Nitrate Repression of the *Escherichia coli pfl* Operon Is Mediated by the Dual Sensors NarQ and NarX and the Dual Regulators NarL and NarP

MANUELA KAISER AND GARY SAWERS*

Lehrstuhl für Mikrobiologie, Universität München, 80638 Munich, Germany

Received 3 October 1994/Accepted 23 January 1995

The *pfl* operon is expressed at high levels anaerobically. Growth of *Escherichia coli* in the presence of nitrate or nitrite led to a 45% decrease in expression when cells were cultivated in rich medium. Nitrate repression, however, was significantly enhanced (sevenfold) when the cells were cultured in minimal medium. Regulation of *pfl* expression by nitrate was dependent on the NarL, NarP, NarQ, and NarX proteins but independent of FNR, ArcA, and integration host factor, which are additional regulators of *pfl* expression. Strains unable to synthesize any one of the NarL, NarP, NarQ, or NarX proteins, but retaining the capacity to synthesize the remaining three, exhibited essentially normal nitrate regulation. In contrast, *narL narP* and *narX narQ* double null mutants were devoid of nitrate regulation when cultured in rich medium but they retained some nitrate repression (1.3-fold) when grown in minimal medium. By using *lacZ* fusions, it was possible to localize the DNA sequences required to mediate nitrate repression to the *pfl* promoter-regulatory region. DNase I footprinting studies identified five potential binding sites for the wild-type NarL protein in the *pfl* promoter-regulatory region. Specific footprints were obtained only when NarL was phosphorylated with acetyl phosphate before the binding reaction was performed. Each of the protected regions contained at least one heptamer sequence which has been deduced from mutagenesis studies to be essential for NarL binding (K. Tyson, A. Bell, J. Cole, and S. Busby, Mol. Microbiol. 7:151–157, 1993).

Nitrate respiration is an efficient means of energy generation for Escherichia coli. The use of this electron acceptor naturally requires that a particular complement of enzymes is synthesized. Included in this set of enzymes is a respiratory pathway comprising a formate dehydrogenase termed FDH-N (encoded by the fdnGHI operon) and a nitrate reductase (encoded by narGHJI). The coordinate synthesis of these enzymes occurs only anaerobically and when nitrate is available (33). The presence of nitrate also reduces or prevents the expression of a number of genes, e.g., the *frdABCD* operon encoding fumarate reductase and the dmsABC operon encoding dimethylsulfoxide reductase, whose products either are not required during nitrate respiration or are required but in much reduced amounts. A complex system of proteins that is involved in sensing nitrate in the environment and transmitting its presence to the level of gene expression has been discovered (33). The proteins include two sensor-regulator pairs termed NarX-NarL and NarQ-NarP of which NarX and NarQ are membrane-associated histidine kinases and NarL and NarP are transcription regulators (3, 7, 14, 20, 21, 28, 35, 39). The NarQ and NarX proteins are not only capable of sensing nitrate but they are also responsive to nitrite. Mutational studies have demonstrated that either NarX or NarQ is capable of modulating the DNA-binding activity of the NarL and NarP proteins in response to nitrate and nitrite (for a detailed review, see reference 33). The various target operons regulated by nitrate appear to have different specificities for NarL and NarP, and this provides a means of finetuning gene expression. Thus, for example, the narGHJI operon is regulated exclusively by NarL and is not responsive to NarP, while nitrate induction of the fdnGHI operon is controlled mainly by NarL but is also responsive in part to NarP (21).

Recent in vitro studies have demonstrated that a constitutive mutant variant of NarL (NarL V88A) binds to multiple sites in the regulatory regions of several operons, including *narGHJI*, *fdnGHI*, *narK*, and *frdABCD* (14). It was possible to show that NarL (V88A) only bound to specific sites on the DNA in its phosphorylated form. The findings of that study, together with those derived from detailed mutagenesis experiments, have identified a sequence motif (TACYNMT, where Y = C or T, M = A or C, and N = any nucleotide) that is recognized by the NarL and NarP proteins.

Another gene that has been shown to be regulated by nitrate is that encoding the enzyme pyruvate formate-lyase (PFL) (25). PFL is a key enzyme of anaerobic metabolism catalyzing the nonoxidative cleavage of pyruvate to acetyl coenzyme A and formate (11). Formate is the substrate of the FDH-N enzyme and is consequently a major electron donor for nitrate respiration. The pfl gene forms part of an operon that is regulated in response to anaerobiosis and is transcribed from seven promoters (24-27, 37). The transcription factors FNR and ArcA modulate anaerobic induction of expression. The regulation of *pfl* by nitrate proved to be somewhat surprising, since nitrate represses expression and consequently reduces the concentration of an electron donor (formate) for nitrate respiration. Examination of the *pfl* regulatory region reveals the presence of several hexamer sequences that conform to the NarL recognition sequence. The aim of this study therefore was to perform a detailed characterization of nitrate regulation of the *pfl* operon and to try to elucidate its physiological relevance with regard to anaerobic nitrate respiration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, vectors, and growth conditions. The bacterial strains, plasmids and phages used in this study are listed in Table 1. Strains were grown either

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Laboratory of Nitrogen Fixation at the University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9RQ, England. Phone: (1273)678252. Fax: (1273)678133.

