Alterations of the Birt-Hogg-Dubé gene (BHD) in sporadic colorectal tumours

K Kahnoski, S K Khoo, N T Nassif, J Chen, G P Lobo, E Segelov, B T Teh

J Med Genet 2003;40:511-515

olorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common cancer diagnosed in both men and women, and the second most common cause of cancer deaths in the United States. There were approximately 150 000 new cases resulting in 57 000 deaths in 2002.¹ CRC is one of the most studied cancer types and its underlying aetiology best elucidated. Colorectal tumorigenesis involves a multistep process including genetic and epigenetic alterations of numerous CRC related genes that may act as either oncogenes or tumour suppressor genes.²⁻⁵ The majority of sporadic CRCs are characterised by deletions of large chromosomal segments, which are thought to represent the loss of wild type tumour suppressor genes.⁶⁷ About 15% of sporadic CRCs, on the other hand, show microsatellite instability (MSI), characterised by the insertion and/or deletion of simple repeat sequences and indicative of the involvement of defective mismatch repair.89

Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome (BHD, OMIM 135150) is an inherited autosomal dominant syndrome characterised by a triad of cutaneous lesions consisting of fibrofolliculomas,

Key points

- A high incidence of colorectal tumours was recently reported in patients with Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome (BHD), implicating a potential role for the BHD gene in colorectal tumorigenesis.
- We have screened the BHD gene for genetic (mutations and loss of heterozygosity (LOH)) and epigenetic (altered promoter methylation status) alterations in 47 unselected primary sporadic colorectal tumours (10 polyps and 37 carcinomas). One polyp and seven carcinomas showed microsatellite instability (MSI) while all other tumours were microsatellite stable (MSS).
- We identified two novel missense mutations, S79W and A445T, in two MSS carcinomas. Methylation status, examined by methylation specific PCR (MSP) analysis of 23 matched normal/carcinoma tissues, showed an absence of any BHD promoter methylation differences.
- Genotyping of microsatellite markers encompassing the BHD gene showed LOH in four of 10 (40%) polyps and 29 of 36 (81%) carcinomas. All four colon polyps showing LOH showed chromosomal loss in the corresponding carcinomas from the same patients. However, LOH was also present in the corresponding carcinomas of six other polyps that did not show LOH, suggesting the involvement of LOH in colorectal tumour progression.
- Our results suggest that the BHD gene is involved in the tumorigenesis of a subset of MSS sporadic colorectal carcinomas, and that allelic loss in the region close to the BHD gene may play a role in colorectal tumour progression.

trichodiscomas, and acrochordons.10 A wide spectrum of neoplastic and non-neoplastic features has been described in BHD patients,11 including diverse types of kidney tumours12-17 and spontaneous pneumothorax.^{12–16 18} BHD has also been reported to be associated with colonic polyposis and colorectal neoplasia,¹³ ^{19–22} although a large study of 223 patients from 33 BHD families could not establish such a relation.²³ We recently reported a high incidence of colorectal polyps and carcinomas in patients with confirmed BHD germline mutations, indicating that the BHD gene may be involved in colorectal tumorigenesis.13 The BHD gene has been mapped to chromosome subband 17p11.2^{12 14} and recently identified to encode a novel protein named follicullin.15 Based on the presence of inactivating BHD mutations in BHD patients, and the detection of LOH in a significant proportion of BHD related tumours, the BHD gene was considered to be a tumour suppressor gene. A 44% frequency of frameshift mutations within a mononucleotide (C)_s tract (nt 1733-1740) has been detected in BHD patients,¹⁵ and this repeat tract represents a BHD mutational hot spot.^{13 15} Other studies have reported the presence of frameshift mutations within intragenic mononucleotide tracts of the TGFBR2 and BAX genes in CRC cell lines and tumours with high level MSI.24 25 The poly C tract of the BHD gene may therefore be a potential site of mutation in CRC characterised by MSI.

