

Electronic letters

Mutational germline analysis of *hMSH2* and *hMLH1* genes in early onset colorectal cancer patients

EDITOR—Hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC) is a heterogeneous autosomal dominant disease with incomplete penetrance. The frequency is estimated at 1:200/1:1000. HNPCC results from constitutional mutation in one of the five human mismatch repair genes (MMR) that have so far been implicated: *hMSH2*, *hMLH1*, *hPMS1*, *hPMS2*, and *hMSH6*.^{1,2} *hMSH2* and *hMLH1* account for the majority of mutations found in HNPCC families (25-70%). The function of MMR genes is to maintain genetic stability and tumour DNA from HNPCC patients shows an accumulation of replication errors exhibiting an instability phenotype at microsatellite loci (MSI).^{3,4}

Standard criteria have been established to define HNPCC clinically. These are known as the "Amsterdam criteria" and require that at least two generations be affected by colorectal cancer (CRC), that three or more relatives with histologically verified CRC be present, one of whom is a first degree relative of the other two, and at least one case of CRC be diagnosed before the age of 50.⁵ The syndrome is characterised by synchronous and metachronous CRC tumours and by association of certain types of extracolonic tumours, especially endometrial neoplasia.⁶

In 45-86% of cases,⁷ genetic testing characterises the mutation in the index patient for each HNPCC family identified and predicts a possible predisposition to colon and/or related syndrome cancer in at risk subjects of the same kindred. In clinical practice, however, besides HNPCC families, there are families with multiple CRC patients that do not fulfil the Amsterdam criteria, or with a

J Med Genet 2000;37 (<http://jmedgenet.com/cgi/content/full/37/7/e7>)

single case of early onset CRC, or with multiple primary tumours. These features can suggest an HNPCC syndrome. In these instances, the percentage of germline mutations of MMR genes is low and the lifetime incidence of colorectal cancer is lower than in Amsterdam families.^{8,9} However, because of the diagnostic and prognostic value of the correct ascertainment of HNPCC families, efforts should be made in order to identify all cases. Since the search for mutations in the *hMSH2* and *hMLH1* genes is time consuming, expensive, and available only at selected centres, it is important to establish alternative criteria to the Amsterdam criteria in order to select patients in whom MMR gene analysis should be undertaken. Since early onset CRC may suggest a genetic predisposition, we decided to analyse patients with onset of colorectal cancer before the age of 50, with the purpose of identifying clinical and molecular criteria that are simpler than the Amsterdam criteria, but may predict a positive outcome of genetic testing in patients suspected of HNPCC with no definitive demonstration or family history of the disease. We thus carried out molecular analysis for the detection of germline mutations of *hMSH2* and *hMLH1* genes, as well as MSI analysis in matched tumour and normal tissue, in 54 consecutive patients with occurrence of CRC between 20 and 50 years of age. Patients were recruited from various surgical and clinical units. Family history was not considered as an entry criterion, although the family history allowed us to separate these patients into three groups: 24 patients with no family history of CRC who were classified as sporadic; 14 patients with a family history not fulfilling the Amsterdam criteria, mostly reporting only one first degree relative with CRC or more relatives with cancer in other sites, who were classified as having a positive family history; and 16 patients whose family history fulfilled the Amsterdam criteria. Information was collected with regard to the type and site of cancer in the affected subjects (tables 1, 2, and 3). All patients gave their informed consent for the

Table 1 Microsatellite instability (MSI) status and *hMSH2* and *hMLH1* germline mutations in sporadic early onset colorectal cancer patients

