A plant porphyria related to defects in plastid import of protochlorophyllide oxidoreductase A

Stephan Pollmann*†, Armin Springer*‡, Frank Buhr*‡, Abder Lahroussi*, Iga Samol*, Jean-Marc Bonneville*, Gabrielle Tichtinsky*, Diter von Wettstein*§¶, Christiane Reinbothe*, and Steffen Reinbothe*¶

*Universite´ Joseph Fourier et Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique Unite´ Mixte de Recherche 5575, CERMO, BP53, F-38041 Grenoble Cedex 9, France; [‡]Lehrstuhl für Pflanzenphysiologie, Universität Bayreuth, Universitätsstrasse 30, D-95447 Bayreuth, Germany; and [§]Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164-6420

Contributed by Diter von Wettstein, December 12, 2006 (sent for review November 11, 2006)

The plastid envelope of higher plant chloroplasts is a focal point of plant metabolism. It is involved in numerous pathways, including tetrapyrrole biosynthesis and protein translocation. Chloroplasts need to import a large number of proteins from the cytosol because most are encoded in the nucleus. Here we report that a loss-offunction mutation in the *outer plastid envelope 16-kDa protein* **(***oep16***) gene causes a conditional seedling lethal phenotype related to defects in import and assembly of NADPH:protochlorophyllide (Pchlide) oxidoreductase A. In the isolated knockout mutant of** *Arabidopsis thaliana***, excess Pchlide accumulated in the dark operated as photosensitizer and provoked cell death during greening. Our results highlight the essential role of the substratedependent plastid import pathway of precursor Pchlide oxidoreductase A for seedling survival and the avoidance of developmentally programmed porphyria in higher plants.**

cell death | greening | plastid biogenesis | protein translocation

LAS

In plants, light provides an important environmental signal and trigger for the production of photosynthetically active chlorotrigger for the production of photosynthetically active chloroplasts (1, 2). In dark-grown angiosperms, plastid development is arrested at a state that leads only to the formation of so-called etioplasts. These organelles are devoid of chlorophyll and are incapable of photosynthetic function. Once dark-grown plants break through the soil after germination and reach the sunlight, they begin to synthesize chlorophyll and assemble the photosynthetic apparatus. The synthesis of chlorophyll from protochlorophyllide (Pchlide) is, in angiosperms, a light-dependent reaction and an essential step for the establishment of photosynthetically active chloroplasts. In barley, it is catalyzed by two closely related light-activated enzymes, NADPH:Pchlide oxidoreductases A and B (PORA and PORB) (3), which form supramolecular light-harvesting structures designated LHPP in the prolamellar body of etioplasts (4, 5). Dark-stable PORA: PORB-Pchlide-NADPH supracomplexes are poised such that absorption of a photon by Pchlide *b* bound to PORA leads to energy transfer onto PORB-bound Pchlide *a* and its subsequent reduction, resulting in the formation of Chlide *a* (4, 5). Like other free tetrapyrroles, Pchlide not bound to POR could operate as a photosensitizer (6–8). Angiosperm plants, therefore, have evolved efficient mechanisms to keep the level of these potentially phototoxic compounds low and thereby avoid porphyrias (9–12). One such mechanism is feedback control of Pchlide synthesis by heme and Pchlide (10, 11). Another factor is the fluorescent (FLU) protein, which depresses Pchlide synthesis in darkness (12). After LHPP's dissociation, which is induced by light and correlates with the dispersal of the prolamellar body (13), PORA gains activity as a Pchlide *b*-reducing enzyme such that another part of the light energy is quenched in a nonhazardous way (4, 5).

Being encoded in the nucleus, PORA and PORB are synthesized as larger precursors (pPORA and pPORB) and are imported into the plastids through specific protein translocon complexes in the outer and inner envelope membranes (14–18).

Whereas pPORB enters the plastids via the general protein import apparatus comprising the presequence receptor TOC159 and translocation channel protein TOC75 (17, 18), pPORA uses a Pchlide-dependent translocon named the PTC complex, which is distinctive from the general protein import site (14, 17). Among the identified PTC proteins was a 16-kDa protein related to a group of amino acid and preprotein transporters found in free-living bacteria and endosymbiotic mitochondria and chloroplasts (14, 17). Several lines of evidence verified that the identified barley *PTC16* gene is an ortholog of the previously characterized pea and *Arabidopsis OEP16* (At2g28900) gene (17).

