Changes in Gene Expression in Arabidopsis Shoots during Phosphate Starvation and the Potential for Developing Smart Plants¹

John P. Hammond, Malcolm J. Bennett, Helen C. Bowen, Martin R. Broadley, Dan C. Eastwood, Sean T. May, Clive Rahn, Ranjan Swarup, Kathryn E. Woolaway, and Philip J. White*

Horticulture Research International, Wellesbourne, Warwick CV35 9EF, United Kingdom (J.P.H., H.C.B., M.R.B., D.C.E., C.R., K.E.W., P.J.W.); and Plant Science Division, Nottingham University, Sutton Bonington Campus, Loughborough LE12 5RD, United Kingdom (J.P.H., M.J.B., S.T.M., R.S.)

Our aim was to generate and prove the concept of "smart" plants to monitor plant phosphorus (P) status in Arabidopsis. Smart plants can be genetically engineered by transformation with a construct containing the promoter of a gene upregulated specifically by P starvation in an accessible tissue upstream of a marker gene such as β-glucuronidase (GUS). First, using microarrays, we identified genes whose expression changed more than 2.5-fold in shoots of plants growing hydroponically when P, but not N or K, was withheld from the nutrient solution. The transient changes in gene expression occurring immediately (4 h) after P withdrawal were highly variable, and many nonspecific, shock-induced genes were up-regulated during this period. However, two common putative cis-regulatory elements (a PHO-like element and a TATA box-like element) were present significantly more often in the promoters of genes whose expression increased 4 h after the withdrawal of P compared with their general occurrence in the promoters of all genes represented on the microarray. Surprisingly, the expression of only four genes differed between shoots of P-starved and -replete plants 28 h after P was withdrawn. This lull in differential gene expression preceded the differential expression of a new group of 61 genes 100 h after withdrawing P. A literature survey indicated that the expression of many of these "late" genes responded specifically to P starvation. Shoots had reduced P after 100 h, but growth was unaffected. The expression of SQD1, a gene involved in the synthesis of sulfolipids, responded specifically to P starvation and was increased 100 h after withdrawing P. Leaves of Arabidopsis bearing a SQD1::GUS construct showed increased GUS activity after P withdrawal, which was detectable before P starvation limited growth. Hence, smart plants can monitor plant P status. Transferring this technology to crops would allow precision management of P fertilization, thereby maintaining yields while reducing costs, conserving natural resources, and preventing pollution.

Phosphorus (P), an essential mineral nutrient for plants, is required in large amounts to maintain growth (Raghothama, 1999; Abel et al., 2002). Plants acquire P in the form of phosphate from the soil solution via their roots. Phosphate exists in the soil solution as one of three orthophosphate species $(H_3PO_4, H_2PO_4^-, and HPO_4^{2-})$ depending on the pH (Bohn et al., 1979). The predominant form taken up by plants is thought to be $H_2PO_4^-$ (Ullrich-Eberius et al., 1984; Schachtman et al., 1998). Plants have evolved many morphological and enzymatic adaptations to tolerate low phosphate availability. Increased root to shoot ratios (Lynch, 1995; Williamson et al., 2001), production of roots in P-rich patches (Drew, 1975; Robinson, 1994), and the proliferation of long

root hairs (Bates and Lynch, 2001; Jungk, 2001) are often observed when plants are starved of P. These adaptations enhance the exploitation of the soil volume. In addition, the associations of some plant species with symbiotic mycorrhizal fungi are stimulated by P starvation (Harrison, 1999). The transcription and activity of RNases (Bariola et al., 1994) and acid phosphatases (Goldstein, 1992; Duff et al., 1994; del Pozo et al., 1999; Haran et al., 2000; Baldwin et al., 2001; Miller et al., 2001; Li et al., 2002) are increased by P starvation. These enzymes release phosphate from both cellular (Bariola et al., 1994) and extracellular (Duff et al., 1994) organic compounds. The roots of P-deficient plants also exude organic acids into the soil solution to increase the availability of phosphate bound to soil particles and from phosphate salts (Lipton et al., 1987; Holford, 1997; Raghothama, 1999; López-Bucio et al., 2000). The transcripts and activity of phosphate transporters are increased to optimize uptake and remobilization of phosphate in P-deficient plants (Muchhal et al., 1996; Daram et al., 1999; Kai et al., 2002; Karthikeyan et al., 2002; Mudge et al., 2002; Versaw and Harrison, 2002). The internal P economy of P-starved plants is also improved by increasing the activity of enzymes that replace P in metabolites (Mal-

¹ This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (UK), by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (UK; project nos. HH0915SFV, HH3502SFV, and HH3501SFV), and by a Horticulture Research International Gordon Browning Studentship to J.P.H.

^{*} Corresponding author; e-mail philip-j.white@hri.ac.uk; fax 01789-470552.

Article, publication date, and citation information can be found at www.plantphysiol.org/cgi/doi/10.1104/pp.103.020941.

boobi and Lefebvre, 1997; Plaxton and Carswell, 1999; Ciereszko et al., 2001) and structural compounds, for example the proteins involved in the replacement of chloroplast phospholipids with sulfolipids encoded by the *SQD* genes (Essigmann et al., 1998; Yu et al., 2002). It is thought that these morphological and enzymatic responses to P starvation are coordinated by both general stress-related and P-specific signaling

cascades. It has been suggested that manipulating the expression of genes to optimize root morphology for exploiting the soil volume, to maximize the uptake of phosphate, or to improve P use efficiency could reduce the phosphate fertilizer requirement of crops (López-Bucio et al., 2000; Vance, 2001; Brinch-Pedersen et al., 2002). This has stimulated research toward the identification of genes and signaling cascades involved in responses to P starvation through, for example: (a) homologies with genes to the phosphate-up-regulated (pho) regulon in yeast (Goldstein et al., 1988; Raghothama, 1999; Abel et al., 2002), (b) differential screens of P-starved and -replete plants (Martín et al., 2000; Kai et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2002), and (c) screening for mutants with aberrant root morphology (Bates and Lynch, 2000), uptake, or distribution of P within the plant (*pho1* and *pho2*; Poirier et al., 1991; Delhaize and Randall, 1995; Hamburger et al., 2002), altered root exudate composition (López-Bucio et al., 2000), or acid phosphatase activity (*pup1* and *pho3*; Trull and Deikman, 1998; Zakhleniuk et al., 2001). Recently, the mutagenesis of transgenic Arabidopsis, bearing a construct in which the promoter for a gene induced by P starvation (*AtIPS1*) controlled the expression of a marker gene (β glucuronidase [GUS]), was used to identify genes in the signaling cascades initiated by P starvation (Rubio et al., 2001). These included *PHR1*, which encodes an MYB transcription factor (Rubio et al., 2001). Such global regulators coordinating pleiotropic responses to P starvation are likely to prove extremely useful in transgenic strategies to improve P efficiency in crops. The development of similar smart plants with contrasting promoters would enable further dissection of the signaling cascades by which plants sense and respond in a coordinated manner to P starvation.

In addition to their use in laboratory studies to elucidate the signaling cascades initiated by P starvation, smart plants also have a practical use. To realize the growth potential of crop plants, the phosphate concentration in the rhizosphere must be maximized, and to maintain crop yields and quality, the horticultural and agricultural industries in the United States and western Europe routinely apply excessive amounts of phosphate fertilizer (Goldstein, 1992). This leads to the leaching or erosion of phosphate bound to soil particles into watercourses (National Research Council, 1989; Sharpley, 1995; Withers et al., 2001), which results in nutrient imbalance and contributes to the process of eutrophication in

aquatic environments (Raghothama, 1999). Worldwide, the application of phosphate fertilizers accounts for 90% of the total mineral phosphate used (Bieleski and Ferguson, 1983). Unfortunately, the nonrenewable nature of phosphate fertilizers means that cheap sources, such as phosphate rocks, will be exhausted within the next 60 to 90 years (Runge-Metzger, 1995). To prevent environmental problems and to reduce the consumption of a nonrenewable resource, phosphate fertilizers must be used sustainably. This might be achieved by various strategies including (a) the cultivation of crops that require less phosphate fertilizer, because they either acquire or use P more efficiently (Fageria and Baligar, 1999; Baligar et al., 2001), (b) the use of cultural techniques to maintain phosphate levels in the soil, such as crop rotation and intercropping (Frossard et al., 2000; Vance, 2001), and (c) the use of computer programs to inform the quantity and temporal application of phosphate fertilizers (Greenwood et al., 2001). Smart plants complement decision support systems by allowing farmers to monitor crop P status from the expression of a nontoxic, easily assayed marker gene in situ. It is important, therefore, that marker gene expression should increase specifically in response to P deficiency before P starvation restricts growth and reduces yield. The detection of marker gene activity would report a physiological P requirement and would indicate the need for remedial phosphate fertilization.

In this paper, we have identified Arabidopsis genes whose expression increases specifically in response to P starvation, when the P content of plant tissues begins to decline but before the lack of P affects growth. The promoters for these genes are suitable for the generation of smart plants. We have generated transgenic Arabidopsis bearing a construct containing a marker gene (*GUS*) under the control of the promoter sequence for one of these P-sensitive genes (*SQD1*), and we demonstrate that these smart plants can be used to monitor P deficiency in plants. Transferring this technology to crop plants will help to manage the application of phosphate fertilizers for sustainable agriculture.

RESULTS

We are interested in developing smart plant technology as both a practical tool to monitor crop P status and as a laboratory tool to discover the regulatory cascades that alter gene expression in response to P deficiency. Smart plants can be genetically engineered by transformation with a construct containing the promoter of a gene up-regulated by P starvation upstream of a gene encoding a visible marker. Detection of the visible marker in the leaves of smart plants indicates P stress and the need for fertilizer application. Because any period of P deficiency that reduces crop growth incurs a yield penalty (Broadley et al., 2002), it is important to detect P deficiencies in crops before they become acute and impact on growth. Thus, the P-sensitive promoter driving marker gene expression in the smart plant must become active early enough in response to phosphate depletion to enable remedial fertilizer application. Transcript profiling of Arabidopsis leaves using high-density oligonucleotide arrays was used to identify promoters active in the early stages of incipient P deficiency.

Phosphate Starvation Reduces Shoot P Concentration and Plant Growth

The effects of removing P supply on shoot P concentration and growth was determined on 28-d-old Arabidopsis growing hydroponically (Fig. 1). The shoot fresh weight of plants growing in a solution lacking P (-P solution) was comparable with that of control plants grown in a complete nutrient solution



Figure 1. The effect of P withdrawal on shoot fresh weight (A) and shoot P concentration expressed on a dry weight basis (B). Arabidopsis plants were grown hydroponically in a complete nutrient solution (**•**) or a solution lacking P (\bigcirc). Plants were 28 d old at the beginning of the experiment. Data are expressed as mean \pm se (n = 3 experiments).

for at least 72 h after P was withdrawn. However, the shoot fresh weight of plants grown without P was significantly (P < 0.001) lower than plants grown in a complete nutrient solution 216 h after P was withdrawn. A decline in P concentration was observed in shoots of Arabidopsis growing in -P solutions. A significant decrease in shoot P concentration in plants lacking a P supply was observed before there was any significant difference in the shoot fresh weight of plants growing in complete and -P solutions. There was no significant difference in the shoot P concentrations of plants growing in complete and -P solutions 24 h after P was withdrawn. However, the shoot P concentrations of plants grown in a -Psolution for 72 h were significantly less than those of plants grown in a complete nutrient solution. Thus, between 24 and 72 h after P withdrawal, Arabidopsis grown in -P solutions had a significantly lower shoot P concentration but retained comparable growth to plants grown in a complete nutrient solution. Hence, promoters from genes with up-regulated expression between 24 and about 72 h after P withdrawal could be used to drive the expression of a marker gene in smart plants because they would allow the plant to respond to P deficiency before growth was affected.

Shoot Gene Expression Changes during Phosphate Starvation

To study changes in the Arabidopsis shoot transcriptome in response to P withdrawal, total RNA samples from shoot material were used to challenge Affymetrix GeneChips representing 8,100 Arabidopsis genes. Total RNA was isolated from rosette leaves of Arabidopsis accession Columbia-5 (Col-5) at growth stage 3.90 (Boyes et al., 2001). Rosette leaves were harvested 4, 28, and 100 h after P withdrawal, and transcript levels were analyzed in two biological replicates at each time point.

Between 3,483 and 5,043 transcripts were declared present in the RNA samples used to challenge the Affymetrix GeneChips. Changes in transcript abundance after P starvation were calculated as their abundance in mRNA from shoots of plants grown in –P solutions divided by their abundance in control plants grown in complete nutrient solutions and harvested at the same growth stage. Differentially regulated transcripts were defined as those with a -fold change > 2.5 in two biological replicates. To reduce the number of transcripts falsely classified as differentially regulated, GeneChip signal values less than 20 were reset to 20 (see "Materials and Methods").

