## Coexpression of the Long and Short Forms of CheA, the Chemotaxis Histidine Kinase, by Members of the Family *Enterobacteriaceae*

BARRY P. MCNAMARA AND ALAN J. WOLFE\*

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Stritch School of Medicine, Loyola University Chicago, Maywood, Illinois

Received 4 October 1996/Accepted 13 December 1996

CheA is the histidine protein kinase of a two-component signal transduction system required for bacterial chemotaxis. Motile cells of the enteric species *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium* synthesize two forms of CheA by utilizing in-frame initiation sites within the gene *cheA*. The full-length protein,  $CheA_L$ , plays an essential role in the chemotactic signaling pathway. In contrast, the function of the short form,  $CheA_S$ , remains elusive. Although  $CheA_S$  lacks the histidine residue that becomes phosphorylated in  $CheA_L$ , it exhibits both kinase activity and the ability to interact with and enhance the activity of CheZ, a chemotaxis protein that accelerates dephosphorylation of the two-component response regulator CheY. To determine whether other members of the family *Enterobacteriaceae* express  $CheA_S$  and CheZ, we analyzed immunoblots of proteins from clinical isolates of a variety of enteric species. All motile, chemotactic isolates that we tested coexpressed  $CheA_L$ , CheA<sub>S</sub>, and CheZ but not  $CheA_S$ . We also analyzed nucleotide sequences of the *cheA* loci from isolates of *Serratia marcescens* and *Enterobacter cloacae*, demonstrating the presence of in-frame translation initiation sites similar to those observed in the *cheA* loci of *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium*. Since coexpression of  $CheA_S$  may play an important role in chemotactic responses in some environmental niches encountered by enteric species.

The chemotaxis cheA gene of Escherichia coli and Salmo*nella typhimurium* encodes two proteins, CheA<sub>L</sub> and CheA<sub>S</sub>, which are translated in phase from two different initiation sites (17, 36, 38). Thus, CheAs is identical in primary sequence to CheA<sub>1</sub>, except that it lacks the amino-terminal region containing the site of phosphorylation. CheA<sub>I</sub>, the sensor in this two-component signal transduction pathway, is found in vitro as a dimer (10). It phosphorylates itself on a histidine residue located near its amino terminus (15). Phosphorylated CheA<sub>L</sub>, in turn, donates its phosphate to the response regulators CheY, required for clockwise signal generation, and CheB, required for adaptation (reviewed in references 15, 19, and 40). CheA<sub>L</sub> homodimers form ternary complexes with CheW and chemoreceptors (11, 18). Within these complexes, CheA<sub>L</sub> autokinase activity is significantly accelerated relative to that of noncomplexed CheA<sub>L</sub> homodimers. Binding of ligand to the chemoreceptors within these ternary complexes greatly diminishes CheA<sub>L</sub> autophosphorylation (3, 4, 28). Although CheA<sub>s</sub> lacks the histidine residue that is phosphorylated, it retains the domains of CheA<sub>L</sub> that are required for kinase activity, ternary complex formation, and phosphotransfer to CheY and CheB (6, 16, 17, 27, 42). Evidence indicates that these domains function in CheAs. In vitro, CheAs mediates receptor-modulated transphosphorylation of mutant  $CheA_L$  proteins deficient in kinase activity or lacking carboxy-terminal segments required for ternary complex formation (41, 45, 46). In vivo, CheAs restores chemotactic ability to cells that express either kinasedeficient or truncated CheA<sub>L</sub> mutant proteins (45, 46). In addition to these interactions, CheAs binds to and enhances

the activity of CheZ, a protein that accelerates CheY dephosphorylation (21, 22, 43).

**Rationale.** Despite the evidence that  $CheA_S$  can perform numerous chemotaxis-associated activities, its specific role in chemotaxis remains unknown. Clearly, it is not essential for chemotaxis: cells of *E. coli* do not require  $CheA_S$  to perform chemotaxis under standard laboratory conditions (33). Yet, these cells and those of the related enteric species *S. typhimurium* express  $CheA_S$  at levels approximating those of  $CheA_L$  (33, 43). Since cells of the nonenteric species tested thus far express only  $CheA_L$  (9, 31), we suspected that coexpression of  $CheA_L$  and  $CheA_S$  might be a unique characteristic of the family *Enterobacteriaceae*. To test this hypothesis, we performed a survey using immunoblot analysis to identify which family members coexpress  $CheA_L$  and  $CheA_S$  and, due to the proposed  $CheA_S/CheZ$  relationship, extended this survey to include CheZ.