In the present work a reverse genetic approach was taken to dissect the function of the *Arabidopsis OEP16* (At2g28900) gene *in planta*. Using a knockout line of *Arabidopsis thaliana* that is deficient in the *OEP16* gene we demonstrate that PTC16/OEP16 is involved in pPORA import. Interestingly, dark-grown *Atoep16* plants resembled etiolated *flu* plants and accumulated free Pchlide. After a dark-to-light shift, this pigment operated as photosensitizer and caused rapid bleaching and cell death. Our results underscore the essential role of the substrate-dependent import pathway of pPORA, which couples protein translocation to pigment biosynthesis in the plastid envelope, for Pchlide homoeostasis and cell viability during seedling de-etiolation.

Results and Discussion

At2g28900 (*AtOEP16*) contains six exons and five introns (Fig. 1*A*), and we used reverse genetics to determine its role in *Arabidopsis*. A respective *Arabidopsis* mutant was obtained from the Salk Institute Genomic Analysis Laboratory collection (19) carrying a T-DNA insertion SALK_024018 that disrupts the *AtOEP16* locus (Fig. 1 *A* and *C*). Sequencing of the T-DNA/ *AtOEP16* junction established that the insertion disrupted the gene 6 bp upstream of the 3' end of exon 2. We refer to this line as *Atoep16*-*1* throughout the rest of the article. DNA gel blot analyses yielded a single T-DNA hybridizing band in genomic DNA isolated from light-grown homozygous *Atoep16*-*1* plants that had been digested with two different restriction enzymes (Fig. 1*B*), demonstrating that *Atoep16*-*1* contained a single T-DNA insertion in the genome. Expression studies showed that

Author contributions: S.P., J.-M.B., G.T., C.R., and S.R. designed research; S.P., A.S., F.B., A.L., I.S., C.R., and S.R. performed research; D.v.W., C.R., and S.R. analyzed data; and S.R. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations: Pchlide, protochlorophyllide; pPORA/B, precursor Pchlide oxidoreductases A and B; DHFR, dihydrofolate reductase; 5-ALA, 5-aminolevulinic acid; trans A, transit peptide of pPORA.

[†]Present address: Lehrstuhl für Pflanzenphysiologie, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Universitätsstrasse 150, D-44801 Bochum, Germany.

[¶]To whom correspondence may be addressed. E-mail: diter@wsu.edu or steffen. reinbothe@ujf-grenoble.fr.

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0610934104/DC1) [0610934104/DC1.](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0610934104/DC1)

^{© 2007} by The National Academy of Sciences of the USA

Fig. 1. Description of the *Atoep16*-*1* T-DNA insertion line (SALK024018.50.90.X). (*A*) Diagram of the *Atoep16*-*1*:*1* gene and respective T-DNA insertion. 5' and 3' untranslated regions are in red, exons are in ochre, and introns are in violet. The 4.5-kb T-DNA insertion (not drawn to scale) is shown in turquoise. R, F, and Lba1 mark primers used for PCR analyses. (*B*) DNA gel blot analysis of the *Atoep16-1* line. Genomic DNA (10 μg) from homozygous *Atoep16*-*1* or wild-type plants was digested with EcoRI and BamHI, respectively, and the filter-bound DNA fragments were hybridized to a DNA probe corresponding to the kanamycin-resistance gene of the T-DNA using standard procedures. (*C*) Confirmation of the T-DNA insertion by PCR using the following primers. (*a*) Lba1, 5-ATGGTTCACGTAGTGGGCCATCG-3; R (reverse primer), 5'-ATCCACCGTTAAAAGCCCCTT-3'; F, (forward primer), 5'-AACGAACTGAGAAGCGGTTGC-3'. (b) Primers specific for the adenine phosphoryl transferase gene of wild-type and *Atoep16*-*1* plants. (*D*) Western blot analysis of OEP16 protein expression in chloroplasts of *Atoep16*-*1* and wildtype plants. Protein was prepared from isolated chloroplasts and subjected to Western blotting using antisera against OEP16 and the 75- and 110-kDa proteins of the outer and inner envelope membrane translocases of chloroplasts TOC75 and TIC110, respectively.

neither *OEP16* transcript nor OEP16 protein was detectable in *Atoep16*-*1* plants, and thus the mutant was null with respect to the *Atoep16*-*1*:*1* gene (Fig. 1*D*).

