D-Dimer testing: the role of the clinical laboratory in the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism

B H Mavromatis, C M Kessler

Abstract

Pulmonary embolism is a common, yet often unsuspected and unrecognised disease associated with a high mortality. New, objective, "user friendly" and cost effective diagnostic strategies are being explored. D-Dimers, the fibrinolytic degradation products of crosslinked fibrin, have emerged as the most useful of the procoagulant activity and ongoing fibrinolysis markers. D-Dimer measurements are very sensitive in excluding a diagnosis of pulmonary embolism in the setting of normal values, a low clinical suspicion, and nondiagnostic lung scans. Several assays have been developed and are reviewed. (7 Clin Pathol 2001;54:664-668)

Keywords: pulmonary embolism; D-dimer assay

The clinical condition now known as pulmonary embolism was described initially by RTH Laënnec in his 1819 exposé on "pulmonary apoplexy" (Greek αποπληξια). This sudden impairment of pulmonary function was part of a syndrome characterised by extensive parenchymal haemorrhage ("haemoptoic engorgement") and symptomatic haemoptysis ("haemoptysical infarctus"). ("The lesion consists in an induration, which is partial, and never occupies a larger portion of the lung; . . . it is always well defined, of even character in its center and periphery. The surrounding parenchyma is entirely normal-the swollen part is very dark red.")¹ Three decades later, the pathologist R Virchow established in an animal model that the pathophysiology of the disease was embolic, a concept rather unique for the time.1 According to insurance statistics, pulmonary embolism is diagnosed at least 300 000 times/year (23/100 000 patients/year) in the USA, with an expected one year mortality rate of 19%.2 Ten per cent of affected patients will experience recurrent events, with a subsequent death rate of 45%. The introduction of new and innovative therapeutic modalities for the treatment of pulmonary embolism, including the recent availability of low molecular weight heparin preparations and increasing use of thrombolytic treatments, have not altered the mortality and morbidity of this condition. In part, this can be attributed to the fact that most pulmonary emboli remain unsuspected and unrecognised before death³; necropsy studies indicate that pulmonary emboli are overlooked as the primary or contributory cause of death in up to 84% of cases.3 Thus the crucial challenge of this disease resides in the development of new, rapid, specific, noninvasive, and "user friendly" objective diagnostic strategies, which can be used in a cost effective

manner to amplify the accuracy of subjective clinical judgment and suspicion. The considerable morbidity and life threatening nature of thromboembolic diseases, such as pulmonary embolism, require prompt and accurate diagnosis so that appropriate treatment can be initiated. Diagnostic tests should ideally be highly specific and sensitive enough to provide accurate diagnoses so that expensive and invasive procedures can be avoided. Diagnostic test results should also help the clinician to assess the risk-benefit ratio of certain treatment modalities-for example, would the benefits of thrombolytic treatment for suspected massive pulmonary emboli, or for multiple small emboli with evidence of right ventricular dilatation, or for emboli associated with proximal deep vein thrombosis in the lower extremities outweigh the risks of treatment?

Clinical diagnosis

Clinical evaluation of the patient as an independent diagnostic modality for pulmonary embolism has been considered insufficiently accurate to yield rapid and definitive diagnoses in most cases. Among patients in a large general hospital who died from pulmonary embolism, the diagnosis (confirmed at necropsy) was unsuspected in 70% of patients.4 Ninety three per cent of these deaths occurred within 2.5 hours of the onset of symptoms, emphasising the importance of clinical suspicion and timely initiation of diagnostic testing and subsequent treatment. The prevalence of pulmonary emboli found in published postmortem studies has not changed over three decades, despite the availability of sensitive and specific non-invasive (ventilation perfusion lung scan, cine computed tomography (CT) scans, etc) and invasive (pulmonary arteriograms) screening techniques. The prospective investigation of pulmonary embolism diagnosis (PIOPED) study data suggest that clinical acumen can improve the accuracy of diagnosis and reduce the need for expensive confirmatory tests.5 That is, the combination of low clinical suspicion of pulmonary embolism and a low probability lung scan yields a very low post-scan incidence of pulmonary embolism (4%), thus obviating the need for pulmonary angiography. In contrast, approximately 90% of patients with high probability scans and high or intermediate clinical suspicion for pulmonary embolism do have emboli. Yet, the so called "classic" clinical and laboratory characteristics of pulmonary embolism are not evident in all patients.6 Neither dyspnea nor tachypnea was observed in 12% of patients with the pulmonary infarction syndrome; a large number of patients with circulatory collapse attributable to pulmonary emboli were not dyspneic, tachypneic, or

