aureus abscesses. While an inpatient he complained of headaches and nausea and developed a low grade pyrexia and meningism. Brain CT was normal. Lumbar puncture disclosed a high opening pressure (19 cm CSF), 133 white blood cells/µl, predominately lymphocytes, a raised protein (1.61g/l), and a low CSF/blood glucose ratio (1.7/6.1). A sample of 0.5 ml CSF was sent to a British referral laboratory and PCR for M tuberculosis was negative. Twenty four hours later, because of increasing confusion and agitation, treatment with intravenous acyclovir, antituberculous chemotherapy (600 mg rifampicin, 300 mg isoniazid, 2 g pyrazinamide, and 10 mg pyridoxine daily), and dexamethasone was commenced. Clinically he showed signs of improvement and was discharged home 2 weeks later on the above treatment. A repeat lumbar puncture 4 weeks later showed similar

results. A CSF PCR for M tuberculosis was again negative although a fully sensitive M tuberculosis grew 12 weeks later from the first sample on Lowenstein-Jensen slopes. The second patient was a 21 year old Ken-

van woman living in the united Kingdom for 3 years. She presented with a 3 month history of photophobia and occipital headaches. She had no other systemic symptoms. She had had peritoneal tuberculosis diagnosed at the age of 6 years during laparotomy for an appendicectomy and had received antituberculous medication for 1 month only. On examination she had mild neck stiffness and a partial left third cranial nerve palsy. Brain CT was normal. Lumbar puncture results showed a high opening pressure (15cm CSF), 90 white blood cells/µl, predominantly lymphocytes, a raised protein concentration (1.62 g/l), and a low CSF/blood glucose ratio. At the same referral laboratory CSF PCR for M tuberculosis was negative but culture after 8 weeks grew a fully sensitive organism. Despite the negative PCR antituberculous therapy was started empirically. After 2 months of treatment her symptoms had resolved although a partial third nerve palsy remains.

Adequate volumes of both patients' CSF (0.5 ml) were sent to our referral laboratory where the samples were spun and PCR performed using three primer sets and appropriate controls.5-7 The assay included primers for the target IS6110, an insertion sequence normally present in multiple copies in the M tuberculosis genome, which has been used successfully for the detection of Mtuberculosis in CSF.2 4 Multiple primer sets were used as this is thought to increase the probability of detecting target DNA within a specimen.

Recent studies suggest that CSF PCR for M tuberculosis is more sensitive than culture in cases of clinically suspected tuberculous meningitis that responded to empirical treatment.²⁻⁴ Some authors have even suggested the usefulness of serial CSF PCR in assessing the efficacy of treatment.4 8 False negatives and positives are rarely reported in the literature and unless these results are critically reviewed patients could, tragically, have treatment prematurely stopped or be started on prolonged antituberculous chemotherapy. False negatives occurred in two studies, in which reported CSF PCR sensitivities were 32% and 85%.23 In one study 6.1% of CSF specimens received from patients with no evidence of tuberculous meningitis were falsely PCR positive.3 These results also show that sensitivity and specificity can vary when different assays and laboratories are used. Claims that PCR can detect 1-10 M tuberculosis organisms "in vitro" seems not to be the case in clinical samples such as CSF.

In the two patients presented above adequate volumes and repeated samples of CSF were assayed using suitable primers and appropriate controls at a British referral laboratory. Results for these two patients show the dangers of overreliance on CSF PCR when tuberculous meningitis is clinically suspected.

We are grateful to Dr Deborah Binzi-Gascoigne of the Leeds mycobacterium laboratory, where the PCR tests were performed and who provided additional information for the manuscript .

M MELZER

lum.

T I BROWN Department of Microbiology, St Thomas' Hospital, Lambeth Palace Road, London SE1 7EH, UK

J FLOOD

S LACEY

L R BAGG

King George Hospital, Barley Lane, Goodmayes, Essex IG3 8YB, UK

Correspondence to: Dr M Melzer, Department of Microbiology, St Thomas' Hospital, Lambeth Palace Road, London SE1 7EH, UK.