Depending on the growth conditions, *Atoep16*-*1* seedlings exhibited different phenotypes (Fig. 2). If grown in darkness and exposed to white light, the mutant rapidly bleached and finally died (Fig. 2*B*). In plants kept under continuous white light right from the beginning of germination, no phenotype was detectable, and the plants looked like the wild type (Fig. 2, compare *C* and *F*). Etiolated *Atoep16*-*1* plants examined under blue light with a Leica MZ12 fluorescence microscope showed a strong red fluorescence indicative of the presence of free porphyrin pigments in darkness (Fig. 2*A*, compare with the wild type shown in *D*). These results were reminiscent of findings reported for the *flu* mutant of *Arabidopsis* that contains elevated levels of redfluorescing Pchlide in darkness (12). Pchlide is present in a free form in etiolated *flu* plants, which triggers singlet oxygen formation and cell death upon illumination (12). When dark-grown *Atoep16*-*1* seedlings were exposed to white light, very similar defects were observed, including bleaching and cell death (Fig. 2 *B* versus *E*). However, the time courses shown in Fig. 2*G* revealed some differences in cell death progression (Fig. 2*G*). We assumed that this difference may be reflective of the actual level and/or composition of free porphyrin pigment(s) in *Atoep16*-*1* versus*flu* plants. Pigment analyses (20) indeed showed that whereas *flu* plants accumulate ≈ 8.5 -fold-higher levels of total Pchlide than wild-type plants, *Atoep16*-*1* plants contained only \approx 4.5-fold-higher pigment levels. Interestingly, the composition of pigments was also altered in *Atoep16*-*1* versus *flu* and wild-type plants (Fig. 2*H*). Whereas *Atoep16*-*1* plants accumulated Pchlide *a*, *flu* plants contained elevated levels of Pchlide *b* [Fig. 2*H*; for details of pigment identification, see [supporting](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0610934104/DC1) [information \(SI\) Fig. 8\]](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0610934104/DC1).

Fig. 2. Growth-dependent cell death phenotype of the *Atoep16*-*1* mutant. (*A*–*F*) *Atoep16*-*1* (*A*–*C*) and wild-type (*D*–*F*) plants were grown in the dark (*A* and *D*) under a nonpermissive dark-to-light shift (*B* and *E*) or under permissive continuous white light (*C* and *F*) and inspected under a microscope. Accumulation of free, red-fluorescing Pchlide was monitored under blue light (400– 500 nm) (*A* and *D*). Free, excited Pchlide molecules present in etiolated *Atoep16*-*1* (*A*) but not in wild-type (*D*) plants caused photooxidative damages, as a result of which the seedlings died (*B* versus *E*, respectively). (*G*) Seedling survival rates of wild-type (open circles), *Atoep16*-*1* (triangles), and *flu* (filled circles) plants during a nonpermissive dark-to-light shift. Of a number of 300 seedlings in three independent experiments, the indicated percentages survived, whereas the remainder died as a result of pigment-sensitized photooxidation. (*H*) Pigment accumulation in etiolated wild-type (gray line), *Atoep16*-*1* (blue line), and *flu* (red line) plants. The different peaks were identified as Pchlide *b* (peak eluting at 12.5 min) and Pchlide *a* (peak eluting at 15 min) by using synthetic standards and absorbance measurements as well as mass spectrometry [\(SI Fig. 8\)](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0610934104/DC1).

OEP16 is a transmembrane channel protein of the outer plastid envelope membrane implicated in amino acid (21) and/or polypeptide transport (17). When we performed radioisotopelabeling studies with 14 C-glutamate, 14 C-glutamine, and 14 Cglycine, and isolated chloroplasts and etioplasts (22), no difference in amino acid uptake was found for *Atoep16*-*1* versus

Fig. 3. Amino acid uptake into *Atoep16*-*1* and wild-type chloroplasts. (*A*) Isolated chloroplasts were incubated with ¹⁴C-glutamate for various time intervals, and uptake of radioactivity was determined. (*B*) After labeling isolated chloroplasts with [35S]methionine for 5 min (lanes 1 and 2) or 20 min (data not shown), protein was extracted and precipitated with trichloroacetic acid, separated by SDS/PAGE, and detected by either autoradiography (lanes 1 and 2) or Coomassie staining (lanes 3 and 4). LSU and SSU, large and small subunits of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase; CAB, chlorophyll *a*/*b*-binding protein of photosystem II.