For the majority of genes represented on the Gene-Chip, expression levels appeared unchanged after phosphate withdrawal (Fig. 2). The expression of no gene was differentially regulated at all time points after P withdrawal, and only 10 genes overlapped between two time points (Fig. 2; Tables I and II). The



Figure 2. Venn diagrams showing the numbers of genes differentially expressed in shoots of Arabidopsis plants in response to 4, 28, and 100 h of P starvation (A); 28 + 4 h of P starvation (late P-responsive genes), 28 h of K starvation, and 28 h N starvation (B); 4 h of P starvation (early P-responsive genes), 28 h of K starvation, and 28 h of N starvation (C). Differentially expressed genes were defined as those with a -fold difference in expression in two biological replicates of 2.5 between shoots of plants grown in solutions lacking specific elements and control plants grown in complete nutrient solutions and harvested at the same growth stage. The identities of genes that were differentially expressed in response to P, K, or N starvation are given in Tables I and II.

expression of 64 genes was altered >2.5-fold in two biological replicates 4 h after withdrawing P (Table I). This group of genes was termed "early" P response genes. Sixty genes increased their expression (between 2.5- and 59.6-fold), and four genes decreased their expression. There was great variability in the relative expression of some genes at this time point. This can be attributed to biological variability and may be a consequence of the heterogeneous or asynchronous responses of individual plants to P withdrawal. The differences in gene expression between biological replicates are unlikely to arise from experimental error, because the microarray data were normalized and neither biological replicate showed consistently greater changes in expression. Also, variability in expression was observed for genes that were highly expressed. Twenty-eight h after P was withdrawn, the expression of only four genes differed between shoots of plants grown in –P solutions and shoots of plants grown in complete nutrient solutions (Table II). This lull in differential gene expression has also been observed several hours after other environmental challenges (Reymond et al., 2000; Kreps et al., 2002; Ramonell et al., 2002). One hundred hours after P was withdrawn, the expression of 61 genes differed between the shoots of plants grown in -P solutions and the shoots of plants grown in complete nutrient solutions (Table II). Forty-nine of these genes were up-regulated in plants grown without P, and 12 genes were downregulated. Because the expression of so few genes differed between shoots of plants grown in –P solutions and shoots of plants grown in complete nutrient solutions at the 28-h time point, these genes were grouped with genes whose expression was altered 100 h after P withdrawal. This combined group was termed the late P response genes. There was only one gene (At2g04160; AIR3) that was up-regulated at both 28 and 100 h after P withdrawal (Table II).

Genes Regulated Specifically by P Starvation

Smart plant technology to monitor crop P status requires promoters that increase the expression of a marker gene specifically in response to P starvation. It was therefore necessary to confirm that the genes up-regulated by P starvation in our experiments were unaffected by the withdrawal of other mineral elements (K and N). The shoot K concentration of plants grown in solutions lacking K for 28 h (73.2 μ mol g⁻¹ fresh weight) was lower than the shoot K concentration of plants grown in full nutrient solution (83.6 μ mol g⁻¹ fresh weight). However, the shoot N concentration of plants grown in solutions lacking N for 28 h was not significantly lower than the shoot N concentration of plants grown in full nutrient solution (3.93% dry weight), although it declined to 3.71% dry weight 100 h after N was withdrawn. The P concentrations in shoots of plants starved of K or N for 28 h did not decline (data not shown). Thus, the changes in gene expression 28 h after the withdrawal of K or N will be responses to a decline in the shoot concentration of K or N specifically. Only one of the early genes (At1g75040; Table I) and three of the late genes (At4g21620, At1g21250, and At2g17840; Table II) responding to P withdrawal responded also to K or N withdrawal (Fig. 2). Furthermore, only two genes responding to P withdrawal (At5g64100 and At1g21750) were found to respond to S starvation (Nikiforova et al., 2003).

Å literature survey revealed that the expression of several genes that responded to P withdrawal was also affected by other environmental challenges (Tables I and II). Many genes responding to P withdrawal also increased after wounding or pathogen attack (Uknes et al., 1992; Potter et al., 1993; Epple et al., 1995; Reuber and Ausubel, 1996; Rouse et al., 1996; Somssich et al., 1996; Century et al., 1997; de A Gerhardt et al., 1997; Winge et al., 1997; Torres et al.,

h after the w y transcript a	ithdrawal of P bundance in sl	and 28 h a hoots of p	after the lants sup	withdrav pplied wi	val of K or ith full nut	r N. Gene trient solu	expressic ttion.	on was calcula	ated as the ratio	of transcript ak	oundance in shoots of plants starved	ed of P, K, or N divided
		Gene	Expression	n Relative	to Nutrient	t Replete P	lants					
GeneChip ID ^a	Expression Level ^b	P Star for 4	ved t h	K St for	arved 28 h	N Stal for 2	rved 8 h	Specificity ^c	Other Stimuli ^d		Arabidopsis Genome Initiative (AGI) ID ^e	Functional Category ^f
		-	2	-	2	-	2					
12097_g_at	Σ	0.23	0.03	1.57	1.17	15.26	1.43	- Р		At2g20870	Expressed protein	Unclassified
14633_at	W	0.34	0.14	0.70	3.17	1.03	2.30	d -		At5g54190	NADPH:protochlorophyllide	Control of cellular
15178_s_at	Ч	0.23	0.18	0.52	0.75	0.71	1.01	d -	t, hs, c, a, b	At4g14130	oxidoreductase A Xyloglucan endotransglycosylase (XTR7)	organisation Metabolism
20518 at	_	0.39	0.30	1.18	2.11	0.89	3.20	Ч –		At1 g1 0060	LEA76 homolog type 1	Metabolism
	X	5.84	4.50	3.15	0.63	0.00	0.49	4 +	ms, c, cc	At4g22470	Extensin-like protein	Unclassified
12332_s_at	н	18.10	4.27	0.88	0.20	5.30	0.37	4+		At3g12500	Basic chitinase	Cell rescue, defense,
12642_at	M	2.54	2.90	1.64	0.71	2.96	0.72	4 +		At2g15390	Xyloglucan fucosyltransferase,	Unclassified
											putative	
12764_f_at	н	2.80	4.20	0.32	1.04	2.38	0.84	4+	CC	At2g02930	Putative glutathione S-transferase	Metabolism
13154_s_at	Т	4.48	11.29	2.07	0.13	2.35	0.09	4+	CC	At2g43590	Putative endochitinase	Cell rescue, defense, and virulence
13244_s_at	Т	4.91	4.17	3.25	0.47	2.47	0.46	4+	×	At4g37990	Cinnamyl-alcohol dehydrogenase	Metabolism
+° C2CC1	3	0 17	202	00 0			000	-	,	000262744	ELI3-Z Hoot shool transmistion footor	Tunnontion
132/J_dl	=	/1.0	06.0	66.7	-	1.1	06.0	- +		V(+820230	HEAL SHOCK HAHSCHPHIOH IACTO	папосприон
13467_at	н	2.94	2.74	1.08	0.53	1.08	0.76	4+		At3 g47420	Putative protein	Transport facilitation
13842_at	Z	4.04	3.71	0.79	0.73	0.75	0.76	4 +		At1g24140	Putative metalloproteinase	Protein fate
14016_s_at	Z	6.19	3.38	0.70	0.67	2.58	1.99	4 H	ά C	At1g30700	FAD-linked oxidoreductase family	Metabolism
14032_at	Z	2.59	3.47	1.78	0.43	3.59	0.58	4 +	lo, o, s, c	At4g37370	Cytochrome P450-like protein	Metabolism
14041_at	Ч	3.45	2.59	0.95	0.79	2.14	0.90	4+		At1g09480	Putative cinnamyl alcohol	Metabolism
14635_s_at	¥	3.16	7.84	0.83	1.23	2.22	0.72	4+	c, d	At2g14610	Pathogenesis-related PR-1-like	Cell rescue, defense,
											protein	and virulence
14636_s_at	I	6.48	3.96	2.97	3.05	1.96	1.39	+PK	w, c, d	At1g75040	Thaumatin-like protein	Cellular
14638 at	I	2.99	2.57	2.13	0.47	2.76	0.35	4 +	AL Oz, CC	At3ø49120	Putative peroxidase	Communication Cell rescue, defense,
										0		and virulence
14964_at	Н	2.91	6.73	1.03	0.45	0.61	0.20	4+		At1g65500	Expressed protein	Unclassified
15137_s_at	Н	2.86	5.70	0.57	0.30	0.89	0.37	4+	CC	At2g44790	Phytocyanin	Unclassified
15162_at	н	9.69	8.20	0.78	0.39	1.85	0.35	+P	w, ms	At3g04720	Hevein-like protein precursor	Unclassified
15216 at	Н	2.99	2.89	1.44	1.47	1.38	0.98	4	CC	At1 e09560	(FR-4) Germin-like protein	Metabolism
15415_at	Ц	4.55	3.29	1.77	0.38	1.68	0.17	4 +		At1g22900	Disease resistance response	Cell rescue, defense,
										D	protein-related	and virulence
15665_at	¥	2.62	5.66	0.20	0.25	2.35	0.50	4+	g, c, o	At5g04340	Putative c2h2 zinc finger transcription factor	Transcription
												(Table Continues)

Table 1. Genes whose expression was altered 4 h after the withdrawal of P from the nutrient solution

		Gene	Expressio	n Relativ	e to Nutrier	it Replete F	lants					
GeneChip ID ^a	Expression Level ^b	P Sti for	arved 4 h	K S	starved r 28 h	N Sta for 2	rved 8 h	Specificity ^c	Other Stimuli ^d		Arabidopsis Genome Initiative (AGI) ID ^e	Functional Category ^f
		-	2	-	2		2					
15672_s_at	н	3.83	4.23	2.33	0.77	0.79	0.47	d+	g, cc	At2g22470	Arabinogalactan-protein (AGP2)	Unclassified
15866_s_at	I	2.99	3.36	1.76	0.95	2.50	0.85	4 H		At2g38860	Expressed protein	Unclassified
15985_at	M	4.03	3.53	0.43	0.61	0.65	0.37	4 +	Sulf	At5g64100	Putative peroxidase	Cell rescue, defense,
												and virulence
16014_at	н	2.89	3.28	0.87	0.43	0.60	0.40	+ P	g, cc	At1g75750	Expressed protein	Unclassified
16053_i_at	Н	4.10	4.63	2.23	0.51	3.29	0.34	4+	g, lo, w	At1 g02 92 0	Glutathione S-transferase	Metabolism
16150_at	н	5.56	9.53	5.84	0.32	11.13	0.19	+ P	Al, c	At4g12480	pEARLI 1	Unclassified
16198_at	¥	2.59	3.93	0.63	0.46	1.55	0.36	+P		At2g28710	Putative C2H2-type zinc finger	Transcription
											protein	
16888_at	¥	4.64	4.12	2.24	0.31	2.07	0.30	4+		At2g37770	Aldo/keto reductase family	Metabolism
16914_s_at	Т	19.47	4.44	0.57	0.28	4.14	0.49	4 H	lo	At4g11650	Osmotin precursor	Cell rescue, defense,
												and virulence
17014_s_at	н	3.92	59.55	3.87	0.66	0.57	0.22	+P	P, d	At2g02990	Ribonuclease, RNS1	Metabolism
17413_s_at	Н	14.85	43.39	0.12	0.48	5.73	0.18	4+	lo	At5g64120	Putative peroxidase	Cell rescue, defense,
												and virulence
17485_s_at	H	44.30	4.66	1.09	0.17	6.02	0.20	4 H	ms, cc	At4g16260	Glycosyl hydrolase family 17	Metabolism
17533_s_at	M	4.62	3.69	5.08	0.19	4.26	0.15	4+	t, hs, c, a, b	At4g25810	Xyloglucan endotransglycosylase	Metabolism
											(XTR-6)	
17840_at	Т	2.94	5.31	0.86	0.67	2.41	0.28	+P	CC	At2g43570	Endochitinase isolog	Cell rescue, defense,
												and virulence
17894_at	Т	2.53	5.96	2.28	0.58	1.84	0.40	4 H	×	At2g18690	Expressed protein	Unclassified
17899_at	Η	4.69	11.87	1.68	0.21	1.35	0.23	4+	0	At4g15610	Expressed protein	Unclassified
17907_s_at	X	5.13	3.55	0.68	0.34	0.32	0.36	4+	lo	At2g37750	Expressed protein	Unclassified
17930_s_at	Н	9.13	2.77	0.92	0.41	4.49	0.46	4+	ms, lo	At4g37520	Peroxidase, prxr2	Cell rescue, defense,
												and virulence
17963_at	н	2.52	25.79	1.06	0.49	0.79	0.27	+ P	С	At4g12470	pEARLI 1-like protein	Unclassified
18217_g_at	Н	3.16	6.07	1.30	0.65	2.50	0.68	4+	s, c	At1g27730	Salt-tolerance zinc finger protein	Transcription
18228_at	н	5.83	13.73	3.40	0.65	4.14	0.59	+ P	ms	At3g15356	Lectin-like protein	Unclassified
18591_at	¥	2.83	3.32	2.16	0.73	2.22	0.38	4+	0	At5g08790	Expressed protein	Unclassified
18888_at	X	3.56	2.55	0.33	0.51	1.12	0.85	4+		At1g15380	Expressed protein	Unclassified
18966_at	¥	2.56	4.93	0.72	0.57	1.25	0.61	4+	CC	At2g29420	Glutathione transferase, putative	Metabolism
19171_at	Н	4.46	7.62	2.89	0.34	2.48	0.31	4+	ms, cc	At2g43510	Putative trypsin inhibitor	Unclassified
19178_at	Н	3.32	15.50	1.00	0.46	1.07	0.35	4+	hl, c, o	At5g20230	Blue copper-binding protein	Unclassified
19284_at	X	6.02	4.08	1.63	0.77	2.24	0.56	+ P	ms	At2g38240	Putative anthocyanidin synthase	Metabolism
19640_at	н	2.54	11.96	3.39	0.54	3.39	0.29	+P	ms	At2g29460	Putative glutathione S-transferase	Metabolism
19840_s_at	X	3.54	6.47	3.72	0.67	1.93	0.29	4+	CC	At1g30720	FAD-linked oxidoreductase family	Metabolism
19892_at	Н	2.84	5.76	2.19	0.64	1.40	0.36	4+	cc	At2g38870	Putative protease inhibitor	Protein fate
19991_at	I	2.51	7.87	0.50	0.58	1.77	0.47	4 H	0.0	At2g35980	Similar to harpin-induced protein	Cell rescue, defense,
											hin1 from tobacco	and virulence
20194_at	Т	2.94	3.95	6.40	0.53	2.85	0.57	4 +	lo, cc	At2g17500	Expressed protein	Unclassified
20238_at	н	3.09	4.09	2.49	1.10	1.95	0.37	+ P		At3g13790	Glycosyl hydrolase family 32	Metabolism
20269_at	M	4.33	4.13	1.19	0.56	2.34	0.52	4 H	ms	At2g45220	Pectinesterase family	Metabolism
												(Table Continues)

