Correspondence

Correspondents are asked to be brief.

Shortage of Casualty Officers Surgeon Lieutenant Commander J. J. Nicholas, M.B. Nicholas, M.B. J. W. Mitchell, M.B. J. W. Mitchell, M.B. Ill Undiagnosed Abdominal Pain Eva A. Frommer, D.P.M., and D. G. Cottom, F.R.C.P. Paraquat Toxicity S. S. Brown, PH.D., and P. F. Gibson, B.SC. 114 Clofibrale, Fibrinolysis, and Platelet Stickiness G. R. Fearnley, F.R.C.P. B. G. Maegraith, F.R.C.P. 114 Embryonal Tumours in Childhood R. S. Illingworth, F.R.C.P.	 House-dust and Asthma R. S. B. Pearson, F.R.C.P., and A. Margaret Hughes, PH.D. Dentistry, Herpes Zoster, and Varicella H. G. Easton, M.D. Bromhexine in Chronic Bronchitis F. Christensen, M.D., and others Suggestion for Tetanus Prophylaxis R. K. M. Sanders, M.D. Y Chromosomes and Quinacrine Fluor-escence Technique N. B. Atkin, D.M.R.T. 118 	Misuse of Medical Profession A. S. Gardiner, F.F.A.R.C.S. Ban on Amphetamines A. G. White, M.R.C.P. Barological Diagnosis of Rubella Jan Desmyter, M.D. Folate and Vitamin B ₁₂ in Epilepsy J. W. Norris, M.D. Il9 Life in Flats D. A. W. Johnson, D.P.M. Medical Students and Smoking J. P. Anderson, F.R.C.P.ED. L20 New Review Body R. A. Keable-Elliott, M.B. Claims for Expenses N. Cridland, D.M.
Emphysema in Coal Workers		Decade of Doctors' Pay

Importance of Casualty Departments

SIR,-The present dearth of doctors in our casualty and accident departments is a cause of considerable worry to those of us who are responsible for running them.

These departments are unpopular among young medical men. The work there can be arduous and does not provide a definite step forward in the training for any existing specialty in our Health Scheme. This is a pity, for much interesting clinical material passes through the casualty and accident sections each day, and the very diversity of the work is stimulating-the injured eye, the poisoned child, the fractured tibia, together, unfortunately, with all the trivia which should really not be there because they do not require any of the sophisticated facilities that the modern casualty and accident department can provide.

The orthopaedic surgeon is often asked to take charge of these departments, because it is argued that a large proportion of the cases consist of injuries to the locomotor system. This is in many ways unsatisfactory, for such a busy man can rarely spare the time to be on the spot long enough and often enough to be of use when he is most required, and his expert and somewhat narrow training are inappropriate to many of the problems that present themselves.

The type of doctor required to preside in our casualty and accident departments should, of course, be an expert resuscitator. He should be able to diagnose many conditions with some accuracy and to know which of these to treat himself and where to transfer the other ones as quickly as possible. He should, in some degree, be a "Jack of all trades and Master of none," but a special interest in hand surgery or the care of head injuries might well broaden his medical horizons as well as being of considerable practical use.

The development of such skills as I have suggested is not easy and a career structure to develop them is necessary, together with the prospect of posts carrying a suitable status and financial reward when the training is completed. The situation has deteriorated rapidly in the past few months and may get worse. I write, not to dramatize the subject, but to draw attention to the increasing urgency of the problem .--- I am, etc.,

ALAN E. BREMNER.

Newcastle upon Tyne.

Shortage of Casualty Officers

SIR,-I am not of the persuasion who ever seeks to impose longer periods of hospital training on new doctors, particularly as I suspect it is often a way of providing cheap medical labour to subsidize the under-financed hospital service, but I heartily agree with Dr. D. K. Guha-Ray (26 September, p. 774) on the value of experience as a casualty officer.

The casualty department confronts a doctor with the worst that disaster, disease, and human nature can provide, but with facilities and help at hand. When he has learned to deal with it in casualty he is not likely to be disconcerted by it later on in broader fields of medicine.

Before entering general practice I served in the Royal Navy and Merchant Marine. I cannot imagine how I would have coped without the tricks of the trade I learned in only six months as a casualty officer. Nearly always, no matter how bizarre the surroundings or meagre the facilities, one had "seen it all before" in casualty and one got on and did the best one could with what one had.

It is the most valuable halfway-house between the ward and the world, no matter what a doctor intends for his future practice .-- I am, etc.,

Southampton.

J. J. NICHOLAS.

G.P.s and Casualty Departments

SIR,-In view of progressive closure of casualty departments in various parts of the country owing to staff shortage, would not this be a suitable opportunity for general practitioners to man these posts when required, and thus maintain their hospital connexion?

