# Genetic Analysis of Spontaneous Resistance to Ampicillin in Neisseria gonorrhoeae

FRED JONES,\* ELOISE J. CUNNINGHAM, THOMAS E. SHOCKLEY, AND JULIUS H. JACKSON

Department of Microbiology, Meharry Medical College, Nashville, Tennessee 37208

Received 19 September 1984/Accepted 16 April 1985

Step-wise intrinsic resistance to ampicillin in Neisseria gonorrhoeae was analyzed genetically by DNA-mediated transformation experiments. A first-step ampicillin-resistant  $(Amp<sup>r</sup><sub>1</sub>)$  mutant and a second-step ampicillinresistant  $(Amp<sup>r</sup><sub>2</sub>)$  mutant generated during sequential selection were used in these studies. Each selection step was accompanied by an approximate twofold increase in resistance. Four *amp* alleles were found to account for full resistance of the Amp<sup>r</sup><sub>2</sub> phenotype. All four amp alleles lie among a cluster of genes which code for ribosomal functions. This region has the map order rif str fus tet cam. First-step resistance was caused by two amp alleles, ampA2 and ampB1, neither of which independently caused detectable ampicillin resistance. Outcrossing of the ampA2 or the ampB1 mutation resulted in wild-type susceptibility to ampicillin. Mapping studies indicate that *ampB1* lies between str and fus, whereas ampA2 lies to the right of cam. Second-step resistance required two mutations, ampC3 and ampD4, in addition to ampB1 and ampA2. Transformation of ampC3 to ampC3<sup>+</sup> in an Amp<sup>r</sup><sub>2</sub> mutant resulted in the Amp<sup>r</sup><sub>1</sub> phenotype. Both ampC3 and ampD4 showed transformation linkage to rif and str. ampC3 was positioned at a site between rif and str. ampD4 apparently occupied a site, outside of the rif-str region, proximal to rif and distal to str. We postulate the gene order to be ampD rif ampC sir ampB fus tet cam ampA.

Intrinsic resistance to penicillin, ampicillin, and other P-lactam antibiotics in bacteria is acquired by mutation in one or more chromosomal genes (1). Extrinsic resistance to these antibiotics can be under the control of  $\beta$ -lactamase genes of plasmid or chromosomal origin (11). Genetic studies of intrinsic ampicillin resistance in Streptococcus pneumoniae showed that resistance to increasing levels of ampicillin resulted from the additive effects of mutations at several independent chromosomal loci (3). From studies of intrinsic penicillin resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae, three genes,  $penA$  (7, 12, 16),  $penB$  (16), and  $pem$  (18), have been reported to confer cross-resistance to ampicillin. Mutations in penA result in low-level resistance to penicillin, ampicillin, and certain other penicillins but not to other drugs (7, 12, 16). Mutations at penB or at pem confer no resistance independently (15, 16, 18). penB mutations increase the nonspecific effects expressed by mtr, which include lowlevel resistance to penicillin and tetracycline (15) and slightly increased resistance to ampicillin and chloramphenicol (16). Mutations in pem and penA in combination additively increase resistance to penicillin and ampicillin (16, 18). Mapping studies indicate that  $penB$  is linked to a cluster of genes which code for resistance to streptomycin, fusidic acid, tetracycline, chloramphenicol, erythromycin, and spectinomycin (12, 15, 16).

The genes *penA* and *pem* show no cotransformation with any of the genes within this cluster but do exhibit 90% cotransfer with each other (18).

This report presents evidence for multiple chromosomal genetic loci which cause ampicillin resistance in N. gonorrhoeae and are distinct from loci previously described.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains. The bacterial strains used in these studies are listed in Table 1. All strains were stored at  $-70^{\circ}$ C in