		Gene	Expression	Relative	to Nutrient	t Replete P	lants					
GeneChip ID ^a	Expression Level ^b	P Sta for	irved 4 h	K Sta for 2	arved 28 h	N Star for 28	rved 8 h	Specificity ^c	Other Stimuli ^d		Arabidopsis Genome Initiative (AGI) ID ^e	Functional Category ^f
		-	2		2	-	2					
20287_at	Σ	3.74	6.65	2.12	0.66	1.34	0.53	4 +	*	At3g54420	Glycosyl hydrolase family 19 (class IV chitinase)	Cell rescue, defense, and virulance
20420_at	X	4.63	3.12	1.52	0.14	1.13	0.11	4 +	g, c	At4g19810	Glycosyl hydrolase family 18/1014541000 chitinase	Cell rescue, defense, and virulance
20491_at	Н	8.86	4.00	2.85	0.27	2.22	0.28	4 +	M	At2g29350	Putative tropinone reductase	Unclassified
20499_at	X	2.96	4.81	2.09	2.60	1.94	2.12	4 +		At2g33480	Putative NAM (no apical meristem)-	Development
20685_at	Z	2.55	4.72	2.00	0.85	1.18	0.36	4 +	0	At4g13180	like protein Short-chain alcohol dehydrogenase like protein	Metabolism
^a Affymetrix values > 800).	probe set nun ^c Treatme	nber. Ints for wh	^b Qualita 11ch gene	ttive estir s were di	nates of a fferentiall	bsolute g y express	ene expr ed 2.5-fo	ession, classifiε Id in two bioloε	d as low (L, A gical replicates	ffymetrix signal ; (+), Genes wh	values < 150), medium (M) and hig iose expression has increased; (–), G	gh (H, Affymetrix signal Jenes whose expression

^f Functional categories for genes were identified using the ACI number to search the MIPS database (http://mips.gsf.de/proj/thal/db/; 28/11/02), where no category had been (hs); low oxygen (lo); mechanical stimulus (ms); oxidative stress (o); ozone (Oz); phosphate stress (P); salinity (s); sulfur deficiency (sulf); touch (t); and wounding and/or pathogen attack by Ghassemian et al. Genes whose expression responds to auxin (a); aluminum (Al); brassinosteroids (b); cold (c); cell-cycle regulated (cc); drought (d); gravity (g); high light (n1); heat shoc searching and from the descriptions provided ^e AGI numbers and brief descriptions of the transcript identified by BLAST used. was score v probability experimentally determined the category with the highest (w); see text for references. has decreased. (2002).

1998; Fujimoto et al., 2000; Chen et al., 2002; Mahalingam et al., 2003). Several genes responding to P withdrawal also responded to anoxia, heat shock, cold, drought, salinity, or plant growth regulators (Gil et al., 1994; Abel et al., 1995; Nover et al., 1996; Rouse et al., 1996; Xu et al., 1996; Fujimoto et al., 2000; Reymond et al., 2000; Fowler and Thomashow, 2002; Goda et al., 2002; Klok et al., 2002; Kreps et al., 2002). The expression of a phytochelatin gene increased after exposure of plants to heavy metals (Vatamaniuk et al., 1999) and several genes that responded to P withdrawal also responded to oxidative stress (Sharma and Davis, 1994; Desikan et al., 2001). With the exception of At2g40000, the expression of these genes were found to respond early to P withdrawal. The gene for the blue copper-binding protein (At5g20230) was previously shown to be induced in high-light environments (Rossel et al., 2002), and the expression of a putative peroxidase gene (At3g49120) increased in response to ozone and aluminum stress (Sharma and Davis, 1994; Richards et al., 1998). Several genes whose expression changed in response to P withdrawal were up-regulated by mechanical or gravitational stimulation (Moseyko et al., 2002) or showed significant fluctuation during the cell cycle in suspension cells (Menges et al., 2002). Interestingly, with the exception of At2g17500, At2g38870, At4g16260, and At4g22470, all of the latter genes had maximal expression during the S phase. The majority of genes that were up-regulated during the cell cycle and changed their expression early in response to P withdrawal were involved in cell defense and detoxification. By contrast, those genes that were upregulated during the S phase and changed in expression late in response to P withdrawal were predominantly involved in cellular metabolism. Promoters from none of these genes would be suitable for use in smart plant technologies to monitor crop P status.

Promoters from genes whose expression is increased by P withdrawal but is unaffected by other environmental or developmental challenges can be used in smart plant technologies. The expression of 13 early genes increased specifically in response to P withdrawal (Table I). These encoded proteins with diverse cellular functions, including transcriptional regulation (At2g28710). However, the increase in their expression was transient. Consequently, smart plants based on their promoters would not be suitable for the periodical monitoring of plant P status. The expression of 18 genes was increased 100 h after P withdrawal and appeared unaffected by other stimuli (Table II). One of these genes, a subtilisin-like Ser protease (At2g04160; AIR3), was up-regulated both 28 and 100 h after P withdrawal. Twelve late genes encoded proteins involved in aspects of cellular metabolism (At1g09500, At1g16410, At1g17020, At1g17740, At2g04400, At2g15090, At2g22780, At2g43820, At4g16190, At4g35630, At4g36670, and

	_
_	1
tion	
olu	100
nt se	4
rier	-
nut	
he	
m t	4
fro	
f P	(
0 12	0
BWB	0
Jdr	1
vitl	
Je I	
er ti	-
afte	
<i>h</i> (
10(1
pu	
8 a	1
d 2	
ere	
alt	4
vas	
n uc	1-1-
ssic	1.000
pre	0.10
ex	
OSE	1
Wh	
nes	2
Gei	1 - 1
_	-
le	1000
Tab	-

Elements (P, K, or N) were withdrawn from the nutrient solutions supplied to 28-d-old Arabidopsis plants growing hydroponically. Gene expression profiles were determined in shoots 28 and 100 h after the withdrawal of P and 28 h after the withdrawal of K or N. Gene expression was calculated as the ratio of transcript abundance in shoots of plants starved of P, K, or N divided by transcript abundance in shoots of plants supplied with full putrient solution.

	nd narra	anna anna			and In a	ne cille	hhirea	אוריד יר		ובוור אחוי	1011				
			Cen	e Expre	ssion Re	elative t	o Nutrie	ent Repl	ete Plan	ts 					
GeneChip ID ^a	Time Point	Expression Level ^b	P Starve 28	ed for h	P Starv€ 100	ed for h	K Starve 28 }	d for	N Starv for 28	ved S.	pecificity ^c	Other Stimuli ^d		AGI ID ^e	Functional Category ^f
				2		2	-	2	-	2					
17012_at	28	M	2.53	2.55	0.85	1.12	2.92	1.00	0.95 ().52	+P	w, d	At1g72260	Thionin	Cell rescue, defense,
		:									1			;	and virulence
19186_s_at	28	Т	3.36	2.91 1	0.08	2.27	8.81	1.20	1.53 (0.47	4+	c, w, d, ab s	At3g50970	Dehydrin Xero2	Cell rescue, detense, and virulence
20641 at	28	I	2.77	3.67	3.31	1.07	2.50	0.47	1.00).35	4 H	d ^{ww} , ^g	At1g52690	LEA76 homolog tvpe1	Unclassified
14025 s at	28/100	Σ	2.54	3.84	3.05	2.84	2.39	2.49	3.56 2	2.36	4 H	i	At2g04160	Subtilisin-like Ser protease AIR3	Protein fate
12608_i_at	100	Σ	0.94	0.60	0.19	0.32	0.92	1.50	0.43 [.]	1.05	<u>д</u> –	a, b	At4g38850	Small auxin up RNA (SAUR-	Unclassified
133 <i>7</i> 2 at	100	Т	0.61	0.68	0.78	0 4 U	0 42	0 60) 75 (154	đ	2	At4a38860	Putative auxin-regulated protein	l Inclassified
13660 i at	100	= -	0.76	0.08	0.27	0 3 7	1 39	0.00 1 66) 23 (+C.C	- 4	ک م	At1015580	I diante auxini-regulated protein IAA5	Unclassingu Transcrintion
13972 at	100	×Σ	0.72	0.66	0.36 (0.35	0.43	0.71	0.30 (.69		. (5	At4g17810	SUPERMAN-like protein	Transcription
	100	M	0.87	0.77	0.28	0.24	0.47	0.81	0.50	.53	Ч-	C	At2g18890	Putative protein kinase	Cellular
)	-	communication
14946_at	100	Н	0.45	0.52	0.36	0.39	0.37	0.22	0.47 ().44	-PK		At4g21620	Putative protein	Unclassified
15084_at	100	Σ	0.75	0.93	0.35	0.25	0.67	0.81	0.31 (0.91	Ч-	С	At4g35320	Putative protein	Unclassified
15671_s_at	100	Σ	0.82	1.19	0.40	0.31	0.61	1.11	0.51	1.45	-Р	~	At1g75840	Rac-like GTP binding protein	Cellular
														(ARAC5)	communication
15817_at	100	Σ	0.53	0.63	0.40	0.34	0.42	0.76	0.33 (0.69	Ч-		At4g37240	Putative protein	Unclassified
16312_at	100	Σ	0.51	0.53	0.39	0.15	0.47	1.10	0.18	1.05	-Ъ		At4g12970	Putative protein	Unclassified
18755_at	100		1.05	0.79	0.33	0.40	0.68	1.38	1.02	1.90	-Р		At4g25780	Putative pathogenesis-related	Cell rescue, defense,
														protein	and virulence
20575_at	100		0.33	0.92	0.35	0.26	0.32	0.76	0.37	1.52	Ч		At4g32890	Putative protein	Transcription
12341_s_at	100	R	1.00	1.53	2.61	4.42	1.76	0.71	3.08 (0.76	4+	ms	At4g20110	Vacuolar sorting receptor-like	Protein fate
		1	0		0		0	0			2	-		protein	-
12500_s_at	001	M	7.80	0c.	on.c	4.8/	70.c	00.1) 48.0	c0.l	<u>~</u> +	a	At1621760	indole acetic acid-Ala nydrolase (IAR3)	Unclassified
12597_at	100	M	0.83	0.61	5.36	2.63	0.58	1.46	2.17	1.28	4 H		At2g22780	Putative glyoxysomal malate	Energy
	0	:		0	0		0	1		0	(-		dehydrogenase precursor	-
12880_at	001	I	2.18		2.80	5.33	2.02	c0.1	2.96 ().63	۲ + 1	w, d, g	At3g28930	AlG2-like protein	Unclassified
13004_at	100	Σ	1.39	2.16	6.39	3.20	4.12	9.54	2.90	4.71	+PKN	c, s	At2g17840	Putative senescence-associated protein 12	Unclassified
13230_at	100	Т	2.00	0.76	2.89	3.11	0.03	0.95	3.81	1.14	4+		At4g16190	Cys proteinase	Energy
13666_s_at	100	M	2.10	1.03	2.85	2.88	5.28	1.04	4.35	1.02	4 +		At2g04400	Putative Indole-3-glycerol	Metabolism
13695 at	100	W	1 37	0 94	3 77	2 76	0.83	1 34	1 70 1	87	d +	W	At3a70600	phosphate synthase Non-race-snecific disease	Cell rescue defense
	-			-	1			2		5		:	0000-000	resistance protein (NDR1)	and virilence
13934 <u>_g</u> at	100	M	2.58	1.81	2.53	3.43	3.03	2.38	5.32	1.71	4+		At4g17230	Scarecrow-like 13 (SCL 13)	Transcription
14016_s_at	100	Z	2.33	1.37	2.80	2.54	0.70	0.67	2.58	1.99	4 H	g, c	At1g30700	FAD-linked oxidoreductase family	Metabolism
															(Table Continues)

