REVIEW

MUC1 and MUC2 in pancreatic neoplasia

E Levi, D S Klimstra, N V Adsay, A Andea, O Basturk

.....

MUCs are glycoproteins with various roles in homeostasis and carcinogenesis. Among other actions, MUC1 may inhibit cell-cell and cell-stroma interactions and function as a signal transducer, participating in cancer progression. In contrast, MUC2 is normally found only in goblet cells, where it contributes to the protective barrier function of these cells. Recently, a tumour suppressor role has been demonstrated for MUC2, and both MUC1 and MUC2 appear to have important roles in pancreatic neoplasia. MUC1 appears to be a marker of aggressive phenotype and may facilitate the vascular spread of carcinoma cells. In contrast, MUC2 is rarely detectable in aggressive pancreatic tumours, but is commonly expressed in intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs), which are rare, indolent tumours, in intestinal IPMNs, and in indolent colloid carcinomas. MUC2 appears to be not only a marker of this indolent pathway, but also partly responsible for its less aggressive nature. Thus, in pancreatic neoplasia, MUC1 and MUC2 have potential diagnostic and prognostic value as markers of aggressive and indolent phenotypes, respectively, and have potential as therapeutic targets.

> UCs are a heterogeneous group of glycoproteins with various roles both in homeostasis and carcinogenesis. MUC1, also referred to as mammary-type mucin, has a role in the maintenance of the lumina of ductal epithelial cells, and is thought to have an inhibitory role in cell–cell and cell–stroma interactions and in cytotoxic immunity. It also appears to function as a signal transducer, closely interacting with the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) family, and participating in the progression of carcinogenesis.

"Both MUC1 and MUC2 seem to have important roles in pancreatic neoplasia"

In contrast, MUC2 is referred to as intestinaltype mucin because its expression is normally limited to goblet cells, where it contributes to the protective barrier function of these cells. Recently, MUC2 has been shown to have tumour suppressor activity, as demonstrated by the neoplastic transformation seen in the gastrointestinal system of MUC2 knockout mice. Both MUC1 and MUC2 seem to have important roles in pancreatic neoplasia. MUC1 appears to be a

J Clin Pathol 2004;**57**:456–462. doi: 10.1136/jcp.2003.013292

marker of aggressive phenotype. It is commonly expressed in high grade but not low grade pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN; microscopic/incidental precursors of invasive carcinoma). Furthermore, it is abundant in almost all examples of conventional ductal adenocarcinoma. In fact, dense cytoplasmic immunostaining for MUC1 can be used as a diagnostic tool, because such staining is not usually seen in normal or preinvasive neoplasia or other carcinoma types in this region. This may also be helpful in the subclassification of ampullary neoplasia. It is also possible that MUC1 facilitates the vascular spread of carcinoma cells by helping them to remain detached from the endothelial cells.

In sharp contrast to MUC1, MUC2 is almost never detectable in PanINs or conventional adenocarcinomas, but instead, it is commonly expressed in a rare but distinctive set of tumours that appear to form a distinct and indolent pathway of carcinogenesis. These are intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs), characterised by clinically detectable papillary tumours that grow within and lead to pronounced cystic dilatation of the ducts (mass forming preinvasive neoplasia). MUC2 is expressed consistently in the intestinal subset of IPMNs, which is morphologically similar to villous adenoma. MUC2 is also uniformly present in colloid carcinoma, an indolent form of invasive carcinoma, which often arises in association with IPMN. MUC2 may not only be a marker of this indolent pathway, but may also partly be responsible for its less aggressive nature. It is speculated that MUC2, also known as gel forming mucin, may be a key factor in the slow growth of colloid carcinoma cells by forming a protective gel around the neoplastic cells, and therefore leading to the characteristic "cells floating in abundant mucin" morphology, and also limiting the spread of the cells.

Thus, in pancreatic neoplasia, MUC1 and MUC2 may have diagnostic and prognostic value as markers (and possible instigators) of the aggressive and indolent phenotypes, respectively, and may have potential as therapeutic targets.

MUC1 AND MUC2: BIOCHEMICAL AND PHYSIOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

Mucins are the major components of mucous, the visco-elastic substance that protects and lubricates epithelial mucosa, including that of the gastrointestinal tract. They are highly

Abbreviations: EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; IPMN, intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasia; MAPK, mitogen activated protein kinase; PanIN, pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia; TCF, T cell factor

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to: Dr N V Adsay, Harper University Hospital, Wayne State University Medical School, Department of Pathology, 3990 John R, Detroit, MI 48201, USA; adsayv@med.wayne.edu

Accepted for publication 22 September 2003

glycosylated molecules; up to 80% of their mass consists of *O*-linked glycosyl residues.^{1 2} Mucins constitute an expanding family of proteins that can be grouped into "transmembrane mucins" and "secretory mucins".³ So far, 19 different mucin apoproteins have been identified.³⁻⁶ The transmembrane mucins are emerging as signal transducer proteins that regulate the growth, adhesion, and motility of cells.^{2 5 7}

The best studied transmembrane mucin is MUC1, also known as epithelial membrane antigen, which was first identified in human milk.² MUC1 is synthesised as a single polypeptide that undergoes proteolytic cleavage, creating a heterodimer that remains associated during its post-translational processing in the Golgi. The larger component contains most of the extracellular domain-the tandem repeat domain-which comprises 30-90 repeat sequences of 20 amino acids. Approximately 50-90% of the mass of MUC1 results from the O-glycosylation that occurs on the amino acid repeat units. The smaller component of the heterodimer consists of an extracellular stem that joins the larger component to the transmembrane domain and a 72 amino acid cytoplasmic tail, which has signal transduction properties as a result of the presence of docking sites for SH2 domain containing proteins, in addition to the presence of tyrosine phosphorylation sites.8-10

MUC1 is expressed by almost all human glandular epithelial tissues and throughout all regions of the gastrointestinal tract.^{2 7 11 12} MUC1 is also expressed in normal pancreatic intralobular ducts, together with MUC5AC, MUC5B, and MUC6.^{7 13–17} One major role of the MUC1 protein is to act as an anti-adhesive protein to maintain the luminal integrity of the lining epithelium.^{5 8} Therefore, in normal epithelia its expression is largely limited to the apical membrane of the cells.