Patient	Age	Colon cancer site	Synchronous metachronous cancer	MSI	PTT	SSCP	MMR gene alteration/ effect on coding sequence
SI521	48	R	+	-	-	-	-
CA98	49	R	-	-	-	-	-
SI520	43	Sigmoid	-	-	-	-	-
SI530	41	Rectum	+	-	-	-	-
CA185	40	R	-	+	-	-	-
SI532	47	Caecum	Lung	+	-	+	<i>hMLH1</i> L260R* Missense
SI619	44	R	-	-	-	-	-
SI525	40	Rectum	-	-	-	-	-
SI524	44	R	-	+	-	+	<i>hMSH2</i> G321D Missense
BA779	46	R	-	+	-	-	-
TO516	47	R	-	-	-	-	-
SI602	45	R	-	-	-	-	-
CA133	48	Sigmoid	-	-	-	-	-
SI510	47	Sigmoid	-	+	-	-	-
SI601	35	Rectum	-	-	-	-	-
GE602	37	R	-	+	-	+	<i>hMLH1</i> L260R* Missense
CA474	35	R	-	-	-	-	-
GE604	32	Rectum	-	-	-	-	-
SI518	36	Transverse	-	NT	-	-	-
CA136	35	R	-	+	-	-	-
GE501	26	R	Ileum	-	-	-	-
SI513	26	Rectum	-	-	-	-	-
CA22	27	R	-	+	-	-	-
CA117	33	Transverse	-	+	-	-	-

R, right; L, left; NT, not tested.

*Mutations not previously reported. Mutations are reported according to Beaudet and Tsui.¹⁵

Table 2 Microsatellite instability (MSI) status and hMSH2 and hMLH1 germline mutations in early onset colorectal cancer patients with a family history

Patient	Age	Colon cancer site	Synchronous metachronous cancer	MSI	PTT	SSCP	MMR gene alteration/ effect on coding sequence
SI509	48	L	Gastric	-	-	-	-
TO502	34	Sigmoid	-	-	-	-	-
SI533	41	Caecum	Ileum	+	-	-	-
SI526	46	Rectum	-	-	-	-	-
SI502	23	Rectum	-	-	-	-	-
SI625	48	R	+	NT	-	-	-
SI511	30	Sigmoid	-	NT	-	-	-
TO622	47	R	-	-	-	-	-
CA68	30	Sigmoid	-	+	-	-	-
SI531	44	Sigmoid	-	+	-	-	-
SI515	49	R	+	-	-	-	-
TO514	46	R	-	-	-	-	-
SI507	32	R	-	NT	-	-	-
TO605	38	R	-	+	-	-	-

R, right; L, left; NT, not tested.

Table 3 Microsatellite instability (MSI) status and hMSH2 and hMLH1 germline mutations in HNPCC patients

Patient	Age	Colon cancer site	Synchronous metachronous cancer	MSI	PTT	SSCP	MMR gene alteration/ effect on coding sequence
F93	27	R	-	-	-	-	-
F1	36	R	-	+	-	-	-
SIF1	37	L	+	+	-	+	hMLH1 D304V* Missense
F102	29	R	+	+	+	+	hMLH1 IVS 8 + A→G Out of frame deletion ex 8
F91	33	R	-	-	-	-	-
F197	43	Sigmoid	-	+	+	+	hMLH1 IVS 15 + G→A Out of frame deletion ex 15 hMSH2 G845X* Nonsense
G726	20	R	-	+	+	+	-
F33	35	R	-	+	-	-	-
F100	33	R	-	+	-	-	-
F89	39	R	-	+	-	-	-
F92	45	R	-	+	-	-	-
F90	50	R	-	+	-	+	hMLH1 I219V Polymorphism hMSH2 IVS 10 - 10 G→A
F719	46	Caecum	-	-	-	+	Polymorphism hMLH1 K618T
F103	47	L	+	-	-	+	Polymorphism hMSH2 IVS 5 + A→T
SI505	38	L	-	+	+	+	In frame deletion ex 5 hMSH2 399 ins CA*
CA135	50	R	-	+	+	+	Nonsense

R, right; L, left.

*Mutations not previously reported. Mutations are reported according to Beaudet and Tsui.¹⁵

collection of personal data and blood and tissue samples. Molecular analysis of at risk relatives of patients with an identified mutation was carried out and their clinical screening outcome was also followed up.

