Regulation of Notch Signaling by a Novel Mechanism Involving Suppressor of Hairless Stability and Carboxyl Terminus-Truncated Notch

Cedric S. Wesley* and Lee-Peng Mok

Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, The University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont 05405

Received 12 December 2002/Returned for modification 26 February 2003/Accepted 20 May 2003

Different amounts of Suppressor of Hairless (SuH)-dependent Notch (N) signaling is often used during animal development to produce two different tissues from a population of equipotent cells. During *Drosophila melanogaster* embryogenesis, cells with high amounts of this signaling differentiate the larval epidermis whereas cells with low amounts, or none, differentiate the central nervous system (CNS). The mechanism by which SuH-dependent N signaling is increased or decreased in these different cells is obscure. The developing epidermis is known to get enriched for the full-length N (NFull) and the developing CNS for the carboxyl terminus-truncated N (N Δ Cterm). Results described here indicate that this differential accumulation of N receptors is part of a mechanism that would promote SuH-dependent N signaling in the developing epidermis but suppress it in the developing CNS. This mechanism involves SuH-dependent stability of NFull, NFulldependent accumulation of SuH, stage specific stability of SuH, and N Δ Cterm-dependent loss of SuH and NFull.

Drosophila melanogaster larval epidermis (cuticle) and the central nervous system (CNS) are produced from clusters of embryonic cells that have acquired the potential to become the CNS cells. These cells, called the proneural cells, express N and its ligand Delta (Dl) on their surfaces. When Delta expressed on a cell binds N expressed on the neighboring cell, a protein complex containing SuH and the full N intracellular domain (N^{intra}) become active in the nucleus. SuH targets the complex to the promoter regions of target genes (e.g., Enhancer of split complex) and Nintra activates transcription of these genes. This SuH- and Nintra-dependent signaling is activated in the majority of proneural cells of each cluster. These cells lose expression of neuronal genes (e.g., Achaete Scute complex) and differentiate into the epidermis. At the same time, SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N signaling is suppressed in the remaining cells of the proneural clusters. These cells (called segregating neuroblasts at this stage) increase expression of the neuronal genes and differentiate into the CNS (1, 9, 11, 13, 14, 19, 21, 23, 26, 41, 42, 44, 48). The choice between becoming the epidermis cell or the CNS cell is dependent on the relative amounts of SuH- and Nintra-dependent N signaling: proneural cells with the least amount of SuH- and Nintradependent N signaling gradually lose all of it and become the CNS cells; the remaining cells augment SuH- and Nintra-dependent N signaling and become the epidermis cells (19).

Production of SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N signaling at any time during differentiation of the CNS from the segregating neuroblasts results in loss of the CNS cells (27, 43). Nevertheless, N and DI are expressed during, and required for, the differentiation of the CNS from the segregating neuroblasts (12, 16, 17, 22, 24, 47). Consistent with these observations, (i) N^- embryos produce neither the ventral cuticle nor the CNS but become filled with proneural cells that soon stop differentiating and (ii) the hypomorphic N^{ts1} allele embryos produce N protein and the epidermis only in patches (9, 19, 27, 47). What is the mechanism that activates production of the epidermis (SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N) signals in the developing epidermis but suppresses it in the developing CNS? How does N function in both the developing epidermis and the CNS but produce SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N signaling only in the developing epidermis? The results described below suggest answers to these questions.

The predominant form of N expressed in the developing embryonic epidermis is the full-length form, NFull, while the predominant form of N expressed in the developing CNS (including the segregating neuroblasts) lacks the sequence carboxyl terminus of the CDC10/ankyrin repeats, N Δ Cterm (47). Results of experiments reported here indicate that accumulation of NFull in the developing epidermis would promote accumulation of SuH, which in turn would promote accumulation of NFull. This would generate a positive feed back cycle promoting SuH- and Nintra-dependent N signaling. Accumulation of NACterm in the developing CNS would promote degradation of SuH that in turn promotes degradation of NFull. This would generate a negative feed back cycle that suppresses SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N signaling. Thus, it appears that in the course of tissue differentiation, a secondary N receptor (N Δ Cterm) is produced which in conjunction with the primary N receptor (NFull) would generate opposing cycles of SuHand N^{intra}-dependent N signaling that is utilized to produce two different tissues from a population of equipotent cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Immunostaining. Procedures described by Lieber et al. (27) were followed and the signals were detected using horseradish peroxidase.

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, 322 Stafford Hall, 95 Carrigan Dr., The University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405. Phone: (802) 656-8024. Fax: (802) 656-8749. E-mail: cwesley@zoo.uvm.edu.

Western blotting and immunoprecipitation. Procedures described by Wesley and Saez (47) were followed. Signals were detected by chemiluminescence. The amounts of proteins in extracts were quantitated using absorbance values at 280 nm and the Bio-Rad D_C protein assay kit. Sodium dodecyl sulfate–8% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–8% PAGE) or SDS–4% PAGE was used for Western blots. An antibody made against the heat shock 70 protein (α hsp70) was used to determine the amounts of total proteins in each lane of some blots.

Embryo production. Embryos were collected and aged to different stages at room temperature (22°C), unless otherwise indicated. When reared at other temperatures, appropriate corrections were made for the difference in developmental rates. Embryos lacking the maternal contribution of su(H) were produced in hs-FLP1; $Su(H)^{del47}$ FRT 40A P[1 (2)35Bg⁺)/P[ovo^{D1}] FRT 40 females following the procedure described by Morel and Schweisguth (31). These females were mated with $Su(H)^{del47}/CyO$ males. One hundred percent of the resultant embryos lack the maternal contribution and 50% lack the zygotic contribution as well. Uniform overexpression of different proteins was obtained by crossing Da-Gal 4 flies with UAS-SuH, -H, or -different N proteins following the procedures described by Brand and Perrimon (4). These embryos were reared at 18°C.

Somatic clones production. w^a N^{60g11} FRT 101 w⁺/FM7 flies were crossed with hs Flp; ovo^{D1} FRT 101/Y flies. Third-instar larvae from this cross were heat shocked for 1 h, incubated at room temperature (\sim 22°C) or higher for 3 h, heat shocked again for 1 h, and incubated at room temperature or higher until adult emergence.

RNA analyses. RNAi procedures described by Clemens et al. (10) was followed. Double-stranded RNA was prepared using the DNA sequence corresponding to the first 200 amino acids of SuH. Northern blotting, cell culture, cell handling, and cell aggregation assays procedures followed are described by Wesley and Saez (47). The intracellular domain of DI was removed by introducing a stop codon after the trans-membrane domain. A stable S2 cell line was established from this construct. Western blotting analyses showed that the resultant protein lacks the intracellular domain. All other cell lines used have been previously described (47). All cell transfections were done using the calcium phosphate procedure.

Heat shock treatment. Embryos were heat shocked for 1 h at 37°C and allowed to synthesize proteins at room temperature for various periods of time as indicated. S2 cells were heat shocked for 30 min at 37°C and allowed to synthesize proteins for 2 h or more (as indicated) at room temperature. Clone 8 cells were incubated at 27°C for 24 h or more before protein extraction, or were heat shocked at 37°C for 30 min and incubated at room temperature for 4 to 7 h before protein extraction.

Protease inhibitor treatment. Cells were treated for 24 h with 50 μ M Lactacystin and 200 μ M MG 115, or 100 μ M chloroquine before heat shock induction of proteins.

Antibodies. α N203 is described by Wesley and Saez (47), α NPCR and α NI in Lieber et al. (27), α SuH(r) in Gho et al. (15), α SuH (m) in Kidd et al. (23), and α H in Maier et al. (29). α hsp70 was purchased from Sigma and α Ubiquitin was purchased from Calbiochem. α N2341 was made in hamsters against the amino acid region 2341 to 2537 in the carboxyl terminus of the Notch protein. α SuH(r1) was made in rats against His-tagged full-length SuH.

