Recent advances in basic science CLINICAL RELEVANCE OF PROTEINASE ACTIVATED RECEPTORS (PARS) IN THE GUT

N Vergnolle

867

Gut 2005;54:867–874. doi: 10.1136/gut.2004.048876

f all the body systems, the gastrointestinal tract is the most exposed to proteinases. Under physiological conditions, digestive proteinases such as trypsin are released in pancreatic ducts and then into the upper gastroin physiological conditions, digestive proteinases such as trypsin are released in pancreatic proteinases released in the pancreatic duct and intestinal lumen are inactive and need to be cleaved to be activated. This cleavage occurs in the gut lumen where a constant balance between proteolytic activity and the presence of proteinase inhibitors persists in order to fulfil the digestive functions of the gastrointestinal tract, but also at the same time to protect mucosal surfaces from exposure to proteolytic enzymes. The intestinal lumen is also constantly exposed to proteases potentially released from the commensal flora, but also in pathophysiological conditions to proteinases released by infectious agents. Thus the importance of signalling pathways activated by proteinases appears to be particularly relevant for the physiology and pathophysiology of the gastrointestinal tract.

PARS: ACTIVATION AND DISTRIBUTION IN THE GUT

Proteinase activated receptors (PARs) are seven transmembrane domain receptors that are activated by specific proteolytic cleavage of their N terminal extracellular domain. This cleavage releases a new motif of amino acids on the N terminal tail which then binds the receptor like an agonist to induce an intracellular signal (see fig 1). The discovery of this family of receptors highlighted a new way of thinking about the role of proteinases, that cannot be seen merely as degradative enzymes, but that can directly and specifically send signals to cells.

Four members of the PAR family have been described: PAR_1 , PAR_2 , PAR_3 , and PAR_4 . Each of these receptors can be activated by the same proteinases: for example, thrombin can activate PAR₁, PAR₃, and PAR₄, and trypsin can activate PAR₂, PAR₄, and to a lesser extend PAR₁ and PAR₃, or they can be activated by different proteinases: for example, tryptase activates only PAR₂ (for review see Vergnolle¹ and table 1). Selective agonists are pharmacological tools available to study PAR₁, PAR₂, and PAR₄, but no selective agonist for PAR₃ has been described, thus hampering the research on understanding the physiology of this particular receptor. The selective agonists available for PAR_1 , PAR_2 , and PAR_4 are small peptides based on the amino acid sequence of the proteolytically released N terminal tail of the receptor, which acts as a tethered ligand (for review see Vergnolle¹ and table 1).

In the gut, PAR_1 and PAR_2 are expressed on endothelial cells of the lamina propria, on epithelial cells, smooth muscle cells, enteric neurones, fibroblasts, and on some immune cells (neutrophils, mast cells, lymphocytes, monocytes, macrophages). Expression of PAR2 varies in the gastrointestinal tract, with strong expression in the colon and small intestine and weaker detection in the stomach. PAR_3 is expressed in the stomach and small intestine although the cell types that express the receptor still have to be identified. $PAR₄$ is highly expressed in the small intestine and colon where immunoreactive protein was found on epithelial surfaces and submucosa (see table 1).²

ACTIVATION OF PARS AND GUT PHYSIOLOGY

Using PAR activating peptides to selectively activate PAR_1 , PAR_2 , and PAR_4 in gut preparations, different responses have been observed, affecting all aspects of gut physiology (see fig 2 for summary).

Ion transport

Activation of $PAR₁$ and $PAR₂$ has been shown to provoke chloride secretion in tissues or epithelial cells mounted in Ussing chambers. In the case of PAR1, direct activation of the receptor on enterocytes leads to chloride secretion.³ However, in whole tissues, $PAR₁$ activation inhibited neurally evoked chloride secretion suggesting that $PAR₁$ activation on enteric nerves might modulate ion transport across the epithelium. These results highlight the fact that depending on the cells activated in response to the $PAR₁$ agonist, opposite effects may be obtained. For $PAR₂$, its

Correspondence to: Dr N Vergnolle, Mucosal Inflammation Research Group, Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Calgary, 3330 Hospital Drive NW Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2N4N1; nvergnol@ucalgary.ca

Intracellular signal

direct activation on enterocytes mobilises calcium, releases arachidonic acid and prostaglandins,⁴ and provokes chloride secretion. In whole tissues, chloride secretion was observed in response to PAR₂ agonists.^{5 6} However, some discrepancies subsist on the mechanism involved in PAR₂ induced chloride secretion. Results in rat jejunum suggest that nerve activation is not involved but prostaglandin release is a major mediator of PAR₂ induced chloride secretion.⁶ However, in pig ileum and mouse colon, PAR2 induced chloride secretion depends on eicosanoids release and submucosal neurone activation.⁵⁷ These differences may be explained either by different mechanisms existing between species or by differences between the different regions of the gut studied. In contrast with PAR₁, chloride secretion resulting from PAR₂ activation is always observed and does not depend on the cell type where the receptor is activated. In human mucosa, $PAR₂$ agonists have also been shown to provoke chloride secretion by a mechanism independent of neurone activation but involving prostaglandin release.⁸

The functional and clinical implications of regulation of chloride secretion by PARs rely on two aspects. Firstly, stimulation of chloride secretion is associated with fluid transport and results in diarrhoea which constitutes an important clinical symptom of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) or irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). Secondly, one of the functions of increased chloride secretion is to flush away from the mucosal surfaces potential pathogens. In that setting, increased chloride secretion would be protective against infectious diseases of the gut. In both cases, regulation of chloride and ion secretion by PARs has important clinical implications.

