# Interplay of diabetes and coronary heart disease on cardiovascular mortality

.....

# F Boccara, A Cohen

Patients with both diabetes mellitus and prior myocardial infarction are at particularly high risk for cardivascular mortality

iabetes mellitus (DM), mainly type 2 diabetes (90-95% of diabetic patients), affects approximately 100 million people worldwide, including 17 million in the USA and 1.15 million in the UK. A 30% increase in incidence is predicted by 2025, due to both the increased rate of obesity and the aging populations living in industrial countries.1 2 DM increases by 2-4 fold the risk of coronary artery disease (the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in developed countries), stroke, peripheral vascular disease, and heart failure.3 DM is a predictor of poor prognosis after acute myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, and all modes of coronary revascularisation. The acceleration of atherosclerosis and atherothrombosis in diabetic patients has been related to endothelial dysfunction, dyslipidaemia, insulin resistance, and chronic hyperglycaemia. The presence of free fatty acids, glycosylation end products, favours vasoconstriction, inflammation, and thrombosis. Improvements in primary and secondary prevention has led to a decline in mortality rates from cardiovascular (CV) disease in the general population, but to a lesser extent in diabetic patients.4

Whether acceleration of the atherothrombosis process alters the prognosis in diabetic patients and confers the same excess risk associated with prior myocardial infarction (MI) still remains a matter for debate. The report in this issue of Heart by Wannamethee and colleagues demonstrates that: (1) diabetic middle aged male patients with coronary heart disease (CHD) are at higher risk of cardiovascular events and death; (2) total mortality is not significantly different in diabetic male patients without prior MI and with prior MI but without DM; (3) CHD mortality is higher in men with prior MI compared with diabetic patients without MI; and (4) prolonged duration of DM (> 12 years) increased CHD mortality in male diabetic patients similar to the rate of CHD mortality in male patients with prior MI.<sup>5</sup>

# EPIDEMIOLOGICAL STUDIES

These findings are consistent with several epidemiological studies comparing the risk of total and CV mortality in diabetic patients without overt CHD and non-diabetic patients with prior

### Heart 2004;90:1371-1373. doi: 10.1136/hrt.2004.035766

MI.6-13 These studies, summarised in table 1, have shown convincingly that patients with both DM and prior MI are at particularly high risk for CV mortality. The risk of total mortality associated with DM is similar to that associated with prior MI or CHD, each conferring a twofold increased risk in death. Whether DM is risk equivalent to prior MI for CV mortality remains controversial. Some of the differences in these reports may be related to selection criteria in study populations, definition of DM, age, ethnicity and size of the groups, modality of DM and CHD report (self reported versus medical record) and end points (MI in some of the reports versus CHD in others). None evaluated the impact of silent myocardial ischaemia on CV events or death, known to be higher and more severe in the diabetic population.

What is the real influence of DM duration on the occurrence of cardiovascular events, reported as being closely linked in the study by Wannamethee and colleagues?<sup>5</sup> Since the duration of DM is a powerful independent risk factor for CHD mortality, this conclusion needs further confirmation.<sup>5 & 11</sup> Finally, the influence of sex also seems important since several studies have demonstrated that DM was a stronger risk factor for CHD in women than in men, with age adjusted CHD mortality rates three times higher in diabetic women than in non-diabetic women, and two times higher in diabetic men than in non-diabetic men.<sup>8 12</sup>

Based on the report from Haffner and colleagues showing that diabetic patients without prior MI had a risk of a CHD event similar to that in non-diabetic patients with prior MI, the adult treatment panel of the National Cholesterol Education Program considered type 2 DM as a coronary artery disease risk equivalent.6 14 Although Haffner's study was not primarily designed to demonstrate differences in CV mortality in diabetics and non-diabetics with MI, intensive primary prevention in diabetic patients was recommended; this included aggressive blood pressure and lipid level lowering treatment, although the cost-effective consequences were not clearly established.6 Secondary prevention with statins and angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors demonstrated a greater reduction in mortality in diabetic patients, although such patients are less likely to be treated with these drugs.

