Evolution of Sporadic Isolates of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in Hospitals and Their Similarities to Isolates of Community-Acquired MRSA

M. Aires de Sousa¹ and H. de Lencastre^{1,2*}

*Laborato´rio de Gene´tica Molecular, Instituto de Tecnologia Química e Biolo´gica da Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Oeiras, Portugal,*¹ *and Laboratory of Microbiology, The Rockefeller University, New York, New York 10021*²

Received 7 March 2003/Returned for modification 18 April 2003/Accepted 19 May 2003

Forty-one methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* **(MRSA) hospital isolates that clearly differed from the six major pandemic clones of MRSA in pulsed-field gel electrophoresis type,** *mecA* **and Tn***554* **polymorphism, and epidemic behavior were selected from an international strain collection for more detailed characterization.** *SpaA* **typing, multilocus sequence typing, and SCC***mec* **(staphylococcal cassette chromosome** *mec***) typing demonstrated extensive diversity among these sporadic isolates both in genetic background and also in the structure of the associated SCC***mec* **elements. Nevertheless, the isolates could be grouped into restricted clonal complexes by using the BURST (i.e., based upon related sequence types) program algorithm, which predicted that most sporadic MRSA isolates evolved from pandemic MRSA clones. Several of the sporadic MRSA resembled community-acquired MRSA isolates in properties that included a relatively limited multiresistance pattern, faster growth rates, diversity of genetic backgrounds, and a frequent association with SCC***mec* **type IV.**

In our previous studies we characterized over 3,000 methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolates collected in surveillance studies and outbreak investigations in hospitals located in southern and eastern Europe, Latin America, and Asia between 1992 and 2001 through the CEM/NET (11, 33) and the RESIST (31) international initiatives. The combination of molecular typing techniques, specifically pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), *Cla*I-*mecA* polymorphisms, and Tn*554* insertion patterns allowed us to identify six epidemic MRSA clones that were widely disseminated in these geographic areas. A common feature of these epidemic clones was their dominance: we noted frequent recovery from patients both within a single hospital and also in hospitals located over vast geographic distances. These six expansively spread clones accounted for a large proportion (nearly 70%) of the over 3,000 MRSA isolates previously characterized by our group, indicating that they represented successful lineages in terms of ability to cause infection, to persist, and to spread even across continents (30).

The same molecular typing techniques also identified MRSA lineages that, in contrast to these epidemic clones, were clearly dominant only in single hospitals but were not seen in others (referred to here as "minor" clones). A third type of epidemic behavior was that of MRSA isolates with diverse molecular types that were recovered only from a few patients even in single hospitals (referred to here as "sporadic" MRSA isolates).

Application of *spaA* typing, multilocus sequence typing (MLST), and SCC*mec* (for staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec*) typing confirmed the existence of and helped to better define the nature and evolutionary relationships among the six epidemic clones (14, 30). However, the evolutionary origins of minor MRSA clones and sporadic isolates are not well understood; the reason why they seem unable to spread also remains unknown. The study described here addresses some of these issues.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial isolates. A total of 41 hospital-acquired MRSA isolates were selected for the present study from strain collections performed in 12 countries between 1992 and 2001 (1–5, 7, 18, 20, 23) (Table 1). All 41 isolates have already been characterized in previous studies by antibiogram and by three molecular typing techniques: hybridization of *Cla*I restriction digests with the *mecA*- and Tn*554*-specific DNA probes combined with PFGE of chromosomal *Sma*I digests, generating corresponding "clonal types" (*Cla*I-*mecA*::*Cla*I-Tn*554*::PFGE) (10). Each of the 41 MRSA isolates had a unique clonal type as determined from these criteria, and none of the 41 clonal types resembled the clonal types characteristic of the six major pandemic MRSA designated the Iberian, Brazilian, Hungarian, New York/Japan, Pediatric, or EMRSA-16 clones. A common feature of these 41 strains was their epidemic behavior: they represented either minor clones (i.e., they were frequently recovered from patients but only in one hospital) or they were sporadic isolates (i.e., they were recovered only from one or a few patients in a single hospital). For the sake of simplicity we are going to refer to both of these MRSA types as sporadic isolates throughout the rest of the present study.

Susceptibility tests. Confirmatory susceptibility tests were performed for some isolates in the present study by the standard disk diffusion method or by the agar dilution method according to National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards guidelines (24, 25) against penicillin, oxacillin, erythromycin, gentamicin, clindamycin, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, rifampin, tetracycline, trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole, and vancomycin (all from Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, England).

Doubling time. Growth in tryptic soy broth was measured at 660 nm by using a Spectronic 21 (Bausch & Lomb, Rochester, N.Y.). The doubling time during the exponential growth phase was determined at an optical density of ca. 0.02 to 1.0 as previously described (17). Strains N315 and MW2 were used as controls.