Permeability

Recent work has revealed that both PAR_1 and PAR_2 activation lead to a leakage in intestinal barrier functions, increasing the passage of fluids or even microorganisms across the gut mucosa. 910 In the case of PAR₁, this increased intestinal permeability was mediated by direct activation of

www.gutjnl.com

the receptor on enterocytes. This $PAR₁$ induced increased permeability was due to initiation of programmed cell death (apoptosis) at the mucosal surface.10 While there is still a large debate on the question of whether or not apoptosis can induce changes in permeability in vivo, this study showed for the first time that blockade of the apoptotic cascade inhibited PAR₁ induced increased permeability both in vitro and in vivo.10 PAR2 induced increased intestinal permeability was also mediated, at least in part, by activation of the receptor on enterocytes but was not linked to activation of the enteric nervous system.¹¹ Rearrangements of cytoskeletal organisation of the tight junctions between epithelial cells were observed in response to PAR2 agonists, thereby explaining the passage of macromolecules across the epithelial barrier. In vivo, this $PAR₂$ induced increase in intestinal permeability even allowed the passage of bacteria from the gut lumen to peritoneal organs,⁹ which could thus participate in a general inflammatory response.

Motility

 PAR_1 , PAR_2 , and PAR_4 have been shown to be expressed on intestinal smooth muscle.²⁻¹² Both PAR₁ and PAR₂ activation caused contraction of the resting rat and mouse gastric smooth muscle in a prostaglandin dependent manner.¹³ In the mouse gastric fundus, responses to PAR activation were biphasic, showing relaxation that was able to mask a concomitant contractile effect.14 In longitudinal muscles of the colon, as well as in circular muscles, PAR_1 and PAR_2 activation inhibited contractile effects.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ The effects of PAR₄ activation have only been studied in isolated organs to date, and a recent study has shown that PAR₄ agonists produced a concentration dependent contractile effect on longitudinal muscle.2 While the effects of PAR agonists on isolated gut organs resulted in opposite effects, whether or not the organ was precontracted, an in vivo study by Kawabata et al definitively established the resultant effects of $PAR₁$ and PAR₂ agonists on gut motility.¹⁸ In vivo, administration of $PAR₁$ and $PAR₂$ agonists increased gastrointestinal transit¹⁸ thus showing that PAR activation can alter motility patterns. The resultant effect of PAR activation on gut motility might depend on the cellular target that is first reached by PAR agonists. Smooth muscle cells have been shown to be responsive to PAR agonists. However, myenteric neurones have also been shown to be responsive to PAR agonists,^{19 20} and activation of PARs on those fibres might be responsible for motility dysfunctions associated with disease. This is further supported by the fact that most of the contractile responses to PAR agonists were desensitised by neurokinin receptor antagonists, which suggests previous neural activation in response to PAR agonists.² ¹⁷ ²¹

Inflammation

Intraluminal administration of $PAR₁$ or $PAR₂$ agonists into the colon of mice provoked an inflammatory reaction characterised by the formation of submucosal oedema and infiltration of granulocytes.^{9 22} In the case of PAR₁, it was shown that this inflammation was not due to activation of sensory nerves or to their release of neuropeptides.²² However, $PAR₁$ induced colitis was partly due to an increase in intestinal barrier permeability but also to direct activation of B and T lymphocytes,²² thereby implicating PAR_1 in potential gut immune functions. Activation of $PAR₁$ was able to exacerbate chronic inflammation in a mouse model of $IBD.²²$

PAR₂ activation induced colitis in mice was clearly mediated by activation of enteric nerves and subsequent release of neuropeptides: calcitonin gene related peptide (CGRP) receptor antagonists and neurokinin 1 receptor antagonists were able to completely suppress $PAR₂$ agonist induced inflammation.¹¹⁻²³ This result implies that $PAR₂$ activation on enteric neurones provokes the release of neuropeptides such as substance P and CGRP, which in turn provoke an acute inflammatory reaction. However, in the context of chronic gut inflammation, activation of the enteric nervous system is known to have protective effects.²⁴⁻²⁶ This suggests that PAR₂ induced enteric nerve activation could exert anti-inflammatory properties against chronic inflammation. This hypothesis has been confirmed by a study by Fiorucci et al who have shown that systemic treatments with PAR₂ activating peptides significantly reduced trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid induced colitis in mice.²⁷ In that study, the authors suggested that the anti-inflammatory effects of PAR₂ agonist treatments were mediated by CGRP release.²⁷ As mentioned earlier, PAR₂ agonists from the lumen of the colon are also able to increase intestinal barrier permeability, and this effect could, to a certain extent, participate in generation of inflammatory disorders and may counteract the protective effects of enteric nervous system activation in the chronic context of inflammation.

