# Principles of applied neurogastroenterology: physiology/motility-sensation

J E Kellow, M Delvaux, F Azpiroz, M Camilleri, E M M Quigley, D G Thompson

Chair, Committee on **Physiology:** Motility/Sensation, **Multinational Working** Teams to Develop **Diagnostic Criteria for** Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders (Rome II), Departments of Medicine and Gastroenterology, **Royal North Shore** Hospital, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia I E Kellow

Co-Chair, Committee on Physiology: Motility/Sensation, Multinational Working Teams to Develop Diagnostic Criteria for Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders (Rome II), Gastroenterology Unit, University Hospital Rangueil, Toulouse, France M Delvaux

Department of Surgery, Hospital General Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain F Azpiroz

Gastroenterology Unit, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA M Camilleri

Department of Medicine, Cork University Hospital, National University of Ireland, Cork, Ireland, and University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, Nebraska, USA E M M Quigley

Department of Medicine, Hope Hospital, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK D G Thompson

Correspondence to: John E Kellow, MD, Associate Professor of Medicine, University of Sydney, Royal North Shore Hospital, St Leonards NSW 2065, Australia. Email: johnk@med.usyd.edu.au

#### Abstract

Many of the symptoms characteristic of the functional gastrointestinal disorders (FGID) are consistent with dysfunction of the motor and/or sensory apparatus of the digestive tract. Those aspects of sensorimotor dysfunction most relevant to the FGID include alterations in: gut contractile activity; myoelectrical activity; tone and compliance; and transit, as well as an enhanced sensitivity to distension, in each region of the gastrointestinal tract. Assessment of these phenomena involves a number of techniques, some well established and others requiring further validation. Using such techniques, researchers have reported a wide range of alterations in sensory and in motor function in the FGID. Importantly, however, relationships between such dysfunction and symptoms have been relatively weak, and so the clinical relevance of the former remains unclear. Moreover, the proportions of patients in the various symptom subgroups who display dysfunction, and the extent and severity of their symptoms, require better characterization. On a positive note, progress is occurring on several fronts, especially in relation to functional dyspepsia and irritable bowel syndrome, and based on the data gathered to date, a number of areas where further advances are required can be highlighted.

(Gut 1999;45(Suppl II):II17–II24)

Keywords: motility; sensation; gastrointestinal tract; functional gut disorders; functional dyspepsia; irritable bowel syndrome; visceral hyperalgesia; Rome II

Motility of the digestive tract encompasses the phenomena of myoelectrical activity, contractile activity, tone, compliance, and transit. In the functional gastrointestinal disorders (FGID), various types of dysmotility have been documented repeatedly, and most likely reflect dysfunction at one or more levels of the brain– gut axis. Patients with FGID also exhibit sensory afferent dysfunction, manifest as an altered sensitivity to stimuli such as distension of the gut, and selectively affecting the visceral territory.

### Digestive tract sensorimotor physiology and pathophysiology

BASIC CONCEPTS AND DEFINITIONS RELEVANT TO THE FGID

#### Motility

In the various regions of the gastrointestinal tract, muscle layers of the gut wall and their innervation are adapted and organized to subserve the motor functions of that region. Along the gastrointestinal tract, the gut interacts with the central nervous system through either somatic or autonomic neurons, and communication between various parts of the gut is facilitated by the transmission of myogenic and neurogenic signals longitudinally along the gut, as well as by reflex arcs transmitted through autonomic neurons (fig 1).

Contractile activity and tone-Gastrointestinal contractions may be classified on the basis of their duration; contractions may be of short duration (phasic contractions), or may be more sustained (tone). In the small intestine, a phasic contraction is usually defined as a contraction whose duration does not exceed the span of a slow wave cycle; however, some longer lasting motor events are also considered phasic-for example, prolonged propagated contractions. A more prolonged state of contraction, referred to as tone, may be clearly recognized in some regions such as the proximal stomach (accommodation response to a meal) and the colon (response to feeding). Tone may also be a feature of sphincteric regions.

The spatial organization of contractions differs at all levels of the gastrointestinal tract. The stomach and small intestine display different patterns of contractility during fasting and postprandial states.<sup>1</sup> In the fasted state, motor activity is highly organized into a distinct and cyclically recurring sequence of events known as the migrating motor complex (MMC). The MMC, which has been the subject of intensive study over several decades, consists of three distinct phases of motor activity that occur in sequence and migrate slowly along the length of the small intestine. Each sequence begins with a period of motor quiescence (phase 1), is followed by a period of apparently random and irregular contractions (phase 2), and culminates in a burst of uninterupted regular phasic contractions (phase 3 or activity front) (fig 2). Individual cycles last between one and two hours, originate in the stomach or, most frequently, the duodenum or proximal small intestine, and migrate aborally. The maximum frequency of contractions in these organs is most commonly observed during phase 3 of the MMC. If food is ingested, the cyclical pattern is abolished, or at least suppressed, and replaced, in the stomach by regular antral contractions, and in the small bowel, by irregular, random contractions which may be sus-

Abbreviations used in this paper: FGID, functional gastrointestinal disorders; MMC, migrating motor complex; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome.