		al Category ^f		-	acilitation		-			-		ſ	ication		p	d	0			0 dafanca	ence		uc		1 dofonco	ence		, defense,	lence		acilitation	F	400	i defense.	ence	d	, detense, 'ence	le Continues)
		Function		Metabolisn	Transport fa	Metabolisn	Metabolisn	Metabolisn	Metabolisn	Metabolism		Metabolisn Cellular	commun	Energy	Unclassifie	Unclassifie	Protein fate		Metabolism	Cell rescrie	and virul	Metabolism	Transcriptic	-	Metabolism	and virul	Metabolism	Cell rescue	and virul		I ransport t.	Metabolisn	Cellular	Cell rescue	and virul	Unclassifie	Cell rescue and virul	(Tab
		AGLID ^e		Cytochrome P450-like protein	Hexose transporter-like protein	Putative glucosyltransferase	Tryptophan synthase α -chain	Putative glucosyltransferase	Osmotic stress-induced Pro	dehydrogenase Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1	component eta -subunit	Cinnamate-4-hydroxylase Ser-Thr kinase		Phosphoglycerate	denyarogenase Homogentisate 1,2-dioxygenase	Expressed protein	Putative protein disulfide	isomerase precursor	Unitathione S-transferase	Priytocnelatin synthase Pritative nemalode-resistance	natative inclusione - Legistance	Myrosinase-associated protein	Ethylene-responsive element-	binding factor 2 (EREB2)	Phospho-Ser aminotransferase	Neshiratory burst Oxidase protein	Sugar transporter like protein	Putative peroxidase	SDC1 lite erotoin		UIC-6-phosphate/phosphate- translocator precursor	Monooxygenase	Futative calcium binding protein	Cabr-22 Peroxidase, prxr2		Lectin-like protein	Peroxidase ATP24a	
				At4g37370	At5g26340	At2g30140	At3g54640	At2 g43 820	At3 g30775	At5g50850		At2g30490 At1g21250	D	At1g17740	At5g54080	At2g38860	At1g21750		At I gu 2 9 2 0	At7 640000	1112 510000	At1 g54010	At5g47220	D	At4g35630		At4g36670	At5g64120	A+1 x1 7030	AU 817 020	At1 g61 800	At4g15760	Atz 84 I U9U	At4ø37520		At3g15356	At5g39580	
		Other Stimuli ^d		o, c, lo, s	CC	CC	cc, d, g	I	g, c			CC					cc, sulf		8, IO, W	u Lo Lo Lo Lo Lo Lo Lo Lo Lo Lo Lo Lo Lo		S, C	w, e, cc			W, IU		lo			w, ms	lo		ms. Io		ms	ms	
		Specificity ^c		4+	4+	4-P	4+	4-P	+P	Ч +		Ч + РК		4+	4 +	4-P	4+	-	⊥ c + -	⊥ d + +	-	4 +	4+		4 -	Ē	4+	4+	ΠΗ	L (کے +	4 + -	<u>+</u>	4 H		4 +	<u>d</u> +	
	Plants	Starved or 28 h	2	59 0.58	72 0.92	14 0.80	38 1.44	56 1.27	75 0.36	9 1.84		09 0.64 55 2.11		38 0.66	3 1.15	50 0.85	15 1.05		29 0.34	3/ 1.04 4 0.47	F So	3 0.71	50 1.09		52 0.38 1 1 2 2	70.1)5 0.72	73 0.18	1 0 1E	04.0.40	J8 U.4/)2 0.49	1.94	19 0.46		14 0.59	9 0.29	
	Replete	or fe N		13 3.5	7.4 00	22 2.1	50 3.8	94 3.5	79 2.7)5 3.6		32 3.(35 5.6		3.4.6	58 1.5	95 2.5	21 2.1	c c	2.5 10 2.7 7.0	7. 5.5 7.7 1.0		53 2.5	76 5.5		52 3.6		57 4.0	48 5.7	2 O C E		18 3.(71 1.5	7.7 40	1 4.4	:	55 4.1	31 4.1	
	lutrient	tarved f 28 h	2	*8 0.4	38 1.0	1.2	38 1.5	0.0	0.7	11 2.0		9 0.5 9 4.3		70 1.(1 0.6	76 0.5	14 2.2	C		0 7 L U		14 0.6	2 0.7		47 0.6 • 1 1	-	3 0.6	2 0.4	C		× 0.	10 0.7 0.7	2.7 6	0.4	5	0.6	3.0 63	
	ve to N	or K Si	-	8 1.7	5 1.8	1 1.4	0 0.9	4 1.0	1 1.9	8 1.0		6 4.5 8 2.6		5 4.7	1.1.	7 1.7	2 1.4	((7.7 0	4 7 7 2 2	1	1 8.8	4 1.3		8 4.4 2 2 2 2	1. 1. 1.	2 1.4	1 0.1	7 2	- 0	0.0	6 2.3	0 	7 0.9		1 3.4	8 5.3	
	n Relati	arved fi 100 h	2	0 5.1	6 2.5	2 3.4	6 2.8	9 6.3	0 8.4	4 5.1		17 2.8 5 7.2		8 3.8	2 3.6	9 2.4	9 5.5	1 7 7	1 3.7	0.5.0 3.4.4		9 3.7	2 2.6		722.9 52.9		0 3.8	4 5.5	7 7	- 1	4 2.7	7 2.6	0.0	5 3.7		3 3.2	-6 2.8	
	pressio	or P St	-	7 6.3	7 6.0	9.3.6	0 5.5	17 2.6	4 2.6	0 3.1		93.8 42.8		13 5.C	6 2.7	13 2.7	0 3.0	1 - -	4.	υ υ υ υ υ	2	9 2.5	2 4.1		16 2.7 7 7 0	2.7 /	2 2.7	6 8.6	с с 1 1		4. 	3 2.7	0.4 0.7	8 7.3		6 5.2	8 3.4	
	Jene Ex	arved f. 28 h	2	3 1.3	2 1.1	3 1.4	8 1.3	6 2.0	1 1.2	8 1.1		2 1.2 6 2.2		2 1.9	0 0.7	0 1.0	8 1.1	0 0	4 c v. ⊂ v. c	2 5 2 5 2 5		5 1.8	4 0.7		6 1.3	יר ל	3 0.8	9 0.3	0 C C	2 C	2.0.2	4 1.7	<u>. </u>	7 1.6	:	9 0.9	5 0.4	
		n P St	-	1.8	2.5	1.8	2.3	2.6	2.6	1.6		2.8		2.5	1.0	1.2	0.6	c 7		7 . -	<u>.</u>	2.3	1.7		1.8	C.7	1.8	6.2	0	0.4	4.	1.5	0.1	3.0		2.2	3.0	
		Expressio Level ^b		N	Т	Σ	Т	Σ	Т	Т		ΙI		Τ	Σ	Τ	Σ	-	E	2 2		I	Σ		ΙŻ		Σ	Ι	NA NA		Σ	I	E	Ι		I	Σ	
tinued		Time Point		100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100 100		100	100	100	100	007	100		200-	100	100		100	001	100	100	100	100	001	100	001	100	0	100	100	
Table II. Con		GeneChip ID ^a		14032_at	14116_at	14614_at	14672_at	14978_at	15124_at	15156_at		15195_s_at 15616_s_at	1	15629_s_at	15656_at	15866_s_at	15976_at		16033_1_at	160//at 16440at	0110-01	16493 at	16609_at		17104_s_at	1/ 100_41	17207_at	17413_s_at	17484 34	1/404_dl	1///b_at	17877_g_at	1/91/_s_at	17930 s at		18228_at	18946_at	

Table II. Con	ntinued														
			Ŭ	ene Exp	ression	Relative	to Nutrie	ent Repl	ete Plan	ıts					
GeneChip ID ^a	Time Point	Expression Level ^b	P Sta for 2	arved 28 h	P St for	arved 100 h	K Starv i 28	ed for h	N Sta for 2	urved 28 h	Specificity ^c	Other Stimuli ^d		AGI ID ^e	Functional Category ^f
				2		2	-	2		2					
19373_at	100	Σ	0.77	1.67	2.71	3.46	0.80	1.09	2.55	2.16	4+		At2g29670	Expressed protein	Unclassified
19614_at	100	Т	2.78	2.05	3.28	3.77	3.62	0.15	0.68	0.23	4		At1g09500	Putative cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase	Metabolism
19640_at	100	Т	2.97	1.60	4.31	3.81	3.39	0.54	3.39	0.29	+P	ms	At2g29460	Putative glutathione S-transferase	Metabolism
19704_i_at	100	Т	4.82	1.23	3.98	4.42	1.77	1.09	4.94	1.49	4- -	ms	At5g24160	Squalene monooxygenase 1,2 (squalene epoxidase 1,2)	Metabolism
19843_at	100	Σ	2.84	0.92	3.11	3.19	2.16	1.16	3.69	2.14	4+		At1g61890	Expressed protein	Transport facilitation
19844_at	100	Σ	1.13	0.69	2.57	3.60	1.79	0.72	2.52	0.63	4+	~	At4g38540	Monooxygenase 2 (MO2)	Metabolism
19991_at	100	I	1.00	0.95	6.61	2.66	0.50	0.58	1.77	0.47	4	00	At2 g3 5980	Similar to harpin-induced protein hin1 from tobacco	Cell rescue, defense, and virulence
20344_at	100	Σ	0.93	1.72	2.88	2.79	1.20	2.02	1.02	1.74	4+		At2g15090	Putative fatty acid elongase	Metabolism
20442_i_at	100	Т	0.97	0.75	2.63	2.87	1.49	0.32	1.52	0.74	+P		At1g16410	Putative cytochrome P450 protein	Metabolism
^a Affymetri)	<pre>< probe s</pre>	set number.		Jualitat	ive est	imates c	of absolu	ite gene	expre	ession, o	classified as	low (L, Aff	ymetrix signa	al values < 150), medium (M), and hig	gh (H, Affymetrix signal
values > 800). ^c T ₁	reatments for	r which	h genes	s were	differen	tially exp	pressed	2.5-fo	ld in tw	o biologica)	replicates.	(+), Genes	whose expression has increased; (–), G	Genes whose expression
has decreased	l, d	ienes whose	expres	ssion re	spond	s to aux	in (a); al	bscisic	acid (a	ub); bras	ssinosteroids	; (b); cold (e	c); cell-cycle	regulated (cc); drought (d); ethylene (e	e); gravity (g); high light
(hl); heat shoc	k (hs); lo	w oxygen (lc	o); meci	hanica	l stimu	li (ms); s	salinity (s	s); sulfu	r defici	iency (s	ulf); and wo	unding and	/or pathogen	attack (w); see text for references.	^e AGI numbers and brief
descriptions c	if the tran	script identi	ified by	BLAST	^r search	hing and	d from th	ie desci	iptions	provid	ed by Ghass	emian et al	. (2002).	^f Functional categories for genes were i	identified using the AGI
number to sea	arch the	MIPS databa	ase (http	p://mip.	s.gsf.d€	e/proj/th	al/db/; 2	8/11/0.	2), whe	ere no o	category hac	l been expe	erimentally d	letermined the category with the highes	st probability score was
used.															

At5g50850). A further late gene, whose expression responded solely to P withdrawal, encoded a scarecrow-like transcription factor (At4g17230; SCL13). Because increased expression of late genes was sustained and their up-regulation occurred as tissue P declined but before growth was compromised, their promoters would be suitable for smart plant technologies.

The Functions of Genes Regulated by P Starvation

The functional categories of the gene products whose expression was altered by P starvation were obtained from the Munich Information on Protein Sequences (MIPS) database using their AGI identifier (Tables I and II). When no category was assigned by functional characterization, the most probable category based on sequence homology was assigned. Approximately 20% to 30% of both the early and late P response gene products were assigned no known function (Fig. 3), which is comparable with the 38% of the 8,100 gene products represented on the Affymetrix GeneChip whose function is unknown.

The early gene products (Table I) were dominated by those involved in metabolism (31%) and in cell rescue and defense (20%). Of the 20 metabolism genes, 13 were involved in primary metabolic functions, such as amino acid metabolism and carbohydrate metabolism, and seven were involved in the production of secondary metabolites involved in plant defense, such as alkaloids and phenylpropanoids. The gene products involved in cell rescue and defense included five chitinases, four peroxidases, a PR-1-like protein, and a tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) hin-1 homolog. Other significant functions of the early gene products included transcription (6%), protein fate (3%), cellular communication (2%), and transport facilitation (2%).

There were nine gene products common to both early and late responses. These included a cytochrome P450 (At4g37370), two glutathione-Stransferases (At1g02920, At2g29460), a FAD-linked (At1g30700), two peroxidases oxidoreductase (At5g64120 and At4g37520), the tobacco hin-1 homolog (At2g35980), and a lectin (At3g15356). Curiously, the expression of no chitinase or endochitinase genes remained elevated 100 h after P withdrawal. In a way similar to the early gene products, roles in metabolism (33%) and cell rescue and defense (16%) dominated the late gene products (Table II). At this time, however, the number of gene products involved in secondary metabolism (11) was greater than those involved in primary metabolism (10). The gene products involved in cell rescue and defense included three peroxidases, a non-race-specific disease resistance protein (NDR1), a putative nematoderesistance protein, thionin, and a respiratory burst oxidase protein. A greater proportion of the late gene products was involved in transcription (8%), protein Hammond et al.

