CovR Activation of the Dipeptide Permease Promoter (P*dppA*) in Group A Streptococcus^{\triangledown}

Asiya A. Gusa, Barbara J. Froehlich, Devak Desai,† Virginia Stringer, and June R. Scott*

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia 30322

Received 14 July 2006/Accepted 11 September 2006

CovR, the two-component response regulator of *Streptococcus pyogenes* **(group A streptococcus [GAS]) directly or indirectly represses about 15% of the genome, including genes encoding many virulence factors and itself. Transcriptome analyses also showed that some genes are activated by CovR. We asked whether the regulation by CovR of one of these genes,** *dppA***, the first gene in an operon encoding a dipeptide permease, is direct or indirect. Direct regulation by CovR was suggested by the presence of five CovR consensus binding sequences (CBs) near the putative promoter. In this study, we identified the 5 end of the** *dppA* **transcript synthesized in vivo and showed that the start of** *dppA* **transcription in vitro is the same. We found that CovR binds specifically to the** *dppA* **promoter region (P***dppA***) in vitro with an affinity similar to that at which it binds to other CovR-regulated promoters. Disruption of any of the five CBs by a substitution of GG for TT inhibited CovR binding to that site in vitro, and binding at two of the CBs appeared cooperative. In vivo, CovR activation of transcription was not affected by individual mutations of any of the four CBs that we could study. This suggests that the binding sites are redundant in vivo. In vitro, CovR did not activate transcription from P***dppA* **in experiments using purified GAS RNA polymerase and either linear or supercoiled DNA template. Therefore, we propose that in vivo, CovR may interfere with the binding of a repressor of P***dppA***.**

Streptococcus pyogenes (group A streptococcus [GAS]) is an exclusively human pathogen that causes multiple diseases, both mild and severe. In some individuals, the serious poststreptococcal sequelae rheumatic fever and rheumatic heart disease or acute glomerulonephritis may occur. Mild GAS infections of the skin and throat include pharyngitis, impetigo, and scarlet fever, while more invasive life-threatening infections include necrotizing fasciitis and streptococcal toxic shock syndrome (7). Thus, GAS must be able to adapt to, and grow in, many different microenvironments within its human host. Since GAS encodes only one secondary sigma factor (σ^X) (43), and this is not expressed in laboratory culture (42), GAS must have alternate means to mount global changes in gene expression to adapt to environmental stresses.

Two-component signal transduction systems are often used by bacteria to sense and respond to alterations in environmental conditions, such as those encountered during infection by GAS (29, 50, 53). Typically, these systems consist of a membrane-bound sensor kinase and a cytoplasmic DNA-binding response regulator protein. The sensor kinase detects a change in the extracellular environment and responds by autophosphorylation. The phosphoryl group is then transferred by the kinase to the response regulator, resulting in enhanced DNA binding of the response regulator to target promoters for activation or repression of gene expression.

The two-component signal transduction system CovR/CovS (CsrR/CsrS) is a global regulator that controls the expression of about 15% of GAS genes (8, 20), including those encoding virulence factors that enable the organism to attach to host cells, tolerate stress conditions, and evade the immune system (8, 16, 27, 31). The importance of the CovR/CovS system in GAS pathogenesis is attested to by the findings that it is expressed in vivo in animal models of infection (5, 9, 55) and that its presence correlates with severe invasive disease in humans (54). Unlike most response regulators, CovR represses most of the genes that it controls, including its own expression (25). Regulation by CovR may be indirect, through a cascade involving an additional transcription factor (48), or direct, by binding at the regulated promoter. Direct regulation of transcription by CovR in vitro was demonstrated at the promoters for genes encoding *has* (capsule synthesis), *sag* (streptolysin S), *covRS* (18, 24, 25), and *riv* (48). Furthermore, in vivo, repression by CovR is reduced by substitution mutations in the CovR binding sites at the *has* and *covRS* promoters (17, 25).

The *dppA* promoter, P*dppA*, which is regulated positively by CovR, has five CovR consensus binding sequences (CBs) within 200 bp upstream of the first open reading frame, suggesting a direct interaction with CovR. Binding sites of transcriptional activators are usually located upstream of promoters to allow contact with RNA polymerase to increase the initiation of transcription (3). However, at P*dppA*, CovR binding sites are located both upstream and downstream of the putative promoter, which is similar to the location of CBs at promoters of genes repressed by CovR (18, 24, 25). Therefore, we wanted to investigate whether CovR binds P*dppA* directly to activate transcription and, if so, how CovR interacts differently at this promoter to increase, instead of decrease, transcription.

In this study, we confirmed that CovR increases *dppA* transcription in vivo. However, although CovR binds P*dppA* specifically in vitro at the predicted CBs, this binding alone does

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30322. Phone: (404) 727-0402. Fax: (404) 727-8999. E-mail: scott@microbio.emory.edu.

[†] Present address: Medical College of Georgia, 1120 15th Street,

Published ahead of print on 22 September 2006.

TABLE 1. Primers used in this study

^a Uppercase letters indicate sequences derived from the genes of interest, lowercase letters indicate sequences added for cloning purposes, and underlined sequences indicate restriction sites.

not stimulate transcription from the *dppA* promoter in vitro. It appears, therefore, that a factor is present in vivo that is absent from our in vitro transcription system. Thus, CovR activation at P*dppA* is unlike CovR repression at P*has*, P*cov*, P*sag*, and P*riv*, where no additional factor is required.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Media and strains. *Escherichia coli* K-12 strain DH5α was used for constructing all plasmids. All GAS strains are derivatives of the M6 serotype strain JRS4 (41). *Escherichia coli* strains were grown in LB broth (51), and GAS strains were grown at 37°C without agitation in Todd-Hewitt broth supplemented with 0.2% yeast extract.

Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: ampicillin at $100 \mu g/ml$ for *E. coli*, kanamycin at 50 μ g/ml for *E. coli* and 200 μ g/ml for GAS, and spectinomycin at 100 μ g/ml for both *E. coli* and GAS.