TABLE 1. Bacterial strains, plasmids, and phages used in this stu-	dy
--	----

Strains MC4100 $F^- araD139 \Delta(argF-lac)U169 \ ptsF25 \ deoC1 \ relA1 \ flbB530 \ rpsL150 \ \lambda^-$ 2 FM420 MC4100 \ recA56 4 RM3132 As MC4100 but $arcA2z$;j::Tn10 7 RM600 As MC4100 but $arcA2z$;j::Tn10 7 RM600 As MC4100 but $arcA2z$;j::Tn10 7 RM600 As MC4100 but $arrX242 \ zch-2084::W-Cm \ narQ251::Tn10d$ (Tc) 7 RM610 As MC4100 but $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm) 7 RM611 As MC4100 but $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm) 7 VJS3051 As RK4353 but $\Delta(narXL)235 \ narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm) 7 VJS3051 As RK4353 but $\Delta(narX242 \ zch-2084::\Omega-Cm \ narQ251::Tn10d$ (Tc) $fdnG108::MudJ$ 2 RK5268 $nar205::Tn10$ (NarG ⁻) 3 RK5278 As RK4353 but $narL215::Tn10$ 3 ECL618 F' $arcA2 \ zjj::Tn10$ 7 RM123 FM420, λ RM123 7 RM381 FM420, λ RM123 7 RM381 FM420, λ RM123 3 RM364 RM2321, λ RM123, FNR 6 7	erence or source
MC4100 $F^- araD139 \Delta (argF-lac)U169 ptsF25 deoC1 relA1 flbB530 rpsL150 \lambda^-$ 2FM420MC4100 recA564RM3132As MC4100 but arcA2zjj::Tn107RM600As MC4100 but arcA2zjj::Tn107RM605As MC4100 but arcX242 zch-2084::W-Cm narQ251::Tn10d (Tc)7RM610As MC4100 but narP253::Tn10d (Cm)7RM611As MC4100 but narP253::Tn10d (Cm)7RM611As MC4100 but narP253::Tn10d (Cm)7RM611As MC4100 but narP253::Tn10d (Cm)7RK4353 but Δ(narXL)235 narP253::Tn10d (Cm)7VJS3051As RK4353 but Δ(narXL)235 narP253::Tn10d (Cm)7VJS3051As RK4353 but Δ(narXL)235 narP253::Tn10d (Cm)7RK5268nar205::Tn10 (NarG ⁻)3RK5278As RK4353 but narL215::Tn103ECL618F' arcA2 zjj::Tn107RM123FM420, λRM1237RM381FM420, λRM12313RM381FM420, λRM123217RM3214FM420, λRM123, FNR 67	
FM420MC4100 recA564RM3132As MC4100 but $arcA2zjj::Tn10$ 7RM600As MC4100 but $arcA2zjj::Tn10$ 7RM605As MC4100 but $arcA22z zch-2084::W-Cm narQ251::Tn10d$ (Tc)7RM610As MC4100 but $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm)7RM611As MC4100 but $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm)7RM611As MC4100 but $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm)7VJS4322RK4353 but $\Delta(narXL)235$ narP253::Tn10d (Cm)7VJS3051As RK4353 but $\Delta(narXL)235$ narP253::Tn10d (Cm)7VJS3051As RK4353 but $\Delta(narXL)235$ narP253::Tn10d (Cm)7RK5268 $nar205::Tn10$ (NarG ⁻)3RK5278As RK4353 but $narL215::Tn10$ 3ECL618F' $arcA2$ $zjj::Tn10$ 3RM123FM420, λ RM1237RM381FM420, λ RM12313RM381FM420, λ RM12327RM3214FM420, λ RM123, FNR 67	2
RM3132 As MC4100 but $arcA2zjj::Tn10$ RM600 As MC4100 but $arcA2zjj::Tn10$ RM605 As MC4100 but $arcX242 zch-2084::W-Cm narQ251::Tn10d$ (Tc) RM610 As MC4100 but $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm) RM611 As MC4100 but $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm) RM611 As MC4100 but $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm) VJS4322 RK4353 but $\Delta(narXL)235$ narP253::Tn10d (Cm) VJS3051 As RK4353 but $\Delta(narXL)235$ narP253::Tn10d (Cm) RK5268 $nar205::Tn10$ (NarG ⁻) RK5278 As RK4353 but $narL215::Tn10$ ECL618 F' $arcA2$ $zjj::Tn10$ RM123 FM420, λ RM123 RM5268 RK5268, $RK5268$, $RK123$ RM381 FM420, λ RM1231 RM381 FM420, λ RM123 RM381 FM420, λ RM123 RM3214 FM420, λ RM123, FNR 6	2
RM600As MC4100 but $narL215::Tn10$ RM605As MC4100 but $\Delta narX242$ zch-2084::W-Cm $narQ251::Tn10d$ (Tc)RM610As MC4100 but $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm)RM611As MC4100 but $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm)RM611As MC4100 but $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm)VJS4322RK4353 but $\Delta (narXL)235$ $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm)VJS3051As RK4353 but $\Delta narX242$ zch-2084:: Ω -Cm $narQ251::Tn10d$ (Tc) fdnG108::MudJRK5268 $nar205::Tn10$ (NarG ⁻)RK5278As RK4353 but $narL215::Tn10$ ECL618F' arcA2 zjj::Tn10RM123FM420, λ RM123RM5268RK5268, λ RM123RM381FM420, λ RM1231RM364RM2321, λ RM123, FNR 6	This work
RM605 As MC4100 but $\Delta narX242 \ zch-2084$::W-Cm $narQ251$::Tn10d (Tc) T RM610 As MC4100 but $narP253$::Tn10d (Cm) T RM611 As MC4100 but $narP253$::Tn10d (Cm) $narL215$::Tn10 T VJS4322 RK4353 but $\Delta (narXL)235 \ narP253$::Tn10d (Cm) T VJS3051 As RK4353 but $\Delta (narXL)235 \ narP253$::Tn10d (Cm) T VJS3051 As RK4353 but $\Delta narX242 \ zch-2084$:: Ω -Cm $narQ251$::Tn10d (Tc) fdnG108::MudJ T RK5268 $nar205$::Tn10 (NarG ⁻) T RK5278 As RK4353 but $narL215$::Tn10 T ECL618 F' $arcA2 \ zjj$::Tn10 T RM123 FM420, λ RM123 T RM381 FM420, λ RM1231 T RM364 RM2314 FM420, λ RM123, FNR 6 T	This work
RM610As MC4100 but $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm)TRM611As MC4100 but $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm) $narL215::Tn10$ TVJS4322RK4353 but $\Delta(narXL)235$ $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm)VVJS3051As RK4353 but $\Delta narX242$ $zch-2084::\Omega$ -Cm $narQ251::Tn10d$ (Tc) $fdnG108::MudJ$ TRK5268 $nar205::Tn10$ (NarG ⁻)TRK5278As RK4353 but $narL215::Tn10$ TECL618F' $arcA2$ $zjj::Tn10$ FRM123FM420, λ RM123TRM5268RK5268, λ RM123TRM381FM420, λ RM12321TRM364RM2321, λ RM123, FNR 6T	This work
RM611 As MC4100 but $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm) $narL215::Tn10$ T VJS4322 RK4353 but $\Delta(narXL)235$ $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm) V VJS3051 As RK4353 but $\Delta(narXL)235$ $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm) V VJS3051 As RK4353 but $\Delta(narXL)235$ $narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm) V RK5268 $nar205::Tn10$ (NarG ⁻) 2 RK5278 As RK4353 but $narL215::Tn10$ 2 ECL618 F' $arcA2$ $zjj::Tn10$ 4 RM123 FM420, λ RM123 2 RM5268 RK5268, λ RM123 7 RM381 FM420, λ RM1231 3 RM364 RM2321, λ RM123, FNR 6 7	This work
VJS4322 RK4353 but $\Delta(narXL)235 narP253::Tn10d$ (Cm) V VJS3051 As RK4353 but $\Delta narX242 zch-2084::\Omega$ -Cm $narQ251::Tn10d$ (Tc) $fdnG108::MudJ$ 2 RK5268 $nar205::Tn10$ (NarG ⁻) 3 RK5278 As RK4353 but $narL215::Tn10$ 3 ECL618 F' $arcA2$ zjj::Tn10 4 RM123 FM420, λ RM123 2 RM5268 RK5268, λ RM123 3 RM381 FM420, λ RM12321 3 RM364 RM2321, λ RM123, FNR 6 7	This work
VJS3051 As RK4353 but $\Delta nar X242 \ zch-2084::\Omega-Cm \ nar Q251::Tn10d (Tc) \ fdnG108::MudJ 2 RK5268 nar205::Tn10 (NarG-) 3 RK5278 As RK4353 but narL215::Tn10 3 ECL618 F' arc42 zjj::Tn10 3 RM123 FM420, \lambdaRM123 2 RM5268 RK5268, \lambdaRM123 3 RM381 FM420, \lambdaRM1231 3 RM364 RM2321, \lambdaRM123 3 RM2314 FM420, \lambdaRM123, FNR 6 3 $	V. Stewart
RK5268 $nar205::Tn10$ (NarG ⁻) 2 RK5278 As RK4353 but $narL215::Tn10$ 3 ECL618 F' $arcA2$ zjj::Tn10 4 RM123 FM420, λ RM123 2 RM5268 RK5268, λ RM123 3 RM381 FM420, λ RM12321 3 RM364 RM2321, λ RM123, FNR 6 7	20
RK5278 As RK4353 but $narL215::Tn10$ 3 ECL618 F' $arcA2$ zjj::Tn10 H RM123 FM420, λ RM123 2 RM5268 RK5268, λ RM123 7 RM381 FM420, λ RM1231 3 RM364 RM231, λ RM123 7 RM2314 FM420, λ RM123, λ RM123 7	34
ECL618 F' arcA2 zjj::Tn10 I RM123 FM420, λRM123 2 RM5268 RK5268, λRM123 7 RM381 FM420, λRM12321 3 RM364 RM2321, λRM123 7 RM2314 FM420, λRM123, FNR 6 7	34
RM123 FM420, λRM123 2 RM5268 RK5268, λRM123 7 RM381 FM420, λRM12321 3 RM364 RM2321, λRM123 7 RM2314 FM420, λRM123, FNR 6 7	E. C. C. Lin
RM5268 RK5268, λRM123 Γ RM381 FM420, λRM12321 3 RM364 RM2321, λRM123 7 RM2314 FM420, λRM123, FNR 6 7	25
RM381 FM420, λRM12321 3 RM364 RM2321, λRM123 7 RM2314 FM420, λRM123, FNR 6 7	This work
RM364 RM2321, λRM123 Π RM2314 FM420, λRM123, FNR 6 2	30
RM2314 FM420, \RM123, FNR 6	This work
	24 24
RM2315 FM420 \ RM123 FNR 7	24
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	24
$\mathbf{R}_{1} = \mathbf{R}_{1} = \mathbf{R}_{1} + \mathbf{R}_{2} + \mathbf{R}_{1} + \mathbf{R}_{2} $	24
$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{I} \mathbf{E}\mathbf{I} $	50
Plasmids	
pRS551 $\text{Km}^{r} \text{Ap}^{r} lacZ^{+} lacA^{+}$ 22	29
$\mathbf{pRS552} \qquad \mathbf{Km}^{\mathrm{r}} \operatorname{Ap^{r}} lacZ' lacY^{+} lacA^{+} \qquad \qquad$	29
pRM123 pRS552 F($pfl'-'lacZ$) 1397 (Hyb) 2	25
pRM2321 pRM123 but mutated in the IHF-binding site 3	30
pRM123/FNR6 pRM123 carrying the FNR-1 mutation in the <i>pfl</i> regulatory region 2	24
pRM123/FNR7 pRM123 carrying the FNR-2 mutation in the <i>pfl</i> regulatory region 2	24
pRM123/FNR6+7 pRM123 carrying the FNR-1 and FNR-2 mutation in the <i>pft</i> regulatory region 22	24
pSN15 Ap ^r BamHI bp -414 to $+34$ of <i>pfl</i> regulatory region in pUC19 3	30
pSN20 Ap ^{r} BamHI bp -457 to +83 of pfl regulatory region in pUC18	30
pSN25 Ap ^r BamHI bp -287 to $+34$ of <i>pfl</i> regulatory region in pUC19	This work
pUNarL1 pUC19 $narL^+$	This work
pUC19 Ap ^r lacIPOZ ⁺ 4	1
pTK200 pRS551 $\Phi(pfl'-lacZ)$ carrying 531 bp from -457 to +83 of pfl regulatory region 7	This work
pRM1001 pRS551 $\Phi(nff'-lacZ)$ carrying 161 bp from -457 to -294 of <i>pft</i> regulatory region	N. Drapal
pRM20201 pRS551 $\Phi(pfl'-lacZ)$ carrying 118 bp from -81 to +37 of <i>pfl</i> regulatory region 7	This work
Phanes	
λ P S45 $lac T lac V^+$ imm21 ind ⁺	0
λ DM123 λ DS45 $d(pd'/loc2)$ 1307 (Hub)	25
$ \begin{array}{ccc} AKM125 & AKSS & W(p_1 + uut_2) \ 1577 \ (1150) \\ DKM1221 & DM122 \ bulk & bulk \ bulk & bulk \ bulk & bulk & bulk \\ \end{array} $	20
$\Lambda_{\rm CM}$ $M_{\rm CM}$	0
ARMIZZ/FYRIO ARMIZZ CATIVING the FYR-1 initiation in the p_i regulatory region 2 DMIZZ/END7 D DMIZZ carrying the END 2 mutation in the p_i regulatory region 2	54 07
$\Lambda KM125(FNK)$ $\Lambda KM125$ carrying the FNK-2 mutation in the <i>pp</i> regulatory region 2	24 M
$\Lambda KM125/FNK0$ $\Lambda KM125$ carrying the FNK-1 and FNK-2 mutation in the <i>pli</i> regulatory region 2	24 J. D 1
AKM1001 AKS45 $\Psi(pt)^{-1acZ}$) carrying 161 bp from -45/ to +83 of pt regulatory region P	N. Drapal
λ 1 K 200 λ K S 45 $\Phi(ppt'-lacZ)$ carrying 531 bp from -45/ to -294 of pft regulatory region	his work
$\Lambda KM20201$ $\Lambda KS45 \Psi(ppt'-tacz)$ carrying 531 bp from -81 to $+3/$ of ppt regulatory region	nis work

