

workers. We have not yet seen a rise in infections associated with recreational activities, which to date account for only 2% of cases.

B THAKKER

Department of Bacteriology,
Glasgow Royal Infirmary,
Glasgow G4 0SF

W J REILLY

Communicable Disease (Scotland) Unit,
Ruchill Hospital,
Glasgow G20 9NB

- 1 Ferguson IR. Leptospirosis update. *BMJ* 1991;302:128-9. (19 January.)
- 2 Ross CAC. Leptospiral aseptic meningitis (west of Scotland). *Communicable Disease (Scotland) Weekly Report* 1969;3(11): 15-6.
- 3 Urquhart GED. The relative importance of some occupational zoonosis. *Communicable Disease (Scotland) Weekly Report* 1985;19(27):7-10.

Choosing a partner in general practice

SIR,—The paper by Drs Jennifer King and Michael Whitfield and the letter by Dr S G Barber and colleagues confirm the general opinion about which hospital jobs are desirable for general practitioners in training.^{1,2} They do not, however, provide reasons why obstetrics, paediatrics, etc, are so desirable.

During a year spent as a trainee general practitioner in 1988 I recorded details of the most relevant specialty for each consultation. The most relevant specialty was the specialty that would be chosen for referral if it were appropriate to do so. For example, a 5 year old with otitis media was recorded as ear, nose, and throat surgery because if the condition were chronic referral would be made to an ear, nose, and throat surgeon rather than to a paediatrician. The table shows the results. Obstetrics was underrepresented because I was not at the time on the obstetric list, but an additional 138 consultations would have been required for this specialty to reach the top four.

Ten most common specialties required by trainee general practitioner

	No of consultations	% Of all consultations
Ear, nose, and throat surgery	714	19.7
Geriatrics	442	12.2
General medicine	369	10.2
Orthopaedics	356	9.8
Paediatrics	318	8.8
General surgery	302	8.4
Accident and emergency	283	7.8
Obstetrics and gynaecology	219	7.1
Dermatology	214	5.9
Psychiatry	190	5.3

From these data I conclude that would be general practitioners should have postgraduate experience in ear, nose, and throat surgery, geriatrics, general medicine, and orthopaedics as these subjects covered 52% of the workload. If paediatrics and general surgery are added the total is nearly 70%, whereas the six specialties suggested by Dr Barber and colleagues covered only 51% of the workload.

CHRISTOPHER R PEARSON

HMS *Brave*,
British Forces Post Office Ships

- 1 King J, Whitfield M. How to choose a new partner in general practice. *BMJ* 1990;301:1258-60. (1 December.)
- 2 Barber SG, Staveley K, Down A. Choosing a partner in general practice. *BMJ* 1991;302:53. (5 January.)

SIR,—As an MRCGP cohabiting with a divorced MRCGP, both of us principals in general practice for over 10 years, I was interested that respondents

to the survey in north Devon by Dr S G Barber and colleagues generally thought that single, divorced, and cohabiting doctors were undesirable as partners. It would be fascinating to examine exactly what "undesirable" meant for them. Surely not less caring or competent? Unstable? Subversive? Odd? Left wing? Homosexual?

For us, cohabitation rather than marriage has been simply a matter of personal style as we are not religious in the church sense and do not care to have the state define the terms of our relationship. Others cohabit for different reasons; everyone who marries does it in their own way too. One in three marriages are said to end in divorce. What, then, happens to partners who divorce after joining a partnership? Do they become undesirable?

Similarly people choose to be "single" for a multitude of reasons, including homosexuality for about 10% of the population. Single people are discriminated against in many ways by the prevailing couple mentality. They should not have to face this discrimination in the job market. Some of the most committed general practitioners I know are single people, some of them gay, and most do it all without the support of someone to cook, shop, clean, and wash for them.

Within the population as a whole one third of marriages end in divorce, 9% of households consist of single people under 65 living alone, and 7% of women and 13% of men cohabit.² These Devon doctors are making a moral judgment by saying they would rather not take on such people as partners, and they should perhaps examine closely what the implications of this are in terms of their attitudes to their patients, and the effects of this on the doctor-patient relationship.

GAIL YOUNG

Newcastle upon Tyne NE4 9BB

- 1 Barber SG, Staveley K, Down A. Choosing a new partner. *BMJ* 1991;302:53. (5 January.)
- 2 Central Statistical Office. Households and families. *Social Trends* 1990;20:35-43.