Detection of PVL genes. Sequences specific for Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL) genes (*lukS*-PV-*lukF*-PV) were detected by PCR as described elsewhere (21).

SCC*mec* **type assignment.** The SCC*mec* types were determined by a multiplex PCR strategy (28). For all isolates classified as SCC*mec* type IV and whenever at least one of the structural features shown to be typical of a particular SCC*mec* type was not identified by this methodology, we used the PCR amplification of the *ccr* (for cassette chromosome recombinase) gene and the *mec* gene complex (26).

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Rockefeller University, 1230 York Ave., New York, NY 10021. Phone: (212) 327-8278. Fax: (212) 327-8688. E-mail: lencash@mail.rockefeller.edu.

^a NA, not available (that is, clonal types not included in this study).

^b L, H. de Lencastre et al., unpublished data; M, R. Mato et al., unpublished data.

spaA **typing.** Molecular typing based on the sequence of the polymorphic region of the protein A (*spaA* typing) was performed as described previously (32). The primers used were as follows: *spaA*F1, 5--GAC GAT CCT TCG GTG AGC-3', nucleotides 1096 to 1113; and *spaAR1*, 5'-CAG CAG TAG TGC CGT TTG C-3-, nucleotides 1534 to 1516 (accession no. J01786) (27).

MLST. MLST was performed according to a previously described procedure (13), with the exception of primer *arcCF2* (5'-CCT TTA TTT GAT TCA CCA GCG-3') (8). Sequences of both strands were determined by using an ABI Prism 310 genetic analyzer by using the BigDye fluorescent terminator chemistry (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, United Kingdom) at the DNA sequencing facilities at the Instituto Gulbenkian da Ciência, Oeiras, Portugal, or by using ABI Prism 3700 genetic analyzers at The Rockefeller University, New York, N.Y., and Macrogen, Seoul, South Korea. The allelic profiles of all strains, defined by the alleles at the seven MLST loci in the order *arcC*-*aroE*-*glpF*-*gmk*-*pta*-*tpi*-*yqiL*, were compared by using the program BURST (based upon related sequence types [STs]).

BURST analysis. BURST is a clustering algorithm, developed by Feil et al. (15), used to group isolates that have similar STs into a clonal complex (CC) and to anticipate the evolutionary origin of the isolates in each cluster from a putative ancestral allelic profile. Every genotype included in a CC shares at least five loci and an ancestral genotype is the allelic profile that has the highest number of single-locus variants (SLVs). Single genotypes that do not correspond to any CC are identified as singletons. Further details are available elsewhere (http://www .mlst.net/BURST/burst.htm).

RESULTS

Variation in the SCC*mec* **types associated with sporadic MRSA isolates and identification of new structural variants of SCC***mec***.** Using the recently developed multiplex PCR strategy (28), we identified SCC*mec* type I in 11 strains, SCC*mec* type II in 3 strains, SCC*mec* type III in 10 strains, and SCC*mec* type IV in 13 strains. Four isolates harbored two novel SCC*mec* structural types, which we designated new1 and new2. SCC*mec*

a Antibiotic abbreviations: PEN, penicillin; OXA, oxacillin; ERY, erythromycin; GEN, gentamicin; CLI, clindamycin; CHL, chloramphenicol; CIP, ciprofloxacin; RIF, rifampin; TET, tetracycline; SXT, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; VAN, vancomycin. A few isolates were tested for fusidic acid and teicoplanin resistance. R, resistant, S, susceptible; I, intermediate.

^{*b*} Based on BURST. S, singleton (not assigned to any clonal complex).

 c NT, isolate considered nontypeable by the multiplex strategy due to extra or missing amplification fragments relatively to the described patterns. d luk-PV genes encode PVL. +, presence of PVL determinant; -, abse

 $f = R$. Mato et al., unpublished data.

types I, II, III, and IV were associated with 6, 2, 2, and 11 different STs, respectively (Table 2).

For four isolates (HSA10, JP87, JP238, and TAW166), the SCC*mec* type could not be directly inferred by the multiplex PCR strategy since the amplification patterns observed did not exactly correspond to the ones described by Oliveira et al. (28). For these four isolates, SCC*mec* types were determined by PCR typing the *mec* gene and the *ccr* gene complexes (26).

Isolate HSA10 had *ccr* type 3 and *mec* complex type A and was therefore classified as SCC*mec* type III (19). However, by the multiplex PCR strategy it was determined that there was amplification of the locus corresponding to the *dcs* region that was not detectable in the SCC*mec* type III of previously characterized MRSA strains (19, 28, 29).