Nerves, epithelial layers, or immune cells are probably not the only targets to explain the proinflammatory effects of $PAR₁$ and $PAR₂$ agonists. Numerous studies have demonstrated the effects of $PAR₁$ and $PAR₂$ agonists on endothelial cell permeability,²⁸⁻²⁹ and PAR activation induced endothelial permeability may also explain, in a large part, oedema formation in response to PAR agonists.

Sensory functions

Because PAR₁ and PAR₂ are expressed and functional on afferent sensory fibres in the gut, 1 a role for these receptors in nociception mechanisms has been suggested. It has been shown that intracolonic administration of subinflammatory doses of PAR₂ agonists (trypsin or selective PAR₂ activating peptide) provoked longlasting hyperalgesia in response to colorectal distension in rats.³⁰ Furthermore, the same intracolonic treatment provoked nociceptor activation at the spinal level in the superficial laminae (laminae I and II) of the dorsal horn, thereby showing that colonic activation of PAR₂ provoked pain.³⁰ Whether this PAR₂ induced pain symptom is due to direct activation of $PAR₂$ on sensory neurones is still debatable. However, studies have shown that PAR₂ agonists evoked transient depolarisation of submucosal neurones, followed by longlasting hyperexcitability of those neurones. 31 Another study has shown that PAR₂ agonists were able to cause increases in jejunal afferent firing and intrajejunal pressure in rats,³² thereby demonstrating a direct effect for PAR₂ activation on intestinal afferent nerves.

In contrast with PAR₂, subinflammatory doses of PAR₁ agonists provoked analgesia when injected into the colon lumen or the peritoneal cavity.^{33 34} The same effect was observed at a somatic level when PAR₁ agonists (thrombin or selective PAR_1 activating peptide) were injected into the rat paw.³⁵ Whether this effect is due to direct activation of $PAR₁$ on enteric afferents has still to be determined.

Proliferation

Most recently, expression and function of PARs in human colon cancer cell lines has been studied. A study by Darmoul

Figure 2 Consequences of protease activated receptor (PAR) activation on gut physiological functions.

et al has shown that PAR2 was expressed in six of 10 colon cancer cell lines tested. Moreover, trypsin and a selective PAR₂ activating peptide induced an important proliferative response in different colon cancer cells.³⁶ PAR₁ expression was found in 11 of 14 colon cancer cell lines tested. Similarly, PAR₁ expression was detected in human colon tumours but was absent in normal human colonic epithelial cells.³⁷ In colon cancer cell lines, PAR₁ was functional, inducing calcium mobilisation and proliferation of cancer cells.³⁷ A recent study indicated that activation of both PAR_1 and PAR_2 could act in synergy to induce migration of transformed cells.³⁸ Taken together, these results suggest that proteases, through activation of PAR_1 and PAR_2 , should be considered as growth factors and potential actors of mitogenic and metastatic events associated with colon cancer.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The effects of PAR agonists on all the aspects of gut physiology (fig 2) strongly suggest an important role for these receptors on gut functions and thereby a potential role in disease associated gut dysfunctions. Defining clearly clinical implications for PARs in gut diseases relies on the use of antagonists or inhibitors that would specifically interact with activation of these receptors. For $PAR₁$ and PAR4, such drugs have recently started to be available and have already raised some answers. However, for PAR₂, such a drug is still not readily available. Several lines of evidence suggest a role for PARs in IBD, IBS, infectious colitis, colon cancer, and fibrosis.

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)

As discussed earlier, acute activation of PAR_1 and PAR_2 in the colon of mice provoked an inflammatory reaction involving different mediators and different target cells. Does that mean that PAR_1 and PAR_2 activation could be implicated in inflammatory diseases of the gut such as Crohn's disease (CD) or ulcerative colitis (UC) (table 2)?