Twenty years of vocational training in Scotland

SIR,—As members of an orthopaedic unit in a Glasgow training hospital, we find the article by Drs Diane R Kelly and T S Murray¹ somewhat at odds with our local experience. General practitioners in the catchment area of our hospital regularly request from the consultant staff extra postgraduate instruction in the management of common orthopaedic ailments, and even in more specialised aspects of orthopaedic management. General practitioners often state that they wish they had spent more time in orthopaedics during their training.

Despite inquiries in the University of Glasgow's department of general practice and at the Scottish Home and Health Department, we were unable to uncover any data on the orthopaedic caseload of the average Scottish general practitioner, but accepted anecdotal figures suggest that up to a quarter of a general practitioner's caseload is either orthopaedic or rheumatological (back and neck pain and soft tissue disease). Orthopaedics is presently a list B post in vocational training and, as is evident from Drs Kelly and Murray's paper, few general practitioner trainees undertake such a post. As much of their caseload may well consist of dealing with diseases of the locomotor system it would be of benefit for more than the present 3% of general practitioner trainees to spend some time in orthopaedics.

With special reference to the orthopaedic training in the west of Scotland, the University of Glasgow has recently reduced undergraduate teaching in orthopaedics, from an eight week term in the penultimate year of a five year course to a three week attachment in the third and fourth

years. A further week is spent in teaching in rheumatology. It is likely, therefore, that in future postgraduate training in orthopaedics will become more necessary.

We agree that general practitioner trainees should attend clinics in gynaecology; ear, nose, and throat work; and ophthalmology, but we strongly suggest that a period spent in orthopaedics at the postgraduate level is as important a part of a prospective general practitioner's vocational training.

UMBERTO FAZZI
GAVIN R TAIT
PETER D R SCOTT

Orthopaedic Department,
Victoria Infirmary,
Glasgow G42 9TY

- 1 Kelly DR, Murray TS. Twenty years of vocational training in the west of Scotland. *BMJ* 1991;302:28-30. (5 January.)

SIR,—Drs Diane R Kelly and T S Murray made no suggestions for improving the lot of future trainees in Scotland.¹

I would dispute their conclusion that "two years seems to be a reasonable period to spend in hospital training." As they themselves go on to state, trainees are still treated as junior hospital doctors rather than trainees for general practice. This view was recently supported by Kearley.² I would also argue it is not just that "attitudes need improving and balances correcting" but that the creation and implementation of curriculums for learning and training of future general practitioners in hospital posts are required.

The trainee subcommittee (of the north west faculty of the Royal College of General Practitioners) together with the department of postgraduate medical studies organised two regional study days for trainees (in hospital and general practice posts) in November 1990, with the aim of defining such curriculums. Small group work with brainstorming, discussion, and debate led by the regions' course organisers led to the production of curriculum checklists for each of the following specialties: obstetrics and gynaecology, medicine or geriatric medicine, psychiatry, accident and emergency medicine, and paediatrics. I hope that these will be used in assessing hospital posts for suitability as training posts for future general practitioners, as well as being guidelines or prompts to trainees in these posts.

Attending clinics in gynaecology, ophthalmology, and ear, nose, and throat work was cited by our trainees as only part of their learning needs, being particularly useful to expand their knowledge base. Much more contact with general practice—patients, trainees, trainers, and staff—was thought to be a vital but at present deficient component of training in the "skills and attitudes" appropriate for general practice.

CAROLYN A CHEW

Rusholme Health Centre,
Manchester M14 5NP

- 1 Kelly DR, Murray TS. Twenty years of vocational training in the west of Scotland. *BMJ* 1991;302:28-30. (5 January.)
- 2 Kearley K. An evaluation of the hospital component of general practice vocational training. *Br J Gen Pract* 1990;40:409-14.
- 3 Working Party of the Royal College of General Practitioners. *The future general practitioner: learning and teaching*. London: RCGP, 1972.

Proposals on dental anaesthesia

SIR,—Dr Adrian Padfield is not alone in his concerns about the provision of general anaesthesia for dentistry.¹ For many years we in north Clwyd have been advocating the development of general anaesthetic services in the community clinic environment using hospital based anaesthetic staff. In the local district general hospital there is