RPAs. RNase protection assays (RPAs) were performed on late-log-phase RNA harvested from GAS as described previously (10). The *dppA* segment was amplified using primers dppA-M6-F and dppA-M6-R (all primers are listed in Table 1) to construct pEU7564. Labeling and preparation of an antisense RNA probe for *dppA* from the pEU7564 template were the same as those for the *gusA* probe (10).

Primer extension. An antisense primer to the *dppA* open reading frame (PdppA PE3) was radiolabeled with $[\gamma^{-32}P]ATP$ (38) and used in a reverse transcription reaction of late-log-phase RNA isolated from JRS4. Primer extension was performed using the protocol for reverse transcriptase Superscript Enzyme II (Invitrogen).

Construction of pEU7535. The P*dppA* promoter region was cloned upstream of transcriptional terminators in pEU2146, a derivative of pTrc99a (1). To construct pEU2146, an EcoRI- and BamHI-digested P*rns* promoter fragment was cloned into a 2.1-kb fragment of pTrc99a using primers Ptrc99a-EcoRI and Ptrc99a-BamHI (G. P. Munson and J. R. Scott, unpublished data). The P*rns* promoter was excised using enzymes BamHI and EcoRI. Primers PdppA S1 BamHI and PdppA A2 PstI were used to PCR amplify the *dppA* promoter region (positions -233 to $+102$ with respect to the *dppA* transcriptional start) from the JRS4 chromosome. The resulting PCR product was cloned into the BamHI and EcoRI sites of pEU2146 to construct pEU7535.

Mutation of CBs. CBs at P*dppA* were mutated by substituting guanine residues for thymine residues in the ATTARA sequence by site-directed mutagenesis using complementary primer pairs (Table 1). Each sense strand primer containing the desired mutation was used with PdppA XhoI and each antisense primer containing the desired mutation was used with PdppA BamHI to amplify a

segment of P*dppA* from the JRS4 chromosome. These PCR products subsequently served as templates in an overlapping PCR with the outside primers PdppA BamHI and PdppA XhoI to produce the mutated P*dppA* fragments (positions -233 to $+102$) with flanking BamHI and XhoI sites.

Construction of reporter fusions. All P*dppA-gusA* reporter fusions were cloned upstream of the *gusA* reporter gene in pJRS462 (25), a suicide vector containing regions of homology to the VIT locus of RTG229 (19) for single-copy introduction into the chromosome of GAS. To construct the P*dppA-gusA* wildtype transcriptional fusion, primers PdppA S1 BamHI and PdppA A1 XhoI were used to amplify a 335-bp segment of $PdppA$ (positions -233 to $+102$) from the JRS4 chromosome. This segment was cloned upstream of the *gusA* reporter gene in pJRS462 between the BamHI and XhoI sites to construct pEU7526. To construct the P*dppA*-*gusA* CB mutant fusions (with TT-to-GG substitutions), PCR products containing the mutated P*dppA* regions with flanking BamHI and XhoI sites (see above) were cloned into pJRS462 to create the following plasmids with the indicated promoter mutations (Table 2): pEU7524 (CB-1*), pEU7527 (CB-2*), pEU7531 (CB-3*), pEU7525 (CB-4*), and pEU7528 (CB-5*). The resulting GAS strains are listed in Table 2.

To construct the PdppA-gusA \triangle CB-5 fusion, a region including the *dppA* promoter from positions -233 to $+14$ was amplified from the JRS4 chromosome using primers PdppA S1 BamHI and PdppA A3 XhoI. The resulting PCR product was cloned into the BamHI and XhoI sites of pJRS462 to construct

TABLE 2. P*dppA-gusA* transcriptional fusions in *E. coli* and GAS and locations of consensus sequence mutations

$PdppA-gusA$ fusion	Location of TT-to-GG mutation ^{a}	Plasmid ^b	GAS strain ^c	
			$CovR+$	$CovR^-$
Wild type		pEU7526	JRS675	JRS679
$CB-1*$	$-158, -157$	pEU7524	JRS661	JRS671
$CB-2^*$	$-146, -145$	pEU7527	JRS662	JRS672
$CB-3*$	$-108, -107$	pEU7531	JRS680	JRS685
$CB-4*$	$-51, -52$	pEU7525	JRS663	JRS676
$CB-5*$	$+29, +30$	pEU7528	JRS664	JRS674

^{*a*} The location of thymine base pair substitutions is indicated with respect to the $dpnA$ transcriptional start.

 b P*dppA* wild-type and CB mutant promoter regions were cloned upstream of the *gusA* reporter in pJRS462 in *E*. *coli*.

PdppA-gusA fusions were integrated in a single copy into the chromosome of GAS strain RTG229 in $CovR⁺$ or $CovR⁻$ backgrounds.

pEU7540. The plasmid was linearized with XmnI, and the reporter fusion was introduced in a single copy into the chromosome as described above.

Deletion of the *covR* **gene.** The *covR* gene in each GAS transcriptional fusion strain was replaced with a nonpolar spectinomycin resistance cassette (32) using a temperature-sensitive plasmid, pJRS651 (25).

Purification and phosphorylation of CovR. Native CovR protein was purified from *E. coli* and phosphorylated with acetyl phosphate as described previously (18). For in vitro transcriptions, following phosphorylation, acetyl phosphate was removed by purification through MicroBioSpin columns (Bio-Rad). The protein concentration was determined using the Micro BCA protein assay kit (Pierce) standardized against bovine serum albumin.

DNase I protection assay. Primers PdppA S1 BamHI and PdppA A1 XhoI were used to amplify the 335-bp $PdppA$ segment (positions -233 to $+102$) of the JRS4 chromosome. DNase I protection assays with purified CovR were performed as described previously (17).

Gus assays. GAS cultures were grown to late log phase in Todd-Hewitt broth supplemented with 0.2% yeast extract and assayed for specific β -glucuronidase (GusA) activity (15).

Purification of GAS RNA polymerase and major sigma factor. RNA polymerase from GAS was purified as described previously (43). The major sigma factor from GAS (RpoD) was expressed in *E. coli* and purified as described previously (25).