in Luria-Bertani medium (19), buffered rich medium (10 g of Bacto Tryptone, 5 g of yeast extract, 100 mM potassium phosphate [pH 6.5]) according to the methods of Begg et al. (1), or in Werkman minimal medium (which consisted of 50 mM disodium hydrogen phosphate, 100 mM potassium dihydrogen phosphate, 15 mM ammonium sulfate, 1 mM magnesium sulfate, 100 μ M calcium chloride, 10 μ M sodium selenite, and 10 μ M sodium molybdate, pH 6.5). Glucose (20 mM in rich medium and 40 mM in minimal medium) was used as the carbon source in all growth experiments except when cells were grown aerobically in rich medium. Potassium nitrate was added to 40 mM, and sodium nitrite was added to a final concentration of 5 mM. Antibiotics were added at the following final concentrations: ampicillin, 50 μ g/ml; chloramphenicol, 15 μ g/ml; work were prepared as described previously (26).

Plasmid and strain constructions. Strains RM3132, RM600, RM605, RM610, and RM611 were constructed by P1 kc-mediated transduction by the method of Miller (19), with the appropriate strains used as donors (Table 1).

Plasmid pSN25 was constructed by isolating the AseI-AluI fragment from p29 (22), and after filling in of the protruding ends with the Klenow enzyme (17), BamHI linkers were added and the resulting fragment was cloned into the BamHI site of pUC19 (41).

Plasmid pUNarL1, which was used to overproduce the NarL protein, was constructed as follows. A 694-bp fragment containing the complete *narL* gene (35) was amplified from the chromosome of strain FM420 by PCR with the following oligonucleotides: Nar1, 5'-GGGGATCCAAGGAGATACCCATG AG-3'; and Nar2, 5'-GGGGATCCAAACGACGAACTGCGCTG-3'. After *Bam*HI restriction, the resulting fragment was cloned into the *Bam*HI site of pUC19 to yield plasmid pUNarL1. The authenticity of the *narL* gene was determined by DNA sequencing. The 163- and 118-bp DNA fragments depicted in Fig. 1 which were used to construct λ RM1001 and λ RM20201, respectively, were amplified from p29 (22) by PCR and appropriately designed primers which incorporated a *Bam*HI restriction site at the 5' and 3' ends of the resulting amplified product. Subsequent to amplification, the fragments were restricted with *Bam*HI and cloned into the *Bam*HI site of PSS51 (29). The authenticity of the amplified fragments was determined by DNA sequencing.

β-Galactosidase assays. β-Galactosidase activity was assayed in cultures of exponentially growing cells, and the specific activity was calculated as described by Miller (19). The values reported are the averages of at least three independent experiments performed in triplicate. The standard error of the values reported was not more than 15%.