Figure 1 Extrinsic and intrinsic control of gastrointestinal motility. The extrinsic sympathetic and parasympathetic supply to the gut modulates the function of the enteric brain located in ganglionated plexi along the gastrointestinal tract. Transmitters released from the enteric neurons, which are the intrinsic neural control of the gut, modulate the peristaltic reflex. The major transmitters in the peristaltic reflex are shown on the right, acetylcholine (ACh) and substance P (SubP) are the predominant excitatory neurotransmitters, and vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP) and nitric oxide are the predominant inhibitory neurotransmitters. SubK, substance K; NOS, nitric oxide synthase. (Reproduced with permission, Ann Rev Med 1999 (in press).)

tained for 2.5–8 hours, depending on the size and nature of the meal (fig 2). Thereafter, the fasted pattern resumes, or recurs, when a substantial part of the meal has emptied from the small bowel.

The colon displays several forms of contractility<sup>2</sup>: the most prominent of these are irregular contractions and high amplitude propagated contractions, associated with electrical activities called short and long spike bursts.<sup>3</sup> The high amplitude propagated con-

tractions are pressure events which sweep through the colon propelling contents from the ascending or transverse colon to the sigmoid colon or rectum. Prolonged recordings of colonic motility have shown that these contractions occur more often in the morning and during the postprandial period.<sup>4 5</sup> The other motor events in the colon appear to propel contents over short distances in either an orad or an aborad direction, and their primary function appears to be to facilitate mixing. Accom-



Figure 2 Example of fasting and postprandial motility in a healthy subject. Note the activity front (phase 3 of the migrating motor complex) during fasting (left panel) and the sustained but irregular contractile phasic pressure activity postprandially. (Reproduced with permission, Ann Rev Med 1999 (in press).)



Figure 3 Colonic motility measured by means of manometry and barostatically controlled balloon in a healthy subject. Note the postprandial increase in phasic pressure activity in the descending colon, and the reduction in balloon volume, signifying an increase in colonic tone.

modation and storage, essential functions of the colon, are at least partly mediated by colonic tone. Fluctuations in tone, however, also facilitate distribution of material in the colon, and alter thresholds for perception of luminal distension. Tone demonstrates considerable diurnal variation, increasing slowly following a meal, relaxing during sleep, and increasing dramatically upon waking (fig 3)<sup>6</sup>; these variables also influence phasic activity similarly.

Compliance and related phenomena-Compliance refers to the capability of a region of the gut to adapt to an imposed intraluminal pressure; it is expressed as the ratio dV:dP and is obtained from the pressure-volume curve (fig 4). Compliance reflects the contributions of several factors, including the capacity (diameter) of the organ, the elastic properties and muscular activity of the gut wall, and the elasticity of surrounding organs. The interpretation of compliance measurements remains controversial because compliance is also influenced by the type of distension, and-when phasic distension is applied-by the number of distension steps. Therefore, compliance should be compared between studies only when it has been measured in a similar way.

Another phenomenon related to compliance is wall tension. This reflects the force acting on the gut wall and results from the interaction between intraluminal content and the reaction of the muscular and elastic properties of the wall. Gut sensation depends at least in part on wall tension; in the whole gut segment, tension



Figure 4 Compliance curve (dV:dP) drawn for human colon in a healthy subject.



Figure 5 Gastric emptying of solids measured using scintigraphy: note the delay in gastric emptying  $t^{1/2}$  in a symptomatic diabetic patient compared with an asymptomatic patient and a healthy subject.

is operationally defined by Laplace's law (T = PR/2 for a sphere; T = PR for a cylinder, where T refers to wall tension, P is intraluminal pressure, and R is the radius). Assessment of wall tension may therefore be important in the interpretation of results of tests of sensation.

Transit-Transit refers to the time taken for food or other material to traverse a specified region of the gastrointestinal tract. It reflects the combined effects of the various phenomena outlined earlier. Factors influencing transit include the physical nature of the meal (e.g., solid v liquid emptying from the stomach), the composition of the meal (e.g., fat content or osmolality of the liquid phase), whether the marker used to measure transit is inert or part of the meal, the relation of marker ingestion to the interdigestive motility state in the small intestine, and the state of cleansing of the colon. Summaries of transit measurements commonly used include the half-emptying time  $(t^{1/2})$  for stomach (fig 5) and colon, and also the amount emptied from the stomach at two and four hours, and the mean transit time through the colon.

#### Sensation

Most stimuli in the gut are not consciously perceived. Sensitivity is an ambiguous term that has been used to refer to both conscious perception of gut stimuli and to afferent input within gastrointestinal sensory pathways, whether related to perception or to reflex responses. The term of most relevance to the FGID is the subjective experience of conscious perception. Several other terms however, borrowed from the field of somatic sensitivity, have been applied to studies of the FGID (reviewed by Mayer and Gebhart<sup>7</sup>). Hypersensitivity is the excessive perception of gut stimuli, or excessive afferent responses to stimuli. Hyperalgesia is a behavioral, perceptual or neurophysiologically induced sensation perceived as being painful-that is, greater in intensity and duration than normal. Allodynia reflects the painful sensation of a previously painless stimulus. The appropriateness of these terms to the field of visceral sensitivity in the FGID has still to be established.