We have recently used $PAR₁$ antagonist treatments in two different mouse models of inflammatory bowel disease. In this study, we showed that blockade of $PAR₁$ activation significantly decreased the mortality rate and severity of inflammatory parameters in mice. Furthermore, we used a genetic approach investigating the effects of colitis induction (by intracolonic administration of trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid or by dextran sodium sulphate treatment) in $PAR₁$ deficient mice. We confirmed that PAR_1 deficiency was beneficial to improve clinical signs of inflammation in those two models of IBD.²² Expression of PAR₁ was found to be upregulated in biopsies from CD or UC patients, further suggesting an important role for $PAR₁$ activation in IBD. Finally, this study highlighted the fact that $PAR₁$ activation on monocytic cells of the lamina propria is most likely responsible for activating the inflammatory/immune response. Taken together, these results imply an important role for $PAR₁$ in the pathogenesis of experimental colitis, supporting the notion that PAR_1 inhibition may be beneficial in the context of IBD. Permeability disorders have been associated clinically with the development of $IBD³⁹$ as $PAR₁$ activation also led to increased permeability.¹⁰ PAR₁ induced increased permeability on enterocytes may also participate in the development of IBD. As thrombin is the most recognised activator of PAR1, and because coagulation disorders and thrombosis have been implicated in the pathogenesis of $IBD₁^{40 41}$ thrombin appears to be a good candidate to activate PAR₁ in IBD. However, cathepsin G, which is largely released by activated neutrophils, can also activate PAR_1 and could account for endogenous $PAR₁$ activation during the course of the disease, thereby amplifying and prolonging the inflammatory response.

While acute PAR₂ activation in the colon of mice induced colitis, two separate studies have shown that this inflammatory reaction involves a neurogenic component.^{11 23} Since in the context of chronic inflammation of the gut, activation of the enteric nervous system is considered to be

871

protective, 11 ²⁵ ²⁶ PAR₂ activation in IBD patients might exert an anti-inflammatory effect. This is further suggested by the study of Fiorucci et al who showed that $PAR₂$ agonist treatment reduced inflammatory parameters in a model of chronic colitis in mice.²⁷ PAR₂ expression was increased in biopsies from UC patients, $27/42$ suggesting a role for this receptor in IBD. Whether this role is protective or proinflammatory still needs to be investigated in depth, specifically using $PAR₂$ deficient mice and/or $PAR₂$ antagonists when they are available. However, the last study demonstrated that PAR₂ was overexpressed in mast cells in tissues from UC patients and that $PAR₂$ activation in human mast cells induced release of tumour necrosis factor (TNF).⁴² Thus it can be hypothesised that activation of $PAR₂$ on mast cells and subsequent release of TNF might be implicated in the pathogenesis of UC. In that setting, blockade of $PAR₂$ could be beneficial for the treatment of IBD. Similar to $PAR₁$, PAR₂ activation on epithelial cells induced increased permeability,⁹ and considering the potential involvement of permeability disorders in IBD,³⁹ PAR₂ activation in this context may also participate in pathogenesis. Taken together, these studies show that pro- or anti-inflammatory effects could be expected in response to $PAR₂$ activation in the gut, depending on the cell where the receptor is activated. Thereby, in the absence of studies using a $PAR₂$ antagonist, it is difficult to predict any clear advantage for PAR₂ related drug in the treatment of IBD. It is interesting to note that an open label pilot study has investigated the effects of a tryptase inhibitor in UC patients, and this study reported beneficial effects for inhibition of tryptase. As tryptase exerts some of its effects through activation of PAR₂, this could point to a proinflammatory role for PAR2 activation in IBD. Another enzyme that might be responsible for activation of $PAR₂$ in the colon is trypsin, the activity of which has been shown to be increased in the tissues and lumen of IBD patients.43–45 Better knowledge of the endogenous enzymes that are responsible for PAR activation could contribute to the development of selective protease inhibitors as new therapeutic options for IBD.

Infectious colitis

A recent study has shown that $PAR₁$ agonist induced chloride secretion was altered after nematode infection thereby suggesting that PAR_1 might be implicated in infectious intestinal diseases.⁴⁶ Another fact that supports this hypothesis comes from the observation that proteinases from pathogens could activate PARs. Gingipains, which are arginine specific proteinases released by the buccal pathogen Porphyromonas gingivalis, can activate PAR_1 , PAR_2 , and PAR_4 .⁴⁷ Although it has never been shown for intestinal pathogens, but because of the strong expression of PARs on gut epithelial mucosa, it is reasonable to think that these receptors could be activated by pathogen proteinases in the gut. Considering the rather ''inefficient'' mechanism of activation of PARs (these receptors are one shot receptors, activated only once by proteolytic cleavage of their N terminus and then internalised for degradation—reviewed by Ossovskaya and Bunnett⁴⁸) they can be viewed as a first line of defence against pathogen infection. Their activation by proteases released from pathogens would be part of the innate immune response, organising an immediate inflammatory reaction which would aim at fighting the infection. The fact that $PAR₂$ induced colitis is mediated by a neurogenic mechanism supports this hypothesis because infectious colitis is known to involve enteric nerve activation and release of neuropeptides.⁴⁹ If PARs are truly activated on gut infection by intestinal pathogens, blockade of PAR activation in the gut could be beneficial in counteracting disproportionate inflammatory responses to pathogens. However, we need to consider the possibility that PAR activation at mucosal surfaces could be a surveillance mechanism for pathogen infection, and its blockade would lower the capacity of the body's response to infection, thereby rendering the patient more susceptible to infectious diseases.