RESULTS

In the following description, NFull would refer to the largest N molecule with the full intracellular domain on Western blots and N Δ Cterm to the largest N molecule lacking the sequence carboxyl terminus of the CDC10/ankyrin repeats. The smaller forms derived from NFull and N Δ Cterm are not dealt with separately as they covary with the larger forms. N would refer to N protein in general, inclusive of all forms.

Embryonic tissues and stages not enriched for NFull are also not enriched for SuH. Canton S embryos were immunostained with antibodies made against SuH, the amino-terminus of N, and the carboxyl terminus of N. These embryos showed that SuH protein was present primarily in the epidermal layers of the embryo and was not enriched in the segregating neuroblasts and the developing CNS (Fig. 1, embryos 2 and 5). Thus, SuH distribution was similar to that of NFull rather than that of N Δ Cterm, the latter being enriched in the segregating neuroblasts and the developing CNS (compare Fig. 1, embryos 2 and 5 with embryos 1, 3, 4, and 6; the epitopes of the two N antibodies are shown beneath embryos 1 and 3). The staining observed in all the embryos was due to the primary antibody used and similar to previously published patterns (15, 47). The three pairs of SuH enriched spots on the thoracic segments (Fig. 1, embryo 2) appear to be the cells in which the autoregulatory enhancer of the Su(H) gene is active independent of Notch (3). The expression of SuH and NFull at embryonic stages 8 to 9 (embryos 5 and 6 in Fig. 1) is very general and devoid of any obvious patterns (see also references 12 and 22). In the absence of the target protein (as in SuH and N null embryos), or the primary antibody, the embryos were unstained and white (data for SuH not shown; data for N is shown 47).

Western blotting and immunoprecipitation experiments (n = 5) also revealed a correspondence between NFull and SuH amounts. Early stages of embryos contained a high amount of NFull (Fig. 2a, lanes 2, 4) and SuH (Fig. 2b, lane 8). Late stages of embryos contained a low level of NFull (Fig. 2a, lanes 1 and 3) and SuH (Fig. 2b, lane 7). The level of N Δ Cterm in these embryos is higher than the amount of NFull, the opposite of the situation in early stage embryos (Fig. 2a, lanes 1 and 2). The amount of total proteins in lanes 1 and 3 is four times that in lanes 2 and 4; the amount of total proteins used for lanes 5 to 8 was the same. All the bands in lane 3 appear to be degraded or processed NFull fragments as they vary with the amount of NFull in S2 cells and embryos (data not shown). A higher level of ubiquitinated SuH (Ubi-SuH*) was detected in late stage embryos suggesting that the low level of SuH is at least partially due to targeted degradation (Fig. 2b, lanes 5 and 6). Ubi-SuH* appears to be a fragment of SuH, possibly the more stable degradation intermediate product, as it migrates faster than the nonubiquitinated form (compare lanes 5 and 8 in Fig. 2b). Straight Western blotting shows a number of slower migrating forms of SuH in old embryos (some are seen in Fig. 3b) or larvae (see Fig. 6c), but their ubiquitin status is unknown.

The amount of NFull, N Δ Cterm, SuH, and ubiquitinated SuH was also determined in 0 to 9 and 8 to 16 h after egg laying embryos. The level of NFull and SuH were always high, and the level of N Δ Cterm and ubiquitinated SuH always low, in 0 to 9 h embryos. The levels of the same proteins in 8 to 16 h embryos were variable, with the level of NFull and SuH always being lower and the level of N Δ Cterm and ubiquitinated SuH always being lower and the level of N Δ Cterm and ubiquitinated SuH always being lower and the level of N Δ Cterm and ubiquitinated SuH always being lower and the level of N Δ Cterm and ubiquitinated SuH always being lower and the level of N Δ Cterm and ubiquitinated SuH always being lower and the level of N Δ Cterm and ubiquitinated SuH always being lower and the level of N Δ Cterm and ubiquitinated SuH always being lower and the level of N Δ Cterm and ubiquitinated SuH always being lower and the level of N Δ Cterm and ubiquitinated SuH always being lower and the level of N Δ Cterm and ubiquitinated SuH always being lower and the level of N Δ Cterm and ubiquitinated SuH always being lower and the level of N Δ Cterm and ubiquitinated SuH higher than in 0 to 9 h embryos (data not shown).

Reduction in the amount of SuH in embryos reduces the amount of NFull. SuH amount in embryos was reduced following the procedure described by Morel and Schweisguth (31) for generating SuH⁻ germ line clonal embryos. Fifty percent of these embryos would lack both maternal and zygotic contribution; the other 50% would lack the maternal contribution but contain the zygotic contribution. The amount of SuH in embryos was increased using the binary UAS/Gal4 system (4). UAS-SuH flies were crossed with flies carrying *daughterless* promoter driven Gal 4 (Da-Gal 4). The resulting Da-Gal 4/UAS-SuH would overexpress SuH more or less uniformly throughout the embryo. Protein extracts from these embryos were analyzed by Western blotting.

Embryos in which SuH amount was reduced had a lower level of NFull (Fig. 3a and b, lanes 1 and 2). Overexpression of



FIG. 1. The developing CNS in wild-type embryos is not enriched for SuH, like NFull but unlike N Δ Cterm. The wild-type strain used was yw (*yellow⁻ white⁻*). Antibodies used for immunostaining are shown below the embryos; the proteins detected are shown above them. The staining pattern of α N203 is identical to that of antibodies made against the CDC10/ankyrin repeats (13, 22) (α NI used on Western blots in this study was made against the CDC10/ankyrin repeats but it does not work in immunostaining of embryos). Features of the N protein and the epitope regions of α N203 and α NPCR are shown below embryos 1 to 3. s. nb, segregating neuroblasts. Cells enriched for N Δ Cterm in embryo 4 were determined to be segregating neuroblasts by morphology and achaete expression (see also reference 47). 1 to 3 are stage 15 or 16 embryos; 4 to 6 are stage 8 or 9 embryos.

SuH resulted in accumulation of NFull (Fig. 3a and b, lanes 3 to 6). The effect of SuH on accumulation of NFull was stronger in 8 to 16 h embryos compared with 0 to 9 h embryos (compare lanes 5 and 6 with lanes 3 and 4 in Fig. 3a and b). The same results were obtained in three independent repetitions of the experiments. As could be expected from the general pattern of reduction or increase in SuH expression, immunostaining of these embryos did not show any interesting pattern of loss or accumulation of SuH or NFull.

The effect of loss of SuH on N Δ Cterm could not be examined in embryos as N Δ Cterm is derived from NFull (47): lower levels of NFull would mean lower levels of N Δ Cterm. Therefore, the effect of SuH on N Δ Cterm was examined in cultured S2 cells treated with dsRNA to specifically eliminate SuH RNA. Figure 3c shows that S2 cells with heat shock-inducible constructs of NFull (S2-NFull) and an N Δ Cterm-like protein N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ (S2-N¹⁻¹⁵⁵) (47) treated with SuH dsRNA contained low levels of SuH RNA and protein. Following induction of expression, NFull was found to be unstable in cells with low levels of SuH (Fig. 3d, lanes 1 and 2 and lanes 5 and 6). On the other hand, the stability of N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ was unaffected (Fig. 3d,

lanes 3 and 4 and lanes 8 and 9). The same results were obtained in five repetitions of the experiments.

N Δ Cterm-like proteins reduce the amount of NFull in middle stage embryos. The amount of N Δ Cterm-like proteins in embryos was increased by expression of N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ proteins using the UAS/Gal4 system. High levels of N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵, obtained using the heat shock-Gal4 driver (hsGal4), resulted in almost a complete loss of NFull (Fig. 4a, lanes 1 and 2). Relatively moderate amounts of N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵, obtained using the daGal4 driver, resulted in moderate loss of NFull (Fig. 4a, lanes 4 to 6).