 Table 1
 Alterations in gastric motility and sensation in functional dyspepsia (FD)

Measurement	Major findings
Myoelectric activity	Alterations in gastric slow waves (tachygastria, bradygastria) in patients with chronic idiopathic nausea and vomiting, and in some patients with FD.
Contractile activity	Reduced number and/or amplitude of gastric antral pressure waves in $25-40\%$ of a heterogeneous group of patients, including patients with FD; no apparent difference in postprandial antral motility index between <i>Helicobacter pylori</i> positive and negative FD patients; possible decreased frequency of gastric phase 3 of the migrating motor complex in <i>H pylori</i> chronic gastritis; alterations in antroduodenal motor activity normalized after <i>H pylori</i> eradication.
Compliance	Gastric compliance normal overall.
Gastric emptying	Scintigraphic delay in gastric emptying in 30–75% of patients.
Regional gastric motor dysfunction	Altered intragastric distribution of food (scintigraphy, ultrasonography) in FD; demonstration of a wide gastric antrum in FD (ultrasonography); impaired antral emptying in FD (ultrasonography); impaired proximal gastric accommodation in FD (ultrasonography); impaired duodenogastric reflex in FD (barostat).
Gastric sensitivity	Heightened perception of gastric distension in at least 50% of patients with FD.

For references to relevant studies, see Chapter 3, tables 4 and 5. In: Drossman D, ed. Rome II: The functional gastrointestinal disorders. McLean, VA: Degnon, 2000 (in press).

## SENSORIMOTOR DYSFUNCTION IN SELECTED FGID Functional dyspepsia

It is now established that gastrointestinal sensorimotor dysfunction is present in a proportion of patients with functional dyspepsia; most studies have evaluated the stomach and small bowel, using a wide range of techniques. Table 1 summarizes the major findings from such gastric studies. In the stomach, the well-documented postprandial antral hypomotility is consistent with scintigraphic studies revealing delayed gastric emptying. It should be noted, however, that excessive postprandial antral distension in functional dyspepsia can impede the lumen-occlusive nature of normal antral contractions, thereby producing a false impression of antral hypomotility on manometric recordings. Regional gastric motor dysfunction can be assessed using a number of different techniques and remains an area of active interest. Myoelectric dysrhythmias appear to be an electrophysiological marker predictive of gastric stasis8-such dysrhythmias potentially may inhibit the development of normal postprandial peristalsis, thereby producing gastroparesis; alternatively, normal gastric slow waves may fail to link to, or couple with, abnormal circular muscle activity, resulting in electromechanical dissociation and gastroparesis.9

Small intestinal dysmotility, with or without associated gastric dysmotility, has also been described in patients with functional dyspepsia. Both conventional stationary and ambulatory antroduodenal and duodenojejunal manometric techniques have been used in various studies. Motor abnormalities have included the absence, or a reduced incidence, of MMC cycles, aberrant propagation of phase 3 of the MMC, a longer duration of MMC phase 2 motor activity, a higher prevalence of burst activity, and an abnormal postprandial motor response. The significance, however, of these types of alterations is still to be established.

The phenomenon of gastric hypersensitivity has now assumed a central role in the putative mechanisms of symptom generation in patients with functional dyspepsia, and is the area most likely to yield most definitive information on the pathogenesis of this disorder (table 1). Moreover, both the cold pressor test and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation have shown somatic sensitivity to be normal in patients with functional dyspepsia,<sup>10 11</sup> supporting the concept of selective visceral hypersensitivity. Whether such sensory dysfunction extends beyond the stomach in patients with functional dyspepsia is controversial. For example, increased gastric but normal duodenal sensitivity was shown in a specific subset of patients with functional dyspepsia predominantly complaining of postcibal bloating,11 whereas other studies have reported an increased perception of small intestinal distension in these patients.<sup>12</sup> <sup>13</sup>

#### Irritable bowel syndrome

The extensive literature on gastrointestinal motility in patients with irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) has been accrued over many years. More sophisticated measurement techniques developed over the past 10 years, however, have

Table 2 Alterations in colorectal motility and sensation in irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), and other functional bowel disorders

Measurement	Major findings
Myoelectric activity	Increased long spike bursts in IBS diarrhea; irregular short spike burst activity in IBS constipation; myoelectric activity similar in IBS and "psychologic" controls and reported increase in 3 cycles/minute activity in IBS not confirmed.
Contractile activity and tone	Increased colonic phasic contractions postprandially in patients with IBS with prominent gastrocolonic reflex; increased colonic contractions in IBS constipation, reduced contractions in IBS diarrhea; lower rectosigmoid motility index in IBS diarrhea than controls, fasting and postprandially; increased rectosigmoid response to distension in IBS diarrhea > IBS constipation > controls; increase in high amplitude propagated (>35 mm Hg) contractions in functional diarrhea; fasting and postprandial colonic (descending) tone normal in IBS; impaired adaptive relaxation of the rectum in IBS in response to chronic distension.
Compliance	Rectal and colonic compliance normal overall in IBS.
Transit	Accelerated and delayed whole gut transit in IBS diarrhea and IBS constipation respectively; more rapid emptying of right hemi-colon in IBS diarrhea than in controls, related to stool weight; delayed colonic transit in severe functional constipation.
Colorectal sensitivity	
Conscious perception	Reduced threshold for pain and discomfort in rectum and colon in IBS; unusual somatic referral pattern.
Cerebral blood flow	Increased dorsolateral prefrontal cortex blood flow in anticipation of rectal pain in IBS.