We have evaluated the role of the *BHD* gene in 47 unselected colorectal tumours (10 polyps and 37 carcinomas) by screening all coding exons of the *BHD* gene for mutations and analysing 46 of the tumours for LOH in the chromosome region surrounding the *BHD* locus. Furthermore, alterations in *BHD* promoter methylation profiles were determined in 23 cases of matched normal/carcinoma tissues where a sufficient quantity of DNA was available. We report the detection of two novel somatic missense mutations of the *BHD* gene and LOH in 81% of primary sporadic colorectal tumours with no change in promoter methylation profile. All mutations were detected in MSS tumours.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Tissue samples and DNA extraction

Forty-seven matched samples (from 37 patients), of which 10 were colonic polyps with their matched carcinomas from the same patients, and 37 colorectal carcinomas, were obtained from the South Western Sydney Colorectal Tumour Bank (Liverpool Hospital, Australia). All tissue samples were collected prospectively with the informed consent of patients who underwent surgery in the South Western Sydney Area Health Service during the period 2000-2002. The lack of a family history of colorectal cancer or other familial cancer syndrome was ascertained by detailed questionnaire. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Van Andel Research Institute. Frozen sections (15 µm) were prepared from stored tumour specimens. The first, middle, and last slides (5 µm) were stained as reference slides. Manual micro-dissection was carried out on the unstained slides under low



Figure 1 Schematic map of microsatellite markers encompassing the BHD gene. The relative distances (in cM) between each marker and their relationship to the BHD locus are indicated.

power light microscopy $(20-40 \times)$ by scraping of individual cell populations with a 28 gauge needle. DNA was isolated from microdissected tumour cells and specimens of normal colonic mucosa using the Qiagen DNeasy Mini system (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leucocytes using the DNA isolation kit for mammalian blood (Roche Molecular Biochemicals).

Analysis of microsatellite instability (MSI) status

Paired colorectal carcinoma, polyp, and constitutional DNA samples (n=47) were analysed using a panel of 10 microsatellite markers comprising mononucleotide (BAT25, BAT26), dinucleotide (D2S123, D5S346, D18S34, D3S1611), and tetranucleotide (D1S518, D7S1808, D3S2432, D10S1426) repeats. Amplification was performed in a final volume of 10 µl containing 25 ng DNA, 20 pmol each primer, 16 µmol/l dATP, 0.2 mmol/l remaining dNTPs, 0.4 μ Ci of α -³³P [dATP], 50 mmol/l KCl, 10 mmol/l Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 1.5 mmol/l MgCl₂, and 0.5 U Taq polymerase (Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech). PCR was initiated by a five minute denaturation (94°C) followed by 34 cycles of denaturation (94°C, 45 seconds), primer annealing (55-65°C, 45 seconds), and extension (72°C, 45 seconds). PCR cycling was ended with a 10 minute extension (72°C) step. Radioisotope labelled PCR products were electrophoresed on 6% sequencing gels and visualised by autoradiography. Samples were classified as MSI-L (low level microsatellite instability) if instability was observed at 20-40% of loci assayed or MSI-H (high level microsatellite instability) if instability was observed at over 40% of loci assayed.²⁶

Mutation analysis

Mutation screening was performed on all 47 matched samples. The entire coding region of the BHD gene (exons 4-14) was screened. Primer sequences and PCR conditions were according to Nickerson et al.¹⁴ PCR was performed using a DNA Engine Tetrad (MJ Research, Waltham, MA). PCR products were analysed on standard 1.5% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 μ g/ml) before purification with Multiscreen PCR cleanup plates (Millipore, Molsheim, France). Sequencing reactions were performed using the Big Dye Terminator system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), purified through Sephadex G-50 (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) and analysed on an ABI 3700 genetic analyser (Applied Biosystems). We aligned and analysed all sequences by Blast 2 analysis²⁷ and manually verified all sequences again. All sequence changes were verified by reamplification of the corresponding BHD fragment and sequencing of both DNA strands.