In vitro transcription. Transcription reactions were performed as described previously (18). To identify transcripts from the putative *dppA* promoter, pEU7526 (see reporter fusions) was digested separately at each of two sites (EcoRI and XhoI) downstream of the promoter so that transcript sizes could be predicted. Transcripts from the promoter were of the predicted size $(\sim 130$ bp and \sim 102 bp for EcoRI and XhoI digests, respectively), indicating that the 5' end of the transcript mapped by primer extension in vivo coincides with the *dppA* start of transcription in vitro.

Insertional inactivation of *codY***.** A 593-bp internal segment of the *codY* gene was amplified from the JRS4 chromosome using primers codY S1 EcoRI and codY A1 SacI and cloned between the EcoRI and SacI sites of the suicide vector pSK-Erm (42) to construct pEU7562. The plasmid was electroporated into JRS675 (P*dppA*-*gusA* reporter strain) and integrated into the chromosome by a single crossover. The presence of the integrated plasmid within the *codY* open reading frame was confirmed by PCR.

IS*S1* **mutagenesis.** Transposon mutagenesis using the temperature-sensitive plasmid pGhost9-ISS1 (33) was performed on JRS679 (Table 2), the isogenic *covR* derivative of the wild-type P*dppA-gusA* reporter strain. Survivors at the nonpermissive temperature were screened for increased transcription from the P*dppA-gusA* reporter by replica plating onto Thy plates spread with 5-bromo-4chloro-3-indoxy-beta-D-glucuronide (X-glu; Gold BioTechnology, Inc.). Colonies with increased Gus activity (blue) were analyzed further.

RESULTS

CovR activates *dppA* **expression in vivo.** Microarray analyses showed that the amount of *dppA* transcript in a strain deleted for CovR is reduced about fivefold in late exponential phase in a serotype M1 GAS strain (20) and about fourfold in a serotype M6 strain (10). We confirmed these results with an RPA using radiolabeled antisense RNA probes to the *dppA* open reading frame and the $gusA$ (β -glucuronidase) reporter gene fused to the *dppA* promoter region (Fig. 1). Controls for experiments described below using quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (data not shown) and reporter enzyme assays (see below) also corroborated these results.

CovR binds P*dppA* in vitro. The 5' end of the *dppA* transcript lies downstream of putative -35 and -10 promoter elements and 48 bp upstream of the *dppA* open reading frame, as shown by primer extension analysis of RNA isolated from JRS4 in late log phase (Fig. 2). The size of the transcript produced in vitro from P*dppA* using GAS RNA polymerase and GAS σ factor was consistent with this (Fig. 3).

We found that CovR retarded the mobility of a 335-bp fragment (from positions -233 to $+102$) of the JRS4 chromosome that includes the *dppA* promoter (data not shown), dem-

FIG. 1. CovR activates *dppA* expression in vivo. RPA was performed on RNA isolated from the P*dppA-gusA* transcriptional fusion parent strain (JRS675, designated wt) and derivative strains $\Delta covR$ (JRS679) and $\Delta \text{cod}Y$ (JRS692). RNA was isolated from late-log-phase cultures and probed with *gusA* and *dppA* antisense radiolabeled RNA. A ribonucleotide size marker (M) (Ambion) is shown to the right of the image. nt, nucleotides.

onstrating that CovR binds to this region. Binding of CovR was localized more precisely by DNase I protection assays. We found four regions of P*dppA* DNA, from positions -163 to 40, that were specifically protected by CovR (Fig. 4 and 5). Within each region of protected DNA, there is at least one ATTARA consensus or near-consensus binding sequence (CB). Binding at CB-3 and CB-4 requires a higher concentration of CovR than does binding to the other CBs, suggesting that the affinity of CovR for these sites is lower. The sequence ATTAAC, labeled CB-3, differs from the consensus by a single base, and at other promoters, P*cov* and P*sag*, CovR was also shown to bind at near-consensus binding sequences (18, 25).

In a DNase I protection assay of P*dppA* with phosphorylated CovR (incubated with acetyl phosphate), we found that phosphorylation increased the binding affinity of the protein to the CB-1 and CB-2 regions by twofold and the CB-5 region by at least threefold; however, the regions of DNA protected were the same (data not shown).

Mutation of CB sequences at P*dppA* **disrupts binding of CovR in vitro.** The thymine residues of CB sequence AT TARA are required for binding of CovR at P*has* and P*cov* (17, 25). To determine whether these residues are also required for CovR binding at P*dppA*, we substituted guanine residues for thymine residues at each CB by site-directed mutagenesis and used DNase I protection assays to evaluate the ability of CovR to bind to the mutated DNA. Within the region where the mutation was located, protection was reduced by the mutation of each CB sequence (Fig. 6), although the binding at the other protected sequences showed little if any effect of the mutation. The exception to this lack of cooperativity in binding occurred at CB-1 and CB-2, which are both located within the same protected region. In these cases, mutation of either CB prevented protection within the entire region (Fig. 6A). In addition to inhibiting binding in the protected region that included the mutation, the mutation at CB-5 also shifted the location of the protected region downstream from that protected on wildtype DNA (Fig. 6B). These results show that CovR binds

FIG. 2. Primer extension to map the 5' end of the *dppA* transcript. Antisense primer P*dppA* PE3 was annealed to RNA isolated from JRS4 in late log phase, and primer extension was performed using Superscript II enzyme (Invitrogen). The arrow (+1) indicates the 5' end of the transcript. Predicted promoter elements $(-35 \text{ and } -10)$ and the ribosome binding site (rbs) are labeled. CovR consensus binding sequences (CB) are shown in relation to the start of *dppA* transcription. ORF, open reading frame.

specifically at P*dppA* in a manner similar to that at other promoters (17, 18, 25).

Effect of CB mutations on P*dppA* **transcription in vivo.** To determine whether CovR binding sites at P*dppA* are required for activation of the promoter in vivo, we constructed transcriptional fusions of the *dppA* promoter region (from positions -233 to $+102$ with respect to the start of transcription) to the $gusA$ (β -glucuronidase) reporter gene. The wild-type promoter fusion (P*dppA*-*gusA*) as well as promoter fusions containing individual CB mutations (Table 2) were introduced in single a copy at an ectopic site into the GAS chromosome (see Materials and Methods), leaving the native *dppA* locus intact. Reporter activity (Gus activity) was measured in extracts of wild-type and isogenic *covR* strains harvested at late log phase.