In contrast, MUC2 is a secreted form of mucin, which is exclusively expressed in the goblet cells lining the gastrointestinal mucosa. MUC2 is characterised by tandem and irregular repeat sequences rich in threonine and serine, which are sites of attachment of the oligosaccharide chains. The MUC2 gene product is more than 5100 amino acids long in its most common allelic form, which accounts for one fifth of the mucin glycoprotein molecule by weight. The MUC2 protein is polymerised end to end through disulfide bridges to form large secreted polymeric gel forming mucins. It acts as a protective barrier at mucosal surfaces.¹⁸⁻²⁰ It is also expressed in areas of intestinal metaplasia, such as those seen in Barrett's oesophagus and long standing *Helicobacter pylori* gastritis.²¹⁻²³ MUC2 is not expressed in the normal pancreas.²⁴

MUC1 AND MUC2: THEIR POSSIBLE ROLES IN MOLECULAR CARCINOGENESIS

MUC1 is a signal transducer molecule, which can sense environmental changes and transduce messages into the cell. It is normally expressed on the apical borders of secretory epithelial cells. However, in tumour cells, MUC1 expression loses its polarity, being expressed throughout the entire cell surface.^{2 5 25} The presence of extracellular, transmembrane, and cytoplasmic domains makes it an ideal candidate as a signal transduction unit.^{2 5 8 10} ²⁵⁻²⁷

MUC1 has been shown to be associated with the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) members EGFR, ErbB2 (HER2/neu), ErbB3, and ErbB4 receptor tyrosine kinases through its cytoplasmic tail.^{9 10 27-29} In addition, binding of Grb2/sos and c-src to the cytoplasmic tail of MUC1 has been demonstrated.²⁷ These findings suggest that the Ras–Raf–mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) signalling system can be triggered by MUC1. The importance and the context of these interactions are not completely clear. It is tempting to speculate that the extracellular domain of the MUC1 protein

In addition to binding to EGFR family members, MUC1 can physically interact with β catenin, a key molecule of the Wnt signalling pathway, and also a key molecule in colorectal tumorigenesis.⁹ ¹⁰ ²⁶ ²⁹ ³⁰ β Catenin is normally bound to cadherins, which regulate cell to cell adhesion. Upon activation of the Wnt pathway, β catenin translocates to the nucleus, binding the lymphoid enhancer 1/T cell factor (TCF) complex, thereby initiating the transcription of growth promoting genes.^{10 18 28-30} MUC1 is associated with increased nuclear localisation of β catenin. In fact, Wen *et al* recently demonstrated the translocation of the cytoplasmic tail of MUC1 to the nucleus in conjunction with β catenin.³¹ These findings suggest that, overall, MUC1 is associated with the detachment of β catenin from the cadherins and its translocation to the nucleus. This translates into loss of adhesion, and perhaps contact inhibition, and increased proliferation.

"In addition to binding to epidermal growth factor receptor family members, MUC1 can physically interact with β catenin, a key molecule of the Wnt signalling pathway, and also a key molecule in colorectal tumorigenesis"

The association of MUC1 with the cadherin–catenin–TCF and EGFR–Raf–Ras–MAPK, signalling pathways, two important transduction modules that regulate cell proliferation and diverse functions, strongly suggests that MUC1 has an active and functional role in carcinogenesis in a variety of tissues. MUC1 is overexpressed and differentially glycosylated by many tumours. There are several studies demonstrating the adverse prognostic effect of MUC1 expression on survival in various tumours, including those of the stomach, breast, kidney, colon, endometrium, lung, bile duct, and even lymphomas.^{32–44} In a recent study, MUC1 tandem repeats of the extracellular portion and the cytoplasmic tail were shown to be responsible for the invasive properties of a pancreatic carcinoma cell line.⁴⁵

In contrast to MUC1, MUC2 has no transmembrane domain or signal transduction properties. Recently, there has been increased interest in the MUC2 protein as the result of the demonstration that MUC2 knockout mice develop colorectal tumours, including tubular and villous adenomas and invasive carcinomas.⁴⁶ This finding indicates that MUC2 has tumour suppressor properties.

The expression of MUC2 is regulated by homeobox genes CDX1 and CDX2.47-49 These transcription factors are key in understanding normal intestinal development and the intestinal metaplasia that is often seen in H pylori gastritis, Barrett's oesophagus, and cholecystitis.50-53 In fact, it has been shown that intestinal metaplasia of the stomach is always preceded by activation of the transcription factor CDX2.48 CDX2 expression also precedes the expression of MUC2 in Barrett's epithelium.53 In a recent study by Seno et al, CDX2 expression in gastric cancer was associated with intestinaltype gastric cancer, a lower proliferation rate, and better survival compared with non-expressors.⁵⁰ By binding to enhancer sequences in the promoter regions of target genes, CDX2 triggers the transcription of genes that encode intestine specific proteins, such as MUC2, sucrase/isomaltase, lactase, villin, KLF4, and carbonic anhydrase I. CDX2 also upregulates its own expression. Another way that CDX2 promotes intestinal differentiation is by upregulating the expression of WAF1/p21, causing cell cycle arrest.⁵⁴ Overall, CDX2 seems to

Figure 1 MUC1 and MUC2 staining of different entities. (A) MUC1 staining of an intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (IPMN), pancreatobiliary type; original magnification, ×100). (B) Lack of MUC2 staining of the same lesion; original magnification, ×100. (C) MUC1 staining of a grade 3 pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia lesion; original magnification, ×100. (D) MUC2 staining of a villous intestinal-type IPMN; original magnification, ×200. (E) MUC1 staining of a ductal-type pancreatic adenocarcinoma; original magnification, ×100. (F) MUC2 staining of a colloid carcinoma of the pancreas; original magnification, ×100.