Analysis of loss of heterozygosity (LOH) status

LOH was performed on 36 matched normal/tumour tissue pairs, as well as 10 matched normal/polyp pairs. Allelic deletions of the chromosome 17p region flanking the *BHD* gene were assessed using microsatellite markers D17S1857, D17S740, D17S2196, and D17S620. The relative distances between each marker and their relationship to the *BHD* gene were calculated using the UCSC Genomic Bioinformatics site (fig 1). PCR conditions were according to Khoo *et al.*¹³ One µl of each PCR product was added to a cocktail containing 5 µl of DNAse free, RNAse free distilled water, 10 µl of Hi-Di formamide and 0.2 µl of ROX 400HD size standard. The mixture was denatured at 95°C for five minutes before loading into an ABI Prism 3700 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Analysis of raw data and assessment of LOH were carried out using

Genescan v 3.7 and Genotyper v 3.7 software (Applied Biosystems). LOH was defined according to the following formula: LOH index = (T2/T1)/(N2/N1), where T was the tumour sample, N was the matched normal sample, and 1 and 2 were the intensities of smaller and larger alleles, respectively.²⁸ If the ratio was <0.67 or >1.3, the result was determined to be LOH. Initially, the two closest markers (D17S740 and D17S2196) were analysed for LOH. A designation of LOH was given when at least one of the markers had a ratio that was <0.67 or >1.3. If the LOH value was close to these thresholds (0.67 + 0.1; 1.3 – 0.1), a further two markers, D17S1857 and D17S620, were examined to confirm the LOH status.

Analysis of BHD promoter methylation profile

We examined the promoter methylation status of the BHD gene in 23 matched normal/carcinoma sample sets. DNA methylation status was determined by a methylation specific PCR approach (MSP).^{29 30} DNA was treated with sodium bisulphite, which converts all unmethylated cytosines to uracils, leaving methylated cytosines unchanged. Briefly, 2 µg of DNA was denatured by incubation in 0.2 mol/l NaOH (37°C, 10 minutes). Cytosines were then modified in 3 mol/l sodium bisulphite (adjusted to pH 5.0; Sigma Chemical Co, St Louis, MO) and 10 mmol/l hydroquinone (Sigma) at 50°C for 16 hours. DNA samples were then purified through columns (Microcon YM-100, Millipore, Bedford, MA), treated again in 0.3 mol/l NaOH, precipitated with ethanol using glycogen as a carrier, and resuspended in 20 µl DNAse free, RNAse free distilled water before storing at -20°C. The specific primers for methylated sequences were designed as follows: BHD-BISF-OF (5'-ATGTGGATAGGAAGTTTTAGGTTGGTTATATTT-3') as the forward primer, and BHD-BISF-OR (5'-ACAAAATCACACCCAAAAACCCCC-3') as the reverse primer. An aliquot of the bisulphite treated product (2 µl) was amplified in a 25 µl reaction containing 2 mmol/l MgCl₂, 0.24 mmol/l each dNTP (Invitrogen), 0.02 U Tag DNA polymerase (Invitrogen), and 0.1 µmol/l of each primer. PCR conditions were 95°C for five minutes followed by 35 cycles of 94°C (30 seconds), 60°C (30 seconds), and 72°C (45 seconds). PCR was ended with a seven minute extension (72°C). A nested PCR was then performed using 1 μ l of the initial amplification reaction. The primers used were BHD-BISF-IF: 5'-GAAATGGTTTTTTTAGT ATTTTTAGTTGGTG-3' and BHD-BISF-IR: 5'-CCCAAAACCCCC AAACCCA-3', with conditions similar to those described for the preceding PCR amplification, with the exception that 40 amplification cycles were carried out. The PCR products were purified using Microcon YM-100 columns (Millipore). After amplification, 20 µl of the 414 bp PCR product was incubated with 0.3 U of RsaI (New England BioLabs Inc, Beverly, MA) for two hours at 37°C. λ DNA (0.3 µg) and distilled water were used respectively as positive and negative controls. Products of restriction digestion (20 µl) were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gels containing ethidium bromide, and visualised under UV illumination. The sizes of the RsaI digestion products were 160 and 254 bp.

RESULTS

Tumour MSI status

Analysis of MSI status showed that eight of 47 tumours tested showed MSI (table 1). This represents approximately 17% of the sporadic colorectal tumour cases evaluated in this study. Five carcinomas (CRC-7, CRC-17, CRC-18, CRC-46, and CRC-52) showed a high frequency of MSI (MSI-H), while two carcinomas (CRC-23 and CRC-42) exhibited a low frequency of

