Central Regulatory Role for the RpoS Sigma Factor in Expression of *Salmonella dublin* Plasmid Virulence Genes

CHIN-YI CHEN,^{1*} NANCY A. BUCHMEIER,¹ STEPHEN LIBBY,¹ FERRIC C. FANG,² MARTIN KRAUSE,³ and DONALD G. GUINEY¹

Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093-0640¹; Department of Medicine, Pathology, and Microbiology, University of Colorado Health Sciences Center, Denver, Colorado 80262²; and Department of Medicine, University Hospital of Zürich, CH-8091 Zürich, Switzerland³

Received 13 April 1995/Accepted 10 July 1995

The plasmid virulence genes *spvABCD* of *Salmonella* spp. are regulated by SpvR and the stationary-phase sigma factor RpoS. The transcription of *spv* genes is induced during the post-exponential phase of bacterial growth in vitro. We sought to investigate the relationship between growth phase and RpoS in *spv* regulation. *rpoS* insertion mutations were constructed in *S. dublin* Lane and plasmid-cured LD842 strains, and the mutants were found to be attenuated for virulence and deficient in *spv* gene expression. We utilized the plasmid pBAD::*rpoS* to express *rpoS* independent of the growth phase under the control of the arabinose-inducible *araBAD* promoter. *SpvA* expression was induced within 2 h after the addition of 0.1% arabinose, even when bacteria were actively growing. This suggested that the level of RpoS, instead of the growth phase itself, controls induction of the *spv* genes. However, RpoS did not activate transcription of *spvA* in the absence of SpvR protein. Using a constitutive *tet* promoter to express *spvR*, we found that the *spvA* gene can be partially expressed in the *rpoS* mutant, suggesting that RpoS is required for SpvR synthesis. We confirmed that *spvR* is poorly expressed in the absence of RpoS. With an intact *rpoS* gene, *spvR* expression is not dependent on an intact *spvR* gene but is enhanced by *spvR* supplied in *trans*. We propose a model for *Salmonella spv* gene regulation in which both RpoS and SpvR are required for maximal expression at the *spvR* and *spvA* promoters.

The virulence of the host-adapted nontyphoid Salmonella strains, including S. dublin, S. choleraesuis, S. gallinarum-pullorum, and S. abortusovis, is associated with the presence of large plasmids of approximately 50 to 100 kb (12, 15). Many isolates of the broad-host range serovars S. typhimurium and S. enteritidis also contain virulence plasmids. Elimination of these plasmids results in the loss of virulence in mouse models of systemic Salmonella infection. It has been shown that the S. dublin plasmid pSDL2 plays an important role in multiplication within the reticuloendothelial system but not in colonization and invasion of the intestine and Peyer's patches in mice (16).

While virulence plasmids of different serovars may vary considerably in size and in overall nucleotide sequences, the core virulence genes, designated spv (salmonella plasmid virulence), are highly conserved among all serovars (31). The spv coding region consisting of the regulatory gene spvR and the structural genes spvABCD spans approximately 6 kb (13, 23). It has been shown that spvABCD genes form a single operon and are transcribed from the same promoter located upstream of spvA. mRNA transcripts terminate at different sites, resulting in messages of various length containing spvA, spvAB, spvABC, and spvABCD (22). spvR is located directly upstream of the spv-ABCD genes and is transcribed as a distinct message in the same orientation. Mutational analysis of the spv region from S. dublin indicates that spvR and spvB are essential for mouse virulence (41). Studies of S. typhimurium, however, suggest that mutations in spvC and spvD have significant effects on virulence in this serovar (5, 13).

The SpvR protein is required for the transcription of spv

ABCD (3, 7). Amino acid sequence analysis reveals that SpvR belongs to the MetR/LysR family of bacterial transcriptional regulators (39, 43). Members of the LysR family possess helixturn-helix motifs in the N-terminal domain and bind to the promoter regions of the regulated genes. SpvR binds to the *spvA* promoter upstream from the transcriptional start sites (21, 27), and mutations in the helix-turn-helix of SpvR abolish both promoter binding in vitro and *spvA* expression in vivo (21).

The expression of *spv* genes is regulated by the growth phase of the bacterial culture in vitro with the genes being induced during post-exponential growth (5, 7, 22). Growth-phase regulation of *spvABCD* is mediated through transcription from the *spvA* promoter, with *spv* mRNA increasing dramatically in stationary phase (7, 22). It has also been shown that the *spv* genes are rapidly induced following entry of the bacterium into host cells (9, 40). These results suggest that nutrient deprivation associated with the intracellular environment may induce *spv* expression. Other stress conditions, such as iron limitation and low pH, have also been shown to augment *spv* gene expression (44, 46).

It was shown that *spvABCD* gene expression is dependent on the alternative sigma factor, RpoS (also known as KatF, sigma 38) (8, 17, 20, 35). RpoS has been shown to be involved in regulation of many stress- or starvation-inducible genes in *Escherichia coli* as well as in *S. typhimurium* (25, 26, 37). *spvB* expression is significantly reduced in an *S. typhimurium* mutant containing an insertion in the *rpoS* gene (8). The derived RpoS protein sequence from *S. typhimurium* is 99% identical to that from *E. coli* and thus is believed to function similarly in gene regulation (32, 38). *rpoS* itself was shown to be regulated by growth phase, ppGpp, cyclic AMP and starvation conditions (11, 24, 26, 28, 33, 45).

Although both RpoS and SpvR are required for expression of the *spvABCD* operon, the mechanism of regulation remains

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Medicine 0640, CMM-East 2021, School of Medicine, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0640. Phone: (619) 534-6031. Fax: (619) 534-6020. Electronic mail address: c2chen@ucsd.edu.