Modified from Drossman et al,14 with permission.

For references to relevant studies, see Chapter 3, tables 6 and 7. In: Drossman D, ed. Rome II: The functional gastrointestinal disorders. McLean, VA: Degnon, 2000 (in press).

enabled better characterization of altered motor patterns in IBS. Most studies utilizing the more invasive measurement techniques, particularly the earlier studies, have been undertaken in the colon (especially the distal colon) and rectum because of their greater accessibility. Table 2 summarizes the major findings from relevant studies of colorectal dysmotility in IBS and related functional bowel disorders.

The small intestine in IBS has been explored in relatively few studies. However, as with functional dyspepsia, a range of subtle alterations in small bowel interdigestive motor activity has been documented, including alterations in the periodicity of interdigestive cycles, small differences in MMC phase 3 duration and propagation velocity, and variations in MMC phase 2 contractile patterns. Such alterations, however, have not been confirmed in all studies of small bowel manometry in IBS, and so their clinical significance remains uncertain. In postprandial jejunal motor recordings in patients with IBS, no major alterations have been observed; some patients have a shorter duration of the fed pattern and a higher amplitude and frequency of contractions than healthy subjects, but the initial response of the small intestine to food appears intact. Small bowel transit time has been variably reported as normal or rapid in patients with diarrheapredominant IBS.

A proportion of patients with IBS displays an increased conscious perception to painful distensions in the small bowel and colon, and in some studies, an increased perception of apparently normal motor events in the gut. Such hypersensitivity to distension has been well documented in both the distal colon and more proximal colonic segments in patients with IBS (table 2). Although reports of pain from balloon distension studies can be influenced by response bias,15 the low thresholds for balloon distension occur even when controlling for neuroticism.<sup>16</sup> Furthermore, patients with IBS have normal<sup>16</sup> or even increased thresholds17 for painful stimulation of somatic neuroreceptors. It is unclear whether the novel reports of alterations in cerebral blood flow in these patients18 19 provide mechanistically important insights; such descriptive observations require validation in large patient groups. There is evidence that visceral hypersensitivity in patients with IBS may also affect different levels of the small bowel<sup>20-22</sup>; whether the ileum<sup>22</sup> exhibits greater or lesser hypersensitivity than the jejunum in IBS is not established. Patients with IBS display a distorted referral pattern of gut sensations, and perceive intestinal distensions more diffusely over the abdomen than healthy controls.7 23-25

#### Clinical implications of sensorimotor dysfunction in the functional gastrointestinal disorders

Documentation of sensorimotor dysfunction in at least some patients with FGID gives rise to four main questions relevant to clinical practice. These are (a) what are the possible mechanisms of such dysfunction; (b) what are the relationships between sensorimotor dysfunction and symptoms; (c) what is the role of current tests of sensorimotor function in helping to establish a diagnosis of FGID; and (d) can treatment of specific types of sensorimotor dysfunction lead to clinical improvement? Only limited data are currently available in each of these areas.

#### PUTATIVE ORIGINS OF SENSORIMOTOR DYSFUNCTION IN THE FGID

Alterations in gut motor activity in the FGID may arise from a number of putative mechanisms. These include: (1) enteric mechanisms such as motor dysfunction associated with minor degrees of inflammation and consequent changes in neurotransmitter release<sup>26 27</sup>; (2) local reflex mechanisms, occurring in response to specific nutrients such as lipid,<sup>28</sup> or in response to mechanical distension<sup>11</sup>; (3) extrinsic neural mechanisms such as abnormalities in extrinsic autonomic innervation<sup>29</sup>; and (4) central mechanisms, whereby higher neural centers modulate peripheral intestinal motor activity.<sup>30</sup>

Similar mechanisms have been advanced to account for the enhancement of visceral sensation in the FGID.7 <sup>31</sup> These include: (1) altered receptor sensitivity at the viscus itself, occurring through recruitment of silent nociceptors or peripheral sensitization in response to ischemia or inflammation; (2) increased excitability of the spinal cord dorsal horn neurons, where repeated distension of the intestine produces central (spinal) hyperalgesia, with enhanced intensity and expanded somatic referral of the visceral stimulus; and (3) altered central modulation of sensation, involving psychological influences on the interpretation of these sensations,<sup>32</sup> or altered central regulation of ascending signals from the dorsal horn neurons in the spinal cord. Because the mechanisms of central interpretation of afferent signals are not known, it is not clear whether psychological or neurophysiological mechanisms work singly or in concert in the conscious perception of incoming signals.