Sample ID	MSI status	BHD mutation	LOH	Methylatio
CRC-1	-	-	+	ND
CRC-2	-	-	+	ND
CRC-3	-	-	-	ND
CRC-4	-	-	ND	ND
CRC-6	-	-	+	-
CRC-7	+ (H)	-	+	ND
CRC-9	-	-	+	-
CRC-12	-	-	+	ND
CRC-13	_	-	-	-
CRC-14	-	_	+	ND
CRC-17	+ (H)	-	+	_
CRC-18	+ (H)	_	_	ND
CRC-19	_	_	-	ND
CPC 20	_	_	-	ND
CRC-20	-	-	+	ND
CRC-22	- (1)	-	+	ND
CRC-23	+ (L)	-	+	ND
238	-	-	-	ND
CRC-28	-	S/9W	+	-
CRC-30	-	-	+	-
CRC-31	-	-	+	-
CRC-34	-	-	+	ND
34P	-	-	+	ND
CRC-35	-	-	+	-
35P	-	-	-	ND
CRC-37	-	-	+	ND
37P	-	-	-	ND
CRC-38	_	-	-	-
CRC-42	+ (L)	_	+	_
42P	+ Ü	_	+	ND
CRC-43	_	_	+	_
/3P	_	_	_	ND
CPC 11	_	_	_	ND
1 1 D	-	-	Ŧ	
441 CPC 45	-	-	-	IND
CRC-43		-	+	-
CRC-40	+ (□)	-	-	-
CRC-48	-	-	+	-
CRC-49	-	-	+	-
CRC-50	-	-	+	-
50P	-	-	-	ND
CRC-52	+ (H)	-	+	-
CRC-54	-	A445T	-	-
CRC-55	-	-	+	-
CRC-56	-	-	+	-
56P	-	-	+	ND
CRC-59	-	-	+	-
59P	-	-	+	ND
CRC-60	_	-	-	_



MSI (MSI-L). CRC-42 also showed a low level of MSI in its corresponding polyp (42P). All other tumours (39 of 47) were microsatellite stable (MSS).

BHD mutations

Screening of the *BHD* coding region identified two novel somatic mutations in exon 4 (c.691C>G) and exon 12 (c.1788G>A) of CRC-28 and CRC-54, respectively (table 1, fig 2). Both are missense mutations (S79W and A445T), leading to non-conservative amino acid changes. In both cases the carcinomas were MSS and tumours with *BHD* mutations represented approximately 7% of the MSS colorectal carcinomas tested (n=30). No mutations were detected in the (C)_s repeat tract (nt 1733–1740), known to be a mutational hot spot within the *BHD* gene, in either the MSI or MSS tumours. *BHD* mutations were absent in all colon polyps.

LOH status

LOH at the chromosomal region surrounding the *BHD* locus was identified in 81% (29 of 36) of the sporadic colorectal carcinomas, and 40% (four of 10) of colon polyps (table 1). The



Figure 2 Detection of mutations within the *BHD* gene in sporadic colorectal cancer. Two novel somatic mutations of the *BHD* gene were detected in two MSS colorectal carcinomas. Each of the mutations is not present in the matched normal tissues. (A) c.691C>G (S79W) in CRC-28 and (B) c.1788G>A (A445T) in CRC-54.

four colon polyps with LOH were from the same people who showed LOH in their colorectal carcinomas (CRC-34, 34P; CRC-42, 42P; CRC-56, 56P; and CRC-59, 59P). CRC-28 showed LOH, along with somatic mutation S79W.

BHD promoter methylation

Methylation specific PCR analysis of the *BHD* promoter did not detect any promoter methylation profile differences in the 23 matched sets tested (table 1). Unfortunately, methylation profiles for the rest of the samples could not be determined owing to insufficient DNA being available.

DISCUSSION

Early studies have reported several cases of colorectal neoplasia in patients with BHD.¹⁹⁻²² However, one recent study²³ showed a lack of statistical significance when comparing the incidence of colon cancer in 111 BHD affected and 112 BHD unaffected subjects, as well as the occurrence of colon polyps in 45 BHD affected and 38 BHD unaffected subjects, thus excluding any association between colonic neoplasia and BHD. Nevertheless, we recently reported six cases of colonic polyps and two cases of possible colon cancer in a BHD family with confirmed BHD germline mutations,13 indicating that the BHD gene is involved in the tumorigenesis of these BHD related colorectal tumours. In this study, we show that the BHD gene is also involved in a subset of sporadic colorectal cancers. Two cases of MSS colorectal cancer were found to harbour two novel somatic missense mutations, S79W and A445T, in exons 4 and 12, respectively. Interestingly, no frameshift mutation was identified in the hypermutable poly C tract, particularly in the MSI carcinomas, as this region is a potential site for insertion or deletion in cancers with defective mismatch repair. These results suggest that the BHD gene may be involved in a pathway of colorectal tumorigenesis that is distinct from the pathway of mismatch repair deficiency. However, the sample size of the MSI tumours is small in this series and further investigation is warranted.

