Ability of Lysozyme and 2-Deoxyglucose To Differentiate Human and Bovine *Streptococcus bovis* Strains

Amina Kurtovic,¹ Graeme N. Jarvis,¹ Hilário C. Mantovani,¹ and James B. Russell^{1,2*}

Section of Microbiology, Cornell University,¹ and Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture,² Ithaca, New York 14853

Received 3 September 2002/Returned for modification 28 March 2003/Accepted 8 May 2003

Human and bovine *Streptococcus bovis* strains had the same 16S ribosomal DNA restriction fragment length polymorphism and often had the same patterns of starch, mannitol, lactose, and raffinose utilization. PCRs of BOX sequences differed, but numerical analyses indicated that some human strains clustered with bovine strains. However, human and bovine strains had distinctly different sensitivities to lysozyme and 2-deoxyglucose.

Streptococcus bovis is a gram-positive, facultative anaerobe that causes ruminal acidosis in cattle (12) and meningitis, septicemia, and endocarditis in humans (2, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 16, 17). There has also been an association between *S. bovis* and colonic lesions that give rise to cancer (15, 21). However, it is not clear if ruminal *S. bovis* can colonize the human colon or conversely if human strains can colonize the rumen.

In the 1980s, human *S. bovis* strains were separated into different biotypes on the basis of differences in substrate utilization (19, 20). Biotype I uses starch and mannitol, but biotype II uses only one of these substrates. Biotype II can be further subdivided. Biotype II/1 uses starch but not mannitol, whereas II/2 strains do not use either mannitol or starch. This classification scheme has been used to diagnose *S. bovis* infections (5, 8). Ruoff et al. (17) correlated biotype I bacteremia with gastrointestinal lesions, and Clarridge et al. (3) found the II/2 biotype to be prevalent in males suffering from endocarditis, sepsis, and urinary tract infection. Bovine *S. bovis* seems to be biotype II/1, but only a few strains were examined (3).

Bovine *S. bovis* strains (Table 1) grew rapidly in an anaerobic medium (described in reference 13) that was supplemented with glucose, and the doubling times were less than 30 min (data not shown). Most human strains (13 of 14) also grew as rapidly on glucose as the bovine strains, but strain 6448 grew poorly (optical density of only 0.4) and did not adapt. Substrate utilization experiments indicated that 13 of 15 human strains were either biotype I or II/1, but two strains were not biotype I or II (positive for mannitol and negative for starch). All of the bovine strains could be classified as biotype II/1, but it should be noted that some human strains were as effective as bovine strains in utilizing starch (Table 2).

Previous researchers attempted to use molecular methods as diagnostic tools to differentiate *S. bovis* strains. Songy et al. (21) isolated DNA sequences that were specific for biotype I strains, but bovine strains were not examined. Whitehead and Cotta (23, 24) developed 16S ribosomal DNA probes that differentiated bovine and human *S. bovis* strains, but only a small number of strains were employed.

When *S. bovis* DNA was amplified and digested with *Hae*III and *Hha*I (as described previously [13]), the same six dominant fragments were always observed, and human and bovine strains could not be differentiated (data not shown). The 16S ribosomal DNA genes were not sequenced, but a BLAST search indicated that human (n = 2) and bovine strains (n = 6) were more than 98% identical, and differences between human and bovine strains were in some cases less than the differences between bovine strains.

Recent work indicated that bovine strains had different profiles of repetitive DNA (BOX sequences) (13), and BOX-PCR (described in reference 13) indicated that human and bovine strains often could be differentiated (Fig. 1). Unweighted pair group method (UPGAMA) analysis (13) indicated that the dice similarity coefficients differed by as much as 50% (Fig. 2). Most human strains (13 of 15) could be grouped into BOX types that did not include bovine strains, but two human strains clustered more closely with bovine strains than with other human strains.