Strain or plasmid	Relevant genotype or description	Reference or source
S. typhimurium		
14028s	Wild-type virulent strain	American Type Culture Collection
CC1000	14028s rpoS::tet, rpoS null mutant	This study
S. dublin		
Lane	Wild-type virulent strain containing pSDL2	4
LD842	Plasmid-cured Lane strain	4
Lane rpoS	Lane <i>rpoS::tet</i> , <i>rpoS</i> null mutant	This study
LD842 rpoS	LD842 rpoS::tet, rpoS null mutant	This study
E. coli		
DH5a	endA1 hsdR17 ($r_{k}^{-} m_{k}^{+}$) supE44 thi-1 recA1 gyrA (Nal ^r) relA1 Δ (lacZYA-argF) U169 deoR φ 80dlac Δ (lacZ)M15	Lab collection
SY327λ <i>pir</i>	$\Delta(lac-pro) arg E(Am) recA56 nalA Rfr(\lambda pir)$	30
MV12	$C600 \Delta trp E5 recA$	18
TE1335	trp $\Delta(lac)X74$ Str ^r [F' 128(P22 HT105/1 int-201 sieA44)]	6
Plasmids		
pBAD::rpoS	ParaBAD::rpoS, oripBR322, Pen ^r	47
pBC-SK(+)	Cloning vector, Cm ^r	Stratagene
pBluescript II-KS/SK(+)	Cloning vector, Pen ^r	Stratagene
pBR322	Cloning vector, Pen ^r Tc ^r	New England Biolabs
pBR::rpoS	S. typhimurium rpoS cloned into EcoRI-ScaI-digested pBR322, Tcr	This study
pCC507	<i>Eco</i> RV- <i>Hin</i> dIII fragment of pBR:: <i>rpoS</i> cloned into <i>Eco</i> RV- <i>Hin</i> dIII digested pBC-SK(+), Cm ^r	This study
pCC508	rpoS::tet on pBC-SK(+), Cm ^r Tc ^r	This study
pCC509	rpoS::tet on pKNG101, Sm ^r Tc ^r	This study
pFF14	spvRAB'::lacZ translational fusion on pACYC184, Cm ^r	7
pKNG101	oriR6K mob _{RK2} sacRB Sm ^r	19
pRK2073	Derivative of pRK2013, oriT Tp ^r Sm ^r	48
pSK::rpoS	S. typhimurium rpoS cloned into EcoRV-digested pBluescript II-SK(+), Pen ^r	This study
pSpvA-Z	spvRA'::lacZ translational fusion on pACYC184, Cm ^r	22
pSpvA-Z _{mutB}	Similar to pSpvA-Z but with a linker insertion in <i>spvR</i>	22
pSpvR-Z	spvR'::lacZ translational fusion on pACYC184, Cm ^r	This study
ptet::spvR	NsiI-PstI fragment of pFF14 cloned in EcoRV-digested pBR322, Pen ^r	This study

TABLE 1. Bacterial strains and plass	smids used in this study
--------------------------------------	--------------------------

unclear. *spvR* transcription increases in stationary phase and is at least partially dependent on RpoS. Although variable results have been reported, most studies have been done with *E. coli* rather than the native *Salmonella* host (1, 17). In *S. typhimurium*, transcription of *spvR* requires a functional SpvR protein, implicating a positive control mechanism (20, 36). Overproduction of SpvR restores expression of *spvB* in an *rpoS* mutant (20). However, these studies do not explain the finding that synthesis of SpvR from a constitutive promoter does not abolish the growth-phase regulation of *spvABCD* expression (7). In this study, we define the interaction between RpoS and SpvR in the control of *spv* expression and show that RpoS levels in the cell regulate transcription from both the *spvR* and the *spvA* promoters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains, plasmids, and media. The strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. Bacteria were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth (42) with appropriate antibiotics. M9 medium without Casamino Acids was used when minimal medium was required (29). Bacto-agar (1.5%) was added for solid media. Penicillin (200 µg/ml), chloramphenicol (20 µg/ml), streptomycin (50 µg/ml), and tetracycline (10 µg/ml) were added to the media as indicated.

DNA manipulations. Recombinant DNA techniques were performed as described previously (42). Unless otherwise indicated, plasmids were maintained in *E. coli* DH5 α (Table 1). Restriction endonucleases were purchased from New England Biolabs (Beverly, Mass.) and used according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

Construction of plasmid *ptet::spvR* **and pSpvR-Z**. Plasmid pFF14 (Table 1) was digested with *NsiI* and *PstI*, and the 3'-overhanging ends were removed with the

DNA polymerase I Klenow fragment. The resulting 1.5-kb blunt-ended fragment containing the *spvR* gene was gel purified and then ligated to *Eco*RV-digested pBR322. A clone, designated *ptet::spvR*, in which *spvR* is inserted in the same orientation as the *tet* gene was selected. Plasmid pSpvR-Z was constructed by digesting pSpvA-Z with *ScaI* and *Bam*HI and replacing this fragment with the *Eco*RV-BamHI adapter sequence from pBluescript II-KS(+) (Stratagene, La Jolla, Calif.), resulting in the first 80 bp of *spvR* fused in frame to *lacZ*.

Construction of *rpoS* **mutations in** *S*. *typhimurium* **and** *S*. *dublin*. An *Eco*RV-*Hin*dIII fragment from pBR::*rpoS*, which contains the *S*. *typhimurium rpoS* coding region and 360 bp of the upstream sequence, was subcloned into *Eco*RV and *Hin*dIII-digested pBC-SK(+) (Stratagene), resulting in pCC507. pBR::*rpoS* was constructed by digesting pSK::*rpoS* (Table 1) with *Hin*dIII and filling-in the 3'-recessed end with the Klenow fragment, followed by *Eco*RI digestion and cloning of the 1.5-kb fragment into *Eco*RI- and *Sca*I-digested pBR322.