promote the differentiation and maintenance of intestinal epithelial cells. $^{\scriptscriptstyle 54}$

MUC2 expression is also regulated by p53.⁵⁵ The MUC2 gene has been shown to have p53 binding sites.⁵⁵ In cellular stress conditions, p53 is activated to control cell proliferation and allow DNA repair.⁵⁶ It also triggers apoptosis when the repair efforts have been futile.⁵⁶ The fact that p53 triggers MUC2 expression suggests that MUC2 is part of a stress response programme.⁵⁵ Because MUC2 is part of the intestinal metaplasia programme, which itself is a response to stress conditions in gastric, oesophageal, and biliary mucosa, MUC2 expression may not be an oncogenic event per se, but a protective mechanism, which is activated to prevent further exposure to carcinogens.⁵⁴ However, overall, the development of intestinal metaplasia in the stomach and oesophagus is associated with an increased risk of cancer.^{54 57 58}

Whereas mucin secretion and MUC2 expression are part of the many stress responses in airway epithelia, stomach, and biliary tract, conversely, loss of MUC2 expression is a frequent feature of colorectal cancer.^{46 59–61} In fact, during colorectal cancer progression, loss of mucin and MUC2 expression are seen frequently, and are followed by over-expression of MUC1.^{60 62 63}

In many tissues, loss of MUC2 gene activation has been attributed to receptor methylation.²⁴ ⁵⁹ ⁶⁴ ⁶⁵ Siedow *et al* have studied the mechanism of MUC2 expression in pancreatic carcinoma cell lines. They have shown that in non-expressor cell lines the MUC2 gene is methylated, whereas in the expressors it is demethylated. The investigators also treated non-expressor cell lines with demethylating agents, such as 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine, which caused expression of MUC2 in non-expressors.²⁴ Demethylating agents may be useful as potential therapeutic agents in treating aggressive adenocarcinomas.

MUC1 AND MUC2 EXPRESSION IN NORMAL ADULT PANCREAS

In the normal adult pancreas, MUC1 expression is confined to the apical membrane of intralobular ductules, possibly a manifestation of the "lumen maintenance" role of MUC1. MUC1 expression is not detected in larger ducts or other pancreatic elements (islets or acini).^{66 67} It should be noted here that, in our opinion, it is these intralobular ductules that pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma resembles most closely, both in its histochemical properties and its immunophenotype.

MUC2 is not expressed in normal adult pancreas.66 67

MUC1 AND MUC2 IN PREINVASIVE NEOPLASIA OF THE PANCREAS

- Pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia
- MUC1: expressed in some PanIN-3 tumours only
- MUC2: virtually absent

PanIN is the term adopted recently for the microscopic/ incidental form of intraductal proliferations that have been long suspected to be the precursors of invasive ductal adenocarcinoma.⁶⁸ These proliferations have been previously classified under various names ranging from metaplasia (for the early forms) to hyperplasia (with or without atypia) to dysplasia.^{69–71} According to the scheme proposed recently, these lesions are unified under the term PanIN (to conform with the terminology used in other organs, such as the prostate), and classified into three grades: PanIN-1 includes but is not limited to changes previously regarded as mucinous metaplasia (but which were recently found to harbour several molecular alterations associated with neoplasia), and at the other end of the spectrum, PanIN-3, which includes carcinoma in situ.⁶⁸

MUC1 is expressed in a substantial number of PanIN-3 tumours but is almost absent in PanIN-1 or PanIN-2 (fig 1C). In contrast, MUC2 is not detected in PanINs.⁶⁶

Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasia

- MUC1: rarely expressed
- MUC2: very frequently expressed

IPMN is now a well recognised category in the pancreas, unifying tumours that are characterised by intraductal proliferation of neoplastic mucinous cells, which often form papillae, and lead to cystic dilatation of the pancreatic ducts, forming clinically and macroscopically detectable masses.⁷²⁻⁷⁹ These tumours have previously been reported under a variety of names, such as villous adenoma, intraductal papillary tumour, mucin producing tumour, mucinous duct ectasia, etc.⁸⁰⁻⁸³ IPMN should be distinguished from the more widely recognised mucinous cystic neoplasms (see below).^{84–86}

Similar to PanINs, IPMNs are regarded as a form of preinvasive neoplasia, acting as a precursor and maybe as even a marker of invasive carcinoma.87-89 Both PanINs and IPMNs are intraductal lesions with papilla forming mucinous cells; however, in the case of IPMNs, the proliferation progresses to form large (clinically and grossly detectable) cystic or papillary masses, whereas PanINs are noted only as incidental findings.66 90 We think that this dichotomy represents two relatively distinct pathways of carcinogenesis, although there are some overlaps, as would be expected. This dichotomy is similar to that seen in other organs, such as: (1) in the urothelium, as flat versus papillary transitional cell carcinomas; or (2) in the breast, as intracystic papillary carcinoma versus ordinary intraductal proliferations; these are distinct pathways not only clinically and morphologically, but also biologically and at the molecular level.91-94

"MUC expression patterns not only support the dichotomy between pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia and intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasia (IPMN) but also confirm the existence of morphological subtypes in IPMN"

MUC1 and MUC2 expression patterns in IPMNs versus PanINs support this dichotomy. Whereas MUC2 expression is almost absent in PanINs, it is present in a substantial number of IPMNs.66 In particular, MUC2 expression is uniformly diffuse and strong in the most common subtype of IPMN (fig 1C), which is described as villous/intestinal type or compact cell type, and is characterised by finger-like papillary projections that are lined by columnar cells with cigar shaped nuclei, and is morphologically very similar to colonic villous adenomas. In contrast, MUC1, which is often present in PanIN-3, is much less frequently expressed in IPMNs.33 66 More interestingly, those IPMNs that express MUC1 are invariably of the rare subtype that we have been independently referring to as pancreatobiliary, and which is characterised by more complex (arborising) papillae and cuboidal cells, similar to those seen in biliary papillomatosis (fig 1A, B). This differential expression of MUC1 and MUC2 in the subtypes of IPMN has been noted by various investigators, including Luttges et al,^{95 96} Kloppel et al,⁹⁷ and Yonezawa et al,³³ who refer to these subtypes as dark cell, clear cell, and compact cell types. Because MUC2 is also referred to as intestinal type mucin and regarded as a marker of goblet cells, its consistent expression in the intestinal subtype of IPMN can be taken as further evidence of intestinal differentiation. In other words, MUC expression patterns not only support the dichotomy between PanINs and IPMNs but also confirm the existence of morphological subtypes in IPMNs.