Isolates JP87 and JP238 had *ccr* type 2 and *mec* complex type A and were therefore classified as SCC*mec* type II (19). However, by the multiplex PCR strategy there was no amplification of the locus corresponding to the *kdp* operon (29) or the locus corresponding to the *mecI* gene, in spite of the fact that both of these genes were present in previously characterized SCC*mec* type II (19, 29). Isolate TAW166 had *ccr* type 2 and *mec* complex type B and was therefore classified as SCC*mec* type IV (22, 29). However, as determined by the multiplex PCR strategy there was amplification of a locus specific for SCC*mec* type III that is located in the region between Tn*554* and the chromosomal right junction (*orfX*) (28). We concluded that

these four isolates must be variants of the previously described SCC*mec* types II, III, and IV.

The 16 isolates that were identified as carrying SCC*mec* type IV or IVA on the basis of the multiplex strategy were also tested by PCR typing of the *mec* gene and *ccr* gene complexes. Four isolates were found to belong to new, hitherto-undescribed SCC*mec* types. Two of these—ARG199 and ARG229—had *ccr* type 2 but none of the *mec* gene complexes described so far. We coined a name for SCC*mec* type, new1, for these isolates. The two other isolates—ARG105 and AGT30—had both novel *ccr* types and novel *mec* gene complexes (19, 26), and we coined the SCC*mec* type designation new2 for these isolates. The new1 and new2 SCC*mec* types may represent unusually short sequences since they lacked all typical elements present in other cassette types.

Variation in *spaA* **types of sporadic MRSA isolates.** Twentyfive distinct *spaA* types were found among the 41 MRSA isolates studied. Three isolates were nontypeable with the set of primers used. By analyzing the pattern of repeats, we could group *spaA* types that have similar repeat organizations into major *spaA* motifs. Of the 25 different *spaA* types, 8, 6, 5, and 2 were classified into the motifs DMGMK, KAOMQ, MBQBLO, and JCMBPB, respectively. Six *spaA* types did not fall into any of the four *spaA* motifs. The *spaA* types characteristic of the epidemic international clones included the motifs DMGMK (New York/Japan and Pediatric clones), KAOMQ (Brazilian, Hungarian, and EMRSA-16 clones), and MBQBLO (Iberian clone) (29).

Genetic diversity of sporadic MRSA. MLST identified 19 STs among the 41 isolates (Table 2). Thirteen STs were each represented by a single MRSA isolate only. ST239 and ST5 were more frequent: they were identified in nine and eight isolates, respectively.

STs 5, 8, 85, and 247 included MRSA isolates that displayed different SCC*mec* types, whereas the nine MRSA isolates with ST239 all carried the uniform SCC*mec* type III. Three different SCC*mec* types were identified among ST5 isolates: ST5-I (five isolates), ST5-II (two isolates), and ST5-IV (one isolate). One MRSA isolate with ST8 was associated with SCC*mec* type I, and three isolates of ST8 were associated with SCC*mec* type IV. In the case of MRSA with ST247 one isolate was associated with SCC*mec* type I and two isolates were associated with SCC*mec* type new2. Each of the two ST85 isolates carried a different SCC*mec* type: SCC*mec* I and III.

Combining the ST and the SCC*mec* type, we identified 24 clonal types out of which clones ST239-III, ST5-I, and ST8-IV each were represented by three or more isolates. Of the 24 clonal types, four were identical to one of the six pandemic MRSA clones: ST239-III/IIIA (Brazilian/Hungarian clone) were represented by nine isolates, ST5-II (New York/Japan clone) were represented by two isolates, and ST247-I (Iberian clone) and ST5-IV (Pediatric clone) were represented by one isolate each (14, 29).

Evolutionary relationships among sporadic MRSA and pandemic clones of MRSA. The algorithm BURST was used to identify groups of related genotypes, i.e., CCs, and to predict the ancestral genotype of each group and the most parsimonious patterns of descent from the corresponding ancestor. The BURST analyses distributed the sporadic MRSA isolates into several CCs. Five STs (ST8, ST86, ST239, ST247, and ST81), represented by 18 isolates, belonged to CC8. A second group of five STs (ST5, ST83, ST85, ST100, and ST228), represented by 14 isolates, belonged to CC5. Three STs (ST84, ST89, and ST92), represented by three isolates, belonged to CC91. Of additional CCs, CC22 had two isolates, and CC30 and CC45 each had one isolate. No ancestral genotype could be assigned to two isolates: one with ST59 and another with ST80. These STs were therefore considered singletons (Table 2). Although in our collection there was only a single ST30 and a single ST45 isolate, MRSA strains with these two clonal types are common in the United Kingdom and Germany: there are 80 ST30 strains and 42 ST45 strains deposited in the MLST database (www.mlst.net). Figure 1 shows the representation of the CCs identified by BURST in the present study that included at least two STs.