Overproduction and purification of NarL. For production of NarL protein,



50 bp

FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the regulatory region of the *pfl* operon. The locations of the 5' ends of the transcripts generated by promoters 1 to 7 are designated by P1 to P7, respectively. The lower portion of the figure depicts the location of the binding sites for IHF, the FNR protein (FNR1 and FNR2), and DNA sequences protected by NarL-phosphate from DNase I attack (NarL-1 through NarL-4). The small black boxes signify FNR-binding sites, and the small raised box depicts the IHF-binding site. The protected regions NarL-3a and -3b are depicted as a single site because it was not possible to delineate clearly the boundaries of the protected segments on the noncoding strand. It is likely, however, that they represent two or more distinct sites (see Discussion). The thin lines in the map represent the DNA sequences fused with the *lacZ* gene to create derivatives $\lambda RM1001$ and $\lambda RM2021$.

250- to 500-ml cultures containing strain BL21 transformed with pUNarL were grown aerobically in LB medium to late logarithmic phase (optical density at 600 nm, 1.0 to 1.5) at 37°C. Isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added to the culture medium at a final concentration of 0.4 mM, and cells were grown for an additional 3 h at 37°C. Bacteria were harvested by centrifugation at 4°C and stored at -20°C. Cells from a 500-ml induced BL21/pUNarL1 culture were resuspended in 2.5 ml of 50 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.5)-2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT)–5 mM benzamidine and passed through a French press cell twice at a cell pressure of 1.03×10^2 MPa (16,000 lb/in²). To shear chromosomal DNA, the cell lysate was sonicated three times for 1 min at 4°C. The resulting cell lysate was clarified by centrifugation at $15,000 \times g$ for 15 min. The pellet was discarded, and the centrifugation step was repeated. The crude extract was then centrifuged at 100,000 $\times g$ for 2 h. The ammonium sulfate concentration of the S100 supernatant (2 ml) was brought to 50%, the supernatant was stirred slowly for 45 min, and the precipitate was collected by centrifuging at $15,000 \times g$ for 30 min. The precipitate was resuspended in 2 ml of buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 2 mM DTT) and desalted by passage over a G-25 Sephadex column (5 ml) equilibrated in the same buffer. The desalted material was loaded in paired 2-ml portions onto a 5-ml MonoQ anion exchange column equilibrated with buffer A, and the column was developed with a 20-ml gradient from 0 to 0.5 M NaCl in the same buffer. Fractions containing NarL were identified by sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-polyacrylamide electrophoresis and, after the peak tubes were pooled, concentrated with a Centriprep-10 filter (Amicon) and applied to a 120-ml Superdex G-75 gel filtration column equilibrated with buffer A. Fractions containing NarL were identified, pooled, and concentrated as described above. Glycerol was added to the purified NarL protein to yield a final concentration of 10% (vol/vol), and the protein was stored at -20° C.

Phosphorylation of NarL with acetyl phosphate. NarL phosphorylation by acetyl phosphate was carried out at 25°C. Purified NarL protein was incubated in TEGD buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 10% glycerol) containing 5 mM magnesium chloride and 50 mM acetyl phosphate for 30 min to 3 h.

Preparation of DNA probes for DNase I footprinting. DNA fragments carrying different portions of the *pfl* operon promoter-regulatory region were asymmetrically labeled by filling in one restriction end with the Klenow enzyme in the presence of $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ dATP. Fragment I, comprising *pfl* operon regulatory sequences from bp, -414 to +34, was isolated from plasmid pSN15 by digestion with *Eco*RI and *Hind*III and labeled on the coding strand at the vector-derived *Eco*RI site downstream of promoter 6 (Fig. 1). Fragment II, comprising *pfl* operon regulatory sequences from bp, -287 to +34, was isolated from plasmid pSN25 by digestion with *Eco*RI and *Hind*III site. Fragment III carrying bp -457 to +83 of the *pfl* operon regulatory region was isolated from plasmid pSN20 by digestion with *Eco*RI and *Hind*III and was labeled on the coding strand upstream of promoter 7 (Fig. 1) at the vector-derived *Eco*RI site.

DNase I protection. DNase I footprinting assays were performed as follows.

 $^{32}\text{P}\text{-labeled DNA probes}$ (2 pmol) were mixed together with different amounts of the phosphorylated NarL in a buffer that contained 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 12.5 mM magnesium chloride, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 20% glycerol (vol/vol), and 0.1 M potassium chloride. The total reaction volume was 50 µl. After incubation on ice for 15 min, the samples were kept at room temperature for 1 min. Then, 50 µl of a solution containing 10 mM magnesium chloride and 5 mM calcium chloride was added and incubation was allowed to proceed for 1 min more. Subsequently, 2 µl of DNase I (0.00125 µg/ml; Boehringer Mannheim) was added and digestion was topped after 30 s by the addition of 90 µl of stop solution (20 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 0.2 M NaCl, and 250 µg of carrier DNA per ml). The reaction products were then purified by phenol-chloroform extraction, precipitated with ethanol, dissolved in formamide dye, and separated on 6.6% sequencing gels. Sequencing reactions were performed as described by Maxam and Gilbert (18). Occasionally, products of sequence reactions with plasmid DNA obtained by the dideoxy method (23) were used as size standards on sequencing gels.

Gel mobility shift assay with purified NarL. Mobility shift assays were performed exactly as described previously, using the 531-bp *Bam*HI fragment from pSN20 which includes the complete pfl promoter-regulatory sequence (30). The DNA fragment was radioactively labeled with [α -³²P]dATP with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I. NarL or NarL-phosphate was used at concentrations ranging from 5 to 50 μ M. Reaction products were analyzed in 4% nondenaturating polyacrylamide gels prepared in Tris-borate-EDTA buffer (17).

Other methods. Operon and protein fusions to the *lacZ* gene were transferred to λ RS45 exactly as described previously (25). SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was carried out in 12.5% (wt/vol) polyacrylamide gels by following the procedure of Laemmli (13). Protein concentration was determined by the method of Lowry et al. (15), with bovine serum albumin as the standard. N-terminal amino acid sequencing was performed with an Applied Biosystems gas phase sequencer.

RESULTS

Maximal nitrate repression of pfl expression is dependent on functional NarL, NarP, NarX, and NarQ proteins. A *lacZ* fusion including the complete pfl regulatory region plus the *focA* gene (37) was used to study the effect of nitrate on pflgene expression (Table 2). Nitrate, when used as a supplement to buffered rich medium, reduced anaerobic pfl gene expression by approximately 45%. This result is in accord with previous observations (25). Surprisingly, nitrate repression was much stronger (about sevenfold) when the bacteria were grown in minimal medium than in rich medium (Table 2). It

 TABLE 2. Influence of nitrate and various mutant nar alleles on anaerobic pfl-lacZ expression

	β -Galactosidase enzyme sp act ^b				
Strain ^a	R	lich mediur	Minimal	medium	
	-Nitrate	+Nitrate	+Nitrite	-Nitrate	+Nitrate
FM420 (wild type)	4,180	2,320	2,670	1,650	230
RM600 (narL)	2,960	2,820	2,740	1,820	580
RM610 (narP)	4,540	2,710	2,780	1,660	220
RM611 (narL narP)	4,980	5,550	3,880	1,710	1,270
RM605 $(narX narQ)$	4,700	5,220	3,630	1,615	1,200
RK5268 (narG)	2,160	2,680	ND^{c}	1,615	890

^a All strains carried a chromosomal copy of λRM123.

^b Specific activity is expressed in Miller units (19).

