# BRCA1 functions as a breast stem cell regulator

## W D Foulkes

BRCA1 is an important susceptibility gene for breast cancer, which confers substantial lifetime risks of breast cancer, particularly in the pre-menopausal age group. Typically, carriers of BRCA1 mutations develop breast tumours that arow rapidly and are high grade and oestrogen receptor negative. They also possess a basal epithelial phenotype, as defined by cytokeratin expression, that is not present in most breast cancers. It has recently been proposed that the adult breast stem cell expresses only basal keratins. Others have indicated a CD44 positive, CD24 negative phenotype for breast cancer stem cells. In this paper, I argue that the biology of human BRCA1 and its rodent homologues and the clinicopathological features of breast cancer related to BRCA1 support the notion that one of the key functions of BRCA1 is to act as a stem cell regulator. This has implications for the management of carriers of mutations of BRCA1, in part because support for the role of BRCA1 as a stem cell regulator would emphasise the distinct nature of breast cancer related to BRCA1.

> issues of complex organisms are comprised of three populations: terminally differentiated static cells that have little or no proliferative capacity, transit amplifying cells that have a short and finite lifespan before becoming terminally differentiated, and stem cells that are capable of self renewal.1 Such cells can be totipotent or multipotent, depending on the tissue's type and age. Given that cancer and stem cells share a self renewal capacity, the concept that cancer is a stem cell disease is not new.2 The concept recently has received considerable attention in breast cancer research, however, for several reasons. Firstly, the continued failure of polychemotherapy to cure metastatic breast cancer has led some to reconsider the origin, character, and behaviour of the cell that the treatment is trying to eradicate.<sup>3</sup> Secondly, microarrary studies have indicated that the natural history of breast cancer may be determined by events that occur early in the life of the tumour.<sup>4–6</sup> Thirdly, one group recently claimed to have identified a breast cancer stem cell, because the malignant behaviour of the entire tumour can be reduced to the presence of one rare cell type.7

> The work of Sun, Möll and others defined subtypes of breast cells and breast cancers based on their expression of cytokeratins.<sup>8 °</sup> This has been recognised to reflect particular topological

J Med Genet 2004;**41**:1–5. doi: 10.1136/jmg.2003.013805

orientations of breast cells. For example, basal cells of the breast stain with cytokeratin (CK) 5/6, 14, and 17, whereas luminal cells are characterised by expression of CK 7, 8, 18, and 19.<sup>8</sup> <sup>9</sup> Myoepithelial cells stain with  $\alpha$  smooth muscle actin, S100, and other markers.<sup>10</sup> It is important to note that basal oriented cells and myoepithelial cells are not necessarily the same.<sup>11</sup>

BRCA1 is an important susceptibility gene for human breast cancer. Breast cancer that is occurring in carriers of mutation of BRCA1 has a distinctive phenotype.<sup>12 13</sup> In addition, mouse models of BRCA1 function have established an important role for BRCA1 in mammary gland development, which emphasises the connection between breast development and cancer. Human data are less clear, but they also suggest a role for BRCA1 in the determination of the structure and function of the adult female breast.14 15 A large amount of data has accumulated with respect to the molecular genetics of BRCA1 and its murine homologue,<sup>16</sup> but much less is known from a cellular viewpoint. In this paper, I suggest that the available data support an important role for BRCA1 in the regulation of breast stem cells.

#### **BRCA1 STEM CELL HYPOTHESIS**

I hypothesise that wildtype BRCA1 functions as a stem cell regulator, in that one of its roles is to promote the orderly transition to the glandular epithelial breast phenotype. Germline mutations or somatic mutations, or both, in BRCA1 damage this regulatory function, so that failure of transition, a persistence of the "primitive" basal epithelial phenotype, and loss of tight proliferative control over stem cells occur. Many of these cells may die, as programmed cell death is initiated if other genes such as TP53 are intact. A very small fraction of cells escape this mechanism of death, however, and the resulting clones then grow rapidly and become disseminated, mainly through the bloodstream. I suggest that many of the functional characteristics of wildtype and mutated BRCA1 genes are consistent with this hypothesis. Moreover, the unusual basal phenotype of breast cancers related to BRCA1 suggests that BRCA1 regulates lineage choice in breast development. BRCA1 also may be implicated in stem cell regulation in other cell types, such as neurons,17 18 but the influence of mutations of BRCA1 on cancer predisposition clearly is much more limited than the expression profile of BRCA1. A role for BRCA1 in non-cancerous conditions, such as senescence, has not been excluded, however, and non-cancer phenotypes in carriers of mutations of BRCA1 deserve further attention.