- 1 Noordhoek GT, Kaan JA, Mulder S, et al. Routine application of the polymerase chain reaction for detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in clinical samples. *J Clin Pathol* 1995;**48**:810–14.
- 2 Nguyen LN, Kox LFF, Pham LD, et al. The potential contribution of the polymerase chain reaction to the diagnosis of tuberculous menin-gitis. Arch Neurol 1996;53:771-6.
- 3 Seth P, Ahuja GK, Bhanu NV, et al. Evaluation of polymerase chain reaction for rapid diagno-Scarpellini P, Racca S, Cinque P, et al. Nested polymerase chain reaction for diagnosis and polymerase chain reaction for diagnosis and
- monitoring treatment response in AIDS pa-tients with tuberculous meningitis. AIDS 1995; 9:895-900
- 5 Fauville-Dufaux M, Vanfletern B, De Wit L, et al. Rapid detection of non-tuberculous myco-bacteria by polymerase chain reaction amplifi-cation of 162 base pair DNA fragment from antigen 85. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 1992; 11.797-803
- 6 Kolk AH, Schuitema AR, Kuijper S, et al. 6 Koik AH, Schultema AK, Kuiper S, et al. Detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in clinical samples by using polymerase chain reaction and a nonradioactive detection sys-tem. *J Clin Microbiol* 1992;30:2567–75.
 7 Shankar P, Manjunath N, Laksami R, et al. Identification of Mycobacterium tuberculosis hereithering at history and the second systems.
- by polymerase chain reaction. *Lancet* 1990;**335**: 423.
- 8 Lin JJ, Harn HJ. Application of the polymerase chain reaction to monitor Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA in the CSF of patients with tuberculous meningitis after antibiotic treatment. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1995;59: 175-7.

False negative polymerase chain reaction on cerebrospinal fluid samples in tuberculous meningitis

There have been few studies in the literature concerned solely with the use of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to identify Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA directly from CSF.1-4 These studies suggest that in some cases, PCR may be more sensitive than culture; however, in the largest study, performed by Nguyen et al,3 specimens from seven patients who were culture positive for M tuberculosis were not positive by PCR. The study did report on 22 culture negative, PCR positive patients, suggesting that PCR can be more sensitive than culture. Studies comparing PCR with culture of M tuberculosis using

The PCR has been reported to detect the equivalent of 1-10 mycobacteria in in vitro testing. However, lower sensitivity is found with clinical specimens.⁵ ⁶ The low sensitivity of PCR may be the result of inhibitors of PCR present in the reaction, poor lysis of mycobacteria, and the uneven distribution of mycobacteria in clinical specimens.5

> D M GASCOYNE-BINZI P M HAWKEY

Department of Microbiology, The General Infirmary at Leeds, Great George Street, Leeds LS1 3EX, UK

Correspondence to: Dr D M Gascoyne-Binzi, Department of Microbiology, The General Infirmary at Leeds, Great George Street, Leeds LS1 3EX, UK.

- 1 Shankar P, Manjunath N, Mohan KK, et al. Rapid diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis by polymerase chain reaction. Lancet 1991;337:5-
- 2 Scarpellini P, Racca S, Cinque P, et al. Nested polymerase chain reaction for diagnosis and monitoring treatment response in AIDS patients with tuberculous meningitis. AIDS 1995;
- 9:895–900.
 Nguyen LN, Kox LFF, Pham LD, *et al.* The potential contribution of the polymerase chain reaction to the diagnosis of tuberculous menin-gitis. Arch Neurol 1996;53:771-6.
- 4 Seth P, Ahuja GK, Vijaya Bhanu N, et al. Evalu-ation of polymerase chain reaction for rapid diagnosis of clinically suspected tuberculous meningitis. *Tuber Lung Dis* 1996;77:353–7.
 5 Shawar RM, El-Zaatari FAK, Nataraj A, et al.
- Detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in clinical samples by two-step polymerase chain reaction and nonisotopic hybridization methods. 7 Clin Micro 1993:31:61-5
- ods. J Clin Micro 1995;31:01–5 6 Dalovisio JR, Montenegro-James S, Kemmerly SA, et al. Comparison of the amplified Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB) direct test, Amplicor MTB PCR, and IS6110-PCR for detection of MTB in respiratory specimens. *Clin Leven Dis* 106(22):1000 Clin Infect Dis 1996;23:1099-6.
- 7 Garcia JE, Losada JP, Gonzalez Villaron L. Reliability of the polymerase chain reaction in the diagnosis of mycobacterial infection. *Chest* 1996;**110**:300–1
- 8 Cegielski JP, DevIin BH, Morris AJ, et al. Com-Depring the second secon
- identification of mycobacteria from clinical specimens by using an easy-to-handle Mycobacterium-specific PCR assay. *J Clin Micro* 1998;**36**:614–7.

A novel mutation of the myelin P. gene segregating Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 1B manifesting as trigeminal nerve thickening

Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease (CMT) is the most common type of hereditary peripheral neuropathy. It is classified into two types based on pathological and electrophysiological findings: type 1 and type 2. CMT type 1 gene loci have been mapped to chromosome 17 (CMT1A), chromosome 1 (CMT1B), another unknown chromosome, (CMT1C) and the X chromosome (CMTX). CMT1B is a rare form of CMT1 associated with mutations of the myelin protein zero (P_0) gene. Mutations in the Po gene have recently