^c ND, not determined.

should be noted that basal anaerobic pfl expression in cells grown in minimal medium attained levels that were only 40% that of cultures grown in rich medium but the regulation pattern is identical to that observed in rich medium (data not shown). The reason for this reduced expression is currently unclear.

To determine whether nitrate repression is indirect and due to changes in metabolism caused by growth in the presence of the electron acceptor or whether it results from a direct interaction of components of the nitrate-dependent sensor-regulator machinery with the pfl operon regulatory region, the pfllacZ expression in various narX, narL, narQ, and narP mutants after growth of the strains in the presence or absence of nitrate was monitored. Nitrate repression could not be relieved in strains with genetic backgrounds in which each gene was mutated singly, regardless of the growth medium used (Table 2 and data not shown). It was noted, however, that in narL mutants the level of expression in nitrate-supplemented minimal medium was twofold higher than that of the $narL^+$ strain. A further salient feature of narL mutants was that they reproducibly exhibited 30% lower β-galactosidase activity levels than the wild type after growth in rich medium in the absence of nitrate (Table 2). This difference indicates that $narL^+$ influences *pfl* expression in the absence of added nitrate.

Nitrate repression could be relieved completely only in strains grown in rich medium and unable to synthesize either functional NarL and NarP proteins or NarX and NarQ proteins (Table 2). This result indicates that these two nitratesensing systems are redundant with regard to the nitrate repression of the *pfl* operon. Analysis of *pfl* expression in the double null mutants after growth in minimal medium revealed that nitrate repression could not be relieved completely. Both the *narL narP* double mutant RM611 and the *narX narQ* strain RM605 exhibited a residual 1.3-fold nitrate repression (Table 2). This finding suggests that either a further nitrate-sensing system exists in *E. coli* or the direct nitrate repression of the *pfl* operon mediated by the NarLPQX system is augmented by metabolic modulation of expression in the presence of nitrate.

Nitrite has been shown to affect expression of several anaerobic respiratory genes (21, 33). It was observed that addition of nitrite to rich medium also reduced expression of the *pfl-lacZ* construct (Table 2). As with nitrate-dependent repression, nitrite repression was lifted only when the strain carried lesions in both the *narL* and *narP* genes or in both the *narX* and *narQ* genes.

The metabolism of nitrate influences *pfl* expression. A result which supports the contention that the metabolism of nitrate may contribute to nitrate repression of *pfl* expression in min-

 TABLE 3. Effects of different regulatory mutations on anaerobic *pfl-lacZ* expression

	β -Galactosidase enzyme sp act ^b				
Strain ^a	Rich n	nedium	Minimal medium		
	-Nitrate	+Nitrate	-Nitrate	+Nitrate	
RM123 (wild type)	4,180	2,320	1,650	230	
RM364 (arcA)	3,680	2,660	1,600	200	
RM381 (IHF bind ⁻)	2,480	1,350	1,310	240	
RM2314 (FNR1 bind ⁻)	370	210	250	35	
RM2315 (FNR2 bind ⁻)	4,700	1,920	1,870	190	
RM2316 (FNR1+2 bind ⁻)	310	160	350	50	

^{*a*} Strains bear a chromosomal copy of λ RM123 or a mutant allele therof. ^{*b*} Specific activity is expressed in Miller units (19).

imal medium was obtained by examining pfl-lacZ expression in a narG mutant, which lacks a functional nitrate reductase (Table 2). The narG mutation reduced the degree of nitrate repression after growth in minimal medium to only twofold, compared with sevenfold repression in the wild type. It is noteworthy that fermentative growth of the narG mutant derivative in rich medium resulted in a reduction of pfl expression similar to that seen in a narL mutant (Table 2). This similarity suggests that the reduction in expression may be a metabolic effect that is dependent on a functional nitrate reductase enzyme rather than a direct interaction between NarL and the regulatory region.

Nitrate repression is independent of IHF, FNR, and ArcA. To test whether any of the other characterized transcriptional regulators that modulate *pfl* expression are required for nitrate repression, we examined the effects of nitrate supplementation on various mutant derivatives of the pfl promoter-regulatory region in a wild-type genetic background or the wild-type promoter-regulatory region in different genetic backgrounds (Table 3). Nitrate repression was still apparent after growth of an arcA mutant in rich and minimal media. Strain RM381, which carries a mutation that prevents binding of integration host factor (IHF) at the *pfl* promoter-regulatory region (30), was also unaffected in nitrate repression. Furthermore, promoter derivatives that were mutated in the putative FNR-binding sites at promoter 7 (FNR2 bind⁻) and promoter 6 (FNR1 bind⁻) (24) still exhibited nitrate repression (Table 4). This result is surprising, in particular for strain RM2314, since the mutation in the FNR-binding site reduces anaerobic expression dramatically; however, despite this reduction nitrate still caused a sevenfold repression in promoter activity after growth in minimal medium. These results indicate that repression by nitrate is independent of the three transcription factors ArcA, IHF, and FNR.

 TABLE 4. Identification of DNA sequences in the *pfl* operon upstream regulatory region required for nitrate-dependent repression of anaerobic *pfl-lacZ* expression

	β -Galactosidase enzyme sp act ^a					
Strain	Rich n	nedium	Minimal medium			
	-Nitrate	+Nitrate	-Nitrate	+Nitrate		
FM420 λTK200	5,210	3,310	1,770	690		
FM420 \lambda RM20201	1,460	1,340	950	600		
FM420 λRM1001	1,850	2,225	570	360		

^a Specific activity is expressed in Miller units (19).



FIG. 2. Purification of NarL protein. Purification was performed as described in Materials and Methods. The protein composition at each purification step was analyzed on an SDS-12.5% polyacrylamide gel. The samples and amounts of protein applied to each lane are as follows: lanes 1 and 6, molecular weight standards; lane 2, the S100 supernatant of BL21/pUNarL1 grown in the presence of IPTG (25 μ g); lane 3, redissolved and desalted 50% ammonium sulfate pellet (25 μ g); lane 4, the pooled fractions from MonoQ anion exchange chromatography (25 μ g); lane 5, the pooled and concentrated fractions after Superdex G-75 gel filtration (25 μ g). The molecular weight markers used (with the molecular weights shown from top to bottom at the left of the gel) were phosphorylase *b*, bovine serum albumin, ovalbumin, carbonic anhydrase, and soybean trypsin inhibitor.

Localization to the pfl regulatory region of sequences required for nitrate repression. The studies presented so far employed pfl-lacZ fusions that included the complete regulatory region plus the DNA sequences encompassing promoters 1 to 5 (24-26). We constructed various fusion derivatives to delimit the sequences necessary for mediating nitrate regulation (Fig. 1). A construct that contained only the DNA sequences including promoters 1 to 5 fused with the lacZ gene exhibited neither anaerobic induction of expression (26) nor nitrate repression (data not shown). In contrast, a derivative $(\lambda TK200)$ that included the complete nontranslated regulatory region (the MluI-BglI fragment in Fig. 1) was still regulated by nitrate in both rich and minimal media (Table 4). Strain FM420\RM20201 contains a pfl'-lacZ transcriptional fusion that includes only 118 bp of DNA sequence from promoter 6 (Fig. 1). Expression from this derivative exhibited nitrate repression, but only after growth in minimal medium (Table 4). Similarly, a derivative (λ RM1001) that included only promoter 7 DNA sequences was partially repressed by nitrate, again only after growth in minimal medium. These results therefore indicate that there is more than one DNA sequence involved in the mediation of nitrate regulation of the *pfl* operon.

