LETTER TO JMG

Incidence of non-founder *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations in high risk Ashkenazi breast and ovarian cancer families

N D Kauff, P Perez-Segura, M E Robson, L Scheuer, B Siegel, A Schluger, B Rapaport, T S Frank, K Nafa, N A Ellis, G Parmigiani, K Offit

.....

J Med Genet 2002;39:611-614

nherited predisposition to cancer is a major contributor to the breast and ovarian cancer burden among people of Ashkenazi ancestry. Approximately 2.5% of all people of Ashkenazi Jewish descent carry one of three ancient (founder) mutations in *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* (185delAG or 5382insC in *BRCA1* and 6174delT in *BRCA2*).¹⁻³ In a recent population based study, 29% of Jewish women with ovarian cancer were shown to carry one of these three founder mutations.⁴ In a series of 220 high risk Ashkenazi breast cancer families, a founder *BRCA* mutation was detected in 44%. If ovarian cancer was present in the kindred, 73% of families segregated a founder *BRCA* mutation.⁵

Despite the high proportion of hereditary breast and ovarian cancer attributed to founder mutations of *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* in this population, some Ashkenazi families with histories highly suggestive of an inherited cancer predisposition have been shown to segregate other (non-founder) mutations of *BRCA1*⁶ or *BRCA2*.⁷ Counselling of families considering full sequence *BRCA* genotyping is complicated by the limited information available regarding the incidence of these non-founder mutations in the Ashkenazi population.

We present a series of Ashkenazi Jewish kindreds at hereditary risk for breast and ovarian cancer who do not segregate one of the three Ashkenazi founder mutations and who have undergone full sequencing of the coding regions and flanking intronic regions of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*. Using the BRCAPRO algorithm, we have estimated whether the prevalence of nonfounder *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations in a genetic isolate (Ashkenazim) is consistent with the background rate in an admixed population, or if selective or other effects have led to a non-founder mutation rate lower than would be expected.

METHODS

Records of all patients seen by the Clinical Genetics Service at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) from 1.6.95 to 30.6.01, who identified themselves as being of Ashkenazi Jewish descent, and who consented to participate in an ongoing study evaluating the clinical significance of germline *BRCA* mutations, were reviewed. Seventy patients with a personal history of breast or ovarian cancer who underwent full sequence evaluation of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* after testing negative for the three Ashkenazi founder mutations were identified. Demographic information for these patients is summarised in table 1.

Founder mutation testing was performed in the Diagnostic Molecular Genetics Laboratory at MSKCC using previously published methods.⁸⁻¹⁰ In some cases, samples were split and were genotyped a second time at the University of Washington as part of an ongoing cohort study. Sequencing of the coding regions and flanking intronic regions was carried out by Myriad Genetics Laboratories as previously described.¹¹ All deleterious mutations were confirmed by single amplicon DNA sequencing in the Diagnostic Molecular Genetics Laboratory at MSKCC.

A three generation pedigree for each kindred was entered into the BRCAPRO¹²⁻¹⁴ model using CancerGene interface (Version 3.3, University of Texas Southwestern). BRCAPRO is a predictive model using pedigree information of first and second degree relatives and published prevalence^{15–16} and penetrance^{17–18} estimates of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* for both Ashkenazi and non-Ashkenazi populations to determine the probability of a deleterious *BRCA* mutation in a person. The model incorporates statistical assumptions for autosomal

Sex	
Female	70 (100%)
Male	0 (0%)
Mean age at time of counselling	51.4 (range 32-84)
Mean age at initial cancer diagnosis	46.8 (range 30-78)
Personal cancer history	· · · · ·
Breast cancer	59 (84.3%)
Bilateral breast cancer	7 (10.0%)
Ovarian cancer	2 (2.9%)
Breast and ovarian cancer	1 (1.4%)
Bilateral breast and ovarian cancer	1 (1.4%)
Mean number of first and second degree relatives with breast cancer (includes proband)	2.41 (range 0-5)
Mean number of first and second degree relatives with ovarian cancer (includes proband)	0.24 (range 0-2)
Mean age of breast cancer diagnosis in first and second degree relatives	51.2 (range 30-95)
Mean age of ovarian cancer diagnosis in first and second degree relatives	60.2 (range 40-81)
Number of site specific breast cancer pedigrees (no ovarian cancer in pedigree)	56 (80%)