TABLE 1. Strains used

| <b>Strain</b> | Phenotype <sup>a</sup>   | Origin                                      |
|---------------|--|---|
| 2686          | Parental   | D. S. Kellogg                               |
| <b>JN25</b>   | Str  | Spontaneous Str <sup>r</sup> mutant of 2686 |
| <b>JN26</b>   | $Amp^{r}$ <sub>1</sub>   | Spontaneous Amp <sup>r</sup> mutant of 2686 |
| JN27          | $Amp^{r}{}_{2}$  | Spontaneous Amp <sup>r</sup> mutant of JN26 |
| <b>JN28</b>   | $Amp^{r}$ <sub>3</sub>   | Spontaneous Amp <sup>r</sup> mutant of JN27 |
| JN29          | Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>1</sub> Str <sup>r</sup>                               | Recombinant from JN25 $\times$ JN26         |
| <b>JN30</b>   | Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>2</sub> Str <sup>r</sup>                               | Spontaneous Str <sup>r</sup> mutant of JN27 |
| <b>JN31</b>   | Tet <sup>r</sup>   | Recombinant from JN38 $\times$ 2686         |
| JN32          | $Amp^{r}$ , Tet <sup>r</sup>   | Recombinant from $JN38 \times JN26$         |
| <b>JN33</b>   | Fus <sup>r</sup>   | Spontaneous Fus <sup>r</sup> mutant of 2686 |
| <b>JN34</b>   | Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>1</sub> Fus <sup>r</sup>                               | Spontaneous Fus <sup>r</sup> mutant of JN26 |
| <b>JN35</b>   | <b>Rif</b>   | Recombinant from JN36 $\times$ 2686         |
| <b>JN36</b>   | Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>2</sub> Rif <sup>r</sup>                               | Spontaneous Rif <sup>r</sup> mutant of JN27 |
| <b>JN37</b>   | Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>2</sub> Fus <sup>r</sup>                               | Spontaneous Fus <sup>r</sup> mutant of JN27 |
| <b>JN38</b>   | $Amp^{r}$ <sub>2</sub> Tet <sup>r</sup>                                      | Spontaneous Tet <sup>r</sup> mutant of JN27 |
| <b>JN45</b>   | Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>2</sub> Rif <sup>r</sup> Str <sup>r</sup>              | Spontaneous Rif <sup>r</sup> mutant of JN30 |
| <b>JN49</b>   | $Amp^{r}$ , $Amp^{r}$ , $Rif^{r}$  | Recombinant JN45 $\times$ JN26              |
|               | $Str$ <sup><math>-</math></sup>  |   |
| <b>JN55</b>   | $Amp^{r}$ , $Amp^{s}$ , $Rif^{r}$  | Recombinant JN45 $\times$ JN26              |
| <b>JN56</b>   | Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>1</sub> Amp <sup>s</sup> <sub>2</sub> Rif <sup>r</sup> | Recombinant JN45 $\times$ JN26              |
| <b>JN60</b>   | Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>1</sub> Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>2</sub> Rif <sup>r</sup> | Recombinant JN32 $\times$ JN49              |
|               | $\mathrm{Tet}^{\mathrm{r}}$  |   |
| <b>JN67</b>   | Cam <sup>r</sup>   | Spontaneous Cam <sup>r</sup> mutant of JN27 |
| JN68          | Cam <sup>r</sup>   | Recombinant JN67 $\times$ 2686              |
| <b>JN81</b>   | $Amp^{r}$ Cam <sup>r</sup>   | Spontaneous Cam <sup>r</sup> mutant of JN26 |
| <b>JN82</b>   | Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>1</sub> Fus <sup>r</sup> Str <sup>r</sup>              | Recombinant JN25 $\times$ JN34              |
| <b>JN84</b>   | Amp <sup>s</sup> <sub>1</sub> Fus <sup>r</sup> Str <sup>r</sup>              | Recombinant JN25 $\times$ JN34              |
| <b>JN85</b>   | Amp <sup>s</sup> <sub>1</sub> Fus <sup>r</sup> Str <sup>r</sup>              | Recombinant JN25 $\times$ JN34              |
| <b>JN86</b>   | Amp <sup>s</sup> <sub>1</sub> Tet <sup>r</sup> Fus <sup>r</sup><br>Str       | Recombinant JN31 $\times$ JN82              |
| <b>JN87</b>   | Amp <sup>s</sup> , Tet <sup>r</sup> Fus <sup>r</sup>                         | Recombinant JN33 $\times$ JN32              |

<sup>a</sup> Str, Streptomycin; Amp, ampicillin; Tet, tetracycline; Fus, fusidic acid; Rif, rifampin; Cam, chloramphenicol.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

TABLE 2. Antibiotic susceptibility of N. gonorrhoeae mutants

| <b>Strain</b>                                      | MIC $(\mu g/ml)^a$ |     |     |     |                     |     |       |  |  |
|--|--------------------|-----|-----|-----|---------------------|-----|-------|--|--|
| (phenotype)  | Amp                | Pen | Tet | Erv | Cam                 | Fus | Rif   |  |  |
| 2686 (Parent) 0.025 0.006 0.085 0.120 0.300 0.110  |                    |     |     |     |                     |     | 0.025 |  |  |
| $JN26 (Ampr1)$ 0.050 0.010 0.120 0.130 0.300 0.110 |                    |     |     |     |                     |     | 0.025 |  |  |
| $JN27 (Ampr_{2})$ 0.095 0.016 0.110 0.120          |                    |     |     |     | $0.300 \quad 0.110$ |     | 0.020 |  |  |
| $JN28 (Ampr)$ 0.200 0.014 0.110 0.120              |                    |     |     |     | $0.350 \quad 0.110$ |     | 0.025 |  |  |

<sup>a</sup> MIC is the lowest concentration of antibiotic that produces at least a 90% inhibition of colony-forming activity. Amp, Ampicillin; Pen, penicillin; Tet, tetracycline; Ery, erythromycin; Cam, chloramphenical; Fus, fusidic acid; Rif, rifampin.

GCBB-DS (gonococcal medium base broth with defined supplements) containing 20% (vol/vol) glycerol (14).

Media, culture conditions, and enzyme assays. Cultures were routinely grown on GCBA-DS (gonococcal medium base agar [Difco] plus defined supplements) or in GCBB-DS at 36°C in a humidified atmosphere containing  $5\%$  CO<sub>2</sub> (17). By using the criteria established by Kellogg et al. (5), colony types were determined by use of an AO Cycloptic dissecting microscope (American Optical Corp.) or an Olympus model SC stereo microscope (Olympus Corp. of America). All antibiotics were added to molten agar medium held at 50°C before pouring of the plates. With the exception of media containing streptomycin and ampicillin, antibiotic-containing media were used within <sup>1</sup> to 4 days of preparation. Medium containing ampicillin was used within 24 h. Medium containing streptomycin was stored for as long as 2 months at 4°C. Plates were routinely allowed to dry overnight at room temperature and subsequently stored at  $4^{\circ}C$ .  $\beta$ lactamase was assayed by the method of Catlin (4).

Selection of antibiotic-resistant mutants. A procedure involving the sequential subculture of gonococci on media containing increasing concentrations of antibiotic was used for selecting multi-step ampicillin-resistant mutants. Firststep  $(Amp<sup>r</sup><sub>1</sub>)$  mutants were obtained as follows. A heavy cell suspension of parental strain 2686, 109 CFU/ml, was prepared, and 0.1-ml samples were spread onto 15 to 20  $GCBA-DS$  agar plates containing 0.04  $\mu$ g of ampicillin per ml. Emergent colonies were first tested for resistance by streaking onto the same medium. MICs were then determined for isolates that exhibited typical  $T_1$  or  $T_2$  colonial morphology. An isolate showing first-step resistance to 0.05  $\mu$ g of ampicillin per ml was used for the selection of mutants with increased resistance to ampicillin above the first-step level, i.e., second-step resistance. After the procedure described above, selection at  $0.08 \mu g$  of ampicillin per ml yielded second-step  $(Amp<sup>r</sup><sub>2</sub>)$  mutants resistant to an ampicil-