The effect of N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ on NFull amount and the stability of N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ expression in embryos showed a dependence on the developmental stages of the embryos. In early-stage embryos, 0 to 9 h after egg laying, N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ increased the amount of NFull (Fig. 4c, lanes 1 and 2). The increase was modest but consistent (n = 3). N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ was very unstable in these embryos, becoming undetectable in less than 40 min after heat shock. In middle-stage embryos, 8 to 16 h after egg laying, N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ almost completely suppressed expression of NFull (Fig. 4c, lanes 3 and 4). N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ was relatively more stable in these embryos, being detectable for more than an hour following heat shock. In



FIG. 2. Embryonic stages with high levels of NFull also contain high levels of SuH. (a) The level of NFull is high in embryos from 0 to 15 h after egg laying (h AEL) and low in embryos from 15 to 24 h AEL. Total protein extracts from yw embryos were used directly for Western blotting. Antibodies used for Western blotting (W-Ab) and their epitope regions are shown below the blots. Lanes 1 and 2 and lanes 3 and 4 are the same blots. The level of total proteins in lane 1 is four times the amount in lane 2. (b) The level of SuH is high in embryos from 0 to 15 h AEL and low in those 15 to 24 h AEL. SuH, recovered from yw embryonic total protein extracts by using α SuH (r) as the immunoprecipitation antibody (IP-Ab), was used for Western blotting. Equal aliquots of extracts and antibodies were used for immunoprecipitations. Lanes 7 and 8 and lanes 5 and 6 are the same blots. α Ubiq, antiubiquitin; *, possibly a fragment of SuH.

late-stage embryos (15 to 24 h after egg laying)—when NFull and SuH levels are low, and N Δ Cterm is the predominant N receptor (Fig. 2a and b)—N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ was expressed at very high levels and was very stable, being detectable for hours following heat shock induction (Fig. 4c, lanes 5 to 7). Loss of NFull appeared to be from cells normally expressing NFull as immunostaining of embryos showed that N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ accumulated everywhere NFull is normally expressed (data not shown).

In the above experiments, the effect of N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ on SuH could not be determined. One reason was that the heat shock itself increased the amount of SuH, both in embryos and cultured S2 cells (Fig. 4b, lanes 2 and 3 and lanes 7 and 8). Another possible reason is that N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ required further modifications, like conversion into the heterodimeric receptor or Delta binding, to affect the amount of SuH. These could have been the reasons for the very modest effect of Da-Gal 4 driven expression of N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ on SuH amount (Fig. 4a and b, lanes 5 and 6; the reduction was observed in four repetitions of the experiment). In order to overcome these limitations, the effect of N Δ Cterm on SuH amount was examined with molecules corresponding to the intracellular domains of NFull and N Δ Cterm, expressed through the daGal4 promoter.

N^{intra} corresponds to the intracellular domain of NFull (27, 43). N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ corresponds to the intracellular domain of N Δ Cterm (it contains the sequence from near the end of transmembrane domain to the end of CDC10/ankyrin repeats). N¹⁸⁹³⁻²¹⁵⁵ is composed mostly of the CDC10/ankyrin repeats (7) and shows activities that are comparable to that of N Δ Cterm (47). The effect of expression of these molecules on NFull and SuH was examined in early- and mid-stage embryos (stages expressing relatively higher levels of NFull and SuH [Fig. 3a and b; Fig. 4a and b]). In early-stage embryos, all three molecules (N^{intra}, N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵, and N¹⁸⁹³⁻²¹⁵⁵) increased the amount of SuH (Fig. 5a and b, lanes 1 to 4). The increase was modest but consistent (n = 5). In mid-stage embryos, N^{intra} increased the amount of SuH but N1791-2155 and N1893-2155 decreased it (Fig. 5a and b, lanes 5 to 8). All three molecules reduced expression of NFull in mid-stage embryos but had minimal effect in early-stage embryos (Fig. 5a, lanes 1 to 8). Hairless is known to bind both SuH and N (2, 8, 38, 46). Its overexpression did not reduce SuH amounts, as overexpression of N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ and N¹⁸⁹³⁻²¹⁵⁵ did, and did not reduce NFull amount as all N intracellular molecules did, indicating that the effects of N molecules were specific (Fig. 5c to e).

When N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ and N¹⁸⁹³⁻²¹⁵⁵ were grossly overexpressed using the hsGal4, daGal4, armadillo-Gal4, or actin-Gal4 drivers, the majority of embryos failed to hatch into larvae, just as those expressing N^{intra} from the same promoters (data not shown). Consistent with previously published results (27, 36, 43), they all produced epidermis at the expense of the CNS (data not shown). This is likely to be due to (i) the weak activities of N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ and N¹⁸⁹³⁻²¹⁵⁵ (23, 25, 30) producing higher than wild-type levels of SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N signaling when grossly overexpressed, (ii) the residual effect of N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ and N¹⁸⁹³⁻²¹⁵⁵ activities that are similar to those of N^{intra} in early stages of embryogenesis (Fig. 5a and b, lanes 1 to 4), or (iii) repression of proneural cluster formation (prior to lateral inhibition) by constitutive activation of the *deltex*dependent pathway by N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ and N¹⁸⁹³⁻²¹⁵⁵ (34).

Whether a proneural cell becomes the neuronal cell or the epidermal cell appears to be based on a 1.5- to 2-fold difference in SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent signaling (19). Lanes 1 and 2 in Fig. 3a give an idea of the maximum difference in levels of NFull and SuH between animals that are wild type and null for SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N signaling (as SuH⁻ embryos are). All heterologous promoters tested so far (12 in number) produce more than 10 times the amount of N produced in wild-type flies. The consequence of such general and high accumulation for processes acting on proteins (like enrichment for different forms of Notch in different cells) is also unknown. Thus, although the heterologous promoters were very useful in revealing the intrinsic differences in the activities of the intracellular domains of NFull and NACterm, they were inadequate for determining whether the negative effect of N Δ Cterm on NFull and SuH amounts translates to a reduction in SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N signaling. Therefore, a Notch allele that



FIG. 3. NFull is unstable in the absence of SuH. (a and b) Embryos with a low level of SuH (SUH*) contain a low amount of NFull, whereas embryos with high levels of SuH (SUH/Da) contain a high level of NFull. yw embryos served as controls. SUH* = 50% of embryos without maternal and zygotic contribution + 50% without maternal contribution but with zygotic contribution. SUH/Da = embryos produced by crossing UAS-SuH flies with daughterless Gal 4 (Da-Gal 4) flies. Total proteins from these and yw embryos were used for Western blots. yw, daGal4, and hsGal4 stocks behaved identically in all assays used in this report. yw is used as the common wild-type control. Panels a and b and HSP 70 are the same blots. (c) SuH dsRNA treatment reduces the amount of SuH RNA (top two rows) and protein (bottom two rows) in S2 cells expressing N receptors NFull and NaCterm. Symbols: +, treated cells; -, untreated cells. rp 49 shows total RNA and HSP 70 total proteins. α SuH (m) was used dsRNA S2-NALterm cells, respectively. Total proteins from treated cells (+) and untreated cells (-) were extracted 2 and 5 h after induction of expression of N receptors and used for the Western blots. NFull is shown in lane 7 for blot alignment purposes.

produces an N Δ Cterm-like protein from the wild type *Notch* promoter was used to determine whether loss of SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N signaling is associated with increased amount of the intracellular domain of N Δ Cterm-like protein and decreased amounts of NFull and SuH.