**Abbreviations:** ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; CHD, coronary heart disease; CV, cardiovascular; DM, diabetes mellitus; MI, myocardial infarction

See end of article for authors' affiliations

•••••

Correspondence to: Dr Franck Boccara, Service de Cardiologie, Saint-Antoine University and Medical School, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris and Université Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris VI), 184, rue du faubourg Saint-Antoine, 75571 Paris Cedex 12, France; franck.boccara@ sat.ap-hop-paris.fr

Wile         Gene         Spanne         Bediene fage         Company         Start 154, 154, 154, 154, 154, 154, 154, 154,	Study author Design	n D– Ml+ v n D+ Ml– % female DM type	Mean age DM duration Follow up	CV events DM – MI+ v DM+ MI –	Total mortality CV mortality DM- MI+ v DM+ MI-	Comments
26 + 130         70 year         Lodener of CID         NR         Lodener of CID         NR           7 years         1302 + 3705         62 years         NR         0000 (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%)	Haffner 1998, Register Finnish <sup>6</sup>	69 v 890 45% F Type 2 DM	58 years 8 years 1982-1990	Incidence of MI 18.8% v 20.2%, p<0.001 Incidence of stroke 7.2% v 10.3%, p<0.001	CV mortality 15.9% v 15.4%, p<0.001 HR for mortality 1.2 (95% Cl 0.6 to 2.4), p = 0.5 between D+ v D−	Lack of power to detect differences between the two groups
1302 v 3705         52 years (monthight are 2 M, monthight are 2 M, working are 2 S, v 2 Ad yrpe 2 M, yrber 3 M, yrber 3 M, working are 2 S, v 2 Ad yrpe 2 M, yrber 3 M, yrber 4 S, v 2 Ad yrpe 2 M, yrber 4 S, v 2 Ad yrper 4 S,	Simons 1998, Prospective Australia <sup>7</sup>	478 v 130 48% F Type 1 + 2 DM	70 years NR 8.2 years	Incidence of CHD 52.7% v 31.5%, p NR HR 0.67 (95% CI 0.46 ho 0.97), p<0.04, D+ CHD− v D− CHD+	Ξ	
5966 v 2317         62 years         NR         Total monthly RF 22 v 23           Type DM K         N, R         Syens         Hospitalization for MI         Total monthly RF 25 v 23           Tonoveradi         5 years         Syens         Hospitalization for MI         Total monthly RF 25 v 23           Tonoveradi         5 years         Syens         Hospitalization for MI         Total monthly RF 25 v 23           Tonoveradi         5 years         Syens         RE 22 ZZD M- MI+ v Di+ MI-         Total working RE 133           Type 2 DM         Syens         RE 21 DM - MI+ v Di+ MI-         D- MI+ v Di+ MI-           Type 2 DM         Syens         RE 31 D DM - MI+ v DM, MI-         D- MI+ v D+ MI-           Type 2 DM         OS years         RE 31 D DM - MI+ v DM, MI-         D- MI+ v D+ MI-           Total working         RE 31 D DM - MI+ v DM, MI-         D- MI+ v D+ MI-           Z144 y 3077         D Sy v375         Fed CHD RF 8.39 y 3.37           Z34 years         Min HF* 71 D - CVD+         Min HF* 71 D - CVD+           Type 2 DM         D Sy v375         Fed CHD RF 8.39 y 3.37           Z34 years         Min HF* 71 D - CVD+         Min HF* 71 D - CVD+           Type 2 DM         Min HF* 71 D - CVD+         Min HF* 71 D - CVD+           Type 2 DM         S	Hu 2001, Prospective USA <sup>®</sup>	1302 v 3705 100% nurses Type 2 DM	62 years NR 1976–1996	Ϋ́Z	Toial mortality RR* 2,58 v 2,44 CV mortality RR* 7,46 v 4.86 Fatal CHD RR* 10,7 v 5,65	Women who were $D^+ > lS$ years were similar with prior CHD