FIG. 1. Schematic crosses to map genes for first-step ampicillin resistance. (A) Selection to bias recombination toward the left of tet. (B) Selection to bias recombination toward the right of  $f_{\mu s}$ . (C) The first cross of a three-factor reciprocal cross designed to order amp-i relative to fus and str. Left (1) and right (r) figures represent the two possible gene orders being tested. (D) The reciprocal cross of (C). (E and F) Four factor crosses to order amp-2 relative to tet and cam. Left (1) and right (r) figures represent the two possible gene orders.

lin concentration of 0.095  $\mu$ g/ml. Third-step ampicillin-resistant  $(Amp<sup>r</sup>3)$  mutants were selected in media containing 0.15  $\mu$ g of ampicillin per ml. These mutants subsequently exhibited resistance to an ampicillin concentration of approximately  $0.20 \mu g/ml$ .

| Cross | Donor (phenotype)  | Recipient<br>(phenotype)                                 | Selected<br>phenotype | Unselected<br>phenotype | No. of<br>transfor-<br>mants<br>screened | No. of<br>transfor-<br>mants with<br>unselected<br>phenotype | Frequency<br>of cotrans-<br>formation |
|-------|--|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| A     | $JN29$ (Str <sup>r</sup> Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>1</sub> ) | $2686$ (Str <sup>s</sup> Amp <sup>s</sup> )              | Str                   | Amp <sub>1</sub>        | 465                                      | ND <sup>a</sup>  |                                       |
|       |  |  | $Amp^{r}$             |                         | ND                                       | ND   |                                       |
| в     | $JN25$ (Str <sup>r</sup> Amp <sup>s</sup> )              | $JN26$ (Str <sup>s</sup> Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>1</sub> ) | Str                   | Amp <sup>s</sup>        | 543                                      | 12   | 0.022                                 |
| C     | $JN32$ (Tet <sup>r</sup> Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>1</sub> ) | $2686$ (Tet <sup>s</sup> Amp <sup>s</sup> )              | Tet <sup>r</sup>      | Amp <sub>1</sub>        | 546                                      | ND   |                                       |
|       |  |  | Amp <sup>r</sup>      |                         | ND                                       | <b>ND</b>  |                                       |
| D     | $JN31$ (Tet <sup>r</sup> Amp <sup>s</sup> )              | $JN26$ (Tet <sup>s</sup> Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>1</sub> ) | Tet <sup>r</sup>      | Amp <sup>s</sup>        | 404                                      | 47   | 0.116                                 |
| Е     | $JN31$ (Tet <sup>r</sup> Amp <sup>s</sup> )              | $JN34$ (Fus <sup>r</sup> Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>1</sub> ) | Tet <sup>r</sup>      | <b>Fus</b> <sup>s</sup> | 484                                      | 273  | 0.564                                 |
|       |  |  | Tet <sup>r</sup>      | Amp <sup>s</sup>        | 484                                      | 61   | 0.126                                 |

TABLE 3. Cotransformation analysis of first-step ampicillin resistance

<sup>a</sup> ND, None detectable.

| TABLE 4. Genetic mapping of the <i>amp-2</i> allele |                       |                            |                       |                                   |   |                |                                       |  |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---|----------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Cross   | Donor (geno-<br>type) | Recipient (genotype)       | Selected<br>phenotype | Unselected<br>phenotype(s)        | Marker ratio  | Fre-<br>quency | Frequency<br>of cotrans-<br>formation |  |
| A   | $JN31$ (tet-1)        | $JN81$ (amp-1 amp-2 cam-2) | Tet <sup>r</sup>      | Amp <sup>s</sup>                  | Amp <sup>s</sup> /Tet <sup>r</sup>                  | 579/810        | 0.715                                 |  |
|   |                       |                            |                       | $Cam^s$                           | Cam <sup>s</sup> /Tet <sup>r</sup>                  | 579/810        | 0.715                                 |  |
|   |                       |                            |                       | Cam <sup>r</sup> Amp <sup>s</sup> | Amp <sup>s</sup> /Cam <sup>r</sup> Tet <sup>r</sup> | 177/231        | 0.766                                 |  |
|   |                       |                            |                       |                                   | $Ampr$ ,/Cam <sup>r</sup> Tet <sup>r</sup>          | 54/231         | 0.234                                 |  |
|   |                       |                            |                       | Amp <sup>r</sup> Cam <sup>s</sup> | Cam <sup>5</sup> /Amp <sup>r</sup> Tet <sup>r</sup> | 177/231        | 0.766                                 |  |
|   |                       |                            |                       |                                   | Cam <sup>r</sup> /Amp <sup>r</sup> Tet <sup>r</sup> | 54/231         | 0.234                                 |  |
| B   | $JN68$ (cam-1)        | $JN32$ (amp-1 amp-2 tet-1) | Cam'                  | Amp <sup>s</sup>                  | Amp <sup>s</sup> /Cam <sup>r</sup>                  | 771/912        | 0.845                                 |  |
|   |                       |                            |                       | Tet <sup>s</sup>                  | Tet <sup>s</sup> /Cam <sup>r</sup>                  | 782/912        | 0.857                                 |  |
|   |                       |                            |                       | Amp <sup>r</sup> Tet <sup>s</sup> | Tet <sup>s</sup> /Amp <sup>r</sup> Cam <sup>r</sup> | 37/41          | 0.902                                 |  |

TABLE 4. Genetic mapping of the amp-2 allele

Spontaneous mutants resistant to the reference antibiotics used in this study were selected by spreading 0.1 ml of a heavy cell suspension  $(10^9 \text{ CFU/ml})$  onto GCBA-DS agar plates supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics. The concentrations of antibiotics used in selection for each reference mutation were tetracycline (0.25  $\mu$ g/ml), rifampin (0.3  $\mu$ g/ml), fusidic acid (0.1  $\mu$ g/ml), and streptomycin (500  $\mu$ g/ml).