NarL-phosphate binds specifically to the *pfl* promoter-regulatory region. The *narL* gene was placed under the control of the *lac* promoter of pUC19, which facilitated overproduction of the NarL protein in response to addition of IPTG to the growing cultures. NarL was purified (Fig. 2) essentially by the procedure of Walker and DeMoss (40). The purification scheme was slightly modified by introducing an ammonium sulfate fraction step subsequent to preparation of the S100 extract. NarL eluted from the MonoQ column between concentrations of 100 and 200 mM NaCl and migrated in the Sephadex G-75 column as a 26-kDa monomer. N-terminal amino acid sequence analysis identified the sequence Ser-Asn-Gln, which confirms that the purified protein was NarL (35). Yields from 1.8 g of cell paste were reproducibly in the range of 3.0 to 4.0 mg.

To examine whether NarL binds specifically to the *pfl* regulatory region, the purified protein was tested in a mobility shift experiment. The results in Fig. 3 show that, when incubated with NarL, the radioactively labeled DNA fragment remained at the origin of the gel (lane 2) despite a greater-than-1,000-fold molar excess of nonspecific competitor DNA. A



FIG. 3. Specific binding of NarL-phosphate to the *pfl* promoter-regulatory region. The autoradiogram shows the result of a mobility shift assay in which 0.13 pmol of a radioactively labeled *Bam*HI fragment from pSN20 was incubated without protein (lane 1), with 1 nmol of NarL (lane 2), and with 1 nmol of NarL-phosphate (lane 3).

single retarded DNA-protein complex could be resolved in the polyacrylamide gel only by incubating the NarL protein with acetyl phosphate before the mobility shift assay was performed (Fig. 3, lane 3). It has been demonstrated that incubation of the NarL protein with acetyl phosphate results in the transfer of the phosphate group to the protein (28).

DNase I footprinting analysis was employed to define the location of the NarL-phosphate-binding sites more precisely. The results in Fig. 4A show five areas of the regulatory region that are protected by NarL-phosphate from attack by DNase I. No specific footprint was observed with nonphosphorylated NarL protein. The protected regions span almost the complete regulatory sequence (see Fig. 5). Binding site 1 (NarL-1 in Fig. 4 and 5) extends over the -10 region of promoter 6, while the NarL-4 binding site spans the -35 sequence of promoter 7 (Fig. 5). The locations of both sites are compatible with NarL-phosphate repressing transcription from both promoters.

The NarL-2 binding site partially overlaps the recognition sequence for IHF, while sites NarL-3a and NarL-3b are located between promoter 7 and the IHF-binding site (Fig. 5). The last two binding sites were readily distinguishable by footprinting the coding strand (Fig. 4A) but less so by analysis of the noncoding strand (Fig. 4B and C). The binding constants for NarL-phosphate on the coding and the noncoding strands were in the range of 1.2 to 1.66 μ M.

DISCUSSION

The data presented in this report demonstrate that the expression of the pfl operon is negatively regulated by nitrate and that when cells are cultured in a mineral salts medium this regulation is markedly stronger than when they are cultured in rich medium. Nitrate regulation is mediated exclusively by the dual sensors (NarX and NarQ) and the dual regulators (NarL and NarP) in rich medium. In minimal medium, these four factors are also the principal means by which nitrate is sensed and transmitted to the level of *pfl* gene expression; however, there remains a significant degree of nitrate repression when either both sensors or both regulators are inactivated. This finding suggests either that another nitrate-sensing system which regulates the expression of the *pfl* operon exists or that the metabolism of nitrate in some way influences expression. Although we cannot exclude the former possibility (see reference 21), we favor the latter argument, mainly because nitrate repression could be substantially relieved in a mutant incapable of synthesizing a functional respiratory nitrate reductase. This result strongly suggests communication between the metabolic (redox) status, possibly transmitted through other transcriptional regulators, and *pfl* expression.

We did not observe a measurable difference in the degrees



FIG. 4. DNase I footprinting of NarL binding to the *pfl* operon upstream regulatory region. Three different 3'-end-labeled DNA fragments were incubated with progressively larger amounts of NarL protein, and the reaction products were resolved on sequencing gels as described in Materials and Methods. (A) Fragment I derived from plasmid pSN15 (bp -414 to +34 of the *pfl* operon regulatory region) and labeled on the coding strand. Lanes 1 and 2, T+C and C from the Maxam and Gilbert sequencing reactions of the corresponding fragment; lane 3, no protein; lane 4, 1.25 nmol of NarL protein; lane 5, 0.83 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane 6, 1.25 nmol of phosphorylated NarL protein; lane 2, 1.25 nmol of NarL protein, lane 1, no protein; lane 2, 1.25 nmol of NarL protein; lane 3, 0.15 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane 4, 0.3 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane 5, 0.6 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane 6, 1.25 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane 7, T+C from the Maxam and Gilbert reaction of the corresponding fragment. (C) Fragment II derived from plasmid pSN20 (bp -457 to +83 of the *pfl* operon regulatory region) and labeled on the noncoding strand. Lane 1, no protein; lane 3, 0.15 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane 5, 0.6 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane 6, 1.25 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane 4, 0.3 nmol of phosphorylated NarL is 1, no protein; lane 2, 1.25 nmol of northex protein; lane 3, 0.15 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane 5, 0.6 nmol of phosphorylated NarL is 1, no protein; lane 7, 2.0 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane 4, 0.3 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane 6, 1.25 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane 4, 0.3 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane 5, 0.6 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane 7, 2.0 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane 5, 0.6 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane 7, 2.0 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane 5, 0.6 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane 7, 2.0 nmol of phosphorylated NarL; lane

of nitrate repression of *pfl* operon expression in single *narX* and *narQ* mutants. Therefore, NarX and NarQ are equally effective in sensing the presence of nitrate and relaying this signal to the regulator components of the pathway, a finding which has been extensively studied for other nitrate-responsive operons (21, 28, 33). Either of the response regulators, NarL or NarP, was sufficient to confer maximal nitrate and nitrite repression in rich medium, while the NarL protein appeared to be marginally more effective when cells were grown in minimal medium. The two regulator components are therefore essentially redundant with regards to the regulated genes studied to date, the distinction between a preference for one regulator is more clear-cut than for *pfl*. For example, nitrate induction of the *narGHJI* operon (21) and the *narK* gene (12) is controlled

exclusively by NarL, while induction of the *fdnGHI* operon is controlled principally by NarL, but NarP also effects a degree of control (observed with a *narL* mutant) (21). Expression of the *dmsABC* and the *frdABCD* operons is repressed in the presence of nitrate, and this repression is dependent on the NarL protein (8, 10, 21, 28). A more complex scenario is presented by the regulation of two more recently identified genes, termed *aeg*-46.5 and *aeg*-93 (4). Nitrate induction of *aeg*-46.5 is enhanced in a *narL* mutant (4) and is mediated by NarP (21). Regulation of *aeg*-93 is more complex than that of *aeg*-46.5, since nitrite is an effective activator and it appears that NarL represses expression in the presence of nitrate but activates it in the presence of nitrite, while NarP is an activator with both nitrate and nitrite. Clearly, there is a complex inter-



FIG. 5. DNA sequence of the *pfl* operon upstream regulatory region, showing NarL-phosphate-binding sites. The transcription initiation sites of promoters 6 and 7 (angled arrows) and the sequences protected by NarL-phosphate binding from DNase I digestion (brackets) are indicated. The numbering is with respect to the major transcription initiation site from promoter 6, which is taken as +1 (24). Sequences that exhibit a high degree of similarity to the NarL heptamer consensus of TACYNMT (where Y = C or T and M = A or C) are in boldface letters and, depending on the orientation, are overlined or underlined with a thick arrow. Restriction sites are indicated by the arrowheads above the sequence.

play between these four proteins at different target operons (see reference 23).