Kindred	Gene Mutation Type		Туре	Citations in BIC ²¹ database	
1	BRCA2	9325insA	Frameshift: T3033, 3043X	Novel	
2	BRCA2	2082insA	Frameshift: A618, 621X	2	
3	BRCA2	1982delA	Frameshift: K585, 613X	2	

Kindred	Gene	Exon	Nucleotide change	Amino acid change	Citations in BIC ²² database	Results of segregation analysis
1	BRCA1	11	3832C>T	P1238L	15	Segregation analysis uninformative*
5	BRCA2	10	2117C>T	T630I	6	Family structure not amenable to analysis
5	BRCA2	11	6566A>G	N2113S	1	Mutation did not cosegregate with disease
7	BRCA2	11	2192C>T	P655R	23	Segregation analysis not done†
3	BRCA2	11	2192C>T	P655R	23	Segregation analysis not done [†]
7	BRCA2	11	5298A>C	K1690N	6	Family structure not amenable to analysis
	BRCA2	15	7772C>T	T2525I	14	
10	BRCA2	11	5540G>A	G1771D	6	No segregation analysis attempted
	BRCA2	23	9304C>G	Q3026E	1	

*Segregation analysis attempted on archival tissue. PCR amplitication was unsuccessful.

This mutation has not cosegregated with disease in at least four other families (personal communication, Myriad Genetic Laboratories).

dominant transmission and performs Bayesian risk calculations. The model has been validated in several previous studies in both the Ashkenazi and non-Ashkenazi populations.^{19 20} For kindreds in which a *BRCA* founder mutation was not present, carrier probability for non-founder alleles was calculated using non-Ashkenazi prevalence and penetrance functions.¹⁴ The expected number of mutation carriers and 95% confidence intervals were estimated using a bootstrap analysis.²¹

RESULTS

Three (4.3%) of the 70 kindreds were found to segregate protein truncating BRCA mutations (table 2). In kindred 1, the proband was diagnosed with breast cancer at the age of 30, her mother with ovarian cancer at the age of 48, and her maternal grandfather's sister with breast cancer in her 40s. A frameshift mutation in BRCA2, 9325insA, resulting in a stop codon at amino acid position 3043 was found. In kindred 2, the proband was diagnosed with breast cancer at the age of 68, her mother with breast cancer at the age of 82, and her maternal grandmother, two maternal aunts, and two maternal first cousins were diagnosed with breast cancer in their 40s to 80s. An additional maternal aunt was diagnosed with breast cancer in her 40s and ovarian cancer in her 80s. A frameshift mutation in BRCA2, 2082insA, resulting in a stop codon at amino acid 621 was present. In kindred 3, the proband was diagnosed with breast cancer at the age of 42, and a paternal aunt and paternal grandfather's sister were diagnosed with breast cancer in their 50s. A frameshift mutation in BRCA2, 1982delA, was present resulting in a stop codon at amino acid 613.

Seven patients were shown to have a total of nine missense mutations of uncertain clinical significance (table 3). The P655R variant in *BRCA2* was seen in two kindreds. This variant has been reported 23 times in the Breast Cancer Information Core (BIC)²² database and has failed to cosegregate with disease in at least four families (personal communication, Myriad Genetic Laboratories). Analysis of a novel mutation in *BRCA2*, N2113S, failed to show cosegregation of this variant allele with disease in kindred 6. Segregation analysis was attempted on kindred 4 using archival tissue without success. In the remaining cases, family structures were either not amenable to segregation analysis (two kindreds) or segregation analysis was not attempted (one kindred).