Figure 3. The MIPS functional categories of genes whose expression had changed early (4 h; Table I) or late (28 or 100 h; Table II) after the withdrawal of P from 28-d-old hydroponically grown Arabidopsis. The AGI number for each gene was used to identify its functional category from the MIPS database (http://mips.gsf.de/proj/thal/db/tables/tables_func_frame.html). When no functional category was given by MIPS, the first predicted category identified in PENDANT was used.



fate (5%), cellular communication (6%), and transport facilitation (5%). Interestingly, the identity of these genes differed between 4 and 100 h after P withdrawal (Tables I and II). For example, the expression of five transcription factors (three zinc finger proteins, a heat shock transcription factor, and a blue copperbinding protein) was up-regulated 4 h after P withdrawal, but the expression of two other transcription factors (for SCL13 and EREB2) was up-regulated, and three other transcription factors (IAA5, a SUPERMANlike protein, and a putative transcription factor protein) were down-regulated 100 h after P withdrawal. Because auxin orchestrates plant morphology, it is noteworthy that four of the 12 genes whose expression was down-regulated after 100 h of P starvation were potentially auxin regulated.

Verifying the Temporal Expression Patterns of Target Genes

To confirm the gene expression patterns observed using microarray technology, quantitative PCR was performed. The expression of several genes with potentially contrasting magnitude and relative changes in expression during P starvation was monitored (Table III). Genes with contrasting levels of expression that responded to the withdrawal of P but not K or N were chosen, which were identified as up-regulated (At4g12470) or down-regulated (At1g10060) solely at the 4-h time point, up-regulated (At2g22780; At5g26340; At1g17740; At4g35630; At1g61800) or down-regulated (At2g18890) solely at the 100-h time point, or up-regulated at both the 4- and 28-h time points (At2g02990) in the microarray analyses. The expression of two genes previously identified as being up-regulated immediately (IPS1; Martín et al., 2000) or slowly (SQD1, At4g33030; Essigmann et al., 1998) and specifically to P starvation were also included as positive controls in the quantitative PCR assays. The rRNA 18S gene was used to quantitate transcript abundance.

In general, the results from the quantitative PCR experiments confirmed the changes in gene expression after the withdrawal of P observed using microarray technology (Table III). The temporal changes in gene expression after P withdrawal followed similar patterns of change in experiments using microarrays and quantitative PCR, but the magnitude and kinetics of these changes apparently differed. This difference may be a consequence of using different biological samples, contrasting primers, or normalizing data to different genes. Most of the discrepancies between experiments performed using microarrays and quantitative PCR occurred at the 4-h time point. This probably reflects biological variability and may be a consequence of the general nature of any initial shock response to an environmental perturbation (Desikan et al., 2001; Fowler and Thomashow, 2002; Kreps et al., 2002). Data were more consistent at later time points, which was presumably because plant physiology responded specifically to P starvation. Both techniques indicated a decrease in the expression of *LEA76* (At1g10060) and the *pEARLI 1-like* (At4g12470) gene as shoot P concentration declined and indicated an up-regulation of RNS1 (At2g02990) after P withdrawal. The same temporal changes in the expression of the protein kinase (At2g18890) gene were observed using both microarrays and quantitative PCR techniques. With the exception of glycosomal malate dehydrogenase (At2g22780), whose expression was apparently reduced at the 28-h time point according to the microarray data, and the hexose transporter gene (At5g26340), whose expression was apparently reduced at the 100-h time point according to quantitative PCR, the expression of all up-regulated late

Genes withdraw	were selected al of P from hy	to have con droponcally	trasting ma grown 28-	gnitudes (low, L; medium, M; or d-old Arabidopsis for 4, 28, and	r high, H) and change in gene 100 h. The primers used for q	expression v uantitative P0	vhen assayed CR are indic	d using Affyn ated. –, not c	netrix Gene(determined.	Chips in resp	onse to the
						Mean-Fol	d Change on Ge	eneChip	Fold Cha	nge for Quantita	ive PCR
Level	Analysis	lD	AGI ID	Forward Primer	Reverse Primer	P Starved for 4 h	P Starved for 28 h	P Starved for 100 h	P Starved for 4 h	P Starved for 28 h	P Starved for 100 h
_	Down at 4 h	20518_at	At1g10060	AATAGAGGGGATGAAAGC	ATGAACAGAAGGAGAAATGC	0.34	1.23	0.44	1.00	0.78	0.20
Т	Up at 4 h	17963_at	AT4g12470	CCTCTCTTGCTCTTTCTTTG	GGGACTGGCTTTGGTTTAG	14.15	1.43	0.96	0.14	1.78	0.01
Т	Up at 4 + 28 h	17014_s_at	At2g02990	CTTGCCTTCTGTCTTCTCTG	GGATAACACACTTCTTCTGTG	31.73	4.77	1.88	3.33	11.41	8.45
Σ	Down at 100 h	1 4048_at	At2g18890	GGATAACAAGAGGAGGAAGAGATG	CAACAACCAAGAAGAGACAAGAC	0.58	0.82	0.26	0.97	1.50	0.42
Σ	Up at 100 h	12597_at	At2g22780	GCTTCCCTTCTTCGCATC	GCCTTCTCTAATCCCATCCTC	1.57	0.72	4.00	1.52	1.79	1.54
Т	Up at 100 h	14116_at	At5g26340	GCCGTTCCGTTGTTCTTG	CTTGGCGGTCCCATAGTTG	2.35	1.85	4.31	0.32	4.45	0.77
Ξ	Up at 100 h	15629_s_at	At1g17740	GAAGGGGGGGGTTGAAAGTGG	CACCGTATTAGCCGTTGGAG	3.74	2.22	4.47	0.29	4.79	3.23
Т	Up at 100 h	17104_s_at	At4g35630	ACACGCCTCCTTGCTTTG	GCTTTCCTCTGGTTCTTCTTCTC	2.01	1.61	2.85	0.60	5.45	3.08
X	Up at 100 h	17775_at	At1g61800	AAGAAAGGGATGAAAGGGAAG	CACGGCAATAGAAAATGGAG	2.74	1.13	3.42	1.11	19.76	5.14
I	I	Not present	18s rRNA	CATAAACGATGCCGACCAG	AGCCTTGCGACCATACTCC				1.13	1.25	1.15
I	I	Not Present	IPS1	AGGGGATGGCCTAAATACAAAATG	GGGAGATAAACAAAACTCG-				0.89	14.68	1143.05
					CAGTC						
I	I	Not Present	SQD1	CACCACCCGAAACATCTACC	ACCGCAATAACCATCTCCAC				0.68	2.1	4.5

Gene Expression during Phosphate Starvation

genes showed increased expression both 28 and 100 h after P withdrawal when assayed by either technique.

Proving the Smart Plant Concept

Promoters for genes that are expressed early enough in response to P starvation to enable remedial fertilizer application (< 100 h after withdrawing P), but whose expression is not affected by transient vagaries in environmental conditions (< 4 h after withdrawing P) or by other stimuli are suitable for the generation of smart plants. One such gene is SQD1 (Table III), a gene involved in sulfolipid biosynthesis, originally isolated from a cDNA library with probes homologous to the bacterial *sqdB* genes by Essigmann et al. (1998). Expression of this gene is increased when Arabidopsis are grown under P-limiting conditions (Essigmann et al., 1998) and also when P is withdrawn from Arabidopsis growing hydroponically (Table III). To generate a smart plant, we isolated the promoter for SQD1 through inverse PCR of genomic DNA using nested primers to the published cDNA sequence (AF022082).

Transgenic Arabidopsis harboring constructs containing the promoter for *SQD1* driving the expression of a *GUS* marker gene showed a gradual increase in leaf GUS activity after P withdrawal (Fig. 4). No GUS activity was observed 20 h before the withdrawal of P. Four hours after P was withdrawn, GUS activity had increased noticeably and appeared to remain constant as plants approached incipient P



Figure 4. The activity of GUS in the leaves of two independent transgenic Arabidopsis lines bearing constructs containing the *GUS* marker gene under the control of the promoter sequence for the P-sensitive gene, *SQD1*. Plants were grown hydroponically and fully expanded leaves were harvested 20 h before and 4, 28, 100, and 220 h after withdrawing P. Excised leaves were vacuum infiltrated with staining solution containing 520 mg L⁻¹ 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl β -D-glucopyranoside and incubated overnight at 37°C. To assist the visualization of the blue product, chlorophyll was removed from leaves by stepwise replacement of the staining solution with ethanol and mounted in a solution containing 50% (v/v) glycerol. The transgenic lines were GUS13/4 (top) and GUS22/1 (bottom).

deficiency (28–100 h). A further marked increase in GUS activity was observed 220 h after the withdrawal of P. These observations demonstrate the potential for smart plant technology based on the *SQD1::GUS* construct to monitor plant P status.

DISCUSSION

Changes in Gene Expression after P Withdrawal

Changes in gene expression in Arabidopsis shoots during P starvation assayed using microarray technology (Tables I and II) were confirmed using quantitative PCR techniques (Table III). When P was withheld from Arabidopsis plants growing hydroponically, changes in gene expression occurred that were either specific to P starvation or common to a variety of environmental perturbations (Tables I and II). The expression of 60 genes was transiently up-regulated 4 h after withdrawing P. Many of these genes are ubiquitous "shock" response genes up-regulated by various pathogens and environmental perturbations (Table I; Desikan et al., 2001; Fowler and Thomashow, 2002; Kreps et al., 2002). Several of the genes transiently up-regulated 4 h after withdrawing P are involved in cell rescue and defense (Table I; Fig. 3), which is consistent with the common horticultural observation that plants subject to a mild P stress are less susceptible to pathogens. These included five chitinases, four peroxidases, a PR-1-like protein, which may be induced by peroxidase activity (Mackerness et al., 2001), and a homolog of a harpininduced gene (hin-1) in tobacco. Harpin has been shown to induce peroxidase activity (Wang and Liu, 1999). Other genes that are up-regulated by oxidative stress were also transiently up-regulated 4 h after withdrawing P (Table I; Desikan et al., 2001). These included a cytochrome P450 (At4g37370), a C2H2-type zinc finger protein (Att5g04340), and a blue copper-binding protein (At5g20230; Table I). It is noteworthy that phosphate deficiency has been shown to induce the production of reactive oxygen species (Gonzàlez-Meler et al., 2001). Interestingly, the expression of the alternative oxidase 1a precursor (At3g22370) was up-regulated 100 h after P withdrawal, with 2.2- and 3.2-fold changes in the two replicate experiments. This may reduce the production of harmful reactive oxygen species by increasing electron transport through the alternative oxidase pathway.

Surprisingly, the expression of only four genes differed between nutrient-replete plants and plants starved of P for 28 h (Table II). This lull in differential gene expression has also been observed in the time course of changes in gene expression after other environmental perturbations (Reymond et al., 2000; Kreps et al., 2002; Ramonell et al., 2002) and may demarcate the progression from an initial common shock response to a more specific response associated with each perturbation. One hundred hours after withdrawing P, the expression of 49 genes was greater in plants starved of P than in nutrient-replete plants.

Many of these genes appeared to be specific for P starvation, and several are involved in P metabolism (Table II). Curiously, the expression of none of the five genes present on the Affymetrix GeneChip previously found to be up-regulated by P starvation in Arabidopsis (At2g02990, RNS1; At2g32830, Pht1;5; At3g26570, *PHT2*;1; At5g43350, *Pht1*;1; and At2g27190, *PAP12*) was classified as up-regulated 100 h after withdrawing P by the criteria employed here. However, the expression of RNS1 (At2g02990), which is up-regulated during P starvation in Arabidopsis leaves (Bariola et al., 1994), was observed to be significantly up-regulated after 4 h (Table I) and 28 h (7.27- and 2.27-fold increases in the two replicate experiments) of P starvation and marginally up-regulated after 100 h of P starvation (2.69- and 1.07-fold increases in the two replicate experiments). Genes for the phosphate transporters Pht1;5 (At2g32830) and PHT2;1 (At3g26570) are also expressed in the shoots of Arabidopsis and show increased expression in P-starved plants (Daram et al., 1999; Mudge et al., 2002; Versaw and Harrison, 2002). Unfortunately, transcripts of *Pht1;5* could not be detected in our study, but the expression of *PHT2*;1 was increased in one of the replicate experiments 100 h after the withdrawing P (0.76- and 2.87-fold changes in the two replicate experiments). The gene Pht1;1 phosphate transporter encoding the (At5g43350) appears to be only expressed in Arabidopsis roots (Muchhal et al., 1996; Mudge et al., 2002), and consequently, no transcripts for this gene were detected in our study. The expression of PAP12 (At2g27190), which encodes a purple acid phosphatase, has been shown to increase in Arabidopsis suspension cells starved of P (Li et al., 2002). In our experiments the expression of PAP12 was marginally increased 100 h after withdrawing P in shoots of Arabidopsis (2.76- and 1.76-fold increases in the two replicate experiments), which is in agreement with the data presented by Li et al. (2002).

Plant P Status Can Be Monitored Using Smart Plants

Smart plants can be used to assay crop P status. They can be genetically engineered in transgenic plants by harnessing a phosphate-sensitive promoter to the expression of a gene encoding a visible marker. Typical marker genes that could be used are GUS or green fluorescent protein. Detection of the visible marker in the leaves of a smart plant would indicate a physiological P stress and the need for fertilizer application. It is important that the expression of the marker gene responds solely to P and increases as tissue P concentration declines but before P starvation affects plants growth. In the experiments described here, the shoot P concentration of Arabidopsis plants had decreased without an effect on growth between 24 and 72 h after P withdrawal (Fig. 1). Promoters for genes whose expression is increased during this time period are suitable for smart plant technology. One such gene is *SQD1* (Table III; Essigmann et al., 1998).

