- 2 Petersen RC, Doody R, Kurz A, et al. Current concepts in mild cognitive impairment. Arch Neurol 2001;58:1985–92.
- 3 Lye TC, Piguet O, Grayson DA, et al. Hippocampal size and memory function in the ninth and tenth decades of life: the Sydney Older Persons Study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2004;75:548–54.
- 4 Wahlund LO, Julin P, Lindqvist J, et al. Visual rating and volumetry of the medial temporal lobe on magnetic resonance imaging in dementia. A comparative study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2000;69: 630–5
- 5 Jack CR, Petersen RC, Xu YC, et al. Medial temporal atrophy on MRI in normal aging and

CSF analysis in subarachnoid haemorrhage

Recommendations for CSF analysis in subarachnoid haemorrhage

R Beetham, on behalf of UK NEQAS For Immunochemistry Working Group*

Spectrophotometry of CSF involving bilirubin quantitation is the recommended method of analysis

n this journal in the late 1980s, two papers presented contrasting advice about the appropriate investigation of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) in suspected subarachnoid haemorrhage when computed tomography (CT) of the head revealed no evidence of blood. The first concluded that it was the detection of red blood cells that was important in supporting a decision to proceed to cerebral angiography and not that of the red cell breakdown products, oxyhaemoglobin and bilirubin.1 This was a conclusion based on the use of visual inspection to detect the colour (xanthochromia) imparted by oxyhaemoglobin and bilirubin. The second, based on a series of 111 patients in whom blood was found on CT, concluded that it was the presence of oxyhaemoglobin and bilirubin, as detected spectrophotometrically, that was important.² An editorial in The Lancet picked on the difference in examination principle (visual inspection versus spectrophotometry) as being fundamental to the contrasting conclusions.3 The editorial concluded that spectrophotometry was the appropriate way in which to examine CSF when the occurrence of subarachnoid haemorrhage was in doubt. As was subsequently pointed out, the criteria recommended to determine a

positive finding were ambiguous on two counts, and it was not clear that the conclusions reached from a study of patients where blood was detected could be extrapolated to those where blood was not visualised on imaging.⁴ Moreover, although the subjectivity involved in visual inspection of CSF had been replaced by spectrophotometry, this still involved a subjective interpretation of the spectrophotometric scan without any guidance on what quantitative amounts of oxyhaemoglobin or bilirubin constituted a positive finding.

Against this background it was clear that even in the late 1990s there was much confusion about how CSF should be analysed and the results interpreted in CT negative suspected subarachnoid haemorrhage. Consequently, a working group convened to produce guidelines on CSF sampling, transport, handling, analysis, and interpretation. Central to this process was a retrospective multicentre review of over 700 cases where spectrophotometry had been undertaken, haem pigments identified, and bilirubin quantitated, and where the angiographic outcome was known in 87 cases. While recognising the limitations of such a process, which inevitably would have resulted in some patient selection and population bias, this was a significant improvement on the knowledge that existed at this time.

These guidelines have now been published.⁵ The key recommendations are as follows:

EDITORIAL COMMENTARIES

very mild Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1997;**49**:786–94.

- 6 Scheltens P, Fox N, Barkhof F, et al. Structural magnetic resonance imaging in the practical assessment of dementia: beyond exclusion. Lancet Neurology 2002;1:13–21.
- 7 Drachman DA. If we live long enough, will we all be demented? *Neurology* 1994;44:1563–5.
- Spectrophotometry of CSF involving bilirubin quantitation is the recommended method of analysis.
- This should be done on the final bottle of CSF to be collected.
- An increased CSF bilirubin is the key finding which supports the need for further investigation. Bilirubin will usually be accompanied by oxyhaemoglobin.
- The occurrence of oxyhaemoglobin alone is most often artefactual, but occasionally may occur with subarachnoid haemorrhage.
- Absence of oxyhaemoglobin and bilirubin on spectrophotometry is not supportive of subarachnoid haemorrhage.

In addition, the group has worked closely with UK NEQAS for Immunochemistry to produce a robust external quality assurance (EQA) scheme through which laboratories can maintain appropriate analytical and interpretative standards.

We believe that the production of the guidelines and the introduction of the EQA scheme represent a significant step forward in ensuring an appropriate standard of CSF analysis. We urge all clinicians and laboratories to adopt these practices.

J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2004;**75**:528. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2003.023176

Correspondence to: Dr R Beetham, Department of Clinical Biochemistry, North Bristol NHS Trust, Frenchay Hospital, Bristol BS16 1LE, UK; Robert.Beetham@north-bristol.swest.nhs.uk

REFERENCES

- MacDonald A, Mendelow AD. Xanthochromia revisited: a re-evaluation of lumbar puncture and CT scanning in the diagnosis of subarachnoid haemorrhage. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1988;51:342–4.
- Vermeulen M, Hassan D, Blijenberg BG, et al. Xanthochromia after subarachnoid haemorrhage needs no revisitation. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1989;52:826–8.
- Editorial. Xanthochromia. Lancet 1989;ii:658–9.
 Beetham R, Fahie-Wilson MN, Park D. What is the role of CSF spectrophotometry in the diagnosis of subarachnoid haemorrhage? Ann Clin Biochem 1998;35:1–4.
- 5 National guidélines for analysis of cerebrospinal fluid for bilirubin in suspected subarachnoid haemorrhage. A Working Group of UK NEQAS for Immunochemistry. Ann Clin Biochem 2003;40:481–8.

^{*}Members of Working Group: R Beetham (Chair), MN Fahie-Wilson, I Holbrook, ID Watson, PR Wenham, PAE White, P Thomas, AM Ward, A Cruikshank, G Keir, W Egner, K Allen.