Correspondence to: Professor W D Foulkes, Program in Cancer Genetics, Departments of Oncology and Human Genetics, McGill University, Room L10-116 Montreal General Hospital, 1650 Cedar Ave, Montreal, QC, Canada H3G 1A4; william.foulkes@mcgill.ca

Received 26 August 2003 Accepted 22 September 2003

## SUPPORT FOR THE HYPOTHESIS

## Breast cancer stem cells and BRCA1

Previous work suggested that in rodents and humans, breast stem cells are situated in the terminal end buds and are basal, or suprabasal, in position.<sup>11 19 20</sup> The precise nature of breast stem cells is not known, but putative rat mammary stem cells have been shown to stain with antibodies raised against CK6.19 Recent experiments have suggested that these cells are sialomucin (MUC) negative, but epithelial specific antigen (ESA) positive and CK19 positive,<sup>21</sup> whereas others have postulated a CK19 negative phenotype for breast stem cells.<sup>22</sup> Whether or not breast stem cells and breast cancer stem cells necessarily are always the same cell is not clear,<sup>3</sup> and it seems likely that early genetic "hits" to a pluripotent breast stem cell could result in the formation of a proliferatively competent breast cancer stem cell. As stated previously, one group recently claimed to have identified definitively a breast cancer stem cell by using a combination of ESA, CD44, and CD24 cell surface markers common to about 2% of all breast cancers.7 Of relevance to the argument presented here, Böcker and colleagues put forward a new model for the origin of breast cancer.23 With a combination of morphological analysis and double label immunohistochemistry, they propose that adult breast epithelial stem cells are a suprabasally situated CK5/6 positive cells. Previous studies by this group had suggested that some normal breast luminal cells, which have lost contact with the myoepithelial layer, were CK5/6 positive (that is, they expressed a basal phenotype) but were negative for almost all differentiation antigens.<sup>24</sup> In the skin, a small fraction of basal CK5/6 positive cells are thought to be stem cells or transit amplifying cells.25

Wildtype BRCA1 has a key role in DNA repair,<sup>16</sup> is expressed in basal and luminal layers of the breast,26 and is also expressed in embryonic stem cells.<sup>27</sup> Loss of BRCA1, therefore, could result in persistent errors in DNA replication in immortal cells, with potentially serious downstream effects. Immortal cells need few mutational "hits" to become frank cancers, and this might explain the early age of onset of breast cancer related to BRCA1 compared with non-hereditary breast cancers or breast cancers related to BRCA2.28 Notably, the capacity to propagate after relatively minor mutational derangement may be a specific property of stem cells.<sup>29</sup> In many childhood cancers, fewer mutational events seem to be needed to cause cancer, and this has been attributed to the likely stem cell origin of the cancers.30 To consider breast cancers related to BRCA1 as being in the same class as some of these childhood cancers might be useful.

Breast stem cells have been argued to be oestrogen receptor negative cells that are surrounded by oestrogen receptor positive cells that can exert a paracrine effect on the stem cells.<sup>31 32</sup> Notably, grade 3 ductal breast cancers related to *BRCA1* are highly significantly more likely to be oestrogen receptor negative than grade 3 ductal breast cancers not related to *BRCA1* in women of the same age (Foulkes *et al*, manuscript submitted), which suggests that the oestrogen receptor negative status of these cancers is not simply a reflection of their high grade, but rather is determined very early in the life of a cancer and could reflect their stem cell origin.

## Clinicopathological features of breast cancers related to BRCA1

A distinctive phenotype for breast cancers related to *BRCA1* has emerged. These cancers are usually high grade, infiltrating, ductal carcinomas that possess a pushing margin; do not express oestrogen receptors, progesterone receptors, erbB-2 (HER2),  $p27^{Kip1}$ , or cyclin D1 but do express p53; and frequently exhibit mutations of *TP53*.<sup>12 13 33–35</sup> These features

are not due to the early age at diagnosis of breast cancers related to BRCA1. Recent microarray studies have shown that the gene expression profile of oestrogen receptor positive and oestrogen receptor negative breast cancers are very different.<sup>4</sup> Among the latter group, there appears to be a further division between those that are positive for erbB-2 and those that are negative for erbB-2. Breast cancers related to BRCA1 might be expected to be overrepresented in the ER/erbB-2 negative group. Interestingly, tumours that lacked expression of oestrogen receptors and erbB-2 often had a basal phenotype rather than the more common luminal epithelial phenotype.<sup>4</sup> The basal phenotype has been associated with a poor outcome.<sup>36 37</sup> As stated above, CK 5/6, 14, and 17 usually are present in basal cells, whereas luminal cells are characterised by CK 7, 8, 18, and 19.<sup>8</sup> <sup>9</sup> We<sup>38</sup> and others<sup>39</sup> have shown that breast cancers related to BRCA1 are characterised by a basal epithelial phenotype, in that they commonly overexpress CK5/6 (in our study, nine times more frequently than ER/erbB-2 oestrogen receptor negative breast cancers not related to BRCA1 or BRCA2).38 Interestingly, combined immunohistochemical, molecular genetic, and loss of heterozygosity studies have suggested that CK5/6-positive, CK8/18-negative breast cancer cells have low levels of bcl-2, p21, p27, oestrogen and progesterone receptors and erbB-2, as well as high levels of Ki-67, epidermal growth factor receptor and p53.40 These features are remarkably similar to those of breast cancers related to BRCA1, as shown above. A role for BRCA1 in determining the cytokeratin profile of breast cancer thus seems probable. Furthermore, this may reflect a regulatory role for BRCA1 on cell fate.

