

BJO

British Journal of Ophthalmology

Editorials

Myopia: was mother right about reading in the dark?

Perhaps one of the most universal experiences of childhood involves parental admonishments warning of dire outcomes as a result of unacceptable behaviour. Tree climbing leads to “broken skulls and necks,” television viewing leads to “mushy brains,” and sweet consumption to “rotten teeth.” Ocular admonishments are particularly prevalent with stick playing leading to “putting one’s eye out,” voluntary eye crossing becoming “permanently stuck,” and reading in the dark “ruining your eyes.” The notion that how we use our eyes will determine eventual refractive outcome has long been held a popular truism but dismissed as a scientific fact by many eye care professionals. While most agree that refractive error is, for the most part, genetically determined, there is a growing body of evidence that how we use our eyes influences eventual refractive status.¹

In this era of high index spectacles, modern contact lens materials, and refractive surgery one may ask the question, “why study myopia?” The answer lies in the understanding that myopia and pathological myopia are common causes of vision loss and blindness in both developed and emerging countries.² In Taiwan, the prevalence of myopia approaches 75% and in many east Asian countries, pathological myopia is one of the leading causes of blindness.³ Myopic macular degeneration and myopic retinal detachment are not prevented through refractive surgery, a fact often not understood by many high myopes undergoing this form of surgery. The prevention of the development of high myopia has become a priority in many Asian countries and accounts for a significant portion of the research funding in these countries. Myopia research asks the question, “Is refractive status determined by some genetically predetermined mechanism or does the visual environment influence this process?” This nature versus nurture question has been asked for decades but most myopia research is severely limited by problems of study design. Most studies on the incidence of myopia are actually prevalence studies. Longitudinal studies on the incidence of myopia are difficult to conduct, as children tend to be mobile, making long term follow up difficult. Until recently only some of the components of refractive state (axial length, corneal curvature, lens thickness, anterior and posterior segment depth) were recorded, making the distinction of axial versus corneal myopia difficult to distinguish. Interventional trials often are limited by poor randomisation, retrospective design, poor compliance, lack of adequate control group, and high dropout rate. Finally, studies conducted to look at the effect of visual environment during childhood often

rely on patient recall concerning near work duration and intensity and rarely look at parental refractive state.⁴ In recent years, efforts have been made to devise standard study definitions and protocols to define and quantify the refractive state in large populations, and last year saw the first publications of results of these myopia prevalence studies from China, Nepal, and Chile.^{5–8} The extreme differences in prevalence of myopia between different ethnic groups underscores the importance of genetic determinants of refractive state.

It is rare for an infant to be born emmetropic, with most children being hyperopic in the first few years of life becoming less so with the approach towards emmetropia. This process of emmetropisation is most assuredly affected by both genetic substrate of the individual and the visual environment of the developing eye. The genetic component of refractive state has been well documented by studies correlating the refractive state between parents and siblings, between siblings, and in twin studies.^{9–10} Zadnik and coworkers have shown that children of myopic parents tend to have longer eyes even before developing myopia.¹¹ Several pedigrees of familial myopia have been described, and the gene for myopia has been characterised in these families.^{12–13} While “myopes tend to beget myopes” heredity is not destiny and other factors are at work in determining refractive state of the eye. For centuries, the correlation of near work and myopia has been characterised by vision researchers. Epidemiological surveys have shown that myopia is more prevalent in individuals who spend more time reading or performing close work than those who spend more time not using their eyes at near. Myopia has been correlated with the amount of school work and level of educational attainment.^{14–16} The process continues into the third decade of life with graduate students, microscopists, and military conscripts becoming more myopic with more near work.¹⁷ Studies of Aboriginal peoples and Inuits have shown increasing incidence of myopia correlating to the increased near work demands.¹⁸ Showing correlation of near work with myopia is simple but proving causation is more difficult owing to the limitations of studies described above. To better understand and study the effect of visual environment on the developing eye, animal models have been described.