The smart plant concept was tested using the promoter from the gene SQD1 to control the expression of the GUS marker gene. It was observed that GUS expression in leaves of transgenic Arabidopsis bearing the SQD1::GUS construct increased after the withdrawal of P (Fig. 4) and that an increase could be detected before P starvation affected plant growth. However, because the GUS activity continued to increase with acute P starvation, smart plants based on the *SQD1::GUS* construct would require careful calibration. In practice, a grower may also need to know whether P stress had been relieved after remedial P fertilization. Therefore, it would be necessary for the expression of any marker gene in a smart plant to return rapidly to basal levels after the application of P. This was not investigated in the present study, but the responsiveness of SQD1 promoter activity to remedial P fertilization is under investigation. In addition, the marker gene product itself would need to have a rapid turnover time that would allow the grower to determine quickly whether remedial P fertilization had been successful. A maximal half-life on the order of a few days is probably necessary.

The Identification of cis-Regulatory Elements in the Promoters of P-Responsive Genes

It is possible that promoters for other P-responsive genes might be used for smart plant technologies. Because P stress can be induced by a variety of factors and because many different signaling and metabolic pathways are implicated, it might be wise to identify a suite of promoters responding differentially to P starvation to allow plant P stress to be monitored in all environments. These promoters could be stacked in a single construct in which each controlled the expression of a different marker gene, for example other colored or fluorescent proteins, such as yellow fluorescent protein, cyan fluorescent protein, and red fluorescent proteins (Lansford et al., 2001; Visser et al., 2002), in a single smart plant. To identify cis-regulatory elements in the promoters of P-responsive genes that may be involved in the upregulation of gene expression in P-starved plants, we searched for common sequences contained within the promoters of the genes whose expression changed either early or late in response to P withdrawal (Table IV) using the GeneSpring program (Silicon Genetics, Redwood City, CA). These sequences may act as cis-regulatory elements binding a common transcription factor. Two novel sequences were present significantly (P < 0.05) more frequently in the promoters of genes up-regulated early in response to P withdrawal than in the promoters of all genes represented on the Affymetrix GeneChip (Table IV). The first promoter consensus sequence (CGCGTGGG) had similarities to a PHO element (Mukatira et al., 2001), and the

second promoter consensus sequence (TATAAATA) had similarities to TATA box elements (Rossel et al., 2002). The promoters of three genes (At2g02930, At4g25810, and At1g30720) that were up-regulated early in response to P withdrawal contained both putative cis-regulatory elements. The promoter regions of several genes whose expression changed in P-starved plants in our experiments also contained cis-regulatory elements previously identified as common to genes whose expression responds to P starvation (Mukatira et al., 2001; Rubio et al., 2001; Table IV). However, only the PHO element CACGT(G/C)was present slightly more often in the promoters of genes up-regulated in response to P withdrawal than in the promoters of all genes represented on the Affymetrix GeneChip. The four other cis-regulatory elements we surveyed occurred at a similar frequency in the genes we identified as responding to P withdrawal as in the regulatory sequences of all genes on the Affymetrix GeneChip (Table IV). Other cis-regulatory elements in promoters that respond to P starvation might be identified as the targets of transcription factors whose expression is increased by P stress (Tables I and II; Rubio et al., 2001).

Potential for Smart Plants

Smart plants can be used as a basic research tool as well as for more applied ends. In the laboratory, smart plants could be used (a) after mutation of the transgenic lines to identify components of signaling cascades impacting on nutrient-specific gene regulation (Martín et al., 2000), (b) in conjunction with a screen of mutants or ecotypes, to identify plants with enhanced nutrient use efficiency, which could be used in breeding programs, (c) to investigate the role of root and/or soil structure in the acquisition of P from soils with low P availability, and (d) as experimental material to assist and ratify computer predictions for the application of P fertilizers on crop P status. Transferring smart plant technology to crops would provide a rapid bioassay for P availability to plants in situations where existing chemical tests provide unclear results (Smethurst, 2000). Thus, sentinel plants monitoring the P status of crops will allow efficient temporal and spatial applications of P fertilizer and further the development of decision-making systems for precision farming. Ultimately, these will lower costs, reduce pollution, and enhance biodiversity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and Growth Conditions

Seeds of Arabidopsis accession Col-5 were obtained from the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre (N1644; Nottingham, UK). Seeds were washed in 70% (v/v) ethanol-water, rinsed in distilled water, and surface sterilized using 50% (v/v) domestic bleach-water. Seeds were then rinsed and imbibed for 3 to 5 d at 4° C in sterile distilled water to break dormancy. After imbibition seeds were sown in un-vented polycarbonate culture

After imbibition, seeds were sown in un-vented, polycarbonate culture boxes (Broadley et al., 2001). Seedlings were grown aseptically for 21 d on

perforated black polycarbonate discs (diameter 91 mm) placed on 75 mL of 0.8% (w/v) agar containing 1% (w/v) Suc and a basal salt mix (Murashige and Skoog, 1962). Roots grew into the agar, but shoots remained on the opposite side of the disc. Seedlings were grown with a 16-h photoperiod at a constant temperature of 24°C. Illumination was provided by a bank of 100-W 84 fluorescent tubes (Philips, Eindhoven, Netherlands) giving a photon flux density between 400 and 700 nm of 50 to 80 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ at plant height.

After 21 d, plants were transferred, still on polycarbonate discs, to a hydroponics system situated in a Saxcil growth cabinet (S.K. Saxton Ltd., ARC Works, Cheshire, UK). Plants were grown with a 16-h photoperiod at a temperature of 24°C during the day and 16°C at night. Illumination was provided by a bank of OSRAM L 58 W/23 fluorescent tubes (OSRAM, Langley, UK) giving a photon flux density between 400 and 700 nm of 75 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ at plant height. Relative humidity was approximately 80%. Each polycarbonate disc containing plants was placed on a light-proof 500-mL beaker over 450 mL of aerated complete nutrient solution (pH 5.6) containing: 8.0 mm $\rm NO_3^{-}, 4.025~mm~Ca^{2+}, 0.764~mm~SO_4^{-2-},$ 0.75 mм K⁺, 0.75 mм Mg²⁺, 0.25 mм H₂PO₄²⁻, 0.1 mм FeNaEDTA, 0.05 mм Cl^{-} , 0.03 mm $H_2BO_3^{-}$, 0.01 mm Mn^{2+} , 0.001 mm Na^+ , 0.001 mm Zn^{2+} , 0.003 $\rm mm~Cu^{2+}$, and 0.0005 $\rm mm~MoO_4^{2-}.$ Nutrient solution was recirculated using a peristaltic pump at a flow rate of 30 mL min⁻¹ (M045 OEM peristaltic pump, Autoclude, Essex, UK) through four beakers and a central reservoir of 6 L. Four hydroponic units could be operated simultaneously. Nutrient solutions were replaced twice a week. Plants were grown hydroponically for 7 d in complete nutrient solution before experimentation.

Analysis of Plant Growth and Mineral Content

To determine the effects of removing the P, K, or N supply on shoot growth and mineral content, the complete nutrient solution was replaced with nutrient solutions lacking these elements. These are referred to as -P, -K, and -N solutions. In solutions lacking P, KH₂PO₄ was replaced with KH₂SO₄ to maintain potassium levels. In solutions lacking K, KH₂PO₄ and KOH were replaced with Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ to maintain phosphate levels. In solutions lacking N, Ca(NO₃)₂ was replaced with CaSO₄ to maintain calcium levels.

In the first experiment, which was repeated three times, plants were harvested 1, 3, 8, and 11 d after P was removed from the nutrient solution. At each harvest, the fresh weights of the shoots of 25 plants were determined. The shoot material was then dried at 80°C for 48 h, and the dry weights of individual shoots were determined. Shoot P, K, and N contents were determined from bulked samples of 25 plants. To determine P and K, samples were ashed overnight at 490°C, and the ash was dissolved in 1 mL of nitric acid and 5 mL of water. Solutions were filtered through No. 5 paper (Whatman, Kent, UK) and analyzed for P and K by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrophotometry (J Y Horiba Ultima 2 ICP-OES,

Jobin Yvon, Middlesex, UK). To determine N, an aliquot of the bulked sample was loaded directly into a combustion analyzer (CN 2000, LECO UK, Stockport, Cheshire, UK) and analyzed for percentage of N via an internal thermal conductivity detector.

In the second experiment, which was also repeated three times, plant material was harvested 1, 3, 8, and 11 d after removing N or K from the nutrient solution and also in nutrient-replete plants. Shoot fresh and dry weights and shoot P, K, and N contents were determined as described above.

Profiling Gene Expression under Nutrient Starvation

To determine changes in gene expression in response to phosphate starvation, the complete nutrient solution was replaced by -P nutrient solution 4 h before the midpoint of the light-period 28 d after sowing. Shoot material was harvested 4, 28, and 100 h after the phosphate supply was removed, mid-way through the light period. Shoot material from control plants supplied with complete nutrient solution was also harvested at these time points and also 20 h before P starvation.

To identify genes up-regulated specifically in response to P starvation, rather than as a nonspecific response to mineral deficiency, the complete nutrient solution was replaced by -K or -N nutrient solutions. Again, the nutrient solutions were changed 4 h before the midpoint of the light period, and shoot material was harvested 28 h after K or N was removed, mid-way through the light period.

At each harvest, shoot material from eight to 12 plants from each treatment was bulked into 1.5-mL colorless, sterile, screw-cap polypropylene tubes and was snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Tissue samples were stored at -70° C before the extraction of total RNA. The entire experiment was performed twice.

Extraction of Total RNA

Tissue samples, previously stored at -70°C, were placed in liquid nitrogen before grinding. To each sample, 1 mL of TRIzol reagent was added, and total RNA was subsequently extracted according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Paisley, UK). Due to the high content of proteoglycans and polysaccharides in plant material, the following modifications were made: (a) After homogenization with the TRIzol reagent, the samples were centrifuged to remove any remaining plant material. The supernatant was then transferred to a clean Eppendorf tube. (b) To aid precipitation of RNA from the aqueous phase, 0.25 mL of isopropanol and 0.25 mL of 1.2 M NaCl solution containing 0.8 M sodium citrate were added. This procedure precipitates the RNA while maintaining the proteoglycans and polysaccharides in a soluble form. Samples of total RNA were then sent to AROS Applied Biotechnology (Aarhus, Denmark)

Table IV. The occurrence of novel cis-regulatory elements in the promoters of genes whose expression changed early or late in response to *P* withdrawal in the experiments reported here (Tables I and II) and cis-regulatory elements previously identified as common to genes whose expression responded to *P* starvation

The occurrence of these cis regulatory elements in the promoters of all genes on the Affymetrix GeneChip are given for comparison. -, not determined.

		N	o. of Genes	with Elem	nent Upstrea	m of Start Co	odon	
Element Name	Element Sequence	E P-Res G	arly ponsive enes	L P-Res G	ate sponsive enes	Affyn Gene	netrix Chip	Reference
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Novel elements								
PHO-like	C(G/T/A)(C/T/A)GTGG	18	32.1	-	-	588	7.1	_
TATA box-like	ΤΑΤΑΑΑΤΑ	20	35.7	-	-	753	9.1	_
P-responsive elements								
PHR1-binding site	GNATATNC	10	16.7	9	17.6	1498	18.2	Rubio et al. (2001)
PHO element	CACGT(G/C)	17	28.3	16	31.4	1574	19.1	Mukatira et al. (2001)
	ATGCCAT	1	1.7	2	3.9	349	4.2	Mukatira et al. (2001)
Helix-loop-helix	CA(T/G)(A/C)TG	32	53.3	23	45.1	4431	53.7	Mukatira et al. (2001)
NIT 2	TATC(A/T)(A/T)	42	70.0	36	70.6	6296	76.3	Mukatira et al. (2001)

Plant Physiol. Vol. 132, 2003

for labeling and Arabidopsis GeneChip analysis (Affymetrix Inc., Santa Clara, CA).

Microarray Data Analysis

All data manipulations were performed using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA). Signal values (indicating the relative abundance of a particular transcript) and detection call values (indicating the probability that a particular transcript is present) were generated by Microarray Analysis Suite 5.0 software (Affymetrix Inc., Santa Clara, CA). Transcripts called "Absent" in both experiments were removed from subsequent analyses. Differences in transcript abundance were expressed as -fold changes, which were calculated as the ratio of signal values in different experiments or treatments. A difference in transcript abundance of 2.5-fold was taken as indicating a difference in gene expression. It has been estimated by challenging Arabidopsis GeneChips with identical RNA samples that the frequency of false calls (differences in transcript abundance 2.5-fold) due to technical reasons approximates 0.04% to 0.2% (Fowler and Thomashow, 2002; Zhu et al., 2001). A preliminary comparison of the expression data from identical experiments undertaken here indicated that the frequency of false calls due to biological/experimental variation was higher than this and was greatest at low signal values. For this reason, and following the precedent of Zhu et al. (2001), all signal values < 20 were floored to 20. The frequency of false calls in the two replicate experiments reported here was estimated to be 3% (n = 8 comparisons) per experiment.