The tet gene was removed from pBR322 as an AatII-MscI fragment and cloned into AatII-HpaI-digested pCC507 in an orientation opposite the direction of rpoS transcription. The resulting plasmid is pCC508. The rpoS::tet::rpoS cassette was excised as an XhoI-XbaI fragment and cloned into SaII-XbaI-digested pKNG101 (Table 1). The resulting suicide vector, pCC509, was transformed into SY327\pir in order to support plasmid replication. pCC509 was mobilized into S. typhimurium 14028s by triparental mating with MV12(pRK2073) and plated on minimal M9 agar containing tetracycline (10 µg/ml) to select for integration of the entire plasmid into the Salmonella chromosome.

The *sacB* gene of pCC509 encodes levansucrase from *Bacillus subtilis*. Levans, synthesized from sucrose by levansucrase, are lethal to gram-negative bacteria (10). Loss of the *sacB* gene and the vector through a second recombination event was detected by selecting sucrose-resistant colonies. Overnight cultures of clones containing the entire plasmid integrated into the chromosome were diluted, and 10^5 to 10^6 bacteria were plated on LB agar without NaCl, containing 6% sucrose and tetracycline (10 µg/ml), and incubated at 30° C (2). The number of clones that lost the *sacB* gene was approximately 10^{-4} to 10^{-5} , close to the number reported previously (2, 19). The resulting sucrose-resistant colonies were screened for sensitivity to streptomycin (50 µg/ml) and reduced catalase activity. The desired *rpoS* mutant had streptomycin sensitivity, tetracycline resistance,

and much weaker catalase activity than that of the wild type. The new 14028s ηoS mutant was named CC1000. To move the mutation into *S. dublin* strains, phage P22 was grown in CC1000 by zygotic induction with TH1335 (6), and the mutant ηoS allele was transduced into Lane and LD842, resulting in Lane ηoS and LD842 ηoS , respectively. Southern hybridization by using the Amersham (Arlington Heights, Ill.) ECL direct nucleic acid labeling and detection system was performed with *Eco*RI- or *Bam*HI-digested chromosomal DNA from the newly constructed ηoS mutants to confirm the correct gene structure of all clones (data not shown).

Virulence testing in mice. BALB/c mice (6 to 8 weeks old) in groups of four were injected intraperitoneally with approximately 10^3 bacteria in 0.2 ml of sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (4). Survivors were sacrificed on day 7, spleens were taken aseptically and homogenized in 2 ml of sterile PBS, and appropriate dilutions were plated on LB agar alone and LB agar containing 10 μ g of tetracycline per ml. Colonies were counted the next day, and the number of viable bacteria per spleen was calculated. Representative colonies were assayed for catalase activity to eliminate the possibility of contamination.

Measurement of *spv* **expression.** Overnight cultures of strains containing the appropriate plasmid(s) were diluted 1:5,000 into fresh LB broth with the addition of antibiotics. Cultures were allowed to grow, and aliquots were taken at different time points and assayed for β-galactosidase activity (29). For arabinose induction of strains containing pBAD:*xpoS*, overnight cultures were diluted 1:5,000 into LB broth plus 0.2% glucose with appropriate antibiotics and allowed to grow to early log phase (an optical density at 600 nm [OD₆₀₀] of approximately 0.2). Bacteria were collected by centrifugation and diluted to an OD₆₀₀ of approximately 0.03 to 0.05 in prewarmed LB broth plus 0.2% glucose (noninducing control) or LB broth plus 0.1% arabinose (inducing culture). Samples were taken every hour and assayed for β-galactosidase activity. All experiments were repeated at least three times, and the data from a representative experiment was plotted.

RESULTS

Mouse virulence of *S. dublin rpoS* **mutants.** We constructed the *rpoS* mutants in *S. dublin* Lane and LD842 by allelic exchange, using a suicide vector containing *sacB*. The *sacB* gene enabled us to positively select for the loss of the vector sequences, leaving a *tet* insertion in *rpoS* without other exogeneous DNA sequences introduced at the site of replacement (see Materials and Methods).

Mouse virulence testing was performed with wild-type *S. dublin* Lane (containing virulence plasmid pSDL2), LD842 (plasmid-cured strain), the newly constructed Lane *rpoS*, and LD842 *rpoS*. Approximately 10^3 bacteria per mouse were administered intraperitoneally (four mice in each group). All mice infected with Lane died by 6 days following infection, while the rest of the mice appeared to be healthy until they were sacrificed on the seventh day, and the numbers of viable bacteria in the spleens were determined.

Mice infected with the *rpoS* mutant of *S. dublin* Lane had (mean \pm standard deviation) $1.47 \times 10^4 \pm 0.05 \times 10^4$ bacteria per spleen, indicating markedly attenuated virulence compared with that of the wild-type parent (16). In addition, the *rpoS* mutation further decreased the virulence of the plasmidcured LD842 strain: the bacterial count for LD842 in spleens was $3.25 \times 10^4 \pm 0.04 \times 10^4$, while two mice infected with the LD842 *rpoS* mutant had sterile spleens and the other two had fewer than 6×10^3 bacteria. The effect of the *rpoS* mutation on mouse virulence in the absence of the virulence plasmid was confirmed in an independent experiment. These results suggest that the *rpoS* gene controls not only the essential *spv* operon on the virulence plasmid but also other unidentified chromosomal virulence genes that contribute to the ability of the organism to survive and grow in vivo.