Using the BRCAPRO model and prevalence and penetrance functions for non-Ashkenazi populations, the probands of families 1, 2, and 3 had a predicted probability of carrying a deleterious *BRCA* mutation of 25%, 63%, and 4%, respectively. The range of predicted mutation probabilities obtained for the entire cohort was 0-99%. When the entire cohort was analysed using a bootstrap analysis, 13.82 (95% CI 8.89 to 18.74) patients in this cohort would be expected to have a deleterious mutation assuming that the incidence of non-founder mutations in the Ashkenazi population is the same as in the non-Ashkenazi population.

DISCUSSION

Our results show a significantly lower incidence of nonfounder *BRCA* mutations in the Ashkenazi Jewish population than would be expected if the underlying rate of these mutations were similar to the general population. In two series published to date, two deleterious non-founder mutations and seven missense mutations of uncertain clinical significance have been identified in 69 high risk Ashkenazi Jewish families (table 4).^{23 24} Our results are consistent with these findings.

Some of the discrepancy between the observed and expected number of mutations may be accounted for by limitations of the BRCAPRO model. Some authors have suggested that the BRC-APRO model may overestimate the probability of carrying a deleterious BRCA mutation.²⁵ Part of this discrepancy occurs because BRCAPRO predicts the probability that a BRCA mutation is present even if it is not detectable by PCR based mutation assays. In an analysis by the Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium of 237 families with more than four cases of breast cancer, only 63% of families with disease linked to BRCA1 had a detectable mutation.18 Recent data from a multicentre validation study of BRCAPRO showed that 79% of probands with a mean BRCAPRO probability of greater than 95% had a demonstrable mutation.²⁰ Part of the difference between mutations observed and mutations predicted was probably the result of limitations in the sensitivity of sequencing based approaches.

Several other models predicting *BRCA* testing results have been published. Couch *et al*²⁶ published a logistic regression model for estimating *BRCA1* mutation status based on data from 169 families who presented to a referral cancer genetics clinic.²⁶ The model incorporates average age of cancer diagnosis, the presence or absence of ovarian cancer in the family, the

Study	No of patients	Inclusion criteria	Method of mutation detection	Mutations identified
Ganguly et al ²³	43	Proband Affected with breast or ovarian cancer Wild type for <i>BRCA1</i> Negative for 6174delT mutation in <i>BRCA2</i> Family 3 or more cases of breast and ovarian cancer at any age on one side of the family	BRCA1 CSGE BRCA2 CSGE	2 protein truncating 0 missense
Shiri-Sverdlov <i>et al²⁴</i>	26	Proband Affected with breast or ovarian cancer Family 2 additional 1st or 2nd degree relatives with one of the following: Breast cancer diagnosed under age 40 Bilateral breast cancer Breast or ovarian cancer in the same person	BRCA1 DHPLC, PTT BRCA2 DGGE	0 protein truncating 12 missense (5 did not cosegregate with disease)

presence of persons with both breast and ovarian cancer, and ethnicity. When family information from our cohort and non-Ashkenazi status was entered into the Couch model, 7.7 (95% CI 5.6 to 10.6) non-founder *BRCA1* mutations would be expected versus none observed. Shattuck-Eidens *et al*²⁷ also published a logistic regression model predicting *BRCA1* test results based on data from 798 families not involved in previous linkage studies for *BRCA1*. This model incorporates number of family members affected with breast or ovarian cancer, youngest age of diagnosis of cancer in the family, and ethnicity. When family data from our cohort were entered into this model, 6.5 (95% CI 4.3 to 8.9) non-founder *BRCA1* mutation carriers would be expected versus none observed.