Doubling time. The doubling time during exponential growth was determined for the 41 isolates and varied between 24.93 and 35.91 min (Table 2). The mean doubling times \pm the standard deviations for the 11 isolates of SCC*mec* type I, the 3 isolates of type II, the 10 isolates of type III, and the 13 isolates of type IV were 30.78 ± 3.81 , 26.91 ± 1.98 , 31.16 ± 4.54 , and 29.22 ± 4.2 min, respectively. There was no clear difference between the growth rates of isolates harboring SCC*mec* type IV and isolates showing other SCC*mec* types. A Student *t* test was performed, revealing a *P* value of 0.194, which is clearly not significant. Strains MW2 and N315 used as controls showed growth rates of 28.67 and 33.32 min, respectively.

Detection of PVL genes. PVL genes were detected in only 1 of the 41 MRSA isolates analyzed (Table 2). This isolate belonged to clone ST80-IV that we recently described (1).

DISCUSSION

The purpose of the present study was to better understand the genetic backgrounds and evolutionary origin of MRSA isolates that we have been referring to as minor clones or sporadic MRSA isolates because of their epidemic behavior: they represented MRSA isolates with rare clonal types (PFGE patterns combined with *mecA* and Tn*554* polymorphs) that were clearly different from the clonal types of the epidemic MRSA that were most frequently recovered from patients during the international surveillance studies conducted by our laboratory (1–5, 7, 18, 20, 23).

Clearly, there is a considerable degree of arbitrariness in this approach since MRSA clonal types classified as sporadic or minor in our particular surveillance studies may represent major clonal types in some other geographic area or at some other period of surveillance. Furthermore, although the typing method used for the identification of clonal types has high resolving power, it can also blur evolutionary relationships that may be clarified by applying MLST in combination with determination of the structure of SCC*mec* types carried by the bacteria. We used these DNA sequence-based methods to reexamine the nature of 41 sporadic MRSA isolates that did not belong to any of the six previously described pandemic MRSA clones as defined by *Cla*I-*mecA*::Tn*554*::PFGE types (29).

Based on MLST alone, 20 of the 41 MRSA isolates were determined to share the genotype of one or another of the six pandemic clones. However, based on MLST in combination with SCC*mec* typing, only 13 of these 20 isolates showed the

FIG. 1. Diagram of CCs defined with the algorithm BURST. Each number represents an MLST-derived ST. The ST of the predicted ancestral genotype is placed in the central circle, SLVs are within the second circle, and DLVs within the outer dotted circle. One ST (ST59) for which an ancestral genotype cannot be inferred and one ST (ST80) that is not member of any CC (singletons) are also shown. CC30 and CC45 are not graphically represented since they are represented by unique STs in the present study. Blue numbers denote STs found in the present study; black numbers denote STs in the database associated with a particular CC. The numbers of isolates with a particular ST deposited in the database, followed by the numbers of isolates with that ST found in our study, e.g., "(73/3)," are given in parentheses.

typical features (MLST and SCC*mec* types) of one or the other six pandemic clones. Furthermore, whereas these 13 isolates were indistinguishable by MLST and SCC*mec* type from one of the pandemic clones, the PFGE analysis of these isolates showed considerable differences (seven or more band differences) in *Sma*I DNA fragment patterns compared to the typical epidemic clones (results not shown). These differences may represent the genomic modifications related to the diminished capacity of the sporadic isolates for geographic spread (Table 1).

Combining ST and SCC*mec* types, the 41 isolates were classified into 24 clonal types, only 4 of which (including 13 isolates) were identical to one of the pandemic clones, indicating that MRSA isolates of very diverse genetic backgrounds are found not only in the community (26) but in hospitals as well. By using MLST and the algorithm BURST, the 41 clinical MRSA were classified into six CCs, with the exception of two clones that were considered singletons. Of the 24 clonal types, 17 were within the same CC as one of the pandemic MRSA clones, indicating that the majority of the sporadic isolates in our study probably evolved from one of the pandemic MRSA clones. Five of the remaining seven clonal types were only distantly related to epidemic MRSA clones, and their origins are not clear at the present time.

Evolution of sporadic MRSA. Figures 2 presents the putative evolutionary origins and patterns of descent of major STs identified among sporadic MRSA. Figure 2A shows the proposed relationships among the five STs (ST8, ST86, ST239, ST247, and ST81) within clonal type CC8 by the BURST analysis.