Intraductal oncocytic papillary neoplasia (IOPN)

- MUC1: expressed (data limited)
- MUC2: expressed (data limited)

Oncocytic papillary tumours are characterised by mucin filled cysts containing nodular papillary projections. Dilated ducts communicating with the main tumour can also be seen. Microscopically, the cystic structures correspond to dilated ducts containing intraductal tumour. The tumours are characterised by variably complex, arborising papillary structures. The papillae have thin, delicate fibrovascular cores with focal myxoid changes, and are lined by stratified oncocytic cells. Goblet cells and intraepithelial mucin containing lumina are also present, with these last structures resulting in a characteristic cribriform pattern. The extent of epithelial proliferation varies from case to case and between different regions within individual tumours; solid sheets of cells can often be identified.73 Intraductal oncocytic papillary neoplasms have been studied for their mucin expression patterns and they were found to express both MUC1 and MUC2 by Luttges et al.95 However, Terris et al reported that oncocytic papillary neoplasms lacked MUC2 expression.¹⁶ Because they are rarely encountered, there is still a need for further work on these tumours

Mucinous cystic neoplasms

- MUC1: rarely expressed
- MUC2: present only in goblet cells; no diffuse labelling

Mucinous cystic neoplasms are seen typically in perimenapausal women (> 95% women; mean age, 50 years) and present as multilocular, thick walled cysts in the tail of the pancreas. Ovarian-like stroma is invariably present.^{85 97} Luttges *et al* have studied the mucin expression profiles of mucinous cystic neoplasms comprising adenomas, borderline lesions, and carcinomas. They found that MUC1 is not expressed in non-invasive lesions of mucinous cystic neoplasms, but is a marker of invasion.⁶⁷ MUC2 expression was seen in the interspersed goblet cells. There was no diffuse staining of the lining epithelium. They found that mucinous cystic neoplasms invariably expressed MUC5AC, which is also commonly expressed in ordinary ductal carcinoma.

MUC1 AND MUC2 IN INVASIVE CARCINOMAS OF THE PANCREAS

Conventional ductal adenocarcinomas

- MUC1: uniform and strong expression; apical membraneous in tubule forming areas; intracytoplasmic in the poorly differentiated areas
- MUC2: virtually absent

MUC1 is expressed in almost all examples of ordinary ductal (pancreatobiliary) adenocarcinomas of the pancreas, which are characterised by infiltrating small tubular units embedded in desmoplastic stroma. Expression is predominantly confined to the luminal membrane in the duct forming areas, whereas it is also intracytoplasmic in the poorly differentiated areas (fig 1E).^{12 13 45 66 98} MUC2 expression has been very rarely reported in ordinary ductal carcinomas of the pancreas.⁶⁶

Colloid carcinomas

- MUC1: rarely expressed
- MUC2: very frequently expressed

Colloid carcinoma of the pancreas was recently described as a distinct entity and was distinguished from signet ring cell carcinomas, ordinary ductal carcinomas, and mucinous cystadenocarcinomas.^{99 100} Like their counterparts in the breast, colloid carcinomas of the pancreas have a much better prognosis than regular adenocarcinomas, with a five year survival of 55% compared with 10% for ordinary ductal carcinomas of the pancreas (fig 1F). We recently examined the ultrastructural properties of colloid carcinomas and showed that mucin secretion was towards the stroma

Take home messages

- MUC1 versus MUC2 staining correlates with the morphological subtypes of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasia (IPMN) and can predict prognosis because MUC1 expression is more often associated with pancreatobiliary-type IPMN and ductal adenocarcinoma
- If a papillary lesion has diffuse MUC2 positivity (not limited to goblet cells) it is probably an intestinal subtype of IPMN, whereas if an invasive carcinoma is positive for MUC2 staining, it is very likely to have at least a colloid carcinoma component
- MUC1 is a marker of an aggressive phenotype in pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN): it is expressed only in PanIN grade 3 lesions and is a harbinger of invasive carcinoma
- In ampullary carcinomas, MUC1 versus MUC2 staining can help to determine the origin of the lesion: diffuse intracytoplasmic staining for MUC1 is indicative of pancreatobiliary-type carcinoma, whereas MUC2 staining favours the intestinal type

facing surface of the cells and that extracellular mucin pools formed a barrier between the tumour cells and the stroma. This altered polarity of mucin secretion may be a contributing factor to the indolent behaviour of colloid carcinomas.¹⁰⁰

MUC1 AND MUC2 IN AMPULLARY NEOPLASIA

- MUC1: frequently expressed in pancreatobiliary type, rarely expressed in intestinal type
- MUC2: commonly expressed in intestinal type (low sensitivity), rarely expressed in pancreatobiliary type

Ampullary carcinomas can arise from the intestinal or the pancreatobiliary epithelium. Determining the origin of adenocarcinomas arising in the papillary region is important because intestinal-type carcinomas behave like colonic adenocarcinomas, whereas pancreatobiliary types behave more like pancreatic adenocarcinomas. MUC1 and MUC2 immunostaining can be helpful in determining the origin of the carcinoma, particularly when tumour histomorphology is not discriminatory, because cases with mixed patterns are frequently encountered.^{101 102} In an unpublished study, we have shown that MUC1 is frequently expressed in the pancreatobiliary type, whereas MUC2 is absent. In contrast, the intestinal type lacks MUC1 expression, whereas almost half of these tumours express MUC2. In other words, our preliminary unpublished data suggest that MUC1 and MUC2 are very specific in differentiating intestinal from pancreatobiliary types, whereas the sensitivity of MUC2 expression is low. In our experience, patients with ampullary carcinomas that are MUC1+/ MUC2- have a shorter survival than patients whose tumours are MUC1-/MUC2+.101 102