Role of RpoS and SpvR in *spvABCD* **regulation.** The expression of the *spvABCD* genes has been shown to be regulated by RpoS in *S. typhimurium* (8, 20). Since the *spv*-mediated virulence phenotype is more pronounced in *S. dublin* than in *S. typhimurium* (4, 14), we investigated the role of RpoS in *spv* regulation using *S. dublin*. We examined *spv* expression using an *spvA::lacZ* translational fusion in the presence or absence of *spvR* (pSpvA-Z and pSpvA-Z_{mutR}, respectively) in LD842 and the newly constructed *rpoS* null mutant (Fig. 1). In the LD842



FIG. 1. Expression of *spvA::lacZ* fusion in the presence or absence of *spvR* (pSpvA-Z and pSpvA-Z_{mutR}, respectively) in *S. dublin* LD842 *tpoS* and wild-type strains. Symbols: filled circle, LD842(pSpvA-Z); open circle, LD842 *tpoS* (pSpvA-Z); filled triangle, LD842(pSpvA-Z_{mutR}); open triangle, LD842 *tpoS* (pSpvA-Z); filled triangle, LD842 (pSpvA-Z_{mutR}); open triangle, LD842 *tpoS* (pSpvA-Z). Solid lines, β-galactosidase activities; dotted lines, OD₆₀₀. The growth curves of the four strains were similar; thus, the OD₆₀₀ values for only LD842(pSpvA-Z) and LD842 *tpoS*(pSpvA-Z) were plotted. In cultures incubated overnight, expression of pSpvA-Z in LD842 *tpoS* strain eventually reached 5 to 10% of that of LD842, consistent with the results of earlier studies with *S. typhimurium* (8).

containing pSpvA-Z, *spvA* expression was induced during the transition from logarithmic to stationary phase. The stationary phase induction was greatly diminished in strains lacking *rpoS*, *spvR*, or both (Fig. 1). This result confirms that both the RpoS and SpvR proteins are required for the expression of *spvABCD* in *S. dublin*. However, these data do not indicate whether RpoS functions through induction of *spvR* transcription or acts directly at the *spvABCD* promoter.

Constitutive expression of spvR partially bypasses the requirement for RpoS in spvABCD regulation. We sought to examine the relationship between RpoS and SpvR in the regulation of spv expression. We first determined whether constitutive expression of spvR can restore spvA expression in an rpoS mutant. We used the tet promoter of pBR322 to drive the expression of spvR on plasmid ptet::spvR (Table 1). This plasmid was able to fully complement spvA expression in LD842 containing pSpvA- Z_{mutR} (Fig. 2). The level of expression was higher than that of LD842(pSpvA-Z), consistent with overproduction of SpvR. When the same plasmids were tested in the rpoS mutant, strains containing ptet::spvR and one of the spvA::lacZ reporter plasmids expressed higher levels of β-galactosidase activity than those of LD842(pSpvA-Z_{mutR}), LD842 rpoS(pSpvA-Z), and LD842 rpoS(pSpvA-Z_{mutR}) (Fig. 1 and 2). The spvA::lacZ expression in rpoS mutants LD842 rpoS(pSpvA-Z)(ptet::spvR) and LD842 rpoS(pSpvA-Z_{mutR}) (ptet::spvR) increased at a low linear rate throughout the growth curve and did not exhibit a marked growth-phase induction (Fig. 2). The maximal activities of the above strains were approximately 50% of that of LD842(pSpvA-Z) and less than 30% of that of LD842(pSpvA-Z)(ptet::spvR). These experiments demonstrate that overproduction of spvR from an RpoS-independent promoter results in significant but submaximal expression of spvA in the absence of RpoS. This finding suggests that a major role of RpoS in spv gene expression is to regulate the production of SpvR, since the defect in spvA expression in the rpoS mutant is partially due to the lack of sufficient SpvR.

spvR expression is controlled by RpoS. Regulation of the *spvR* promoter was investigated by using the pSpvR-Z plasmid



FIG. 2. Expression of *spvA::lacZ* fusion in *S. dublin rpoS* mutant and wildtype strains carrying plasmid *ptet::spvR*, which overexpresses *spvR* from a *tet* promoter. Symbols: open circle, LD842(pSpvA-Z); open square, LD842 (pSpvA-Z)(*ptet::spvR*); filled square, LD842(pSpvA-Z_{mutR})(*ptet::spvR*); open diamond, LD842 *rpoS*(pSpvA-Z)(*ptet::spvR*); filled diamond, LD842 *rpoS* (pSpvA-Z_{mutR})(*ptet::spvR*).

containing an spvR::lacZ translational fusion. spvR expression increased in the post-exponential-growth phase with both wildtype *S. dublin* Lane and the plasmid-cured LD842 as the host strains, with a difference of less than 25% in the levels of β -galactosidase activity (Fig. 3). This result suggests that intact SpvR protein is not essential for its own expression. On the other hand, the expression of spvR::lacZ in the rpoS mutant was about fourfold lower than that of the wild type in the presence (in *S. dublin* Lane) or absence (in LD842) of a functional copy of spvR (Fig. 3). This suggests that rpoS plays a crucial role in spvR regulation. It is interesting to note that there is a significant level of basal expression of spvR in the absence of rpoS and spvR (Fig. 3).

To test whether RpoS activity can regulate *spvR* expression independent of the growth phase, the arabinose-inducible *ar*-*aBAD* promoter was used to express *rpoS* on plasmid pBAD::



FIG. 3. Expression of *spvR::lacZ* in *rpoS* mutant and wild-type *S. dublin* Lane (containing virulence plasmid pSDL2) or LD842 (cured of virulence plasmid). Symbols: filled circle, Lane(pSpvR-Z); open circle, Lane *rpoS*(pSpvR-Z); filled square, LD842(pSpvR-Z); open square, LD842 *rpoS*(pSpvR-Z). Solid lines, β -galactosidase activities; dotted lines, OD₆₀₀. The growth curves of the four strains were similar; thus, the OD₆₀₀ values for only Lane(pSpvR-Z) and Lane *rpoS*(pSpvR-Z) were plotted.