Determinations of MIC. The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of antibiotic to produce 90% inhibition of colony-forming activity after 72 h of incubation. Cells were grown on GCBA-DS agar plates for <sup>18</sup> to 20 h, harvested in GCBB-DS broth, and suspended to turbidity equivalent to a concentration of  $2 \times 10^3$  to  $4 \times 10^3$  CFU/ml. Samples (0.1 ml) of the cell suspension were then spread onto plates containing various concentrations of antibiotics and onto control plates containing no antibiotic. After incubation at 36°C for 72 h, the MIC was recorded.

DNA isolation and transformation. Transforming DNA was extracted from donor strains by a modification of a procedure described by Sparling (14). Cell lysis usually occurred within 10 to 20 min after the addition of powdered sodium lauryl sulfate. The DNA fibers collected after three alcohol precipitations were dissolved in sodium citrate buffer and used for all transformation experiments. DNA concentrations were estimated by the diphenylamine method of Burton (2). Transformation experiments were carried out by the procedure described by Sparling (14). Cotransformation of the unselected resistant phenotypes was determined by replica-plating the transformed colonies to antibiotic-free agar plates and then to media containing the unselected antibiotic. Transformed colonies were replicated by transferring each individual colony to the appropriate medium with sterile toothpicks. Cotransformation frequencies were expressed as the ratio of transformants with the unselected phenotype to the total number of colonies screened for the selected phenotype.

Antibiotics. Ampicillin (polycillin-N) was obtained from Bristol Laboratories, Syracuse, N.Y. Penicillin-G (sodium salt), erythromycin, and tetracycline were kindly provided by George B. Whitfield, The UpJohn Co., Kalamazoo, Mich. Rifampin and chloramphenicol were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo. Fusidic acid sodium salt (Fusidin) was a gift from W. 0. Godtfredsen, Leo Pharmaceutical Products, Ballerup, Denmark. Streptomycin sulfate was obtained from Pfizer Laboratories Div., New York, N.Y.

Other chemicals used were reagent grade or of the highest purity commercially available.

# RESULTS

Characterization of ampicillin-resistant phenotypes. The selection of ampicillin-resistant (Amp<sup>r</sup>) mutants in three selection steps was characterized by a twofold increase in resistance at each step. The apparent mutation frequency was in the range of  $10^{-9}$  to  $10^{-10}$ , and the step-wise pattern was consistent with observations made in other genera (3, 11, 13).  $Amp_{1}^{r}$ ,  $Amp_{2}^{r}$ , and  $Amp_{3}^{r}$  mutants were tested for ampicillin resistance and cross-resistance to other antibiotics (Table 2). Other than a slight increase in resistance to penicillin and to tetracycline in the first step, susceptibility to other antibiotics tested remained unchanged. This suggested that the mutations causing multiple-step ampicillin resistance, and in particular the low-level tetracycline resistance, do not involve the mtr locus (9, 10), which confers increased resistance to all of the antibiotics tested except ampicillin.  $\beta$ -lactamase activity, assayed by the method of Catlin (4), was not detectable.

Cotransformation analysis of first-step ampicillin resistance.  $Amp<sup>r</sup>$  mutants were characterized genetically by transformation with isogenic strains. No Amp<sup>r</sup> transformants were recovered by direct or indirect selection when DNA from  $Amp<sup>r</sup><sub>1</sub> Str<sup>r</sup>$  or  $Amp<sup>r</sup><sub>1</sub> Tet<sup>r</sup>$  mutants was used to transform the Amps parental strain <sup>2686</sup> (Table 3, crosses A and C). However,  $Amp^{r_1}$  mutants were transformed to  $Amp^{s}$  by cotransformation with Str<sup>r</sup> at a frequency of 2.2% or Tet<sup>r</sup> at frequencies of 11.6 and 12.6% (Table 3, crosses B, D, and E). Fus<sup>s</sup> cotransformed with Tet<sup>r</sup> at a frequency of 56.4%. Since Amp<sup>s</sup> but not the  $Amp<sup>r</sup><sub>1</sub>$  phenotype could be transferred in a single transformation event, we inferred that spontaneous mutation to first-step ampicillin resistance may involve two or more genetic sites with low or no transformation linkage to each other.

On the tentative assumption that two "resistance'" alleles caused first-step ampicillin resistance, experiments were designed to determine their locations relative to the known positions of the antibiotic markers str, fus, and tet, which have been previously mapped by Sparling et al. (15). The crosses shown in Fig. 1A and B were designed to measure the cotransfer of markers for Amps with regions of the chromosome to the left of tet to include fus but exclude tet and to the right of tet to include tet but exclude fus. In cross A, with Tet<sup>r</sup> in the recipient, double selection for Fus<sup>r</sup> Tet<sup>r</sup> biased selection for only those Amp<sup>s</sup> transformants which incorporated the donor chromosome region to the left of tet  $(Fig. 1A)$ . In cross B, with  $Fus<sup>r</sup>$  in the recipient, selection for Fus<sup>r</sup> Tet<sup>r</sup> biased selection of transformants which incorporated the donor chromosome to the right of  $fus$  (Fig.