Irritable bowel syndrome

Several aspects of gut physiology are involved in the generation of IBS symptoms: altered motility patterns, inflammatory mediator release, loss of intestinal barrier integrity, ion transport dysfunctions, altered nociceptive functions, and even psychological factors (for review see Mayer and Collins⁵⁰). With the exception of the latter, PAR activation has been shown to be able to modify all of these functions (table 3).

As previously discussed, several studies have reported the effects of PAR agonists on motor functions of the gastrointestinal tract, suggesting that PAR activation could participate in motor dysfunctions associated with IBS symptoms.

The putative role for microinflammation in tissues of IBS patients has been largely discussed and is supported by numerous studies.⁵¹ As both PAR₁ and PAR₂ activation have been shown to provoke an inflammatory reaction that was rather discrete compared with inflammatory models of IBD, and that did not last longer than 48 hours, 9^{22} this bout of inflammation might serve as a basis for generation of IBS symptoms. Development of IBS is often associated with a previous history of infectious colitis.49 51–53 The potential role for PAR₁ and/or PAR₂ activation as initial inflammatory events for the development of IBS symptoms is even more supported by the fact that PARs can be activated by pathogen proteinases.

Loss of intestinal barrier integrity has been associated with IBS in child and adult patients.53 54 This suggests that intestinal barrier dysfunction may play a role in the generation of IBS symptoms. As both PAR_1 and PAR_2 activation

provoked an increase in intestinal barrier permeability, here again this could serve as a basis for generation of IBS symptoms.

IBS is often associated with either diarrhoea or constipation. Electrolyte transport across the intestinal barrier regulates water movements in the intestinal lumen, thereby participating in the generation of diarrhoea symptoms. Both PAR₁ and PAR₂ activation modify chloride secretion,³⁶ suggesting that both receptors could be implicated in secretory dysfunctions associated with IBS. However, in contrast with $PAR₂$, $PAR₁$ activation in whole intestinal tissues was shown to inhibit neurally evoked chloride secretion, indicating a differential role for $PAR₁$ activation depending on the cells that are activated. Thus in IBS, PAR₂, but not necessarily PAR₁, may participate in generation of diarrhoeal symptoms.

The stronger evidence for the role of PARs in generation of IBS symptoms relies on the properties of PAR activation on visceral nociceptive functions. Both cellular and animal model approaches have shown that PAR₂ activation provoked longlasting visceral hypersensitivity and hyperexcitability of enteric neurones, $30-32$ suggesting an important role for $PAR₂$ activation in visceral hypersensitivity states. The consequences of PAR₂ activation coincide with all of the pathophysiological factors associated with generation of IBS symptoms (altered motility, microinflammation, increased permeability, increased chloride secretion, pain symptoms), strongly suggesting $PAR₂$ activation in IBS. Although $PAR₁$ activation altered motility and intestinal permeability and can induce release of inflammatory mediators, $PAR₁$ activation also provoked analgesia, suggesting an inhibitory role for $PAR₁$ in pain symptoms associated with IBS. These conflicting results on the role of PAR_1 may be explained by the different cellular targets involved in each function.

Here again the question of the endogenous protease responsible for PAR activation in the setting of IBS is crucial. Tryptase appears as an obvious candidate for activation of PAR₂ considering the involvement of mast cells in IBS. Recently, a study by Barbara et al has shown that in IBS patients, the distance between mast cells and enteric nerves was significantly reduced compared with control patients.

Colon cancer

Proteinases in general, and trypsin-like proteinases in particular, are extremely abundant in the vicinity of tumours. Moreover, most of the colon cancer cell lines express both PAR₁ and PAR₂, activation of which is known to induce proliferation in those cells.³⁶ ³⁷ Thus it is reasonable to believe that PAR_1 and PAR_2 can be activated on transformed epithelial cells during colon cancer, and that this activation might participate in the proliferation of cancer cells (table 4). In the case of PAR₁, because thrombin and selective PAR₁ activating peptide led to a twofold increase in cell motility of wounded colon carcinoma cells, 37 this suggests further that $PAR₁$ activation could be involved in metastatic events. In that setting, inhibition of PAR_1 and/or PAR_2 activation would have beneficial effects against tumour progression in colon cancer. Whether or not PAR activation participates in proliferation and metastasis in animal models of colon cancer has still to be investigated to provide clear answers on the role of PARs in colon cancer. Procoagulant activity and platelet activation have been shown to enhance the ability of tumour cells to spread via the circulation, and colonise other organs inducing metastasis.⁵⁵ Because PAR₁, PAR₃, and PAR₄ are all implicated in thrombin signalling to platelets, a recent study using PAR deficient mice has investigated their role and the role of platelets in the formation of lung metastases after injection of melanoma cells.⁵⁵ The authors reported that PAR₁ and PAR₂ deficient mice were not protected against metastasis. However, PAR₄ deficiency conferred some protection against metastasis in this model. Similar studies would be necessary in a model of colon cancer to definitively conclude on the potential effects of PARs on proliferation and metastasis mechanisms.