The ability of bacteria to colonize the gastrointestinal tract has been correlated with cell surface properties (22). *S. bovis* is a Lancefield group D streptococcus, and both human and bovine strains have this serotype (23). Human *S. bovis* strains use lipoteichoic acids (LTAs) to facilitate their attachment to human gut epithelium (9, 22, 25), but the role of these acids in lysozyme resistance had not been clearly defined. Lysozyme is an antimicrobial enzyme that is found in mammalian secretions, insects, plants, and bacteria.

Our results indicated that bovine *S. bovis* strains were inherently more susceptible to lysozyme than human strains, and most bovine strains were inhibited by as little as 0.13 mg of lysozyme ml⁻¹ (Fig. 3). Even the most resistant bovine strains could not grow if the lysozyme concentration was greater than 0.5 mg ml⁻¹. The bovine strains could be forced to adapt to tolerate higher concentrations of lysozyme by transferring them with sublethal doses of lysozyme (0.06 mg ml⁻¹), but they were initially four- to eightfold more sensitive than human strains.

The LTAs of bovine *S. bovis* strains are an autolytic regulator (1, 18, 25). If 2-deoxyglucose (2DG) is added to the growth medium, the kojibiose moiety of the LTA is not synthesized, the autolysins cannot be inactivated, and the cells lyse (1, 14).

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Section of Microbiology, Cornell University, 157 Wing Hall, Ithaca, NY 14853. Phone: (607) 255-4508. Fax: (607) 255-3904. E-mail: jbr8@cornell.edu.

TABLE 1. Origin of bacterial strains

Strain	Strain Origin			
3-01 to 3-10	Cattle $(n = 2)$ fed a grain- based ration; ruminal pH of 5.6: Ithaca, N Y : 1995	22a		
5-02 to 5-17	Cattle $(n = 2)$ fed diet that was 50% grain, 50% hay; ruminal pH 6.3; Ithaca, N.Y.; 1995	22a		
7-02 to 5-24	Cattle $(n = 2)$ fed hay diet; ruminal pH 6.7; Ithaca, N.Y.; 1995	22a		
JB1	Cow fed hay diet; ruminal pH 6.8; Davis, Calif.; 1976	17a		
K27FF4	Cow fed hay; ruminal pH 6.7; Pretoria, South Africa; prior to 1984	17a		
26, 581AXY2	Cow fed hay; ruminal pH 6.8; Aberdeen, Scotland; prior to 1984	17a		
ATCC 33317	Cow feces	20		
ATCC 1535	Cow fed hay; ruminal pH 6.8; Champaign, Ill.; 1960	26		
HC5	Cow fed hay; ruminal pH 6.7; Ithaca, N.Y.; 2000	16a		
FM	Human clinical isolates; meningitis	10		
RG	Human clinical isolate; septic arthritis	11		
V1477, V1388, V1387	Human clinical isolates; unknown disease; Richmond, Va.: prior to 1993	23		
6, 1314, 1499, 2703, 6448, 9410	Human clinical isolates; colon; Boston, Mass.: prior to 1989	17		
ATCC 43143, ATCC 43144	Human blood	23		
ATCC 49133, ATCC 49147	Human clinical isolates; unknown origin	23		

Our experiments indicated that all bovine strains eventually lysed if 2DG (2 mg ml⁻¹) was added to the growth medium (Fig. 4a), but none of the human strains were affected (Fig. 4b). Because the bovine strains did not adapt, 2DG sensitivity ap-

<-- Bovine --> <-- Human -->

FIG. 1. Agarose gel of S. bovis BOX fragments that were obtained by PCR with a BOX A1R primer. Only selected bovine (from left to right, strains JB1, 33317, and 5-11) and human (from left to right, strains 9410, 49133, and FM) are shown, but a complete UPGAMA analysis of all strains is shown in Fig. 2. See Table 2 for other characteristics. A 123-bp ladder is shown in the leftmost lane.