Transveral         57 years         Hespitalisation for MI         Toul montally RR 1.33           232, V 1155         5 years         5 years         5 years         132, V 1155         5 years           232, F         132, V 1155         5 years         87.2.27 DM- M+ vDM, M-         Total montally RR 1.33           7ype 2 DM         6 years         R7.2.77 DM- M+ vDM, M-         Total montally RR 1.35           2414 v 307         6 years         R3.3.10 DM - M+ v DM, M-         Total montally RR 2.93           2000         M         0.2 where v D, M-         D- M+ v D, M-           214 v 307         238 v 330         61 years         NR           2313 v 300         61 years         NR         Total montality R2.297           2000, M         D2 delevari         D- M+ v D, M-           234 v208         62 years         Man HR* 7.1 D- CVD+           109 west         Man HR* 7.1 D- CVD+         Mer HR* 2.1 D+ CVD-           109 west         Man HR* 7.1 D- CVD+         Mer 18* 2.5 D - CVD+           109 west         64 years         Man HR* 7.1 D- CVD+           109 west         109 west         Man HR* 7.1 D- CVD+           109 west         234 v207         Man HR* 7.1 D- CVD+           109 west         200 v 1.7 M         Man	Lotufo 2001 , Prospective PHS,USA <sup>®</sup>	5906 v 2317 100% M Type DM NR	62 years NR 5 years	٣	Total montality RR* 2.2 v 2.3 CHD montality RR* 5.6 v 3.3	DM+ CHD+ identifies a high risk group
Type 2 DM         6 years         Control MM         Control MM         Total monthly RR 1.35           Type 2 DM         6 years         Cohort         Del monthly RR 1.35         Del monthly RR 1.35           Z414 v 3377         Z310 DM         DM + v DM+ MM         Del monthly RR 1.35           Z414 v 3377         Z414 v 337         Del monthly RR 2.07 v 1.76           Z414 v 337         Dogs w         D-26 years         NR           T000% M         D-26 years         NR         Total monthly RR 2.07 v 1.76           T00% M         D-26 years         NR         Total cHD RR 2.07 v 1.76           T00% M         D-26 years         NR         Total cHD RR 2.07 v 1.76           T00% M         D-26 years         NR         Total cHD RR 2.07 v 1.76           Z33 v 208         62 years         Man HR 2.1 D - CVD +         Man HR 2.1 D - CVD +           Z83 v 1460         45 - 64 years         NR         Ford CHD + monthly RR 2.51 v 2.25           Z83 v 1460         JS - 64 years         NR         R1 4.0 D + CVD -           Z83 v 1450         JS - 64 years         NR         R1 4.0 D + M -           Z81 v 2.275         S2 d 0 years         NR         R1 4.0 D + M -           Z82 v 146         NR         R1 4.0 D + M -         Man	Evans 2002 Transversal cohort	Transversal 1347 v 1155	57 years 6 years 1000 1006	Hospitalisation for MI Transversal DD 0.27 DM MI DMI MI	Total mortality RR 1.33 D- Ml+ v D+ Ml-	Increased risk with male sex for total death and age for total and CV death in the 2 studies
2038 v 230         61 years 100% M         NR         Tolel montlity R* 5.51 v 2.75 Fraid CHD R* 8.39 v 3.37           Type 2 DM         0.26 years         Men HR* 7.1 D - CVD+ 10 years         Nen HR* 7.1 D - CVD+ HR* 4.0 D + CVD- HR* 4.0 D + CVD- HR* 1.0 D + CVD- HR* 1.1 D + CVD+ HR* 1.1 D + CVD+ HR* 1.1 D + CVD- HR* 1.1 D +	studies acciliana	42% T Type 2 DM Cohort 7414 v 3977 49% F	66 years	rk 2.27 DW- WH+ V DW+ WI- Cohort RR 3.10 DM- MH+ v DM+ MI-	Total mortality RR 1.35 D- M+ v D+ M- CV mortality RR 2.93 D- M+ v D+ M-	