Fig. 4. *In vitro* import of 35S precursors into plastids isolated from *Atoep16*-*1* and wild-type seedlings. (*A*) Import of 35S-pPORA into etioplasts of *Atoep16*-*1* and wild-type plants. The incubations were performed in the presence of 2 mM Mg-ATP. The autoradiograms show precursor (P) and mature (m) protein levels in plastids treated with $(+)$ or without $(-)$ thermolysin (Thl). Std defines input translation standards. (*B*) As in *A*, but showing import data of 35S-pPORA for 5-ALA-pretreated, Pchlide-containing, and mock-incubated *Atoep16*-*1* chloroplasts. (*C* and *D*) Time courses of import of 35S-pPORA (*C*) and 35S-pPORB (*D*) into 5-ALA-treated, Pchlide-containing wild-type (filled circles and filled triangles) and *Atoep16*-*1* (open circles and open triangles) chloroplasts. Precursor and mature proteins are marked by open and filled circles as well as open and filled triangles, respectively. Quantification of radioactivity in protein was done with a PhosphorImager. (*E*) Quantitative import data for the small subunit precursor of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (pSSU), precursor ferredoxin (pFd), and the chlorophyll *a*/*b*-binding protein precursor of photosystem II (pCAB). Light and dark gray columns refer to precursor and mature protein levels after 15-min import reactions into 5-ALA-treated, Pchlide-containing chloroplasts of wild-type (a) and *Atoep16* (b) plants. (F) Cross-linking of 5,5'-dithiobis(2nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB)-activated 35S-transA-DHFR, consisting of the Pchlideresponsive transit peptide of pPORA (transA) and a DHFR reporter protein of mouse, to isolated 5-ALA-pretreated, Pchlide-containing wild-type (lanes 1–3) and *Atoep16*-*1* (lanes 4–6) chloroplasts, obtained at 2 mM Mg-ATP and 0.1 mM Mg-GTP. CL47 defines 47-kDa products established between Cys-80 in the DHFR domain of the 31-kDa precursor (P) and Cys-71 in OEP16, and m stands for the imported, mature, 25-kDa DHFR (lane 2). Lane 3 shows a respective immunoprecipitation using OEP16 antiserum. Lanes 5 and 6 depict cross-link products and immunoprecipitates corresponding to those in lanes 2 and 3, respectively, for *Atoep16*chloroplasts.Inlanes1and4areshowninputtranslationstandards(Std). Note the lack of CL47 and imported, mature DHFR in *Atoep16* chloroplasts.

wild-type plants (Fig. 3*A* and data not shown). Also, no large differences were apparent in the patterns of plastid-encoded, [35S]methionine-labeled (23) proteins (Fig. 3*B*). Furthermore, the red fluorescence phenotype in darkness implies that *Atoep16* plants were not impaired in glutamate- and 5-aminolevulinic acid (5-ALA)-dependent Pchlide synthesis. If OEP16 would be involved in amino acid uptake, an inhibition, but not an elevation, of glutamate-dependent synthesis of 5-ALA and Pchlide should

Fig. 5. Transient expression and plastid import of TransA-GFP in *Arabidopsis* leaf epidermis cells of *Atoep16*-*1* and wild-type plants. DNA for transA-GFP, encoding transA and the GFP, was transformed into leaf epidermis cells of *Arabidopsis* wild-type and *atoep16* plants. After 24 h in darkness, GFP (*A* and *D*) and chlorophyll (*B* and *E*) fluorescences were monitored between 505–530 nm and 575–650 nm, respectively, by using an excitation wavelength of 488 nm. (*C*) Merge of *A* and *B*. (*F*) Merge of *D* and *E*. As subcellular localization controls, the naked GFP moiety lacking a transit peptide attached to it (not imported into either *atoep16* or wild-type plastids) and transB-GFP (imported into both plastid types) were used (data not shown).

have occurred in etiolated *Atoep16*-*1* plants, which was obviously not observed (see Fig. 2). We thus concluded that *Atoep16*-*1* was not affected in bulk amino acid uptake into isolated plastids.