#### Breast cancers related to BRCA1 grow quickly and are often axillary lymph node negative but may have poor outcomes: breast cancer stem cell phenotype

A recent study showed that breast cancers related to BRCA1 often present as interval cancers41 (that is, they occur between mammography screens for breast cancer and are fast growing tumours). We recently found that even large (20-30 mm) breast cancers related to BRCA1 are much more likely to be axillary node negative than are other types of breast cancer.42 The processes by which cancer cells spread through the blood and lymphatic routes might differ. Microarray studies of breast cancer suggest that bloodborne spread (and concomitantly, long term survival) is determined by events early in the life of a tumour, whereas lymphatic spread may more closely reflect the time that a tumour has been present.<sup>43</sup> The factors that allow breast cancers related to BRCA1 to metastasise rapidly and independently of local lymphatic spread also may contribute to the surprisingly poor prognosis associated with node negative breast cancers related to BRCA1.44-46 At the same time, this fast growth may limit the time available for nodal metastases to develop. By contrast, breast cancers related to BRCA2 are usually oestrogen receptor positive, and most survival studies performed for breast cancers related to BRCA2 suggest that the prognosis is not substantially different from that seen in non-hereditary breast cancer.47 Interestingly, overexpression of proteins such as cyclin E, which promotes unregulated cell cycling, predicts a poor prognosis after a diagnosis of breast cancer, which is independent of the grade of tumour.48 Notably, cyclin E is upregulated in cells that have a basal phenotype.49 Stem cells are exceptionally controlled with respect to proliferation; loss of this control is a key feature of cancer and breast cancers related to BRCA1 bear all the hallmarks of a cancer where proliferative control is lost early in the genesis of the cancer. Moreover, tumours in which BRCA1 is inactivated somatically tend to resemble tumours with germline BRCA1 mutations,<sup>50 51</sup> which suggests a more general role for BRCA1 in regulation of breast stem cells.

BRCA1, TP53, breast development, and breast cancer Unlike in women without mutations of BRCA1, early pregnancy does not protect carriers of mutations of BRCA1 from breast cancer before age 40 years; indeed, parity may increase their risk of breast cancer. For example, in one study, parous carriers of mutations of BRCA1 were at significantly higher risk of breast cancer than matched non-parous carriers of mutations of BRCA1.52 Carriers of mutations of BRCA1 also are prone to develop breast cancer during pregnancy. In the general population, proliferation that occurs in pregnancy temporarily is thought to increase the risk,53 but later, the risk of breast cancer diminishes because of differentiation of the terminal end buds. Interestingly, in lactating mammary glands, the lobules contain only terminally differentiated lactating end cells that stain for CK8/18 and not CK5/6 (indicating a luminal, glandular phenotype).<sup>23</sup> The breast epithelium of *Brca1*<sup>Co/Co</sup> WAP-Cre conditional knockout mice fails to differentiate properly.54 Russo and colleagues suggested in humans that the mammary gland architecture of parous carriers of mutations of BRCA1 resembles that of nulliparous non-carriers.14 Moreover, carriers of mutations of BRCA1 had a shorter total duration of breastfeeding than non-carriers.15 A slight deficiency of BRCA1 protein (that is, heterozygosity)55 could result in abnormalities in the self renewing breast stem cells, which go on to form the terminal end buds and cause a failure of terminal differentiation after pregnancy and hence a persisting risk of breast cancer.52 In rodents, these terminal end buds are clonal and derived from a single cell.56 Lack of BRCA1 protein in puberty could not only cause defective initial ductal formation but also a failure to differentiate the terminal end buds (that normally occur during pregnancy) such that loss of the second BRCA1 allele becomes more likely.

In contrast to the situation for brca1, absence of Tp53 results in an indefinite lifespan of transplanted normal mouse mammary tissue *in vivo*,<sup>57</sup> and loss or inactivation of one allele of Tp53 in Brca1<sup> $\Delta$ 11/ $\Delta$ 11</sup> knockout mice rescued the ductal development deficiency noted in these mice.58 Smith suggested that, in this context, p53 functions to suppress the negative effect of deletion of brca1 on normal allometric mammary ductal growth (G. Smith, personal communication, 2003). More recent studies of these cells indicate that haploid loss of Tp53 resulted in some escape from senescence caused by the  $brca1^{\Delta 11/\Delta 11}$  status, but  $\bar{b}rca1^{\Delta 11/\Delta 11}/\text{Tp53}^{+/}$ mutant cells that escaped senescence underwent clonal selection for faster proliferation and had extensive genetic and molecular alterations.<sup>59</sup> Poor development during embryogenesis and an increased risk of cancer later in life thus could be "two sides of the same coin". In the gut, loss of p53 leads to decreased radiation sensitivity of stem cell progeny to radiation,<sup>60</sup> which results in less apoptosis and a higher risk of persisting DNA mismatches. If the same process is present in the breast, then survival of abnormal stem cells could be a rare but catastrophic event for the organism. Mutations in TP53 have been shown to be common in breast cancers related to BRCA1, and this could reflect deficiencies in nucleotide excision repair<sup>35</sup>-a viewpoint supported by recent experimental data.<sup>61</sup> Early inactivation of TP53 in breast stem cells that lack functional BRCA1 may be a prerequisite for the subsequent apparently aggressive "basal" phenotype that studies at our centre and those of others have identified. Notably, TP53 mutations are much common in breast cancers with a basal phenotype than in cancers with luminal phenotypes.3