#### RELATIONS BETWEEN SENSORIMOTOR DYSFUNCTION AND SYMPTOMS

Studies have generally shown a disappointing lack of correlation between sensorimotor dysfunction and symptoms in the FGID, and so the clinical relevance of sensorimotor dysfunction in such patients is unclear. Recently, however, with the use of more sophisticated techniques, some potentially important correlations between sensorimotor dysfunction and symptoms are beginning to emerge, especially in relation to functional dyspepsia—for example, between gastric stasis and postprandial fullness, nausea and vomiting<sup>33–35</sup>; between impaired gastric accommodation and early satiety<sup>36</sup>; and between antral widening and the degree of early postprandial discomfort.<sup>37 38</sup>

### TESTS OF SENSORIMOTOR FUNCTION IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF THE FGID

Although each one of the techniques to assess motility can provide important information regarding the motor functions of the digestive

tract, none has enabled characterization of definitively "abnormal" motor patterns in patients with FGID. In one study of patients with severe motility-like functional dyspepsiafor example, despite the use of prolonged recordings and advanced computer-aided analysis, and the demonstration of seemingly abnormal motor patterns, it was not possible to identify a specific small intestinal motor pattern which enabled discrimination between patients and those with other disorders, or even with healthy individuals.<sup>39</sup> Likewise, based on the data outlined earlier, it is clear that the currently available tests to assess gut sensation do not enable a positive diagnosis of the FGID. Thus, in IBS it is not yet possible to define "abnormal" values, namely the rectal pressure which can characterize hypersensitive patients, due to the lack of large studies in healthy subjects. In functional dyspepsia, gastric distension data from large numbers of patients and controls are currently not available, although a recent study has described the lower range of normal for the distending pressure that induces discomfort in healthy subjects.<sup>36</sup> Another problem is that there seems to be little specificity of the responses to distension tests between the FGID subgroups, or to the organ considered most relevant in the pathophysiology of the syndrome, namely the stomach for functional dyspepsia, or the colon and rectum for IBS.

Despite this, several of the techniques are well standardized and interpretable in the clinical context; these include radio-opaque marker transit, anorectal manometry, scintigraphic gastric emptying assessment, and antroduodenal manometry. An important role for such tests in clinical practice is to enable identification of disturbances that indicate the likely presence of another specific disorder. Such disturbances<sup>40</sup> include: (1) the low amplitude contractions (postprandial small intestinal contractions <20 mm Hg at manometry) suggestive of an infiltrative disorder of gastrointestinal smooth muscle, or other severe enteric myopathic disorder, which would exclude the diagnosis of FGID; and (2) findings such as an abnormal propagation of the MMC, hypercontractility (bursts and sustained uncoordinated pressure activity), and a failure of development of the fed response, which are indicative of a neuropathic disorder.

If such clearly abnormal findings are not identified, what then is the clinical relevance of other more subtle alterations in sensorimotor function in a patient with FGID? Currently, alterations in transit, and to a lesser extent manometric alterations, provide objective proof of dysfunction and invite trials of specific drug therapies to attempt to correct the dysfunction. In the case of other tests, particularly those that assess perception, or assess reflex responses such as gastric accommodation or the colonic response to feeding, further research to evaluate their specificity and predictive value, and to increase their precision, is required. The expectation is that more precise identification of such physiological alterations will facilitate the recognition of further patient subgroups deserving a directed

therapeutic approach, such as the role of impaired gastric accommodation in functional dyspepsia and its potential reversal by fundus-relaxing drugs.<sup>36</sup>

#### PHARMACOTHERAPY OF SENSORIMOTOR DYSFUNCTION IN THE FGID

Modulation of sensorimotor function can be accomplished by a range of interventions aimed at different levels of the brain-gut axis. In terms of pharmacotherapy, a range of medications is available potentially to restore some of the alterations in motor function identified in the FGID.41-46 Most evidence for the efficacy of such medications is available for those which improve disordered gut transit, such as delayed gastric emptying, and delayed or accelerated colonic and/or small bowel transit, and to a lesser extent altered contractile activity such as antral hypomotility or an exaggerated colonic motor response to feeding (reviewed in<sup>47-49</sup>). Other classes of drugs are currently under evaluation; these include drugs inhibiting contractile activity in the gut such as the selective muscarinic antagonists (e.g., darifenacin), MK2-antagonists, beta 3-adrenoreceptor agonists, and gut selective calcium channel blockers (e.g., pinaverium bromide), and agents with potential for stimulation of motor activity and transit (e.g., loxiglumide, acting via blockade of cholecystokinin A-receptors, and 5-HT<sub>4</sub> agonists). Little evidence is available for modulation of other components of dysmotility such as alterations in tone or compliance, although several drugs under evaluation (e.g., sumatriptan, clonidine and buspirone) appear to be capable of inducing relaxation of the proximal stomach.36 50 51

Substances that may influence sensation by acting at peripheral, spinal, supraspinal, or even several levels of brain-gut interaction have been considered in detail by Bueno et al.52 Most of these compounds have undergone only limited, if any, testing in humans and in the FGID. The specific 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists such as ondansetron and granisetron, and the somatostatin analog octreotide, may have peripheral visceral antinociceptive actions and represent promising classes of agents acting in peripheral sites. The k opioid receptor angonist fedotozine is another drug that can affect sensory thresholds to gastric and colonic distension in humans, and which has been shown to improve symptoms in functional dyspepsia and IBS.53 Compounds modulating visceral sensitivity by acting centrally (and also peripherally) include low dose tricyclic antidepressants and adrenoreceptor agonists such as clonidine. The serotonin reuptake inhibitors have not been the subject of a systematic study in functional dyspepsia or IBS. Tricyclic antidepressants are superior to placebo for improving the symptoms of abdominal pain, nausea, and diarrhea in IBS<sup>54 55</sup> and functional dyspepsia,<sup>56</sup> but their precise sites of action are unclear.