Germline mutations were detected on peripheral blood or lymphoblastoid cell lines by the protein truncation test (PTT), single strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP), and direct DNA sequencing in an ABI PRISM™ 377 DNA SEQUENCER (Perkin Elmer). SSCP was carried out on a non-denaturing 5-20% polyacrylamide gel and run in a Multiphor II System (Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden) at two temperatures, 12°C and 23°C. Genomic DNA sequences corresponding to the 16 hMSH2 exons and intron-exon junctions were amplified with primer pairs described by Liu *et al.*¹⁰ The 19 hMLH1 exons and intron-exon junctions were amplified by PCR using primer pairs described by Han *et al.*¹¹ except for exons 7 and 12 which were amplified using nested PCR with primer pairs described by Kolodner *et al.*¹² The PTT test was performed using primer pairs with a T7 promoter for transcription and a consensus sequence for the initiation of translation in frame with hMLH1 and hMSH2.¹³ Primers for hMLH1 are described by Papadopoulos *et al.*¹⁴ Primers for hMSH2 are described by Liu *et al.*¹⁰ PCR fragments were transcribed and translated in vitro using TNT Coupled Reticulocytes Lysate System

reaction kit (Promega, Madison, WI), incorporating 5 µl ³⁵S methionine.

Analysis of MSI was carried out on normal and tumoral tissue DNA by amplification of two mononucleotide repeats (BAT 25 and 26) and the dinucleotide repeats D2S123, D5S136, and D3S1611. A sample was considered positive for MSI when two of five PCR products of tumoral DNA showed the presence of novel bands that were not visible in the PCR products of the corresponding normal tissue DNA.

In 38 patients classified as sporadic and with familial clustering of colon cancer, 13 tumours out of 34 tested (38%) showed MSI, and in three patients (8%) germline mutations were detected (tables 1, 2, and 4). The three mutations are missense. Mutation G321D in exon 6 of

Table 4 Frequency of microsatellite instability (MSI) positive tumours and hMSH2 and hMLH1 germline mutations in early onset colorectal cancer patients

No of patients	MSI positive (%)	hMSH2, hMLH1 germline mutations (%)	% of mutations in MSI positive tumours
Non-HNPCC	38	13 (38)*	3 (8)
HNPCC	16	12 (75)	6 (37)
Total	54	25 (50)*	9 (17)

*Four patients not tested.

hMSH2 found in patient S1524 has been previously described as causative of disease.¹⁶ Mutation L260R in exon 9 of *hMLH1* was detected in patients S1532 and GE602. This mutation has not been previously described and we could not find it in more than 100 normal subjects examined. Laboratory contamination was excluded by multiple controls with new samples of DNA obtained separately from both patients. The two patients come from different regions of Italy and deny any relationship between their families. The mother of patient GE602 showed the same mutation and had two villous adenomas on the control colonoscopy done at the age of 62. No other mutation positive subjects were found in seven healthy adult first degree relatives of these patients.

In young patients belonging to HNPCC families, 12 tumour tissues (75%) were MSI positive; of these, nine presented a constitutional MMR gene shift on SSCP and five a truncated PTT product (table 3). All alterations were characterised. Three mutations have not been previously reported as pathogenetic germline mutations, G845X and 399 insCA, resulting in stop codons, found in the *hMSH2* gene in patients G726 and CA135 respectively, and a missense alteration at nt 912 of *hMLH1* with a change Asp→Val (D304V) in patient SIF1. To our knowledge, this change has never been identified in healthy persons. We examined 15 members of this kindred; six of these were positive, four with colon or endometrial cancer and two under 20 years of age with normal colonoscopy. We considered this mutation of clinical significance.

Patients F719 and F103, whose tumours did not show MSI, exhibited DNA germline missense changes. The first is an already known DNA polymorphism and the second has been described as causative of disease,¹¹ but in our case, given the stability of MS, we considered it a neutral mutation. This raises the question of the pathogenetic significance of missense mutations whose effects are not obvious without further analysis. As shown in tables 1, 2, and 3, right sided colon cancer, including the caecum, was involved in 33 patients (61%), while synchronous and/or metachronous tumours were found in 11 patients (20%).

