ABSTRACT

A major effort in preventive care for women has emphasized the obtaining of Pap smears and mammograms. This paper uses survey data from one state to examine issues of access to Pap smears and mammograms. Poor women receiving health care through a managed-care Medicaid program received these services at the same rate as women with other types of health insurance, while the uninsured were less likely to have had either type of service. (Am J Public Health. 1992;82:733–735)

Access to Cancer Screening Services for Women

Bradford Kirkman-Liff, DrPH, and Jennie Jacobs Kronenfeld, PhD

Introduction

One preventive care emphasis for women is obtaining Pap smears and mammograms to detect cancer at an earlier. more curable stage. Recent studies have particularly linked poverty to cancer risk.1-3 This paper uses survey data to examine issues of access to Pap smears and mammograms. Of particular interest is whether poor women receiving health care through the Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS), a unique, managed-care Medicaid program, receive these services at the same rate as women with other health insurance. Earlier studies reported that poverty, age, no health insurance, and no recent visit to an obstetrician/gynecologist related to lack of Pap tests and that poverty, low education, and age related to lack of mammograms.3-9 This analysis compares AHC-CCS women with uninsured women and women with other types of insurance. Unique to this analysis is the inclusion of use of each service (Pap smear, mammogram) in the model for the other service. Previous studies have generally focused on only one aspect of screening services.

Until 1981, Arizona had no Medicaid program. AHCCCS, a managed-care/health maintenance organization alternative to traditional fee-for-service Medicaid, was designed to provide cost-effective care that avoids excessive costs from overuse. The program operates through a network of capitated, managed-care plans with primary-care physicians as gatekeepers who coordinate all needed services. Plans competitively bid and negotiate for contracts and are at financial risk for overuse. Eligible recipients must obtain all care from their selected plan.

Methods

Survey data were collected in 1989. About 3100 randomly selected adults were interviewed about themselves, and 1100 about a randomly selected child. Interviews were conducted in English or Spanish. Further details on this survey have been published elsewhere. To Four measures of access to cancer screening services were examined. In addition to a di-

chotomous variable of whether a woman ever had a test, women who had been screened by each test were asked when it occurred. Women who had received each service were split into those receiving it within 2 years prior to the survey and those 3 years or more before the survey. We also examined whether women who had a Pap smear were more likely to have a mammogram, and vice versa. Mammogram analyses included only women over 40, since mammogram services are not recommended at regular intervals before age 40.¹¹

In addition to examining the impact of insurance status and the AHCCCS program, other variables were hypothesized to affect use of preventive services. The different cultural values of Latino women may lead to different use patterns. (Numbers are too small to allow analyses of African-American and Native American women.) Latino women interviewed in Spanish were expected to have differences from those interviewed in English, with language of interview serving as an acculturation proxy. Educational attainment would affect receptivity to cancer screening messages, with less-educated women reporting lower use. Women with no usual health care source would have lower use of cancer screening services than women with a usual source. Women who needed health care but were unable to obtain it were expected to have lower Pap smear/mammogram use. Number of visits with health providers was expected to increase the likelihood of receiving cancer screening services, possibly nonlinearly. Lastly, age would have a varying effect, depending on the specific service.

The authors are with the School of Health Administration and Policy, College of Business, Arizona State University, Tempe.

Requests for reprints should be sent to Bradford Kirkman-Liff, DrPH, School of Health Administration and Policy, College of Business, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287-4506.

This paper was submitted to the Journal June 25, 1991, and accepted with revisions December 9, 1991.

| Measure | Pap Smear within Last 2 Years, % | Pap Smear 3 or More Years Ago, % | Never Had Pap Smear, % | χ^2 | P |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|----------|-------|
| Insurance status | | | | 16.45 | .002 |
| No insurance | 44.3 | 42.2 | 13.5 | | |
| AHCCCS | 72.9 | 20.4 | 6.7 | | |
| Other insurance | 69.9 | 21.3 | 8.8 | | |
| Education | | | | 13.92 | .008 |
| Less than high school | 62.0 | 23.3 | 14.7 | | |
| High school | 67.6 | 23.4 | 9.1 | | |
| More than high school | 74.9 | 20.8 | 4.4 | | |
| Usual source of care | | | | 27.45 | <.001 |
| No | 51.9 | 33.4 | 14.7 | | |
| Yes | 72.9 | 19.7 | 7.4 | | |
| Need but not receive medical care | | | | 7.52 | .023 |
| Yes | 53.4 | 33.3 | 13.3 | | |
| No | 69.6 | 21.8 | 8.6 | | |
| Ethnicity and language of interview | | | | 2.48 | .659 |
| Anglo | 67.8 | 23.7 | 8.5 | | |
| Latino and English interview | 70.5 | 20.0 | 9.5 | | |
| Latino and Spanish interview | 78.1 | 11.0 | 10.9 | | |
| All respondents | 68.1 | 22.8 | 9.0 | | |