The two animal models commonly used to study myopia are the primate model and the avian model. The primate model was developed by Raviola and Weisel during their research of visual cortical development.¹⁹ Suturing closed

the eyelid of a young monkey led to abnormalities of the visual cortical development but also led to axial myopia in the sutured eye. This was found to be a locally controlled process and subsequent primate studies have shown that ocular growth is influenced by both visual deprivation as well as optical defocus. The avian model using newborn chicks also clearly demonstrates that affecting the visual environment of the developing eye leads to biochemical and structural changes in the retina and sclera, which are both reversible and focal in occurrence.²⁰ Visual deprivation and optical defocus leading to myopia can be blocked by biochemical interventions in the avian model.²¹ These primate and avian models will be invaluable in developing therapeutic interventions to prevent myopia in humans.

These animal studies in myopia led to inquiries regarding early visual experience in children and eventual refractive status. It was well known that pathological conditions which altered visual experiences early in life, such as congenital cataract and periocular haemangioma, were associated with the development of myopia. In 1998, Quinn *et al*²² reported a high correlation between light exposure at night time (night light or room light) with myopia later in childhood. In this issue of the *BJO* (p 527), Saw and coworkers present a study which does not find the correlation and implied causation of night light exposure with myopia. This paper joins others that have examined the issue of night time light exposure and refractive status, with all authors emphasising the limitations inherent in conducting myopia research warning readers not to invoke causation from correlation which may be spurious, confounded, uncontrolled, or unproved.²³⁻²⁵

Numerous interventions have been proposed and studied to prevent myopia progression. These include optical interventions with bifocals and contact lenses; pharmacological interventions with ocular hypotensives, atropine, or pirenzepine; surgical (scleral sling) and behavioural changes.²⁶⁻²⁷ No intervention has been shown to prevent pathological myopia and efficacy of any intervention has been limited to a few dioptres at best. There are currently well controlled prospective trials examining the use of progressive bifocals, rigid gas permeable lenses, and antimuscarinic agents. Ophthalmologists should become involved in these clinical trials as well as in conducting basic research into the physiology and biochemistry of ocular development and refractive state. Most of us spent

our formative years reading at bedtime with poor light, listening to our mothers tell us we were going to ruin our eyes. Let's find out if, as usual, mother was right.

DOUGLAS R FREDRICK

Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center, Dartmouth Medical School, Hanover, New Hampshire, USA
Douglas.R.Fredrick@Dartmouth.edu