Molecular testing for detection of germline mutation for HNPCC is extremely valuable but certainly not simple, owing to heterogeneity of the disease, to the incomplete penetrance, and because of the relatively low percentage of mutations found. Even though HNPCC is reported to constitute almost 1-10% of colorectal cancers, many HNPCC related cancers probably go unrecognised. In clinical practice, it is often impossible to select patients whose families fulfil the Amsterdam criteria because of the small family size, the impracticability of compiling a verified family history, and the lack of a characteristic clinical phenotype. Various strategies have therefore been proposed to identify cases of non-Amsterdam HNPCC. Aaltonen *et al*¹⁷ recently tested a series of 509 consecutive tumour tissues for MSI and found that 12% of tumours exhibited MSI; of these, 2% had germline mutation of *hMSH2* or *hMLH1*. Patients with germline mutations had a positive family history and, in several cases, fulfilled the Amsterdam criteria. Evidence was therefore provided that analysis for MSI followed by analysis of MMR genes can identify cases of familial colon cancer. In an editorial on this study, Lynch and Smyrk¹⁸ criticised this approach because, since almost all patients with an identified mutation had a family history, mutational analysis could have been performed directly. They concluded that reliance on family history is the most cost effective way to identify HNPCC. Since the aim of our study was to identify HNPCC patients in apparently sporadic cases of CRC, we chose to select patients for the early occurrence of cancer. This is in accordance with recent studies. Having identified

27% of MSI positive tumours and 8% of MMR germline mutations in families not fulfilling the Amsterdam criteria, Wijnen *et al*⁹ proposed a logistic method for estimating the probability of detecting germline mutations. According to this method, the early age of diagnosis and the MSI status are clinical and molecular conditions that can improve the rate of detection of MMR gene mutations.

Farrington *et al*¹⁹ examined a cohort of 50 patients with extremely early onset of CRC, without considering the family history. They found a high sensitivity and sensibility of MSI status and suggested genetic testing for all cancer patients <30 years of age.

Furthermore, Cravo *et al*²¹ reviewed the Bethesda guidelines²² for indications of MSI testing, concluding that the clinical criteria of age <45 years, right side of tumour, and mucinous component are easier to implement than the Bethesda guidelines and sufficiently discriminating to proceed to MSI testing. Our results show that, although MSI was present in 13/34 tumours examined in sporadic and in non-Amsterdam patients, only three germline mutations have been found; this contrasts with HNPCC patients, in whom six pathogenetic germline mutations have been detected on 11 MS unstable tumours. Our data are in accordance with previous studies^{9, 22} and we confirm that, in the absence of a significant family history, all patients with CRC under the age of 50 should undergo MSI status testing followed, in positive cases, by molecular analysis of the two most frequently involved MMR genes. Right sided location of cancer should also be taken into consideration as an associated risk factor. The low percentage of mutations in young non-HNPCC patients carrying unstable tumours could be because of mutation of other MMR genes, which are not tested because they are rarely involved in HNPCC, or in genes not yet isolated. Very recently, the involvement of the *hMSH6* gene has been hypothesised for early onset colorectal cancer with MSI for mononucleotide repeat markers²³; the *MED-1* gene was cloned which interacts with *hMLH1* protein and its mutant form is associated with MSI.²⁴ It is possible that mutations of these genes might be responsible for some MSI positive HNPCC cases.