| Cross          | Donor (phenotype)  | Recipient<br>(phenotype)                                 | Selected<br>phenotype | Unselected<br>phenotype                     | No. of<br>transfor-<br>mants<br>screened | No. of trans-<br>formants with<br>unselected<br>phenotype | Frequency<br>of cotrans-<br>formation |
|----------------|--|--|-----------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| 1              | $JN30$ (Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>2</sub> Str <sup>r</sup> ) | $JN33$ (Fus <sup>r</sup> )                               | Str <sup>r</sup>      | Fus <sup>s</sup><br>Amp <sub>2</sub>        | 765<br>765                               | 439<br>0  | 0.574<br>0                            |
| $\overline{2}$ | $JN30$ (Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>2</sub> Str <sup>r</sup> ) | $JN34$ (Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>1</sub> Fus <sup>r</sup> ) | Str <sup>r</sup>      | <b>Fus</b> <sup>s</sup><br>Amp <sub>2</sub> | 414<br>414                               | 242<br>8  | 0.585<br>0.019                        |
| 3              | $JN37$ (Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>2</sub> Fus <sup>r</sup> ) | $JN25$ (Str <sup>r</sup> )                               | Fus <sup>r</sup>      | $Str^s$<br>Amp <sub>2</sub>                 | 531<br>531                               | 369<br>$\mathbf 0$  | 0.695<br>0                            |
| 4              | $JN37$ (Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>2</sub> Fus <sup>r</sup> ) | $JN29$ (Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>1</sub> Str <sup>r</sup> ) | Fus <sup>r</sup>      | Str <sup>s</sup><br>$Amp_{2}^{r}$           | 411<br>411                               | 278<br>$\mathbf{0}$                                       | 0.676<br>$\bf{0}$                     |
| 5              | $JN38$ (Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>2</sub> Tet <sup>r</sup> ) | $JN25$ (Str <sup>r</sup> )                               | Tet <sup>r</sup>      | $Str^s$<br>$Amp^{r}$ <sub>2</sub>           | 956<br>956                               | 423<br>$\bf{0}$   | 0.443<br>$\bf{0}$                     |
| 6              | $JN38$ (Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>2</sub> Tet <sup>r</sup> ) | $JN29$ (Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>1</sub> Str <sup>r</sup> ) | Tet <sup>r</sup>      | Str <sup>s</sup><br>$Amp^{r}{}_{2}$         | 840<br>840                               | 375<br>$\mathbf{0}$                                       | 0.446<br>$\bf{0}$                     |
| 7              | JN35 (Rif')  | $JN25$ (Str <sup>r</sup> )                               | Rif <sup>r</sup>      | Str <sup>s</sup>                            | 414                                      | 92  | 0.222                                 |
| 8              | $JN25$ (Str <sup>r</sup> )                               | JN35 (Rif')  | Str <sup>r</sup>      | Rif <sup>s</sup>                            | 405                                      | 43  | 0.106                                 |

1B). The results from cross A indicate that <sup>a</sup> site to the left can cause Amps with a cotransformation frequency of 99.7% with fus. A second site causing Amp<sup>s</sup> was revealed by cross B to lie to the right of tet with a cotransformation frequency of 30.6% with tet. From these results an apparent gene order is str amp-1 fus tet amp-2.

Mapping of first-step ampicilin resistance alleles. A threefactor reciprocal cross was designed to position the *amp-1* allele relative to fus and str. The position of  $amp-1$  relative to fus and str was deduced from the frequency of cotransformation of  $Amp<sup>s</sup>$  with str or with fus when recombination occurred between fus and str. Fig. 1C depicts the expected recombinational events for the two possible gene orders indicated. Similarly, recombinational events for the reciprocal cross are represented by Fig. 1D. If the gene order were str fus amp-1, then the appearance of Amp<sup>s</sup> should be infrequent in cross C, owing to a double recombinational event (probably less than 1%), and frequent in cross D as <sup>a</sup> consequence of a single recombinational event. However, if the gene order were str amp-1 fus then the appearance of Amps should be frequent in both crosses. The appearance of Amp<sup>s</sup> among Str<sup>r</sup> Fus<sup>r</sup> transformants occurred at a frequency of 46% in cross C and 84% in cross D. These data support a gene order of str amp-1 fus.

A second locus involved in first-step ampicillin resistance, amp-2, was positioned relative to tet and cam. Standard three-factor reciprocal cross analyses could not be done with the markers available because no transformants were viable when Tet<sup>r</sup> and Cam<sup>r</sup> were simultaneously selected phenotypes. Therefore, four-factor crosses of single selected and multiple unselected markers were used to order amp-2 relative to cam (Fig. 1E and F and Table 4). In cross E (Fig. 1) with Tet<sup>r</sup> (tet<sup>-</sup>) as a single selected marker, Amp<sup>s</sup> (amp<sup>+</sup>) and Cam<sup>s</sup> ( $cam<sup>+</sup>$ ) cotransformed at a frequency of 71.5%. The frequency for Cam<sup>s</sup> reflects probable distance between the tet and cam alleles; however, the frequency for Amp<sup>s</sup> and Tet<sup>r</sup> is higher than a frequency which reflects the probable separation between tet and amp-1 or amp-2. This may be explained if a gene for Amp<sup>s</sup> lies to either side of tet,

in which case the frequency with which Amp<sup>s</sup> cotransforms with  $Tet^{r}$  is the sum of the frequencies for *amp-l* and *amp-2* each to cotransform with tet. Among the Tet<sup>r</sup> transformants which were Cam<sup>r</sup> in cross A, Amp<sup>s</sup> appeared with a frequency of 76.6%, whereas Amp<sup>r</sup> appeared at a 23.4% frequency (Table 4). These frequencies indicate that single recombinational events can account for the phenotypes observed. Among the Tet<sup>r</sup> transformants which were Amp<sup>r</sup>, the Cam<sup>s</sup> and Cam<sup>r</sup> phenotypes cotransformed at frequencies consistent with single recombinational events (Table 4). Fig. 1E is a diagram of the recombinational events expected given the two possible gene orders indicated. If the gene order were amp-1 tet amp-2 cam, then the phenotype ratio Cam<sup>s</sup>/Amp<sup>r</sup> Tet<sup>r</sup> would be very low to reflect a double recombinational event. The frequency of 0.766 was high and therefore consistent with the gene order amp-1 tet cam amp-2.

