (**A**) and CD2^{low} (**B**) monocytes was determined and plotted against diseases category. Subject information is detailed in table I. Each symbol represents a unique subject. Horizontal bars denote median values. Statistical significance was determined using the Mann-Whitney U test.





Table I

Subject characteristics

Diagnosis	Number of subjects	Age (years)	Sex (M/F)	Serum IgE (IU/ml)
Allergic Asthma	18	36	10/8	361
Non-atopic Healthy Control	14	46	9/5	16
Hyper-IgE/Job's	6	26	2/4	7188
Helminth	4	58	1/3	4780
Hypereosinophilic	2	29	1/1	20,212

Values for age and serum IgE are median values for each subject group.