Fibrosis

The presence of activated mast cells in the gut correlates with fibrotic disorders such as collagenous colitis, intestinal strictures observed in CD, or radiation induced fibrosis. As tryptase, the major protein present in mucosal mast cell granules and released on mast cell activation, can signal through PAR₂, it is likely that PAR₂ is activated in fibrotic disorders of the gut. One study performed with mucosal biopsies collected from cystic fibrosis patients supports this hypothesis. In that study, the authors observed that cystic fibrosis tissues were no longer able to activate chloride secretion in response to PAR₂ stimulation, suggesting that the receptor had been desensitised.⁸ Activation of $PAR₂$ has been shown to reproduce proliferative effects of tryptase on lung fibroblasts and myocytes, thereby suggesting an important role for this receptor in lung fibrosis. Given the strong resemblance between lung and gut mucosal physiology, a role for PAR₂, which has been shown to be present on

872

enteric fibroblasts and smooth muscle cells, could also be suggested in gut fibrotic disorders.

Fibrotic disorders are also associated with excessive deposition of extracellular matrix proteins and ongoing coagulation cascade activity. Proteinases of the coagulation cascade such as thrombin, factor VIIa, and factor Xa can activate the two thrombin receptors PAR_1 and PAR_4 . Activation of PAR_1 by thrombin and factor Xa has been shown to stimulate expression of connective tissue growth factor in fibroblasts.⁵⁶ Moreover, in a model of radiation induced fibrosis in the gut, PAR₁ was overexpressed in smooth muscle cells and its expression correlated with fibrotic damage.⁵⁷

Taken together, these experimental approaches suggest a role for $PAR₁$ and $PAR₂$ in fibrotic disorders associated with gut pathologies such as radiation injuries, collagenous colitis, or stricture formation observed in IBD. However, such a role for PARs in gut fibrosis has still to be delineated and clearly established by investigating the consequences on gut fibrosis of receptor blockade.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

There have been substantial advances in our understanding of the role of proteinases as signalling molecules to cells. Particularly in the gut, which is the organ most exposed to proteinases, it appears that proteinases, through activation of PARs, can interfere with most of the physiological functions of the gut. PAR activation can participate in inflammatory reactions, be protective to mucosal surfaces, send or inhibit nociceptive messages, modify gut motility or secretory functions, and stimulate cell proliferation and motility. It is still difficult to clearly define the role of PARs in human gut diseases, mostly because of the lack of pharmacological tools to efficiently block in vivo activation of these receptors. However, studies that combined in vitro and in vivo approaches using pharmacological and genetic tools clearly pointed to a role for PAR_1 in IBD. Strong evidence also supports a role for PAR₂ in symptoms associated with IBS. In vivo approaches are still needed to fully investigate the role of PARs in fibrosis, colon cancer, or even infectious colitis.

Another important aspect that needs to be investigated to propose a therapeutic approach involving PAR related drugs would be to define which proteases are responsible for PAR activation in the setting of a particular disease.

In conclusion, it appears that recent advances in basic science point to a crucial role for proteinases and their receptors in gut functions. We need to consider proteinases not only as digestive or degradative enzymes in the gut, but as signalling molecules that actively participate in several clinical symptoms of gut diseases.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the Crohn's and Colitis Foundation of Canada, by the Canadian Association of Gastroenterology, and by the Canadian Institute for Health Research. Nathalie Vergnolle is an Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research Scholar and a Canadian Institute for Health Research New Investigator.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