It was anticipated that nitrate repression would not show a dependence on the presence of ArcA, FNR, or IHF, which proved to be the case. An initially perplexing finding, however, was that it was not possible, by using lacZ operon fusions, to localize a single region of DNA as being sufficient to confer nitrate repression; expression from promoter 6 and promoter 7 derivatives in isolation proved to be susceptible to repression by nitrate. This apparent paradox was clarified by performing in vitro DNase I footprinting studies with purified NarL protein. Five regions of the *pfl* regulatory region were protected by NarL from DNase I cleavage. The locations of the sequences in the pfl promoter-regulatory region occupied by NarL are summarized in Fig. 5. Sites 1 and 4 overlap promoters P6 and P7, respectively, and may account for the nitrate repression seen in vivo with lacZ fusion derivatives including these regions. The NarL-2 site is located between the FNR-binding site of P6 and partially overlaps the IHF recognition sequence. An extremely large region of DNA that extends from downstream of P7 and adjoins the IHF recognition sequence was also protected (NarL-3a and -3b). Footprinting studies using the coding strand separated this region into two well-defined sites (Fig. 4a and Fig. 5); however, analysis of the noncoding strand produced a less clear-cut result. Similar problems were encountered by Li et al. (14) for NarL binding to the *frdA* and *narK* control regions. It is perhaps significant that the DNA sequence encompassed by NarL-3a and NarL-3b is extremely AT

rich, and it is feasible that the combined effects of extensive DNA bending and protein-protein interaction between DNAbound NarL monomers hinders DNase I access to the DNA. Hydroxyl-radical footprinting and mutagenesis studies will aid in defining these sites more precisely. A recent study by Li et al. (14) identified multiple NarL-binding sites in the *fdnG*, *narG*, *narK*, and *frdA* regulatory regions; therefore, our results are in excellent agreement with their findings.

All five sites of NarL-DNA interaction contain at least one sequence that conforms to the NarL heptamer consensus (5, 38) TAC C/T N A/C T in minimally six positions (Fig. 5). Of a total of 12 putative heptamer sequences, only 2 lie outside the boundaries of a site protected by NarL. The sites are arranged on both strands and exhibit diverse spacing, suggesting that they are recognized by NarL monomers.

In order to obtain specific binding of the NarL protein to the DNA, it was necessary to incubate it with acetyl phosphate prior to the binding experiments, indicating that phosphorylation of the protein is a prerequisite for specificity (14, 16). Binding constants ranged from 1.2 to 1.7 µM, which was of the same order of magnitude as the range found for the constitutive mutant form of the protein NarL (V88A) used in a previous study (14). Clearly, the true binding constant will be significantly lower than the estimated value, since it is unlikely that all of the NarL monomers were in a form capable of binding DNA. No significant difference in DNA-binding activity was noted when the phosphorylation reaction was allowed to proceed for up to 4 h at room temperature, and maximal activity was attained after 10 min of incubation. We have succeeded in purifying the NarP protein, and like NarL, it shows no specific interaction with DNA in the (presumably) dephosphorylated state. Unfortunately, attempts to activate specific DNA-binding properties of the protein by incubation with acetyl phosphate or carbamoyl phosphate did not yield reproducible footprints (data not shown).

Two important questions are raised by the findings presented. First, what is the physiological significance of the nitrate repression of the pfl operon? Second, what mechanisms are involved in the enhancement of nitrate repression upon growth in minimal medium? In a redox environment which supports only fermentative growth, it is crucial that the cell generates a minimum of reducing equivalents, and the nonoxidative cleavage of pyruvate by PFL serves this purpose ideally. The availability of nitrate as an oxidant presents the cell with an alternative means of maintaining redox balance and generating ATP. If pyruvate is cleaved by PFL, then the product formate is metabolized by the energy-conserving FDH-N-nitrate reductase pathway (32). The pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) complex provides an alternative route for pyruvate metabolism, assuming that the NADH generated can be channeled to the quinone pool and hence to nitrate reductase. Indeed, it has been noted that nitrate enhances PDH complex synthesis and activity two- to threefold in anaerobically growing cells (6). Batch culture studies with phenotypically PFL⁻ and PDH⁻ mutants have shown that, when cultured anaerobically in minimal medium with glucose and nitrate, the wild type and both single mutants grow equally well (9). Therefore, either PFL or PDH can be used to catabolize pyruvate in nitrate-respiring cells. This provides a rationale for nitrate repression of PFL enzyme synthesis in favor of the PDH complex. The degree of nitrate repression is limited in rich medium, perhaps because the cell has little problem generating ATP and maintaining high growth rates. In minimal medium, the contribution of NADH as an electron donor for nitrate respiration is probably significantly higher than in rich medium (32) and the cell will thus tend to catabolize more pyruvate

through the PDH complex. Studies with *Enterococcus faecalis* have demonstrated that by modulating the pH of the culture medium, and hence the intracellular NADH/NAD⁺ ratio, it is possible to influence whether PDH or PFL is used to catabolize pyruvate (31). By analogy, the increased synthesis and use of the PDH complex in nitrate-respiring cells may provide an explanation for the enhanced repression of the *pfl* operon by nitrate in minimal medium.

The mechanism which the cell employs to repress pfl expression with nitrate clearly involves NarL and NarP, but a further factor(s) which dictates whether repression is strong or weak, perhaps in response to the intracellular redox status, must be involved. A feasible scenario would involve influencing the extent of occupancy of the NarL-binding sites. Recent in vitro studies have demonstrated that purified ArcA binds to several distinct sites in the pfl regulatory region and these overlap substantially those regions protected by NarL in footprinting studies (5a). Thus, competition between NarL and ArcA for their corresponding binding sites in the *pfl* promoter-regulatory region would provide a simple explanation for the variable level of nitrate repression. However, if this argument holds, then a strain incapable of synthesizing ArcA should show stronger nitrate repression in rich medium than in minimal medium, which is not what is observed. Thus, the situation is clearly highly complex and much experimentation will be required to elucidate the details of this regulation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are greatly indebted to F. Lottspeich for determining the Nterminal amino acid sequence of NarL. We thank V. Stewart and J. DeMoss for supplying strains and communicating the procedure for phosphorylation of NarL prior to publication. N. Drapal is thanked for providing plasmid pRM1001.