Immunoblot analysis of CheA expression in enteric bacteria. We generated affinity-purified polyclonal rabbit antisera raised against purified E. coli CheA by standard procedures (14). To demonstrate the specificity of this antibody, we grew motile cells of E. coli to mid-exponential phase (optical density at 600 nm  $[OD_{600}] = \sim 0.3$ ) before harvesting them for immunoblot analysis (14). Wild-type cells of *E. coli* (strains K-12 and ATCC 25922, both obtained from the American Type Culture Collection, and RP437 [30]) yielded three prominent immunoreactive proteins (Fig. 1A). In each case, the two larger proteins corresponded to  $CheA_{I}$  (apparent molecular mass =  $\sim$ 73 to 74 kDa) and CheA<sub>s</sub> (apparent molecular mass =  $\sim$ 62 to 64 kDa). In contrast, the smallest protein (apparent molecular mass =  $\sim 49$  kDa) was not derived from *cheA*, since cells from which cheA (strain AJW1071 [46]) was deleted synthesized neither CheA<sub>L</sub> nor CheA<sub>S</sub> yet expressed this cross-reactive protein. Cells that possess the cheA169Y(Am) allele (strain

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Stritch School of Medicine, Loyola University Chicago, Maguire Building, 2160 S. First Ave., Maywood, IL 60153. Phone: (708) 216-5814. Fax: (708) 216-9574. E-mail: awolfe@luc.edu.



FIG. 1. Immunoblot analysis with *E. coli* CheA antibody after sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (10% polyacrylamide). (A) Lysates were prepared from *E. coli* (aboratory strains (K-12, RP437, RP1515, AJWS36, and AJW1071) and a human clinical isolate of *E. coli* (ATCC 25922). Cells were grown with aeration in tryptone borth (TB) (1% [wt/vol] tryptone, 0.5% [wt/vol] NaCl) at 31°C to an optical density at 600 nm of ~0.3 before being harvested. (B) Lysates were prepared from wild-type or *AcheZ* laboratory strains of *E. coli* (RP437 and RP1616, respectively [18]), from the wild-type laboratory *S. trybinurium* strain LT-2 (kindly provided by K. Hughes, University of Washington, Seattle), and from motile, chemotactic clinical isolates of *S. typhimurium*, *S. marcescens, E. cloacae*, or *C. freundii*. The first isolate of *C. freundii* was motile but nonchemotactic. Cells were grown as described for panel A. (C) Lysates were prepared from an *E. coli* strain from which *cheA* was deleted and one with wild-type *cheA* (laboratory strains AJW1071 and RP437, respectively), from plant pathogens of the genus *Erwinia* or from clinical isolates of *s. typhimurium*, *s. marcescens*, *E. cloacae*, or *C. freundii*. Soft were grown on Luria-Bertani (TB supplemented with 0.5% [wt/vol] yeast extract) agar medium at 37°C until they covered most of the agar surface (2). Cells of *Erwinia* spp. were grown as described for panel A, except that PCG medium (1% [wt/vol] peptone, 0.1% [wt/vol] Casamino Acids, 0.2% [vol/vol] p-glucose) was substituted for TB. In panels B and C, note the presence of two cross-reactive proteins: one, which migrates slightly faster than CheAs, elicits a rather faint signal; a second, which possesses an apparent molecular mass of ~50 kDa, elicits a relatively strong signal. In each panel, the arrows at the left of the blot point to the positions of *E. coli* CheA<sub>L</sub> and CheAs, proteins and at the right of the blot the molecular mass standards are labeled in kilodaltons.

RP1515 [36]) cannot synthesize  $CheA_L$  because of a UAG termination codon located between the  $CheA_L$  and  $CheA_S$  translation initiation sites. They synthesized the 62-kDa CheA protein but not the 73-kDa protein. In contrast, cells that possess the *cheA98ML* allele (strain AJW536 [23]) cannot synthesize CheA<sub>S</sub> due to a mutation that interrupts the CheA<sub>S</sub>

translation initiation site. They synthesized the 73-kDa CheA protein but not the 62-kDa protein. Whereas the inability to complete  $CheA_L$  translation resulted in reduced steady state levels of  $CheA_S$ , the inability to express  $CheA_S$  exerted no effect upon the levels of  $CheA_L$ .

To determine whether other members of the family Entero-



FIG. 2. Relatedness among the family Enterobacteriaceae (7). All genera are associated with the GI tract, except where noted.

*bacteriaceae* synthesize both  $CheA_L$  and  $CheA_S$ , we performed immunoblot analyses on lysates from a variety of primary clinical isolates of enteric species (kindly provided by Roberta Carey, Clinical Microbiology Laboratory, Loyola University Chicago Hospital). Cells of chemotactic clinical isolates of *S. typhimurium, Serratia marcescens, Enterobacter cloacae*, and *Citrobacter freundii* synthesized two prominent immunoreactive proteins similar in size to *E. coli*  $CheA_L$  and  $CheA_S$ . One motile, but nonchemotactic, isolate of *C. freundii* synthesized only  $CheA_S$  (Fig. 1B). Chemotactic isolates of *Enterobacter aerogenes, Morganella morganii* (data not shown), and *Yersinia enterocolitica* (25) also synthesized both CheA proteins. Isolates of the nonmotile species *Klebsiella oxytoca, K. pneumoniae, Shigella flexneri* (data not shown), and *Yersinia pestis* (25) synthesized neither CheA protein.