- Mutti DO, Zudnik K, Adams AT. Myopia: the nature versus nurture debate goes on. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1996;**37**:952-7.
- Saw SM, Katz J, Scheih OD, *et al*. Epidemiology of myopia. *Epidemiol Rev* 1996;**18**:175-87.
- Lin LL, Chen CJ, Hung PT, *et al*. Nationwide survey of myopia among school children in Taiwan, 1986. *Acta Ophthalmol (Suppl)* 1988;**185**:29-33.
- Saw SM, Nieto FJ, Katz J, *et al*. Estimating the magnitude of close-up work in school-age children: a comparison of questionnaire and diary instruments. *Ophthalmol Epidemiol* 1999;**6**:291-301.
- Negrel AD, Maul E, Pokharel GP, *et al*. Refractive error study in children: sampling and measurement methods for a multi-county survey. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2000;**129**:421-7.
- Zhao J, Pan X, Munoz SR, *et al*. Refractive error study in children: results from Shunyi District, China. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2000;**129**:427-35.
- Pokharel GP, Negrel AD, Munoz SR, *et al*. Refractive error study in children: results from Mechi Zone, Nepal. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2000;**129**:436-44.
- Maul E, Balluso S, Munoz SR, *et al*. Refractive error study in children: results from La Florida, Chile. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2000;**129**:445-54.
- Teikari JM, Odonnell JO, Kaprio J, *et al*. Impact of hereditary in myopia. *Hum Hered* 1991;**41**:151-6.
- Guggenheim J, Kirov G, Hodson SA. The inevitability of high myopia: a reanalysis of Goldschmidt's data. *J Med Genet* 2000;**37**:227-31.
- Zadnik K, Satariano WA, Mutti DO, *et al*. The effect of parental history of myopia on children's eye size. *JAMA* 1994; **271**:1323-7.
- Young TL, Ronan SM, Drahozal LA, *et al*. Evidence that a locus for familial high myopia maps to chromosome 18p. *Am J Hum Genet* 1998;**63**:109-19.
- Young TL, Ronan SM, Alvear AB, *et al*. A second locus for familial high myopia maps to chromosome 12q. *Am J Hum Genet* 1998;**63**:1419-24.
- Au Eong KG, Tay TH, Lim MK. Education and myopia in 110,236 young Singaporean males. *Singapore Med J* 1993;**34**:489-92.
- Parsinen TO. Relation between refraction, education, occupation, and age among 26 and 46 year old Finns. *Am J Optom Physiol Opt* 1987;**64**:136-43.
- Zylbermann R, Landau D, Berson D. The influence of study habits on myopia in Jewish teenagers. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus* 1993;**30**:319-22.
- Kinye B, Midelfast A, Jacobsen G, *et al*. The influence of near work on development of myopia among university students. A three-year longitudinal study among engineering students in Norway. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand* 2000;**78**:26-9.
- Cass E. A decade of northern ophthalmology. *Can J Ophthalmol* 1978;**8**:210-17.
- Raviola E, Wiesel TN. An animal model of myopia. *N Engl J Med* 1985;**312**:1609-15.
- Wallman J, Turkel J, Trachtman J. Extreme myopia produced by modest changes in early visual experiences. *Science* 1978;**201**:1249-51.
- Papastergiou GI, Schmid GF, Riva CE, *et al*. Ocular axial length and choroidal thickness in newly hatched chicks and one-year-old chickens fluctuate in a diurnal pattern that is influenced by visual experience and intraocular pressure changes. *Exp Eye Res* 1998;**66**:195-205.
- Quinn GE, Shin CH, Maguire MG, *et al*. Myopia and ambient lighting at night. *Nature* 1999;**399**:113-14.
- Zadnik K, Jones LA, Irvin BC, *et al*. Myopia and ambient night-time lighting. *Nature* 2000;**404**:143-4.
- Gwiadzda J, Ong E, Held R, *et al*. Myopia and ambient night-time lighting. *Nature* 2000;**404**:144.
- Appen RE, Mares-Perlman J. Are the sky and night lights falling? *Arch Ophthalmol* 2000;**118**:702-2.
- Goss DA. Effect of spectacle correction on the progression of myopia in children: a literature review. *J Am Optom Assoc* 1994;**65**:117-78.
- Grosvenor T, Perrigin D, Perrigin J, *et al*. Rigid gas-permeable contact lenses for myopia control: effects of discontinuation of lens wear. *Optometry Vis Sci* 1991;**68**:385-9.

Evolving pathophysiological paradigms for age related macular degeneration

Age related macular degeneration (AMD) is the leading cause of irreversible visual loss in the industrialised world. Several theories of pathogenesis have been proposed and these include primary retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and Bruch's membrane senescence, oxidative injury, primary genetic defects, and primary ocular perfusion abnormalities. In this issue of the *BJO* (p 531), Mori and others explore ocular perfusion abnormalities by examining choroidal blood flow in patients with AMD, using pulsatile ocular blood flow (POBF). They used a Langham

OBF computerised tonometer in 10 patients with non-exudative AMD, 11 patients with exudative AMD, and 69 age matched control subjects. They found statistically significant differences in the POBF (lower) and pulse amplitude (lower) in patients with exudative AMD compared with those with non-exudative AMD or with the control subjects. The authors conclude that decreased choroidal blood flow may play a part in the development of choroidal neovascular membranes (CNVM) in AMD. Although the technique of POBF carries some limitations as noted by

the authors, this work serves to amplify and corroborate previous studies on the role of ocular perfusion perturbations in AMD. Studies of this sort are important with regard to our understanding of the pathogenesis of AMD.

Classically, investigators have postulated that senescence of the RPE, which metabolically supports the photoreceptors, leads to AMD.^{1,2} Senescent RPE accumulates metabolic debris as remnants of incomplete degradation from phagocytosed rod and cone membranes leading to drusen formation and further progressive dysfunction of the remaining RPE.^{1,2} Bruch's membrane, thickened with drusen, could be predisposed to crack formation.^{3,4} Calcification and fragmentation of Bruch's membrane is more prominent in eyes with exudative AMD, and these defects in Bruch's membrane could facilitate development of CNVM.⁵ This theory is supported by findings in myopic degeneration and angioid streaks in which CNVM develop through breaks in Bruch's membrane. The exact stimulus for CNVM formation is unclear; it is possible that macrophages involved in the initial response to Bruch's membrane injury secrete angiogenic growth factors. In addition, calcification and fragmentation observed in Bruch's membrane, which contains tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases, may represent a breach in this antiangiogenic barrier, facilitating CNVM development. Whatever the initial stimulus for CNVM formation, it is clear that angiogenic growth factors are ultimately involved, as CNVM and RPE cells have been shown to be immunoreactive for various angiogenic growth factors.