Stem cells probably are situated in a physical niche,<sup>62</sup> and, as such, the sensitivity of stem cells to mutational damage may be altered by the hormonal milieu. In non-carriers, pregnancy has been argued to force "cell differentiation out of the stem cell compartment-thereby reducing the number of cells at risk."<sup>30</sup> For carriers of mutations of *BRCA1*, the lower levels of *BRCA1* may result in failure to complete this transition. Only after menopause, when a significant fall in circulating oestrogen occurs does risk for breast cancers related to *BRCA1* start to decline. According to the model presented here, fewer susceptible stem cells are present after menopause, and hence the risk per decade of life falls.

#### Somatic mutations in BRCA1 in breast cancer

Somatic mutations are rare in breast cancers related to BRCA1. Various explanations have been proposed for this phenomenon, including the "mutation window" of puberty, after which the breasts are not susceptible to mutations of BRCA163 64 (but they do seem to remain susceptible to mutations in other genes). The lack of somatic mutations in BRCA1 also has been suggested to reflect a low intrinsic rate of mutations of BRCA1.65 The reason that somatic mutations in BRCA1 are rare in non-hereditary breast cancer could be related to the size of the target: breasts enlargen greatly during puberty. Before puberty, the breasts are not susceptible to damage after exposure to ionising radiation, so mutations that occur when the "target" is small do not have a phenotype. For women who carry mutations of BRCA1, each breast stem cell has one mutation in BRCA1, as do all the progeny. Only the proliferation competent cells are susceptible to further mutations. For women who do not carry a mutation of BRCA1, both alleles have to be inactivated in the same proliferation competent cell for a cancer to develop from this source. As these first and second events will only have a phenotypic effect when far more susceptible cells are present in the breast than are present before puberty, statistically it is much less probable that a single cell will accumulate both mutations than when the first "hit" is already present in all cells.

#### Chemotherapy and breast cancers related to BRCA1

Most stem cells exhibit high levels of BCL-2, and this is thought to be one reason why such cells are often resistant to chemotherapy.3 The presence of low levels of BCL-2 in tumours often is associated with high levels of proliferation, apoptosis, and cell death.<sup>66</sup> Chemotherapy may be more effective in this setting.67 Breast cancers related to BRCA1 are more likely than non-hereditary breast cancers or breast cancers related to BRCA2 to exhibit low levels of BCL-2.68 We recently suggested that breast cancers related to BRCA1 may respond surprisingly well to chemotherapy.45 69 BRCA1 may influence the fate of breast cancer stem cells through the balance between proapoptogenic and antiapoptogenic factors, and this has an important effect on response to chemotherapy. That compounds that contain platinum seem to be very effective in treating ovarian cancers related to BRCA1 is interesting.<sup>70</sup> This class of drug has not been used commonly to treat breast cancer, but it may be particularly effective in breast cancers related to BRCA1. The effect of platinum based compounds on breast stem cells is not known.

#### Implications of the hypothesis

Considerable data suggest that breast cancers related to *BRCA1* are distinguishable from breast cancers not related to *BRCA1* on morphological, immunohistochemical, and molecular grounds. Despite this, it is commonly suggested that the natural history of breast cancers related to *BRCA1* can be reduced to the most simple characteristics of these tumours—that is, node negative, oestrogen receptor negative, high grade, p53 positive, and so on. If the hypothesis proposed here were to be supported, it would shift thinking towards the uniqueness of breast cancers related to *BRCA1*. For example, the debate that surrounds the use of tamoxifen to

prevent breast cancers related to BRCA1 is predicated on the notion that all oestrogen receptor negative breast cancers essentially are alike. The arguments presented here suggest strongly that oestrogen receptor negative breast cancers related to BRCA1 are not the same disease as oestrogen receptor negative breast cancers in the general population, and, by inference, the rules about prevention and treatment of breast cancers related to BRCA1 cannot be derived from research conducted in non-carriers of BRCA1. This would have important implications for carriers of mutations of BRCA1, whether or not they have received a diagnosis of breast cancer.

#### **TESTING THE HYPOTHESIS**

As yet, no consensus exists as to the exact nature of breast cancer stem cells, and therefore extensive immunohistochemical studies and analysis of expression array data have not been performed. Existing data, however, suggest that at least one type of breast cancer stem cell is positive for ESA and CD44 but negative for CD24 and lineage markers.7 I predict that breast cancer stem cells will be more likely than non-stem cells to express basal keratins and to have low levels of BRCA1 protein. Furthermore, the side population in fluorescent activated cell sorter studies of bone marrow and other tissues are believed to be enriched for stem cells.71 BRCA1 is predicted to be more commonly expressed in side population cells than other compartments.