We found that Gus activity from the wild-type promoter was about fourfold higher in the presence of CovR than in its absence (Fig. 7), consistent with previous results measuring *dppA* RNA (Fig. 1). CovR increased activity three- to fivefold from promoters mutated at CB-1, -2, -3, and -5, which is comparable to the amount of activation of the wild-type promoter (Fig. 7). Therefore, mutations at these CBs had little or no effect on the CovR activation of P*dppA*. Although no activation by CovR was detected from the CB-4 mutant promoter, the activity of this promoter approached the background level of detection for the assay (data not shown). Therefore, we are

FIG. 3. Identification of the *dppA* transcript by in vitro transcription. In vitro transcription was performed using plasmid pEU7526 (containing the P*dppA* region from positions -233 to +102) linearized separately with two different enzymes (EcoRI and XhoI) at sites downstream of the 5' end of the *dppA* transcript (+1). A ribonucleotide size marker (M) (Ambion) is shown to the right of the image. ORF, open reading frame. nt, nucleotides.

FIG. 4. Protection by CovR at P*dppA* from DNase I. A 335-bp region including the *dppA* promoter was labeled and used as the DNA template. Regions of protection are indicated by solid lines, and CovR consensus binding sequences (CB) are labeled. The bent arrow indicates the start of *dppA* transcription.

unable to rule out the possibility that the CB-4 mutation prevents transcription from P*dppA*, for example, by preventing binding of RNA polymerase.

Since the CB-5 mutation at P*dppA* did not fully disrupt the

binding of CovR to this site in vitro (Fig. 6B) and was therefore unlikely to fully disrupt CovR binding in vivo, it remained possible that this binding site was required for P*dppA* activation. To evaluate this, we constructed a truncated P*dppA-gusA*

CCTGTATTAA TGGCTATCTG AAGTTTTTGA TTCCCTTCGT AAACTACAAT TCCTTTATTT CTTAGTGATC GGACATAATT ACCGATAGAC TTCAAAAACT AAGGGAAGCA TTTGATGTTA AGGAAATAAA GAATCACTAG

FIG. 5. CovR binding sites at P*dppA*. Regions of CovR protection at P*dppA* based on DNase I footprints shown in Fig. 4 are outlined. CovR consensus binding sequences (CB) are underlined. Promoter elements (-35 and -10) are indicated, and the bent arrow indicates the 5' end of the *dppA* transcript.

FIG. 6. Binding of CovR to P*dppA* binding site mutant DNA. Shown is DNase I protection of wild-type P*dppA* (335-bp fragment) and each consensus binding site mutant DNA (CB-1* through CB-5*). Panel A shows the binding of CovR to binding site mutant DNA CB-1*, CB-2*, and CB-3* (sense strand), while panel B shows the binding of CovR to CB-4* and CB-5* DNA (antisense strand). Regions of protection are indicated by solid lines, and the location of each mutation (TT-to-GG substitution) is indicated to the right of each footprint by a large asterisk. The images are cropped to show the relevant data.

fusion (from positions -233 to $+14$) that was deleted for the CB-5 sequence. This fusion, designated PdppA-gusA Δ CB-5, was introduced in a single copy into the GAS chromosome, as was done for the other fusions. Activity from the P*dppA-gusA* \triangle CB-5 reporter in both wild-type and *covR* derivative strains was about twofold higher than the original P*dppA-gusA* fusion (data not shown). This may be due to the change in proximity of the promoter to the *gusA* ribosome binding site or to the deletion of a negative regulatory element located within the region omitted from the fusion. Despite the increase in reporter expression, activity from the PdppA-gusA Δ CB-5 fusion was about fivefold higher in the presence of CovR (Fig. 7),

suggesting that CB-5 is not needed for CovR-dependent activation of P*dppA*.

CovR does not activate P*dppA* **in vitro.** To determine whether phosphorylated CovR activates transcription from P*dppA* directly, we used an in vitro transcription system with purified GAS RNA polymerase (25) and a supercoiled DNA template. The 335-bp region including P*dppA* used in the DNA binding and reporter assays was cloned upstream of transcriptional terminators to produce pEU7535. As a control that should be unaffected by CovR, the P*trc* promoter in pTrc99a (1), which is also located upstream of transcriptional terminators, was used. The two transcripts produced

FIG. 7. CovR activation of wild-type and mutant P*dppA-gusA* fusions. Extracts of late-log-phase GAS cultures were harvested, and the specific activity of β -glucuronidase was measured in wild-type and isogenic CovR⁺ and CovR⁻ derivatives of each strain. The ratio of the activity in a $CovR⁺$ strain divided by its activity in a $CovR⁻$ strain is shown. The location of each binding site mutation (CB*) is indicated by an asterisk, the start of transcription is indicated by an arrow, and the -10 and -35 elements of the promoter are indicated by boxes.

from each promoter (P*dppA* and P*trc*) (Fig. 8) probably result from the inefficiency of termination of transcription by the first terminator, since they differ in size by the distance between the two terminators (175 bases). Unexpectedly, neither CovR (data not shown) nor CovR-P activated transcription from P*dppA*. Instead, at a concentration of CovR-P that had no effect on P*trc*, it repressed P*dppA*. Similarly, when P*dppA* was present on a linear template,

CovR-P did not activate but repressed transcription (data not shown), although 50% repression of P*dppA* required twice the CovR-P concentration necessary to achieve 50% repression of P*has* and P*cov* on linear DNA templates (data not shown). Therefore, in the absence of other factors, CovR-P appears to repress (at high concentrations), not activate, transcription from P*dppA* in vitro using either a linear or supercoiled DNA template.

FIG. 8. Effect of CovR-P on *dppA* expression in vitro. Transcripts from P*trc* (labeled Control 1 and Control 2) and P*dppA* (labeled dppA1 and dppA2) preincubated with or without CovR-P prior to the addition of RNA polymerase are shown. The DNA templates used are listed below the figure, and a ribonucleotide size marker (M) (Ambion) in nucleotides (nt) is shown to the right of the image.