Alternatively, OEP16 could be involved in polypeptide transport (17). This idea was tested by performing *in vitro* import experiments (24). Fig. 4 shows that no differences in import of the precursors to the small subunit of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (pSSU), ferredoxin (pFd), the lightharvesting chlorophyll *a*/*b* binding protein LHCII (pCAB), as well as pPORB were detectable for isolated etioplasts and chloroplasts of *Atoep16*-*1* and wild-type plants. Similar amounts of the different tested precursors were taken up and processed to mature size (Fig. 4 *D* and *E*). Only import of pPORA was blocked *in vitro*, as evidenced by the unaltered precursor levels and the concomitant lack of the mature PORA in etioplasts from *Atoep16*-*1* versus wild-type plants (Fig. 4*A*). Likewise, no import was detectable with 5-ALA-treated, Pchlide-containing *Atoep16*-*1* as compared with wild-type chloroplasts (Fig. 4 *B* and *C*). Chemical cross-linking (17) using a chimeric protein that consisted of the transit peptide of pPORA (transA) and a cytosolic dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) reporter protein of mouse revealed that the precursor was able to bind *Atoep16*-*1* plastids; nevertheless, the precursor could not enter a productive import pathway (Fig. 4*F*). Transient assays in leaf epidermis cells expressing transit peptide fusions of pPORA (transA) with GFP (transA-GFP) indeed confirmed the import defect of *Atoep16* plants (Fig. 5).

That knockout in the *Atoep16*-*1*:*1* gene did not pleiotropically affect all of the different cytosolic precursors that need to be taken up by the plastid compartment is further supported by the growth rescue of *Atoep16*-*1* plants in continuous white light (compare Fig. 2*C*). Like pSSU, pFd, pCAB, and pPORB, the enzymes that are involved in 5-ALA synthesis and subsequent steps of Pchlide production were also obviously not affected by the lack of the OEP16 protein. Otherwise, no excess pigment would have accumulated in dark-grown *Atoep16*-*1* plants. All enzymes of the C5 pathway leading to chlorophyll are nucleus-encoded and imported posttranslationally from the cytoplasm (1).

Protein gel blot analyses showed a lack of PORA and PORAcontaining larger LHPP complexes in *Atoep16*-*1* versus wild-type

Fig. 6. POR expression in etiolated *Atoep16*-*1* and wild-type seedlings. (*A*) PORA and PORB protein levels in *Atoep16*-*1* and wild-type etioplasts determined by SDS/PAGE and Western blotting. (*B*) Detection of light-dissociable PORA:PORB-Pchlide-NADPH supracomplexes indicative of LHPP in solubilized membrane fractions of *Atoep16*-*1* and wild-type etioplasts before (*a*) and after (*b*) a 1-msec flash of white light. Protein detection was made by nondenaturing, analytical PAGE (NA-PAGE) and blue light (BL)-induced pigment autofluorescence (*Left*) and protein gel blot (Blot) analysis (*Right*) using a POR antiserum. (*C*) Transmission electron micrographs of *Atoep16*-*1* and wild-type etioplasts. Black dots represent plastoglobules formed in excess in *Atoep16*-*1* versus wild-type etioplasts.

plants (Fig. 6 *A* and *B*). Electron microscopy highlighted a complete lack of the prolamellar body in *Atoep16*-*1* as compared with wild-type etioplasts (Fig. 6*C*). Together, these findings conclusively showed that PORA, as part of larger complexes in the prolamellar body, played an essential role for plant survival

Fig. 7. Working model of pPORA import, LHPP assembly, and FLU-mediated regulation of Chl biosynthesis. Yellow circles and pentagons illustrate PORA-Pchlide *b*-NADPH and PORB-Pchlide *a*-NADPH ternary complexes, respectively. The ochre box highlights Oep16 as part of the Ptc complex. Proto IX, protoporphyrin IX.

during seedling de-etiolation and greening. Free Pchlide not bound to the PORA, by contrast, operated as photosensitizer and, by triplet–triplet interchange, provoked singlet oxygen production and cell death. Similar observations have been made for photobleached *flu* plants (12). In a sense, these findings are reminiscent of genetically inherited porphyrias in humans where perturbations in heme metabolism trigger cell death, including nuclear condensation, chromatin separation, DNA breakdown, and release of cytochrome *c* from mitochondria into the cytosol (25). However, porphyrin-dependent, plastid-dependent cell death in plants also exhibits unique properties, as illustrated by recent work of Wagner *et al.* (26) on the *executer* mutant of *Arabidopsis*.