Enright et al. (14) reported that ST8 was associated with the four types of SCC*mec*, and therefore ST8-MRSA clones could have emerged by multiple independent introductions of SCC*mec* into the successful ST8-MSSA clone (wherein MSSA refers to methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus*). In our study ST8 was associated with two SCC*mec* types (I and IV), a finding which supports the hypothesis of Enright et al. (14). These authors proposed that ST239, which is mainly associated with SCC*mec* III, was derived from ST8-III by an *arcC* recombination (14). ST239-II isolates were also found in other studies (14), which may indicate that ST239-MRSA clones emerged by

and the acquisition of the SCC*mec* types are shown. The STs present in our collection are in blue. Dashed arrows indicate unclear pathways. (A) Evolutionary origins and patterns of descent within CC8; (B) evolutionary origins and patterns of descent within CC5; (C) evolutionary origins and patterns of descent within CC91 and CC22.

independent introductions of *mec* into a ST239-MSSA, although isolates with this multilocus type had not yet been identified among susceptible *S. aureus* strains. ST86 is a double-locus variant (DLV) of ST239 differing in the *aroE* and *gmk* alleles. Clone ST86-new1 might have evolved from one of the ST239 clones after recombination in *aroE* and *gmk*. In the present study, ST247 was associated with two different SCC*mec* types: type I and type new2. SCC*mec* type new2 was found in two isolates from Argentina recovered in 1995 and 1996. Enright et al. (14) suggested that ST247-I evolved from ST250-I, which in turn evolved from ST8, the predicted ancestor of the corresponding CC (14). Our data suggests that ST247-new2 may have evolved from ST247-I by rearrangements in SCC*mec*, although we shall only be able to confirm this hypothesis after the entire sequence of this new SCC*mec* type is determined. ST81 differs from ST8 at the *aroE* locus and is probably derived from ST8 by a recent point mutation since the single-nucleotide difference in the *aroE* allele of ST81 (allele 32) is not found in any other *aroE* allele. In addition, ST81 has the same SCC*mec* type as ST8-IV.

Figure 2B shows the proposed relationships among the five STs (ST5, ST83, ST85, ST100, and ST228) distributed within the CC5 by the BURST analysis.

ST5 and ST85 were found associated with different SCC*mec* types, meaning that MRSA isolates of these STs have presumably arisen on different occasions. ST5, which is the predicted ancestor of CC5, is a very successful lineage, since it is represented by 95 isolates in the MLST database (20 of these are MSSA isolates). ST85 differs from ST5 at the *arcC* locus and is probably derived from ST5 since the single-nucleotide difference in the *arcC* allele of ST85 (allele 31) is not found in any other *aroE* allele and therefore must be a recent point mutation. ST83 has only been found in one isolate thus far and differs from ST5 at the *yqiL* allele (allele 1 instead of allele 10). We propose that ST83-I evolved from ST5-I that has the same SCC*mec* type by a *yqiL* recombination since this allele was found in many other STs and has two nucleotide differences in allele 10. ST228 is a DLV of ST5. The two isolates of ST228 found in the present study displayed SCC*mec* type I as had the other 13 isolates of this clone deposited in the MLST database. We propose that ST228-I evolved from ST5-I by recent point mutations in *tpi* and *yqiL* since *tpi* allele 24 and *yqiL* allele 29 were only found in ST228 and ST222. ST222 is an SLV of ST228 and, according to BURST, derived from ST228 (Fig. 1). ST100-new1 was determined to have evolved from ST5 by a recent *aroE* point mutation since this allele was found for the first time in the present study associated with ST100. We do not know the entire sequence of the SCC*mec* type new1, and therefore it was not possible to predict the origin of clone ST100-new1. It may have derived from ST5-MSSA or from any ST5-MRSA clone.

Figure 2C shows the proposed relationships among the three STs (ST84, ST89, and ST92) distributed within CC91 and also CC22 as determined by BURST analysis.

ST89 and ST92 may have evolved from ST91 since they are both SLVs of this ST, which was the predicted ancestor of the corresponding CC. ST89 differs from ST91 by a single-nucleotide difference in *tpi*, whereas ST92 differs from ST91 by a single-nucleotide difference in *yqiL*. ST89 and ST92 have different SCC*mec* types, which might signify that ST91-MRSA clones have emerged after two independent *mec* acquisitions. ST84 is a DLV of both ST89 and ST92. However, ST84-IV and ST92-IV have the same SCC*mec* type, which might indicate ST84-IV has evolved from ST92-IV after a *gmk* point mutation and a *tpi* recombination.

ST79 differs from ST22 at the *gmk* locus and is probably derived from ST22, which is a very common ST in the United Kingdom and Germany (14) and was the predicted ancestor of the corresponding CC. The single-nucleotide difference in the *gmk* allele of ST79 (allele 33) was not found in any other *gmk* allele and therefore must be a recent point mutation. In addition, ST79 and ST22 have the same SCC*mec* type IV.

CA-MRSA and sporadic hospital isolates of MRSA. The genetically diverse community-acquired MRSA (CA-MRSA) were reported to harbor preferentially SCC*mec* type IV (9, 16, 26). The sporadic MRSA isolates included in our study also had very diverse genetic backgrounds, and they carried most frequently either SCC*mec* type III (10 isolates) or IV (13 isolates). However, the 13 isolates that harbored SCC*mec* type IV were associated with as many as 11 different STs, whereas the 10 SCC*mec* type III isolates were associated with only 2 STs. Our data provide yet another line of evidence for the notion that the relatively small (21 to 25 kb) SCC*mec* type IV may have an increased mobility and therefore greater propensity for transfer to diverse *S. aureus* genetic backgrounds. The correlation between the size of the SCC*mec* and its facility of transfer is also consistent with the number of STs we found associated with each SCC*mec* type: the smaller the SCC*mec* the more STs were associated with it. For instance, SCC*mec* type IV was associated with 11 STs, type I was associated with 6 STs, and types II and III were associated with 2 STs only.