234 v 208         62 years         Men HR* 7.1 D - CVD+ HR* 4.0 D+ CVD- Type 2 DM         Men HR* 2.4 D - CVD+ HR* 4.0 D+ CVD- HR* 5.1 D+ CVD- Men HR* 2.6 D - CVD+ HR* 5.1 D+ CVD- Men MR* 2.6 D - MH+ VD+MI- Fold CHD + non-fold MI R 1.8 D - MH+ VD+MI- R 1.3 D - MH+ VD+MI- R 1.2 D - MH+ VD+MI- R 1.3 D - MH+ VD+MI-	Cho 2002, HPFS USA	2038 v 230 100% M Type 2 DM	61 years 0-26 years 10 years	щ	Total mortality RR* 2.07 v 1.76 CV mortality RR* 5.51 v 2.75 Fatal CHD RR* 8.39 v 3.37	Duration of DM independent risk factors for total and CHD death
283 v 1460         45-64 years         NR         Fadal CHD + non-fadal MI           % F NR         NR         NR         R7 1.86 D - MH + VD + MI - Fadal + non-fadal stroke           % F NR         7 years         7 years         R8 1.86 D - MH + VD + MI - Fadal + non-fadal stroke           % F NR         9 years         9 years         R8 1.66 D - MH + VD + MI - CV montality           % F NR         9 years         10 years         19% D - CHD - v           % F NR         NR         1.82 D - MH + VD + MI - CV montality           % R 1.82 D - MI + VD + VI - V         R8 1.82 D - MH + VD + MI - CV montality           % R 1.00% M         NR         10 years         10 years           30% D - MI + VD + MI - RR 1.57 years         10 years         30% D - MI + VD + MI - RR 1.47 (95% CI 0.94 to 1.67)           R D - MI + VD + MI - RR 1.59, stroke 0.87         CHD event 1.59, stroke 0.87         0.04 to 2.29)	Becker 2003, Register Dutch <sup>12</sup>	234 v 208 48% F Type 2 DM	62 years 64 years 1989-2000	Men HR* 7.1 D- CVD+ HR* 4.0 D+ CVD- Women HR* 3.5 D- CVD+ HR* 4.0 D+ CVD-	Fatal event Men HR* 2.4 D – CVD+ HR* 1.2 D+ CVD– Women HR* 2.6 D – CVD+ HR* 5.1 D+ CVD-	Women DM+ CVD- have a risk of CV event and death similar with women DM- CVD+
547 v 202         63 years         Incidence CHD events         Total mortality D- MH+ v D+ MI-           100% M         NR         19% D+ CHD - v         RR 1.25 (95% CI 0.94 to 1.67)           Type DM NR         10 years         19.9% D- Angina+ v         RR 1.25 (95% CI 0.94 to 1.67)           Som NR         10 years         19.9% D- Angina+ v         RR 1.47 (95% CI 0.94 to 2.29)           RR D- MH+ v D+ MI-         RR 1.47 (95% CI 0.94 to 2.29)         RR 0.04 to 2.29)           RD event 1.59, stroke 0.87         CHD event 1.59, stroke 0.87         CHD event 0.87	Lee 2004, Prospective, ARIC USA <sup>13</sup>	283 v 1460 % F NR Type 2 DM	45-64 years NR 9 years	Ϋ́Ζ	Fatal CHD + non-fatal MI RR 1.86 D – MI+ v D+ MI– Fatal + non-fatal stroke RR 1.05 D – MI+ v D+ MI– CV mortality RR 1.82 D – MI+ v D+ MI–	Same results when newly diagnosed DM (self reported) at baseline are included
	Wannamethee 2004, Prospective UK <sup>5</sup>	547 v 202 100% M Type DM NR	63 years NR 10 years	Incidence CHD events 19% D+ CHD- v 19. 9% D-Angina+ v 30% D- MI+ RR D- MI+ v D+ MI- RR D- MI+ v D+ MI- CHD event 1.59, stroke 0.87	Total mortality D– MH v D+ MI– RR 1.25 (95% CI 0:94 to 1.67) CHD mortality D– MH v D+ MI– RR 1.47 (95% CI 0:94 to 2.29)	Increased CHD events and death with duration of DM >12 years