This shall appeal to younger general practitioners, and might only mean one evening per week. I am sure it could be a workable scheme, and I put it forward for what it is worth .--- I am, etc.,

J. W. MITCHELL.

Luton, Beds.

Undiagnosed Abdominal Pain

SIR,-Your leading article on recurrent abdominal pain (22 August, p. 415) is a suitable reminder that in children an organic cause is found in less than 10% of cases. Despite energetic and increasingly accurate diagnostic techniques improvement is slow. In 1951 Conway¹ found serious illness in 5.8% of 250 children attending outpatients at Great Ormond Street with abdominal pain; in Apley's study² it was 7%, and a recent survey is in the region of 10%. A proportion of the remaining 90% have an emotional disorder, often depression, which is rapidly benefited by treatment with antidepressant drugs.3

The child over five years of age commonly complains of pain in the lower abdomen which may occur many times a week, and may be sufficient to cause it to cry. The pain is associated with nausea rarely vomiting, there is a feeling but of fullness though the appetite may remain normal, and weight loss is unusual. Constipation is often present and on occasions is thought to be responsible for the pain. In addition misery, labile mood swings, and changes in sleep pattern may suggest a depressive illness, though often these symptoms are only elicited by direct

questioning. The story of unexplained abdominal pain in the mother or other members of the family tends to support the diagnosis.

Treatment with antidepressants, either the tricyclic group or monoamine oxidase inhibitors, is frequently effective in eliminating the abdominal discomfort. In most cases regardless of age the relief is permanent unless there is renewed stress causing repeated anxiety in the child. The condition may be disabling, since the depressed child cannot function adequately either socially or at school. The underlying emotional problems often remain unsuspected, and frequent short absences from school lead to poor school work and antipathy on the part of the teachers.

There is reluctance to use antidepressant drugs in children, but in this type of recurrent abdominal pain they are certainly no more dangerous than a laparotomy and may be much more beneficial.-We are, etc.,

EVA FROMMER. DENNIS COTTOM.

St. Thomas's Hospital, London S.E.1.

REFERENCES

Conway, D. J., Great Ormond Street Journal, 1951, 1, 99.
Apley, J., The Child with Abdominal Pains. Oxford, Blackwell Scientific, 1959.
Frommer, E. A., in Recent Developments in Affec-tive Disorders, ed. A. Coppen and L. A. Walk, p. 117. British Journal of Psychiatry, Special Publication, No. 2, 1968.

Paraquat Toxicity

SIR,-In paying tribute to Otto Warburg (obituary 15 August, p. 409), Drs. D. M. Stokes and D. A. Walker (22 August, p. 462) could have pointed out that while the "legendary biochemist" might well have been ignorant of the toxic effects of paraquat (methyl viologen) he would certainly have been aware of its unusual electrochemical properties. In this respect paraquat and some related dipyridyls have a long and honourable history as redox indicators.1 2

In support of their hypothesis on the mechanism of paraquat toxicity Drs. Stokes and Walker quote an article by Dr. J. C. Gage,³ but in fact they do so out of context. Gage showed that the resting respiration of intact rat liver mitochondria was virtually unaffected by paracuat and diquat, probably because of their inability to penetrate the mitochondrial membrane. This finding appears to preclude the suggestion of Drs. Stokes and Walker that bypassing of the mitochondrial election transport chain is a plausible mechanism of action of paraquat.

We agree with Drs. K. Fletcher and A. A. B. Swan (12 September, p. 646) that there is no parallel between Warburg's theory of carcinogenesis and paraquat toxicity. Any attempt to explain the apparently specific effect of paraquat, as opposed to diquat, on lung fibroblasts should take into account the substantial difference in their redox potentials,4 since diquat is electrochemically more active than paraquat. However, since reduction might occur in vivo only as far as the free radicals, this consideration may not be important.

Dr. J. McEvoy (12 September, p. 647) is,

Correspondence

of course, right to emphasize that his patient suffering from diquat poisoning showed no evidence of any lung lesion. A recent report⁵ of fatal diquat poisoning, however, indicates that this compound may indeed produce "changes in the lungs similar to those reported for paraquat."-We are, etc.,

S. S. BROWN.

P. F. GIBSON.

University Department of Clinical Chemistry, Royal Infirmary, Edinburgh.

References

- 1 Michaelis, L., Biochemische Zeutschrift, 1932, 250, 564.
- S64.
 Michaelis, L., and Hill, E. S., Journal of General Physiology, 1933, 16, 859.
 Gage, J. C., Biochemical Journal, 1968, 109, 757.
 Brian, R. C., Chemistry and Industry, 1965, 1955.
 Schönborn, H., et al. in Summary Proceedings of the Fourth Congress of Poisons Control Centres, Basko Polje Makarska), Jugoslavia, September, 1970, p. 14.