Division of Hematology/Oncology, Lombardi Cancer Center, Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington, DC 20007, USA B H Mavromatis C M Kessler

Correspondence to: Dr Mavromatis mavromab@ gunet.georgetown.edu

Accepted for publication 26 February 2001

Table 1 Methodologies available for measurement of D-dimers

Assays	Commercial names	Methods	Characteristics	Ref
ELISA assay	Dimertest		Quantitative and reproducible but time consuming limiting their use in emergency situations.	Crippa <i>et al</i> (1997) ¹⁴
	Asserachrom Fibrinostika FnDP D-Dimer micro		High sensitivity, low specificity	Ginsberg et al (1995) ¹⁵
Latex particle assay	Dimertest I D-dimertest Minutex D-dimer FDP-Slidex direct Liatest D-Di	Latex agglutination test	Lower sensitivity, lower NPV when compared with the ELISA method	Laaban <i>et al</i> (1997) ¹⁶ Duet et al (1998) ¹⁷
SimpliRED D-dimer assay	SimpliRED D-dimer assay	Uses bispecific antibody directed against D-dimers and red blood cells	Good interobserver variability. Easier and faster than ELISA and latex assay	Mauron <i>et al</i> (1998) ¹⁸ Turkstra <i>et al</i> (1998) ¹⁹
Immunofiltration assay	NycoCard D-dimer	Uses monoclonal antibodies that are directed against the D-dimer configurated molecules	Easy to interpret, rapid, simple	Dale et al (1994) ²⁰
Immunoturbidimetric assay	Boehringer Manheim	Recognises the D-dimer epitope by antibody coated latex particles	Rapid and fully quantitative	Knecht et al (1997) ²¹

ELISA, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay; NPV, negative predictive value.

experiencing pleuritic pain on presentation. The prospective application of a recently published algorithm in over 1200 patients with suspected pulmonary emboli distinguished between low, moderate, and high probability cohorts based on clinical findings and chest *x* ray results.⁷ The prevalence of pulmonary emboli was 3%, 28%, and 78%, respectively, in this comprehensively evaluated group. In summary, the estimation of clinical probability can help the clinician develop a cost effective diagnostic strategy for pulmonary embolism by supporting the need or reducing the justification to pursue expensive invasive testing.

The pulmonary arteriogram remains the gold standard for the diagnosis of a thrombotic pulmonary event, and is the imaging technique of choice to establish or exclude pulmonary emboli in patients with non-high probability ventilation perfusion lung scans. However, its use is often hindered by practical factors, such as its invasive nature, the logistical requirement for around the clock availability of properly functioning equipment and appropriately trained staff, its overall expense, and the potential to precipitate acute renal failure in older individuals with underlying arteriosclerotic related renal insufficiency following exposure to the contrast dye load.

Table 2 D-Dimer using ELISA in detection of DVT or F	ing ELISA in detection of DVT or P	able 2 D-Dimer using El
--	------------------------------------	-------------------------

The spiral CT has emerged as a promising, convenient, and non-invasive diagnostic technique to visualise directly the pulmonary vessels in patients with suspected pulmonary emboli. However, subsegmental pulmonary emboli are more difficult to visualise in this setting, with both the test sensitivity and specificity decreasing from 94%⁸ to a sensitivity of 63% and a specificity of 89% in the detection of peripheral thrombi.⁹ In a small study,¹⁰ these subsegmental emboli seemed to be less clinically relevant, although larger studies need to be instituted to confirm these preliminary findings.

All these clinical data point to the need for a laboratory test geared to enhance our ability to make an accurate assessment when a pulmonary embolism is suspected.