Myriad Genetic Laboratories (Salt Lake City, UT) publishes *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation prevalence tables based on data from over 6700 patients who have undergone commercial *BRCA* mutation testing.²⁸ Using the tables for non-Ashkenazi probands, 11.4 (95% CI 9.5 to 13.6) non-founder *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations would have been expected versus the three observed. Similar results to ours have also been noted in pre-liminary data from an ongoing study of over 1800 women with both a personal and family history of breast cancer diagnosed before the age of 50 and/or ovarian cancer at any age. In that study, 4.7% of Ashkenazi Jewish subjects possessed a deleterious *BRCA* mutation other than one of the three Ashkenazi founder mutations versus 31.3% of non-Ashkenazi subjects with comparable personal and family histories.²⁹

Several explanations are possible for the lower than expected frequency of clearly deleterious "private" mutations in this group. It has been speculated that the reproductive isolation of the Ashkenazi population has led to genetic drift, resulting in relative under-representation of mutations seen in other populations.²⁴ While this can explain some of the apparent discrepancy, a significant de novo mutation rate would tend to minimise this effect. Though documented de novo mutations of BRCA1 and BRCA2 are rare,29 30 there is a presumed new mutation rate for these large genes that is reflected by the relatively high proportion (63%) of unique mutations documented in the BIC.²² For at least three other common syndromes with distinct founder alleles in the Ashkenazim, cystic fibrosis, Tay-Sachs disease, and Canavan disease, approximately 1-5% of disease causing alleles are the result of non-founder mutations.³¹⁻³³ Another possible explanation for the apparent under-representation of non-founder deleterious mutations in the Ashkenazim is that there may be both founder alleles as well as sporadic mutations that are large deletions, inversions, and other structural alterations of

BRCA1 or *BRCA2* that have not been detected by PCR based sequencing.^{34 35} This effect has been seen in other populations in which large structural alterations in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* have been shown to be founder mutations.^{36 37} Also, it is possible there are other as yet undiscovered genes that confer susceptibility to breast and ovarian cancer in the Ashkenazi Jewish population. Linkage analysis has shown that 16% of families with at least four cases of breast cancer do not show linkage to *BRCA1* or *BRCA2*.¹⁸ It is speculated that other, as yet unidentified, genes can explain some of the apparent cancer predisposition in these families.

Because of the clinic based ascertainment, it is not possible to estimate from this study the population frequency of nonfounder mutations in the Ashkenazim. Another limitation is that some of the identified missense mutations of undetermined significance may, in fact, represent deleterious mutations. Other validation studies of the BRCAPRO model have generally not included such variants in their analysis. Even if one assumes that all four kindreds where segregation analysis was either uninformative or unavailable segregated a deleterious mutation, the number of deleterious mutations would still be lower than would be expected in a comparable non-Ashkenazi population. A third limitation of our study is that 80% of the kindreds in our cohort did not have any affected relatives with ovarian cancer. Only 58% of site specific breast cancer families with four or more affected relatives show linkage to BRCA1 or BRCA2 compared to 95% of similar families with both breast and ovarian cancer.18 Lastly, in the majority of kindreds only one affected person was sequenced. It is possible that this person was a phenocopy and that a deleterious mutation was present in other members of the family.

This is one of the largest studies to date looking at the incidence of non-founder *BRCA* mutations in a cohort of Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry. Consistent with previous smaller studies, we did not observe as many non-founder mutations as would have been expected in the general population. In order to confirm this result, population based series in the Ashkenazim looking at the entire coding sequence of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* are needed. Genetic studies including linkage and association approaches will be necessary to establish whether other inherited cancer predisposing genes exist in this population. In addition, novel genotyping strategies are needed to exclude the presence of large structural alterations in already known genes that may be undetected by current sequence based analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially supported by the Koodish Fellowship Fund, the Danzinger Foundation, the Frankel Foundation, and the Society of Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center.