Clofibrate, Fibrinolysis, and **Platelet Stickiness**

SIR,---Nobody would question the effects of clofibrate on serum lipids described in "Today's Drugs" (12 September, p. 632); but that clofibrate "corrects decreased fibrinolysis" is extremely doubtful, and that autormai platelet stickiness . . . [is] altered toward normal values" requires qualification qualification.

The original Atromid (clofibrate plus androsterone) was reported by Srivastava et al.1 to increase fibrinolytic activity in arteriopathic patients; subsequently Goodhart and Dewar,² using Atromid-S, stated that this effect occurred only in patients with hypercholesterolaemia. My colleagues and I found Atromid to increase fibrinolytic activity temporarily, the effect lasting for not more than three months.3 When we studied clofibrate alone (Atromid-S)-that is, without androsterone, the dilute blood clot lysis times of five out of six patients which were within normal limits before treatment actually prolonged during treatment with the drug4 in other words, fibrinolytic activity was reduced. Sweet et al.,5 using the euglobulin lysis time, found clofibrate to have no effect on fibrinolytic activity, irrespective of hypercholesterolaemia. We believe that the temporary fibrinolytic effect of the original Atromid was due to its high content of androsterone, since we have shown that androgens temporarily increase fibrinolytic activity.6

Several workers, notably Carson et al.,7 have shown that clofibrate reduces platelet stickiness over the short term but in none of these studies was the drug given for more than two months. In a study lasting nine months my colleagues and I found while clofibrate initially reduced that platelet stickiness, this effect was lost after six months' treatment. Our findings therefore fail to confirm that clofibrate has any worthwhile long-term effect on two of the "thrombogenic abnormalities" mentioned in your article; and also raise the possibility that in some patients the effect of the drug on fibrinolysis may be unfavourable.-I am, etc.,

G. R. FEARNLEY.

Gloucestershire Royal Hospital, Gloucester.

REFERENCES

- KEPERENCES
 Srivastava, S. C., Smith, M. J., and Dewar, H. A., *Journal of Atherosclerosis Research*, 1963, 3, 640.
 Goodhart, J. M., and Dewar, H. A., British Medical Journal, 1966, 1, 325.
 Hocking, E. D., Chakrabarti, R., Evans, J., and Fearnley, G. R., Journal of Atherosclerosis Research, 1967, 7, 121.
 Chakrabarti, R., and Fearnley, G. R., Lancet, 1968, 2, 1007.
 Sweet, B., Rifkind, B. M., and McNicol, G. P., Journal of Atherosclerosis Research, 1965, 5, 347.

- 547.
 Fearnley, G. R., and Chakrabarti, R., Journal of Clinical Pathology, 1964, 17, 328.
 Carson, P., McDonald, L., Pickard, S., Pilking-ton, T., Davies, B., and Love, F., Journal of Atherosclerosis Research, 1963, 3, 619.

Cholera in Britain

SIR,-I found your leading articles on cholera (12 September, p. 601, and 3 October, p. 2) concise and useful. I was sorry, however, that you did not make more of the opportunity to remind the profession of the necessity in this jet age of making sure that a geographical history is taken from every patient. This could be the best protection against the consequences of spread of an imported disease such as cholera. The profession and the public seem to be becoming slightly more aware of the medical risks of going abroad, but it would appear that some of those concerned in the logistics of travel do not always face up to their responsibilities.

I have in front of me a cutting from a recent London evening paper in which it is said: "Tourists who ignored warnings to have inoculations, then picked up diseases like typhoid and cholera were criminally irresponsible, the Association of British Travel Agents said today."1 It seems to me a bit hard to put the blame on the public in this way. Surely the agents should make sure that their passengers are informed and protected before they travel to any endemic or suspect area.

I detect some complacency about cholera appearing in Europe which, in this context, includes the United Kingdom. For example, another cutting, this time from a German paper, says: "Keine Cholera Gefahr für Europa." This is in keeping with the frequently expressed view that cholera is today not a serious community risk to the sophisticated world, where high standards of sanitation and hygiene and an adequate public health infrastructure make its spread unlikely. This may be so in the big cities and towns, where the chances of spread by infected water or food, or by personal contact are probably minimal. Nevertheless, I doubt its relevance in some slum areas and country villages in which the sanitation or lack of it sometimes seems to me to be as potentially encouraging to the vibrio as anything I have seen in the tropical world. Wherever there is dirt, squalor, and bad sanitation there could be some spread of cholera brought in by travellers from endemic regions or from areas where there are outbreaks.

The recent circular letter from the Chief Medical Officer (C.M.O. 16/70) has rightly drawn the attention of medical officers of health to the risks of imported cholera infection. The warning should be extended also to the general practitioners who are likely to see the suspect patients first, and to the travelling public, who should be informed about specific regions and vac-