The missense mutations detected were non-conservative amino acid substitutions (S79W and A445T) in the BHD gene product which could cause conformational changes in the structure of the protein, leading to dysfunction.31-35 Protein phosphorylation, a modulator of protein function and stability, can occur at Ser, Thr, or Tyr residues and is mediated by specific protein kinases. In CRC-28, the change from Ser to Trp leads to the loss of a potential site of phosphorylation whereas the Ala to Thr change in CRC-54 leads to the gain of a potential phosphorylation site. These amino acid changes could lead to altered protein phosphorylation status with consequent functional changes.

LOH, which indicates the loss of one functional copy of a gene, has been used as a marker for diagnosis and prognosis of cancer. In this study, we identified LOH at microsatellite loci flanking the BHD gene in 40% of colon polyps and 81% of colorectal carcinomas. Together with the finding of LOH in matched normal/carcinoma samples of LOH negative polyps, we propose that LOH surrounding the BHD locus may be involved in colorectal cancer progression, although other tumour suppressor genes located on chromosome 17p, such as *p53*, should not be excluded. The *p53* gene is located approximately 9 cM telomeric to the BHD gene. Studies have shown that LOH at 17p may be essential for the malignant transformation of benign lesions in colorectal neoplasms.^{36 37} Therefore, the effects of LOH on BHD gene expression and regulation in colorectal tumours merits further investigation.

Sample CRC-28 was found to harbour a mutation in the BHD gene as well as LOH around the BHD region, which could represent two hits of the BHD gene in accordance with Knudson's classical two hit theory. Apparent biallelic alteration of the BHD gene appears to be uncommon and the high frequency of LOH in the rest of the tumours without mutations suggests several possibilities. First, loss of a single allele may be the preferred mode of inactivation of the BHD gene and that haploinsufficiency contributes to tumorigenesis. Second, there may be mutations present in the regulatory region of the BHD gene which were not tested in this study. Finally, there may be loss of other tumour suppressor genes in the vicinity of the BHD gene.

DNA methylation is an epigenetic alteration that interferes with transcriptional initiation. In general, methylation of CpG dinucleotides in the promoter regions of tumour suppressor genes leads to loss of tumour suppressor gene expression (silencing) and consequent function. Hypermethylation of tumour suppressor genes has been frequently reported in many tumour types. We recently identified the involvement of the BHD gene in sporadic renal tumours by showing frequent methylation of the BHD promoter in a wide spectrum of sporadic renal tumours.³⁸ In the present study, we did not detect any BHD promoter methylation profile differences in the 23 colorectal carcinoma cases where a sufficient amount of DNA

was available for the MSP assay. We conclude that epigenetic alteration of the BHD gene is not a common event in colorectal cancer.

In summary, we have shown that the BHD gene is mutated in a subset of MSS sporadic colorectal carcinomas, and allelic loss around the region of the gene may play a role in the progression of colorectal tumours.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first two authors contributed equally to this work. This study was supported by the Van Andel Foundation.

Authors' affiliations

K Kahnoski, S K Khoo, J Chen, B T Teh, Laboratory of Cancer Genetics, Van Andel Research Institute, Grand Rapids, MI-49503, USA N T Nassif, G P Lobo, E Segelov, Cancer Research Laboratories, South West Sydney Clinical School, University of New South Wales, Liverpool Hospital, Liverpool, NSW 2170, Australia

Correspondence to: Dr N T Nassif, Department of Medicine, University of New South Wales, Level 4, Health Services Building, Cnr Goulburn & Campbell Streets, Liverpool, NSW 2170, Australia;

n.nassif@unsw.edu.au or Dr B T Teh, Laboratory of Cancer Genetics, Van Andel Research Institute, Grand Rapids, MI-49301, USA; bin.teh@vai.org