One consequence of the maturation arrest theory of carcinogenesis is that cancers do not develop from benign precursors.<sup>2</sup> The precise pathway to breast cancer in carriers of the BRCA1 mutation is not known, but one prediction would be that breast cancers related to BRCA1 do not arise from benign precancerous forms but in fact arise de novo. The relative lack of ductal carcinoma in situ and other more benign lesions in the breasts of women who carry a BRCA1 mutation<sup>72 73</sup> lends support to the hypothesis advanced here.

Conditional regulated alleles of *brca1* have been created.<sup>53</sup> With the cleared mammary fat pad system,<sup>19</sup> it should be possible to try to create an entire murine breast from a single cell that contains a conditionally regulated allele of brca1. One prediction of this hypothesis is that breast development will be possible from a single cell that lacks at least one copy of brca1, but that development of the breast will be abnormal, and breast cancer will be more likely, particularly if Tp53 is also absent.

Whether expression of BRCA1 in cells that lack BRCA1 can alter their immunohistochemical phenotype is unknown, but one test might be to establish whether the presence of wildtype BRCA1 tends to promote the CK8/18 positive luminal phenotype, which is the expected phenotype of most terminally differentiated breast cells, whether normal or otherwise.

## CONCLUSION

Much is known about the molecular genetics of BRCA1, but its role in shaping cell fate has been studied in less detail. I propose that BRCA1 is a regulator of breast stem cells and that this is its key function in normal breast tissue. In the schema presented here, BRCA1 is the nexus between breast development and breast cancer, and perturbations of the gene in humans and other animals significantly alter both processes.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I thank Drs Lawrence Brody, Gilbert Smith, and Lars Akslen for helpful discussions and Mel Greaves for writing the book "Cancer: the evolutionary legacy". The book stimulated me to write this piece. I also wish to thank Brigitte Poinsier for technical assistance.