Oxidative insults have also been proposed as a contributing factor and this may involve the macular pigments, lutein and zeaxanthin, which are primarily obtained from dark green, leafy vegetables and account for the yellow pigmentation of the macula lutea. Macular pigment has been hypothesised to have a protective role against the development of AMD through the limitation of oxidative insults by filtering out harmful wavelengths of light or by its antioxidant properties. A recent study showed that primates raised on carotenoid depleted diets had a significantly increased incidence of angiographic transmission defects in the macular regions,⁶ implying that the RPE is vulnerable to injury in the absence of normal macular pigment. Factors known to decrease macular pigment optical density (MPOD) levels, such as cigarette smoking,⁷ light iris colour,⁸ and female sex,⁹ have also been implicated to increase the risk of AMD in epidemiological studies, consistent with a potential protective role of macular pigments in AMD. Previous studies have shown that a higher dietary intake of lutein and zeaxanthin has been associated with a lower risk for AMD,¹⁰ although there have been other large studies with conflicting results.

Another theory for AMD pathogenesis includes genetic defects. A variety of genes have been suggested. For example, some investigators recently reported a genetic defect in a gene encoding a retinal rod protein, the ABCR gene, which has also been found to be defective in Stargardt's disease.¹¹ However, there have been other recent publications suggesting that the ABCR mutations might not be linked to AMD.^{12,13} There have also been recent reports of a genetic association between AMD and apolipoprotein E, a protein that has a role in central nervous system lipid homeostasis.^{14,15} Investigators are studying other hereditary dystrophies with some features similar to AMD, such as Doyne's honeycomb retinal dystrophy and Sorsby's dystrophy. Genetic research in AMD is clearly in its infancy and the ophthalmic community can look forward to many new developments in this field.

Another pathogenic theory involves primary vascular changes in the choroid, which then secondarily affect the RPE and lead to AMD. Specifically, it is theorised that lipid deposition in sclera and Bruch's membrane leads to scleral stiffening and impaired choroidal perfusion, which would in turn adversely affect metabolic transport function of the retinal pigment epithelium.^{16,17} The impaired RPE cannot metabolise and transport material shed from the photoreceptors, leading to accumulation of metabolic debris and drusen.^{16,17} This theory is supported by studies demonstrating an association between increased scleral rigidity and AMD.¹⁸ Proponents note that the vascular model could account for development of both the non-exudative and exudative forms of AMD. According to this vascular model, there is a generalised stiffening and increase in resistance, not only in the choroidal vasculature, but also in the cerebral vasculature.^{16,17} If the choroidal resistance increases more than the cerebral vascular resistance, there is a decrease in choroidal perfusion with an increase in the osmotic gradient against which the RPE must pump, leading to an accumulation of metabolic debris in the form of drusen. If the choroidal resistance increases less than the cerebral vascular resistance, there is higher choroidal perfusion pressure, which facilitates CNVM. This mechanism could partially account for the development of CNVM in the presence of Bruch's membrane cracks that result from senescence, as described above, and this explanation may partially unify these theories.

The vascular theory is also supported by studies demonstrating delayed choroidal filling in AMD using conventional angiographic techniques,¹⁹⁻²¹ laser Doppler flowmetry,²² colour Doppler imaging,^{16,17,23} and ICG angiography.²⁴ The study of Mori and others corroborates and amplifies these findings using a different technique. Consequently, there is no doubt that choroidal perfusion abnormalities exist in AMD. However, at the present time, it is not possible to determine if these choroidal perfusion abnormalities have a causative role in non-exudative AMD, if they are simply an association with another primary alteration, such as a primary RPE defect or a genetic defect at the photoreceptor level, or if they are more strongly associated with one particular form of this heterogeneous disease. Clearly, future progress in developing effective treatment strategies for this devastating disorder hinges on a better understanding of disease development.

Supported by an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness, Inc, New York. Dr Ciulla is a recipient of a career development award from Research to Prevent Blindness, Inc, New York.