The role of laboratory testing

The diagnosis of pulmonary embolism is difficult to exclude unless the ventilation perfusion lung scan and/or the spiral CT of the chest are normal. Because most pulmonary emboli are associated with intermediate probability ventilation perfusion lung scans, and because many patients with symptoms consistent with pulmonary emboli frequently have other

Number of patients (PE)	% Sensitivity DVT/PE	% Specificity DVT/PE	% PPV DVT/PE	% NPV DVT/PE	Cut off ng/ml	Ref
69 (19)	89	44	38	92	290	Goldhaber et al (1988) ²²
46 (10)	100	81	69	100	500	Bounameaux et al (1989) ²³
21 (10)	100	36			500	Bounameaux et al (1990)24
170 (55)	98	39	44	98	500	Bounameaux et al (1991)25
74 (43)	95	100			1000	Lichey et al (1991) ²⁶
156	96	52		97	300	Demers et al (1992)27
173(45)	93.3	25	30.4	91.4	500	Goldhaber et al (1993)28
92	98	38	54	95	250	Dale et al (1994) ²⁰
183	89	76	31	98	300	Veitl et al (1996)29
117	98	58	97	70	500	Laaban et al (1997)16
448	92	36.6	67.7	76.1	500	Leroyer et al (1997) ³⁰

Tables 2–6 list the sensitivities and specificities of the various available D-dimer assays. The sensitivity reflects the probability of a test being abnormal if a patient has the disease. The specificity represents the probability of a test being normal if a patient does not have the disease. The sensitivity and specificity of a test are influenced by the population being studied, and by the threshold (cut off) used to define an abnormal test. These sensitivities and specificities are best interpreted by the physician in the proper clinical context (and based on radiological and other diagnostic procedures). When used alone, and because clinical conditions other than thromboses can be associated with raised D-dimer concentrations, the positive predictive value (the percentage of patients with an abnormal test who have a pulmonary embolus) in these tables remains low. However, the high negative predictive value of these assays (the percentage of patients with a normal test who alone the setting of a low pretest probability.²²

DVT, deep vein thrombosis; ELISA, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay; NPV, negative predictive value; PE, pulmonary embolism; PPV, positive predictive value.

Table 3 D-Dimer latex assays in detection of DVT or PE

Number of patients (PE)	% Sensitivity	% Specificity	% PPV	% NPV	Cut off	Ref
26 (16)	81	60	76	67		Lichev et al (1991) ²⁶
64 (16)	94	58	43	97	500	Harrison et al (1993)31
183	68	77	25	95	350	Veitl et al (1996)29
117	86	71	84	75	500	Laaban et al (1997)16
85 (16)	94			96	500	Duet et al (1998)17
386 (146)	100			100	500	Oger et al (1998)32
180	95	47	53	96	250	Lindahl et al (1998)33
464	94.6	35			400	Escoffre-Barbe et al (1998)34

See footnotes to table 2.

DVT, deep vein thrombosis; NPV, negative predictive value; PE, pulmonary embolism; PPV, positive predictive value.

cardiopulmonary diseases, which could produce similar symptoms,¹¹ there has been intense interest in including discriminatory laboratory testing in the diagnostic algorithm. Laboratory markers of procoagulant activity and ongoing fibrinolysis have been studied most extensively in the hope that, when combined with imaging tests, they can improve the predictive accuracy and efficiency of diagnosing pulmonary embolism; however, these markers are known to be raised in several medical disorders, which may or may not predispose to thromboembolic events-for example, carcinomas, hepatic and renal insufficiency, surgery, septicaemia, stroke, and major trauma.12 Measurement of the D-dimer, the fibrinolytic degradation product of crosslinked fibrin, has emerged as the most useful marker. It is very sensitive, but non-specific for the diagnosis of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism; therefore, high values are not as helpful in establishing the diagnosis of pulmonary emboli as normal values are in excluding the diagnosis of pulmonary emboli. Furthermore, a raised D-dimer concentration does not distinguish between a thrombus arising from a deep vein, a pulmonary vessel, or both concurrently. A recent clinical study comparing the use of the D-dimer assay¹³ in high risk patients with and without a malignancy observed similar high sensitivities for D-dimer assays in the detection of pulmonary emboli. However, the specificity of the assays was considerably lower in those with cancer (48.4%)than those without (82.2%). The respective negative predictive values were also different (78.9 v 94.9%). Attempts to improve the specificity of the D-dimer assay, which would increase its value as a diagnostic tool, have resulted in multiple methods for the detection of D-dimers. These are summarised in table 1. Enzyme linked immunosorbent assavs (ELISA) have the highest sensitivity (79100%) for detecting D-dimers; however, their specificities (25-100%) are generally too low to render them useful as diagnostic tests (table 2). In addition, they are too labour intensive, time consuming, and expensive to make them practical to perform in most urgent clinical situations. Latex agglutination assays circumvent these problems, but in the process sacrifice sensitivity (22-88%) (table 3). The SimpliRED D-dimer assay has gained recent popularity because its negative predictive value generally exceeds 95%; however, these data were derived from patients with low pretest clinical probability for deep venous thrombosis or pulmonary embolus (table 4). SimpliRED D-dimer assays have lower sensitivities than ELISA assays. Prospective outpatient studies of the SimpliRED D-dimer assay have validated the negative predictive value of the assay to be as good as a normal ventilation perfusion lung scan, and better than a low probability lung scan.41 42 Successful therapeutic management has also been predicated on the results of the SimpliRED D-dimer assay. It may be safe to withhold anticoagulant treatment in those patients with a non-diagnostic lung scan, a normal SimpliRED D-dimer test, and a low clinical probability.38 Negative D-dimer assays may substantially reduce the need for venous ultrasounds and pulmonary angiography to confirm the diagnosis of pulmonary emboli and thus reduce the cost of overall care. D-Dimer assays should not be used in isolation to exclude pulmonary embolism.38 Clinical studies using immunofiltration and immunotechniques turbidemetric for assaving D-dimers are in progress; however, they do not appear to be superior to the SimpliRED D-dimer approach (tables 5 and 6).