Our results suggest the working model described in Fig. 7, explaining the possible interaction of OEP16, PORA, and FLU during greening. Accordingly, PORA-Pchlide *b*-NADPH ternary complexes not assembled into PORA:PORB suprastructures after import through OEP16 could provide the link to the FLU protein and help fine-tune the size of the prolamellar body. In wild-type plants, PORA would sense the amount of Pchlide, and once enough LHPP is made, excess PORA-Pchlide *b*-NADPH ternary complexes could bind to and block the activity of the FLU protein, thereby inhibiting glutamyl-tRNA reductase. By contrast, this negative feedback would no longer operate in the OEP16-deficient *Atoep16*-*1* mutant line because of the lack of imported PORA. It is clear that the intimate interaction of all players is required for successful greening. Any change in Pchlide homoeostasis has deleterious effects on plant growth.

Materials and Methods

Plant Growth. Seeds of the *Atoep16* line (SALK_024018) were obtained from the Salk Institute Genomic Analysis Laboratory collection (19). Seeds were germinated on half-concentrated Murashige–Skoog–agar medium on Petri dishes for 4.5 days. To trigger photooxidative damages, dark-grown seedlings were exposed to white light at 125 μ E·m⁻². Alternatively, seeds were germinated on soil and grown to maturity in continuous white light.

Pigment Measurements. High-performance liquid chromatography of acetone-extracted pigments was carried out by using a C18 reverse-phase silica gel column (Hypersil ODS, $5 \mu m$; Shandon HPLC, Chreshire, U.K.) and synthetic Pchlides *a* and *b* as standards (5, 20). Pigments were detected and quantified at 455 nm, the Soret band of Pchlide *b* (5, 20).

Analysis of Amino Acid Uptake into Isolated Plastids. Uptake of 14C amino acids into isolated chloroplasts was monitored by using the filter paper disk method of Mans and Novelli (22). Pulselabeling of chloroplast protein with $[35S]$ methionine (1.87 MBq per 50-µl assay, 37 TBq/mmol; Amersham Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden) was carried out according to Mullet *et al.* (23). Protein was extracted with trichloroacetic acid, depleted of chlorophyll, and washed and run on 10–20% SDS/polyacrylamide gradients (27). Protein detection was made by Coomassie staining and autoradiography or by Western blotting (28).

Protein Import Assay in Vitro and in Planta. Protein import into isolated *Arabidopsis* chloroplasts and etioplasts was studied as described by using cDNA-encoded, wheat germ-translated 35S precursors (24). Briefly, 35S precursors were synthesized from corresponding cDNA clones by coupled transcription/translation and incubated with isolated, energy-depleted *Arabidopsis* plastids from wild-type and *Atoep16*-*1* plants. Plastids were treated with thermolysin (29) after import to degrade unimported precursors. Chemical cross-linking of 5,5-dithiobis(2 nitrobenzoic acid)-derivatized 35S-transA-DHFR, consisting of the transit peptide of pPORA (transA) and a cytosolic dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) reporter protein of mouse, was carried out as described (17).

TransA-GFP derivatives were produced as described in *[SI](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0610934104/DC1) [Methods](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0610934104/DC1)*. Transient expression of TransA-GFP derivatives in *Arabidopsis* leaf epidermis cells was performed after ballistic bombardment by using a pneumatic particle inflow gun according to Finer *et al.* (30). The conditions of bombardment were adjusted to helium pressure of 6.5 bar, at a 12-cm target distance, with a disperse grid at 7 cm, using 1 μ m of gold microcarriers (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). After bombardment, the plantlets were kept under sterile conditions and incubated for 24 h in darkness. Confocal laser scanning microscopy was carried out by using a LSM 510 Meta microscope (Zeiss, Jena, Germany) with krypton/argon laser excitation at 488 nm and an emission