The AGI numbers for transcripts present on the Affymetrix Arabidopsis GeneChip were cross-referenced against probe sets on the GeneChip to remove duplicates. The annotation of transcripts was confirmed using the AGI and GenBank numbers given by Affymetrix. The target nucleotide sequences used by Affymetrix to design probes for the GeneChip (Available from http://www.affymetrix.com) were used to BLAST the GenBank sequence database to confirm the identity of the assayed transcript. A similar confirmation of probe fidelity has been undertaken independently by Ghassemian et al. (2001).

The identification of cis-regulatory elements (five to 10 bases, with one or no single nucleotide discrepancies) that occurred with a greater frequency within the 10 to 1,000 bases upstream of genes whose expression increased early or late after the withdrawal of P in our study, compared with the regulatory sequences contained upstream of all genes on the Affymetrix GeneChip (*P* value of 0.05), was performed by the GeneSpring subprogram "Find potential regulatory sequences" (Silicon Genetics, Redwood City, CA). The occurrence of cis-regulatory elements previously identified as common to genes whose expression responds to P starvation (Mukatira et al., 2001; Rubio et al., 2001) was also determined using GeneSpring in the 10 to 1,000 bases upstream of genes whose expression increased early or late after the withdrawal of P in our study and of all genes present on the Affymetrix GeneChip.

Generating Smart Plants

Primers were designed to *SQD1* based on its published cDNA sequence (GenBank accession no. AF022082). These consisted of an initial pair of primers (CCCTTCAGTTATCTCACTTAGCAG and AAGCTGAAAGTA-GATGCGCCAT) to perform inverse PCR (IPCR) on circularized genomic DNA (Collins and Weissman, 1984) followed by a second "nested" pair of primers (ACT<u>AAGCTT</u>CAGCAGCAGCA and GCGCCATTTTA<u>AAGCTT</u>T-GTTC). The second pair was adjusted to incorporate the underlined *Hind*III sites. Promoter fragments for *SQD1* of approximately 0.8 kb (with DNA cut using *Pst*I) and 3.5 kb (with DNA cut using *Hind*III) were obtained by IPCR techniques. On the first pass IPCR, no apparent major bands were present, but on a re-PCR with the nested primers (from the purified products of the first-pass IPCR) strong individual bands were obtained. Their sequences corresponded to both the published cDNA sequences for *SQD1* (BAC F26P21/AL031804).

A 1.6-kb region upstream of the *SQD1* gene was inserted into the pMOG24 plasmid (Mogen International, Leiden, The Netherlands) upstream of the *GUS* marker gene. The pMOG24 plasmid was then transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* C58 pGV3850 via electroporation (Wei-jun and Forde, 1989) and then into Arabidopsis ecotype Col-5 by vacuum infiltration (Bechtold et al., 1993). Seeds from transformed plants

were imbibed and surface sterilized before being sown on 0.8% (w/v) agar, containing 1% (w/v) Suc, 50 mg L⁻¹ kanamycin, and a basal salt mix (Murashige and Skoog, 1962). Twenty-four transformed lines were driven to homozygosity over three generations through selection on agar containing kanamycin.

To determine changes in GUS activity in untransformed and transgenic plants bearing the SQD1::GUS construct in response to phosphate starvation, fully expanded leaves of hydroponically growing plants were removed 20 h before and 4, 28, 100, and 220 h after complete nutrient solution was replaced by -P nutrient solution 28 d after sowing. Excised leaves were vacuum infiltrated with staining solution containing 520 mg L^{-1} 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl β -D-glucopyranoside (Melford Laboratories, Ipswich, Suffolk, UK), 1.32 g L⁻¹ potassium ferricyanide, 100 mg L⁻¹ chloramphenicol, 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100, and 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and were incubated overnight at 37°C. To assist the visualization of the blue product, chlorophyll was removed from leaves by stepwise replacement of the staining solution with 10%, 30%, 50%, and finally 100% (v/v) ethanol. Leaves were kept in solutions containing 10%, 30%, and 50% (v/v) ethanol for 2 h and in 100% ethanol overnight. Leaves were transferred to a solution containing 50% (v/v) glycerol for mounting.

Quantitative PCR

Reverse transcription was performed on 1 μ g of total RNA, isolated from plants grown under the same conditions as those grown for microarray analysis, using the ThermoScript RT-PCR system (Invitrogen Life Technologies). The cDNA synthesis reaction was carried out using random hexamers (50 ng μL^{-1}) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Primers for quantitative PCR were designed to the cDNA sequences of genes identified by the microarray screening process and 18S rRNA gene control using the Primer Express Software (v2.0, Applied Biosystems, Cheshire, UK; Table IV). Analysis of transcript levels of each gene was achieved by quantitative PCR using an ABI Prism 7900 HT sequence detector and SYBR Green fluorescent dye. Triplicate reactions (15 µL volume) were performed for each gene and sample (control and -P plants, 4, 28, and 100 h after the withdrawal of P) using 384-well plates consisting of 20-ng cDNA sample, 1 $\mu \textsc{m}$ forward and reverse primer, and 7.5 $\mu \textsc{L}$ of 2× SYBR Green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems). The quantitative PCR reaction conditions were 50°C (2 min) followed by 95°C (10 min) for 1 cycle, then 95°C (15 s) followed by 60°C (1 min) for 40 cycles. This was followed by a dissociation step of 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 15 s and 95°C for 15 s. The dissociation step was included to generate data for melting curve analysis so that primer dimers or nonspecific products could be detected in the reaction. A control reaction was carried out on each sample using 2 ng of total RNA, to confirm that there was no contaminating chromosomal DNA in the original sample. The expression pattern of individual genes, as suggested by microarray data, dictated which cDNA samples were used to generate standard curves, i.e. the standard curves for genes whose expression increased 100 h after P withdrawal were generated from cDNA samples isolated from plants 100 h after the withdrawal of P. Samples were diluted to give a cDNA concentration range from 10 ng μL^{-1} to 0.01 ng μL^{-1} . The cycle threshold and normalized fluorescence (ΔR_n) values were determined for each sample during the quantitative PCR cycling reaction using the ABI Prism sequence detector software (v2.0). The cycle threshold value was calculated using a threshold value set at 0.2. Transcript levels of each gene were normalized to the 18S rRNA control gene.

Novel Materials

All novel materials described in this publication will be made available upon request in a timely manner for noncommercial research purposes, subject to the requisite permission from any third-party owners of all or parts of the material. Obtaining any permissions will be the responsibility of the requestor.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Andy Bradshaw, Martin Holdsworth, and Steve Coggins (Horticulture Research International [HRI]) for constructing the Arabidopsis hydroponics system; Simon Elliott and Mark Powell (HRI) for mineral analyses; Thomas Thykjaer (AROS Applied Biotechnology, Aarhus, Denmark) for microarray analyses; Martin Sergeant (HRI) for assistance with quantitative PCR; and Andrew Thompson and Ken Manning (HRI) for their comments on the original manuscript.

Received January 24, 2003; returned for revision February 28, 2003; accepted March 9, 2003.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abel S, Nguyen MD, Theologis A (1995) The *PS-IAA4/5*-like family of early auxin-inducible mRNAs in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J Mol Biol **251**: 533–549
- Abel S, Ticconi CC, Delatorre CA (2002) Phosphate sensing in higher plants. Physiol Plant 115: 1–8
- Baldwin JC, Karthikeyan AS, Raghothama KG (2001) LEPS2, a phosphorus starvation-induced novel acid phosphatase from tomato. Plant Physiol 125: 728–737
- Baligar VC, Fageria NK, He ZL (2001) Nutrient use efficiency in plants. Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 32: 921–950
- Bariola PA, Howard CJ, Taylor CB, Verburg MT, Jaglan VD, Green PJ (1994) The *Arabidopsis* ribonuclease gene *RNS1* is tightly controlled in response to phosphate limitation. Plant J **6**: 673–685
- Bates TR, Lynch JP (2000) Plant growth and phosphorus accumulation of wild type and two root hair mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Brassicaceae). Am J Bot 87: 958–963
- Bates TR, Lynch JP (2001) Root hairs confer a competitive advantage under low phosphorus availability. Plant Soil **236**: 243–250
- Bechtold N, Ellis J, Pelletier G (1993) In planta Agrobacterium mediated gene transfer by infiltration of adult Arabidopsis thaliana plants. C R Acad Sci Paris 316: 1194–1199
- Bieleski RL, Ferguson IB (1983) Physiology and metabolism of phosphate and its compounds. In A Läuchli, RL Bieleski, eds, Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology, New Series, Vol 15A. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 422–449
- Bohn HL, McNeal BL, O'Connor GA (1979) Soil Chemistry. John Wiley & Sons, New York
- Boyes DC, Zayed AM, Ascenzi R, McCaskill AJ, Hoffman NE, Davis KR, Görlach J (2001) Growth stage-based analysis of Arabidopsis: a model for high throughput functional genomics in plants. Plant Cell **13**: 1499–1510
- Broadley MR, Burns A, Burns IG (2002) Relationships between phosphorus forms and plant growth. J Plant Nutr 25: 1075–1088
- **Broadley MR, Escobar-Gutiérrez AJ, Bowen HC, Willey NJ, White PJ** (2001) Influx and accumulation of Cs⁺ by the *akt1* mutant of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. lacking a dominant K⁺ transport system. J Exp Bot **52:** 839–844
- Brinch-Pedersen H, Sørensen LD, Holm PB (2002) Engineering crop plants: getting a handle on phosphate. Trends Plant Sci 7: 118–125
- Century KS, Shapiro AD, Repetti PP, Dahlbeck D, Holub E, Staskawicz BJ (1997) NDR1, a pathogen-induced component required for Arabidopsis disease resistance. Science 278: 1963–1965
- Chen W, Provart NJ, Glazebrook J, Katagiri F, Chang HS, Eulgem T, Mauch F, Luan S, Zou G, Whitham SA et al. (2002) Expression profile matrix of Arabidopsis transcription factor genes suggests their putative functions in response to environmental stresses. Plant Cell 14: 559–574
- Ciereszko I, Johansson H, Hurry V, Kleczkowski LA (2001) Phosphate status affects the gene expression, protein content and enzymatic activity of UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase in wild-type and *pho* mutants of *Arabidopsis*. Planta 212: 598–605
- **Collins FS, Weissman SM** (1984) Directional cloning of DNA fragments at a large distance from an initial probe: a circularization method. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **81:** 6812–6816
- Daram P, Brunner S, Rausch C, Steiner C, Amrhein N, Bucher M (1999) *Pht2;1* encodes a low affinity phosphate transporter from Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **11:** 2153–2166
- de A Gerhardt LB, Sachetto-Martins G, Contarini MG, Sandroni M, de P Ferreira R, de Lima VM, Cordeiro MC, de Oliveira DE, Margis-Pinheiro M (1997) *Arabidopsis thaliana* class IV chitinase is early induced during the interaction with *Xanthomonas campestris*. FEBS Lett **419**: 69–75
- Delhaize E, Randall PJ (1995) Characterisation of a phosphate-accumulator mutant of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Physiol **107:** 207–213
- del Pozo JC, Allona I, Rubio V, Leyva A, de la Peña A, Aragoncillo C, Paz-Ares J (1999) A type 5 acid phosphatase gene from *Arabidopsis*

thaliana is induced by phosphate starvation and by some other types of phosphate mobilising/oxidative stress conditions. Plant J **19:** 579–589