TABLE 2. Physiological and phylogenetic characteristics of human and bovine S. bovis strains

	Biotype	Substrate utilization ^a					DOM
Strain		Starch	Raf- finose	Lac- tose	Man- nitol	RFLP	PCR
Bovine							
3-01	II/1	+	+	+	_	А	B10
3-02	II/1	+	+	+	_	А	B10
3-03	II/1	+	+	+	—	А	B9
3-04	II/1	+	+	+	_	А	B9
3-05	II/1	+	+	+	—	Α	B10
3-06	II/1	+	+	+	_	А	B10
3-07	II/1	+	+	+	-	А	B11
3-08	II/1	+	+	+	_	A	B10
3-09	II/1	+	+	+	_	A	B10
3-10	11/1 11/1	+	+	+	-	A	B5
5-02	11/1 11/1	+	+	+	-	A	B7
5-04	11/1 11/1	+	_	+	_	A	B9
5-05	11/1 11/1	+	+	+	_	A	BI3
5-00	11/1 11/1	+	+	+	_	A	B4 D0
5.09	11/1 11/1	+	+	+	_	A	B9 D0
5.00	11/1 11/1	+	+	+	_	A	D9 D0
5 11	11/1 II/1	+ +	- -	т _	_	A	D9 B13
5-12	II/1 II/1	+	_	+	_	Δ	R0
5-12	II/1 II/1	+	+	+	_	A	B9
7-02	II/1 II/1	+	+	+	_	A	B9
7-04	II/1	+	+	+	_	A	B9
7-06	II/1	+	+	+	_	A	B9
7-10	II/1	+	+	+	_	A	B9
7-14	II/1	+	+	+	_	А	B9
7-17	II/1	+	+	+	_	А	B10
7-20	II/1	+	+	+	_	А	B9
7-21	II/1	+	+	+	_	А	B9
7-24	II/1	+	+	+	_	А	B9
JB1	II/1	+	+	+	-	А	B2
K27FF4	II/1	+	+	+	—	Α	B1
26	II/1	+	+	+	_	Α	B8
581AXY2	II/1	+	+	+	-	А	B 8
ATCC 33317	II/1	+	+	+	-	А	B11
ATCC 15351	II/1	+	+	+	_	A	B3
HC5	II/1	+	+	+	-	А	B12
Human							
FM	II/1	W	W	+	_	А	H1
RG	Ι	+	+	+	+	А	H4
V1387	Ι	+	+	+	+	А	H4
V1388	NA^b	-	+	+	+	А	H4
V1477	Ι	+	+	+	+	Α	H4
6	II/1	W	+	+	_	Α	H1
1314	Ι	+	+	+	+	А	H4
1499	II/1	+	W	_	-	A	H1
2703	1	+	+	W	+	A	H2
6448	11/1	+	+	+	-	A	H5
9410 ATTOC 421.12		+	+	+	+	A	H4
ATCC 43143	NA U/1		+	+	+	A	H4
ATCC 43144	11/1 11/1	+	+	+	-	A	R10
ATCC 49133	11/1 T	W	+	+	_	A	H3
ATCC 49147	1	vv	+	+	+	А	H4

^a The ability to utilize starch, raffinose, lactose, and mannitol is shown as follows: +, utilizes; -, cannot utilize; W, weak growth. See Fig. 2 for BOX ^b NA, not applicable.

peared to be a useful tool for separating human and bovine strains.

In recent years, S. bovis has been classified as an "increasingly important pathogen" (21). However, animal models for



FIG. 2. Dendrogram of relatedness based on BOX-PCR and weighted average linkages (UPGAMA). BOX type similarity values (expressed as a percentage) are shown over the dendrogram. BOX-type designations for the strains are shown in Table 2.

human *S. bovis* infections have not been developed, and it is not clear whether bovine strains can pass from cattle to humans to cause infection. Molecular techniques did not readily differentiate bovine *S. bovis* strains from human strains, but simple growth experiments indicated that they were different. Further work will be needed to see if human and bovine strains



FIG. 3. Effect of lysozyme on growth (optical density) of bovine (\bullet) and human (\bigcirc) *S. bovis* strains. The inoculum size was 5% (vol/vol), and the incubation period was 24 h. There were 30 bovine strains and 15 human strains. Means \pm standard deviations (error bars) are shown.