The cloning of MMR genes has heralded a new era for the genetic counselling of patients with a family history of non-polyposis colorectal cancer. On the basis of such information, people should be able to make decisions about screening and prevention strategies with a more precise estimation of their risks. However, all the current data on the risk of developing CRC associated with MMR gene mutations have so far come from large, high risk families. This constitutes a rather obvious bias, which can be avoided by assessing the presence of MMR gene mutations in affected subjects belonging to small families, families not fulfilling the Amsterdam criteria, or in single cases of early onset of CRC. In addition, to be really certain about the risks of carriers, we need to identify many different MMR gene mutations in order to evaluate their possible meaning and penetrance. However, we cannot ignore the fact that differences in cancer risk conferred by mutated MMR genes may be the consequence of modifier factors, either genetic or environmental, that also run in families. Thus, gene carriers in high risk families might have a greater risk not just because they are gene carriers but also because they carry a greater burden of other risk modifiers. Searching for MMR gene mutations and characterising the different status (affected or not) of gene carriers could contribute to the identification of such modifiers.

This work was supported by AIRC, Associazione Italiana Ricerca sul Cancro (Progetto Speciale Tumori Ereditari del Colon), MURST, Ministero dell' Università e della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica, Cofinanziamento 1998, CNR Progetto Finalizzato ACRO 94.1142.039.

MARIAPINA MONTERA**

NICOLETTA RESTA*
 CRISTIANO SIMONE*
 GINEVRA GUANTI*
 CRISTIANA MARCHESI†
 SERENELLA CIVITELLI‡
 ANNA MANCINI‡
 SARAH POZZI§
 LUIGI DE SALVO¶
 DEBORAH BRUZZONE¶
 ALESSANDRA DONADINI**
 LEILA ROMIO**
 CRISTINA MARENI**

*Dipartimento di Medicina Interna e del Lavoro, Sezione di Genetica Medica, Università di Bari, Bari, Italy