Authors' affiliations

E Levi, John Dingell Veterans Administration Medical Center, 4646 John Road, Detroit, MI 48201, USA

D S Klimstra, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, 1275 York Avenue, New York, NY 10021, USA

N V Adsay, A Andea, O Basturk, Harper University Hospital, 3990 John R, Detroit, MI 48201, USA

REFERENCES

- Corfield AP, Carroll D, Myerscough N, et al. Mucins in the gastrointestinal tract in health and disease. Front Biosci 2001;6:D1321–57.
- 2 Gendler SJ. MUC1, the renaissance molecule. J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia 2001;6:339–53.
- Dekker J, Rossen JW, Buller HA, et al. The MUC family: an obituary. Trends Biochem Sci 2002;27:126–31.
- Joss JR, Walsh MD. Altered mucin expression in the gastrointestinal tract: a review. J Cell Mol Med 2001;5:327–51.
- 5 Taylor-Papadimitriou J, Burchell JM, Plunkett T, et al. MUC1 and the immunobiology of cancer. J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia 2002;7:209–21.
- 6 Chen Y, Zhao YH, Kalaslavadi TB, et al. Genome-wide search and identification of a novel gel-forming mucin MUC19/Muc19 in glandular tissues. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2004;30:155–65.
- Ringel J, Lohr M. The MUC gene family: their role in diagnosis and early detection of pancreatic cancer. *Mol Cancer* 2003;2:9.
 Yin L, Li Y, Ren J, *et al.* Human MUC1 carcinoma antigen regulates
- 8 Yin L, Li Y, Ren J, et al. Human MUC1 carcinoma antigen regulates intracellular oxidant levels and the apoptotic response to oxidative stress. J Biol Chem 2003;278:35458–64.
- 9 Li Y, Kufe D. The Human DF3/MUC1 carcinoma-associated antigen signals nuclear localization of the catenin p120(ctn). *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2001;281:440–3.
- 10 Yamamoto M, Bharti A, Li Y, et al. Interaction of the DF3/MUC1 breast carcinoma-associated antigen and beta-catenin in cell adhesion. J Biol Chem 1997;272:12492–4.
- Buisine MP, Devisme L, Degand P, et al. Developmental mucin gene expression in the gastroducdenal tract and accessory digestive glands. II. Duodenum and liver, gallbladder, and pancreas. J Histochem Cytochem 2000;48:1667–76.
- 12 Lee MJ, Lee HS, Kim WH, et al. Expression of mucins and cytokeratins in primary carcinomas of the digestive system. Mod Pathol 2003;16:403–10.
- 13 Andrianifahanana M, Moniaux N, Schmied BM, et al. Mucin (MUC) gene expression in human pancreatic adenocarcinoma and chronic pancreatitis: a potential role of MUC4 as a tumor marker of diagnostic significance. *Clin Cancer Res* 2001;7:4033–40.
- Terada T, Ohta T, Sasaki M, et al. Expression of MUC apomucins in normal pancreas and pancreatic tumours. J Pathol 1996;180:160–5.
 Masaki Y, Oka M, Ogura Y, et al. Sialylated MUC1 mucin expression in
- 15 Masaki Y, Oka M, Ogura Y, et al. Sialylated MUC1 mucin expression in normal pancreas, benign pancreatic lesions, and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Hepatogastroenterology* 1999;46:2240–5.
- 16 Terris B, Dubois S, Buisine MP, et al. Mucin gene expression in intraductal papillary-mucinous pancreatic tumours and related lesions. J Pathol 2002;197:632–7.
- 17 Yonezawa 5, Horinouchi M, Osako M, et al. Gene expression of gastric type mucin (MUC5AC) in pancreatic tumors: its relationship with the biological behavior of the tumor. Pathol Int 1999;49:45–54.
- 18 Allen A, Hutton DA, Pearson JP. The MUC2 gene product: a human intestinal mucin. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 1998;30:797–801.
- 19 Van Klinken BJ, Tytgat KM, Buller HA, et al. Biosynthesis of intestinal mucins: MUC1, MUC2, MUC3 and more. Biochem Soc Trans 1995:23:814–18.
- 20 Kim YS, Gum JR Jr, Byrd JC, et al. The structure of human intestinal apomucins. Am Rev Respir Dis 1991;144:S10–14.
- Yonezawa S, Sato E, Expression of mucin antigens in human cancers and its relationship with malignancy potential. *Pathol Int* 1997;47:813–30.
 Van De Bovenkamp JH, Korteland-Van Male AM, Warson C, et al.
- 22 Van De Bovenkamp JH, Korteland-Van Male AM, Warson C, et al. Gastric-type mucin and TFF-peptide expression in Barrett's oesophagus is disturbed during increased expression of MUC2. *Histopathology* 2003;42:555–65.
- 23 Warson C, Van De Bovenkamp JH, Korteland-Van Male AM, et al. Barrett's esophagus is characterized by expression of gastric-type mucins (MUC5AC, MUC6) and TFF peptides (TFF1 and TFF2), but the risk of carcinoma development may be indicated by the intestinal-type mucin, MUC2. Hum Pathol 2002;33:660–8.
- 24 Siedow A, Szyf M, Gratchev A, et al. De novo expression of the Muc2 gene in pancreas carcinoma cells is triggered by promoter demethylation. *Tumour* Biol 2002;23:54–60.
- 25 Kim YS, Gum J Jr, Brockhausen I. Mucin glycoproteins in neoplasia. Glycoconj J 1996;13:693–707.
- Li Y, Chen W, Ren J, et al. DF3/MUC1 signaling in multiple myeloma cells is regulated by interleukin-7. Cancer Biol Ther 2003;2:187–93.
 Pandey P, Kharbanda S, Kufe D. Association of the DF3/MUC1 breast
- 27 Pandey P, Kharbanda S, Kute D. Association of the DF3/MUC1 breast cancer antigen with Grb2 and the Sos/Ras exchange protein. *Cancer Res* 1995;55:4000–3.
- 28 Li Y, Ren J, Yu W, et al. The epidermal growth factor receptor regulates interaction of the human DF3/MUC1 carcinoma antigen with c-Src and betacatenin. J Biol Chem 2001;276:35239–42.
- 29 Ren J, Li Y, Kufe D. Protein kinase C delta regulates function of the DF3/ MUC1 carcinoma antigen in beta-catenin signaling. J Biol Chem 2002;277:17616–22.
- 30 Li Y, Kuwahara H, Ren J, et al. The c-Src tyrosine kinase regulates signaling of the human DF3/MUC1 carcinoma-associated antigen with GSK3 beta and beta-catenin. J Biol Chem 2001;276:6061–4.
- 31 Wen Y, Caffrey TC, Wheelock MJ, et al. Nuclear association of the cytoplasmic tail of MUC1 and (beta)-catenin. J Biol Chem 2003.
- 32 Tamada S, Goto M, Nomoto M, et al. Expression of MUC1 and MUC2 mucins in extrahepatic bile duct carcinomas: its relationship with tumor progression and prognosis. Pathol Int 2002;52:713–23.