Most CA-MRSA isolates harboring SCC*mec* type IV appear to be resistant to β -lactam antibiotics only and have a heterogeneous methicillin resistance phenotype that is consistent with the lack of any antibiotic genes other than *mecA* in this type of *mec* cassette (22, 26, 29). In our studies, most MRSA isolates hraboring SCC*mec* type IV were resistant to fewer antibiotics than were isolates harboring the other SCC*mec* types. However, all SCC*mec* type IV isolates showed resistance to at least one additional antibiotic other than β -lactams, and 3 of 13 isolates were multiresistant (i.e., they were resistant to more than three antibiotic classes in addition to β -lactams) (Table 2). There was no clear correlation between the antibiogram and the SCC*mec* type. We suggest that some of the clinical MRSA isolates that harbored SCC*mec* type IV have acquired resistance to non- β -lactam antibiotics in order to be able to survive in the hospital environment, where the antibiotic selective pressure is high. However, the level of resistance is still not comparable to the multidrug resistance shown by most of the pandemic clones, which might partly explain the reduced capacity of these minor clones and sporadic isolates to spread in the hospital environment.

Besides the relatively simple antibiotic resistance profile, CA-MRSA strains displaying SCC*mec* type IV were also reported to show several additional differences from most hospital-acquired MRSA strains. CA-MRSA was reported to grow faster in vitro (26) and to carry additional virulence genes (6). In the present study, there was no clear correlation between growth rates and the particular structural types of SCC*mec* carried by the sporadic MRSA isolates. However, the observed

mean growth rates for the 41 MRSA isolates (30.13 ± 5.20) min) were close to those reported by Okuma et al. (26) for CA-MRSA isolates (28.79 \pm 7.09 min). Our findings suggest that a higher growth rate may be a prerequisite for minor MRSA clones and sporadic isolates to achieve successful colonization and infection by outcompeting the more epidemic MRSA clones present in the hospital and, perhaps, by compensating for the fewer drug resistance genes they carry.

PVL genes were reported to be strongly associated with primary skin infections and severe necrotizing pneumonia caused by some CA-MRSA strains, and these virulence genes were never detected in MRSA isolates associated with hospital-acquired infections (12). We recently documented the detection of PVL genes in SCC*mec* type IV MRSA isolates associated with hospital-acquired infection, suggesting that the presence of these genes is not an exclusive characteristic of CA-MRSA (1). One of these isolates (GRE14, ST80-SCC*mec* type IV [Table 2]) was included in the present study.

Our study documents several interesting similarities between CA-MRSA and sporadic MRSA isolates present in hospitals. Both CA-MRSA and the sporadic MRSA are genetically diverse, frequently carry SCC*mec* type IV, are susceptible to more antimicrobial agents and may contain the PVL genes. These observations raise the possibility that at least some of the MRSA strains described as community acquired may actually originate in hospitals. Because of their relatively limited antibiotic resistance, sporadic MRSA isolates have reduced capacity for spread and maintenance in the hospital environment which is dominated by the multidrug-resistant MRSA clones. After having "escaped" from the antibiotic-saturated hospital environment into the community, such a sporadic MRSA may be in an advantageous position since it is free of the biological cost of maintaining multidrug resistance mechanisms.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by project POCTI/1999/ESP/ 34872 from Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (Portugal), project SDH.IC.I.01.13 from Fundação Calouste Gulbenkian (Portugal) awarded to H. de Lencastre, and by U.S. Public Health Service National Institutes of Health grant R01 AI45738 awarded to A. Tomasz at The Rockefeller University. M. Aires de Sousa was supported by grant BD/13731/97 from PRAXIS XXI.

We thank M. I. Crisostomo for performing the *spaA* and MLST typing of nine MRSA isolates and M. C. Lopes for technical assistance on the doubling-time assays and the antimicrobial susceptibility testing. We are also grateful to D. Oliveira for helpful discussions concerning the SCC*mec* types, E. Feil for providing kind instruction on the BURST program, and A. Tomasz for discussions and critical reading of the manuscript. We also thank R. Mato for sharing unpublished data on the Italian isolates. This publication made use of the MLST website (http://www.mlst.net), which is hosted at Imperial College London and at the University of Bath.