#### **Recommendations for further research**

Further work is needed in many areas to tackle the clinical implications of sensorimotor dysfunction in the FGID: in particular, advances in the four main areas discussed earlier are of crucial importance. Firstly, a greater understanding of enteric neural control and modulation, and the mechanisms for dysfunction, will depend, to a large extent, on continuing advances in the basic science arena-for example, the electrophysiology of individual enteric neurons, identification of neurotransmitters, subtypes, and their receptor interrelationships; the integration of specific neurons into the programs of motor and secretory activity; and the relationships between the enteric immune system and nervous system. Relevant to the latter is further characterization of the interactions between the enteric nervous system and its local environment, such as luminal content, hormonal fluctuations, presence of inflammation, etc. Alterations in central nervous system control are clearly of major importance, including the role of autonomic dysfunction in the FGID; in particular, evaluation of abdominal autonomic function, using more specific tests, is required. The interaction between central trigger factors, including psychological factors, and peripheral trigger factors requires greater exploration, at both the basic and whole organ level. Human physiological studies looking at more specific hypotheses can then be undertaken.

Secondly, in order to determine relationships between symptom subgroups, individual symptoms and sensorimotor dysfunction, the patient populations under study require strict definition. For example, the relevance of the predominant alteration of bowel habit in IBS, such as diarrhea, constipation, and associated changes in stool form and consistency, and also the presence or absence of concomitant dyspeptic symptoms, is yet to be established in the context of sensorimotor dysfunction. Cross-correlation of physiological dysfunction with symptoms may only be possible if the particular symptoms experienced by the patient at the time of investigation are evaluated and accounted for in the analyses. Due regard for the severity and extent of such symptoms may then facilitate further studies assessing the natural history of sensorimotor dysfunction and its relationship to the natural history of symptoms-that is, why the clinical spectrum ranges from individual syndromes with minor, intermittent, and transient symptoms originating in a single gut region, to more extensive, consistent, and chronic symptomatology involving multiple overlapping syndromes with symptoms presumably originating from multiple (more generalized) gut foci.

Thirdly, it is clear that new approaches and methods are required to study sensorimotor dysfunction, in order to overcome the considerable limitations of the current techniques. The specificity and predictive value of physiological testing can only be improved on the basis of the development of novel, validated, technologies. Thus, more sophisticated techniques to assess compliance and wall tension, and to assess more precisely the flow of luminal content, and gas, are required.<sup>57</sup> New techniques for brain imaging and spinal monitoring are required to attempt to discriminate be-

tween patients with FGID who display gut hypersensitivity due to sensitization of primary visceral afferents and/or the spinal cord, from patients who have aberrant brain processing of sensations.58 At the same time as the development of more sophisticated technology, such tests, together with existing techniques, need to be standardized to enable the completion of multicenter studies and to facilitate comparability of data between laboratories.59 In this regard also, the development of minimally or non-invasive techniques of investigation, which can function as true surrogate markers of sensorimotor dysfunction, and which can be repeated in patients after various therapeutic maneuvers, is particularly important.

Fourthly, the main challenge for pharmacological research in the FGID over the next decade will be the development of new drugs to relieve specific sensorimotor dysfunctions, and it is hoped, to thus relieve specific symptoms. The increasing interest in visceral afferent pathways has resulted in the identification of a number of neurotransmitters involved in the processing of information from the gut to the brain, and these may affect sensitivity.<sup>52</sup> It is important to note, however, that almost all of these neurotransmitters act on both the afferent and the efferent pathways, so caution is necessary in defining the mechanisms of drug action. In functional dyspepsia, the targets for restoring normal sensitivity and improving postprandial symptoms are most likely to be facilitation of accommodation and reduction of afferent hypersensitivity; the latter is clearly a more difficult task than the former. In the investigation of new drugs to modulate visceral sensitivity, the influence of alterations in compliance, tone, and wall tension are important areas. It should be noted that the actions of new drugs in these areas, however, may be due to effects on gut motor activity, either stimulation or inhibition. Only when documentation of correction of abnormal, or restoration of normal, sensorimotor function can be reliably achieved, will it be possible to determine whether such correction is related to improved clinical outcomes in the FGID. Moreover, the interaction between such pharmacologic approaches and psychosocial approaches requires further study. Assuming progress can be made in each of the above areas, and against the backdrop of the recent substantial gains, cautious optimism in regard to the future of neurogastroenterology studies in the FGID seems justified.

- 1 Quigley EMM. Gastric and small intestinal motility in health and disease. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 1996;25:113-45.
- 2 Sarna SK, Physiology and pathophysiology of colonic motor activity. *Dig Dis Sci* 1991;**36**:827–62.
- Bueno L, Fioramonti J, Ruckebusch Y, et al. Evaluation of colonic myoelectrical activity in health and functional disorders. Gut 1980;21:480–5.
- 4 Frexinos J. Bueno L, Fioramonti J. Diurnal changes in myoelectric spiking activity of the human colon. *Gastroenterol*ory, 1985:**88**:1104–10
- ogy 1985;88:1104–10.
   Narducci F, Bassotti G, Gaburri M, et al. Twenty-four hour manometric recording of colonic motor activity in healthy man. Gut 1987;28:17–25.
- 6 Steadman CJ, Phillips SF, Camilleri M, et al. Variations of muscle tone in the human colon. Gastroenterology 1991; 101:373–81.
- 7 Mayer EA, Gebhart GF. Basic and clinical aspects of visceral hyperalgesia. *Gastroenterology* 1994;107:271–93.