| Measure | Mammogram within Last 2 Years, % | Mammo- gram 3 or More Years Ago, % | Never Had Mammogram, % | χ^2 | P |
|--|---|---|------------------------------|----------|-------|
| Insurance status | | | | 44.51 | <.001 |
| No insurance | 9.0 | 7.2 | 83.2 | 71.01 | ~.001 |
| AHCCCS | 53.6 | 3.9 | 42.5 | | |
| Other insurance | 49.6 | 11.5 | 38.9 | | |
| Education | | | | 4.76 | .313 |
| Less than high school | 42.4 | 10.0 | 47.6 | ,,,, | .010 |
| High school | 46.8 | 10.2 | 42.9 | | |
| More than high school | 50.7 | 12.5 | 36.9 | | |
| Usual source of care | | | | 24.51 | <.001 |
| No | 30.4 | 14.1 | 55.6 | | 001 |
| Yes | 51.8 | 9.8 | 38.4 | | |
| Need but not receive medical care | | | | 5.74 | .057 |
| Yes | 36.1 | 18.3 | 45.5 | | |
| No | 47.9 | 10.1 | 42.0 | | |
| Ethnicity and language of interview | | | | 7.80 | .099 |
| Anglo | 47.6 | 11.6 | 40.8 | | |
| Latino and English interview | 45.2 | 7.1 | 47.7 | | |
| Latino and Spanish interview | 34.1 | 1.5 | 64.5 | | |
| All respondents | 46.9 | 10.8 | 42.3 | | |

Results

Overall, 68% of women had a Pap smear in the 2 years prior to the survey,

23% had a Pap smear 3 years or more prior to the study, and 9% never had a Pap smear. Exploratory cross tabulations

showed statistically significant differences by insurance status, education, usual source of care, and needing but not receiving medical care (Table 1). Overall, 47% of women had a mammogram in the 2 years prior to the survey, 11% had a mammogram 3 years or more prior to the study, and 42% never had a mammogram. Only insurance status and usual source of care were significantly related to use of mammograms (Table 2).

Logistic regression was used to model the dichotomous measures. The results were transformed into odds ratios and 95% confidence levels. Variables without significant odds ratios are not presented, except for insurance. Women who had a mammogram were far more likely to have had a Pap smear than women without a mammogram, and women who had a Pap smear were far more likely to have had a mammogram than women without a Pap smear. Uninsured women and women with no usual source of care were far less likely to have had either service than women with conventional insurance. in AHCCCS, or with a usual source of care. Latino women were less likely to report a Pap smear, with no difference in mammograms (Table 3).

Women who had a mammogram were far more likely to have had a Pap smear within 2 years of the survey than women without a mammogram, and vice versa. Uninsured women and women with no usual source of care were far less likely to have had either service within 2 years of the survey than women with other insurance, AHCCCS, or a usual source of care (Table 4).

Discussion and Conclusion

Several important conclusions emerge from these analyses. The insignificant AHCCCS term indicates that a managed-care Medicaid-type model led to more appropriate use of preventive health services in cancer screening, AHCCCS women had no difference in access to these two preventive health services as compared with women with other insurance. Uninsured women and those with no usual source of care were less likely to have ever had the services or to have had them within the previous 2 years. In addition, older women and Latino women were less likely to have ever received a Pap smear. A recent editorial about race, poverty, and cancer concluded that poor Americans constitute a high-risk group for cancer.12 This paper demonstrates that managed-care Medicaid programs can