Loss of N signaling is associated with an increased amount of N Δ Cterm-like protein. N^{60g11} is a temperature sensitive allele of the *Notch* gene that produces an N Δ Cterm-like protein due to a frame shift mutation just carboxyl terminus of the CDC 10/ankyrin repeats (28, 47). N^{60g11} /+ flies show gain of lateral inhibition signaling (SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent or Deltex-dependent N signaling) at 18°C (manifest as loss of bristles) and loss at room temperature or higher (manifest as notched wings); wing development at 18°C and bristle development at higher temperatures are normal (6, 28, 34). In our experiments, wing notching was observed in 0% of $N^{60g11/}/yw$ flies reared at 18°C (with variable loss of bristles), in 30% of flies reared at 25°C, and in 91% of the flies reared at 30°C (with no loss of bristles at 25 and 30°C). In the same experiments, *yw* flies did not show wing notching or loss of bristles at any temperature (300 flies were scored in each instance and the experiment was repeated twice). Based on the data presented in Fig. 4 and 5, N^{60g11}/yw larvae were expected to accumulate higher levels of the intracellular domain of N60g11 (an N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵-like molecule), and lower levels of NFull and SuH, with increasing temperature when compared with levels in yw/yw (FM7 Act-GFP/yw) larvae. Results of Western blotting experiments (n = 3) showed realization of these expectations. The amount of an $N^{1791-2155}$ -like molecule increased with temperature; the levels of NFull and SuH in N^{60g11} /yw larvae were higher than those in yw/yw larvae in larvae reared at 18°C but lower in larvae reared at 25 and 30°C (Fig. 6). The results were consistent in three repetitions of the experiments. The difference in the amount of SuH in N^{60g11}/yw and yw/yw larvae was much stronger at 25°C than at 30°C (Fig. 6c). This is possibly due to heat shock induction of SuH (Fig. 4b; data not shown). It is possible that the much stronger accumulation of N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵-like molecule at 30°C more than overcame the effect



FIG. 4. Expression of N Δ Cterm reduces the amount of NFull in middle-aged embryos. (a) Expression of N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ at high levels (N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵/hs) results in almost complete loss of NFull (lanes 1 to 3) and at intermediate level (N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵/Da) results in partial loss of NFull (lanes 4 to 6). Non-heat-shocked (yw) and heat-shocked (yw [heat shocked]) wild-type embryos were used as controls. Total protein extracts were used for the Western blots. The same amount of total proteins was loaded in lanes 1 to 3 and in lanes 4 to 6.UAS-N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ flies were crossed to Da- or hs-Gal4 flies to express N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ at different levels. (b) The effect of N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ on SuH amount was modest with Da-Gal 4 induction (lanes 5 and 6) and obscured with heat shock induction (lanes 1 to 5 and lanes 7 and 8). Blots in a were reprobed with α SuH (r) for lanes 1 to 6. Total protein extracts from S2 cells that were heat shocked (+hs) or not (-hs) was used for lanes 7 and 8. (c) N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ affects NFull differently at different stages of embryogenesis. Extracts of total proteins from different stages of embryos that were wild type and heat shocked (yw [heat shocked]) or containing heat shock induced N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵/hs) were used for the Western blots. NFull in lane 5 was used to align lanes 6 and 7 with others. Lanes 5 to 7 were exposed to film for a longer time to show N Δ Cterm in lane 6.

of heat shock induced increase in SuH amount. The mechanism by which N^{60g11} promotes accumulation of NFull at 18°C is unknown (N^{60g11} might be affecting normal processing and trafficking of NFull).

The above experiment with N^{60g11} showed that the decrease in SuH- and Nintra-dependent N signaling is likely to be due to increase in amounts of an NACterm-like molecule. Although possible, it is unlikely to be due to temperature-dependent reduction in NFull amount (that has nothing to do with N^{60g11}) because N^{-}/yw flies show reduced frequency of wing notching at 30°C compared with the frequency at 25°C, as could be expected with increase in SuH amount (data not shown). It is also unlikely to be due to the Deltex-dependent N signaling (34), as N^{60g11} /yw flies that are reared at 25°C or above do not show any microchaetae phenotypes (28). On the contrary, they show a high frequency of wing notching, a phenotype related to loss of SuH- and Nintra-dependent N signaling (Deltex-dependent N signaling is not involved in this phenotype [34]). These observations indirectly argued that NACterm-like molecules, expressed close to the wild-type levels and in the pattern dictated by the wild-type promoter, are null for SuH- and Nintra-dependent N signaling. They did not show whether NACterm-like proteins expressed in this manner are null for SuH- and Nintradependent N signaling in third instar larvae (this has been shown in embryos [6]). This issue was examined by generating N^{60g11}/N^{60g11} somatic clones at the temperature where N^{60g11} /+ flies do not produce wing notching, i.e., at the room temperature (~22°C). More than 45% (174 of 386) of N^{60g11} FRT101/ovo^{D1} FRT 101 flies with the capability to produce N^{60g11}/N^{60g11} somatic clones, reared at room temperature or above, showed wing notching when somatic recombination was induced by heat shock, compared with 0% (0 of 518) in the control ovo^{D1} FRT101/FM7 lac z flies. Wing notching was not observed when somatic recombination was not induced (in 409 and 314 flies, respectively). The frequency of wing notching was slightly higher at 25 and 30°C, and slightly lower at 18°C, as could be expected from N^{60g11} suppressing or promoting accumulation, respectively, of NFull in $N^{60g11/}yw$ mother cells (Fig. 6; data not shown). Thus, N Δ Cterm-like molecules, expressed close to wild-type levels and in the pattern dictated by the wild-type Notch gene promoter, are not only null for SuHand Nintra-dependent N signaling but also have the potential to reduce this signaling by reducing the amount of NFull and SuH.

NFull promotes accumulation of SuH RNA more strongly than N\DeltaCterm. NFull is recently shown to activate the SuHand N^{intra}-dependent N signaling pathway target gene *Enhancer of split m3* in S2 cell aggregation assays (30a). Both S2-NFull and S2- N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ used here bind Dl expressed on S2 cells and form aggregates with S2-Dl cells (47). Therefore, the



FIG. 5. Intracellular domain molecules of NFull and N Δ Cterm have different effects on the amount of SuH in middle age embryos. (a and b) Da-Gal4 expression of the intracellular domain molecules of N Δ Cterm (N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵/Da and N¹⁸⁹³⁻²¹⁵⁵/Da) reduces the amount of SuH in middle age embryos (lanes 5, 7, and 8) while that of the intracellular domain molecule of NFull (N^{intra}/Da) increases it (lanes 5 and 6). All molecules increased the amount of SuH in younger embryos (lanes 1 to 4) and of NFull in middle aged embryos (lanes 5 to 8). yw embryos served as controls. The same amount of total proteins was loaded in all lanes. (c to e) H does not affect the amount of SuH and NFull in the same manner. Total proteins extracted from wild-type embryos (yw) and embryos overexpressing Hairless using the Da-Gal 4 driver (H/Da) were used for the Western blots. (d) Joined arrows point to the two described forms of H (29). A separate blot generated along with those in panels c and e is shown. The same amount of total proteins was loaded in all lanes. Panels c and e are the same blots.

effect of activation of NFull and NACterm (N1-2155) receptors on SuH gene [su(H)] RNA levels was examined in cell aggregation experiments. As expected, S2-NFull cells treated with S2-Dl cells promoted strong accumulation of the Enhancer of split m3 RNA (Fig. 7a, lanes 1 and 2). S2-NFull cells mixed with cells not expressing DI (S2 cells) also showed significant response, presumably due to autoactivation of NFull at high levels of expression (Fig. 7a, lanes 5 and 6 [compare with lanes 1 and 2]). However, the response of S2-NFull cells mixed with S2 cells was always significantly lower than the response of S2-NFull cells mixed with S2-Dl cells (n = 5). This response of *Enhancer of split m3* is due to the NFull intracellular domain: S2 cells expressing Dl lacking the intracellular domain (S2-DI-I) gave a similar result (Fig. 7a, lanes 3 and 4) and S2-cells expressing NFull lacking the intracellular domain showed no response (data not shown). In these same experiments, S2-NFull cells were found to promote accumulation of su(H)RNA (Fig. 7b). The response was not as strong as the response of Enhancer of split m3 (compare Fig. 7b and a). This is not surprising, as the abundance of su(H) RNA is very low (both in S2 cells and embryos) compared with that of the Enhancer of split m3. More than 40 µg of total RNA had to be loaded per lane to obtain reasonable su(H) RNA signals, whereas En-

hancer of split m3 response could be observed with just 10 μ g of RNA (40 μ g of RNA were loaded per lane in all these experiments to provide a measure of relative abundance and response).