#### Conflicts of interest: None declared

#### REFERENCES

- Lajtha LG. Stem cell concepts. Differentiation 1979;14:23-34.
- 2 Sell S, Pierce GB. Maturation arrest of stem-cell differentiation is a common pathway for the cellular-origin of teratocarcinomas and epithelial cancers. Lab Invest 1994:**70**:6–22.
- 3 Reya T, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF, Weissman IL. Stem cells, cancer, and cancer stem cells. Nature 2001;414:105-11
- 4 Perou CM, Sorlie T, Eisen MB, van de Rijn M, Jeffrey SS, Rees CA, Pollack JR, Ross DT, Johnsen H, Aksien LA, Fluge O, Pergamenschikov A, Williams C, Zhu SX, Lonning PE, Borresen-Dale AL, Brown PO, Botstein D. Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature 2000;406:747-52
- 5 Van't Veer LJ, Dai HY, van de Vijver MJ, He YDD, Hart AAM, Mao M, Peterse HL, van der Kooy K, Marton MJ, Witteveen AT, Schreiber GJ, Kerkhoven RM, Roberts C, Linsley PS, Bernards R, Friend SH. Gene expression profiling predicts clinical outcome of breast cancer. Nature 2002;415:530-6.
- 6 Ramaswamy S, Ross KN, Lander ES, Golub TR. A molecular signature of metastasis in primary solid tumors. Nat Genet 2003;**33**:49–54. 7 **Al Hajj M**, Wicha MS, Benito-Hernandez A, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF.
- Prospective identification of tumorigenic breast cancer cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003;100:3983-8.
- 8 Möll R, Franke WW, Schiller DL, Geiger B, Krepler R. The catalog of human cytokeratins: patterns of expression in normal epithelia, tumors and cultured cells. Cell 1982;**31**:11–24.
- 9 Cooper D, Schermer A, Sun TT. Classification of human epithelia and their neoplasms using monoclonal-antibodies to keratins-strategies, applications, and limitations. *Lab Invest* 1985;**52**:243–56.
  Lakhani SR, O'Hare MJ. The mammary myoepithelial cell-Cinderella or ugly
- sister? Breast Cancer Res 2001:3:1-4.
- 11 Dulbecco R, Allen WR, Bologna M, Bowman M. Marker evolution during the development of the rat mammary gland: stem cells identified by markers and the role of myoepithelial cells. Cancer Res 1986;46:2449-56
- 12 Lakhani SR, Jacquemier J, Sloane JP, Gusterson BA, Anderson TJ, van de Vijver MJ, Farid LM, Venter D, Antoniou A, Storfer-Isser A, Smyth E, Steel CM, Haites N, Scott RJ, Goldgar D, Neuhausen S, Daly PA, Ormiston W, McManus R, Scherneck S, Ponder BA, Ford D, Peto J, Stoppa-Lyonnet D, Easton DF. Multifactorial analysis of differences between sporadic breast cancers and cancers involving BRCA1 and *BRCA2* mutations. J Natl Cancer Inst 1998;90:1138-45.
- 13 Chappuis PO, Nethercot V, Foulkes WD. Clinico-pathological characteristics of BRCA1- and BRCA2-related breast cancer. Sem Surg Oncol 2000;18:287-95.
- 14 Russo J, Lynch H, Russo IH. Mammary gland architecture as a determining factor in the susceptibility of the human breast to cancer. Breast J 2001:7:278-91
- Jernström H, Johannsson O, Borg A, Olsson H. Do BRCA1 mutations affect the ability to breast feed? Significantly shorter length of breast feeding among BRCA1 mutation carriers compared with unaffected relatives. Breast 1.5 1998;7:320-4.
- 16 Venkitaraman AR. Cancer susceptibility and the functions of BRCA1 and BRCA2. Cell 2002;108:171-82
- Korhonen L, Brannvall K, Skoglosa Y, Lindholm D. Tumor suppressor gene 17 BRCA-1 is expressed by embryonic and adult neural stem cells and involved in cell proliferation. J Neurosci Res 2003;71:769-76
- 18 Eccles DM, Barker S, Pilz DT, Kennedy C. Neuronal migration defect in a BRCA1 gene carrier: possible focal nullisomy? J Med Genet 2003;40:e24. 19
- Smith GH, Chepko G. Mammary epithelial stem cells. Microsc Res Tech 2001;52:190-203. 20 Rudland PS. Epithelial stem-cells and their possible role in the development of
- the normal and diseased human breast. Histol Histopathol 1993;8:385-404.
- 21 Gudjonsson T, Villadsen R, Nielsen HL, Ronnov-Jessen L, Bissell MJ, Petersen OW. Isolation, immortalization, and characterization of a human breast epithelial cell line with stem cell properties. Genes Dev 2002:16:693-706.
- 22 Bartek J, Taylorpapadimitriou J, Miller N, Millis R. Patterns of expression of keratin-19 as detected with monoclonal-antibodies in human-breast tissues and tumors. Int J Cancer 1985;36:299-306.
- Böcker W. Moll R, Poremba C, Holland R, van Diest PJ, Dervan P, Burger H, Wai D, Diallo RI, Brandt B, Herbst H, Schmidt A, Lerch MM, Buchwallow IB. Common adult stem cells in the human breast give rise to glandular and myoepithelial cell lineages: a new cell biological concept. Lab Invest 2002;**82**:737-45.
- 24 Böcker W, Bier B, Freytag G, Brommelkamp B, Jarasch ED, Edel G, Dockhorndworniczak B, Schmid KW. An immunohistochemical study of the breast using antibodies to basal and luminal keratins, alpha-smooth muscle actin, vimentin, collagen-IVand Iaminin. 2. Epitheliosis and ductal carcinoma in situ. *Virchows Archiv* 1992;**421**:323–30.
- 25 Potten CS. Stem cells and tissue homeostasis. In: Lord BJ, Potten CS, Cole RJ, eds. Stem cells. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1979.
- 26 Daniel DC. Dual immunofluorescence labeling with cell-specific markers localizes BRCA1 in both basal and luminal epithelial cells in primary outgrowth from noncancerous mammary ductal and alveolar preparations. Cell Tissue Res 1999;**298**:481–7
- 27 Hakem R, de la Pompa JL, Sirard C, Mo R, Woo M, Hakem A, Wakeham A, Potter J, Reitmair A, Billia F, Firpo E, Hui CC, Roberts J, Rossant J, Mak TW. The tumor suppressor gene Brca1 is required for embryonic cellular proliferation in the mouse. Cell 1996;85:1009-23.
- 28 Antoniou A, Pharoah PD, Narod S, Risch HA, Eyfjord JE, Hopper JL, Loman N, Olsson H, Johannsson O, Borg A, Pasini B, Radice P, Manoukian S, Eccles DM, Tang N, Olah E, Anton-Culver H, Warner E, Lubinski J, Gronwald J, Gorski B, Tulinius H, Thorlacius S, Eerola H, Nevanlinna H, Syrjakoski K,

Kallioniemi OP, Thompson D, Evans C, Peto J, Lalloo F, Evans DG, Easton DF. Average risks of breast and ovarian cancer associated with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations detected in case series unselected for family history: a combined analysis of 22 studies. Am J Hum Genet 2003;72:1117-30.