REFERENCES

- 1. **Aires de Sousa, M., C. Bartzavali, I. Spiliopoulou, I. Santos Sanches, M. I. Criso´stomo, and H. de Lencastre.** 2003. Two international methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clones endemic in a university hospital in Patras, Greece. J. Clin. Microbiol. **41:**2027–2032.
- 2. **Aires de Sousa, M., M. I. Criso´stomo, I. Santos Sanches, J. S. Wu, J. Fuzhong, A. Tomasz, and H. de Lencastre.** 2003. Frequent recovery of a single clonal type of multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from patients in two hospitals in Taiwan and China. J. Clin. Microbiol. **41:**159–163.
- 3. **Aires de Sousa, M., H. de Lencastre, I. Santos Sanches, K. Kikuchi, K. Totsuka, and A. Tomasz.** 2000. Similarity of antibiotic resistance patterns

and molecular typing properties of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates widely spread in hospitals in New York City and in a hospital in Tokyo, Japan. Microb. Drug Resist. **6:**253–258.

- 4. **Aires de Sousa, M., M. Miragaia, I. S. Sanches, S. Avila, I. Adamson, S. T. Casagrande, M. C. Brandileone, R. Palacio, L. Dell'Acqua, M. Hortal, T. Camou, A. Rossi, M. E. Velazquez-Meza, G. Echaniz-Aviles, F. Solorzano-Santos, I. Heitmann, and H. de Lencastre.** 2001. Three-year assessment of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clones in Latin America from 1996 to 1998. J. Clin. Microbiol. **39:**2197–2205.
- 5. **Amorim, M. L., M. Aires de Sousa, I. S. Sanches, R. Sa´-Lea˜o, J. M. Cabeda, J. M. Amorim, and H. de Lencastre.** 2002. Clonal and antibiotic resistance profiles of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) from a Portuguese hospital over time. Microb. Drug Resist. **8:**301–309.
- 6. **Baba, T., F. Takeuchi, M. Kuroda, H. Yuzawa, K. Aoki, A. Oguchi, Y. Nagai, N. Iwama, K. Asano, T. Naimi, H. Kuroda, L. Cui, K. Yamamoto, and K. Hiramatsu.** 2002. Genome and virulence determinants of high virulence community-acquired MRSA. Lancet **359:**1819–1827.
- 7. **Corso, A., I. Santos Sanches, M. Aires de Sousa, A. Rossi, and H. de Lencastre.** 1998. Spread of a methicillin-resistant and multiresistant epidemic clone of *Staphylococcus aureus* in Argentina. Microb. Drug Resist. **4:** 277–288.
- 8. **Crisostomo, M. I., H. Westh, A. Tomasz, M. Chung, D. C. Oliveira, and H. de Lencastre.** 2001. The evolution of methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*: similarity of genetic backgrounds in historically early methicillinsusceptible and -resistant isolates and contemporary epidemic clones. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **98:**9865–9870.
- 9. **Daum, R. S., T. Ito, K. Hiramatsu, F. Hussain, K. Mongkolrattanothai, M. Jamklang, and S. Boyle-Vavra.** 2002. A novel methicillin-resistance cassette in community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates of diverse genetic backgrounds. J. Infect. Dis. **186:**1344–1347.
- 10. **de Lencastre, H., I. Couto, I. Santos, J. Melo-Cristino, A. Torres-Pereira, and A. Tomasz.** 1994. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* disease in a Portuguese hospital: characterization of clonal types by a combination of DNA typing methods. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. **13:**64–73.
- 11. **de Lencastre, H., I. Santos Sanches, and A. Tomasz.** 2000. CEM/NET: clinical microbiology and molecular biology in alliance, p. 451–456. *In* A. Tomasz (ed.), *Streptococcus pneumoniae*: molecular biology and mechanisms of disease. Mary Ann Liebert, Inc., Larchmont, N.Y.
- 12. **Dufour, P., Y. Gillet, M. Bes, G. Lina, F. Vandenesch, D. Floret, J. Etienne, and H. Richet.** 2002. Community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in France: emergence of a single clone that produces Panton-Valentine leukocidin. Clin. Infect. Dis. **35:**819–824.
- 13. **Enright, M. C., N. P. Day, C. E. Davies, S. J. Peacock, and B. G. Spratt.** 2000. Multilocus sequence typing for characterization of methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible clones of *Staphylococcus aureus.* J. Clin. Microbiol. **38:**1008–1015.
- 14. **Enright, M. C., D. A. Robinson, G. Randle, E. J. Feil, H. Grundmann, and B. G. Spratt.** 2002. The evolutionary history of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **99:**7687–7692.
- 15. **Feil, E. J., J. M. Smith, M. C. Enright, and B. Spratt.** 2000. Estimating recombinational parameters in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* from multilocus sequence typing data. Genetics **154:**1439–1450.
- 16. **Fey, P. D., B. Said-Salim, M. E. Rupp, S. H. Hinrichs, D. J. Boxrud, C. C. Davis, B. N. Kreiswirth, and P. M. Schlievert.** 2003. Comparative molecular analysis of community- or hospital-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus.* Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **47:**196–203.
- 17. **Gerhardt, P., R. G. E. Murray, W. A. Wood, and N. R. Krieg.** 1994. Methods for general and molecular bacteriology, p. 270–271. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- 18. **Gomes, A. R., I. S. Sanches, M. Aires de Sousa, E. Castaneda, and H. de Lencastre.** 2001. Molecular epidemiology of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Colombian hospitals: dominance of a single unique multidrug-resistant clone. Microb. Drug Resist. **7:**23–32.
- 19. **Ito, T., Y. Katayama, K. Asada, N. Mori, K. Tsutsumimoto, C. Tiensasitorn, and K. Hiramatsu.** 2001. Structural comparison of three types of staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec integrated in the chromosome in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus.* Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **45:** 1323–1336.
- 20. **Leski, T., D. Oliveira, K. Trzcinski, I. S. Sanches, M. A. de Sousa, W. Hryniewicz, and H. de Lencastre.** 1998. Clonal distribution of methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Poland. J. Clin. Microbiol. **36:**3532–3539.
- 21. **Lina, G., Y. Piemont, F. Godail-Gamot, M. Bes, M. O. Peter, V. Gauduchon, F. Vandenesch, and J. Etienne.** 1999. Involvement of Panton-Valentine leukocidin-producing *Staphylococcus aureus* in primary skin infections and pneumonia. Clin. Infect. Dis. **29:**1128–1132.
- 22. **Ma, X. X., T. Ito, C. Tiensasitorn, M. Jamklang, P. Chongtrakool, S. Boyle-Vavra, R. S. Daum, and K. Hiramatsu.** 2002. Novel type of staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec identified in community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **46:** 1147–1152.
- 23. **Melter, O., I. Santos Sanches, J. Schindler, M. Aires de Sousa, R. Mato, V. Kovarova, H. Zemlickova, and H. de Lencastre.** 1999. Methicillin-resistant