The reciprocal cross, Fig. 1F, yielded a high frequency of Amp<sup>s</sup> in cotransformation with the selected Cam<sup>r</sup> phenotype (Table 4, cross  $B$ ). Appearance of Tet<sup>s</sup> phenotypes among the selected Camr recombinants occurred at a frequency of 85.7%. This frequency reflects the proximity of tet to cam. The frequency of appearance of Tet<sup>s</sup> among Cam<sup>r</sup> Amp<sup>r</sup> transformants was 90.2%. This frequency connotes a single recombinational event. In the diagrams of recombinational possibilities related to gene order, single recombinational events suggest a gene order of amp-1 tet cam amp-2 (Fig. 1F). Therefore, the results of both crosses E and F (Fig. 1) are consistent with the suggested gene order. We suggest that the ampicillin-susceptible allele of amp-1 be labeled ampB and the susceptible allele of amp-2 be labeled amp $\vec{A}$ .

Reconstruction of the  $Amp<sup>r</sup><sub>1</sub>$  phenotype. The combined effect of the *amp-1* and *amp-2* alleles to produce the  $Amp<sup>r</sup><sub>1</sub>$ phenotype was directly demonstrated by transformation. Strains JN86 and JN87 with the genotypes  $str^{-}$  ampBI<sup>-fus-</sup> tet<sup>-</sup> amp $A^+$  and str<sup>+</sup> amp $B^+$  fus<sup>-</sup> tet<sup>-</sup> amp $A2^-$ , respectively, were constructed from appropriate crosses. From a cross between these two Amp<sup>s</sup> strains, JN86 (donor)  $\times$ JN87, with Str<sup>r</sup> as the selected phenotype, first-step ampicil-



FIG. 2. Designs of crosses for genetic mapping of amp-3 and amp-4. (A) Hypothetical assignment of amp-3. Double selection for donor rif-str yields approximately 30% Rif' Str<sup>r</sup> Amp<sup>r</sup><sub>2</sub> expression. (B) Double selection for donor str-recipient rif yields transformants (outcrossed by a single  $amp^+$  allele) of the phenotype Amp<sup>r</sup><sub>1</sub>. (C) Double selection for donor rif-str yields transformants with genes to the left of str to include amp-3 rif and amp4. (D) Recombinational events showing cotransfer of str amp-3<sup>+</sup> or str ampB<sup>+</sup>. (E) Construction of the genotype ampA2 ampB1 amp $C^+$  amp-4 (Amp<sup>s</sup><sub>2</sub>).

lin resistance cotransformed at a frequency of 90.7%. The level of ampicillin resistance in the reconstructed strain permitted growth on  $0.04 \mu$ g of ampicillin per ml, the same as the  $Amp<sup>r</sup><sub>1</sub>$  mutants. This high frequency of cotransformation strongly supports an interpretation that JN87 harbors one of two alleles necessary for first-step ampicillin resistance and that a single allele near str is also required for this resistance.

Mapping of second-step ampicillin resistance. Second-step ampicillin resistance apparently cotransformed with str and only to strains that expressed the first-step resistance to ampicillin (Table 5, crosses <sup>1</sup> and 2). Whereas the str marker was linked by cotransformation to *rif, tet,* and *fus* (Table 5, crosses 3 through 6), the allele(s) for the  $Amp<sup>r</sup>2$  phenotype cotransformed only with str and with a very low frequency.

The rif and str loci are sufficiently close (Table 5, crosses 7 and 8) that a single marker with low linkage to str and unlinked to fus or tet would be expected to cotransform with rif at a higher frequency than with str. However, we observed a comparably low cotransformation frequency (0.007) of  $Amp^{r_2}$  with *rif.* We considered that second-step ampicillin resistance expression might derive from two genes, one to either side of rif. A requirement for both genes to cotransform with str or rif could account for the very low frequencies observed. We designed crosses to test this hypothesis (Fig. 2).

If a single gene between rif and str were responsible for second-step ampicillin resistance, then the double selection of rif and str transformed from an  $Amp<sup>r</sup>2$  donor to an  $Amp<sup>r</sup>1$ 

TABLE 6. Evidence for two alleles required for second-step ampicillin resistance

| <b>Cross</b> | Donor (genotype)  | Recipient (genotype)  | Selected<br>marker | Unselected marker<br>(phenotype)             | No. of trans-<br>formants<br>screened | No. of un-<br>selected<br>markers | Frequency<br>of cotrans-<br>formation |
|--------------|---|---|--------------------|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| A            | $JN45$ (ampB1 ampA2 amp-3 $JN26$ (ampB1 ampA2)<br>amp-4 $\pi$ if-2 str-2) |   | $rit-2 str-2$      | amp-3 amp-4 $(Ampr_{2})$                     | 540                                   | 155                               | 0.287                                 |
| в            | $JN25$ (str-1)  | $JN60$ (ampB1 ampA2 amp-3 str-1 rif-2<br>amp-4 rif-2 tet-1)   |                    | $amp-3^{+}$ (Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>1</sub> ) | 270                                   | 47                                | 0.174                                 |
|              | $JN29$ (ampBl ampA2 str-1)  | $JN60$ (ampB1 ampA2 amp-3 str-1 rif-2<br>$amp-4$ rif-2 tet-1) |                    | $amp-3^{+}$ (Amp <sup>r</sup> <sub>1</sub> ) | 270                                   | 67                                | 0.248                                 |