As in *E. coli*, the two CheA proteins varied in size among isolates of the same species. Interestingly, the difference in the apparent sizes of the CheA<sub>L</sub> and CheA<sub>S</sub> proteins synthesized by *E. coli* (~9 kDa), *E. cloacae* (~8 kDa), and *C. freundii* (~7 kDa) strains was greater than the difference in apparent sizes of the CheA<sub>L</sub> and CheA<sub>S</sub> proteins synthesized by *S. typhimurium* (~5.5 kDa) and *S. marcescens* (~5.5 kDa).

To determine whether coexpression of CheA<sub>L</sub> and CheA<sub>S</sub> is characteristic of the entire family Enterobacteriaceae or only of those species that inhabit the lower gastrointestinal (GI) tract of vertebrates, we performed immunoblot analyses on cell lysates from a variety of plant pathogens of the closely related genus Erwinia (kindly provided by D. Coplin, Ohio State University) and from the more distantly related opportunistic human pathogens of the genus Proteus (Fig. 2). Like E. coli RP437, P. mirabilis and P. vulgaris coexpressed two immunoreactive bands (Fig. 1C). Furthermore, differentiated swarmer cells of P. mirabilis synthesized significantly more of both proteins than did undifferentiated swimmer cells. In contrast, E. amylovora, E. carotovora, and E. herbicola appeared to synthesize a single immunoreactive band of about 72 to 74 kDa. Since these cells are chemotactic, it is likely that this band corresponds to CheA<sub>L</sub>. The nonmotile E. stewartii synthesized no detectable CheA protein.

Immunoblot analysis of CheZ in enteric bacteria. Since experimental evidence indicates that  $CheA_s$  and CheZ interact specifically (21, 22, 43), we surmised that CheZ, like  $CheA_s$ , is

a component of the chemotactic signal transduction pathway shared by most, if not all, motile enteric bacteria. To test this hypothesis, we performed immunoblot analyses using an *E. coli* CheZ antibody (kindly provided by H. Wang and P. Matsumura, University of Illinois at Chicago) on lysates of several enteric species (Fig. 3A). Like *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium*, chemotactic clinical isolates of *S. marcescens* and *E. cloacae* synthesized an immunoreactive protein with a molecular mass that approximates that of *E. coli* CheZ. Cells of *P. mirabilis*, *P. vulgaris*, and the chemotactic *Erwinia* spp. also expressed this protein (Fig. 3B).

Sequence analysis of the 5' end of enteric cheA genes. To determine whether the two immunoreactive proteins observed in cell lysates from motile enteric bacteria were indeed CheAL and CheA<sub>s</sub>, we examined the nucleotide sequence of the 5 portion of the *cheA* gene derived from each of several enteric species. To do so, we used two primers to amplify the region of cheA that would include translation initiation sites of both  $CheA_L$  and  $CheA_S$ . Since one primer must bind to sequences upstream of *cheA*, we designed an oligonucleotide (forward primer F1.1) that would prime within the 3' region of *motB*, the gene located immediately upstream of cheA in the mocha operon of E. coli and S. typhimurium (Fig. 4). To identify the most conserved sequences within this region, we aligned the motB sequences from E. coli (37), S. typhimurium (39), and B. subtilis (26). To ensure that the PCR products included all sequences encompassing the CheAs initiation codon, we designed a reverse primer (R1) complementary to a sequence that encodes a portion of the highly conserved CheA transmitter domain located about 550 bp 3' of the CheAs initiation codon. For this purpose, we aligned *cheA* sequences from *E*. coli (17), S. typhimurium (38), B. subtilis (9), Caulobacter crescentus (1), and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (29).

We isolated chromosomal DNA as described previously (24). We performed PCR reactions in an Omn-E Thermal Cycler (Hybaid, Middlesex, United Kingdom) beginning with a 5-min denaturation step at 94°C and a 3-min "hot start" addition of *Taq* polymerase at 80°C, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation for 1 min at 94°C, annealing for 1 min at 52°C, and extension for 1 min at 72°C. We completed the amplification with a 5-min extension step at 72°C, purified the resultant fragments by passage through Wizard PCR Prep columns



FIG. 3. Immunoblot analysis with *E. coli* CheZ antibody after sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (15% polyacrylamide). (A) Lysates were prepared from wild-type or  $\Delta cheZ$  laboratory strains of *E. coli* (RP437 and RP1616, respectively), from the wild-type laboratory *S. typhimurium* strain LT-2 or from motile clinical isolates of *S. typhimurium*, *S. marcescens*, and *E. cloacae*. Cells were grown as described for Fig. 1A. Note the very faint signal from the third *E. cloacae* isolate (lane 10), a result consistent with that observed with anti-CheA antibody (Fig. 1B, lane 10). Molecular mass standards are labeled in kilodaltons to the right of the blot. (B) Lysates were prepared from wild-type *E. coli* (laboratory strain RP437), from plant pathogens of the genus *Erwinia*, or from clinical isolates of human pathogens of the genus *Proteus*. Cells were grown as described for Fig. 1C. Note the very faint signal from cells of *E. amylovora* (lane 2), a result consistent with the low CheA expression observed for that isolate (Fig. 1C, lane 3). Molecular mass standards are labeled in kilodaltons to the right of the blot.