Conclusion

In summary, SimpliRED D-dimer assay tests may be useful in the exclusion of pulmonary

Table 4 SimpliRED D-dimer assay in detection of DVT or PE

Number of patients (PE)	% Sensitivity	% Specificity	% PPV	% NPV	Cut off	Ref
86 214	94 93 (proxDVT) 70 (distDVT)	66 77	38	98		Ginsberg <i>et al</i> (1995) ¹⁵ Wells <i>et al</i> (1995) ³⁵
183 234 45 1177 (197) 245	88 100 73–80 84.8 90 (PE)	65 58 77–80 68.4	23	98 100 85–89	350	Veitl et al (1996) ²⁹ Turkstra et al (1996) ³⁶ Mauron et al (1998) ¹⁸ Ginsberg et al (1998) ³⁷ de Groot et al (1999) ³⁸
265 562	93.3 (DVT) 90.4 (PE)	45.2 (DVT) 62.2 (PE)	34.3 (DVT) 48.7 (PE)	95.6 (DVT) 94.2 (PE) 98.1		Siragusa <i>et al</i> (1999) ³⁹ Wells et al (1999) ⁴⁰

See footnotes to table 2.

DVT, deep vein thrombosis; NPV, negative predictive value; PE, pulmonary embolism; PPV, positive predictive value.

Table 5 Immunofiltration D-dimer assay in detection of DVT or PE

Number of patients	% Sensitivity	% Specificity	% PPV	% NPV	Cut off	Ref
92	100	42	57	100	500	Dale et al (1994)20
183	95	33	14	98	500	Veitl et al (1996)29
84	95.3	22		81.8	500	Killick et al (1997)43
180	90	63	60	91	250	Lindahl et al (1998)33

See footnotes to table 2.

DVT, deep vein thrombosis; NPV, negative predictive value; PE, pulmonary embolism; PPV, positive predictive value.

Table 6 Immunoturbidimetric D-dimer assay in detection of DVT or PE

Number of patients	% Sensitivity	% Specificity	% PPV	% NPV	Cut off	Ref
183 128 (DVT) 26 (PE)	89 98 (DVT) 100 (PE)	57 44 (DVT) 50 (PE)	21	98	66	Veitl <i>et al</i> (1996) ²⁹ Knecht <i>et al</i> (1997) ²¹

See footnotes to table 2.

DVT, deep vein thrombosis; NPV, negative predictive value; PE, pulmonary embolism; PPV, positive predictive value.