†Ospedale Mauriziano, Torino, Italy

‡Istituto di Clinica Chirurgica, Università di Siena, Italy

§Centro Biotecnologie Avanzate, Università di Genova, Genova, Italy

¶Istituto di Clinica Chirurgica, Università di Genova, Genova, Italy

**Dipartimento di Medicina Interna (DIMI), Università di Genova, Viale Benedetto XV/6, 16132 Genova, Italy

Correspondence to: Dr Mareni, mareni@unige.it

- 1 Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Lessons from hereditary colorectal cancer. *Cell* 1998;**87**:159-70.
- 2 Miyaki M, Konishi M, Tanaka K, Kikuchi-Yanoshita R, Muaroka M, Ysuno M, Igari T, Koike M, Chiba M, Mori T. Germline mutation of MSH6 as the cause of hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. *Nat Genet* 1997;**17**:271-2.
- 3 Aaltonen LA, Peltomaki P, Leach FS, Sistonen P, Pylkkanen L, Mecklin JP, Jarvinen H, Powell SM, Jen J, Hamilton SR, Petersen GM, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, De La Chapelle A. Clues to the pathogenesis of familial colorectal cancer. *Science* 1993;**260**:812-16.
- 4 Thibodeau SN, Bren G, Schaid D. Microsatellite instability in cancer of the proximal colon. *Science* 1993;**260**:616-19.
- 5 Vasen HFA, Mecklin JP, Khan PM, Lynch HT. The International Collaborative Group on Hereditary Non-Polyposis Colorectal Cancer (ICG-HNPCC). *Dis Colon Rectum* 1991;**34**:424-5.
- 6 Vasen HFA, Watson P, Mecklin JP, Lynch HT, the ICG-HNPCC. New clinical criteria for hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC, Lynch syndrome) proposed by International Collaborative Group of HNPCC. *Gastroenterology* 1999;**116**:1453-56.
- 7 Lynch HT, de la Chapelle A. Genetic susceptibility to non-polyposis colorectal cancer. *J Med Genet* 1999;**36**:801-18.
- 8 Wijnen J, Khan PM, Vasen H, Vand Der Klift H, Mulder A, Van Leeuwen-Cornelisse I, Bakker B, Losekoot M, Moller P, Fodde R. Hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer families not complying with the Amsterdam criteria show extremely low frequency of mismatch-repair-gene mutations. *Am J Hum Genet* 1997;**61**:329-35.
- 9 Wijnen JT, Vasen HFA, Meera Khan P, Zwinderman AH, Van Der Klift H, Mulder A, Tops C, Moller P, Fodde R. Clinical findings with implications for genetic testing in families with clustering of colorectal cancer. *N Engl J Med* 1998;**339**:511-18.
- 10 Liu B, Parson RE, Hamilton SR, Petersen GM, Lynch HT, Watson P, Markowitz S, Willon SKV, Green J, De La Chapelle A, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. hMSH2 mutations in hereditary non polyposis colorectal cancer kindreds. *Cancer Res* 1994;**54**:4590-4.
- 11 Han HJ, Marujama M, Baba S, Park JG, And N, Akamura Y. Genomic structure of human mismatch repair gene, hMLH1, and its mutation analysis in patients with hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC). *Hum Mol Genet* 1995;**4**:237-42.
- 12 Kolodner RD, Hall NR, Lipford J, Kane M.F, Morrison PT, Finan PJ, Burn J, Chapman P, Earabino C, Merchant E, Bishop DT. Structure of the human MLH1 locus and analysis of a large hereditary non polyposis colorectal carcinoma kindred for MLH1 mutation. *Cancer Res* 1995;**55**:242-8.
- 13 Powell SM, Petersen G.M, Krush A J, Booker S, Jen J, Giardiello FM, Hamilton SR, Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW. Molecular diagnosis of familial adenomatous polyposis. *N Engl J Med* 1993;**329**:1982-7.
- 14 Papadopoulos N, Nicolaides NC, Wei YF, Carter KC, Ruben SM, Carter KC, Rosen CA, Haseltine WA, Fleischmann RD, Fraser CM, Adams MD, Venter JC, Hamilton SR, Petersen GM, Watson P, Lynch H, Peltomaki P, Mecklin JP, De La Chapelle A, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Mutation of a mutL homolog in hereditary colon cancer. *Science* 1994;**263**:1625-9.
- 15 Beaudet AL, Tsui L. A suggested nomenclature for designating mutations. *Hum Mutat* 1993;**2**:245-8.
- 16 Peltomaky P, Vasen HFA, the International Collaborative Group on Hereditary Non Polyposis Colorectal Cancer. Mutations predisposing to hereditary colorectal cancer: database and results of a collaborative study. *Gastroenterology* 1997;**113**:1146-50.
- 17 Aaltonen LA, Salovaara R, Kristo P, Canzian F, Hemminki A, Peltomaki P, Chadwick RB, Kaariainen H, Eskelinen M, Jarvinen H, Mecklin JP, De La Chapelle A. Incidence of hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer and the feasibility of molecular screening for the disease. *N Engl J Med* 1998;**338**:1481-7.
- 18 Lynch HT, Smyrk TC. Identifying hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. *N Engl J Med* 1998;**338**:1537-8.
- 19 Farrington SM, Lin-Goerke J, Ling J, Wang Y, Burczak JD, Robbins DJ, Dunlop MG. Systematic analysis of hMSH2 and hMLH1 in young colon cancer patients and controls. *Am J Hum Genet* 1999;**63**:749-59.
- 20 Cravo ML, Fidalgo PO, Lage PA, Albuquerque CM, Chaves PP, Claro I, Gomes T, Gaspar C, Soares JO, Nombro-Leitao C. Validation and simplification of Bethesda guidelines for identifying apparently sporadic forms of colorectal carcinoma with microsatellite instability. *Cancer* 1999;**85**:779-85.
- 21 Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Boland CR, Hamilton SR, Henson DE, Jass JR, Khan PM, Lynch HT, Perucho M, Smyrk T, Sabin L, Srivastava S. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Hereditary Nonpolyposis Colorectal Cancer Syndrome: meeting highlights and Bethesda guidelines. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1997;**89**:1758-62.
- 22 Liu B, Farrington SM, Petersen GM, Hamilton SR, Parsons R, Papadopoulos N, Fujiwara T, Jen J, Kinzler K, Wyllie AH, Vogelstein B, Dunlop MG. Genetic instability occurs in the majority of young patients with colorectal cancer. *Nat Med* 1995;**1**:348-52.
- 23 Verma L, Kane MF, Brassat C, Schmeits J, Evans DGR, Kolodner RD, Maher ER. Mononucleotide microsatellite instability and germline MSH6 mutation analysis in early onset colorectal cancer. *J Med Genet* 1999;**36**:678-82.
- 24 Bellacosa A, Cicchillitti L, Schepis F, Riccio A, Yeung AT, Matsumoto Y, Golemis EA, Genuardi M, Neri G. MED1, a novel human methyl-CpG-binding endonuclease, interacts with DNA mismatch repair protein MLH1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1999;**96**:3969-74.