In parallel experiments, S2-N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ treated with S2-DI cells showed a weak response, if at all, with regard to both *Enhancer* of split m3 and su(H) genes (Fig. 7c). The DI independent response (i.e., autoactivation) that was always observed with NFull was not observed with S2-N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ (n = 3) even though (i) the amounts of N proteins expressed in the two cell lines are comparable (Fig. 3d) (47) and (ii) the rate and size of cell aggregation formation were similar (data not shown). These results are consistent with previous reports that N intracellular domains lacking the carboxyl terminus sequence (similar to that lacking in N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵) are poor activators of SuH and N^{intra} N signaling target genes, both in vivo and in vitro (23, 25, 30). Thus, NFull promotion of SuH accumulation could be at least in part due to promotion of su(H) RNA accumulation.

NΔCterm promotes ubiquitination of NFull and SuH derived fragments. Mammalian Notch1 receptor is shown to be ubiquitinated and targeted for 26S proteasome or lysosome degradations (20, 32, 33). Analysis of embryonic extracts showed that an ubiquitinated form of SuH is the predominant



FIG. 6. N^{60g11}/yw third-instar larvae produce a higher level of an N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵-like molecule at higher temperatures (25 and 30°C), when loss of SuH-dependent N signaling phenotype is observed, and contain lower levels of NFull (a and b) and SuH (c) (lanes 3 to 6). At 18°C, when gain of SuH-dependent N signaling phenotype is observed, molecule and high levels of NFull and SuH (lanes 1 and 2). The presence of more SuH in lane 5 than in lane 3 is possibly due to the effect of high temperature on SuH (Fig. 4b). The N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵-like molecule is considered to be the intracellular domain of N^{60g11} as it is not recognized by the α NPCR (lanes 7 and 8; reprobing of the blot in lane 5s and 6) and is of the size expected of the intracellular domain of N^{60g11} (including the transmembrane domain). A molecule of similar size produced by N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ in S2 cells (N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ is 20 amino acids longer than N^{60g11}) gets biotinylated in cell surface biotinylation experiments (data not shown).

form when SuH is low in embryos (Fig. 2b). These observations raised the possibility that increased expression of $N^{1791-2155}$ would lead to ubiquitination of NFull and SuH that targets them for degradation. This possibility was explored in cultured cells.

Transfection of heat shock induced N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ into S2-NFull cells resulted in reduced amounts of NFull and SuH (Fig. 8a, lanes 1 and 2). Clone 8 cells express NFull endogenously and respond to S2-Dl cells just as S2-NFull cells do (C. S. Wesley, personal observation). Transfection of N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ into clone 8 cells resulted in stronger reductions in the amounts of NFull and SuH (Fig. 8a, lanes 3 and 4). While the S2 cells are derived from embryos, the clone 8 cells are derived from imaginal disks (45). This developmental stage difference in origins, and the embryonic stage-dependent response of SuH to N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ expression (Fig. 5a and b), might be the bases for difference in the responses of S2-NFull and clone 8 cells.

In further experiments, clone 8 cells were transfected with $N^{1791-2155}$ and total proteins extracted about 7 h after induction of expression. Although longer or overnight incubations yielded the greatest reductions in the expression of NFull and SuH, shorter incubations yielded the best recovery of ubiqui-

tinated N and SuH fragments. However, at this time period, reductions in NFull and SuH were not always obvious indicating that all forms of these proteins are rapidly degraded once a certain level of ubiquitination is attained. NFull and SuH were immunoprecipitated from the total protein extracts and analyzed by SDS-4% PAGE to detect proteins in the whole range of sizes of SuH and N proteins followed in the study. NFull and SuH were immunoprecipitated from the same extracts, and the same amounts of extracts were used for each immunoprecipitation. An antibody specific to the N carboxyl terminus was used to avoid recovery of N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ molecules. The resulting Western blots were probed first with a ubiquitin antibody and subsequently reprobed with an N or SuH antibodies. Results of these experiments (n = 5) showed that immunoprecipitates from N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵-treated samples contained higher levels of a ubiquitinated N fragment produced from the endogenous N, Ubi-N*, and a higher level of a smaller endogenous SuH fragment (SuH*) that is ubiquitinated, Ubi-SuH* (Fig. 8b, lanes 1 to 4 and 5 to 8, respectively; lanes 5* to 8* are magnifications $[2\times]$ of lanes 5 to 8; lanes 9 and 10 show that total proteins contents were similar in extracts used). Ubi-N* and Ubi-SuH* are considered to be partially degraded fragments as they migrate faster than NFull and full-length SuH. Note that the Ubi-SuH* fragment in embryos also migrated faster than SuH (Fig. 2b).

N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ also promoted accumulation of Ubi-SuH* between three and five hours following heat shock induction (Fig. 8c). These experiments (n = 3) indicated that a fraction of SuH* is ubiquitinated because the levels of SuH* in S2 and S2-N1-2155 were comparable but the level of Ubi-SuH* was higher in S2-N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ cells (Fig. 8c, lanes 3 to 6). Note that Ubi-SuH* produced in S2 cells is almost the same size as the Ubi-SuH* produced in embryos (compare Fig. 8c, lanes 3 to 6, with Fig. 2b, lanes 5 to 8). S2- N^{1-2155} cells were treated with protease inhibitors lactacystin and MG115 to determine if SuH is degraded by the 26 S proteasome. If it were, SuH was expected to accumulate in treated cells. Interestingly, S2-N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ cells treated with protease inhibitors showed loss of SuH proteins (Fig. 8d, compare lane 6 with lane 5). There was a general increase in the level of ubiquitinated proteins in the sample indicating that the proteasome inhibitors had the expected effect in the experiments (Fig. 8d, lanes 1 and 2). Immunoprecipitates from aliquots of the same extracts showed an increase in Ubi-SuH* compared with mock-treated cells (Fig. 8d, lanes 3 and 4; the blot could not be exposed to film for the period used for lanes 3 and 4 of Fig. 8c because protease treatment increased background in immunoprecipitations). Thus, it appears that SuH is degraded by a protease whose accumulation is under the control of the 26 S proteasome, but not its activity. A reduction in the activity of the 26 S proteasome might result in accumulation of this protease leading to loss of SuH. We did not detect any significant effect with the lysosomal inhibitor chloroquine. Protease inhibitor experiments with NFull gave variable and inconclusive results possibly due to interactions between SuH-dependent and -independent processes (data not shown).