| Model/Variable | Odds Ratio | 95% Confidence Interva |
|--|------------|------------------------|
| Have had a Pap smear | | |
| AHCCCS | 0.83 | 0.41-1.70 |
| Uninsured | 0.44 | 0.32-0.60 |
| Latino | 0.56 | 0.44_0.72 |
| No usual source of care | 0.61 | 0.49_0.76 |
| 60-70 years old | 0.36 | 0.27-0.49 |
| 70 and older | 0.19 | 0.14-0.25 |
| Have had a mammogram | 3.73 | 2.93-4.75 |
| Have had a mammogram | | |
| AHCCCS | 0.95 | 0.60-1.52 |
| Uninsured | 0.29 | 0.23-0.38 |
| No usual source of care | 0.55 | 0.46-0.66 |
| No. of visits (relative to a woman with no visits) | | |
| 1 | 1.03 | 1.02-1.04 |
| 2 | 1.07 | 1.04-1.10 |
| 4 | 1.15 | 1.09-1.20 |
| 7 | 1.26 | 1.16-1.38 |
| 10 | 1.39 | 1.22-1.58 |
| 20 | 1.79 | 1.34 2.40 |
| 70 and older | 0.69 | 0.59-0.81 |
| Have had a Pap smear | 3.64 | 2.86-4.63 |

| Model/Variable | Odds Ratio | 95% Confidence Interva |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------------------|
| Had Pap smear within last 2 years | | |
| AHCCCS | 0.75 | 0.44-1.29 |
| Uninsured | 0.54 | 0.41-0.70 |
| No usual source of care | 0.54 | 0.44 0.65 |
| Needed but did not receive care | 0.51 | 0.40-0.65 |
| 60-70 years old | 0.49 | 0.40-0.61 |
| 70 and older | 0.35 | 0.29-0.44 |
| Have had a mammogram | 3.12 | 2.63-3.70 |
| Had mammogram within last 2 years | | |
| AHCCCS | 1.42 | 0.63-3.17 |
| Uninsured | 0.36 | 0.23-0.56 |
| No usual source of care | 0.38 | 0.29-0.51 |
| Have had a Pap smear | 2.44 | 1.59-3.75 |

help direct resources to people at higher risk. Some studies have emphasized the need for motivational programs to encourage usage.4 In contrast, this study provides

evidence that, without special motivational programs, if poor women receive health care services within a managed-care model with a preventive approach they have similar rates of use as the general population of insured women.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part by the Flinn Foundation, Phoenix, Ariz.

All opinions and views expressed are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of the Flinn Foundation.

References

- 1. Baquet C, Horn J, Gibbs T, Greenwald P. Socioeconomic factors and cancer incidence among Blacks and Whites. JNCI. 1991:83:551-559.
- 2. Howard J. Avoidable mortality from cervical cancer: exploring the concept. Soc Sci Med. 1987;24:507–514.

 3. Baquet C, Ringen K. Health policy: gaps in
- access, delivery, and utilization of the Pap smear in the United States. Milbank Q. 1987;65:322-347.
- 4. Mamon J, Shediac M, Crosby C, Sanders B, Matanoski G, Celentano D. Inner city women at risk for cervical cancer: behavioral and utilization factors related to inadequate screening. Prev Med. 1990;19:363-376.
- 5. Richardson J, Marks G, Solis J, Collins J. Birba L, Hisserich J. Frequency and adequacy of breast cancer screening among elderly Hispanic women. Prev Med. 1987;16:761-774.
- 6. Centers for Disease Control. Use of mammography for breast cancer screening-Rhode Island, 1987. MMWR. 1988:37:347-
- 7. Anda R, Sienko D, Remington P, Gentry E, Marks J. Screening mammography for women 50 years of age and older: practices and trends, 1987. Am J Prev Med. 1990;6:123-129.
- 8. Mandelblatt J, Gopaul I, Wistreich M. Gvnecological care of elderly women. JAMA. 1986;256:367-371.
- 9. Zapka JG, Stoddard A, Maul L, Costanza ME. Internal adherence to mammography screening guidelines. Med Care. 1991;29:697-707.
- 10. Kirkman-Liff B, Mondragon D. Language of interview: relevance for research of Southwest Hispanics. Am J Public Health. 1991;81:1399-1404.
- 11. Eddy D, Hasselblad V, McGivney W, Hendee W. The value of mammography screening in women under age 50 years. JAMA. 1988;259:1512-1519.
- 12. Freeman H. Race, poverty and cancer. JNCI. 1991;83:526-527.