> emboli when negative and accompanied by non-diagnostic lung scans and a low clinical probability. However, when clinically indicated, they would need to be followed up by more costly, perhaps more invasive studies, such a spiral CT scan or an arteriogram. Synthetic peptides designed to attach to a specific active binding site found on activated platelets are now also being evaluated as a potential non-invasive imaging technique in the diagnosis of a pulmonary embolism. Tc-99m (99mTc) or indium labelled antigens have been modelled against the glycoprotein IIb/IIIa platelet receptor complex (Tc-99m-P748, Tc-99m–P280, Tc-99m-DMP444, I-123-Bitistatin).⁴⁴ After injection of the radiotracer, the peptides bind to the activated platelets at the site of thrombosis, potentially enabling the diagnosis and localisation of the clot. However, the sensitivity and specificity in the detection of a thrombus, in addition to the exact role of this methodology in the diagnostic schema of pulmonary embolism, needs to be carefully determined in prospective randomised clinical trials.

> The authors thank AH Mavromatis for his help in designing the tables.

- 1 Laënnec RTH. Traite de l'auscultation mediate et des maladies des poumons et du coeur. Paris, 1819. Cited by Fleischer FG. In: Pulmonary embolic diseases. New York:
- Grune and Stratton, 1965. 2 Piccioli A, Prandoni P, Goldhaber SZ. Epidemiologic characteristics, management, and outcome of deep venous thrombosis in a tertiary-care hospital: The Brigham and Women's Hospital DVT registry. *Am Heart J* 1996;**132**:
- Stevanovic G, Tucakovic G, Dotlic R, et al. Correlation of clinical diagnoses with autopsy findings: a retrospective study of 2145 consecutive autopsies. *Hum Pathol* 1986;17: 1225-30.
- 4 Stein PD, Henry JW. Prevalence of acute pulmonary embolism among patients in a general hospital and at autopsy. *Chest* 1995;**108**:978–81.
- 5 PIOPED investigators. Value of the ventilation/perfusion scan in acute pulmonary embolism. JAMA 1990;263: 2752-9.
- 6 Stein PD, Henry JW. Clinical characteristics of patients with acute pulmonary embolism stratified according to their presenting syndromes. *Chest* 1997;**112**:974–9.
- Wells PS, Ginsberg JS, Anderson DR, et al. Use of a clinical model for safe management of patients with suspected pul-monary embolism. Ann Intern Med 1998;129:997-1005.
- 8 ACCP Consensus Committee on Pulmonary Embolism. Opinions regarding the diagnosis and management of
- Opmons regarding the diagnosis and management ovenous thromboembolic disease. Chest 1998;113:499–504.
 Goodman LR, Curtin JJ, Mewissen MW, et al. Detection of pulmonary embolism in patients with unresolved clinical and scintigraphic diagnosis: helical CT versus angiography. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1995;164:1369-74.