| Cross | Donor (genotype)          | Recipient (genotype)                    | Selected<br>marker(s) | Unselected<br>marker | No. of trans-<br>formants<br>screened | No. of<br>transfor-<br>mants<br>with unse-<br>lected<br>phenotype | Frequency<br>of cotrans-<br>formation |
|-------|---------------------------|---|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| A     | $JN45$ (ampB1 ampA2 ampC3 | $JN55$ (ampB1 ampA2 ampC3 <sup>+</sup>  | $str-2$               | $ampC3^+$            | 270                                   | 0   | $\bf{0}$                              |
|       | $amp-4$ rif-2 str-2)      | amp-4 $\pi$ if-2)                       |                       | ampC3                | 270                                   | 260   | 0.963                                 |
| B     | $JN45$ (ampB1 ampA2 ampC3 | JN56 (ampB1 ampA2 ampC3 <sup>+</sup>    | $str-2$               | $ampC3$ <sup>+</sup> | 270                                   | $\bf{0}$  | $\bf{0}$                              |
|       | $amp-4$ rif-2 str-2)      | amp-4 $rif-2$ )                         |                       | ampC3                | 270                                   | 262   | 0.970                                 |
| C     | $JN45$ (ampB1 ampA2 ampC3 | JN26 (ampB1 ampA2 amp $C3$ <sup>+</sup> | $rif-2$               | $ampC3+ str+$        | 270                                   | 120   | 0.440                                 |
|       | $amp-4$ rif-2 str-2)      | $amp-4^+$ )                             | $str-2$               | $ampC3$ amp-4        | 270                                   | 37  | 0.137                                 |
|       |                           |   | $rif-2 str-2$         | $ampC3$ amp-4        | 261                                   | 22  | 0.084                                 |
|       |                           |   |                       | $ampC3$ amp-4        | 251                                   | 75  | 0.300                                 |

TABLE 7. Independent cotransformation of ampC3 and mapping of genes responsible for second-step ampicillin resistance

recipient should yield a fraction of Amp<sup>r</sup><sub>2</sub>/Rif<sup>r</sup> Str<sup>r</sup> transformants that approached 100% (Fig. 2A). Likewise, an  $Amp<sup>r</sup>_{2}$ Rif<sup>r</sup> recipient transformed with an Amp<sup>s</sup> Str<sup>r</sup> (data not shown) or  $Amp^{r}$  Str<sup>r</sup> donor should outcross a single gene for second-step resistance by selection for Rif<sup>r</sup> Str<sup>r</sup> (Fig. 2B). Transformation of strain JN26  $(Amp<sup>r</sup><sub>1</sub>)$  by DNA from strain JN45 ( $Amp^{r_2}$ ) by double selection for rif and str resulted in the cotransformation of the  $Amp^{r_2}$  at a frequency of 28.7% (Table 6, cross A, and Fig. 2C). This frequency represents a 15- to 30-fold increase over results obtained by cotransformation with either rif or str as a single selected marker. This dramatic increase implied that the region between rif and str could account, at least in part, for second-step resistance. However, since the frequency of appearance of  $Amp_{2}^{r}$  did not approach 100% in the doubly selected recombinants, a region left of rif was also implicated in expression of secondstep resistance (Fig. 2C). The inverse crosses with selection for recipient *rif* and donor *str* yielded comparable frequencies of  $Amp^{r_2}$  (Table 6, crosses B and C, Fig. 2B or D). These data then confirm the presence of a locus between rif and str that is involved in expression of second-step resistance. We suggest *ampC* as a name for this locus between *rif* and *str*.

In an effort to determine whether a fourth allele was involved in expression of second-step ampicillin resistance, we designed selections to construct a strain to contain mutations  $ampA2ampB1$  and a putative fourth allele  $ampA1$ but not the *ampC3* (amp-3). The desired recombination pattern to achieve the strain construction is shown in Fig. 2E, and the results are presented in Table 7. The cross between JN45 (donor) and JN26 yielded Rif<sup>t</sup> transformants without second-step ampicillin resistance but with Str<sup>s</sup> and first-step ampicillin resistance. The frequency for the  $Amp<sup>r</sup><sub>1</sub>$ Str<sup>s</sup>/Rif<sup>r</sup> phenotype was 44%. If a locus,  $amp-4$ , required for second-step resistance lay to the left of and near rif, then some of these transformants would be expected to lack ampC3 but to contain the  $amp-4$  allele. Two transformants with the appropriate phenotypes from this cross were labeled JN55 and JN56.

If strains JN55 and JN56 contained three of four alleles necessary for expression of second-step ampicillin resistance and if the missing allele were  $ampC$ , then we reasoned that second-step ampicillin resistance should cotransform with *str* at a frequency much higher than expected from the previous crosses which required two alleles to transform. The results of crosses A and B between strains JN45 and JN55, and JN45 and JN56 clearly supported the four allele hypothesis (Table 7). The  $Amp<sup>r</sup>2$  phenotype appeared at a cotransformation frequency of 96% and 97% respectively. Control crosses showed that DNA from JN45 cotransformed second-step ampicillin resistance with either rif or str at low frequencies, and selection for rif-str recombination raised the frequency to 30%. We suggest  $ampD$  as a name for the locus to the left of rif.

These data provide evidence that four alleles are involved in expression of first- and second-step ampicillin resistance. Mapping evidence is consistent with the gene order shown in Fig. 3.

#### DISCUSSION

These results indicate that ampicillin resistance in N. gonorrhoeae arises by a series of mutations in multiple genes distinct from those previously mapped  $(6, 8, 11, 15, 16)$ . Mutation frequencies from initial selection were  $10^{-9}$  to  $10^{-10}$ , although the first-step resistance was shown to derive from two mutation sites. The apparent high frequency for a double mutational event might be explained by two single sequential events that occurred as a consequence of transfer onto the selective medium for clonal purification after the initial isolation. Alternatively, the region in which these mutations lie might have unusually high mutability. Polygenic inheritance is a common mechanism for the development of resistance to antibiotics and has been demonstrated for penicillin and tetracycline resistance in the gonococcus (6, 15, 16).

The first increment of ampicillin resistance requires mutation in both ampA and ampB for detection. The slight increase in tetracycline resistance associated with the ampA2 ampBl genotype is apparently due to the ampA2 mutation. The *penB* locus, which may code for ampicillin resistance when mtr is present, has been reported to lie in the same region as ampA. However, penB and ampA appear to be different genes based on a comparison of our mapping data with that of Sparling (15). The cotransformation fre-



FIG. 3. Probable map positions of four ampicillin-resistance genes. The amp genes were mapped relative to the locations of the drug resistance genes, rif, str, fus, tet, and cam as reported by Sparling et al. (16) and Spratt et al. (17).

quency of penB with cam was  $10\%$  (15), whereas ampA and cam cotransformed at 80 to 90% frequency. In addition, ampicillin resistance associated with penB is expressed only in strains containing the  $mtr$  locus (15). The  $tem$  locus, which apparently lies in the vicinity of the  $ampB$  and  $ampC$  loci  $(18)$ , likewise appears not to be functionally allelic to *ampB* or ampC. The only reported effects of tem are to increase resistance conferred by *pem* and *tet* mutations (18).