REFERENCES

- 1 Jemal A, Thomas A, Murray T, Thun M. Cancer Statistics, 2002. Ca Cancer J Clin 2002;52:23-47.
 Bos JL, Fearon ER, Hamilton SR, Verlaan-de Vries M, van Boom JH, van
- der Eb AJ, Vogelstein B. Prevalence of ras gene mutations in human colorectal cancers. *Nature* 1987;**327**:293-7.
- 3 Baker SJ, Fearon ER, Nigro JM, Hamilton SR, Preisinger AC, Jessup JM, van Tuinen P, Ledbetter DH, Barker DF, Nakamura Y, White R,
- Vogelstein B. Chromosome 17, Baltel DJ, Kakalinda T, Wille K, Vogelstein B. Chromosome 17 deletions and p53 gene mutations in colorectal carcinomas. *Science* 1989;**244**:217-21. **4 Fearon ER**, Cho KR, Nigro JM, Kern SE, Simons JW, Ruppert JM, Hamilton SR, Preisinger AC, Thomas G, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Identification of a chromosome 18g gene that is altered in colorectal carcinomas. *Science* 1000;**24**:21056 cancers. Science 1990;247:49-56
- 5 Kinzler KW, Nilbert MC, Vogelstein B, Bryan TM, Levy DB, Smith KJ, Preisinger AC, Hamilton SR, Hedge P, Markham A, Carlson M, Joslyn G, Groden J, White R, Miki Y, Miyoshi Y, Nishisho I, Nakamura Y. Science 1991;251:1366-70.
- 6 Lengauer C, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Genetic instabilities in human cancers. Nature 1998;396:643-9
- 7 Martin L, Assem M, Piard F. Are there several types of colorectal carcinomas? Correlations with genetic data. Eur J Cancer Prev 1999;8:S13-20
- 8 Thibodeau SN, Bren G, Schaid D. Microsatellite instability in cancer of the proximal colon. Science 1993;260:816-19
- 9 Ionov Y, Peinado MA, Malkhosyan S, Shibata D, Perucho M. Ubiquitous somatic mutations in simple repeated sequences reveal a new mechanism
- for colonic carcinogenesis. Nature 1993;363:558-61.
 10 Birt AR, Hogg GR, Dubé WJ. Hereditary multiple fibrofolliculomas with trichodiscomas and acrochordons. Arch Dermatol 1977;113:1674-7.
- Schulz T, Hartschuh W. Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome and Hornstein-Knickenberg-syndrome are the same. Different sectioning techniques as the cause of different histology. J Cutan Pathol 1999;**26**:55-61.
- 12 Khoo SK, Bradley M, Wong FK, Hedblad MA, Nordenskjöld M, Teh BT. Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome: mapping of a novel hereditary neoplasia gene to chromosome 17p12-q11.2. Oncogene 2001;20:5239-42.
 13 Khoo SK, Giraud S, Kahnoski K, Chen J, Motorna O, Nickolov R, Binet
- O, Lambert D, Friedel J, Lévy R, Ferlicot S, Wolkenstein P, Hammel P, Bergerheim U, Hedblad MÁ, Bradley M, Teh BT, Nordenskjöld M, Richard S. Clinical and genetic studies of Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome. J Med Genet 2002;**39**:906-12.
- 14 Schmidt LS, Warren MB, Nickerson ML, Weirich G, Matrosova V, Toro JR, Turner ML, Duray P, Merino M, Hewitt S, Pavlovich CP, Glenn G, Greenberg CR, Linehan WM, Zbar B. Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome, a genodermatosis associated with spontaneous pneumothorax and kidney neoplasia, maps to chromosome 17p11.2. Am J Hum Genet 2001:69:876-82
- 15 Nickerson ML, Warren MB, Toro JR, Matrosova V, Glenn G, Turner ML, Duray P, Merino M, Choyke P, Pavlovich CP, Sharma N, Walther M, Munroe D, Hill R, Maher E, Greenberg C, Lerman MI, Linehan WM, Zbar B, Schmidt LS. Mutations in a novel gene lead to kidney tumors lung wall defects, and benign tumors of the hair follicle in patients with the Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome. Cancer Cell 2002;2:157-64.
- 16 Roth JS, Rabinowitz AD, Benson M, Grossman ME. Bilateral renal cell carcinoma in the Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome. J Am Acad Dermatol 1993;29:1055-6.
- 17 Toro J, Glenn G, Duray P, Darling T, Weirich G, Zbar B, Linehan M, Turner M. BirtHogg-Dubé syndrome: a novel marker of kidney neoplasia. Arch Dermatol 1999;135:1195-202.