- 10 Stein PD, Athanasoulis C, Alavi A, et al. Complications and
- validity of pulmonary angiography in acute pulmonary embolism. *Circulation* 1992;85:462–8. Lesser BA, Leeper KV, Jr, Stein PD, et al. The diagnosis of acute pulmonary embolism in patients with chronic
- actic plantonary cinocism in partners with cinolic obstructive pulmonary disease. Chest 1992;102:17-22.
 12 Costello P. Spiral CT of the thorax. In: Seminars in ultrasound, CT, and MRI, Vol. 15, No 2. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 1994:90–108.
- 13 Lee AY, Julian JA, Levine MN. Clinical utility of a rapid whole-blood D-dimer assay in patients with cancer who present with suspected acute deep venous thrombosis. Ann Intern Med 1999;131:417-23.
- 14 Crippa L, D'Angelo SV, Tomassini L, et al. The utility and cost-effectiveness of D-dimer measurements in the diagnosis
- of deep vein thrombosis. *Haematologica* 1997;82:446–51.
 15 Ginsberg JS, Wells PS, Brill-Edwards P, et al. Application of a novel and rapid whole blood assay for D-dimer in patients with clinically suspected pulmonary embolism. Thromb Haemost 1995;73:35-8.
- Laaban JP, Achkar A, Horellou MH, et al. Value of plasma 16 D-dimer assays in the diagnosis of venous thromboembolism. Rev Mal Respir 1997;14:119–27
- Duet M, Benelhadj S, Kedra W, et al. A new quantitative 17 D-dimer assay appropriate in emergency: reliability of the assay for pulmonary embolism exclusion diagnosis. Thromb Res 1998;91:1-5.
- 18 Mauron T, Baumgartener I, S'Brun A, et al. SimpliRED D-dimer assay: comparability of capillary and citrated venous whole blood, between-assay variability, and performance of the test for exclusion of deep vein thrombosis in symptomatic outpatients. *Thromb Haemost* 1998;**79**: 1217–19.
- Turkstra F, Kuijer PMM, van Beek EIR, et al. Diagnostic 19 utility of ultrasonography of leg veins in patients suspe of having pulmonary embolism. Ann Intern Med 1997;126:
- 20 Dale S, Gogstad GO, Brosstad F, et al. Comparison of three D-dimer assays for the diagnosis of DVT: ELISA, latex and immunofiltration assay. *Thromb Haemost* 1994;71:270–4. 21 Knecht MF, Heinrich F. Clinical evaluation of an immuno-
- turbidimetric D-dimer assay in the diagnostic procedure of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. *Thromb* Res 1997;88:413-17.
- 22 Goldhaber SZ, Vaughan DE, Tumeh SS, et al. Utility of cross-linked fibrin degradation products in the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism. Am Heart J 1988;116:505-8
- Bournameaux H, Schneider PA, Reber G, et al. Measure-ment of plasma D-dimer for diagnosis of deep venous thrombosis. Am J Clin Pathol 1989;91:82-5.
 Bounameaux H, Schneider PA, Slosman D, et al. Plasma 23
- Bournameaux H, Schneider FA, Siosman D, et al. Flasma D-dimer in suspected pulmonary embolism: a comparison with pulmonary angiography and ventilation-perfusion scintigraphy. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis 1990;1:577–9. Bournameaux H, Cirafici P, de Moerloose P, et al. Measure-ment of D-dimer in plasma as diagnostic aid in suspected when ensure and when the Lower 1001;272:1066–200
- pulmonary embolism. *Lancet* 1991;**337**:196–200. 26 Lichey J, Reschofski I, Dissmann T, *et al.* Fibrin degradation
- product D-dimer in the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism. Klin Wochenschr 1991;**69**:522–6.
- Demers C, Ginsberg JJS, Johnston M, et al. D-Dimer and thrombin-antithrombin III complexes in patients with clinically suspected pulmonary embolism. Thromb Haemost 1992:67:408-12.
- 28 Goldhaber SZ, Simons GR, Elliott CG, et al. Quantitative plasma D-dimer levels among patients undergoing pulmonary angiography for suspected pulmonary embolism JAMA 1993;270:2819-22.
- Veitl M, Hamwi A, Kurtaran A, et al. Comparison of four rapid D-dimer tests for diagnosis of pulmonary embolism. 29 Thromb Res 1996;82:399-407.
- Leroyer C, Escoffre M, Le Moigne E, et al. Diagnostic value 30 of a new sensitive membrane based technique for instanta-neous D-dimer evaluation in patients with clinically suspected deep venous thrombosis. *Thromb Haemost* 1997; 77:637-40
- 31 Harrison KA, Haire WD, Pappas AA, et al. Plasma D-dimer: a useful tool for evaluating suspected pulmonary embolus. J Nucl Med 1993;**34**:896–8.
- 32 Oger E, Leroyer C, Bressollette L, et al. Evaluation of a new, rapid and quantitative D-dimer test in patients with suspected pulmonary embolism. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1998;158:65-70.
- Lindahl TL, Lundahl JH, Ranby M, et al. Clinical evaluation Shidam T., Lundam J., Kanoy M., et al. Clinical evaluation of a diagnostic strategy for deep venous thrombosis with exclusion by low plasma levels of fibrin degradation product D-dimer. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 1998;58:307-16.
 Escoffre-Barbe M, Oger E, Leroyer C, et al. Evaluation of a new rapid D-dimer assay for clinically suspected deep venous thrombosis (Liatest D-dimer). Am J Clin Pathol 1009:140:749-53
- 1998;109:748-53.
- Wells PS, Brill-Edwards P, Stevens P, et al. A novel and rapid 35 whole-blood assay for D-dimer in patients with clinically sus-
- pected deep vein thrombosis. *Circulation* 1995;91:2184-7. Turkstra F, van Beek EJ, ten Cate JW, *et al.* Reliable rapid blood test for the exclusion of venous thromboembolism in 36 symptomatic outpatients. Thromb Haemost 1996;76;9-11.
- Ginsberg JS, Wells PS, Kearon C, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of a rapid whole blood assay for D-dimer in the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism. *Ann Intern Med* 1998;**129**:1006–11.