- 29 Owens DM, Watt FM. Contribution of stem cells and differentiated cells to epidermal tumours. Nat Rev Cancer 2003;3:444-51
- 30 Greaves M. Cancer: the evolutionary legacy. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000
- 31 Zeps N, Bentel JM, Papadimitriou JM, D'Antuono MF, Dawkins HJ. Estrogen proceptor-negative epithelial cells in mouse mammary gland development and growth. Differentiation 1998;62:221–6.
- 32 Clarke RB, Howell A, Potten CS, Anderson E. Dissociation between steroid receptor expression and cell proliferation in the human breast. Cancer Res 1997.57.4987-91
- 33 Chappuis PO, Kapusta L, Bégin LR, Wong N, Brunet JS, Narod SA, Slingerland J, Foulkes WD. Germline BRCA1/2 mutations and p27(Kip1) protein levels independently predict outcome after breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2000;18:4045–52.
- 34 Hedenfalk I, Duggan D, Chen Y, Radmacher M, Bittner M, Simon R, Meltzer P, Gusterson B, Esteller M, Kallioniemi OP, Wilfond B, Borg A, Trent J. Gene-expression profiles in hereditary breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2001;**344**:539–48.
- 35 Greenblatt MS, Chappuis PO, Bond JP, Hamel N, Foulkes WD. TP53 mutations in breast cancer associated with BRCA1 or BRCA2 germ-line mutations: distinctive spectrum and structural distribution. Cancer Res 2001;61:4092-7
- 36 Sørlie T, Perou CM, Tibshirani R, Aas T, Geisler S, Johnsen H, Hastie T, Eisen MB, van de Rijn M, Jeffrey SS, Thorsen T, Quist H, Matese JC, Brown PO, Botstein D, Lonning PE, Borresen-Dale AL. Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001;98:10869-74.
- van de Rijn M, Perou CM, Tibshirani R, Haas P, Kallioniemi C, Kononen J, Torhorst J, Sauter G, Zuber M, Kochli OR, Mross F, Dieterich H, Seitz R, Ross D, Botstein D, Brown P. Expression of cytokeratins 17 and 5 identifies a group of breast carcinomas with poor clinical outcome. Am J Pathol 2002;161:1991–6.
- 38 Foulkes WD, Stefansson LM, Chappuis PO, Bogin LR, Gaffin JR, Wong N, Trudel M, Akslen LA. Germline BRCA1 mutations and a basal epithelial phenotype in breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2003;95:1482–5.
- Sørlie T, Tibshirani R, Parker J, Hastie T, Marron JS, Nobel A, Deng S, Johnsen H, Pesich R, Geisler S, Demeter J, Perou CM, Lonning PE, Brown PO, Borresen-Dale AL, Botstein D. Repeated observation of breast tumor subtypes in independent gene expression data sets. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003;100:8418–23.
- 40 Korsching E, Packeisen J, Agelopoulos K, Eisenacher M, Voss R, Isola J, van Diest PJ, Brandt B, Böcker W, Buerger H. Cytogenetic alterations and cytokeratin expression patterns in breast cancer: Integrating a new model of breast differentiation into cytogenetic pathways of breast carcinogenesis. Lab integration and cytogenetic pathways of breast carcinogenesis. Invest 2002:82:1525-33.
- Brekelmans CT, Seynaeve C, Bartels CC, Tilanus-Linthorst MM, Meijers Heijboer EJ, Crepin CM, van Geel AA, Menke M, Verhoog LC, van den Ouweland A, Obdeijn IM, Klijn JG. Effectiveness of breast cancer surveillance in BRCA1/2 gene mutation carriers and women with high familial risk. J Clin Oncol 2001;19:924–30.
- Control Event Strategy Control of the second strategy Contr Brunet J-S, Narod SA. Disruption of the expected positive correlation between tumor size and nodal status in BRCA1-related breast cancer. Cancer 2003;98:1569-77
- 43 Bernards R, Weinberg RA. A progression puzzle. Nature 2002;418:823
- 44 Eerola H, Vahteristo P, Sarantaus L, Kyyronen P, Pyrhonen S, Blomqvist C, Pukkala E, Nevanlinna H, Sankila R. Survival of breast cancer patients in BRCA1, BRCA2, and non-BRCA1/2 breast cancer families: a relative survival analysis from Finland. Int J Cancer 2001;93:368-72.
- 45 Moller P, Borg A, Evans DG, Haites N, Reis MM, Vasen H, Anderson E, Steel CM, Apold J, Goudie D, Howell A, Lalloo F, Maehle L, Gregory H, Heimdal K, Survival in prospectively ascertained familial breast cancer: analysis of a series stratified by tumour characteristics, *BRCA* mutations and oophorectomy. *Int J Cancer* 2002;**101**:555–9.
- 46 Goffin JR, Chappuis PO, Bégin LR, Wong N, Brunet JS, Hamel N, Paradis AJ, Boyd J, Foulkes WD. Impact of germline *BRCA1* mutations and overexpression of p53 on prognosis and response to treatment following breast carcinoma: 10-year follow up data. *Cancer* 2003;97:527–36.
  47 Foulkes WD, Rosenblatt J, Chappuis PO. The contribution of inherited factors
- to the clinicopathological features and behavior of breast cancer. J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia 2001;6:453-65.
- Porter PL, Malone KE, Heagerty PJ, Alexander GM, Gatti LA, Firpo EJ, 48 Daling JR, Roberts JM. Expression of cell-cycle regulators p27Kip1 and cyclin