FIG. 4. Arabinose induction of LD842 *rpoS* strains containing pBAD:*rpoS* and pSpvR-Z. Log-phase cultures were induced at time zero in LB plus 0.1% arabinose or in LB plus 0.2% glucose as a control. Symbols: squares, Lane *rpoS* (pSpvR-Z)(pBAD::*rpoS*); circles, LD842 *rpoS*(pSpvR-Z)(pBAD::*rpoS*); open symbols, LB plus 0.2% glucose; filled symbols, LB plus 0.1% arabinose. Solid lines, β -galactosidase activities; dotted lines, OD₆₀₀. The growth curves of the four strains were similar; thus, the OD₆₀₀ values for only Lane *rpoS*(pSpvR-Z) (pBAD::*rpoS*) in LB plus 0.2% glucose and in LB plus 0.1% arabinose were plotted.

rpoS. When induced with arabinose, the pBAD::*rpoS* plasmid was able to complement *spvR* expression in Lane *rpoS* and LD842 *rpoS* strains containing pSpvR-Z (Fig. 4). However, the induction of *spvR*::*lacZ* was much more rapid in the presence of a wild-type copy of *spvR* on the virulence plasmid (strain Lane *rpoS*). These results suggest that the rapid induction of *spvR* associated with rising levels of RpoS requires a functional SpvR protein in the cell.

We then determined the effect of overproducing *spvR* on *spvR::lacZ* expression (Fig. 5). The level of expression of *spvR*:: *lacZ* in LD842(pSpvR-Z)(*ptet::spvR*) was approximately 15-to 20-fold higher than that in Lane(pSpvR-Z) or LD842 (pSpvR-Z), an effect much more prominent than that observed by using the pSpvA-Z reporter plasmid. When tested in the *rpoS* mutant LD842 *rpoS*(pSpvR-Z)(*ptet::spvR*), the level of *spvR* expression was about three- to fourfold higher than that of LD842 *rpoS*(pSpvR-Z) (Fig. 5) and was similar to that of Lane(pSpvR-Z) (data not shown). These findings show that



FIG. 5. Expression of *spvR::lacZ* fusion in *S. dublin* strains carrying *ptet:: spvR*, which overexpresses *spvR* from a *tet* promoter. Symbols: open circle, LD842 (pSpvR-Z); filled circle, LD842(pSpvR-Z)(*ptet::spvR*); open square, LD842 *rpoS* (pSpvR-Z); filled square, LD842 *rpoS*(pSpvR-Z)(*ptet::spvR*).



FIG. 6. Arabinose induction of *S. dublin* LD842 or LD842 *rpoS* strains containing pBAD::*rpoS* and pSpvA-Z. Log-phase cultures were induced at time zero in LB plus 0.1% arabinose or in LB plus 0.2% glucose as control. Symbols: squares, LD842(pSpvA-Z)(pBAD::*rpoS*); circles, LD842 *rpoS*(pSpvA-Z)(pBAD::*rpoS*); open symbols, LB plus 0.2% glucose; filled symbols, LB plus 0.1% arabinose; solid lines, β-galactosidase activities; dotted lines, OD₆₀₀. The growth curves of the four strains were similar; thus, the OD₆₀₀ values for only LD842 (pSpvA-Z)(pBAD::*rpoS*) in LB plus 0.2% glucose and in LB plus 0.1% arabinose were plotted.

overproduction of SpvR leads to a large increase in *spvR* expression in the presence of RpoS. Without RpoS, SpvR can also increase its own expression but at much lower levels. This result combined with the earlier findings suggest that the presence of *rpoS* is important mainly for the initial induction of *spvR*. Once some SpvR protein is present, it can either efficiently interact with σ^{38} (RpoS)-RNA polymerase for higher levels of *spvR* expression or less efficiently with σ^{70} -RNA polymerase when RpoS is not available.

rpoS induced during growth can activate transcription of *spvA*. In order to determine whether RpoS levels in the cell have a direct regulatory role in *spvA* expression, we used the *rpoS* gene under the control of the arabinose-inducible *ar-aBAD* promoter on pBAD::*rpoS*. When actively growing cells were induced with 0.1% arabinose, both wild-type and *rpoS* strains containing pSpvA-Z and pBAD::*rpoS* plasmids expressed *spvA*::*lacZ* within 2 h after arabinose induction, while *spvA* expression in the control LD842(pSpvA-Z)(pBAD::*rpoS*) culture lagged behind (Fig. 6). This result suggests that stationary-phase or starvation conditions are not required for *spvABCD* expression and that the limiting factor in these actively growing cells is the low level of RpoS activity.

We also tested the effect of pBAD::*rpoS* in strain LD842 *rpoS*(pSpvA- Z_{mutR})(pBAD::*rpoS*), which lacks a functional copy of *spvR*. The expression of *spvA*::*lacZ* was essentially the same as that in LD842*rpoS*(pSpvA- Z_{mutR}), suggesting that RpoS alone was not able to activate the transcription of the *spvABCD* genes in the absence of SpvR (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

In this report, we elucidate the regulatory mechanism of the *Salmonella spv* genes, using constitutive and arabinose-inducible promoters to express *spvR* and *rpoS*, respectively. In this way we were able to examine the effects of SpvR and RpoS independent of the normal growth-phase regulation of *rpoS*. Our results indicate that RpoS is the central regulator of *spv* gene expression. When *rpoS* is expressed from an arabinose-inducible promoter (pBAD::*rpoS*), the *spvA* gene can be induced during active growth (Fig. 6), while *spvR* induction was

observed earlier than that in strains containing the native copy of rpoS (induction was seen at OD_{600} of 0.8 to 1.0 instead of at the normally higher than 1.2 to 1.5) (Fig. 3 and 4). These findings, combined with the earlier observation that stationaryphase induction of spv genes is severely diminished in the rpoS mutant (Fig. 1), indicate that RpoS levels are an important determinant of the previously observed growth-phase regulation of *spv* expression. This agrees with the finding that E. coli and S. typhimurium rpoS genes are regulated by growth-phase and other starvation conditions at the transcriptional and posttranscriptional levels (24, 26, 28, 33). These posttranscriptional controls appear to limit the ability to express RpoS very early in exponential growth. Therefore, the results of arabinose induction of pBAD:: *poS* clearly show that RpoS activity in the cell regulates spvR and spvA, but we cannot rule out the possibility of other putative stationary-phase inducers that might contribute to spv induction.