The SuH blot shown in Fig. 8d (lane 4) is the one probed with a newly made SuH antibody [α SuH(r1)] that recognizes many more fragments than any other antibody used in the study. SuH, SuH*, and Ubi-SuH* are recognized by four dif-



FIG. 7. NFull promotes accumulation of SuH RNA more strongly than N Δ Cterm. (a) NFull promotes accumulation of RNA of *Enhancer of split m3*, a target of SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N signaling. The promotion is strong in the presence of Dl (compare lanes 2 and 4 with lane 6 in the upper panel). RNA was extracted from cells immediately after mixing of the different cells (0 min) or after 45 min. (b) NFull also promotes accumulation of SuH RNA. c. N Δ Cterm promotes expression of *Enhancer of split m3* and *su*(*H*) RNA only weakly, if at all. The blots were reprobed with *rp 49* probe to reveal relative levels of total RNA in the lanes. Forty micrograms of total RNA was loaded in all lanes. m3 and rp 49 probes were generated using the primers described by Mishra-Gorur et al. (30a). SuH probe was generated using the full coding sequence.

ferent antibodies (three polyclonals and one monoclonal), made in four different labs, in two different animals (rat and mouse). Therefore, these are the only bands considered to be SuH in this study. Three independent antibodies recognize the band marked with just an asterisk; two recognize the band marked with a pound sign. These and few other bands are present at very low levels in embryonic, larval, or pupal extracts and become apparent upon overexposure of the blots to films, or covary with heat shock induced SuH (data not shown). Future studies might reveal the relation, if any, of these fragments to the function and degradation of SuH and how this degradation relates to ubiquitination and degradation of NFull.

DISCUSSION

Production of SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N signaling at any time during CNS differentiation results in loss of CNS cells (27, 43). But, both N and Delta are required for, and present during, differentiation of the CNS from the segregating neu-



FIG. 8. NΔCterm promotes accumulation of ubiquitinated N and SuH fragments. (a) Increased expression of N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ in clone 8 cells results in a stronger loss of NFull and SuH than in S2-NFull cells. Compare lanes 3 and 4 with lanes 1 and 2. Equal amounts of total proteins were loaded in lanes 1 and 2, and in lanes 3 and 4. The band marked with an asterisk serves as an indicator of relative loading. Proteins were electrophoresed in SDS-8% PAGE gels. (b) $N^{1791-2155}$ promotes accumulation of ubiquitinated N (Ubi-N*) and a smaller SuH fragment (SuH*) that is ubiquitinated (Ubi-SuH*; see c below). Compare lanes 2 and 4 with lanes 1 and 3 for N; lanes 6 and 8 with lanes 5 and 7 for SuH. Panels 5*, 6*, 7^* , and 8^* are magnified ($\times 2$) images of relevant portions of panels 5 to 8. The blot in lanes 1 and 2 was reprobed for lanes 3 and 4, the blot in lanes 5 and 6 for lanes 7 and 8. Lanes 9 and 10 is the blot of supernatants of SuH immunoprecipitates indicating the levels of total proteins in extracts. The blot of supernatants of N immunoprecipitates was almost identical (not shown). Proteins were electrophoresed in SDS-4% PAGE gels. (c) N^{1-2155} also promotes accumulation of Ubi-SuH* (lanes 3 and 4). Only a fraction of SuH* molecules appear to be ubiquitinated as the amount of SuH* was similar in S2 and S2-N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ cells (lanes 5 and 6). Lanes 1 and 2 indicate the total amount of proteins in the extracts used for lanes 3 and 4. Lanes 5 and 6 show the blot from lanes 3 and 4 reprobed with an SuH antibody. Proteins were electrophoresed in SDS-8% PAGE gels. (d) Treatment of S2-N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ cells with 26 S proteasome inhibitors (M115 + lactacystin) promotes accumulation of ubiquitinated proteins as expected (lanes 1 and 2) but loss of SuH proteins (lanes 3 and 4). SuH is recognized by polyclonal rat a SuH (r) from F. Schweisguth, by polyclonal mouse α SuH (m) from S. Kidd and T. Lieber, by polyclonal rat α SuH (r1) generated by us (three different animals), and by the monoclonal rat aSuH antibody from S. Artavanis-Tsakonas. SuH* is recognized weakly by aSuH (r) and aSuH (m); the latter more so than the former. The band marked with an asterisk is also recognized by α SuH (m) (strongly) and α SuH (r) (weakly). The band marked with # is also recognized weakly by aSuH (r). The remaining bands shown in lane 4 are associated with heat shock induced SuH. Whether or not they are SuH fragments or related proteins is unknown. PIs, protease inhibitors.

roblasts (16, 17, 22, 24, 47). Furthermore, gross and nonspecific overexpression of Delta fails to perturb either the segregation of neurobalsts or the development of the CNS from the segregating neuroblasts (39). These observations indicate that some mechanism other than Delta distribution exists in embryos to (i) reduce SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N signaling in the segregating neuroblasts and (ii) to maintain it at this low level, or even eliminate it, during differentiation of these cells into the CNS. The first task might be accomplished by enriching for N Δ Cterm. The carboxyl terminus of N is required for production of high amounts of SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N signaling (Fig. 7) (23, 25, 30). N Δ Cterm lacks this sequence (47), shows weak SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N signaling activity (Fig. 7; our clonal analysis) (6), and is enriched in the segregating neuroblasts and the developing CNS (47) (Fig. 1). The second task might be accomplished by a mechanism involving the differential stability of N Δ Cterm and NFull when SuH amount is low (Fig. 2 and 3) and the effect of N Δ Cterm on NFull and SuH ubiquitination and stability (Fig. 4, 5, and 8). These possibilities are supported by the observation that the



level of N Δ Cterm is high and those of NFull and SuH are low when SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N signaling is low during development (Fig. 6).

The observation that NFull promotes accumulation of both *Enhancer of split m3* and su(H) does not necessarily mean that it regulates both these genes by the same mechanism. It is possible that NFull promotes su(H) RNA accumulation through an in-direct, hitherto unknown, mechanism. It might also be a DI independent mechanism because NFull and N Δ Cterm-like N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵ differed the most (qualitatively) in their DI independent effect on Su(H) RNA accumulation (Fig. 7). Interestingly, DI expression ceases in segregating neuroblasts immediately after their specification and resumes later on during differentiation of these neuroblasts into the CNS (24).

Besides the transcriptional activation sequence, the carboxyl terminus present in NFull but absent in N Δ Cterm also contains: (i) one of the binding sites of Numb, an endocytic protein known to suppress N signaling (18, 37, 40) and (ii) the PEST sequence involved in protein turnover (35). These sequences might be important elements of the mechanism governing SuH-dependent stability of NFull. Thus, the N carboxyl terminus might be a target for regulation in instances where one of two developing tissues requires a high amount of SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N signaling and the other requires a low



FIG. 9. A model of the mechanism by which the activities of NFull, N Δ Cterm, and SuH promote SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N signaling in the developing epidermis but suppress it in the developing CNS, in middle-stage embryos.

amount or none. For example, retention or removal of the N carboxyl terminus might determine whether a proneural cell becomes the epidermal cell or the CNS cell, respectively.

The stability of NFull might be dependent on the amount of SuH available to it rather than on the amount of SuH in the cell. This could explain why overexpression of N1-2155, Nintra, N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵, and N¹⁸⁹³⁻²¹⁵⁵ all lead to loss of NFull, independent of the amount of SuH (Fig. 4 and 5a and b), and why N^{60g11}/yw larvae at 30°C are associated with a stronger reduction in SuHand Nintra-dependent N signaling (compared with larvae at 25°C) despite the heat shock related increase in SuH. N¹⁻²¹⁵⁵, N^{intra}, N¹⁷⁹¹⁻²¹⁵⁵, N¹⁸⁹³⁻²¹⁵⁵, and the intracellular domain of $N^{\rm 60g11}$ all contain the SuH binding sites, RAM 23 and CDC10/ ankyrin repeats regions (14, 23, 44; personal observation), and they might have titrated SuH away from the endogenous NFull. Thus, enrichment for N Δ Cterm in the segregating neuroblasts might first reduce the amount of SuH- and N^{intra}dependent N signaling compared with other cells since it is has a weaker activity than NFull. Subsequently, over time, it might produce complete loss of SuH and NFull by promoting their ubiquitination and degradation. This two-step gradual process is supported by the observation that reductions in NFull and SuH amounts are not obvious in the segregating neuroblasts even though these cells must have reduced SuH- and Nintradependent N signaling compared with their neighboring cells to escape the epidermal fate.