THOMAS A CIULLA

Retina Service, Department of Ophthalmology, 702 Rotary Circle, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN 46260, USA
tcuilla@iupui.edu

- Young R. Pathophysiology of age-related macular degeneration. *Surv Ophthalmol* 1987;31:291-306.
- Eagle RJ. Mechanisms of maculopathy. *Ophthalmology* 1984;91:613-25.
- Green W, McDonnell P, Yeo J. Pathologic features of senile macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology* 1985;92:615-27.
- Sarks SH. Ageing and degeneration in the macular region: a clinicopathological study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1976;60:324-41.
- Spraul C, Grossniklaus H. Characteristics of drusen and Bruch's membrane in postmortem eyes with age-related macular degeneration. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1997;115:267-73.
- Neuringer M, Klein M, Snodderly M, et al. Effects of carotenoid depletion and N-3 fatty acid status on macular defects in rhesus monkeys (ARVO abstract). *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci (suppl)* 1999;40:164.
- Hammond Jr BR, Wooten BR, Snodderly DM. Cigarette smoking and retinal carotenoids: implications for age-related macular degeneration. *Vis Res* 1996;36:3003-9.
- Hammond Jr BR, Fuld K, Snodderly DM. Iris color and macular pigment optical density. *Exp Eye Res* 1996;62:293-7.
- Hammond Jr BR, Curran-Celentano J, Judd S, et al. Sex differences in macular pigment optical density: relation to plasma carotenoid concentrations and dietary patterns. *Vis Res* 1996;36:2001-12.
- Seddon J, Ajani U, Sperduto R, et al. Dietary carotenoids, vitamins A, C, and E, and advanced age-related macular degeneration. Eye Disease Case-Control Study. *JAMA* 1994;272:1413-20.

- 11 Allikmets R, Shroyer N, Singh N, *et al.* Mutation of the Stargardt disease gene (ABCR) in age-related macular degeneration. *Science* 1997;277:1805-7.
- 12 Stone E, Webster A, Vandenburgh K, *et al.* Allelic variation in ABCR associated with Stargardt disease but not age-related macular degeneration (letter). *Nat Genet* 1998;20:328-9.
- 13 De La Paz M, Guy V, Abou-Donia S, *et al.* Analysis of the Stargardt disease gene (ABCR) in age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology* 1999;106:1531-6.
- 14 Souied E, Benlian P, Amouyel P, *et al.* The epsilon4 allele of the apolipoprotein E gene as a potential protective factor for exudative age-related macular degeneration. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1998;125:353-9.
- 15 Klaver C, Kliffen M, van Duijn C, *et al.* Genetic association of apolipoprotein E with age-related macular degeneration. *Am J Hum Genet* 1998;63:200-6.
- 16 Friedman E, Krupsky S, Lane A, *et al.* Ocular blood flow velocity in age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology* 1995;102:640-6.
- 17 Friedman E. A hemodynamic model of the pathogenesis of age-related macular degeneration. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1997;124:677-82.
- 18 Friedman E, Ivry M, Ebert E, *et al.* Increased scleral rigidity and age-related macular degeneration. *Surv Ophthalmol* 1989;96:104-8.
- 19 Boker T, Fang T, Steinmetz R. Refractive error and choroidal perfusion characteristics in patients with choroidal neovascularization and age-related macular degeneration. *Ger J Ophthalmol* 1993;2:10-3.
- 20 Remulla J, Gaudio A, Miller S, *et al.* Foveal electroretinograms and choroidal perfusion characteristics in fellow eyes of patients with unilateral neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1995;79:558-61.
- 21 Zhao J, Frambach D, Lee P, *et al.* Delayed macular choriocapillary circulation in age-related macular degeneration. *Int Ophthalmol* 1995;19:1-12.
- 22 Grunwald J, Hariprasad S, DuPont J, *et al.* Foveolar choroidal blood flow in age-related macular degeneration. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1998;1998:385-90.
- 23 Ciulla T, Harris A, Chung H, *et al.* Color Doppler imaging reveals reduced ocular blood flow velocities in nonexudative age-related macular degeneration. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1998;128:75-80.
- 24 Ross R, Barofsky J, Cohen G, *et al.* Presumed macular choroidal watershed vascular filling, choroidal neovascularization, and systemic vascular disease in patients with age-related macular degeneration. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1998;125:71-80.