(Promega), ligated the purified fragments into the vector pGEM-T (Promega), and transformed the ligation mixtures into strain AJW399, a  $\Delta cheA$  derivative of strain JM107 (47). We identified recombinant plasmids containing *cheA* nucleotide sequences by colony lift hybridization using the BioTrace NT Binding Matrices protocol (Gelman Sciences, Ann Arbor, Mich.). All filters were incubated for approximately 16 h at 45°C and probed with a 516-bp *NdeI-SalI* fragment from the 5'

region of the *E. coli cheA* gene labeled by incubation with T4 polynucleotide kinase and  $[\gamma^{-32}P]ATP$  (32).

As predicted, these primers amplified a product of about 1.0 kb from chromosomal DNA isolated from wild-type *E. coli* cells but not from cells from which *cheA* was deleted. They also amplified products of about 1.0 kb from *S. typhimurium, S. marcescens, P. mirabilis*, and one isolate of *E. cloacae*. In contrast, they amplified smaller products, which ranged from about 0.6 kb to about 0.9 kb, from *E. herbicola, P. vulgaris, C. freundii*, and a second isolate of *E. cloacae* (data not shown).

Subsequently, we cloned the 1.0-kb products obtained from S. marcescens and one of the E. cloacae isolates as well as a 0.9-kb product from the second E. cloacae isolate into plasmid pGEM-T for DNA sequence analysis (Fig. 5). The first 414 nucleotides of cheA from E. coli, S. typhimurium, E. cloacae, and S. marcescens shared considerable identity. E. cloacae and S. marcescens were most similar (87% identity), whereas E. coli and S. marcescens were least similar (75% identity). All four species shared several features associated with translation. These include (i) the ribosome binding site (RBS) centered 8 bp upstream of the GTG that encodes the translation initiation codon for CheA<sub>L</sub> in E. coli and S. typhimurium (17, 38); (ii) the RBS centered 7 bp upstream of the ATG that encodes the translation initiation codon for CheAs in E. coli (17); and (iii) the RBS centered 5 bp upstream of the ATG that is reported to encode the translation initiation codon for  $CheA_s$  in S. typhimurium (39).

Coexpression of CheAs and CheZ is limited to enteric bacteria. Intriguingly, no one has yet reported the coexpression of CheA<sub>L</sub> and CheA<sub>S</sub> from motile, chemotactic species of nonenteric bacteria. No internal translation initiation sites are apparent within the cheA genes from B. subtilis (9), Rhizobium meliloti (13), Rhodobacter sphaeroides (44), Halobacterium salinarium (31), Listeria monocytogenes (8), Caulobacter crescentus (1), and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (29). Additionally, cheA expression studies reveal that motile cells of H. salinarium and B. subtilis express only the full-length CheA protein (9, 31). In contrast, a wide variety of motile, chemotactic members of the family Enterobacteriaceae that are commensal or pathogenic in the lower GI tract of vertebrates coexpressed CheA<sub>L</sub> and CheA<sub>s</sub>. Under the conditions we tested, species of Erwinia expressed only the larger of these two proteins. Since these plant pathogens are rarely associated with human colonization or disease (35), we suspect that CheAs plays some role under conditions encountered within the predominately anaerobic environment of the lower GI tract.

Similarly, with the exception of *P. aeruginosa* (20), no CheZ homologs have been reported outside the *Enterobacteriaceae*. Thus, it seems that coexpression of CheA<sub>s</sub> and CheZ might



FIG. 4. Schematic diagram of the *mocha* operon of *E. coli*. Start(L) and Start(S) represent the translation initiation sites for  $CheA_L$  and  $CheA_S$ , respectively. Arrows represent the PCR primers F1.1 and R1, based, respectively, on a conserved region in the 3' end of the *motB* genes from *E. coli*, *S. typhimurium*, and *Bacillus subtilis* and a conserved region in the 5' end of the *cheA* genes from *E. coli*, *S. typhimurium*, *Caulobacter crescentus*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