Since NFull is unstable in the absence of SuH, it is very likely that N Δ Cterm reduces the amount of SuH and this in turn reduces the amount of NFull. SuH is a very stable protein. The maternally contributed SuH can function until the end of embryogenesis, i.e., for 20 to 24 h (15). It is possible that the only way to reduce SuH amount on a much shorter time scale, as during differentiation of a tissue, is by developmental stage- or cell-specific degradation. The different effects of N Δ Cterm on SuH amount in early- and middle-stage embryos could be due to the difference in the ability of these embryos to degrade SuH. In early-stage embryos, without the ability to degrade SuH, both the weakly active N Δ Cterm and strongly active NFull might merely add to the stable pool of SuH. In middlestage embryos, with the ability to degrade SuH, the difference in the effects of N Δ Cterm and NFull on SuH accumulation in conjunction with the difference in the effect of SuH on N Δ Cterm and NFull stabilities might provide a mechanism for increasing SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N signaling in some cells while decreasing the same in others.

Alleles resembling NACterm and N60g11, the Mcd Notch alleles, have been shown to constitutively activate a deltexdependent pathway that suppresses neural fates by suppressing formation of the proneural clusters at a stage preceding lateral inhibition (5, 6, 7, 34). The activity of N Δ Cterm at the embryonic proneural stage was not explored in this study as it behaved similarly to NFull at this stage (Fig. 5a). This deltexdependent pathway is unlikely to have been involved in reduction of SuH- and Nintra-dependent N signaling in N^{60g11} /yw flies reared at 25 or 30°C, because these flies do not show the microchaetae phenotype associated with Mcd alleles but show a high frequency of the wing margin phenotype (reference 28 and this study) that is not associated with Mcd alleles (34). Interestingly, Ramain et al. (34) report that loss of SuH enhanced the effect of Mcd alleles, indicating that these alleles too might negatively affect SuH accumulation, just as NΔCterm-like molecules did in this study. Thus, the developmental stage- or tissue-specific reduction of SuH might be an important function of NACterm, albeit with different consequences: promotion of neurogenesis during lateral inhibition stage but its suppression at an earlier stage.

Based on (i) NFull, NACterm, and SuH activities described above, (ii) N Δ Cterm behaving as a null allele when expressed near wild-type levels, and (iii) and the indication that lateral inhibition is based on an initial 1.5- to 2-fold difference in activities, a model for the mechanism by which SuH- and N^{intra}-dependent N signaling is increased in the developing epidermis but decreased in the developing CNS during lateral inhibition in vivo is proposed in Fig. 9. It might serve as a useful framework for further studies. Commitment of cells to become the epidermis or the CNS takes place when SuH is being actively degraded in the embryos. Cells with a higher NFull/NACterm ratio are able to accumulate SuH above the amount lost to degradation. Increase in SuH amount increases the availability of SuH to NFull and thereby the NFull/ N Δ Cterm ratio (as it would stabilize NFull), which in turn would further increase SuH amount. This positive loop would lead to stable production of the SuH- and Nintra-dependent N signals in the epidermis cells. On the other hand, cells with a lower NFull/NACterm ratio are not only unable to accumulate SuH above the amount lost to degradation but also increase SuH degradation. A low level of SuH would decrease the availability of SuH to NFull and thereby the NFull/N Δ Cterm ratio (as it would destabilize NFull), which in turn would further decrease the amount of SuH and NFull. This negative loop would lead to loss of NFull and SuH and thereby the ability to produce SuH- and Nintra-dependent signals in the CNS cells. The most interesting feature of this model is that the interactions among the various components of a single signal transduction pathway are engineered to produce a developmental toggle switch, rather than an on-off switch that sends differentiating cells along one pathway or the other. Proteolytic removal of the carboxyl terminus of N, which yields

N Δ Cterm from NFull, appears to function as the button of this switch.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank T. Lieber and S. Kidd for N materials; M. Young for his support of initial parts of the study; F. Schweisguth and V. Morel for SuH materials; A. Preiss and D. Maier for H antibody; J. Posakony for the UAS-H stock; A. Martinez-Arias for the N^{60g11} FRT stock; M. Rand and S. Artavanis-Tsakonas for the SuH monoclonal antibody; the Drosophila Stock Center for the daGal4, hsGal4, and other fly stocks; L. Saez, and T. Lieber, S. Kidd, R. Cagan, N. Baker, and U. Wesley for comments on the manuscript.

This work was supported by the NIH (NINDS) grant NS43122-01 to C.S.W.

REFERENCES

- Bailey, A. M., and J. W. Posakony. 1995. Suppressor of Hairless directly activates transcription of *Enhancer of split* complex genes in response to Notch receptor activity. Genes Dev. 9:2609–2622.
- Bang, A. G., A. M. Bailey, and J. W. Posakony. 1995. *Hairless* promotes stable commitment to the sensory organ precursor cell fate by negatively regulating the activity of the *Notch* signaling pathway. Dev. Biol. 172:479–494.
- Barolo, S., R. J. Walker, A. D. Polyanovsky, G. Freschi, T. Keil., and J. W. Posakony. 2000. A Notch-independent activity of Suppressor of Hairless is required for normal mechanoreceptor physiology. Cell 103:957–969.
- Brand, A. H., and N. Perrimon. 1993. Targetted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. Development 118:401–415.
- Brennan, K., M. Baylies, and A. Martinez-Arias. 1999. Repression by Notch is required before Wingless signaling during muscle progenitor cell development in Drosophila. Curr. Biol. 9:707–710.
- Brennan, K. R., R. Tateson, T. Lieber, J. P. Couso, V. Zecchini, and A. Martinez-Arias. 1999. The abruptex mutations of *Notch* disrupt the establishment of proneural clusters in *Drosophila*. Dev. Biol. 216:230–242.
- Brennan, K., R. Tateson, K. Lewis, and A. Martinez-Arias. 1997. A functional analysis of *Notch* mutation in Drosophila. Genetics 147:177–188.
- Brou, C., F. Logeat, M. Lecourtois, J. Vandekerckhove, P. Kourilsky, F. Schweisguth, and A. Israel. 1994. Inhibition of the DNA-binding activity of *Drosophila* Suppressor of Hairless and of its human homolog, KBF2/RBP-Jk, by direct protein-protein interaction with *Drosophila* Hairless. Genes Dev. 8:2491–2503.
- Cabrera, C. V. 1990. Lateral inhibition and cell fate during neurogenesis in Drosophila: the interactions between scute, Notch and Delta. Development 109:733–742.
- Clemens, J. C., C. A. Worby, N. Simonson-Leff, M. Muda, T. Maehama, B. A. Hemmings, and J. E. Dixon. 2000. Use of double-stranded RNA interference in *Drosophila* cell lines to dissect signal transduction pathways. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97:6499–6503.
- De Strooper, B., W. Annaert, P. Cupers, P. Saftig, K. Craessaerts, J. S. Mumm, E. H. Schroeter, V. Schrijvers, M. S. Wolfe, W. J. Ray, A. Goate, and R. Kopan. 1999. A presenilin-1-dependent γ-secretase-like protease mediates release of Notch intracellular domain. Nature 398:518–522.
- Fehon, R. G., K. Johansen, I. Rebay, and S. Artavanis-Tsakonas. 1991. Complex cellular and subcellular regulation of *Notch* expression during embryonic and imaginal development of *Drosophila*: Implications for *Notch* function. J. Cell Biol. 113:657–669.
- Fehon, R. G., P. J. Kooh, I. Rebay, C. L. Regan, T. Xu, M. Muskavitch, and S. Artavanis-Tsakonas. 1990. Molecular interaction between the protein products of the neurogenic loci *Notch* and *Delta*, two EGF-homologous genes in Drosophila. Cell 61:523–534.
- Fortini, M. E., and S. Artavanis-Tsakonas. 1994. The Suppressor of Hairless protein participates in Notch receptor signaling. Cell 79:273–282.
- Gho, M., M. Lecourtois, G. Geraud, J. W. Posakony, and F. Schweisguth. 1996. Subcellular localization of Suppressor of Hairless in *Drosophila* sense organ cells during Notch signaling. Development 122:1673–1682.
- Giniger, E., L. Y. Jan, and Y. N. Jan. 1993. Specifying the path of the intersegmental nerve of the *Drosophila* embryo: a role for *Delta* and *Notch*. Development 117:431–440.
- 17. Giniger, E. 1998. A role for Abl in Notch signaling. Neuron 20:667–681.
- Guo, M., L. Y. Jan, and Y. N. Jan. 1996. Control of daughter cell fates during asymmetric division: interaction of Numb and Notch. Neuron 17:27–41.
- Heitzler, P., and P. Simpson. 1991. The choice of cell fate in the epidermis of Drosophila. Cell 64:1083–1092.
- Jehn, B. M., I. Dittert, S. Beyer, K. von der Mark, and W. Bielke. 2002. c-Cbl binding and ubiquitination-dependent lysosomal degradation of membraneassociated Notch1. J. Biol. Chem. 277:8033–8040.
- Jennings, B., A. Preiss, C. Delidakis, and S. Bray. 1994. The Notch signaling pathway is required for *Enhancer of split* bHLH protein expression during neurogenesis in the *Drosophila* embryo. Development 120:3537–3548.