- 18 Chung JY, Ramos-Caro FA, Beers B, Ford MJ, Flowers F. Multiple lipomas, angiolipomas and parathyroid adenomas in a patient with Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome. Int J Dermatol 1996;**35**:365-7. 19 Hornstein OP. Generalized dermal perifollicular fibromas with polyps of
- the colon. Hum Genet 1976;33:193-7
- 20 Binet O, Robin J, Vicart M, Ventura G, Beltzer-Garelly E. Fibromes périfolliculaires, polypose colique familiale, pneumothorax spontanés familiaux. Ann Dermatol Venereol 1986;**113**:928-30.
- 21 Rongioletti F, Hazini R, Gianotti G, Rebora A. Fibrofolliculomas, intestinal polyposis. Clin Exp Dermatol 1989;14:72-4.
- 22 Sasai S, Takahashi K, Tagami H. Coexistence of multiple perifollicular
- Tosari S, rokandsin A, raganin Ti. Coexistence of intemple periformation fibromas and colonic polyp and cancer. *Dermatology* 1996;192:262-3.
 Zbar B, Alvord WG, Glenn G, Turner M, Pavlovich CP, Schmidt L, Walther M, Choyke P, Weirich G, Hewitt SM, Duray P, Gabril F, Greenberg C, Merino MJ, Toro J, Linehan WM. Risk of renal and colonic neoplasms and spontaneous pneumothorax in the Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2002;11:393-400
- 24 Markowitz S, Wang J, Myeroff L, Parsons R, Sun L, Lutterbaugh J, Fan RS, Zborowska E, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Brattain M, Willson JKV. Inactivation of the type II TGF-beta receptor in colon cancer cells with microsatellite instability. *Science* 1995;**268**:1336-8.
- 25 Rampino N, Yamamoto H, Ionov Y, Li Y, Sawai H, Reed JC, Perucho M. Somatic frameshift mutations in the BAX gene in colon cancers of the microsatellite mutator phenotype. *Science* 1997;**275**:967-9. 26 **Boland CR**, Thibodeau SN, Hamilton SR, Sidransky D, Eshleman JR, Burt
- RW, Meltzer SJ, Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Fodde R, Ranzani GN, Srivastava SA. National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res 1998;58:5248-57.
- 27 Tatusova TA, Madden TL. Blast 2 sequences a new tool for comparing protein and nucleotide sequences. FEMS Microbial Lett 999;**174**:247-50.
- 28 Giraud S, Choplin H, Teh BT, Lespinasse J, Lenoir G, Hamon P, Calender A. A large MEN1 family with clinical expression suggestive of anticipation. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1997;82:3487-92.