FIG. 4. Effects of 2DG on the growth and lysis of bovine (a) and human (b) *S. bovis* strains. Cultures were grown in anaerobic medium supplemented with glucose (2 mg ml^{-1}) (\bigcirc) or with glucose and 2DG (2 mg ml⁻¹ each) (\bigcirc). There were 30 bovine strains and 14 human strains (strain 6448 was excluded as described in the text). Means \pm standard deviations (error bars) are shown.

should be separated into different species, but it appears that lysozyme and 2DG sensitivities could be useful diagnostic tools.

Amina Kurtovic was supported by a Howard Hughes Cornell Undergraduate Research Scholarship.

We thank Terrence Whitehead for providing us many bacterial cultures.

Proprietary or brand names are necessary to report factually on available data; however, the U.S. Department of Agriculture neither guarantees nor warrants the standard of the product, and the use of the name by the U.S. Department of Agriculture implies no approval of the product, and exclusion of others that may be suitable.

REFERENCES

- Bond, D. R., B. M. Tsai, and J. B. Russell. 1999. Physiological characterization of *Streptococcus bovis* mutants that can resist 2-deoxyglucose-induced lysis. Microbiology 145:2977–2985.
- Burns, C. A., R. McCaughey, and C. B. Lauter. 1985. The association of *Streptococcus bovis* fecal carriage and colon neoplasia: possible relationship with polyps and their premalignant potential. Am. J. Gastroenterol. 80:42– 46.
- Clarridge, J. E., III, S. M. Attorri, Q. Zhang, and J. Bartell. 2001. 16S ribosomal DNA sequence analysis distinguishes biotypes of *Streptococcus bovis*: *Streptococcus bovis* biotype II/2 is a separate genospecies and the predominant clinical isolate in adult males. J. Clin. Microbiol. 39:1549–1552.

- Cohen, L. F., S. A. Dunbar, D. Sirbasku, and J. E. Clarridge III. 1997. Streptococcus bovis infection of the central nervous system: report of two cases and review. Clin. Infect. Dis. 25:819–823.
- DeVriese, L. A., P. Vandamme, B. Pot, M. Vanrobaeys, K. Kersters, and F. Haesebrouk. 1998. Differentiation between *Streptococcus gallolyticus* strains of human clinical and veterinary origins and *Streptococcus bovis* strains from the intestinal tracts of ruminants. J. Clin. Microbiol. 36:3520–3523.
- Duval, X., V. Papastamopoulos, P. Longuet, C. Benoit, C. Perronne, C. Leport, and J. L. Vilde. 2001. Definite *Streptococcus bovis* endocarditis: characteristics in 20 patients. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 7:3–10.
- Ellmerich, S., M. Scholler, B. Duranton, F. Gosse, M. Galluser, J. P. Klein, and F. Raul. 2000. Promotion of intestinal carcinogenesis by *Streptococcus* bovis. Carcinogenesis 21:753–756.
- Facklam, R. R., D. L. Rhoden, and P. B. Smith. 1984. Evaluation of the rapid Strep system for identification of clinical isolates of *Streptococcus* species. J. Clin. Microbiol. 20:894–898.
- Fischer, W. 1988. Physiology of lipoteichoic acids in bacteria. Adv. Microb. Physiol. 29:233–302.
- Grant, R. J., T. R. Whitehead, and J. E. Orr. 2000. Streptococcus bovis meningitis in an infant. J. Clin. Microbiol. 38:462–463.
- 11. Grant, R. J., W. Y. Shang, and T. R. Whitehead. 1997. Isolated septic arthritis due to *Streptococcus bovis*. Clin. Infect. Dis. 24:1021.
- Hungate, R. E., R. W. Dougherty, M. P. Bryant, and R. M. Cello. 1952. Microbiological and physiological changes associated with acute indigestion in sheep. Cornell Vet. 42:423–449.
- Jarvis, G. N., A. Kurtovic, A. G. Hay, and J. B. Russell. 2000. The physiological and genetic diversity of bovine *Streptococcus bovis* strains. FEMS Microb. Ecol. 35:49–56.
- Kessler, R. E., J. Duke, and I. J. Goldstein. 1984. Interaction of antikojibiose antibody with the lipoteichoic acids from *Streptococcus faecalis* and *Streptococcus faecium*. Infect. Immun. 46:279–281.
- Klein, R. S., R. A. Reco, M. T. Catalano, S. C. Edberg, J. I. Casey, and N. H. Steibeigel. 1977. Association of *Streptococcus bovis* with carcinoma of the colon. N. Engl. J. Med. 297:800–802.
- Knight, R. G., and D. M. Shalaes. 1985. Physiological characteristics and deoxyribonucleic acid relatedness of human isolates of *Streptococcus bovis* and *Streptococcus bovis* (var.). Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 35:357–361.