- Desikan R, Mackerness SAH, Hancock JT, Neill SJ (2001) Regulation of the Arabidopsis transcriptome by oxidative stress. Plant Physiol **127**: 159–172
- **Drew MC** (1975) Comparison of the effects of a localised supply of phosphate, nitrate, ammonium and potassium on the growth of the seminal root system, and the shoot, in barley. New Phytol **75**: 479–490
- Duff SMG, Sarath G, Plaxton WC (1994) The role of acid phosphatases in plant phosphorus metabolism. Physiol Plant 90: 791–800
- Epple P, Apel K, Bohlmann H (1995) An Arabidopsis thaliana thionin gene is inducible via a signal transduction pathway different from that for pathogenesis-related proteins. Plant Physiol 109: 813–820
- Essigmann B, Güler S, Narang RA, Linke D, Benning C (1998) Phosphate availability affects the thylakoid lipid composition and the expression of *SQD1*, a gene required for sulfolipid biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95: 1950–1955
- Fageria NK, Baligar VC (1999) Phosphorus-use efficiency in wheat genotypes. J Plant Nutr 22: 331–340
- Fowler S, Thomashow MF (2002) Arabidopsis transcriptome profiling indicates that multiple regulatory pathways are activated during cold acclimation in addition to the CBF cold response pathway. Plant Cell 14: 1675–1690
- Frossard E, Condron LM, Oberson A, Sinaj S, Fardeau JC (2000) Processes governing phosphorus availability in temperate soils. J Environ Qual 29: 15–23
- Fujimoto SY, Ohta M, Usui A, Shinshi H, Ohme-Takagi M (2000) Arabidopsis ethylene-responsive element binding factors act as transcriptional activators or repressors of GCC box-mediated gene expression. Plant Cell 12: 393–404
- **Ghassemian M, Waner D, Tchieu J, Gribskov M, Schroeder J** (2001) An integrated *Arabidopsis* annotation database for Affymetrix Genechip data analysis, and tools for regulatory motif searches. Trends Plant Sci **6**: 448–449
- Gil P, Liu Y, Orbovic V, Verkamp E, Poff KL, Green PJ (1994) Characterization of the auxin-inducible SAUR-AC1 gene for use as a molecular genetic tool in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 104: 777–784
- Goda H, Shimada Y, Asami T, Fujioka S, Yoshida S (2002) Microarray analysis of brassinosteroid-regulated genes in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 130: 1319–1334
- Goldstein AH (1992) Phosphate starvation inducible enzymes and proteins in higher plants. In JL Wray, ed, Society for Experimental Biology Seminar Series 49: Inducible Plant Proteins. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp 25–44
- Goldstein AH, Beartlein DA, McDaniel RG (1988) Phosphate starvation inducible metabolism in *Lycopersicon esculentum*: I. Excretion of acid phosphatase by tomato plants and suspension cultured cells. Plant Physiol 87: 711–715
- Gonzàlez-Meler MA, Giles L, Thomas RB, Siedow JN (2001) Metabolic regulation of leaf respiration and alternative pathway activity in response to phosphate supply. Plant Cell Environ 24: 205–215
- Greenwood DJ, Karpinets TV, Stone DA (2001) Dynamic model for the effects of soil P and fertilizer P on crop growth, P uptake and soil P in arable cropping: model description. Ann Bot 88: 279–291
- Hamburger D, Rezzonico E, MacDonald-Comber Petétot J, Somerville C, Poirier Y (2002) Identification and characterisation of the Arabidopsis *PHO1* gene involved in phosphate loading to the xylem. Plant Cell 14: 889–902
- Haran S, Logendra S, Seskar M, Bratanova M, Raskin I (2000) Characterization of Arabidopsis acid phosphatase promoter and regulation of acid phosphatase expression. Plant Physiol 124: 615–626
- Harrison MJ (1999) Molecular and cellular aspects of the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 50: 219–243
- Holford ICR (1997) Soil phosphorus: its measurement, and its uptake by plants. Aust J Soil Res 35: 227–239
- Jungk A (2001) Root hairs and the acquisition of plant nutrients from soil. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 164: 121–129
- Kai M, Takazumi K, Adachi H, Wasaki J, Shinano T, Osaki M (2002) Cloning and characterisation of four phosphate transporter cDNAs in tobacco. Plant Sci 163: 837–846
- Karthikeyan AS, Varadarajan DK, Mukatira UT, Panio D'Urzo M, Damsz B, Raghothama KG (2002) Regulated expression of Arabidopsis transporters. Plant Physiol 130: 221–233

- Klok EJ, Wilson IW, Wilson D, Chapman SC, Ewing RM, Somerville SC, Peacock WJ, Dolferus R, Dennis ES (2002) Expression profile analysis of the low-oxygen response in Arabidopsis root cultures. Plant Cell 14: 2481–2494
- Kreps JA, Wu Y, Chang HS, Zhu T, Wang X, Harper JF (2002) Transcriptome changes for Arabidopsis in response to salt, osmotic, and cold stress. Plant Physiol 130: 2129–2141
- Lansford R, Bearman G, Fraser SE (2001) Resolution of multiple green fluorescent protein color variants and dyes using two-photon microscopy and imaging spectroscopy. J Biomed Optics 6: 311–318
- Li D, Zhu H, Liu K, Liu X, Leggewie G, Udvardi M, Wang D (2002) Purple acid phosphatases of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J Biol Chem 277: 27772–27781
- Lipton DS, Blancher RW, Blevins DG (1987) Citrate, malate and succinate concentrations in exudates from P-sufficient and P-starved Medicago sativa L. seedlings. Plant Physiol 85: 315–317
- López-Bucio J, Nieto-Jacobo MF, Ramírez-Rodríguez V, Herrera-Estrella L (2000) Organic acid metabolism in plants: from adaptive physiology to transgenic varieties for cultivation in extreme soils. Plant Sci 160: 1–13
- Lynch PJ (1995) Root architecture and plant productivity. Plant Physiol **109:** 7–13
- Mackerness SAH, John CF, Jordan B, Thomas B (2001) Early signalling components in ultraviolet-B responses: distinct roles for different reactive oxygen species and nitric oxide. FEBS Lett **489**: 237–242
- Mahalingam R, Gomez-Buitrago AM, Eckardt N, Shah N, Guevara-Garcia A, Day P, Raina R, Fedoroff NV (2003) Characterizing the stress/defense transcriptome of *Arabidopsis*. Genome Biol **4**: R20
- **Malboobi MA, Lefebvre DD** (1997) A phosphate-starvation inducible β -glucosidase (*psr3.2*) isolated from *Arabidopsis thaliana* is a member of a distinct subfamily of the BGA family. Plant Mol Biol **34**: 57–68
- Martín AC, del Pozo JC, Iglesias J, Rubio V, Solano R, de la Peña A, Leyva A, Paz-Ares J (2000) Influence of cytokinins on the expression of phosphate starvation responsive genes in *Arabidopsis*. Plant J 24: 559–567
- Menges M, Hennig L, Gruissem W, Murray JAH (2002) Cell cycleregulated gene expression in Arabidopsis. J Biol Chem 277: 41987–42002
- Miller SS, Liu J, Allan DL, Menzhuber CJ, Fedorova M, Vance CP (2001) Molecular control of acid phosphatase secretion into the rhizosphere of proteoid roots from phosphorus-stressed white lupin. Plant Physiol 127: 594–606
- Moseyko N, Zhu T, Chang HS, Wang X, Feldman LJ (2002) Transcriptional profiling of the early gravitropic response in Arabidopsis using high-density oligonucleotide probe microarrays. Plant Physiol 130: 720–728
- Muchhal US, Pardo JM, Raghothama KG (1996) Phosphate transporters from the higher plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93: 10519–10523
- Mudge SR, Rae AL, Diatloff E, Smith FW (2002) Expression analysis suggests novel roles for members of Pht1 family of phosphate transporters in *Arabidopsis*. Plant J 31: 341–353
- Mukatira UT, Liu C, Varadarajan DK, Raghothama KG (2001) Negative regulation of phosphate starvation-inducible genes. Plant Physiol **127**: 1854–1862
- Murashige T, Skoog F (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol Plant 15: 473–497
- National Research Council (1989) Alternative Agriculture. National Academic Press, Washington, DC
- Nikiforova V, Freitag J, Kempa S, Adamik M, Hesse H, Hoefgen R (2003) Transcriptome analysis of sulfur depletion in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: interlacing of biosynthetic pathways provides response specificity. Plant J **33**: 633–650
- Nover L, Scharf KD, Gagliardi D, Vergne P, Czarnecka-Verner E, Gurley WB (1996) The Hsf world: classification and properties of plant heat stress transcription factors. Cell Stress Chaperones 1: 215–223
- Plaxton WC, Carswell MC (1999) Metabolic aspects of phosphate starvation in plants. *In* HR Lerner, ed, Plant Responses to Environmental Stresses: From Phytohormones to Genome Reorganisation. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp 349–372
- Poirier Y, Thoma S, Somerville C, Schiefelbein J (1991) A mutant of Arabidopsis deficient in xylem loading of phosphate. Plant Physiol 97: 1087–1093
- Potter S, Uknes S, Lawton K, Winter AM, Chandler D, DiMaio J, Novitzky R, Ward E, Ryals J (1993) Regulation of a hevein-like gene in *Arabidopsis*. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact **6:** 680–685

- Raghothama KG (1999) Phosphate acquisition. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 50: 665–693
- Ramonell KM, Zhang B, Ewing RM, Chen Y, Xu D, Stacey G, Somerville
 S (2002) Microarray analysis of chitin elicitation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Mol Plant Pathol 3: 301–311
- Reuber TL, Ausubel FM (1996) Isolation of Arabidopsis genes that differentiate between resistance responses mediated by the *RPS2* and *RPM1* disease resistance genes. Plant Cell 8: 241–249
- Reymond P, Weber H, Damond M, Farmer EE (2000) Differential gene expression in response to mechanical wounding and insect feeding in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 12: 707–719
- Richards KD, Schott EJ, Sharma YK, Davis KR, Gardner RC (1998) Aluminium induces oxidative stress genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Physiol **116**: 409–418
- Robinson D (1994) The responses of plants to non-uniform supplies of nutrients. New Phytol 127: 635–674
- Rouse DT, Marotta R, Parish RW (1996) Promoter and expression studies on an *Arabidopsis thaliana* dehydrin gene. FEBS Lett **381**: 252–256
- Rossel JB, Wilson IW, Pogson BJ (2002) Global changes in gene expression in response to high light in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 130: 1109–1120
- Rubio V, Linhares F, Solano R, Martín AC, Iglesias J, Leyva A, Paz-Ares J (2001) A conserved MYB transcription factor in phosphate starvation signalling both in vascular plants and in unicellular algae. Gene Dev 15: 2122–2133
- Runge-Metzger A (1995) Closing the cycle: obstacles to efficient P management for improved global security. In H Tiessen, ed, Phosphorus in the Global Environment: Transfers, Cycles and Management. John Wiley & Sons, New York, pp 27–42
- Schachtman DP, Reid RJ, Ayling SM (1998) Phosphorus uptake by plants: from soil to cell. Plant Physiol 116: 447–453
- Sharma YK, Davis KR (1994) Ozone-induced expression of stress-related genes in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Physiol 105: 1089–1096
- Sharpley A (1995) Identifying sites vulnerable to phosphorus loss in agricultural runoff. J Environ Qual 24: 947–951
- Smethurst PJ (2000) Soil solution and other soil analyses as indicators of nutrient supply: a review. Forest Ecol Man 138: 397–411
- Somssich IE, Wernert P, Kiedrowski S, Hahlbrock K (1996) Arabidopsis thaliana defence-related protein ELI3 is an aromatic alcohol:NADP⁺ oxidoreductase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93: 14199–14203
- Torres MA, Onouchi H, Hamada S, Machida C, Hammond-Kosack KE, Jones JD (1998) Six Arabidopsis thaliana homologues of the human respiratory burst oxidase (gp91^{phox}). Plant J 14: 365–370
- Trull MC, Deikman J (1998) An Arabidopsis mutant missing one acid phosphatase isoform. Planta 206: 544–550
- Uknes S, Mauch-Mani B, Moyer M, Potter S, Williams S, Dincher S, Chandler D, Slusarenko A, Ward E, Ryals J (1992) Acquired resistance in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 4: 645–656
- Ullrich-Eberius CI, Novacky A, van Bel AJE (1984) Phosphate uptake in Lemna gibba G1: energetics and kinetics. Planta 161: 46–52
- Vance CP (2001) Symbiotic nitrogen fixation and phosphorus acquisition. Plant nutrition in a world of declining renewable resources. Plant Physiol 127: 390–397
- Vatamaniuk OK, Mari S, Lu YP, Rea PA (1999) AtPCS1, a phytochelatin synthase from *Arabidopsis*: isolation and *in vitro* reconstitution. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96: 7110–7115
- Versaw WK, Harrison MJ (2002) A chloroplast phosphate transporter, PHT2;1, influences allocation of phosphate within the plant and phosphate-starvation responses. Plant Cell 14: 1751–1766
- Visser NV, Hink MA, Borst JW, van der Krogt GNM, Visser AJWG (2002) Circular dichroism spectroscopy of fluorescent proteins. FEBS Lett 521: 31–35
- Wang WC, Liu ZH (1999) Harpin_{PSS}-induced peroxidase and lignin accumulation in tobacco during the hypersensitive response. Aust J Plant Physiol 26: 265–272
- Wang YH, Garvin DF, Kochian LV (2002) Rapid induction of regulatory and transporter genes in response to phosphorus, potassium, and iron deficiencies in tomato roots: evidence for cross talk and root/rhizosphere-mediated signals. Plant Physiol **130**: 1361–1370
- Wei-jun S, Forde BG (1989) Efficient transformation of Agrobacterium ssp. by high voltage electroporation. Nucleic Acids Res 17: 8385
- Williamson LC, Ribrioux SPCP, Fitter AH, Leyser HMO (2001) Phosphate

availability regulates root system architecture in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol **126**: 875–882

- Winge P, Brembu T, Bones AM (1997) Cloning and characterization of rac-like cDNAs from Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Mol Biol 35: 483–495
- Withers PJA, Edwards AC, Foy RH (2001) Phosphorus cycling in UK agriculture and implications for phosphorus loss from soil. Soil Use Manag 17: 139–149
- Xu W, Campbell P, Vargheese AK, Braam J (1996) The Arabidopsis XETrelated gene family: environmental and hormonal regulation of expression. Plant J 9: 879–889
- Yu B, Xu C, Benning C (2002) Arabidopsis disrupted in SQD2 encoding sulfolipid synthase is impaired in phosphate-limited growth. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99: 5732–5737
- Zakhleniuk OV, Raines CA, Lloyd JC (2001) pho3: a phosphorus-deficient mutant of Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh. Planta 212: 529–534
- Zhu T, Budworth P, Han B, Brown D, Chang HS, Zou G, Wang X (2001) Toward elucidating the global gene expression patterns of developing Arabidopsis: parallel analysis of 8300 gene by a high-density oligonucleotide probe array. Plant Physiol Biochem **39**: 221–242