- Kidd, S., M. K. Baylies, G. P. Gasic, and M. W. Young. 1989. Structure and distribution of the Notch protein in developing *Drosophila*. Genes Dev. 3:1113–1129.
- Kidd, S., T. Lieber, and M. W. Young. 1998. Ligand induced cleavage and regulation of nuclear entry of Notch in *Drosophila melanogaster* embryos. Genes Dev. 12:3728–3740.
- Kooh, P. J., R. G. Fehon, and M. A. T. Muskavitch. 1993. Implications of dynamic patterns of Delta and Notch expression for cellular interactions during Drosophila development. Development 117:493–507.
- Kurooka, H., K. Kuroda, and T. Honjo. 1998. Roles of the ankyrin repeats and C-terminal region of the mouse Notch1 intracellular region. Nucleic Acids Res. 26:5448–5455.
- Lecourtois, M., and F. Schweisguth. 1995. The neurogenic Suppressor of Hairless DNA-binding protein mediates the transcriptional activation of the Enhancer of split *Complex* genes triggered by Notch signaling. Genes Dev. 9:2598–2608.
- Lieber, T., S. Kidd, E. Alcamo, V. Corbin, and M. W. Young. 1993. Antineurogenic phenotypes induced by truncated Notch proteins indicate a role in signal transduction and may point to a novel function for Notch in nuclei. Genes Dev. 7:1949–1965.
- Lyman, D., and M. W. Young. 1993. Further evidence for function of the Drosophila Notch protein as a transmembrane receptor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90:10395–10399.
- Maier, D., J. Marquart, A. Thompson-Fontaine, I. Beck, E. Wurmbach, and A. Preiss. 1997. In vivo structure-function analysis of *Drosophila* HAIR-LESS. Mech. Dev. 67:97–106.
- Matsuno, K., M. J. Go, X. Sun, D. E. Eastman, and S. Artavanis-Tsakonas. 1997. Suppressor of Hairless-independent events in Notch signaling imply novel pathway elements. Development 124:4265–4273.
- 30a.Mishra-Gorur, K., M. D. Rand, B. Perez-Villamil, and S. Artavanis-Tsakonas. 2002. Down regulation of Delta by proteolytic processing. J. Cell Biol. 159:313–324.
- Morel, V., and F. Schweisguth. 2000. Repression by Suppressor of Hairless and activation by Notch are required to define a single row of *single-minded* expressing cells in the *Drosophila* embryo. Genes Dev. 14:377–388.
- Oberg, C., J. Li, A. Pauley, E. Wolf, M. Gurney, and U. Lendahl. 2001. The notch intracellular domain is ubiquitinated and negatively regulated by the mammalian sel-10 homolog. J. Biol. Chem. 276:35847–35853.
- 33. Qiu, L., C. Joazeiro, N. Fang, H-Y. Wang, C. Elly, Y. Altman, D. Fang, T. Hunter, and Y.-C. Liu. 2000. Recognition and ubiquitination of Notch by Itch, a Hect-type E3 ubiquitin ligase. J. Biol. Chem. 275:35734–35737.

- Ramain, P., K. Khechumian, L. Seugnet, N. Arbogast, C. Ackermann, and P. Heitzler. 2001. Novel alleles reveal a Deltex-dependent pathway repressing neural fate. Curr. Biol. 11:1729–1738.
- Rechsteiner, M. 1988. Regulation of enzyme levels by proteolysis: the role of pest regions. Adv. Enzyme Regul. 27:135–151.
- Roehl, H., and J. Kimble. 1993. Control of cell fate in C. elegans by a Glp-1 peptide consisting primarily of ankyrin repeats. Nature 264:632–635.
- Santolini, E., C. Puri, A. E. Salcini, M. C. Gagliani, P. G. Pelicci, C. Tacchetti, and P. P. Di Fiore. 2000. Numb is an endocytic protein. J. Cell Biol. 151:1345–1351.
- Schweisguth, F., and J. W. Posakony. 1994. Antagonist activities of Suppressor of Hairless and Hairless control alternative cell fates in the Drosophila adult epidermis. Development 120:1433–1441.
- Seugnet, L., P. Simpson, and M. Haenlin. 1997. Transcriptional regulation of Notch and Delta: requirement for neuroblast segregation in Drosophila. Development 120:2015–2025.
- Spana, E., and C. Doe. 1996. Numb antagonizes Notch signaling to specify sibling neuron cell fates. Neuron 17:21–26.
- Struhl, G., and A. Adachi. 1998. Nuclear access and action of Notch in vivo. Cell 93:649–660.
- 42. Struhl, G., and I. Greenwald. 1999. Presentiin is required for activity and nuclear access of Notch in *Drosophila*. Nature **398**:522–525.
- Struhl, G., K. Fitzgerald, and I. Greenwald. 1993. Intrinsic activity of the Lin-12 and Notch intracellular domains in vivo. Cell 74:331–345.
- 44. Tamura, K., Y. Taniguchi, S. Minoguchi, T. Sakai, T. Tun, T. Furukawa, and T. Honjo. 1995. Physical interaction between a novel domain of the receptor Notch and the transcription factor RBP-jκ/Su(H). Curr. Biol. 5:1416–1423.
- Van Leeuwen, F., C. H. Samos, and R. Nusse. 1994. Biological activity of soluble wingless protein in cultured Drosophila imaginal disc cells. Nature 368:342–344.
- 46. Wang, S., S. Younger-Shepherd, L. Y. Jan, and Y. N. Jan. 1997. Only a subset of the binary cell fate decisions mediated by Numb/Notch signaling in *Dro-sophila* sensory organ lineage requires *Suppressor of Hairless*. Development 124:4435–4446.
- Wesley, C. S., and L. Saez. 2000. Analysis of Notch lacking the carboxyl terminus identified in *Drosophila* embryos. J. Cell Biol. 149:683–696.
- Ye, Y., N. Lukinova, and M. E. Fortini. 1999. Neurogenic phenotypes and altered Notch processing in *Drosophila Presenilin* mutants. Nature 328:525– 552.