- Abell TL, Camilleri M, Hench VS, et al. Gastric electrome-chanical function and gastric emptying in diabetic gas-troparesis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 1991;3:163-7.
   Chen JDZ, Schirmer BD, McCallum RW. Serosal and cuta-
- neous recordings of gastric myoelectrical activity in patients with gastroparesis. Am J Physiol 1994;266:690-8.
  Mearin F, Cucala M, Azpiroz F, et al. The origin of symptoms on the brain gut axis in functional dyspepsia.
- Gastroenterology 1991;101:999–1006. Coffin B, Azpiroz F, Guarner F, et al. Selective gastric
- Conni B, Azpitoz F, Guarner F, et al. Selective gashic hypersensitivity and reflex hyporeactivity in functional dys-pepsia. *Gastroenterology* 1994;101:1345–51.
   Greydanus MP, Vassallo M, Camilleri M, et al. Neurohor-monal factors in functional dyspepsia: insights on patho-physiological mechanisms. *Gastroenterology* 1991;100: 311-18
- 13 Holtmann G, Talley NJ, Goebell H, Assocation between H. pylori duodenal mechanosensory thresholds and small intestinal motility in chronic unexplained dyspepsia. *Dig* Dis Sci 1996;41:1285-91.
- Dis Sci 1990;41:1285–91.
   Drossman DA, Whitehead WE, Camilleri M. Irritable Bowel Syndrome: A technical review for practice guideline development. *Gastroenterology* 1997;112:2120–37.
   Scarinci IC, McDonald-Haile J, Bradley LA, et al. Altered
- pain perception and psychosocial features among women with gastrointestinal disorders and history of abuse: a pre-liminary model. Am J Med 1994;97:108–18.
- 16 Whitehead WE, Holtkotter B, Enck P, et al. Tolerance for rectosigmoid distension in irritable bowel syndrome. Gastroenterology 1990;**98**:1187–92. Cook IJ, Vaan Eeden A, Collins SM. Patients with irritable
- bowel syndrome have greater pain tolerance than normal subjects. *Gastroenterology* 1987;**93**:727–33.
- Subjects. Okativenetation (2017) 1513-151-151.
   Silvermann DHS, Munakata JA, Ennes H, et al. Regional cerebral activity in normal and pathological perception of visceral pain. Gastroenterology 1997;112:64-72.
   Munakata J. Silvermann DHS, Hoh CK, et al. Rectosigmoid
- sensitization correlates with thalamic response to rectal pain: an O-15-water PET study of normal subjects and IBS
- patients [abstract]. Gastroenterology 1996;110:A720.
   20 Accarino AM, Azpiroz F, Malagelada J-R. Selective dysfunction of mechanosensitive intestinal afferents in the irritable bowel syndrome. Gastroenterology 1995;108:636-
- 21 Evans PR, Bennet EJ, Bak Y-T, et al. Jejunal sensorimotor Denis T, Benric D, Bar P-1, et al. Johnni Schsoniolo I dysfunction in irritable bowel syndrome: clinical and psychosocial features. *Gastroenterology* 1996;110:393–404.
   Kellow JE, Phillips SF, Miller IJ, et al. Dysmotility of the small intestine in irritable bowel syndrome. *Gut* 1988;29:
- 1236 43
- Swarbrick ET, Hegarty JE, Bat L, et al. Site of pain from the irritable bowel. Lancet 1980;ii:443-6.
   Kingham JCG, Dawson AM, Origin of chronic right upper Determined and the second secon
- quadrant pain. *Gut* 1985;**26**:783–8. 25 Moriarty KJ, Dawson AM. Functional abdominal pain: fur
- ther evidence that whole gut is affected. BMJ 1982;284: 670 - 2
- 26 Dykhuizen RS, Masson J, McKnight G, et al. Plasma nitrate concentration in infective gastroenteritis and inflammatory bowel disease. Gut 1996;**39**:393–5.
- Collins SM. The immunomodulation of enteric neuromusc-
- Comins Svi, The immunoridation of enteric neuroindsc-ular function: Implications for motility and inflammatory disorders. *Gastroenterology* 1996;111:1683–99.
   McLaughlin JT, Troncon LEA, Barlow J, et al. Evidence for a lipid specific effect in nutrient induced human proximal gastric relaxation. *Gut* 1998;43:248–51.
- 29 Aggarwal A, Cutts TF, Abell TL, et al. Prominent symptoms in irritable bowel syndrome correlate with specific auto-nomic nervous system abnormalities. *Gastroenterology* 1994;**106**:945–50.
- 30 Valori RM, Kumar D, Wingate DL. Effects of different types of stress and of "prokinetic" drugs on the control of the fasting motor complex in humans. *Gastroenterology* 1986; 2010;2020.000 90:1890–900.
- 30.1390–300. 31 Sengupta JN, Gebhart GF. Gastrointestinal afferents and sensation. In: Johnson LR, ed. *Physiology of the gastro-intestinal tract.* Vol 1. 3rd edn. New York: Raven Press, 1994;483–519.
- 32 Whitehead WE, Palsson OS. Is rectal pain sensitivity a bio-logical marker for irritable bowel syndrome: psychological influences on pain perception. Gastroenterology 1998;115: 1263 - 71
- 33 Stanghellini V, Tosetti C, Patermico A, et al. Risk indicators of delayed gastric emptying of solids in patients with func-tional dyspepsia. Gastroenterology 1996;110:1036–42.