- 33 Yonezawa S, Nakamura A, Horinouchi M, et al. The expression of several types of mucin is related to the biological behavior of pancreatic neoplasms. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg 2002;9:328–41
- 34 Akyurek N, Akyol G, Dursun A, et al. Expression of MUC1 and MUC2 mucins in gastric carcinomas: their relationship with clinicopathologic parameters and prognosis. *Pathol Res Pract* 2002;**198**:665–74.
- 35 Sivridis E, Giatromanolaki A, Koukourakis MI, et al. Patterns of episialin/ MUCI expression in endometrial carcinoma and prognostic relevance. *Histopathology* 2002;40:92–100.
 Kraus S, Abel PD, Nachtmann C, *et al.* MUC1 mucin and trefoil factor 1
- protein expression in renal cell carcinoma: correlation with prognosis. Hum Pathol 2002;33:60-7
- 37 Hanson JM, Browell DA, Cunliffe WJ, et al. MUC1 expression in primary breast cancer: the effect of tamoxifen treatment. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2001:67:215-22
- 38 Lee HS, Lee HK, Kim HS, et al. MUC1, MUC2, MUC5AC, and MUC6 expressions in gastric carcinomas: their roles as prognostic indicators. Cancer 2001;92:1427-34.
- Paydas S, Sahin B, Gonlusen G, et al. MUC1 expression in plasmacytoma. 39 Leuk Res 2001;25:221-5.
- 40 Manne U, Weiss HL, Grizzle WE. Racial differences in the prognostic usefulness of MUC1 and MUC2 in colorectal adenocarcinomas. Clin Cancer Res 2000;6:4017-25.
- Guddo F, Giatromanolaki A, Koukourakis MI, et al. MUC1 (episialin) expression in non-small cell lung cancer is independent of EGFR and c-erbB. 2 expression and correlates with poor survival in node positive patients. J Clin Pathol 1998;51:667-71.
- 42 Takao S, Uchikura K, Yonezawa S, et al. Mucin core protein expression in extrahepatic bile duct carcinoma is associated with metastases to the liver and poor prognosis. Cancer 1999;86:1966–75.
- 43 McGuckin MA, Walsh MD, Hohn BG, et al. Prognostic significance of MUC1 epithelial mucin expression in breast cancer. Hum Pathol 1995-26-432-9
- 44 Rassidakis GZ, Goy A, Medeiros LJ, et al. Prognostic significance of MUC-1 expression in systemic anaplastic large cell lymphoma. Clin Cancer Res 2003:9:2213-20.
- 45 Kohlgraf KG, Gawron AJ, Higashi M, et al. Contribution of the MUC1 tandem repeat and cytoplasmic tail to invasive and metastatic properties of a ancreatic cancer cell line. *Cancer Res* 2003;**63**:5011–20.
- Velcich A, Yang W, Heyer J, *et al.* Coloredta cancer in mice genetically deficient in the mucin Muc2. *Science* 2002;**295**:1726–9. Silberg DG, Swain GP, Suh ER, *et al.* Cdx1 and cdx2 expression during intestinal development. *Gastroenterology* 2000;**119**:961–71. 46
- **1**7
- 48 Eda A, Osawa H, Yanaka I, et al. Expression of homeobox gene CDX2 precedes that of CDX1 during the progression of intestinal metaplasia. J Gastroenterol 2002;37:94–100.
- 49 Yamamoto H, Bai YQ, Yuasa Y. Homeodomain protein CDX2 regulates goblet-specific MUC2 gene expression. Biochem Biophys Res Commun Ž003∙**300**∙813–18
- 50 Seno H, Oshima M, Taniguchi MA, et al. CDX2 expression in the stomach with intestinal metaplasia and intestinal-type cancer: prognostic implications. Int J Oncol 2002;21:769–74.
- Silberg DG, Sullivan J, Kang E, et al. Cdx2 ectopic expression induces gastric 51 intestinal metaplasia in transgenic mice. Gastroenterology 2002;**122**:689–96
- 52 Almeida R, Silva E, Santos-Silva F, et al. Expression of intestine-specific transcription factors, CDX1 and CDX2, in intestinal metaplasia and gastric carcinomas. J Pathol 2003;199:36-40.
- 53 Eda A, Osawa H, Satoh K, et al. Aberrant expression of CDX2 in Barrett's epithelium and inflammatory esophageal mucosa. J Gastroenterol 2002, 2014 (2014) 2003;**38**:14-22.
- 54 Yuasa Y. Control of gut differentiation and intestinal-type gastric carcinogenesis. Nat Rev Cancer 2003;3:592–600.
- 55 Ookawa K, Kudo T, Aizawa S, et al. Transcriptional activation of the MUC2 gene by p53. J Biol Chem 2002;277:48270–5.
- 56 Oren M. Decision making by p53: life, death and cancer. Cell Death Differ 2003:10:431-42
- Conio M, Lapertosa G, Blanchi S, et al. Barrett's esophagus: an update. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2003;46:187–206. .57
- 58 Walker MM. Is intestinal metaplasia of the stomach reversible? Gut 2003:52:1-4.
- Hanski C, Riede E, Gratchev A, et al. MUC2 gene suppression in human colorectal carcinomas and their metastases: in vitro evidence of the
- modulatory role of DNA methylation. *Lab Invest* 1997;**77**:685–95. 60 Jang KT, Chae SW, Sohn JH, *et al.* Coexpression of MUC1 with p53 or MUC2 correlates with lymph node metastasis in colorectal carcinomas. J Korean Med Sci 2002;17:29–33.
- 61 Ho SB, Niehans GA, Lyftogt C, et al. Heterogeneity of mucin gene expression in normal and neoplastic tissues. Cancer Res 1993;53:641-51.
- 62 Cademi G, Fenia AP, Giannini A, et al. Identification of mucin-depleted foci in the unsectioned colon of azoxymethane-treated rats: correlation with arcinogenesis. Cancer Res 2003;**63**:2388–92.
- Velcich A, Palumbo L, Selleri L, et al. Organization and regulatory aspects of the human intestinal mucin gene (MUC2) locus. J Biol Chem 1997;**272**:7968–76.
- 64 Ho JJ, Han SW, Pan PL, et al. Methylation status of promoters and expression of MUC2 and MUC5AC mucins in pancreatic cancer cells. Int J Oncol 2003;22:273-9
- Van Seuningen I, Pigny P, Perrais M, et al. Transcriptional regulation of the 11p15 mucin genes. Towards new biological tools in human therapy, in inflammatory diseases and cancer? Front Biosci 2001;6:D1216-34