- 16a.Mantovani, H. C., D. K. Kam, J. K. Ha, and J. B. Russell. 2001. The antibacterial activity and sensitivity of *Streptococcus bovis* strains isolated from the rumen of cattle. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 37:223–229.
- Ruoff, K. L., S. I. Miller, C. V. Garner, M. J. Ferraro, and S. B. Calderwood. 1989. Bacteremia with *Streptococcus bovis* and *Streptococcus salivarius*: clinical correlates of more accurate identification of isolates. J. Clin. Microbiol. 27:305–308.
- 17a.Russell, J. B., and P. H. Robinson. 1984. Compositions and characteristics of strains of *Streptococcus bovis*. J. Dairy Sci. 67:1525–1531.
- Russell, J. B., and J. E. Wells. 1997. The ability of 2-deoxyglucose to promote the lysis of *Streptococcus bovis* via a mechanism involving cell wall stability. Curr. Microbiol. 35:299–304.
- Schleifer, K. H., and R. Kilpper-Balz. 1987. Molecular and chemotaxonomic approaches to the classification of streptococci, enterococci and lactococci: a review. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 10:1–19.
- Skerman, V. B. D., V. McGowan, and P. H. A. Sneath. 1980. Approved lists of bacterial names. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 30:225–420.
- Songy, W. B., K. L. Ruoff, R. R. Facklam, M. J. Feraro, and S. Falkow. 2002. Identification of *Streptococcus bovis* biotype I strains among *S. bovis* clinical isolates by PCR. J. Clin. Microbiol. 40:2913–2918.
- Von Hunolstein, C., L. M. Ricci, and G. Orefici. 1993. Adherence of glucanpositive and glucan-negative strains of *Streptococcus bovis* to human epithelial cells. J. Med. Microbiol. 39:53–57.
- 22a.Wells, J. E., D. O. Krause, T. R. Callaway, and J. B. Russell. 1997. A bacteriocin-mediated antagonism by ruminal lactobacilli against *Streptococcus bovis*. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 22:237–243.
- Whitehead, T. R., and M. A. Cotta. 1993. Development of a DNA probe for Streptococcus bovis by using a cloned amylase gene. J. Clin. Microbiol. 31: 2387–2391.
- Whitehead, T. R., and M. A. Cotta. 2000. Development of molecular methods for identification of *Streptococcus bovis* from human and ruminal origins. FEMS Microb. Lett. 182:237–240.
- Wicken, A. J., and K. W. Knox. 1975. Lipoteichoic acids: a new class of bacterial antigen. Science 187:1161–1167.
- Wolin, M. J. 1960. Some factors affecting the growth of *Streptococcus bovis* on chemically defined media. J. Dairy Sci. 43:825–830.