CodY does not repress *dppA* **expression in GAS.** The difference in the effect of CovR on P*dppA* in vivo and in vitro suggests that the in vitro system is missing a factor important for PdppA activation. Since CovR binds specifically to but does not activate P*dppA* in vitro, two simple explanations for the activation of P*dppA* in vivo by CovR are that a coactivator is required or that CovR interferes with the binding of a repressor at this promoter. In other bacteria, similar operons producing enzymes used to scavenge peptides from the environment are repressed by the pleiotropic repressor CodY. Both the *dpp* operon in *Bacillus subtilis* (37, 52) and the *opp* operon (oligopeptide transport system) in *Lactococcus lactis* (22) are repressed by CodY. Because GAS has a *codY* homolog in its genome, it seemed possible that CovR might interfere with CodY binding to the *dppA* promoter, thereby increasing *dppA* transcript levels.

To determine whether CodY represses *dppA* expression in GAS, we insertionally inactivated *codY* in the P*dppA-gusA* reporter strain (JRS675) and measured *dppA* and *gusA* transcript levels by RPA (Fig. 1). Unexpectedly, we found that in the absence of CodY, *dppA* and *gusA* expression levels were reduced eight- and fivefold, respectively, compared to that of the wild-type strain (Fig. 1). A Gus assay comparing activity in the wild type and *codY* isogenic strains confirmed the RPA result: P*dppA* activity in the *codY* strain was reduced fivefold (data not shown). This suggests that CodY does not repress P*dppA* in GAS but rather is required for wild-type expression from this promoter. Because the CodY mutation is polar, it is possible that the activation of P*dppA* might be due to a gene downstream of *codY*. However, the nearest downstream gene is a putative pyrazinamidase/nicotinamidase (SPy1776), which is unlikely to have this effect. After this work was completed (23), CodY activation of P*dppA* was reported in a different strain of GAS (34).

Isolation of mutants in a putative repressor or coactivator of *dppA***.** In an attempt to identify a putative regulator of P*dppA*, the *covR* deletion strain containing the P*dppA-gusA* transcriptional fusion at an ectopic location (JRS679) was subjected to IS*S1* transposon mutagenesis (33). Of about 15,000 colonies containing the inserted transposon in 12 independent mutagenesis experiments, three independent mutants were found to have increased GusA activity. This is an unexpectedly low number for GAS, which has only about 2,000 genes. Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR demonstrated that there was at least a fivefold increase in transcription from P*dppA* for two of these mutants compared with that of the parental strain (data not shown). Sequence analysis of both P*dpp* regions of each of the two mutants showed no changes from the wild-type P*dpp* sequence. Because there was an increase in *gusA* activity from P*dppA-gusA* as well as an increase in transcription from P*dppA* at its native location in these mutants (data not shown), it is likely that both of these independently derived mutations affect a regulator of *dppA* expression. Unfortunately, we were unable to map the location of these mutations because the IS*S1* element was not present in the chromosome of these strains, indicating that the phenotype resulted from a spontaneous mutation. The isolation of spontaneous mutations with increased activity of P*dppA*, accompanied by the failure to isolate insertion mutations with a similar phenotype, suggests that the regulator of P*dppA* in GAS is essential for growth.

DISCUSSION

Because they interact differently with RNA polymerase, most transcriptional activators bind to promoter regions of DNA at different locations from those to which transcriptional repressors bind (3). To recruit RNA polymerase to target promoters, most activators bind upstream of the core -35 and -10 promoter elements. For example, class I activators, which interact with the C-terminal domain of the α subunit of RNA polymerase, bind promoter DNA upstream of the -35 sequence (14). The precise location of these activator binding sites can vary due to flexibility in the linker region connecting the α C-terminal domain and α N-terminal domain subunit of RNA polymerase. Class II activators contact the σ^{70} subunit of the RNA polymerase holoenzyme by binding upstream of promoters at or near position -41.5 (13, 40). A third class of activators, which includes members of the MerR family, binds the spacer region between the -35 and -10 elements to activate transcription. These activators change the conformation of DNA at the promoter to shorten the distance between the -35 and -10 elements, thus promoting binding of the RNA polymerase holoenzyme (2, 28).

In contrast to transcriptional activators, whose binding sites are generally located upstream of promoter DNA, binding sites for transcriptional repressors usually overlap the -35 and -10 promoter elements and also may occur downstream of the start of transcription (3, 49). Repressors may inhibit transcription by sterically interfering with RNA polymerase binding to a promoter or by inhibiting later steps in the transcription process, including the transition of the RNA polymerase-promoter complex from closed to open, promoter clearance, and transcriptional elongation (49). Repressors may also bind at sites flanking the -35 and -10 promoter elements to create a DNA loop that prevents access of RNA polymerase (6).

The presence of CovR CBs at P*dppA* suggested that the activation of this promoter might be direct. In agreement with this, we found that CovR binds specifically to P*dppA* in vitro (Fig. 4), and, as at other CovR-regulated promoters, the thymine residues of the ATTARA consensus sequences are required for the CovR-P*dppA* interaction (Fig. 6). Consistent with binding of an activator protein, four CovR binding sites at *PdppA* are upstream of the -35 sequence, and CovR binding does not protect the -35 and -10 elements from DNase I digestion. However, CovR also protected a region (CB-5) downstream of the promoter in DNase footprints (Fig. 4). Usually, transcription factors that bind downstream of promoters act to repress transcription, although in *E. coli*, activation by the AraC family member Rns requires a site downstream of the start of transcription at *Prns* as well as upstream of the -35 element (39).

To determine the role of CB-5 and the other CBs at P*dppA* in vivo, we examined transcription from each mutated promoter at an ectopic chromosomal location. Individual mutations at CB-1, CB-2, CB-3, and CB-5 had no effect in vivo on the activation of P*dppA* by CovR. The CB-4 mutation, which is centered 18 bp upstream of the -35 sequence, reduced promoter activity to the background level, probably because it disrupts the binding of the α subunit of RNA polymerase. Therefore, we cannot determine whether binding at this site is required for CovR activation. That single CB mutations did not reduce CovR activation of P*dppA* in vivo suggests that their function is redundant. This differs from the binding of CovR at promoters that it represses, since at these promoters, binding to multiple sites is required to achieve full repression in vivo (17, 25).