Authors' affiliations

614

N D Kauff, M E Robson, L Scheuer, B Siegel, A Schluger, B Rapaport, K Nafa, N A Ellis, K Offit, Clinical Genetics Service,

Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

P Perez-Segura, Department of Medical Oncology, San Carlos University Hospital, Madrid, Spain

T S Frank, Myriad Genetics Laboratories, Salt Lake City, UT, USA G Parmigiani, Departments of Oncology and Biostatistics, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

Correspondence to: Dr N D Kauff, Clinical Genetics Service, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, 1275 York Avenue, Box 192, New York, NY 10021, USA; kauffn@mskcc.org

REFERENCES

- 1 Struewing JP, Abeliovich D, Peretz T, Avishai N, Kaback MM, Collins FS, Brody LC. The carrier frequency of the BRCA1 185delAG mutation is approximately 1 percent in Ashkenazi Jewish individuals. Nat Genet 1995;**11**:198-200.
- 2 Oddoux C, Struewing JP, Clayton CM, Neuhausen S, Brody LC, Kaback M, Haas B, Norton L, Borgen P, Jhanwar S, Goldgar D, Ostrer H, Offit K. The carrier frequency of the BRCA2 6174delT mutation among Ashkenazi Jewish individuals is approximately 1%. Nat Genet 1996;**14**:188-90.
- 3 Hartge P, Struewing JP, Wacholder S, Brody LC, Tucker MA. The prevalence of common BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations among Ashkenazi Jews. Am J Hum Genet 1999;**64**:963-70.
- 4 Modan B, Hartge P, Hirsh-Yechezkel G, Chetrit A, Lubin F, Beller U, Ben-Baruch G, Fishman A, Menczer J, Ebbers SM, Tucker MA, Wacholder S, Struewing JP, Friedman E, Piura B, National Israel Ovarian Cancer Study Group. Parity, oral contraceptives, and the risk of ovarian cancer among carriers and noncarriers of a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutation. *N Engl J Med* 2001;**345**:235-40.
- 5 Tonin P, Weber B, Offit K, Couch F, Rebbeck TR, Neuhausen S, Godwin AK, Daly M, Wagner-Costalos J, Berman D, Grana G, Fox E, Kane MF, Kolodner RD, Krainer M, Haber DA, Struewing JP, Warner E, Rosen B, Lerman C, Peshkin B, Norton L, Serova O, Foulkes WD, Lynch HT, Lenoir GM, Norod SA, Garber JE. Frequency of recurrent *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations in Ashkenazi Jewish breast cancer families. *Nat Med* 1996;**2**:1179-83.
- 6 Berman DB, Wagner-Costalas J, Schultz DC, Lynch HT, Daly M, Godwin AK. Two distinct origins of a common BRCA1 mutation in breast-ovarian cancer families: a genetic study of 15 185delAG-mutation kindreds. Am J Hum Genet 1996;**8**:166-76.
- 7 Robson ME, Offit K. New BRCA2 mutation in an Ashkenazi Jewish family with breast and ovarian cancer. Lancet 1997;350:117-18.
- 8 Offit K, Gilewski T, McGuire P, Schluger A, Hampel H, Brown K, Swensen J, Neuhausen S, Skolnick M, Norton L, Goldgar D. Germline BRCA1 185delAG mutations in Jewish women with breast cancer. Lancet 1996:347:1643-5
- 9 Neuhausen S, Gilewski T, Norton L, Tran T, McGuire P, Swensen J, Hampel H, Borgen P, Brown K, Skolnick M, Shattuck-Eidens D, Jhanwar S, Goldgar D, Öffit K. Recurrent BRCA2 6174delT mutations in Ashkenazi Jewish women affected by breast cancer. Nat Genet 1996:13:126-8.
- 10 Nafa K, Angell J, Bonavita L, Baum R, Robson M, Offit K, Ellis N, King MC, Luzzatto L. Direct detection of common mutations in the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes by amplified created restriction enzyme site (ACRES). Am J Hum Genet 1999;**65**:A58.
- 11 Frank TS, Manley SA, Olopade OI, Cummings S, Garber JE, Bernhardt B, Antman K, Russo D, Wood ME, Mullineau L, Isaacs C, Peshkin B, Buys S, Venne V, Rowley PT, Loader S, Offit K, Robson M, Hampel H, Brener D, Winer EP, Clark S, Weber B, Strong LC, Thomas A. Sequence analysis of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*: correlation of mutations with family https://doi.org/10.1016/j.com/10.0014106112.001 history and ovarian cancer risk. J Clin Oncol 1998;16:2417-25.
- 12 Berry DA, Parmigiani G, Sanchez J, Schildkraut J, Winer E. Probability
- 1998;62:145-58.
- 14 Iversen ES Jr, Parmigiani G, Berry DA, Schildkraut J. Genetic susceptibility and survival: application to breast cancer. JASA 2000;95:28-42
- 15 Ford D, Easton DF. The genetics of breast and ovarian cancer. Br J Cancer 1995;72:805-12.
- 16 Roa BB, Boyd AA, Volcik K, Richards CS. Ashkenazi Jewish population frequencies for common mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2. Nat Genet 1996;**14**:185-7
- 17 Struewing JP, Hartge P, Wacholder S, Baker SM, Berlin M, McAdams M, Timmerman MM, Brody LC, Tucker MA. The risk of cancer associated