Staphylococcus aureus clonal types in the Czech Republic. J. Clin. Microbiol. **37:**2798–2803.

- 24. **National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards.** 2002. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically, 5th ed. Approved standard M7-A5. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, Pa.
- 25. **National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards.** 1999. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests, 6th ed. Approved standard M2-A6. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, Pa.
- 26. **Okuma, K., K. Iwakawa, J. D. Turnidge, W. B. Grubb, J. M. Bell, F. G. O'Brien, G. W. Coombs, J. W. Pearman, F. C. Tenover, M. Kapi, C. Tiensasitorn, T. Ito, and K. Hiramatsu.** 2002. Dissemination of new methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clones in the community. J. Clin. Mi-
- crobiol. **40:**4289–4294. 27. **Oliveira, D. C., I. Crisostomo, I. Santos-Sanches, P. Major, C. R. Alves, M. Aires-de-Sousa, M. K. Thege, and H. de Lencastre.** 2001. Comparison of DNA sequencing of the protein A gene polymorphic region with other molecular typing techniques for typing two epidemiologically diverse collections of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus.* J. Clin. Microbiol. **39:** 574–580.
- 28. **Oliveira, D. C., and H. de Lencastre.** 2002. Multiplex PCR strategy for rapid identification of structural types and variants of the *mec* element in methi-

cillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus.* Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **46:** 2155–2161.

- 29. **Oliveira, D. C., A. Tomasz, and H. de Lencastre.** 2001. The evolution of pandemic clones of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: identification of two ancestral genetic backgrounds and the associated *mec* elements. Microb. Drug Resist. **7:**349–361.
- 30. **Oliveira, D. C., A. Tomasz, and H. de Lencastre.** 2002. Secrets of success of a human pathogen: molecular evolution of pandemic clones of methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus.* Lancet Infect. Dis. **2:**180–189.
- 31. **Santos Sanches, I., R. Mato, H. de Lencastre, and A. Tomasz.** 2000. Patterns of multidrug resistance among methicillin-resistant hospital isolates of coagulase-positive and coagulase-negative staphylococci collected in the international multicenter study RESIST in 1997 and 1998. Microb. Drug Resist. **6:**199–211.
- 32. **Shopsin, B., M. Gomez, S. O. Montgomery, D. H. Smith, M. Waddington, D. E. Dodge, D. A. Bost, M. Riehman, S. Naidich, and B. N. Kreiswirth.** 1999. Evaluation of protein A gene polymorphic region DNA sequencing for typing of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains. J. Clin. Microbiol. **37:**3556–3563.
- 33. **Tomasz, A., and H. de Lencastre.** 1997. Molecular microbiology and epidemiology: coexistence or alliance?, p. 309–322. *In* R. P. Wenzel (ed.), Prevention and control of nosocomial infections. Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, Md.