Direct repression by CovR at P*has*, P*cov*, P*sag*, and P*riv* was demonstrated in vitro using a purified GAS transcription system (18, 24, 25, 48). Using the same transcription system, we were surprised to find that the addition of CovR to either a linear or supercoiled P*dppA* template did not result in activation (Fig. 8). Instead, transcription from P*dppA* was repressed in vitro by CovR-P (Fig. 8). The lack of direct activation of PdppA by purified CovR-P suggests that although it can bind to this promoter, CovR alone is unable to activate it. It appears that the in vitro system lacks a factor required for activation by CovR.

Although it is always possible that the salt conditions in the in vitro transcription system preclude the detection of CovR activation, there are several other possible explanations. One is that to activate transcription, CovR requires a cofactor or small molecule to alter its conformation so that it can interact with P*dppA* or RNA polymerase to facilitate, instead of inhibit, transcription. The best-known example of a transcriptional regulator that changes from a repressor to an activator on binding a small molecule is probably MerR. MerR binds between the -10 and -35 elements of the *merTPCAD* promoter to sequester the RNA polymerase holoenzyme and repress transcription. However, when HgII binds to MerR, the resulting conformation change in MerR brings these DNA regions into a position that facilitates RNA polymerase binding and leads to the activation of transcription (30). We do not favor this explanation, however, because CovR is a response regulator in the OmpR family. Although OmpR family proteins may require metal ions (as well as phosphorylation) to achieve the conformation optimal for binding to DNA, the lack of such ligands reduces their affinity for DNA but does not alter the contacts made between the protein and the DNA (26, 35). Therefore, if ligand binding by CovR converts it from a repressor to an activator, this would be unique among this wellstudied class of response regulator proteins.

Our ability to isolate mutants in a *covR* deletion strain that showed increased expression of both the P*dppA* transcriptional reporter as well as the native *dppA* locus suggests that GAS produces a *trans*-acting protein that affects the transcription of PdppA. The simplest explanation for this is that CovR functions as an antirepressor by competing with a repressor for binding to sites at P*dppA* or by interfering with the interaction of the repressor with RNA polymerase. In support of the existence of a repressor for P*dppA*, we found very low expression in vivo of GusA from P*dppA* (about 25 units) compared to its expression from P*ska* (about 70 units) or P*cov* (200 units) (data not shown), although the amount of transcript produced in vitro from linear templates was at least as great for P*dppA* as for each of these promoters (data not shown). The putative repressor of P*dppA* in GAS is not CodY (Fig. 1), although the CodY homolog in *B. subtilis* represses *dppA* expression in that organism (37, 52). It appears instead that the putative repressor is an essential protein, since we were not able to obtain transposon-mediated insertional mutations in the gene encoding it. It is possible that the presumed P*dppA* repressor might

be a histone-like DNA-binding protein similar to H-NS of gram-negative bacteria, which binds promoters that it regulates as a multimer to alter the conformation of DNA and repress transcription (12, 47).

Although the mechanism of CovR activation at P*dppA* remains unclear, it is clear that in GAS, the *dpp* operon is regulated by more than one transcriptional regulator in response to more than one signal. Since GAS is auxotrophic for 13 of the 20 amino acids (11), GAS requires peptide permeases to use small peptides in the environment as a source of these essential amino acids. In GAS, unlike other lactic acid bacteria, dipeptides are taken up exclusively by the DppA-E system encoded in this operon, which suggests that this operon is of critical importance for GAS growth (44). At least three global regulatory proteins are involved in the control of transcription from P*dppA*: CovR (8, 20), Mga (44), and CodY (34) (Fig. 1). Each of these regulators responds to a different set of environmental signals. CovR responds to stress signals including changes in pH, temperature, and osmolarity (10) and may respond to extracellular Mg^{2+} concentrations (21); Mga responds to elevated $CO₂$, temperature, and iron levels (4, 36, 45); and CodY responds to changes in nutritional status (34). With all of these signals feeding into *dpp* regulation, it is even possible that several regulatory proteins are present at the promoter simultaneously to control expression. The multiple regulatory circuits controlling P*dppA* suggest that the ability to use dipeptides in the environment is very important for growth of GAS under many different stress conditions.

This study increases our appreciation of the complexity of the CovR regulatory network. Previous work defined promoters that are directly repressed by CovR and require no other factors for this repression. We showed above that one promoter activated by CovR requires some additional factor whose availability is presumably subject to additional regulatory systems. Thus, the mechanism of activation at P*dppA* represents an additional way for CovR to provide regulatory input and to interact with other regulons. Several other GAS promoters are activated by CovR, and it will be interesting to learn whether this activation is direct or indirect and whether these promoters require additional regulatory factors that are the same or different from that required for the activation of P*dppA*. The complexity of these interacting regulatory networks in GAS has probably evolved to facilitate the growth of these bacteria under many different environmental conditions in the absence of alternative sigma factors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jinxin Gao and Gordon Churchward for supplying purified CovR used in some of the experiments.

This work was funded by NIH grant AI20723. A.A.G. was supported in part by NIH training grant T32-AI07470 and a UNCF-Merck Graduate Science Research Dissertation Fellowship.

REFERENCES

- 1. **Amann, E., B. Ochs, and K. J. Abel.** 1988. Tightly regulated tac promoter vectors useful for the expression of unfused and fused proteins in *Escherichia coli*. Gene **69:**301–315.
- 2. **Brown, N. L., J. V. Stoyanov, S. P. Kidd, and J. L. Hobman.** 2003. The MerR
- family of transcriptional regulators. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. **27:**145–163. 3. **Browning, D. F., and S. J. Busby.** 2004. The regulation of bacterial transcription initiation. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. **2:**57–65.
- 4. **Caparon, M. G., R. T. Geist, J. Perez-Casal, and J. R. Scott.** 1992. Environmental regulation of virulence in group A streptococci: transcription of the

gene encoding M protein is stimulated by carbon dioxide. J. Bacteriol. **174:**5693–5701.