Role of RpoS in *spv* **gene regulation.** A primary site of action of RpoS is at the *spvR* promoter. In Fig. 3, we showed that RpoS is required for the stationary-phase induction of *spvR*, while the SpvR protein is helpful but not essential. The *rpoS* mutation affects the *spvR::lacZ* expression more severely than the absence of a functional copy of *spvR* [(Fig. 3, compare Lane(pSpvR-Z), Lane *rpoS*(pSpvR-Z), and LD842(pSpvR-Z)]. The extremely high levels of β-galactosidase activity in LD842 (pSpvR-Z)(*ptet::spvR*) compared with the level in LD842*rpoS* (pSpvR-Z)(*ptet::spvR*) again supports the finding that RpoS, in conjunction with SpvR, is more important in *spvR* expression than the SpvR protein by itself (Fig. 5).

A second RpoS site of action is at the spvA promoter. However, at this promoter, SpvR is essential and residual transcription can occur in the absence of RpoS. In Fig. 2, RpoS-independent spvA expression was detected when spvR was expressed from the constitutive tet promoter. Induction of RpoS from the arabinose promoter (pBAD::rpoS) does not lead to significant spvA expression in the absence of SpvR (data not shown). These observations suggest that RpoS alone is unable to induce the spvA promoter, in contrast to the spvRpromoter. The RpoS-independent expression of spvA also suggests that SpvR, when overexpressed, is able to interact with the σ^{70} RNA polymerase. Kowarz and colleagues (20) showed that the spv transcripts can be detected in the rpoS strain containing overexpressed spvR but not in the rpoS mutant without the spvR-overproducing plasmid. The in vitro transcription experiments using purified E. coli RNA polymerase core enzyme and sigma factors (σ^{70} and σ^{38}) also showed a cross-reaction between σ^{70} - and σ^{38} -regulated promoters (34, 45).

These results demonstrating the dual action of *rpoS* clarify several issues raised by earlier studies on spv regulation. Previous results with rpoS mutants in S. typhimurium demonstrated significant residual spv expression in stationary phase (8, 20). From our data, we conclude that the residual expression of spv::lacZ fusions in rpoS mutants measured after prolonged incubation in stationary phase is due to accumulation of the fusion protein resulting from a low level of *rpoS*-independent transcription from the spvA promoter. Another related issue concerns the report that SpvR supplied in trans from a constitutive promoter can restore spvA expression in the rpoS mutant (20). Our data indicate that spvR expressed from a constitutive promoter can only partially suppress the rpoS mutation for spvA expression, since optimal induction of the spvA promoter requires RpoS (Fig. 2). A third issue concerns reports that SpvR is required for its own expression, raising the question of how spvR can be induced in cells containing very low levels of SpvR protein. Figures 3 and 4 show that spvR can

J. BACTERIOL.



FIG. 7. Model of Salmonella spv gene regulation. The thickness of the arrows indicates the importance of each step. The diagram is not drawn to scale.

be induced by increasing levels of RpoS, even in the absence of functional SpvR proteins. Along with data from Fig. 5, we conclude that the stimulatory effect of the SpvR protein for spvR expression is RpoS dependent.

Model of spv gene regulation. We propose the model of spv gene regulation illustrated in Fig. 7. In early-log-phase cells, the level of RpoS activity is low, resulting in little spvR expression and essentially no synthesis of the spvABCD gene products. Increases in the RpoS concentration (controlled by growth-phase or other inducing conditions) lead to increased spvR expression. Positive autoregulation of spvR further augments the SpvR concentration. SpvR and RpoS act in concert at the spvA promoter to rapidly induce expression of the spv-ABCD genes. In this model, SpvR could act to amplify the RpoS regulation. The significant basal expression of spvR in the absence of RpoS and SpvR proteins may be a safety feature for bacteria. Expression of the spv gene is detected within 1 h of Salmonella entry into host cells (9). According to the present model, the initial induction of the spv structural genes can be explained by the interaction of RpoS with already-existing SpvR. Existing SpvR protein can also enhance SpvR synthesis in conjunction with RpoS, resulting in even stronger spv expression.

This model is supported by recent studies showing that SpvR binds to sites in both the *spvR* and *spvA* promoter regions (11a, 21, 27). Mutations that disrupt the SpvR helix-turn-helix motif abolish both DNA binding and *spvA* expression, indicating that the direct interaction of SpvR with the *spvA* promoter is required for transcription. On the basis of the genetic evidence presented here, we propose that RpoS is the preferred sigma factor for RNA polymerase interacting with both the *spvR* and *spvA* promoters together with the SpvR protein. However, we cannot rule out an indirect mechanism of RpoS action through expression of other genes. Since RpoS promoters are defined largely on the basis of genetic data, the biochemical approach by using purified RpoS in vitro has not been generally successful for identifying RpoS-specific transcription initiation sites.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank P. Grob for helpful discussion and critical reading of the manuscript before publication. We are grateful to S. C. Winans for the gift of pKNG101 plasmid and strains.