- 34 Tack J, Piessevaux H, Coulie B, et al. Symptom pattern and gastric emptying rate assessed by the octanoic acid breath test in functional dyspepsia [abstract]. Gastroenterology 1998:114:A301.
- Fock KM, Khoo TK, Chia KS, et al. Helicobacter pylori infection and gastric emptying of indigestible solids in patients with dysmotility-like dyspepsia. Scand J Gastroenterol 1997;**32**:676–80.
- Tack J, Peissevaux H, Coulie B, et al. Role of impaired gas-36 Gastroenterology 1998;115:1346-52.
- Hausken T, Thune N, Matre K, et al. Volume estimation of the gastric antrum and the gallbladder in patients with non-ulcer dyspepsia and erosive prepyloric changes, using three-dimensional ultrasonograph. Neurogastroenterol Motil 1994;**6**:263-70.
- 38 Hveem K, Jones KL, Chatterton BE, et al. Scintigraphic measurement of gastric emptying and ultrasonographic assessment of antral area: relation to appetite. *Gut* 1996;**38**: 816-21.
- 39 Wilmer A, Van Cutsem E, Andrioti A, et al. Ambulatory gastro-jejunal manometry in severe motility-like dyspepsia: lack of correlation between dysmotility, symptoms and gastric emptying. Gut 1998;42:235–42. Camilleri M, Hasler WL, Parkman HP, et al. Measurement
- 40 of gastrointestinal motility in the GI laboratory. Gastroenterology 1998;**115**:747–62. Wiseman LR, Fauld D. Cisapride: An updated review of its
- 41 pharmacology and therapeutic efficacy as a prokinetic agent in gastrointestinal motility disorders. Drugs 1994;47: 116-52
- 42 Awouters F, Megens A, Verlinden M, et al. Loperamide: Survey of studies on mechanism of its antidiarrheal activity. Dig Dis Sci 1993;38:977–95.
- Peeters TL. Erythromycin and other macrolides as proki-43 netic agents. Gastroenterology 1993:105:1886-99
- 44 Owvang C. Octreotide in gastrointestinal motility disorders. Gut 1994;35(suppl 3):S11-14.
- Poynard T, Naveau S, Mory B, *et al.* Meta-analysis of smooth muscle relaxants in the treatment of irritable bowel
- syndrome. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 1994;8:499-510. Camilleri M, von der Ohe MR. Drugs effecting serotinin receptors. Baillieres Clin Gastroenterol 1994;8:301-19.
- 47 Malagelada JR. Functional dyspepsia: Insights on mechanism and management strategies. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 1996;25:103-12.
- Farrugia G, Camilleri M, Whitehead WE. Therapeutic 48 strategies for motility disorders. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 1996;25:225-46.
- Pace F, Coremans G, Dapoigny M, et al. Therapy of irritable bowel syndrome: an overview. Digestion 1995;56:433-42.
- 50 Coulie B, Tack J, Janssens J. Influence of buspirone-induced fundus relaxation on the perception of gastric distension in man [abstract]. *Gastroenterology* 1997;**112**:A715.
- Thumshirn M, Burton DD, Zimsmeister AR, et al. Modula-tion of gastric sensory and motor functions by a2-51 adrenergic agents [abstract]. Gastroenterology 1997;112: A837
- 52 Bueno L, Fioramonti J, Delvaux M, et al. Mediators and pharmacology of visceral sensitivity: From basic to clinical investigations. Gastroenterology 1997;112:1714-43.
- Delvaux M, Louvel D, Lagier E, et al. The κ agonist fedotozine relieves hypersensitivity to colonic distension. 53 Gastroenterology 1999;116:38-45.
- Clouse RE. Antidepressants for functional gastrointestinal syndromes. *Dig Dis Sci* 1994;**39**:2352–63. 54
- 55 Tanum L, Malt UF. A new pharmacological treatment of functional gastrointestinal disorder. A double-blind enterol 1996;31:318–25.
- 56 Mertz H, Fass R, Kodner A, et al. Effect of amitriptyline on symptoms, sleep and visceral perception in patients with functional dyspepsia. Am J Gastroenterol 1998;93:160–5. Serra J, Azpiroz F, Malagelada J-R. Intestinal gas dynamics
- 57 and tolerance in humans. Gastroenterology 1998;115:542-50
- Aziz Q, Thompson DG. Brain-gut axis in health and disease. *Gastroenterology* 1998;114:559–78.
   Whitehead WE, Delvaux M. Standardization of procedures for testing smooth muscle tone and sensory thresholds in the processing of the sensory thresholds in the sensory thresholds in the gastrointestinal tract. Dig Dis Sci 1997;42:223-41.