- 5. **Cho, K. H., and M. G. Caparon.** 2005. Patterns of virulence gene expression differ between biofilm and tissue communities of *Streptococcus pyogenes*. Mol. Microbiol. **57:**1545–1556.
- 6. **Choy, H., and S. Adhya.** 1996. Negative control, p. 1287–1299. *In* F. C. Neidhardt, R. Curtiss III, J. L. Ingraham, E. C. C. Lin, K. B. Low, B. Magasanik, W. S. Reznikoff, M. Riley, M. Schaechter, and H. E. Umbarger (ed.), *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*: cellular and molecular biology, 2nd ed. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
- 7. **Cunningham, M. W.** 2000. Pathogenesis of group A streptococcal infections. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. **13:**470–511.
- 8. **Dalton, T. L., J. T. Collins, T. C. Barnett, and J. R. Scott.** 2006. RscA, a member of the MDR1 family of transporters, is repressed by CovR and required for growth of *Streptococcus pyogenes* under heat stress. J. Bacteriol. **188:**77–85.
- 9. **Dalton, T. L., R. I. Hobb, and J. R. Scott.** 2006. Analysis of the role of CovR and CovS in the dissemination of *Streptococcus pyogenes* in invasive skin disease. Microb. Pathog. **40:**221–227.
- 10. **Dalton, T. L., and J. R. Scott.** 2004. CovS inactivates CovR and is required for growth under conditions of general stress in *Streptococcus pyogenes*. J. Bacteriol. **186:**3928–3937.
- Davies, H. C., F. Karush, and J. H. Rudd. 1965. Effect of amino acids on steady-state growth of a group A hemolytic streptococcus. J. Bacteriol. **89:** 421–427.
- 12. **Dorman, C. J.** 2004. H-NS: a universal regulator for a dynamic genome. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. **2:**391–400.
- 13. **Dove, S. L., S. A. Darst, and A. Hochschild.** 2003. Region 4 of sigma as a target for transcription regulation. Mol. Microbiol. **48:**863–874.
- 14. **Ebright, R. H.** 1993. Transcription activation at class I CAP-dependent promoters. Mol. Microbiol. **8:**797–802.
- 15. **Eichenbaum, Z., M. J. Federle, D. Marra, W. M. de Vos, O. P. Kuipers, M. Kleerebezem, and J. R. Scott.** 1998. Use of the lactococcal *nisA* promoter to regulate gene expression in gram-positive bacteria: comparison of induction level and promoter strength. Appl. Environ Microbiol. **64:**2763–2769.
- 16. **Federle, M. J., K. S. McIver, and J. R. Scott.** 1999. A response regulator that represses transcription of several virulence operons in the group A streptococcus. J. Bacteriol. **181:**3649–3657.
- 17. **Federle, M. J., and J. R. Scott.** 2002. Identification of binding sites for the group A streptococcal global regulator CovR. Mol. Microbiol. **43:**1161–1172.
- 18. **Gao, J., A. A. Gusa, J. R. Scott, and G. Churchward.** 2005. Binding of the global response regulator protein CovR to the *sag* promoter of *Streptococcus pyogenes* reveals a new mode of CovR-DNA interaction. J. Biol. Chem. **280:**38948–38956.
- 19. **Geist, R. T., N. Okada, and M. G. Caparon.** 1993. Analysis of *Streptococcus pyogenes* promoters by using novel Tn*916*-based shuttle vectors for the construction of transcriptional fusions to chloramphenicol acetyltransferase. J. Bacteriol. **175:**7561–7570.
- 20. **Graham, M. R., L. M. Smoot, C. A. Migliaccio, K. Virtaneva, D. E. Sturdevant, S. F. Porcella, M. J. Federle, G. J. Adams, J. R. Scott, and J. M. Musser.** 2002. Virulence control in group A Streptococcus by a two-component gene regulatory system: global expression profiling and in vivo infection modeling. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **99:**13855–13860.
- 21. **Gryllos, I., J. C. Levin, and M. R. Wessels.** 2003. The CsrR/CsrS twocomponent system of group A Streptococcus responds to environmental Mg2. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **100:**4227–4232.
- 22. **Guedon, E., P. Serror, S. D. Ehrlich, P. Renault, and C. Delorme.** 2001. Pleiotropic transcriptional repressor CodY senses the intracellular pool of branched-chain amino acids in Lactococcus lactis. Mol. Microbiol. **40:**1227– 1239.
- 23. **Gusa, A. A.** 2005. Ph.D. thesis. Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA.
- 24. **Gusa, A. A., J. Gao, V. Stringer, G. Churchward, and J. R. Scott.** 2006. Phosphorylation of the group A streptococcal CovR response regulator causes dimerization and promoter-specific recruitment by RNA polymerase. J. Bacteriol. **188:**4620–4626.
- 25. **Gusa, A. A., and J. R. Scott.** 2005. The CovR response regulator of group A streptococcus (GAS) acts directly to repress its own promoter. Mol. Microbiol. **56:**1195–1207.
- 26. **Head, C. G., A. Tardy, and L. J. Kenney.** 1998. Relative binding affinities of OmpR and OmpR-phosphate at the *ompF* and *ompC* regulatory sites. J. Mol. Biol. **281:**857–870.
- 27. **Heath, A., V. J. DiRita, N. L. Barg, and N. C. Engleberg.** 1999. A twocomponent regulatory system, CsrR-CsrS, represses expression of three *Streptococcus pyogenes* virulence factors, hyaluronic acid capsule, streptolysin S, and pyrogenic exotoxin B. Infect. Immun. **67:**5298–5305.
- 28. **Heldwein, E. E., and R. G. Brennan.** 2001. Crystal structure of the transcription activator BmrR bound to DNA and a drug. Nature **409:**378–382.
- 29. **Hoch, J. A., and K. I. Varughese.** 2001. Keeping signals straight in phosphorelay signal transduction. J. Bacteriol. **183:**4941–4949.