REFERENCES

- 1 Vergnolle N. Modulation of visceral pain and inflammation by proteaseactivated receptors. Br J Pharmacol 2004;141:1264–74.
- 2 Mule F, Pizzuti R, Capparelli A, et al. Evidence for the presence of functional protease activated receptor 4 (PAR(4)) in the rat colon. Gut 2004;53:229–34.
- 3 Buresi MC, Schleihauf E, Vergnolle N, et al. Protease-activated receptor-1 stimulates Ca(2+)-dependent Cl(-) secretion in human intestinal epithelial cells. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2001;281:G323–32.
- 4 Kong W, McConalogue K, Khitin L, et al. Luminal trypsin may regulate enterocytes through proteinase-activated receptor-2. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1997;94:8884–9.
- 5 Green BT, Bunnett NW, Brown DR. Type 2 protease-activated receptor (PAR-2) in porcine ileal mucosa. Neuroregulation of active ion transport and modulation by d-opiod receptors. FASEB J 1999;13:A733.
- 6 Vergnolle N, Macnaughton WK, Al-Ani B, et al. Proteinase-activated receptor 2 (PAR2)-activating peptides: identification of a receptor distinct from PAR2 that regulates intestinal transport. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1998;95:7766–71S.
- 7 Cuffe JE, Bertog M, Velazquez-Rocha S, et al. Basolateral PAR-2 receptors mediate KCl secretion and inhibition of Na(+) absorption in the mouse distal colon. J Physiol 2002;539:209–22.
- 8 **Mall M**, Gonska T, Thomas J, et al. Activation of ion secretion via proteinase activated receptor-2 in human colon. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2002;282:G200–10.
- 9 Cenac N, Coelho A, Nguyen C, et al. Induction of intestinal inflammation in mouse by activation of proteinase-activated receptor-2. Am J Pathol 2002;161:1903–15.
- 10 Chin AC, Vergnolle N, Macnaughton WK, et al. Proteinase-activated receptor-1 induces apoptosis and increases intestinal permeability. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003;100:11104–9.
- 11 Cenac N, Garcia-Villar R, Ferrier L, et al. Proteinase-activated receptor-2 induced colonic inflammation in mice: possible involvement of afferent neurons, nitric oxide, and paracellular permeability. J Immunol 2003;170:4296–300.
- 12 Vergnolle N. Review article: proteinase-activated receptors-novel signals for
- gastrointestinal pathophysiology. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2000;14:257–66. 13 Saifeddine M, Al-Ani B, Cheng C, et al. Rat proteinase-activated receptor-2 (PAR-2): cDNA sequence and activity of receptor-derived peptides and in
- gastric and vascular tissues. Br J Pharmacol 1996;118:521-30. 14 Cocks TM, Sozzi V, Moffatt JD, et al. Protease-activated receptors mediate apamin-sensitive relaxation of mouse and guinea pig gastrointestinal smooth muscle. Gastroenterology 1999;116:586–92.
- 15 Corvera CU, Dery O, McConalogue K, et al. Mast cell tryptase regulates rat colonic myocytes through proteinase- activated receptor 2. *J Clin Invest*
1997;**100**:1383–93.
- 16 Mule F, Baffi MC, Cerra MC. Dual effect mediated by protease-activated receptors on the mechanical activity of rat colon. Br J Pharmacol 2002;136:367–74.
- 17 Mule F, Baffi MC, Falzone M, et al. Signal transduction pathways involved in the mechanical responses to protease-activated receptors in rat colon.
J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2002;**303**:1265–72.
- Kawabata A, Kuroda R, Nagata N, et al. In vivo evidence that proteaseactivated receptors 1 and 2 modulate gastrointestinal transit in the mouse. Br J Pharmacol 2001;133:1213–18.
- 19 Gao CY, Liu SM, Hu HZ, et al. Serine proteases excite myenteric neurons through protease-activated receptors in guinea pig small intestine. Gastroenterology 2002;123:1554-64.
- 20 Linden DR, Manning BP, Bunnett NW, et al. Agonists of proteinase-activated receptor 2 excite guinea pig ileal myenteric neurons. *Eur J Pharmacol*
2001;**431**:311–14.
- 21 **Zhao A**, Shea-Donohue T. PAR-2 agonists induce contraction of murine small intestine through neurokinin receptors. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2003;285:G696–703.
- 22 Vergnolle N, Cellars L, Mencarelli A, et al. Proteinase-activated receptor-1: a role in inflammatory bowel diseases. J Clin Invest 2004;114:1444–56.
- 23 Nguyen C, Coelho A, Grady EF, et al. Proteinase-activated receptor-2induced colitis is mediated by a neurogenic mechanism. Can J Physiol Pharmacol 2003;81:920–7.
- 24 Collins SM. Altered neuromuscular function in the inflamed bowel. In: Kirsner JB, ed. Inflammatory bowel diasease. Philadelphia: Saunders WB, 2000.
- 25 Mazelin L, Theodorou V, Fioramonti J, et al. Vagally dependent protective action of calcitonin gene-related peptide on colitis. Peptides 1999;20:1367–74.
- 26 McCafferty DM, Wallace JL, Sharkey KA. Effects of chemical sympathectomy and sensory nerve ablation on experimental colitis in the rat. Am J Physiol 1997;272:G272–80.
- 27 Fiorucci S, Mencarelli A, Palazzetti B, et al. Proteinase-activated receptor 2 is an anti-inflammatory signal for colonic lamina propria lymphocytes in a
mouse model of colitis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2*001;**98**:13936–41.
- 28 Klarenbach SW, Chipiuk A, Nelson RC, et al. Differential actions of PAR2 and PAR1 in stimulating human endothelial cell exocytosis and permeability: the role of Rho-GTPases. Circ Res 2003;92:272–8.
- 29 Vouret-Craviari V, Grall D, Van Obberghen-Schilling E. Modulation of Rho GTPase activity in endothelial cells by selective proteinase-activated receptor (PAR) agonists. J Thromb Haemost 2003;1:1103–11.
- 30 Coelho AM, Vergnolle N, Guiard B, et al. Proteinases and proteinaseactivated receptor 2: a possible role to promote visceral hyperalgesia in rats.
Gastroenterology 2002;**122**:1035–47.
- 31 Reed DE, Barajas-Lopez C, Cottrell G, et al. Mast cell tryptase and proteinaseactivated receptor 2 induce hyperexcitability of guinea pig submucosal neurons. J Physiol 2003;547:531–42.
- 32 Kirkup AJ, Jiang W, Bunnett NW, et al. Stimulation of proteinase-activated receptor 2 excites jejunal afferent nerves in anaesthetised rats. J Physiol 2003;552:589–601.
- 33 Coelho A, Bunnett NW. Intestinal activation of proteinase-activated receptor-1 (PAR1) reduces visceral nociception associated to rectal distension (RD) in rats.
- Gastroenterology 2003;124:A1. 34 Vergnolle N, Cellars L, Chapman K. Proteinase-activated receptor-1 agonists
- attenuate visceral pain. *Gastroenterology* 2003;**124**:A252.
35 **Asfaha S**, Brussee V, Chapman K, *et al*. Proteinase-activated receptor-1 agonists attenuate nociception in response to noxious stimuli. Br J Pharmacol 2002;135:1101–6.
- 36 Darmoul D, Marie JC, Devaud H, et al. Initiation of human colon cancer cell proliferation by trypsin acting at protease-activated receptor-2. *Br J Cancer*
2001;**85**:772–9.