- 38 de Groot MR, van Marjwikkooy M, Pouwels J, *et al.* The use of a rapid D-dimer blood test in the diagnostic work-up for pulmonary embolism: a management study. Blood 1999; 94(suppl 1):27a.
- 39 Siragusa S, Barone M, Serafini S, et al. Suspected acute venous thromboembolism in emergency medicine: evalua-tion of a simplified approach using a rapid D-Dimer test and compression ultrasonography of the lower limbs. *Blood* 1999;**94**(suppl 1):30a.
- 40 Wells PS, Anderson DR, Kovacs M, et al. Management of patients with suspected pulmonary embolism (PE) pre-senting to the emergency department (ED) using clinical probability and D-dimers. *Blood* 1999;**94**(suppl 1):25a.

- Wells PS, Anderson DR, Kovacs M, et al. Management of patients with suspected pulmonary embolism presenting to the emergency department using clinical probability and D-dimer. Blood 1999;94(suppl 1):25a.
 Rodger MA, Raymond F, Stiell IG, et al. Development and validation of a simple clinical prediction rule to exclude pulmonary embolism. Blood 1999;94(suppl 1):27a.
 Killick SB, Pentek PG, Mercieca JE, et al. Comparison of immunofiltration assay of plasma D-dimer with diagnostic imaging in deep vein thrombosis. Br J Haematol 1997;96:846-9.
 Pallela VR, Thakur ML, Consigny PM, et al. Imaging thromboembolism with Tc-99m-labeled thrombospondin receptor analogs TP-1201 and TP-1300. Thromb Res 1993; 93:191-201.



Contents

August 2001 Vol 54 No 4

Editorial

201	Molecular pathology of solid tumours: translating research into clinical practice. Introduction and overview <i>I Tomlinson</i>
Revie	ws
203	Molecular pathology of solid tumours: some practical suggestions for translating research into clinical practice <i>I P M Tomlinson</i> , <i>M Ilyas</i>
206	What we could do now: colorectal cancer R S Houlston
215	What we could do now: molecular pathology of bladder cancer M A Knowles
222	What we could do now: molecular pathology of gynaecological cancer C S Herrington
225	Commissioning laboratory services R D Turner
227	The IGF/IGFBP system in CNS malignancy W Zumkeller, M Westphal
Paper	'S
230	Human glioma cells transformed by IGF-I triple helix technology show immune and apoptotic characteristics determining cell selection for gene therapy of glioblastoma A Ly, H T Duc, M Kalamarides, L A Trojan, Y Pan, A Shevelev, J-C François, T Noël, A Kane, D Henin, D D Anthony, J Trojan
240	Microsatellite instability and mutational analysis of transforming growth factor β receptor type II gene (TGFBR2) in sporadic ovarian cancer $A \mathcal{J}Alvi, \mathcal{J}S$ Rader, M Broggini, F Latif, E R Maher
244	Identification of slide coagulase positive, tube coagulase negative <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> by 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequencing <i>PCY Woo, A S P Leung, K W Leung, K Y Yuen</i>
248	Nitric oxide synthase immunoreactivity in human bladder carcinoma M Shochina, Y Fellig, M Sughayer, G Pizov, K Vitner, D Podeh, A Hochberg, I Ariel
253	Application of laser capture microdissection and proteomics in colon cancer $L C Lawrie$, $S Curran, H L McLeod, J E Fothergill, G I Murray$
259	Absence of CCND1 gene amplification in breast tumours of BRCA1 mutation carriers S A J Vaziri, R R Tubbs, G Darlington, G Casey
264	Epstein-Barr virus infection in paediatric liver transplant recipients: detection of the virus in post-transplant tonsillectomy specimens N Meru, S Davison, L Whitehead, A Jung, D Mutimer, N Rooney, D Kelly, G Niedobitek
270	Chromosomal abnormalities in liver cell dysplasia detected by comparative genomic hybridisation A Marchio, B Terris, M Meddeb, P Pineau, A Duverger, P Tiollais, A Bernheim, A Dejean
275	Differential expression of the ccn3 (nov) proto -oncogene in human prostate cell lines and tissues M Maillard, B Cadot, R Y Ball, K Sethia, D R Edwards, B Perbal, R Tatoud