- 49 Monti F, Loda M, Pagano M. Oncogenic role of the ubiquitin ligase subunit Skp2 in human breast cancer. J Clin Invest 2002;110:633-41
- 50 Catteau A, Harris WH, Xu CF, Solomon E. Methylation of the BRCA1 promoter region in sporadic breast and ovarian cancer: correlation with disease characteristics. Oncogene 1999;18:1957-65.
- 51 Esteller M, Silva JM, Dominguez G, Bonila F, Matias-Guiu X, Lerma E, Bussaglia E, Prat J, Harkes IC, Repasky EA, Gabrielson E, Schutte M, Baylin SB, Herman JG. Promoter hypermethylation and BRCA1 inactivation in
- sporadic breast and ovarian tumors. J Natl Cancer Inst 2000;92:564–9.
   Jernström H, Lerman C, Ghadirian P, Lynch HT, Weber B, Garber J, Daly M, Olopade OI, Foulkes WD, Warner E, Brunet JS, Narod SA. Pregnancy and risk of early breast cancer in carriers of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*. Lancet 1999;**354**:1846–50.
- Lambe M, Hsieh C, Trichopoulos D, Ekbom A, Pavia M, Adami HO. Transient 53 increase in the risk of breast cancer after giving birth. N Engl J Med 1994:331:5-9
- 54 Xu X, Wagner KU, Larson D, Weaver Z, Li C, Ried T, Hennighausen L, Wynshawsboris A, Deng CX. Conditional mutation of *Brca1* in mammary epithelial cells results in blunted ductal morphogenesis and tumour formation. *Nature Genet* 1999;**22**:37–43.
- 5 Buchholz TA, Wu X, Hussain A, Tucker SL, Mills GB, Haffty B, Bergh S, Story M, Geara FB, Brock WA. Evidence of haplotype insufficiency in human cells containing a germline mutation in BRCA1 or BRCA2. Int J Cancer 2002;97:557-61
- 56 Kordon EC, Smith GH. An entire functional mammary gland may comprise the
- Kordon LC, simile Gri, Arrenne Dickondria manual registration a single cell. Development 1998;125:1921–30.
   Medina D, Kittrell FS, Shepard A, Stephens LC, Jiang C, Lu J, Allred DC, McCarthy M, Ullrich RL. Biological and genetic properties of the p53 null preneoplastic mammary epithelium. FASEB J 2002;16:881–3.
   Xu X, Qiao W, Linke SP, Cao L, Li WM, Furth PA, Harris CC, Deng CX.
- Genetic interactions between tumor suppressors Brca1 and p53 in apoptosis, cell cycle and tumorigenesis. Nat Genet 2001;28:266-71.
- So Cao L, Li W, Kim S, Brodie SG, Deng CX. Senescence, aging, and malignant transformation mediated by p53 in mice lacking the *Brca1* full-length isoform. *Genes Dev* 2003;17:201–13.
   Merritt AJ, Potten CS, Kemp CJ, Hickman JA, Balmain A, Lane DP, Hall PA. Therefore the senescence and the factor is a statement and the senescence and the senescenc
- The role of p53 in spontaneous and radiation-induced apoptosis in the gastrointestinal tract of normal and p53-deficient mice. *Cancer Res* 1994;**54**:614–7.
- Hartman AR, Ford JM. BRCA1 induces DNA damage recognition factors and enhances nucleotide excision repair. Nat Genet 2002;32:180–4.
   Chepko G, Dickson RB. Ultrastructure of the putative stem cell niche in rat
- mammary epithelium. Tissue Cell 2003;35:83-93.
- Scully R, Livingston DM. In search of the tumour-suppressor functions of BRCA1 and BRCA2. Nature 2000;408:429–32.
- Haber D. Roads leading to breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2000;343:1566–8.
   Narod S. Roads to breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2001;344:937.
- van Slooten HJ, van de Vijver MJ, van de Velde CJ, van Dierendonck JH. Loss Grand States and Sta
- 67 Chemotherapy-induced apoptosis and Bd-2 levels correlate with breast cancer response to chemotherapy. *Cancer J* 2003;9:33–41.
   Freneaux P, Stoppa-Lyonnet D, Mouret E, Kambouchner M, Nicolas A,
- Zafrani B, Vincent-Salomon A, Fourquet A, Magdelenat H, Sastre-Garau X. Low expression of bcl-2 in brca1-associated breast cancers. Br J Cancer 2000:83:1318-22
- 69 Chappuis PO, Goffin J, Wong N, Perret C, Ghadirian P, Tonin PN, Poules WD. A significant response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in *BRCA1/* 2 related breast cancer. *J Med Genet* 2002;**39**:608–10.
- 70 Boyd J, Sonoda Y, Federici MG, Bogomolniy F, Rhei E, Maresco DL, Saigo PE, Almadrones LA, Barakat RR, Brown CL, Chi DS, Curtin JP, Poynor EA, Hoskins WJ. Clinicopathologic features of BRCA-linked and sporadic ovarian cancer. JAMA 2000;**283**:2260–5.
- 71 Zhou S, Schuetz JD, Bunting KD, Colapietro AM, Sampath J, Morris JJ, Lagutina I, Grosveld GC, Osawa M, Nakauchi H, Sorrentino BP. The ABC transporter Bcrp1/ABCG2 is expressed in a wide variety of stem cells and is a molecular determinant of the side-population phenotype. Nat Med 2001;**7**:1028-34.
- Sun CC, Lenoir G, Lynch H, Narod SA. In-situ breast cancer and BRCA1. Lancet 1996;348:408. 72
- Kauff ND, Brogi E, Scheuer L, Pathak DR, Borgen PI, Hudis CA, Offit K, 73 Robson ME. Epithelial lesions in prophylactic mastectomy specimens from women with BRCA mutations. Cancer 2003;97:1601-8.