			в		<b>→</b>		←───	
		A	> <	A'	I			
E.coli	gcgccc	tggaaaaacc	tgaggttgca	ccacaggtca	gtgttcccac	aatgccatca	gccgaacc <u>ga</u>	66
S.typhimurium	t-tat	-acc-cg-	gc-cac	g-cca-	-ca	-tcaa-	g	
E.cloacae	aatt	-aac-g	gcc-tt	ttcctg	cca	-tcc	a-t	
S.marcescens	aatt	-aac-g	gc	ttcctg	cca	-tcc	a-t	
	—— <sup>B</sup> ' —	• A <sub>L</sub>						
E.coli	ggtgacagcg	tgagcatgga	tataagcgat	ttttatcaga	catttttga	tgaagcggac	gaactgttgg	136
S.typhimurium	t		t			t	gc	
E.cloacae	t		t		c	c	t	
S.marcescens	t		t	c	C	C	t	
E.coli	ctgacatgga	gcagcatttg	ctggttttgc	agccggaagc	gccagatgcc	gaacaattga	atgccatctt	206
S.typhimurium	t	c	a	tgt-	cgct	c	-ct	
E.cloacae	-ct	ac	c-ag	tc	agt	ggc-c-		
S.marcescens	-ct	ac	g	tt	agct	ggc-c-		
E.coli	tcgggctgcc	cactcgatca	aaggaggggc	aggaactttt	ggetteageg	ttttgcagga	aaccacgcat	276
S.typhimurium	cgg	t-	cc	gcac	cta	c		
E.cloacae	ctgg	tct-	ca	cg	at-c-a	-cc	g	
S.marcescens	ctgg	ttt-	ca	cg	at-c-c	-cc	g	
				-	St A <sub>s</sub>		c —	
E.coli	ctgatggaaa	acctgctcga	tgaagccaga	cgaggtgaga	St $A_{s}$	caccgacatt	C atcaatctgt	346
E.coli S.typhimurium	ctgatggaaa t-a	acctgctcga g	tgaagccaga	cgaggtgaga	St A <sub>s</sub>	caccgacatt t	C atcaatctgt 	346
E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae	ctgatggaaa t-a t-a	acctgctcga g t	tgaagccaga c -aac	cgaggtgaga ca- c	St A <sub>8</sub>	caccgacatt t	c —— atcaatctgt c	346
E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens	ctgatggaaa t-a t-a t-atg-	acctgctcga g t cg	tgaagccaga c -aac -at-tc	cgaggtgaga ca- cc-	St A <sub>g</sub> tgcaactcaa g	caccgacatt t t t	C	346
E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marsescens	ctgatggaaa t-a t-a t-atg-	acctgctcga g t Cg	tgaagccaga c -aac -at-tc	cgaggtgaga 	St A <sub>s</sub>	caccgacatt t t t	C	346
E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens	ctgatggaaa t-a t-ag- >	acctgctcga g ct cg	tgaagccaga c -aac -at-tc Ec $A_{\theta} \rightarrow$	cgaggtgaga 	St A <sub>g</sub>	caccgacatt t t t	C atcaatctgt C ttc	346
E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli	ctgatggaaa t-a t-ag- 	acctgctcga g cg g <u>aagga</u> catc	tgaagccaga c -aac -at-tc Ec $A_{B}$ atgraagaac	cgaggtgaga ca cc- C' agetcgacge	.St A <sub>8</sub> → tgcaactcaa g g g ttataaacag	caccgacatt t t t	cc c cggatgccgc	346 416
E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium	ctgatggaaa t-a t-a t-atg- ttttggaaac	acctgctcga g cg g <u>aaqqa</u> catc att	tgaagccaga 	cgaggtgaga ca cc- C' agctcgacgc	St A <sub>g</sub> tgcaactcaa g g ttataaacag ca-c	caccgacatt t t t	C atcaatctgt c ttc cggatgccgc	346 416
E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae	ctgatggaaa t-a t-atg- 	acctgctcga g cg g <u>aagga</u> catc att	tgaagccaga c -at-tc Ec A <sub>8</sub> → atgcaagaac g	cgaggtgaga ca cc- c' agctcgacgc	St A <sub>g→</sub> Egcaactcaa g g ttataaacag ca-c cagt	caccgacatt t t tcgcaagagc cg	c atcaatctgt c cggatgccgc -tc	346 416
E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens	ctgatggaaa t-a t-atg- 	acctgctcga g cg g <u>aaqqa</u> catc att catt c-tatt	tgaagccaga a	cgaggtgaga ca- cc- C' agctcgacgc	.St A <sub>g→</sub> Egcaactcaa g g ttataaacag cact cagt ccctgc	caccgacatt t t	c	346 416
E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens	ctgatggaaa t-a t-atg- 	acctgctcga g cg g <u>aaqqa</u> catc att catt c-tatt	tgaagccaga aac	cgaggtgaga ca- cc- C' agctcgacgc	St A <sub>g</sub> tgcaactcaa g ttataaacag cact cagt cc-tgc	caccgacatt t t	C	346 416
E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli	ctgatggaaa t-a t-atg- ttttggaaac  cagcttcgat	acctgctcga g cg g <u>aagga</u> catc att catt c-tatt	tgaagccaga c -aac -at-tc Ec A <sub>g</sub> → atgcaagaac g aggccttgcg	cgaggtgaga ca- cc- C' agctcgacgc t- tcaactggca	St A <sub>g</sub> tgcaactcaa g g ttataaacag cact cagt ccc-tgc ttagaagcga	caccgacatt t t	c atcaatctgt c ttc cggatgccgc -tc -at gccatccgca	346 416 486
E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium	ctgatggaaa t-a t-atg- ttttggaaac 	acctgctcga g cg g <u>aagga</u> catc att catt c-tatt tatatctgcc	tgaagccaga aac	cgaggtgaga ca- cc- C' agctcgacgc t- tcaactggca cqtq	St A <sub>s</sub> tgcaactcaa g g ttataaacag cagt ccc-tgc ttagaagcga c-qq	caccgacatt t t	c atcaatctgt c ttc cggatgccgc -tc -at gccatccgca ca-gc-gg	346 416 486
E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae	ctgatggaaa t-a t-atg- ttttggaaac 	acctgctcga g cg g <u>aagga</u> catc a-tt ca-tt c-tatt tatatctgcc a	tgaagccaga c	cgaggtgaga ca cc- c' agctcgacge 	<b>St A</b> <b>G</b> <b>G</b> <b>G</b> <b>G</b> <b>G</b> <b>G</b> <b>G</b> <b>G</b>	caccgacatt t t	c	346 416 486
E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens	ctgatggaaa t-a t-atg- ttttggaaac 	acctgctcga g cg g <u>aagga</u> catc a-tt ca-tt c-tatt tatatctgcc a a	tgaagccaga c -at-tc Ec A <sub>8</sub> -> atgcaagaac g aggccttgcg -tgc-a -tgc	cgaggtgaga ca cc- c' agctcgacgc t- tcaactggca cgtg cg-c-g	St A <sub>g</sub> Egcaactcaa g ttataaacag cagt cc-tgc ttagaagcga c-gc- c-g	caccgacatt t t	c	346 416 486
E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.typhimurium E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens	ctgatggaaa t-a	acctgctcga g cg g <u>aagga</u> catc att catt c-tatt tatatctgcc a tt-	tgaagccaga a	cgaggtgaga ca- cc- C' agctcgacgc 	St A <sub>g→</sub> Egcaactcaa g ttataaacag cact cagt cc-tgc ttagaagcga c-gc- c-gc-	caccgacatt t t	C	346 416 486
E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli	ctgatggaaa t-a t-atg- ttttggaaac cagcttcgat aa tta tt	acctgctcga g cg g <u>aaqqa</u> catc att catt c-tatt tatatctgcc a tt taagtgtgqt	tgaagccaga a	cgaggtgaga ca- cc- c agctcgacgc 	St A <sub>8</sub> → Egcaactcaa g g ttataaacag cact cc-tgc ttagaagcga c-g c-g 526	caccgacatt t t	c atcaatctgt tc cggatgccgc -tc -at gccatccgca ca-gc-g-g tg-cg-ac -ttc-c	346 416 486
E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium	ctgatggaaa t-a t-at	acctgctcga 	tgaagccaga aac -at-tc Ec A	cgaggtgaga ca- cc- C' agctcgacgc 	St A <sub>g</sub> tgcaactcaa 	caccgacatt t t	c atcaatctgt c ccggatgccgc -tc at gccatccgca ca-gc-gg tg-cg-ac -tttc-c	346 416 486
E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae S.marcescens E.coli S.typhimurium E.coli S.typhimurium E.cloacae	ctgatggaaa t-a t-at	acctgctcga 	tgaagccaga aac -at-tc Ec A <sub>e</sub>	cgaggtgaga ca- cc- C' agctcgacgc 	St A <sub>g</sub> → tgcaactcaa g ttataaacag cac cagt cc-tgc ttagaagcga c-gg c-gc- 526 526 526	caccgacatt t t	C	346 416 486