- 66 Adsay NV, Merati K, Andea A, et al. The dichotomy in the preinvasive neoplasia to invasive carcinoma sequence in the pancreas: differential expression of MUC1 and MUC2 supports the existence of two separate pathways of carcinogenesis. *Mod Pathol* 2002;**15**:1087–95.
- 67 Luttges J, Feyerabend B, Buchelt T, et al. The mucin profile of noninvasive and invasive mucinous cystic neoplasms of the pancreas. Am J Surg Pathol 2002;26:466-71.
- 68 Hruban RH, Adsay NV, Albores-Saavedra J, et al. Pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia: a new nomenclature and classification system for pancreatic duct lesions. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2001;**25**:579–86.
- 69 Parsa I, Longnecker DS, Scarpelli DG, et al. Ductal metaplasia of human exocrine pancreas and its association with carcinoma. Cancer Res 1985;45:1285-90
- Bastid C, Bernard JP, Sarles H, et al. Mucinous ductal ectasia of the pancreas: a premalignant disease and a cause of obstructive pancreatitis. *Pancreas* 1991;**6**:15–22.
- 71 Schulz HJ. [Dysplasia and carcinoma in situ of the pancreatic duct epithelium.] Źentralbl Allg Pathol, 1988;**134**:3–14
- 72 Traverso LW, Peralta EA, Ryan JA Jr, et al. Intraductal neoplasms of the pancreas. *Am J Surg* 1998;**175**:426–32. 73 Adsay NV, Adair CF, Heffess CS, *et al*. Intraductal oncocytic
- papillary neoplasms of the pancreas. Am J Surg Pathol 1996:**20**:980-94.
- 74 Maire F, Hammel P, Terris B, et al. Intraductal papillary and mucinous pancreatic tumour: a new extracolonic tumour in familial adenomatous polyposis. Gut 2002;51:446-9.
- 75 Yeo CJ. Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas. Adv Surg 2002;36:15-38.
- 76 Farrell JJ, Brugge WR. Intraductal papillary mucinous tumor of the pancreas. Gastrointest Endosc 2002;55:701-14.
- 77 Siech M, Tripp K, Schmidt-Rohlfing B, et al. Intraductal papillary mucinous
- Went M, Thep IV, estimate normal b, et al. Intraductal peptilarly methods tumor of the pancreas. Am J Surg 1999;177:117-20.
 Tollefson MK, Libsch KD, Sarr MG, et al. Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm: did it exist prior to 1980? Pancreas 2003;26:E55-8.
 Yan de Stadt J, Closset J, Gelin M. Intraductal papillary mucinous tumors (Intraductal papillary mucinous tumors)
- (IPMT). Ann Surg 1999;230:828–9.
 80 Baek IS, Jeong AC, Lee SB, et al. A case of intraductal papillary tumor of
- pancreas associated with mucinous ductal ectasia. Korean J Intern Med . 1997;**12**:100–4.
- Fukushima N, Mukai K, Kanai Y, et al. Intraductal papillary tumors and mucinous cystic tumors of the pancreas: clinicopathologic study of 38 cases. Hum Pathol 1997;28:1010–17. 81
- 82 Kobayashi G, Fujita N, Noda Y, et al. [Study of cases of mucin producing tumors of the pancreas showing penetration into other organs.] *Nippon Shokakibyo Gakkai Zasshi* 1993;**90**:3081–9. 83 **Mukai H**, Yasuda K, Nakajima M. Differential diagnosis of mucin-producing
- tumors of the pancreas by intraductal ultrasonography and peroral pancreatoscopy. *Endoscopy* 1998;30(suppl 1):A99–102.
 Scott J, Martin I, Redhead D, *et al.* Mucinous cystic neoplasms of the pancreas: imaging features and diagnostic difficulties. *Clin Radiol* 2000;55:187–92.
- 85 Kloppel G, Kosmahl M. Cystic lesions and neoplasms of the pancreas. The features are becoming clearer. Pancreatology 2001;1:648–55
- 86 Lichtenstein DR, Carr-Locke DL. Mucin-secreting tumors of the pancreas. Gastrointest Endosc Clin North Am 1995;5:237–58.
- 87 Adsay NV, Conlon KC, Zee SY, et al. Intraductal papillary-mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas: an analysis of in situ and invasive carcinomas in 28 patients. *Cancer* 2002;**94**:62–77.
- Adsay NV, Longnecker DS, Klimstra DS. Pancreatic tumors with cystic dilatation of the ducts: intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms and intraductal oncocytic papillary neoplasms. *Semin Diagn Pathol* 88 2000;17:16-30.
- Barbe L, Ponsot P, Vilgrain V, et al. [Intraductal papillary mucinous tumors of the pancreas. Clinical and morphological aspects in 30 patients.] Gastroenterol Clin Biol 1997;**21**:278–86.
- Adsay NV. Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the 90 pancreas: pathology and molecular genetics. J Gastrointest Surg 2002;6:656-9
- Al-Sukhun S, Hussain M. Molecular biology of transitional cell carcinoma. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2003;47:181–93. 91
- 92 Lefkowitz M, Lefkowitz W, Wargotz ES. Intraductal (intracystic) papillary carcinoma of the breast and its variants: a clinicopathological study of 77 cases. Hum Pathol 1994;25:802-9.
- 93 Markopoulos C, Kouskos E, Gogas H, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of intracystic breast carcinomas. Am Surg 2002;68:783-6.
- 94 Tsuda H, Fukutomi T, Hirohashi S. Pattern of gene alterations in intraductal breast neoplasms associated with histological type and grade. Clin Cancer Res 1995;**1**:261-7.
- 95 Luttges J, Zamboni G, Longnecker D, et al. The immunohistochemical mucin expression pattern distinguishes different types of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas and determines their relationship to mucinous noncystic carcinoma and ductal adenocarcinoma. Am J Surg Pathol 2001;**25**:942–8.
- 96 Luttges J, Beyser K, Pust S, et al. Pancreatic mucinous noncystic (colloid) carcinomas and intraductal papillary mucinous carcinomas are usually microsatellite stable. Mod Pathol 2003;16:537–42.
- Kloppel G, Luttges J. WHO-classification 2000: exocrine pancreatic tumors. Verh Dtsch Ges Pathol 2001;85:219-28.
- 98 Monges GM, Mathoulin-Portier MP, Acres RB, et al. Differential MUC 1 expression in normal and neoplastic human pancreatic tissue. An