- 29 Herman JG, Graff JR, Myohanen S, Nelkin BD, Baylin SB. Methylation-specific PCR: a novel PCR assay for methylation status of CpG islands. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1996;**93**:9821-6. 30 **Esteller M**, Herman JG. Cancer as an epigenetic disease: DNA
- methylation and chromatin alterations in human tumours. J Pathol 2002;196:1-7.
- 31 Brennan SO, Maghzal G, Shneider BL, Gordon R, Magid MS, George PM. Novel fibrinogen gamma 375 Arg_Trp mutation (fibrinogen aguadilla) causes hepatic endoplasmic reticulum storage and hypofibrinogemia. Hepatology 2002;**36**:652-8.
- 32 Topalian SL, Gonzales MI, Ward Y, Wang X, Wang RF. Revelation of a cryptic major histocompatibility complex class II-restricted tumor epitope in a novel RNA-processing enzyme. *Cancer Res* 2002;**62**:5505-9. 33 **Tsukaguchi H**, Sudhakar A, Le TC, Nguyen T, Yao J, Schwimmer JA
- Schachter AD, Poch E, Abreu PF, Appel GB, Pereira AB, Kalluri R, Pollak MR. NPHS2 mutations in late-onset focal segmental glomerulosclerosis: R229Q is a common disease-associated allele. J Clin Invest 2002:110:1659-66.
- 34 Asada-Senju M, Maeda T, Sakata T, Hayashi A, Suzuki T. Molecular analysis of the transferring gene in a patient with hereditary hypotransferrinemia. J Hum Genet 2002;47:355-9.
- 35 Dhitavat J, Marfarlane S, Dode L, Leslie N, Sakuntabhai A, MacSween R, Saihan E, Hovnanian A. Acrokeratosis verruciformis of Hopf is caused by mutation in ATP2A2: evidence that it is allelic to Darier's disease. Invest Dermatol 2003;120:229-32
- 36 Boland CR, Sato J, Appelman HD, Bresalier RS, Feinberg AP Microallelotyping defines the sequences and tempo of allelic losses at tumor suppressor gene loci during colorectal cancer progression. Nat Med 1995;1:902-9
- 37 Yashiro M, Carethers JM, Laghi L, Saito K, Slezak P, Jaramillo E, Rubio C, Koizumi K, Hirakawa K, Boland CR. Genetic pathways in the evolution of morphologically distinct colorectal neoplasms. Cancer Res 2001:61:2676-83
- 38 Khoo SK, Kahnoski K, Sugimura J, Petillo D, Chen J, Shockley K, Ludlow J, Knapp R, Giraud S, Richard S, Nordenskjöld M, Teh BT. Inactivation of BHD in sporadic renal tumors. Cancer Res (in press).

A gene locus for branchio-otic syndrome maps to chromosome 14q21.3-q24.3

R G Ruf, J Berkman, M T F Wolf, P Nurnberg, M Gattas, E-M Ruf, V Hyland, J Kromberg, I Glass, J Macmillan, E Otto, G Nurnberg, B Lucke, H C Hennies, **F** Hildebrandt

.....

J Med Genet 2003;40:515-519

ranchio-oto-renal syndrome (BOR, OMIM 113650) is an autosomal dominant disorder characterised by the Dassociation of hearing loss (HL), structural ear anomalies, branchial arch defects, and renal anomalies.¹ The prevalence approximates 1:40 000 in the general population, and has been reported in about 2% of deaf children.² Age of onset for deafness varies from childhood to early adulthood.3 The clinical expression of BOR exhibits wide intra- and interfamilial variability. In addition, reduced penetrance for BOR has been assumed.⁴ The major feature of BOR, which occurs in 93% of patients, is HL, which can be conductive, sensorineural, or mixed. Besides the classical ear, kidney, and branchial arch anomalies, different developmental manifestations of BOR in other organ systems have been described. Among these, dysfunction of the lacrimal duct system is a common association.⁵⁻¹⁰ Thus, BOR represents a clinically and genetically heterogeneous disease complex that manifests predominantly during organogenesis. A gene locus for autosomal dominant BOR had been localised on chromosome 8q13.11 12 Subsequently, mutations in the human homologue of the Drosophila eyes absent gene (EYA1) have been shown to be causative for BOR (OMIM 601653).¹³ Branchio-otic syndrome (BOS) (OMIM 602588) was initially described as a disorder distinct from BOR, featuring the same clinical symptoms as BOR with

Key points

- Branchio-oto-renal syndrome (BOR) is an autosomal dominant developmental disorder characterised by the association of hearing loss, branchial arch defects, and renal anomalies. Branchio-otic syndrome (BOS) represents a related disorder presenting with the same clinical features without renal anomalies.
- Recessive mutations in the human homologue of the Drosophila eyes absent gene (EYA1) have been shown to cause BOR and BOS. A locus (BOS2) for autosomal dominant BOS has been localised to chromosome 1q31.
- We performed a genome wide search for linkage in a large pedigree with BOS with more than 40 affected subjects and mapped a new gene locus (BOS3) to chromosome 14q21.3-q24.3. The highest multipoint lod score was Zmax=4.81 (θ =) for marker D14S980.
- Identification of the gene causing branchio-otic syndrome type 3 will offer new insights into the development and molecular mechanisms of hearing.