PostScript

CORRECTION

In the July 2000 issue of the journal (*J Med Genet* 2000;37:e7), in the electronic letter by Montera *et al*, two mutations were wrongly described in table 3. For patient F102, the mutation should have read hMLH1 IVS7 -2 a→g, out of frame del exon 8. For patient CA135, it should have read hMSH2 400 ins CA, nonsense.

Clinical Evidence—Call for contributors

Clinical Evidence is a regularly updated evidence based journal available worldwide both as a paper version and on the internet. *Clinical Evidence* needs to recruit a number of new contributors. Contributors are health care professionals or epidemiologists with experience in evidence based medicine and the ability to write in a concise and structured way.

Currently, we are interested in finding contributors with an interest in the following clinical areas:

Altitude sickness; Autism; Basal cell carcinoma; Breast feeding; Carbon monoxide poisoning; Cervical cancer; Cystic fibrosis; Ectopic pregnancy; Grief/bereavement; Halitosis; Hodgkins disease; Infectious mononucleosis (glandular fever); Kidney stones; Malignant melanoma (metastatic); Mesothelioma; Myeloma; Ovarian cyst; Pancreatitis (acute); Pancreatitis (chronic); Polymyalgia rheumatica; Post-partum haemorrhage; Pulmonary embolism; Recurrent miscarriage; Repetitive strain injury; Scoliosis; Seasonal affective disorder; Squint; Systemic lupus erythematosus; Testicular cancer; Varicocele; Viral meningitis; Vitiligo

However, we are always looking for others, so do not let this list discourage you.

Being a contributor involves:

- Appraising the results of literature searches (performed by our Information Specialists) to identify high quality evidence for inclusion in the journal.
- Writing to a highly structured template (about 2000–3000 words), using evidence from selected studies, within 6–8 weeks of receiving the literature search results.
- Working with *Clinical Evidence* Editors to ensure that the text meets rigorous epidemiological and style standards.
- Updating the text every eight months to incorporate new evidence.
- Expanding the topic to include new questions once every 12–18 months.

If you would like to become a contributor for *Clinical Evidence* or require more information about what this involves please send your contact details and a copy of your CV, clearly stating the clinical area you are interested in, to Claire Folkes (cfolkes@bmjgroup.com).

Call for peer reviewers

Clinical Evidence also needs to recruit a number of new peer reviewers specifically with an interest in the clinical areas stated above, and also others related to general practice. Peer reviewers are health care professionals or epidemiologists with experience in evidence based medicine. As a peer reviewer you would be asked for your views on the clinical relevance, validity, and accessibility of specific topics within the journal, and their usefulness to the intended audience (international generalists and health care professionals, possibly with limited statistical knowledge). Topics are usually 2000–3000 words in length and we would ask you to review between 2–5 topics per year. The peer review process takes place throughout the year, and our turnaround time for each review is ideally 10–14 days.

If you are interested in becoming a peer reviewer for *Clinical Evidence*, please complete the peer review questionnaire at www.clinicalevidence.com or contact Claire Folkes (cfolkes@bmjgroup.com).