FIG. 5. Comparison of nucleotide sequences spanning the 3' MotB-5' CheA region. *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium* sequences have been reported previously (16, 36, 39). We sequenced a *S. typhimurium* clinical isolate: within this region, its sequence was identical to the sequence published for strain LT-2. We also sequenced two independent clinical isolates of *E. cloacae*, one of which yielded a 1.0-kb PCR product and one which yielded a 0.9-kb product: within the region shown, their sequences by the chain termination method of Sanger et al. (34) with Sequenase, version 2.0 (U.S. Biochemicals, Cleveland, Ohio) or with a *Taq*-DyeDeoxy Terminator Cycle Sequencing kit developed by Applied Biosystems Inc. (Perkin-Elmer Corp., Foster City, Calif.). DNA sequence analysis was performed on both strands with an ABI Prism 377 automated DNA sequence. To align nucleotide sequences, the Genetics Computer Group program Pileup (12) was used with a gap weight of 3.0 and a gap length weight of 0.1. Only differences from the published *E. coli* sequence are shown. The putative Shine-Dalgarno sequences are underlined, and verified or putative translation initiation codons are enclosed in boxes. The TGA that encodes the termination codon of *motB* is in boldface type. Boldface arrows above the sequence represent inverted repeats predicted to form mRNA secondary structures (16). Slashes represent missing nucleotides. Numbering of nucleotides is arbitrary.

only occur in the enteric bacteria. Since  $CheA_S$  regulates the activity of CheZ, their codistribution strengthens the argument that their interaction plays an important role in vivo (21, 22, 43).

Alternative CheA<sub>S</sub> translation initiation sites. The difference in electrophoretic mobility between  $CheA_L$  and  $CheA_S$  in *E. coli* is greater than that in *S. typhimurium*. The initiation site proposed for *S. typhimurium* CheA<sub>S</sub> (38) does not correspond to the initiation site reported for *E. coli* CheA<sub>S</sub> (17, 33). Our observations support this hypothesis. It seems that in each species there are two internal initiation sites, each of which can potentially act to direct translation of a short version of CheA. Seemingly, *S. typhimurium* uses the upstream site while *E. coli* uses the downstream one. On the basis of immunoblot analyses, we conclude that *S. marcescens* uses the upstream site while *E. cloacae* and *C. freundii* use the downstream one. Although the apparent lack of a Shine-Dalgarno sequence explains why the downstream site would not initiate translation in *S. marcescens*, there exist no obvious features that can explain why *E. coli* and *E. cloacae* seemingly use the downstream site while *S. typhimurium* preferentially uses the upstream one.