Ampicillin resistance above the first-step requires mutations in both  $ampC$  and  $ampD$  in addition to the first-step lesions. The concomitant increase in penicillin resistance of about 50% by mutation in ampC ampD was the only observed change in resistance to the antibiotics tested other than ampicillin. This increase may be attributable to a cross-resistance to penicillin and may involve the same target site.

The newly identified genes were positioned relative to neighboring reference genes by multifactor reciprocal crosses. In some mapping designs, three-factor reciprocal crosses would not work because the selection requiring two ribosomal alterations, e.g., Tet<sup>r</sup> and Cam<sup>r</sup> yielded no viable transformants. Positions of the amp alleles were deduced primarily from the relative frequencies of cotransformation with neighboring reference genes. In mapping the *amp* alleles, we were always aware of the possible cotransfer of neighboring amp alleles which could increase expected cotransformation frequencies. However, the distances separating the amp genes, e.g., ampA and ampB were sufficient such that their cotransfer, presumably, would be rare. This hypothesis is supported indirectly by experiments in which the cotransfer of two amnpicillin resistance alleles was usually nondetectable or detected only at low frequencies. In addition, selection procedures designed to bias the selection of transformants incorporating specific regions of the chromosome helped to define the transfer of single amp genes. The map positions for the reference genes str, rif, fus, tet, and cam used in our studies with N. gonorrhoeae 2686 were in close agreement with those published for strain FA19 (15).

These studies report an example not found in the literature in which resistance of a multi-step mutant results from the combined effects of a series of mutations, none of which confers resistance independently. These mutations permitted establishment of the gene order ampD rif ampC str ampB fus tet cam ampA.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was partially supported by Public Health Service Minority Biomedical Research Support grant RR08037 from the National Institutes of Health, Division of Research Resources. J.H.J. is a recipient of Research Career Development award CA00533 from the National Cancer Institute.

# LITERATURE CITED

1. Benveniste, R., and J. Davies. 1973. Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in bacteria. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 43:471-506.

- 2. Burton, K. 1956. A study of the conditions and mechanisms of the diphenylamine reaction for the colorimetric estimation of deoxyribonucleic acid. Biochem. J. 62:315-323.
- 3. Butler, L. O., and M. B. Smiley. 1970. Characterization by transformation of an ampicillin-resistant mutant of Pneumococcus. J. Gen. Microbiol. 61:189-195.
- 4. Catlin, B. W. 1975. Iodometric detection of Haemophilus influenzae beta-lactamase: rapid presumptive test for ampicillin resistance. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 7:265-270.
- 5. Kellogg, D. S., Jr., W. L. Peacock, Jr., W. E. Deacon, L. Brown, and C. I. Pirkle. 1963. Neisseria gonorrhoeae. I. Virulence genetically linked to clonal variation. J. Bacteriol. 85:1274-1279.
- 6. Maier, T. W., H. R. Beilstein, and L. Zubrzycki. 1974. Multiple antibiotic resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 6:22-28.
- 7. Maier, T. W., P. Warner, L. Zubrzycki, and M. Chila. 1977. Identification of drug resistance loci in various clinical isolates of Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 12:444-446.
- 8. Maier, T. W., L. Zubrzycki, and M. B. Coyle. 1975. Genetic analysis of drug resistance of Neisseria gonorrhoeae: identification and linkage relationships of loci controlling drug resistance. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 7:676-681.
- 9. Maier, T. W., L. Zubrzycki, M. B. Coyle, M. Chila, and P. Warner. 1975. Genetic analysis of drug resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae: production of increased resistance by the combination of two antibiotic resistance loci. J. Bacteriol. 124: 834-842.
- 10. Maness, M. J., and P. F. Sparling. 1973. Multiple antibiotic resistance due to a single mutation in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. J. Infect. Dis. 128:321-330.
- 11. Nordstorm, K., K. G. Ericksson-Greenberg, and H. G. Boman. 1968. Resistance of Escherichia coli to penicillins. III. AmpB, a locus affecting episomally and chromosomally mediated resistance to ampicillin and chloramphenicol. Genet. Res. 12: 157-168.
- 12. Sarubbi, F. A., Jr., E. Blackman, and P. F. Sparling. 1974. Genetic mapping of linked antibiotic resistance loci in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. J. Bacteriol. 120:1284-1292.
- 13. Shockley, T. E., and R. D. Hotchkiss. 1970. Step-wise introduction of transformable penicillin resistance in Pneumococcus. Genetics 64:397-408.
- 14. Sparling, P. F. 1966. Genetic transformation of Neisseria gonorrhoeae to streptomycin resistance. J. Bacteriol. 92:1364-1371.
- 15. Sparling, P. F., L. Guymon, and G. Biswas. 1976. Antibiotic resistance in the gonococcus, p. 494-500. In D. Schlessinger (ed.), Microbiology-1976. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- 16. Sparling, P. F., F. A. Sarubbi, Jr., and E. Biackman. 1975. Inheritance of low-level resistance to penicillin, tetracycline, and chloramphenicol in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. J. Bacteriol. 124:740-749.
- 17. Spratt, S. K., F. Jones, T. E. Shockley, and J. H. Jackson. 1980. Cotransformation of a serum resistance phenotype with genes for arginine biosynthesis in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Infect. Immun. 29:287-289.
- 18. Warner, P. F., L. Zubrzycki, and M. Chila. 1980. Polygenes and modifier genes for tetracycline and penicillin resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. J. Gen. Microbiol. 117:103-110.