This work was supported by a grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

REFERENCES

- Begg, Y. A., J. N. Whyte, and B. A. Haddock. 1977. The identification of mutants of *Escherichia coli* deficient in formate dehydrogenase and nitrate reductase activities using dye indicator plates. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 2:47–50.
- Casabadan, M. J., and S. N. Cohen. 1979. Lactose genes fused to exogenous promoters in one step using Mu-lac bacteriophage: *in vivo* probe for transcriptional control sequences. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76:4530–4533.
- Chiang, R. C., R. Cavicchioli, and R. P. Gunsalus. 1992. Identification and characterisation of *narQ*, a second nitrate sensor for nitrate-dependent gene regulation in *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 6:1913–1923.
- Choe, M., and W. Reznikoff. 1991. Anaerobically expressed *Escherichia coli* genes identified by operon fusion techniques. J. Bacteriol. 173:6139–6146.
- Dong, X.-R., S. F. Li, and J. A. DeMoss. 1992. Upstream sequence elements required for NarL-mediated activation of transcription from the *narGHJI* promoter of *Escherichia coli*. J. Biol. Chem. 267:14122–14128.
- 5a. Drapal, N., and G. Sawers. Unpublished data.
- Guest, J. R., S. J. Angier, and G. C. Russell. 1989. Structure, expression, and protein engineering of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex of *Escherichia coli*. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 573:76–99.
- Gunsalus, R. P., L. V. Kalman, and R. S. Stewart. 1989. Nucleotide sequence of the *narL* gene that is involved in global regulation of nitrate controlled respiratory genes of *Escherichia coli*. Nucleic Acids Res. 17:1965–1975.
- Iuchi, S., and E. C. C. Lin. 1987. The *narL* gene product activates the nitrate reductase operon and represses the fumarate reductase and trimethylamine *N*-oxide reductase operons in *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84:3901–3905.
- Kaiser, M., and G. Sawers. 1994. Pyruvate formate-lyase is not essential for nitrate respiration by *Escherichia coli*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 117:163–168.
- Kalman, L., and R. P. Gunsalus. 1989. Identification of a second gene involved in global regulation of fumarate reductase and other nitrate-controlled genes for anaerobic respiration in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 171: 3810–3816.
- Knappe, J., and G. Sawers. 1990. A radical-chemical route to acetyl-CoA: the anaerobically induced pyruvate formate-lyase system of *Escherichia coli*. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 75:383–398.

- Kolesnikow, T., I. Schroeder, and R. P. Gunsalus. 1992. Regulation of *narK* gene expression in *Escherichia coli* in response to anaerobiosis, nitrate, iron, and molybdenum. J. Bacteriol. 174:7104–7111.
- Laemmli, U. K. 1970. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature (London) 227:680–685.
- Li, J., S. Kustu, and V. Štewart. 1994. In vitro interaction of nitrate-responsive regulatory protein NarL with DNA target sequences in the *fdnG*, *narG*, *narK* and *frdA* operon control regions of *Escherichia coli* K-12. J. Mol. Biol. 241:150–165.
- Lowry, O. H., N. J. Rosebrough, A. L. Farr, and R. J. Randall. 1951. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem. 193:265– 275.
- Lukat, G. S., W. R. McCleary, A. M. Stock, and J. B. Stock. 1992. Phosphorylation of bacterial response regulator proteins by low molecular weight phospho-donors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:718–722.
- Maniatis, T., E. F. Fritsch, and J. Sambrook. 1982. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Maxam, A. M., and W. Gilbert. 1980. Sequencing end-labeled DNA with base-specific chemical cleavages. Methods Enzymol. 65:499–560.
- Miller, J. H. 1972. Experiments in molecular genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Rabin, R. S., and V. Stewart. 1992. Either of two functionally redundant sensor proteins, NarX and NarQ, is sufficient for nitrate regulation in *Escherichia coli* K-12. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:8419–8423.
- Rabin, R. S., and V. Stewart. 1993. Dual response regulators (NarL and NarP) interact with dual sensors (NarX and NarQ) to control nitrate- and nitrite-regulated gene expression in *Escherichia coli* K-12. J. Bacteriol. 175: 3259–3268.
- Rödel, W., W. Plaga, R. Frank, and J. Knappe. 1988. Primary structures of Escherichia coli pyruvate formate-lyase and pyruvate-formate-lyase-activating enzyme deduced from the DNA nucleotide sequence. Eur. J. Biochem. 177:153–158.
- Sanger, F., S. Nicklen, and A. R. Coulson. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5463–5467.
- Sawers, G. 1993. Specific transcriptional requirements for positive regulation of the anaerobically inducible *pfl* operon by ArcA and FNR. Mol. Microbiol. 10:737–747.
- Sawers, G., and A. Böck. 1988. Anaerobic regulation of pyruvate formatelyase from *Escherichia coli* K-12. J. Bacteriol. **170**:5330–5336.
- Sawers, G., and A. Böck. 1989. Novel transcriptional control of the pyruvate formate-lyase gene: upstream regulatory sequences and multiple promoters regulate anaerobic expression. J. Bacteriol. 171:2485–2498.
- Sawers, G., A. F. V. Wagner, and A. Böck. 1989. Transcription initiation at multiple promoters of the *pfl* gene by Eσ⁷⁰-dependent transcription in vitro and heterologous expression in *Pseudomonas putida* in vivo. J. Bacteriol. 171:4930–4937.
- Schröder, I., C. D. Wolin, R. C. Cavicchioli, and R. P. Gunsalus. 1994. Phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of the NarQ, NarX, and NarL proteins of the nitrate-dependent two-component regulatory system of *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 176:4985–4992.
- Simons, R. W., F. Houman, and N. Kleckner. 1987. Improved single and multicopy *lac*-based protein and operon fusion cloning tools. Gene 53:85–96.
- Sirko, A., E. Zehelein, M. Freundlich, and G. Sawers. 1993. Integration host factor is required for anaerobic pyruvate induction of *pfl* operon expression in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 175:5769–5777.
- Snoep, J. L., M. J. T. DeMattos, P. W. Postma, and O. M. Neijssel. 1990. Involvement of pyruvate dehydrogenase in product formation in pyruvatelimited anaerobic chemostat cultures of *Enterococcus faecalis* NCTC 775. Arch. Microbiol. 154:50–55.
- Stewart, V. 1988. Nitrate respiration in relation to facultative metabolism in enterobacteria. Microbiol. Rev. 52:190–232.
- Stewart, V. 1993. Nitrate regulation of anaerobic respiratory gene expression in *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 9:425–434.
- Stewart, V., and C. H. MacGregor. 1982. Nitrate reductase in *Escherichia coli* K-12: involvement of *chlC*, *chlE*, and *chlG* loci. J. Bacteriol. 151:788–799.
- Stewart, V., J. Parales, and S. M. Merkel. 1989. Structure of genes *narL* and *narX* of the *nar* (nitrate reductase) locus in *Escherichia coli* K-12. J. Bacteriol. 171:2229–2234.
- Studier, F. W., and B. A. Moffat. 1986. Use of bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase to direct selective high-level expression of cloned genes. J. Mol. Biol. 189:113–130.
- Suppmann, B., and G. Sawers. 1994. Isolation and characterization of hypophosphite-resistant mutants of *Escherichia coli*: identification of the FocA protein, encoded by the *pfl* operon, as a putative formate transporter. Mol. Microbiol. 11:965–982.
- Tyson, K., A. Bell, J. Cole, and S. Busby. 1993. Definition of nitrite and nitrate response elements at the anaerobically inducible *Escherichia coli nirB* promoter: interactions between FNR and NarL. Mol. Microbiol. 7:151– 157
- 39. Walker, M. S., and J. A. DeMoss. 1992. Role of alternative promoter ele-

- ments in transcription from the *nar* promoter of *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 174;1119–1123.
 40. Walker, M. S., and J. A. DeMoss. 1993. Phosphorylation and dephosphorylation catalyzed *in vitro* by purified components of the nitrate sensing system, NarX and NarL. J. Biol. Chem. 268:8391–8393.
 41. Yanisch-Perron, C., J. Vieira, and J. Messing. 1985. Improved M13 phage

cloning vectors and host strains: nucleotide sequences of the M13mp18 and pUC19 vectors. Gene 33:103–119.
42. Zinoni, F., A. Birkmann, T. C. Stadtman, and A. Böck. 1986. Nucleotide sequence and expression of the selenocysteine-containing polypeptide of formate dehydrogenase (formate-hydrogen-lyase-linked) from *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83:4650–4654.