This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (AI-32463 to F.C.F. and AI-32178 and DK-35108 to D.G.G.), the United States Department of Agriculture (94-01954 to F.C.F. and 93-37204 to D.G.G.), and the Swiss National Science Foundation (32-039 342.93 to M.K.).

REFERENCES

- Abe, A., H. Matsui, H. Danbara, K. Tanaka, H. Takahashi, and K. Kawahara. 1994. Regulation of *spvR* gene expression of *Salmonella* virulence plasmid pKDSC50 in *Salmonella choleraesuis* serovar choleraesuis. Mol. Microbiol. 12:779–787.
- Blomfield, I. C., V. Vaughn, R. F. Rest, and B. I. Eisenstein. 1991. Allelic exchange in *Escherichia coli* using the *Bacillus subtilis sacB* gene and a temperature-sensitive pSC101 replicon. Mol. Microbiol. 5:1447–1457.
- Caldwell, A. L., and P. A. Gulig. 1991. The Salmonella typhimurium virulence plasmid encodes a positive regulator of a plasmid-encoded virulence gene. J. Bacteriol. 173:7176–7185.
- Chikami, G. K., J. Fierer, and D. G. Guiney. 1985. Plasmid-mediated virulence in *Salmonella dublin* demonstrated by use of a Tn5-oriT construct. Infect. Immun. 50:420–424.
- Coynault, C., V. Robbe-Saule, M. Y. Poppoff, and F. Norel. 1992. Growth phase and SpvR regulation of transcription of *Salmonella typhimurium spv-ABC* virulence genes. Microb. Pathog. 13:133–143.
- Elliott, T. 1989. Cloning, genetic characterization, and nucleotide sequence of the *hemA-prfA* operon of *Salmonella typhimurium*. J. Bacteriol. 171:3948– 3960.
- Fang, F. C., M. Krause, C. Roudier, J. Fierer, and D. G. Guiney. 1991. Growth regulation of a *Salmonella* plasmid gene essential for virulence. J. Bacteriol. 173:6783–6789.
- Fang, F. C., S. J. Libby, N. A. Buchmeier, P. C. Loewen, J. Switala, J. Harwood, and D. G. Guiney. 1992. The alternative sigma factor KatF (RpoS) regulates *Salmonella* virulence. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:11978–11982.
- Fierer, J., L. Eckmann, F. Fang, C. Pfeifer, B. B. Finlay, and D. G. Guiney. 1993. Expression of the *Salmonella* virulence plasmid gene *spvB* in cultured macrophages and nonphagocytic cells. Infect. Immun. 61:5231–5236.
- Gay, P., D. Le Coq, M. Steinmetz, E. Ferrari, and J. A. Hoch. 1983. Cloning structural gene sacB, which codes for exoenzyme levansucrase of *Bacillus* subtilis: expression of the gene in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 164:918–921.
- Gentry, D. R., V. J. Hernandez, L. H. Nguyen, D. B. Jensen, and M. Cashel. 1993. Synthesis of the stationary-phase sigma factor σ^S is positively regulated by ppGpp. J. Bacteriol. 175:7982–7989.
- 11a.Grob, P., and D. G. Guiney. Submitted for publication.
- Guiney, D. G., F. C. Fang, M. Krause, and S. Libby. 1994. Plasmid-mediated virulence genes in non-typhoid *Salmonella* serovars. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 124:1–10.
- Gulig, P. A., L. Caldwell, and V. A. Chiodo. 1992. Identification, genetic analysis, and DNA sequence of a 7.8-kilobase virulence region of the *Sal-monella typhimurium* virulence plasmid. Mol. Microbiol. 6:1395–1411.
- Gulig, P. A., and R. Curtiss III. 1987. Plasmid-associated virulence of Salmonella typhimurium. Infect. Immun. 55:2891–2901.
- Gulig, P. A., H. Danbara, D. G. Guiney, A. J. Lax, F. Norel, and M. Rhen. 1993. Molecular analysis of *spv* virulence genes of the *Salmonella* virulence plasmids. Mol. Microbiol. 7:825–830.
- Heffernan, E. J., J. Fierer, G. Chikami, and D. Guiney. 1987. Natural history of oral *Salmonella dublin* infection in BALB/c mice: effect of an 80-kilobasepair plasmid on virulence. J. Infect. Dis. 155:1254–1259.
- Heiskanen, P., S. Taira, and M. Rhen. 1994. Role of *rpoS* in the regulation of *Salmonella* plasmid virulence (*spv*) genes. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 123: 125–130.
- Hershfield, V., H. W. Boyer, C. Yanofsky, M. A. Lovett, and D. R. Helinski. 1974. Plasmid ColE1 as a molecular vehicle for cloning and amplification of DNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 71:3455–3459.
- Kaniga, K., I. Delor, and G. R. Cornelis. 1991. A wide-range suicide vector for improving reverse genetics in Gram-negative bacteria: inactivation of the *blaA* gene of *Yersinia enterocolitica*. Gene 109:137–141.