## DRUG INTERVENTIONAL STUDY

Time has come to design a randomised drug interventional study to establish CV morbidity and mortality reduction in the diabetic population. There is growing evidence that aspirin, statins, and ACE inhibitors reduce cardiac death in such patients. Two prevention studies-HOPE (heart outcomes prevention evaluation) using an ACE inhibitor in cardiac patients, and LIFE (losartan intervention for endpoint reduction in hypertension) using an angiotensin II receptor blocker in hypertensive patients with ECG proven left ventricular hypertrophy-have been shown to decrease the incidence of new onset diabetes mellitus in high risk patients with no history of prior diabetes (risk reduction -34% and -25%, respectively).<sup>15 16</sup> The armamentarium of drug treatment in diabetic patients to decrease the risk of CV events might also include new antiplatelet drugs and  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  blockers.

The increasing burden of diabetes mellitus in developed countries and related cardiovascular consequences in the diabetic population deserves intensive strategies for risk reduction in both primary and secondary prevention. Recommendations from observational and interventional studies specifically focused on diabetic populations may help physicians to apply adequate guidelines and drug treatment, and thus achieve the main goals of cardiovascular disease prevention.

# Authors' affiliations

F Boccara, A Cohen, Cardiology Department, Saint-Antoine University and Medical School, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, and Université Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris VI), Paris, France

### REFERENCES

- 1 Amos AF, McCarty DJ, Zimmet P. The rising global burden of diabetes and its complications: estimates and projections to the year 2010. *Diabet Med* 1997;14(suppl 5):S1-85.
  2 King H, Aubert RE, Herman WH. Global burden of diabetes, 1995-2025:
- prevalence, numerical estimates, and projections. Diabetes Care 1998;**21**:1414-31.
- 3 Kannel WB, McGee DL. Diabetes and cardiovascular disease. The
- Framingham study. JAMA 1979;241:2035–8.
  Gu K, Cowie CC, Harris MI. Diabetes and decline in heart disease mortality in
- US adults. JAMA 1999;281:1291-7.
  Wannamethee SG, Shaper AG, Lennon L. Cardiovascular disease incidence and mortality in older men with diabetes and in men with coronary heart disease. Heart 2004;90:1398-403.
- 6 Haffner SM, Lehto S, Ronnemaa T, et al. Mortality from coronary heart disease in subjects with type 2 diabetes and in nondiabetic subjects with and without prior myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1998;**339**:229–34.
- Simons LA, Simons J. Diabetes and coronary heart disease. N Engl J Med 1998:339:1714-5.
- 8 Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Solomon CG, et al. The impact of diabetes mellitus on mortality from all causes and coronary heart disease in women: 20 years of follow-up. Arch Intern Med 2001;**161**:1717–23.
- 9 Lotufo PA, Gaziano JM, Chae CU, et al. Diabetes and all-cause and coronary heart disease mortality among US male physicians. Arch Intern Med
- 2001;161:242-7. 10 Evans JM, Wang J, Morris AD. Comparison of cardiovascular risk between patients with type 2 diabetes and those who had had a myocardial infarction: cross sectional and cohort studies. *BMJ* 2002;**324**:939–42.
- 11 Cho E, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, et al. The impact of diabetes mellitus and prior myocardial infarction on mortality from all causes and from coronary heart disease in men. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;40:954-60.
- 12 Becker A, Bos G, de Vegt F, et al. Cardiovascular events in type 2 diabetes: comparison with nondiabetic individuals without and with prior cardiovascular disease. 10-year follow-up of the Hoorn study. Eur Heart J 2003:24:1406-13
- Lee CD, Folsom AR, Pankow JS, the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study Investigators, et al. Cardiovascular events in diabetic and nondiabetic adults with or without history of myocardial infarction. Circulation 2004;109:855-60.
- 14 Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood **Cholesterol in Adults**. Executive summary of the third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) expert panel on detection, evaluation,

and treatment of high, blood cholesterol in adults (adult treatment panel III). JAMA 2001;285:2486-97

- 15 Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation Study Investigators. Effects of ramipril on cardiovascular and microvascular outcomes in people with diabetes mellitus: results of the HOPE study and MICRO-HOPE substudy. Lancet 2000;355:253-9
- 16 Lindholm LH, Ibsen H, Dahlof B, the LIFE Study Group, et al. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in patients with diabetes in the losartan intervention for endpoint reduction in hypertension study (LIFE): a randomised trial against atenolol. Lancet 2002;359:1004-10.

# WEB TOP 10

# www.heartjnl.com

These articles scored the most hits on Heart's website during September 2004

1 Management of acute coronary syndromes: an update KAA Fox

June 2004;90:698-706. (Education in Heart)

2 Biochemical markers of myocyte injury in heart failure Y Sato, T Kita, Y Takatsu, T Kimura October 2004;90:1110-3. (Review)

# 3 Management of dyslipidaemia

GR Thompson August 2004;90:949–55. (Education in Heart)

4 Cardiovascular disease: different strategies for primary and secondary prevention? FDR Hobbs

October 2004;90:1217-23. (Education in Heart)

5 Aldosterone blockade in cardiovascular disease AD Struthers October 2004;90:1229-34. (Education in Heart)

6 Joint British recommendations on prevention of coronary heart disease in clinical practice December 1998;80:1-29.

7 Will oral antithrombin agents replace warfarin? PR Sinnaeve, FJ Van de Werf August 2004;90:827-8. (Editorials)

8 Can we define patients with no chance of survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest?

J Herlitz, J Engdahl, L Svensson, M Young, K-A Angquist, S Holmberg

October 2004;90:1114-8. (Cardiovascular medicine)

# 9 Management and follow up of prosthetic heart valves C Seiler

July 2004;90:818-24. (Education in Heart)

# 10 Carcinoid heart disease: presentation, diagnosis, and management

D J Fox, R S Khattar October 2004;90:1224-8. (Education in Heart)

Visit the Heart website for hyperlinks to these articles, by clicking on "Top 10 papers" www.heartjnl.com