To reconcile the observation that *E. coli* does not require CheA<sub>S</sub> for chemotaxis under typical aerobic laboratory conditions (33) with the fact that it synthesizes CheA<sub>L</sub> and CheA<sub>S</sub> in approximately equivalent amounts (33, 43), it has been suggested that CheA<sub>S</sub> might be an evolutionary relic (33). Although it no longer possesses a physiological role, the argument goes, it continues to be expressed because of constraints placed on the nucleotide sequence by the amino acid sequence of CheA<sub>L</sub>. In light of our observation that enteric bacteria utilize two different internal sequences to initiate translation of CheA<sub>S</sub>, it seems unlikely that such constraints exist.

We thank R. Carey for primary clinical isolates; J. S. Parkinson, D. Coplin, and K. Hughes for strains; H. Wang and P. Matsumura for their anti-CheZ antibody; D. Alley, H. Ohtake, J. Stock, and S. Minnich for sharing their unpublished sequences and/or data; and H. Falk-Krzesinski for her help with the sequence analysis. Finally, we thank R. Belas, R. Harshey, and especially M. Manson for their critical reading of the manuscript.

This work was supported by Public Health Service grant GM46221 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences. B.P.M. was supported in part by a Ford Foundation Minority Doctoral Fellowship.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Alley, D. Personal communication.
- 2. Belas, R., D. Erskine, and D. Flaherty. 1991. Proteus mirabilis mutants defective in swarmer cell differentiation and multicellular behavior. J. Bacteriol. 173:6279-6288.
- 3. Borkovich, K. A., N. Kaplan, J. F. Hess, and M. I. Simon. 1989. Transmembrane signal transduction in bacterial chemotaxis involves ligand-dependent activation of phosphate group transfer. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:1208-1212.
- 4. Borkovich, K. A., and M. I. Simon. 1990. The dynamics of protein phosphorvlation in bacterial chemotaxis. Cell 63:1339-1348.
- 5. Bourret, R. B., K. A. Borkovich, and M. I. Simon. 1991. Signal transduction pathways involving protein phosphorylation in prokaryotes. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 60:401-441.
- 6. Bourret, R. B., J. Davagnino, and M. I. Simon. 1993. The carboxy-terminal portion of the CheA kinase mediates regulation of autophosphorylation by transducer and CheW. J. Bacteriol. 175:2097-2101.
- 7. Brenner, D. J. 1978. Characterization and clinical identification of Enterobacteriaceae by DNA hybridization. Prog. Clin. Pathol. 7:71-117.
- 8. Dons, L., J. E. Olsen, and O. F. Rasmussen. 1994. Characterization of two putative Listeria monocytogenes genes encoding polypeptides homologous to the sensor protein CheA and the response regulator CheY of chemotaxis. DNA Sequence 4:301-311.
- Fuhrer, D. K., and G. W. Ordal. 1991. Bacillus subtilis CheN, a homolog of 9. CheA, the central regulator of chemotaxis in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 173:7443-7448.
- 10. Gegner, J. A., and F. W. Dalhquist. 1991. Signal transduction in bacteria: CheW forms a reversible complex with the protein kinase CheA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:750-754.
- 11. Gegner, J. A., D. R. Graham, A. F. Roth, and F. W. Dahlquist. 1992. Assembly of an MCP receptor, CheW, and kinase CheA complex in the bacterial chemotaxis signal transduction pathway. Cell 18:975-982.
- 12. Genetics Computer Group. 1993. Software package, version 8.1. University of Wisconsin, Madison.
- 13. Greck, M., J. Platzer, V. Sourjik, and R. Schmitt. 1995. Analysis of a chemotaxis operon in Rhizobium meliloti. Mol. Microbiol. 15:989-1000.
- 14. Harlow, E., and D. Lane. 1988. Antibodies: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratories, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 15. Hess, J. F., R. B. Bourret, and M. I. Simon. 1988. Histidine phosphorylation and phosphoryl group transfer in bacterial chemotaxis. Nature (London) **336:**139–143.
- 16. Hess, J. F., K. Oosawa, N. Kaplan, and M. I. Simon. 1988. Phosphorylation of three proteins in the signaling pathway of bacterial chemotaxis. Cell 53:79-87
- 17. Kofoid, E. C., and J. S. Parkinson. 1991. Tandem translational starts in the cheA locus of Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 173:2116-2119.
- 18. Liu, J., and J. S. Parkinson. 1989. Role of CheW protein in coupling membrane receptors to the intracellular signaling system of bacterial chemotaxis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:8703-8707.
- 19. Manson. M. D. 1992. Bacterial motility and chemotaxis. Adv. Microbiol. Physiol. 33:277-344.
- 20. Masduki, A., J. Nakamura, T. Ohga, R. Umezaki, J. Kato, and H. Ohtake. 1995. Isolation and characterization of chemotaxis mutants and genes of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J. Bacteriol. 177:948-952.
- 21. Matsumura, P., S. Roman, K. Voltz, and D. McNally. 1990. Signalling complexes in bacterial chemotaxis. Symp. Soc. Gen. Microbiol. 46:135-154.
- 22. McNally, D. F., and P. Matsumura. 1991. Bacterial chemotaxis signaling

complexes: formation of a CheA/CheW complex enhances autophosphorylation and affinity for CheY. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:6269-6273.