- Kowarz, L., C. Coynault, V. Robbe-Saule, and F. Norel. 1994. The Salmonella typhimurium katF (rpoS) gene: cloning, nucleotide sequence, and regulation of spvR and spvABCD virulence plasmid genes. J. Bacteriol. 176:6852–6860.
- Krause, M., F. C. Fang, A. El-Gedaily, S. Libby, and D. G. Guiney. Mutational analysis of SpvR-binding to DNA in the regulation of the *Salmonella* plasmid virulence operon. Plasmid, in press.
- Krause, M., F. C. Fang, and D. G. Guiney. 1992. Regulation of plasmid virulence gene expression in *Salmonella dublin* involves an unusual operon structure. J. Bacteriol. 174:4482–4489.
- Krause, M., C. Roudier, J. Fierer, J. Harwood, and D. G. Guiney. 1991. Molecular analysis of the virulence locus of the *Salmonella dublin* plasmid pSDL2. Mol. Microbiol. 5:307–316.
- Lange, R., and R. Hengge-Aronis. 1994. The cellular concentration of the sigma S subunit of RNA polymerase in *Escherichia coli* is controlled at the levels of transcription, translation, and protein stability. Genes Dev. 8:1600– 1612.
- Loewen, P. C., and R. Hengge-Aronis. 1994. The role of the sigma factor σ^S in bacterial global regulation. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 48:53–80.
- Loewen, P. C., I. von Ossowski, J. Switala, and M. R. Mulvey. 1993. KatF (σ^S) synthesis in *Escherichia coli* is subject to posttranscriptional regulation. J. Bacteriol. 175:2150–2153.
- Matsui, H., A. Abe, S. Suzuki, M. Kijima, Y. Tamura, M. Nakamura, K. Kawahara, and H. Danbara. 1993. Molecular mechanism of the regulation of expression of plasmid-encoded mouse bacteremia (*mba*) genes in *Salmonella* serovar choleraesuis. Mol. Gen. Genet. 236:219–226.
- McCann, M. P., C. D. Fraley, and A. Matin. 1993. The putative σ factor KatF is regulated posttranscriptionally during carbon starvation. J. Bacteriol. 175: 2143–2149.
- Miller, J. H. 1972. Experiments in molecular genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Miller, V. L., and J. J. Mekalanos. 1988. A novel suicide vector and its use in construction of insertion mutations: osmoregulation of outer membrane proteins and virulence determinants in *Vibrio cholerae* requires *toxR*. J. Bacteriol. 170:2575–2583.
- Montenegro, M. A., G. Morelli, and R. Helmuth. 1991. Heteroduplex analysis of *Salmonella* virulence plasmids and their prevalence in isolates of defined sources. Microb. Pathog. 11:319–397.
- Mulvey, M. R., and P. C. Loewen. 1989. Nucleotide sequence of *katF* of *Escherichia coli* suggested KatF protein is a novel σ transcription factor. Nucleic Acids Res. 17:9979–9991.
- Mulvey, M. R., J. Switala, A. Borys, and P. C. Loewen. 1990. Regulation of transcription of *katE* and *katF* in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 172:6713– 6720.
- Nguyen, L. H., D. B. Jensen, N. E. Thompson, D. R. Gentry, and R. R. Burgess. 1993. In vitro functional characterization of overproduced Esche-

richia coli katF/rpoS gene product. Biochemistry **32:**11112–11117.

- Norel, F., V. Robbe-Saule, M. Y. Popoff, and C. Coynault. 1992. The putative sigma factor KatF (RpoS) is required for the transcription of the Salmonella typhimurium virulence gene spvB in Escherichia coli. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 78:271–276.
- O'Byrne, C. P., and C. J. Dorman. 1994. The spv virulence operon of Salmonella typhimurium LT2 is regulated negatively by the cyclic AMP (cAMP)cAMP receptor protein system. J. Bacteriol. 176:905–912.
- O'Neal, C. R., W. M. Gabriel, A. K. Turk, S. J. Libby, F. C. Fang, and M. P. Spector. 1994. RpoS is necessary for both the positive and negative regulation of starvation survival genes during phosphate, carbon, and nitrogen starvation in *Salmonella typhimurium*. J. Bacteriol. 176:4610–4616.
- Prince, R. W., Y. Xu, S. J. Libby, and F. C. Fang. 1994. Cloning and sequencing of the gene encoding the RpoS (KatF) sigma factor from Salmonella typhimurium 14028s. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1219:198–200.
- Pullinger, G. D., G. D. Baird, C. M. Williamson, and A. J. Lax. 1989. Nucleotide sequence of a plasmid gene involved in the virulence of salmonellas. Nucleic Acids Res. 17:7983.
- Rhen, M., P. Riikonen, and S. Taira. 1993. Expression of Salmonella typhimurium virulence plasmid genes in cultured macrophages. Infect. Agents Dis. 2:285–287.
- Roudier, C., J. Fierer, and D. G. Guiney. 1992. Characterization of translation termination mutations in the *spv* operon of the *Salmonella dublin* plasmid pSDL2. J. Bacteriol. 174:6418–6423.
- Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Schell, M. A. 1993. Molecular biology of the LysR family of transcriptional regulators. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 47:597–626.
- 44. Spink, J. M., G. D. Pullinger, M. W. Wood, and A. J. Lax. 1994. Regulation of *spvR*, the positive regulatory gene of *Salmonella* plasmid virulence genes. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 116:113–122.
- 45. Tanaka, K., Y. Takayanagi, N. Fujita, A. Ishihama, and H. Yakahashi. 1993. Heterogeneity of the principal sigma factor in *Escherichia coli*: the *rpoS* gene product, sigma 38, is a second principal sigma factor of RNA polymerase in stationary-phase *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **90**:3511–3515.
- Valone, S. E., G. K. Chikami, and V. L. Miller. 1993. Stress induction of the virulence proteins (SpvA, -B, and -C) from native plasmid pSDL2 of *Salmonella dublin*. Infect. Immun. 61:705–713.
- Volkert, M. R., L. I. Hajec, Z. Matijasevic, F. C. Fang, and R. Prince. 1994. Induction of the *Escherichia coli aidB* gene under oxygen-limiting conditions requires a functional *rpoS* (*katF*) gene. J. Bacteriol. **176**:7638–7645.
- requires a functional *rpoS* (*katF*) gene. J. Bacteriol. **176**:7638–7645. **48. Yakobson, E., and D. G. Guiney**. 1984. Conjugal transfer of bacterial chromosomes mediated by the RK2 plasmid transfer origin cloned into transposon Tn5. J. Bacteriol. **160**:451–453.