with specific mutations of BRCA1 and BRCA2 among Ashkenazi Jews. N Engl J Med 1997;**336**:1401-8.

- 18 Ford D, Easton DF, Stratton M, Narod S, Goldgar D, Devilee P, Bishop DT, Weber B, Lenoir G, Chang-Claude J, Sobol H, Teare MD, Struewing J, Arason A, Scherneck S, Peto J, Rebbeck TR, Tonin P, Neuhausen S, Barkardottir R, Eyfjord J, Lynch H, Ponder BA, Gayther SA, Zelada-Hedman M, *et al.* Genetic heterogeneity and penetrance analysis of the *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genes in breast cancer families. The Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium. Am J Hum Genet 1998;**62**:676-89
- 19 Iversen ES, Parmigiani G, Berry DA. Validating Bayesian prediction models: a case study in genetic susceptibility to breast cancer. In: Gatsonis C, Kass RE, Carlin B, Gelman A, Verdinelli I, West M, eds. Case studies in Bayesian statistics IV. New York: Springer, 1999:321-38.
- 20 Berry DA, Iversen ES, Gudbjartsson DF, Hiller E, Garber J, Peshkin BN, Lerman C, Watson P, Lynch H, Hilsenbeck S, Rubinstein WS, Hughes K, Parmigiani G. BRCAPRO validation, sensitivity of genetic testing of *BRCA1/BRCA2*, and prevalence of other breast cancer susceptibility genes. J Clin Oncol 2002;**20**:2701–12
- 21 Davison AC, Hinkley DV. Bootstrap methods and their applications. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997
- 22 Breast Cancer Information Core. www.nhgri.nih.gov/
- Intramural_research/Lab_transfer/Bic, (Accessed September 2001).
 Ganguly T, Dhulipala R, Godmilow L, Ganguly A. High throughput fluorescence-based conformation-sensitive gel electrophoresis (F-CSGE) identifies six unique BRCA2 mutations and an overall low incidence of BRCA2 mutations in high-risk BRCA1-negative breast cancer families. Hum Genet 1998;102:549-56.
- A Shiri-Sverdlov R, Oefner P, Green L, Baruch RG, Wagner T, Kruglikova A, Haitchick S, Hofstra RM, Papa MZ, Mulder I, Rizel S, Bar Sade RB, Dagan E, Abdeen Z, Goldman B, Friedman E. Mutational analyses of BRCA1 and BRCA2 in Ashkenazi and non-Ashkenazi Jewish women with
- familial breast and ovarian cancer. Hum Mutat 2000;16:491-501.
 Weinstock C, Meschino W, Seminsky M, DeBoer G, Warner E. Accuracy of Myriad II and BRACPRO statistical models for estimating probability of BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations. 2001 Meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology, San Francisco, CA, May 2001: abst.
- 26 Couch FJ, DeShano ML, Blackwood MA, Calzone K, Stopfer J, Campeau L, Ganguly A, Rebbeck T, Weber BL. BRCA1 mutations in women attending clinics that evaluate the risk of breast cancer. N Engl J Med 1997;**336**:1409-15.
- 27 Shattuck-Eidens D, Oliphant A, McClure M, McBride C, Gupte J, Rubano T, Pruss D, Tavtigian SV, Teng DH, Adey N, Staebell M, Gumpper K, Lundstrom R, Hulick M, Kelly M, Holmen J, Lingenfelter B, Manley S, Fujimura F, Luce M, Ward B, Cannon-Albright L, Steele L, Offiti K, Tito L, Steele L, Offiti K, Thomas A, et al. BRCA1 sequence analysis in women at high risk for susceptibility mutations. Risk factor analysis and implications for genetic testing. JAMA 1997;**278**:1242-50.