- 23. McNamara, B. P., and A. J. Wolfe. Unpublished results.
- 24. Miller, S. A., D. D. Dykes, and H. F. Polesky. 1988. A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 16:1215.
- 25. Minnich, S. Personal communication.
- 26. Miral, D. B., V. M. Lustre, and M. J. Chamberlin. 1992. An operon of Bacillus subtilis motility genes transcribed by the sigma-D form of RNA polymerase. J. Bacteriol. 174:4197-4204.
- 27. Morrison, T. B., and J. S. Parkinson. 1994. Liberation of an interaction domain from the phosphotransfer region of CheA, a signaling kinase of E. coli. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91:5485-5489.
- Ninfa, E. G., A. Stock, S. Mowbray, and J. Stock. 1991. Reconstitution of the 28. bacterial chemotaxis signal transduction system from purified components. J. Biol. Chem. 266:9764-9770.
- 29. Ohtake, H. Personal communication.
- 30. Parkinson, J. S. 1978. Complementation analysis and deletion mapping of Escherichia coli mutants defective in chemotaxis. J. Bacteriol. 135:45–53.
- 31. Rudolph, J., and D. Oesterhelt. 1995. Chemotaxis and phototaxis require a CheA histidine kinase in the archaeon Halobacterium salinarium. EMBO J. 14:667-673.
- 32. Sambrook, J. E., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 33. Sanatinia, H., E. C. Kofoid, T. B. Morrison, and J. S. Parkinson. 1995. The smaller of the two overlapping cheA gene products is not essential for chemotaxis in Escherichia coli, J. Bacteriol, 177:2713-2720.
- 34. Sanger, F., S. Nicklen, and A. R. Coulson, 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-termination inhibition. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5463-5467.
- 35. Schneierson, S. S., and E. J. Bottone. 1973. Erwinia infections in man. Crit. Rev. Clin. Lab. Sci. 4:341-355.
- 36. Smith, R. A., and J. S. Parkinson. 1980. Overlapping genes at the cheA locus of Escherichia coli. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77:5370-5374.
- 37. Stader, J., P. Matsumura, D. Vacante, G. E. Dean, and R. M. Macnab. 1986. Nucleotide sequence of the Escherichia coli motB gene and site-limited incorporation of its product into the cytoplasmic membrane. J. Bacteriol. 166:244-252
- 38. Stock, A., T. Chen, D. Welsh, and J. Stock. 1988. CheA protein, a central regulator of bacterial chemotaxis, belongs to a family of proteins that control gene expression in response to changing environmental conditions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85:1403-1407.
- 39. Stock, J. Personal communication.
- Stock, J., and M. G. Surette. 1996. Chemotaxis, p. 1103–1129. In F. C. Neidhardt, R. Curtiss III, J. L. Ingraham, E. C. C. Lin, K. B. Low, B. Magasanik, W. S. Reznikoff, M. Riley, M. Schaechter, and H. E. Umbarger (ed.), Escherichia coli and Salmonella: cellular and molecular biology, 2nd ed. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- 41. Swanson, R. V., R. B. Bourret, and M. I. Simon. 1993. Intermolecular complementation of the kinase activity of CheA. Mol. Microbiol. 8:435-441.
- 42. Swanson, R. V., S. C. Schuster, and M. I. Simon. 1993. Expression of CheA fragments which define domains encoding kinase, phosphotransfer, and CheY binding activities. Biochemistry 32:7623-7629.
- 43. Wang, H., and P. Matsumura. 1996. Characterization of CheAS/CheZ complex: a specific interaction resulting in enhanced dephosphorylating activity on CheY-phosphate. Mol. Microbiol. 19:695-703.
- 44. Ward, M. J., A. W. Bell, P. A. Hamblin, H. L. Packer, and J. P. Armitage. 1995. Identification of a chemotaxis operon with two cheY genes in Rhodobacter sphaeroides. Mol. Microbiol. 17:357-366.
- 45. Wolfe, A. J., and R. C. Stewart. 1993. The short form of the CheA protein restores kinase activity and chemotactic ability to kinase-deficient mutants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90:1518-1522
- 46. Wolfe, A. J., B. P. McNamara, and R. Stewart. 1994. The short form of CheA couples chemoreception to CheA phosphorylation. J. Bacteriol. 176:1878-1885.
- 47. Yanisch-Perron, C., J. Vieira, and J. Messing. 1985. Improved M13 phage cloning vectors and host strains: nucleotide sequences of the M13mp18 and pUC19 vectors. Gene 33:103-119.