874

- 37 Darmoul D, Gratio V, Devaud H, et al. Aberrant expression and activation of the thrombin receptor protease-activated receptor-1 induces cell proliferation and motility in human colon cancer cells. Am J Pathol 2003;162:1503–13.
- 38 Shi X, Gangadharan B, Brass LF, et al. Protease-activated receptors (PAR1 and PAR2) contribute to tumor cell motility and metastasis. Mol Cancer Res 2004;2:395–402.
- 39 Danese S, Sans M, Fiocchi C. Inflammatory bowel disease: the role of environmental factors. Autoimmun Rev 2004;3:394–400.
- 40 Wakefield AJ, Sawyerr AM, Dhillon AP, et al. Pathogenesis of Crohn's disease: multifocal gastrointestinal infarction. Lancet 1989;2:1057–62.
- 41 Thompson NP, Wakefield AJ, Pounder RE. Inherited disorders of coagulation appear to protect against inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology*
1995;**108**:1011–15.
- 42 Kim JA, Choi SC, Yun KJ, et al. Expression of protease-activated receptor 2 in ulcerative colitis. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2003;9:224–9.
- 43 Marchbank T, Chinery R, Handy A, et al. Distribution and expression of pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor and its possible role in epithelial restitution. Am J Pathol 1996;148:715–22.
- 44 Marchbank T, Freeman TC, Playford RJ. Human pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor. Distribution, actions and possible role in mucosal integrity and
- repair. *Digestion* 1998;**59**:167–74.
45 **Playford RJ**, Hanby AM, Patel K, *et al*. Influence of inflammatory bowel disease on the distribution and concentration of pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor within the colon. Am J Pathol 1995;146:310–16.
- 46 Fernandez M, Auyeung KJ, Madden K, et al. Nematode infection alters protease-activated receptor (PAR)-induced epithelial cell responses in murine small intestine. Gastroenterology 2003;124:A-83.
- 47 Lourbakos A, Potempa J, Travis J, et al. Arginine-specific protease from Porphyromonas gingivalis activates protease-activated receptors on human
oral epithelial cells and induces interleukin-6 secretion. *Infect Immun* 2001;69:5121–30.
- 48 Ossovskaya VS, Bunnett NW. Protease-activated receptors: contribution to physiology and disease. Physiol Rev 2003;84:579–621.
- 49 Spiller RC. Role of nerves in enteric infection. Gut 2002;51:759–62. 50 Mayer EA, Collins SM. Evolving pathophysiologic models of functional gastrointestinal disorders. Gastroenterology 2002;122:2032–48.
- 51 Collins SM, Piche T, Rampal P. The putative role of inflammation in the irritable bowel syndrome. Gut 2001;49:743–5.
- 52 Collins SM. Peripheral mechanisms of symptom generation in irritable bowel syndrome. Can J Gastroenterol 2001;15(suppl B):14-6B.
- Spiller RC, Jenkins D, Thornley JP, et al. Increased rectal mucosal enteroendocrine cells, T lymphocytes, and increased gut permeabilit following acute Campylobacter enteritis and in post-dysenteric irritable bowel syndrome. Gut 2000;47:804–11.
- 54 Barau E, Dupont C. Modifications of intestinal permeability during food provocation procedures in pediatric irritable bowel syndrome. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 1990;11:72–7.
- 55 Camerer E, Qazi AA, Duong DN, et al. Platelets, protease-activated receptors, and fibrinogen in hematogenous metastasis. *Blood*
2004;**104**:397–401.
- 56 Chambers RC, Leoni P, Blanc-Brude OP, et al. Thrombin is a potent inducer of connective tissue growth factor production via proteolytic activation of protease-activated receptor-1. J Biol Chem 2000;275:35584–91.
- 57 Wang J, Zheng H, Ou X, et al. Deficiency of microvascular thrombomodulin and up-regulation of protease-activated receptor-1 in irradiated rat intestine: possible link between endothelial dysfunction and chronic radiation fibrosis. Am J Pathol 2002;160:2063–72.