immunohistochemical study of 60 samples. Am J Clin Pathol 1999;**112**:635–40.

- Adsay NV, Pierson C, Sarkar F, et al. Colloid (mucinous noncystic) carcinoma of the pancreas. Am J Surg Pathol 2001;25:26–42.
 Adsay NV, Merati K, Nassar H, et al. Pathogenesis of colloid (pure
- 100 Adsay NV, Merati K, Nassar H, et al. Pathogenesis of colloid [pure mucinous] carcinoma of exocrine organs: coupling of gel-forming mucin (MUC2) production with altered cell polarity and abnormal cell-stroma interaction may be the key factor in the morphogenesis and indolent

behavior of colloid carcinoma in the breast and pancreas. Am J Surg Pathol 2003;**27**:571–8.

- 101 Fischer HP, Zhou H. [Pathogenesis and histomorphology of ampullary carcinomas and their precursor lesions. Review and individual findings.] Pathologe 2003;24:196–203.
- 102 Kitamura H, Yonezawa S, Tanaka S, et al. Expression of mucin carbohydrates and core proteins in carcinomas of the ampulla of Vater: their relationship to prognosis. Jpn J Cancer Res 1996;87:631–40.

Clinical Evidence – Call for contributors

Clinical Evidence is a regularly updated evidence based journal available worldwide both as a paper version and on the internet. *Clinical Evidence* needs to recruit a number of new contributors. Contributors are health care professionals or epidemiologists with experience in evidence based medicine and the ability to write in a concise and structured way.

Currently, we are interested in finding contributors with an interest in the following clinical areas:

Altitude sickness; Autism; Basal cell carcinoma; Breast feeding; Carbon monoxide poisoning; Cervical cancer; Cystic fibrosis; Ectopic pregnancy; Grief/bereavement; Halitosis; Hodgkins disease; Infectious mononucleosis (glandular fever); Kidney stones; Malignant melanoma (metastatic); Mesothelioma; Myeloma; Ovarian cyst; Pancreatitis (acute); Pancreatitis (chronic); Polymyalgia rheumatica; Post-partum haemorrhage; Pulmonary embolism; Recurrent miscarriage; Repetitive strain injury; Scoliosis; Seasonal affective disorder; Squint; Systemic lupus erythematosus; Testicular cancer; Varicocele; Viral meningitis; Vitiligo However, we are always looking for others, so do not let this list discourage you.

Being a contributor involves:

- Appraising the results of literature searches (performed by our Information Specialists) to identify high quality evidence for inclusion in the journal.
- Writing to a highly structured template (about 2000–3000 words), using evidence from selected studies, within 6–8 weeks of receiving the literature search results.
- Working with *Clinical Evidence* Editors to ensure that the text meets rigorous epidemiological and style standards.
- Updating the text every eight months to incorporate new evidence.
- Expanding the topic to include new questions once every 12-18 months.

If you would like to become a contributor for *Clinical Evidence* or require more information about what this involves please send your contact details and a copy of your CV, clearly stating the clinical area you are interested in, to Claire Folkes (cfolkes@bmjgroup.com).

Call for peer reviewers

Clinical Evidence also needs to recruit a number of new peer reviewers specifically with an interest in the clinical areas stated above, and also others related to general practice. Peer reviewers are health care professionals or epidemiologists with experience in evidence based medicine. As a peer reviewer you would be asked for your views on the clinical relevance, validity, and accessibility of specific topics within the journal, and their usefulness to the intended audience (international generalists and health care professionals, possibly with limited statistical knowledge). Topics are usually 2000–3000 words in length and we would ask you to review between 2–5 topics per year. The peer review process takes place throughout the year, and our turnaround time for each review is ideally 10–14 days.

If you are interested in becoming a peer reviewer for *Clinical Evidence*, please complete the peer review questionnaire at www.clinicalevidence.com or contact Claire Folkes(cfolkes@bmjgroup.com).