- 30. **Kulkarni, R. D., and A. O. Summers.** 1999. MerR cross-links to the alpha, beta, and sigma 70 subunits of RNA polymerase in the preinitiation complex at the merTPCAD promoter. Biochemistry **38:**3362–3368.
- 31. **Levin, J. C., and M. R. Wessels.** 1998. Identification of *csrR*/*csrS*, a genetic locus that regulates hyaluronic acid capsule synthesis in group A Streptococcus. Mol. Microbiol. **30:**209–219.
- 32. **Lukomski, S., N. P. Hoe, I. Abdi, J. Rurangirwa, P. Kordari, M. Liu, S. J. Dou, G. G. Adams, and J. M. Musser.** 2000. Nonpolar inactivation of the hypervariable streptococcal inhibitor of complement gene (*sic*) in serotype M1 *Streptococcus pyogenes* significantly decreases mouse mucosal colonization. Infect. Immun. **68:**535–542.
- 33. **Maguin, E., H. Prevost, S. D. Ehrlich, and A. Gruss.** 1996. Efficient insertional mutagenesis in lactococci and other gram-positive bacteria. J. Bacteriol. **178:**931–935.
- 34. **Malke, H., K. Steiner, W. M. McShan, and J. J. Ferretti.** 2006. Linking the nutritional status of *Streptococcus pyogenes* to alteration of transcriptional gene expression: the action of CodY and RelA. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. **296:**259–275.
- 35. **McCleary, W. R.** 1996. The activation of PhoB by acetylphosphate. Mol. Microbiol. **20:**1155–1163.
- 36. **McIver, K. S., A. S. Heath, and J. R. Scott.** 1995. Regulation of virulence by environmental signals in group A streptococci: influence of osmolarity, temperature, gas exchange, and iron limitation on *emm* transcription. Infect. Immun. **63:**4540–4542.
- 37. **Molle, V., Y. Nakaura, R. P. Shivers, H. Yamaguchi, R. Losick, Y. Fujita, and A. L. Sonenshein.** 2003. Additional targets of the *Bacillus subtilis* global regulator CodY identified by chromatin immunoprecipitation and genomewide transcript analysis. J. Bacteriol. **185:**1911–1922.
- 38. **Munson, G. P., and J. R. Scott.** 1999. Binding site recognition by Rns, a virulence regulator in the AraC family. J. Bacteriol. **181:**2110–2117.
- 39. **Munson, G. P., and J. R. Scott.** 2000. Rns, a virulence regulator within the AraC family, requires binding sites upstream and downstream of its own promoter to function as an activator. Mol. Microbiol. **36:**1391–1402.
- 40. **Nickels, B. E., C. W. Roberts, H. Sun, J. W. Roberts, and A. Hochschild.** 2002. The sigma(70) subunit of RNA polymerase is contacted by the (lambda)Q antiterminator during early elongation. Mol. Cell **10:**611–622.
- 41. **Norgren, M., M. G. Caparon, and J. R. Scott.** 1989. A method for allelic replacement that uses the conjugative transposon Tn*916*: deletion of the *emm*6.1 allele in *Streptococcus pyogenes* JRS4. Infect. Immun. **57:**3846–3850.
- 42. **Opdyke, J. A., J. R. Scott, and C. P. Moran, Jr.** 2003. Expression of the secondary sigma factor $\sigma^{\dot{x}}$ in *Streptococcus pyogenes* is restricted at two levels. J. Bacteriol. **185:**4291–4297.
- 43. **Opdyke, J. A., J. R. Scott, and C. P. Moran, Jr.** 2001. A secondary RNA polymerase sigma factor from *Streptococcus pyogenes*. Mol. Microbiol. **42:** $495 - 502$.
- 44. **Podbielski, A., and B. A. Leonard.** 1998. The group A streptococcal dipeptide permease (Dpp) is involved in the uptake of essential amino acids and affects the expression of cysteine protease. Mol. Microbiol. **28:**1323–1334.
- 45. **Podbielski, A., J. A. Peterson, and P. Cleary.** 1992. Surface protein-CAT reporter fusions demonstrate differential gene expression in the *vir* regulon of *Streptococcus pyogenes*. Mol. Microbiol. **6:**2253–2265.
- 46. Reference deleted.
- 47. **Rimsky, S.** 2004. Structure of the histone-like protein H-NS and its role in regulation and genome superstructure. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. **7:**109–114.
- 48. **Roberts, S. A., G. Churchward, and J. R. Scott.** 2007. Unraveling the regulatory network in *Streptococcus pyogenes*: the global response regulator CovR represses *rivR* directly. J. Bacteriol. **189:**1459–1463.
- 49. **Rojo, F.** 2001. Mechanisms of transcriptional repression. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. **4:**145–151.
- 50. **Russo, F. D., and T. J. Silhavy.** 1993. The essential tension: opposed reactions in bacterial two-component regulatory systems. Trends Microbiol. **1:**306–310.
- 51. **Scott, J. R.** 1972. A new gene controlling lysogeny in phage P1. Virology **48:**282–283.
- 52. **Slack, F. J., P. Serror, E. Joyce, and A. L. Sonenshein.** 1995. A gene required for nutritional repression of the Bacillus subtilis dipeptide permease operon. Mol. Microbiol. **15:**689–702.
- 53. **Stock, A. M., V. L. Robinson, and P. N. Goudreau.** 2000. Two-component signal transduction. Annu. Rev. Biochem. **69:**183–215.
- 54. **Sumby, P., A. R. Whitney, E. A. Graviss, F. R. DeLeo, and J. M. Musser.** 2006. Genome-wide analysis of group A streptococci reveals a mutation that modulates global phenotype and disease specificity. PLoS Pathog. **2:**e5.
- 55. **Virtaneva, K., S. F. Porcella, M. R. Graham, R. M. Ireland, C. A. Johnson, S. M. Ricklefs, I. Babar, L. D. Parkins, R. A. Romero, G. J. Corn, D. J. Gardner, J. R. Bailey, M. J. Parnell, and J. M. Musser.** 2005. Longitudinal analysis of the group A Streptococcus transcriptome in experimental pharyngitis in cynomolgus macaques. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **102:**9014–9019.