- 1. von Wettstein D, Gough S, Kannangara CG (1995) *Plant Cell* 7:1039–1057.
- 2. Kirk JTO, Tilney-Basset RAE (1978) *The Plastids: Their Chemistry, Structure, Growth, and Inheritance* (Elsevier North-Holland Biomedical Press, Amsterdam).
- 3. Holtorf H, Reinbothe S, Reinbothe C, Bereza B, Apel K (1995) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 92:3254–3258.
- 4. Reinbothe C, Lebedev N, Reinbothe S (1999) *Nature* 397:80–84.
- 5. Reinbothe S, Pollmann S, Reinbothe C (2003) *J Biol Chem* 278:807–815.
- 6. Rebeiz CA, Nandihalli UB, Velu J (1990) *Photochem Photobiol* 52:1099–1117.
- 7. Matringe M, Camadro JM, Labbe P, Scalla R (1989) *Biochem J* 260:231–235.
- 8. Mock H-P, Keetman U, Kruse E, Rank B, Grimm B (1998) *Plant Physiol* 116:107–116.
- 9. Beale SI, Weinstein JD (1990) in *Biosynthesis of Heme and Chorophyll*, ed Dailey HA (McGraw–Hill, New York), pp 287–391.
- 10. Pontoppidan B, Kannangara CG (1994) *Eur J Biochem* 225:529–537.
- 11. Vothknecht UC, Kannangara CG, von Wettstein D (1998) *Phytochemistry* 47:513– 519.
- 12. Meskauskiene R, Nater M, Gosling D, Kessler F, op den Camp R, Apel K (2001) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98:12826–12831.
- 13. Kahn A (1968) *Plant Physiol* 43:1781–1785.

IAS

 \overline{a}

- 14. Reinbothe S, Quigley F, Gray J, Schemenewitz A, Reinbothe C (2004) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101:2197–2202.
- 15. Reinbothe S, Runge S, Reinbothe C, van Cleve B, Apel K (1995) *Plant Cell* 7:161–172.

wavelength window from 505 to 530 nm. LSM 510 Meta software release 3.2 (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) and Photoshop 7 (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA) were used for image acquisition and processing. Nondenaturing, analytical PAGE was carried out as described (31).

Miscellaneous. Electron microscopy was carried out by using ultrathin sections of leaf tissues prepared from etiolated plants using a Zeiss 109 electron microscope.

We are indebted to L. Reinbothe for editorial work. This work was supported by a Chaire d'Excellence research project grant from the French Ministry of Research (to C.R.). This is scientific paper no. 1201-06 from the College of Agricultural, Human, and Natural Resource Sciences of Washington State University.

- 16. Reinbothe S, Reinbothe C, Holtorf H, Apel K (1995) *Plant Cell* 7:1933–1940.
- 17. Reinbothe S, Quigley F, Springer A, Schemenewitz A, Reinbothe C (2004) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101:2203–2208.
- 18. Aronsson H, Sohrt K, Soll J (2000) *Biol Chem* 381:1263–1267.
- 19. Alonso JM, Stepanova AN, Leisse TJ, Kim CJ, Chen H, Shinn P, Stevenson DK, Zimmerman J, Barajas P, Cheuk R, *et al*. (2003) *Science* 301:653–657.
- 20. Reinbothe S, Pollmann S, Reinbothe C (2003) *J Biol Chem* 278:800–806.
- 21. Pohlmeyer K, Soll J, Steinkamp T, Hinnah S, Wagner R (1997) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 94:9504–9509.
- 22. Mans RJ, Novelli GD (1960) *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 3:540–543.
- 23. Mullet JE, Klein RR, Grossman AR (1986) *Eur J Biochem* 155:331–338.
- 24. Reinbothe S, Pollmann S, Springer A, James RJ, Tichtinsky G, Reinbothe C (2005) *Plant J* 42:1–12.
- 25. Moore MR, McColl KEL, Rimington C, Goldberg SA (1990) *Disease of Porphyrin Metabolism* (Plenum, New York).
- 26. Wagner D, Przybyla D, Op den Camp R, Kim C, Landgraf F, Lee KP, Wursch M, Laloi C, Nater M, Apel K (2004) *Science* 306:1183–1185.
- 27. Laemmli UK (1970) *Nature* 227:680–685.
- 28. Towbin M, Staehelin T, Gordon J (1979) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 76:4350–4354.
- 29. Cline K, Werner-Washburne M, Andrews J, Keegstra K (1984) *Plant Physiol*
- 75:675–678.
- 30. Finer JJ, Vain P, Jones MW, McMullen MD (1992) *Plant Cell Rep* 11:323–328.
- 31. Reinbothe S, Krauspe R, Parthier B (1990) *J Plant Physiol* 137:81–87.