- 28 http:/www.myriad.com/med/brac/mutptablesbyobsrv.html (accessed 8/23/2000).
- 9 Frank TS, Deffenbaugh AM, Reid JE, Hulick M, Ward BE, Lingenfelter B, Gumpper KL, Scholl T, Tavtigian SV, Pruss DR, Critchfield GC. Clinical characteristics of individuals with germline mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2: analysis of 10,000 individuals. J Clin Oncol 2002;20:1480-90
- 30 Tesoriero A, Andersen C, Southey M, Somers G, McKay M, Armes J, McCredie M, Giles G, Hopper JL, Venter D. De novo BRCA1 mutation in a patient with breast cancer and an inherited BRCA2 mutation. Am J Hum Genet 1999;**65**:567-9.
- 3 Robson M, Scheer L, Nafa K, Ellis N, Offit K. Unique de novo mutation of BRCA2 in a women with early onset breast cancer. J Med Genet 2002;39:126-7.
- 32 Kerem E, Kalman YM, Yahav Y, Shoshani T, Abeliovich D, Szeinberg A, Rivlin J, Blau H, Tal A, Ben-Tur L, Springer C, Augarten A, Godfrey S, Lerer I, Branski D, Friedman M, Kerem B. Highly variable incidence of cystic fibrosis and different mutation distribution among different Jewish ethnic groups in Israel. *Hum Genet* 1995;**96**:193-7. 33 **Kaback M**, Lim-Steele J, Dabholkar D, Brown D, Levy N, Zeiger K.
- Tay-Sachs disease carrier screening, prenatal diagnosis, and the molecular era. An international perspective, 1970 to 1993. The International TSD Data Collection Network. JAMA 1993;270:2307-15.
- 34 Kaul R, Gao GP, Aloya M, Balamurugan K, Petrosky A, Michals K, Matalon R. Canavan disease: mutations among Jewish and non-Jewish patients. Am J Hum Genet 1994;55:34-41.
- 35 Puget N, Stoppa-Lyonnet D, Sinilnikova OM, Pages S, Lynch HT, Lenoir GM, Mazoyer S. Screening for germ-line rearrangements and regulatory mutations in *BRCA1* led to the identification of four new deletions. Cancer Res 1999;59:455-61
- 36 Unger MA, Nathanson KL, Calzone K, Antin-Ozerkis D, Shih HA, Martin AM, Lenoir GM, Mazoyer S, Weber BL. Screening for genomic rearrangements in families with breast and ovarian cancer identifies BRCA1 mutations previously missed by conformation-sensitive gel
- electrophoresis or sequencing. Am J Hum Genet 2000;**6**7:841-50. 37 **Petrij-Bosch A**, Peelen T, van Vliet M, van Eijk R, Olmer R, Drusedau M, Hogervorst FB, Hageman S, Arts PJ, Ligtenberg MJ, Meijers-Heijboer H, Klijn JG, Vasen HF, Cornelisse CJ, van't Veer LJ, Bakker E, van Ommen GJ, Devilee P. BRCA1 genomic deletions are major founder mutations in Dutch breast cancer patients. Nat Genet 1997;17:341-5.
 38 The BRCA1 Exon 13 Duplication Screening Group. The exon 13
- duplication in the BRCA 1 gene is a founder mutation present in geographically diverse populations. Am J Hum Genet 2000;67:207-12.